

NASA CR-190754
RI/RD88-291

AD-A276 954



①


FINAL REPORT

ORBITAL TRANSFER ROCKET ENGINE TECHNOLOGY 7.5K-LB THRUST ROCKET ENGINE PRELIMINARY DESIGN

By

T. J. HARMON AND E. ROSCHAK


Rocketdyne Division
Rockwell International Corporation



Prepared For

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

October, 1993



NASA-Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Contract No. NAS3-23773
Task B.7 and D.5

94-08572



94 3 16 08

FOREWORD

Important contributions to the conduct of the program, and to the preparation of the material, were made by the following Rocketdyne personnel:

Engine Systems Analysis

Engine Design

Combustion Devices

Aerothermal Analysis

Turbomachinery

C. Erickson

C. Reed, J. Raymond, P. Schilhany

W. Brown

C. Laren

R. Beatty, H. Clausen, A. Eastland,

N. Gulbrandsen, E. Jackson, B. Murphy.

L. Nielson, J. Scharrer, K. Tran

RI/RD88-291

Approved For	
NTIS GRA&I	~~X~~
DIC TAB	☒
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
by _____	
Date _____	
Approved by _____	
Special Agent _____	
Dist _____	Approved for Special _____
A-1	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	OBJECTIVES	1
3.0	APPROACH	1
4.0	SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS	2
5.0	TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS	3
6.0	PROGRAM WORK PLAN	5
6.1	Engine Analysis	5
6.2	Component Analysis	15
6.2.1	Injector/Igniter	20
6.2.2	Combustor/Nozzle	23
6.2.3	Combustor	30
6.2.4	Nozzle, Tube Design	43
6.2.5	Nozzle, Channel Design	57
6.3	Turbomachinery Analysis	67
6.3.1	High Pressure Fuel Turbopump	68
6.3.1.1	Turbomachinery Design Requirements	68
6.3.1.2	Preliminary Main Fuel Pump Design Analysis	70
6.3.1.3	Selection of Number of Stages and Impeller Diameter	70
6.3.1.4	Performance Calculations	73
6.3.1.5	Suction Performance	83
6.3.1.6	Off Design Operating Points	83
6.3.1.7	Axial Thrust	86

6.3.1.8	OTVE HPFTP Turbine Analysis	88
6.3.1.9	HPFTP LH2 Turbine	88
6.3.1.10	Bearing Selection	90
6.3.1.11	Transient Axial Thrust Control	96
6.3.1.12	Seal Selection	97
6.3.1.13	OTVE High Pressure Fuel Turbopump Rotordynamic Analysis	98
6.3.1.14	HPFTP Remaining Issues	99
6.3.2	High Pressure Oxidizer Turbopump	100
6.3.2.1	HPOTP Pump Performance	104
6.3.2.2	HPOTP Turbine	105
6.3.3	Low Pressure Fuel Boost Pump	105
6.3.3.1	LPFTP Pump Performance	108
6.3.4	Low Pressure Oxidizer Boost Pump	108
6.3.4.1	LPOTP Pump Performance	111
6.3.5	Valve Analysis	111
6.3.6	ICHM Analysis	116
6.4	Technology Level	122
6.5	Engine Layout	122
6.6	Component Layout	122
6.7	Injector Assembly Layout	130
6.8	Nozzle/Combustion Chamber Assembly Layout	130
6.9	Fuel & Oxidizer Turbopump Assembly Layouts	130
	References	131
	Appendix A	A1
	Appendix B	B1

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1	4
Figure 2	7
Figure 3	8
Figure 4	9
Figure 5	10
Figure 6	11
Figure 7	12
Figure 8	13
Figure 9	18
Figure 10	19
Figure 11	21
Figure 12	22
Figure 13	25
Figure 14	26
Figure 15	27
Figure 15a	28
Figure 15b	29
Figure 15c	29
a) Temperature Profile (°R) b) Thermal Strain	29
Figure 16	31
Figure 17	35
Figure 18	36
Figure 19	37
Figure 20	38
Figure 21	39
Figure 22	40
Figure 23	41
Figure 24	42
Figure 25	45

Figure 26	Nozzle Profile	46
Figure 27	Hot Gas Side and Coolant Side Wall Temperature Profile	47
Figure 28	Coolant Static and Total Pressure Profile	48
Figure 29	Nozzle Wall Heat Flux Profile	49
Figure 30	Gas Side Heat Transfer Film Coefficient	50
Figure 31	Coolant Velocity Profile	51
Figure 32	Coolant Bulk Total Temperature Profile	52
Figure 33	Nozzle Tube Cross Section	53
Figure 34	Tube Gas Side Radius Profile	54
Figure 35	Tube Flat Length	55
Figure 36	Nozzle Channel Wall Temperature Profile	58
Figure 37	Coolant Static and Total Pressure Profile	59
Figure 38	Channel Wall Nozzle Heat Flux Profile	60
Figure 39	Channel Wall Nozzle Gas Side Heat Transfer Coefficient	61
Figure 40	Coolant Velocity Profile for Channel Wall Nozzle	62
Figure 41	Coolant Bulk Temperature Profile for Channel Wall Nozzle	63
Figure 42	Coolant Channel Width for Nozzle	64
Figure 43	Coolant Channel Height for Nozzle	65
Figure 44	OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump	71
Figure 44A	OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Materials	72
Figure 45	Head Coefficient versus Impeller Tip Speed	74
Figure 46	Impeller Tip Speed versus Impeller Tip Diameter	75
Figure 47	Stage-Specific Speed versus Shaft Speed	76
Figure 48	Impeller tip Width versus impeller Tip Diameter	77
Figure 49	HPFTP Flow Schematic at Design Speed	79
Figure 50	Stage Headrise Versus Flow Rate	81
Figure 51	Stage Efficiency Versus Flow Rate	82
Figure 52	Hydrogen Temperature--Entropy Diagram	85
Figure 53	Pump Axial Thrust versus Shaft Speed	87
Figure 54	OTVE HPFTP Turbine Velocity Diagram	91
Figure 55	Overall Efficiency Versus Pressure Ratio	92

Figure 56	Corrected Mass Flow Versus Pressure Ratio	93
Figure 57	Overall Efficiency Versus Velocity Ratio	94
Figure 58	OTVE High-Pressure LO ₂ Turbopump	101
Figure 59	OTVE High-Pressure LO ₂ Turbopump Candidate Materials	102
Figure 60	Low-Pressure Fuel Pump (7.5Klbs.)	106
Figure 61	OTVE Low-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Candidate Materials	107
Figure 62	Low-Pressure Oxidizer Pump (7.5Klbs.)	109
Figure 63	OTVE Low-Pressure Oxidizer Turbopump Candidate Materials	110
Figure 64	Control Valve Locations	112
Figure 65	OTV 7.5Klbs Fuel Turbine Bypass Valve (FTBV)	118
Figure 66	OTV FTBV Deep Throttling Sector Concept	119
Figure 67	Advanced Electric Actuated Valve--for FTBV	120
Figure 68	7.5Klbs. Advanced Space-Based OTV Engine Arrangement	123
Figure 69	Injector/Igniter Assembly Drawing	125
Figure 70	Nozzle/Combustion Chamber Drawing (Part A)	126
Figure 70	Nozzle/Combustion Chamber Drawing (Part B)	127
Figure 71	Thrust Chamber Nozzle Coolant Channel Configuration	128
Figure 72	Metallic Extendible Nozzle	129

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1 OTV Rocket Engine Technology Tasks, NAS3-23773	2
Table 2 Engine Balance Independent Variables	6
Table 3 OTV Turbomachinery Limits	14
Table 4 OTV Engine Pump Parametrics (Sheet 1 of 2)	16
Table 4 OTV Engine Pump Parametrics (Sheet 2 of 2)	17
Table 5 Temperature Distribution Plot for Rib & Fin Geometry	33
Table 6 Temperature Distribution Plot for Throat Geometry	34
Table 7 Metal Temperature Distribution Plot	56
Table 8 Temperature Distribution Plot in Nozzle Channel Wall	66
Table 9 Orbit Transfer Propulsion Requirements and Goals	69
Table 10 HPFTP Operating Characteristics	80
Table 11 HPFTP Turbine Parameters	90
Table 12 HPOTP Operating Characteristics	103
Table 13 HPOTP Turbine Parameters	104
Table 14 7.5Klbs. Engine Control Valves	113
Table 15 Off-Design Valve Summary	113
Table 16 Off-Design Valve Summary	114
Table 17 Off-Design Valve Summary	115
Table 18 Off-Design Valve Summary	116
Table 19 Control Valve Requirements	117
Table 20 Advanced Electric Actuated Valve	121
Table 21 OTV Valves Issues	121
Table 22 Advanced OTV Engine Weights (lbs.)	124

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The 7.5Klb Rocket Engine Preliminary Design Study, Task D.5, is a successive iteration of previous engine system design tasks under contract NAS3-23773. In Tasks D.1/D.3 of the space-based engine study, the first iteration of the engine design was completed. That design was driven by space maintenance requirements and by a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FEMA). Incorporated in the baseline design was the latest OTV vehicle study findings and the latest component technology advancements. In Task D.4, the Phase I FEMA-Maintenance driven engine design, preliminary maintenance plan, and concepts for space operable disconnects were further developed.

Task D.5 updates the baseline engine by integrating technology improvements, from this and other technology tasks, into a viable preliminary design of a 7.5Klb thrust Orbital Transfer Vehicle engine. The 7.5Klb engine High Pressure Fuel Turbopump Preliminary Design Task work, Task B.7, is included in this report.

2.0 OBJECTIVES

The overall program objective is to define and demonstrate advanced technology for LO₂/LH₂ engines for orbit transfer vehicles. The task objective is to accurately define the characteristics of the components and the engine by conducting preliminary design analyses and design studies at the 7.5Klb thrust level. The designs are for a flight engine.

3.0 APPROACH

A systematic approach was followed wherein the baseline engine, as defined by previous "Preliminary Design Tasks" (Tasks D.1 through D.4), were reviewed to assure the configuration was compatible with engine requirements stated in the work statement. An engine systems analysis performance appraisal was made as a guide to component trade and optimization analysis. In addition, design data from other complementary technology tasks ongoing within the

NAS3-23773 contract were incorporated into the engine design. Table 1 lists the ongoing technology tasks.

Table 1. OTV Rocket Engine Technology Tasks. NAS3-23773

<u>Task Number</u>	<u>Task Description</u>	<u>Task Start Date</u>
B.2	High-Velocity Diffusing Crossover	01/84
B.3/B.5	Soft-Wear Ring Seals	05/84
B.6	High-Speed Turbopump Bearings	06/87
B.7	Hydrogen Turbopump Rotordynamic Simulator	09/87
C.1/C.5	Enhanced Heat-Load Thrust Chamber	01/84
D.5	7.5Klb Thrust Rocket Engine Preliminary Design	12/87
E.3/E.5	Integrated Control and Health Monitoring	07/84

The results of Task B.7, the High-Pressure Turbopump Preliminary Design task, are included in this report. Component trade studies and preliminary analysis were synthesized in layout drawings to define the components. Integration of the above task technologies into an engine design defines the engine system.

4.0 SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS

The objective of developing a preliminary design of a space-based, 7.5Klb thrust engine for an Orbital Transfer Vehicle application was successfully completed. The resulting design built upon the foundation of previous preliminary design tasks, Tasks D.1/D.3 and D.4, and incorporates advanced technology.

The baseline engine system analysis was reviewed to assure requirements and goals could be met. Off-design studies were conducted to assess the severity of the ranges of required operation of the components. Results of this effort indicated engine requirements and performance goals could be met. Computer models are described and model outputs are presented.

Component analyses include studies to optimize the configuration. The injector/igniter configuration has strong historical precedence and demonstrated high performance. Updated heat transfer analysis defined regenerative cooling requirements for the thrust chamber and nozzle. The resultant coolant passage geometry indicates safe engine operation over the engine design life of 500 cycles.

A preliminary design analysis was completed to define the design and off-design performance of the fuel high-pressure turbopump. Major design technologies were identified and remaining issues and concerns were listed. This effort was completed under a different task order, Task B.7, but is included in this report for completeness. The design and analyses of the boost pumps have been conducted to the extent necessary to assure the validity of the interface with the high-pressure fuel and oxidizer turbopumps. Cross-sectional design layouts were completed showing the primary design features, basic dimensions critical clearances and materials.

Valve, control and health monitoring advances were reviewed and updated. Design improvements for accommodating deep throttling were developed and documented.

5.0 TECHNICAL DISCUSSION

The overall approach followed in Task D.5 is presented in Figure 1. Outputs from previous preliminary design task (References 1 and 2) include FMEA maintenance driven engine design/layout, a preliminary space maintenance plan, several preliminary concepts for space operable disconnects, and an engine design update.




FIGURE 1
ADVANCED ENGINE STUDY LOGIC DIAGRAM

6.0 PROGRAM WORK PLAN

The D.5 Task Order was approved in March 1987 and a task work plan was approved in May 1987. The task results are presented in the same order described in the work plan (i.e., engine analysis, component analysis, technology level, engine layout, component layout, and support).

6.1 Engine Analysis

There are two primary computer programs used for preliminary design and analysis of the engine. These are the Expander Cycle Engine Steady-State and Optimization Code and the Expander Cycle Rocket Engine Steady-State Off-Design Code. The steady-state design model is a comprehensive mathematical model of an expander cycle engine with standard converging/diverging nozzle. Numerous component and design options are included in the model. The generality of the program is greatly enhanced by its ability to model a wide range of different expander cycle schematic configurations which include or omit certain components. This "variable schematic" feature is achieved by the use of powerful routines which analyze schematic topology, which is specified by a data set read by the program. These routines then establish all component interconnections and flow paths implied by the data description of the desired engine schematic diagram. Bookkeeping of propellant thermodynamic properties, including pressure, temperature, density, enthalpy, and entropy is performed throughout the system.

A modified multidimensional Box Complex optimization algorithm is used to optimize selected key independent engine variables. First, determination is made if a steady-state system balance can be obtained within the specified maximum and minimum component limits. Once a solution is found the variable being optimized is maximized by variation of a user-specified set of independent variables. An example of a typical balance run would be the maximization of engine specific impulse with 10 independent variables, as listed in Table 2. The optimum combination of these variables determines the

allowable ranges as dictated by technology limits. The output from this balance includes the engine performance and dimensions in addition to many specific details such as T/P efficiencies, impeller diameters, pressure schedules, etc.

Table 2. Engine Balance
Independent Variables

Chamber pressure
Nozzle area ratio
Fuel turbopump (T/P) RPM
Oxidizer T/P RPM
Fuel turbine pressure ratio
Oxidizer turbine pressure ratio
Fuel turbine arc of admission
Oxidizer turbine arc of admission
Fuel turbine pitchline velocity
Oxidizer turbine pitchline velocity

The engine performance predicted by the Design and Optimization Code is the take off point used by the Expander Cycle Rocket Engine Steady-State Off Design Code. This code is designed to accept a numerical description from the Steady-State Design Code and perform a power balance at off-design operating points. Off-design mixture ratios of 5 and 7, and reduced thrust levels to 10% with mixture ratios from 4 to 7 were evaluated utilizing this code. Flow schematics showing flow, pressure and temperature at selected engine system locations are presented in Figures 2 through 8. Figure 2 presents the on-design case, mixture ratio 6.0, with a calculated Isp performance of 490.2 s. Figures 3 and 4 present full thrust off-design cases for mixture ratios of 5.0 and 7.0, respectively. Figures 5 through 8 present 10% thrust level off-design cases at mixture ratios of 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, and 7.0, respectively.




FIGURE 2
DESIGN POINT ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT FULL THRUST & MR = 6.0




FIGURE 3
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT FULL THRUST & MR = 5.0

88-0418RP1/TE
OTVM 5




FIGURE 4
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT FULL THRUST & MR = 7.0




FIGURE 5
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT 10% THRUST & MR = 4.0

88-0418RP4/TE
OTVM 4





FIGURE 6
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT 10% THRUST & MR = 5.0

88-0418R1'S/TE
OTVM 5




NOTE: OFF DESIGN
CODE DOES NOT
INCORPORATE PUMP
LEAKAGE

W = FLOW = lb/sec
P = PRESSURE = psia
T = TEMPERATURE = deg R

88-0418RP6/TE
OTVM 6

FIGURE 7
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT 10% THRUST & MR = 6.0



88-0418RP7/TE
OTVM 7

FIGURE 8
OFF-DESIGN ENGINE PERFORMANCE
AT 10% THRUST & MR = 7.0

Detailed listings of the computer output for the on-design and off-design conditions are presented in Appendix A.

The engine balance incorporated updated nozzle and combustor heat transfer rates and coolant system pressure drops and the latest turbomachinery efficiency correlations. The combustor heat transfer rates incorporate an enhancement factor of 42% over that of a smooth wall combustor. This value is projected from experimental data from the Enhanced Heat Transfer Technology, Task C.1 (Reference 3). Turbomachinery technology limits used for on-design (balance value) and off-design (maximum limit) operating conditions are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. OTV Turbomachinery Limits

Parameter	Maximum Limit	Balance Value
Fuel pump impeller tip speed (ft/s)	1900	1865
Fuel turbine pitch line velocity (ft/s)	1500	1480
Oxidizer pump impeller tip speed (ft/s)	900	646
Oxidizer turbine pitch line velocity (ft/s)	1600	1183
Fuel oxidizer bearing DN(E ⁻⁶) (Diam. in MM)(RPM) (E ⁻⁶)	No limit/2.0	2.6/0.75
Fuel/oxidizer turbine AN ² (E ⁻¹⁰) (Annulus Area)(RPM) ² (E ⁻¹⁰)	10	7.7/0.89

Results of the off-design conditions at the 7.5Klb thrust level indicated that operation at mixture ratio = 5.0 imposes the more stressful conditions upon the fuel turbomachinery than at the nominal mixture ratio of 6.0. Therefore, it was necessary to build margins into the on-design turbomachinery limits in order to accommodate the more severe operating conditions experienced at a mixture ratio = 5.0. Turbomachinery impeller tip speed limits and turbine pitch line velocity limits, 1900 and 1600 ft/s, respectively, were applied to this off-design condition. No fuel turbopump bearing DN limits were assumed since hydrostatic bearings are incorporated.

The pump impeller tip speed limit plays an important role in engine design in that it defines the maximum pump discharge pressure which in turn dictates attainable chamber pressure (P_c). A four-stage pump was chosen in order to maximize the pump exit pressure and P_c at the 7.5Klb thrust level.

With the series flow turbine configuration used in this engine system design, chamber pressure and I_{sp} are relatively insensitive to oxidizer turbopump design. The reason is that the oxidizer turbine horsepower required is only 18% of that of the fuel turbopump. Therefore, with series flow turbines there is an excess of flow available to power the LOX turbine. The optimizer code chooses the lowest allowable turbine pressure ratio (1.16) in order to maximize chamber pressure and bypasses the extra flow around the turbine. Thus oxidizer turbine and pump efficiencies have essentially no effect on P_c or engine performance. No oxidizer turbopump operating limits were encountered in the optimization.

OTV engine pump parametrics, summarizing the on-design and off-design pump characteristics, are presented in Table 4. Figures 9 and 10 present high-pressure fuel and oxidizer pump maps for the engine system. These parametrics data served as the starting point for the detailed turbopump designs.

6.2 Component Analysis

A comprehensive review was conducted on all components to incorporate the latest technology into the preliminary design of the 7.5Klb thrust engine. This component analysis section follows the following order: (1) combustion devices components (injector, igniter, combustor, and nozzle), (2) turbomachinery (high-pressure fuel turbopump, high-pressure oxidizer turbopump, low-pressure fuel turbopump, and low-pressure oxidizer turbopump), (3) valves, and (4) integrated control and health monitoring.

Table 4. OTV Engine Pump Parametrics (Sheet 1 of 2)

		ON-DES	OFF-DES	OFF-DES	OFF-DES
THRUST	(LBF)	7500	7500	7500	750
MIXTURE RATIO (O/F)	(NONE)	6	7	5	4
HIGH-PRESSURE FUEL PUMP					
NO. OF STAGES		4	4	4	4
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	192487.5	180209.6	210217.0	57310.0
EFFICIENCY	(%)	60.23	60.04	59.95	43.79
HORSEPOWER		1083.27	861.41	1437.90	22.98
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)	2.209	1.95	2.57	0.31
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	5639.39	5055.82	6504.79	568.42
DIAMETER	(IN.)	2.22	2.22	2.22	2.22
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT3)	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	117.66	104.02	137.12	16.73
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	782.36	732.46	854.42	232.94
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.15	0.14	0.16	0.07
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		10070.23	9938.43	10967.61	6670.86
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	118.60	104.86	138.22	16.87
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	1864.65	1745.71	2036.39	555.17
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.11	0.14	0.16	0.07
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.43	0.38	0.36	0.41
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		919.6	919.16	1014.34	616.96
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	46261.08	35961.9	46553.37	3928.80
HIGH-PRESSURE OXIDIZER PUMP					
NO. OF STAGES		1	1	1	1
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	64074.3	63170.2	62340.4	16700.4
EFFICIENCY	(%)	67.12	66.97	67.12	42.89
HORSEPOWER		201.59	201.39	188.03	2.60
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)	13.258	13.68	12.89	1.38
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2969.62	2838.73	2812.78	233.14
DIAMETER	(IN.)	2.31	2.31	2.31	2.31
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT3)	71.14	71.14	71.14	71.14
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	40.51	41.81	39.39	4.21
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	269.36	265.56	262.07	70.21
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.15	0.16	0.15	0.06
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		10070.23	10456.04	10065.1	5931.76
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	36.87	38.05	35.85	3.84
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	646.47	637.35	628.98	168.50
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.11	0.14	0.14	0.05
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.43	0.43	0.43	0.48
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		900.28	934.91	899.96	530.38
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	5641.25	5376.74	5341.53	420.79

Table 4. OTV Engine Pump Parametrics (Sheet 2 of 2)

		OFF-DES	OFF-DES	OFF-DES	
THRUST	(LBF)	750	750	750	
MIXTURE RATIO (O/F)	(NONE)	5	6	7	
HIGH-PRESSURE FUEL PUMP					
NO. OF STAGES		4	4	4	
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	53649.6	51020.2	49207.4	
EFFICIENCY	(%)	40.60	38.10	36.24	
HORSEPOWER		18.30	15.39	13.61	
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)	0.26	0.23	0.21	
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	500.62	454.27	423.51	
DIAMETER	(IN.)	2.22	2.22	2.22	
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	4.94	4.94	4.94	
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	14.08	12.28	11.10	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	218.06	207.37	200.00	
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.06	0.06	0.06	
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.10	0.10	0.10	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		6328.57	6070.92	5883.87	
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	14.19	12.38	11.19	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	519.71	494.24	476.68	
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.07	0.06	0.06	
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.41	0.41	0.41	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		585.3	561.47	544.17	
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	3439.20	3104.61	2882.63	
HIGH-PRESSURE OXIDIZER PUMP					
NO. OF STAGES		1	1	1	
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	15830.8	15274.7	14828.8	
EFFICIENCY	(%)	46.12	48.71	51.31	
HORSEPOWER		2.29	2.11	1.98	
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)	1.44	1.51	1.58	
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	211.67	198.43	188.05	
DIAMETER	(IN.)	2.31	2.31	2.31	
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	71.14	71.14	71.14	
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	4.41	4.60	4.83	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	66.55	64.21	62.34	
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.07	0.07	0.08	
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.11	0.11	0.11	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		6222.76	6465.55	6722.38	
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	4.01	4.19	4.39	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	159.72	154.11	149.61	
FLOW COEFFICIENT		0.06	0.07	0.07	
HEAD COEFFICIENT		0.48	0.48	0.48	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED		556.40	578.11	601.07	
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	378.88	353.01	332.66	




Figure 10. OTV Oxidizer Pump Map

6.2.1 Injector/Igniter

The element of choice for a gaseous hydrogen injector is the coaxial, or concentric injection element. This selection has strong historical precedent and is the most assured approach to provide high combustion efficiency and combustion stability. Other element concepts, such as an unlike impinging element, were ruled out because of the unknowns from stability and compatibility standpoints. Figure 11 presents a sketch of a single element. The element design incorporates an inner liquid oxygen core surrounded by an outer gaseous hydrogen shroud.

With the coaxial element injector concept defined, details of the pattern were reviewed. The coaxial element was chosen because of higher heat fluxes near the injector and high combustion efficiency. A removable rigimesh faceplate was selected to provide a high margin on face cooling. A faceplate retention system using face nuts was selected to maximize flexibility in injector element geometry, and provide structural support for the faceplate. The 7.5Klb thrust engine could have incorporated an edge supported faceplate, because of the small 3.5-in. diameter, but the added margin, flexibility, and scalability of the face nut system outweighed the potential simplicity of the self support faceplate.

An innovative face nut design allows close element spacing, and the 3.5-in. injector diameter can accommodate four circular rows of elements for a total of 60 elements. The face pattern design is shown in Figure 12. Minimum spacing on the elements is under $\frac{3}{8}$ in., which is very close for an adjustable face nut design. The baseline face material is the same stainless steel rigimesh as used for the JME main injector. High performance and good chamber compatibility are predicted for this design. Heat fluxes should follow reasonable predictions for LOX/hydrogen operation with full combustion being completed very close to the injector face.




Figure 11. Single-Element Sketch




Figure 12. Face Pattern Design

RV/RD88-291

22

The complete injector /igniter assembly is shown in Figure 13. The design incorporates a centerline igniter and provision for gimbaling. The igniter design is presented in Figure 14. The igniter utilizes a dual spark plug plasma-torch design. Duality provided by two spark plugs ensures ignition. A similar design, utilizing a single spark plug, has proven reliable in 13 starts in the 15Klb thrust ICE engine tests.

Injector stability was determined on the 15Klb thrust injector design and analyzed to be stable for mainstage, 10% thrust, and transients. Hot-fire tests on this injector showed no signs of instability. The 7.5Klb thrust injector design is a similar injector configuration. A review of the design indicated that instability is not expected. A instability analysis of the 7.5Klb thrust injector design was not completed.

6.2.2 Combustor/Nozzle

The combustor and nozzle dimensions and key features are defined in the system model (reference Appendix A). Key combustor characteristics include a 20-in. thrust chamber length and a 4:1 contraction ratio. The thrust chamber incorporates enhanced heat transfer technology with 0.040-in.-high ribs in the combustion side of the chamber and a single, 0.024-in.-high fin in each of the coolant channels. Figure 15 shows a cross section of the thrust chamber showing the rib and fin configuration, and the materials of construction. Proven fabrication technologies (developed for the SSME and the Advanced Space Engine combustion chambers) and materials (Narloy Z and electroformed nickel) were used in the thrust chamber design.

A heat transfer enhancement factor of 42% over that of a smooth wall combustor is predicted for the ribbed combustor. Note the ribs and fins extend only along the straight length of the thrust chamber; i.e., they do not extend into the contraction or throat area. This value is projected from experimental data from the Enhanced Heat Transfer Technology, Task C.1 (Reference 3). The coolant channel fin configuration incorporates a single 0.024 in. fin. This fin height was selected based on 2-d hot-air and cold flow data obtained during the OTV Enhanced Heat Transfer Combustor contract testing. The test results show a

single 0.024 in. coolant channel fin decreases the liner temperature by 40 degrees F, reference Figure 15a. A confirming CFD analysis, for a 15Klb thrust combustor, shows the maximum wall temperature decreases 44 degrees F with finned coolant channels when compared to the non finned case, reference Figure 15b. The effect of the decrease in temperature on structural strain is shown in Figure 15c. The finned coolant channel combustor liner strain is less than 1%. Nominal strain for a non-finned liner is in the 2.3 to 2.5% range. Operating at lower combustor liner temperature decreases thermal stress and increases structural life.




Figure 13 Complete Injector/Igniter Assembly

RVRD88-291
25





Figure 14. Igniter Design

NOTE: All dimensions are in inches.




RVRD88-291
27

COMBUSTER SECTION
RIBS, CHANNELS, FINS
SCALE: 10/1

Figure 15. Cross Section of Thrust Chamber

HOT GAS SIDE WALL TEMPERATURE CHANGE °F



89PD-004-172

Figure 15a. Liner Temperature Change with Enhanced Channels





Figure 15b. CFD Thermal Analysis Fin Configuration (15kW Combustor)



a.) Temperature Profile ($^{\circ}\text{F}$)

b.) Thermal Strain (in/in)

Figure 15c. CFD Thermal Analysis Rib/Fin Configuration (15kW Combustor);
a.) Temperature Profile b.) Thermal Strain

The nozzle dimensions are 90 inches in length with an exit diameter of 53 inches. The expansion ratio is 1034:1 and a RAO optimum nozzle contour is utilized. The design utilizes a retractable-extendable nozzle. The fixed part of the nozzle is regeneratively cooled and the extendable nozzle is radiatively cooled. The regeneratively cooled nozzle is 32 inches in length and features a 1-1/2 pass tube or coolant channel design. Nozzle design alternatives are presented later in this section. The regeneratively cooled nozzle extends to an area ratio of 427:1. The radiatively cooled, extendable nozzle is 58 inches in length and can be fabricated from coated carbon/carbon, SEP Carbinox, or Haynes 214. An estimated weight for the coated carbon/carbon nozzle is 30 lb and an estimated weight for the Haynes nozzle is 64 lb.

A significant analytic effort was completed to determine the coolant passage geometry for the thrust chamber nozzle. The design objective was to provide a coolant passage geometry which would provide a minimum operating life of 2000 cycles. Both the combustor and the fixed nozzle require regenerative cooling to survive the harsh thermal combustion process environment. The REGEN computer program (Reference 4) was used to conduct the analyses. The coolant inlet conditions were obtained from the "on-design and off-design" analyses (reference Appendix A). Thrust chamber contour and related heat transfer parameters were obtained from a boundary layer computer analysis. Hydrogen was used as the coolant. Figure 16 presents the thrust chamber combustor profile.

6.2.3 Combustor

A channel design coolant configuration was chosen for the combustor section, extending from the injector face ($X = -20$ in.) to the nozzle attach point at $X = 2.5$ in. The combustor throat is the model zero reference point. The nozzle attach point is at an area ratio of 14:1. An up-pass flow orientation (i.e., the flow direction is from the nozzle attach point to the injector face) was selected to provide the lowest temperature coolant in the throat region, the area of maximum heating. Narloy-Z was used for the chamber walls and an electrodeposited (EP) nickel closeout was assumed. A fin-and-rib configuration was used for the barrel section of the combustor from $X = -1.975$ in. to $X = -20.0$ inches.




Figure 16. Thrust Chamber Combustor Profile

The heat transfer enhancement introduced by the ribs was calculated using the correlation from Reference 5.

$$\text{Effective hg} = (1 + \text{Ex}100) \cdot \text{Asmooth} \cdot \text{hgsmooth} / \text{Arib}$$

where

$$\text{Ex} = 160.12 \cdot X^{1/7} - 67.41$$

X = distance from injector face (in.)

Asmooth = chamber surface area without rib (in.²)

Arib = chamber surface area with rib (in.²)

hgsmooth = heat transfer coefficient predicted by the boundary layer code (Btu/s·in.²·°F)

Effective hg = heat transfer coefficient with rib (Btu/s·in.²·°F)

The combustor section shown in Figure 15 is the rib and fin configuration used in the analysis. This configuration was based on the test results of Reference 3. The number of channels utilized in the rib/fin combustor section (cylindrical section) was 120 and the number of channels in the remainder of the combustor was 60.

The rib and wall temperatures occurring on the hot gas side of the channels are presented on Figure 17 along with the coolant side wall temperature. The maximum rib temperature of 1157 degrees F occurs at X = -19.318 inches, which is near the injector face. The throat area maximum hot gas wall temperature, 611 degrees F, occurs just upstream of the throat at X = -0.303. Tables 5 and 6 present the temperature plots for these locations, respectively. Figures 18 through 20 provide the coolant static and total pressure, heat flux and gas side film coefficient, respectively. The coolant velocity and bulk temperature are given in Figures 21 and 22. The maximum velocity of 1000 ft/s is not excessive for hydrogen gas coolant (mach number less than 0.2). The combustor coolant channel width and height are provided in Figures 23 and 24. The complicated channel height profile shown by the code output, between -0.7 and -2 in., could be smoothed to a height of 0.08 in. without a significant Δp increase (estimated at around 2 psi).

Table 5. Temperature Distribution Plot in °F for Rib & Fin Geometry

STATION NO. 66 X = -10.318 X/HT = 23.490

NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 100

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN IN AND HEAT OUT = .01931 PERCENT

HEAT INFLUX = 28.278

					1157	1146	1139	1137	Rib
					1105	1091	1082	1080	
					1038	1021	1011	1008	
					958	942	934	931	
Fin	810	811	815	822	834	852	858	856	856
	760	761	763	767	773	778	784	788	789
	699	699	701	705	709	713	719	723	725
	626	627	629	642	650	650	658	663	665
	596	595	596			591	606	613	616
	581	580	573			550	564	571	574
	568	565	556			520	531	538	540
	556	553	543			496	506	512	514
	545	542	532			478	486	492	493
	537	534	524			465	472	477	479
					453	462	467	469	
					414	416	420	424	432
					435	435	436	438	440
					439	439	439	440	441
					446	457	462	464	
					442	446	448	449	
					442	444	445	446	

- A. RIB HEIGHT = .04000 FIN HEIGHT = .02400
- B. RIB TOP WIDTH = .02000 FIN BASE = .01500
1. CHANNEL WIDTH = .04780 FIN TIP WIDTH = .01500
2. CHANNEL WIDTH = .04000 MC FACTOR = 1.00000
3. WALL THICKNESS = .03200
4. CHANNEL DEPTH = .08000
5. CLOSEOUT THICKNESS = .04000
6. TAW = 6335. DEG. F
7. MC = .0032081
8. TC = 381. DEG. F
9. REFERENCE MC = .06478
10. MC FACTOR FOR UPPER WALL = 1.00000
11. MC FACTOR LOWER WALL = 1.00000
12. EXPONENT = .55000
- 13-14. K OF REGION 1 = .004875 + (-.1870E-06) * T
- 15-16. K OF REGION 2 = .004875 + (-.1870E-06) * T
- 17-18. K OF REGION 3 = .001340 + (-.1390E-05) * T
19. CONVERGENCE CRITERION = .0100 DEG. F

Table 6. Temperature Distribution Plot in °F for Throat Geometry

STATION NO. 52 $x = -.303$ $x/WT = -.360$
 NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 88
 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HEAT IN AND HEAT OUT = .00241 PERCENT

HEAT INFLUX = 58.906

11	609	606	606	607	607
501	498	493	495	496	497
394	388	378	383	387	389
292	280	251	274	284	286
240	224	169			
192	173	106			
149	128	57			
112	89	18			
81	57	-14			
56	32	-41			
38	12	-64			
26	0	-87	-159	-191	-200
44	-56	-89	-105	-115	-119
60	-68	-86	-94	-106	-108

1. LAND WIDTH = .05532
2. CHANNEL WIDTH = .04000
3. WALL THICKNESS = .02700
4. CHANNEL DEPTH = .04000
5. CLOSEOUT THICKNESS = .04000
6. TAW = 8299. DEG. F
7. MG = .0103601
8. TC = -276. DEG. F
9. REFERENCE MC = .4132408
10. MC FACTOR FOR UPPER WALL = 1.0000
11. MC FACTOR FOR LOWER WALL = 1.0000
12. EXPONENT = .5500
- 13-14. K OF REGION 1 = .004875 + (-.1870E-06) * I
- 15-16. K OF REGION 2 = .004875 + (-.1870E-06) * I
- 17-18. K OF REGION 3 = .001340 + (-.1870E-05) * I
19. CONVERGENCE CRITERION = .0100 DEG. F
20. COATING THICKNESS = 0.000000
- 21-22. COATING K = 0. + (0.) * I




Figure 17. Rib and Wall Temperature Profile




Figure 18. Coolant Pressure (Total and Static) Profile




Figure 19. Wall Heat Flux Profile




Figure 20. Gas-Side Heat Transfer Film Coefficient Profile




Figure 21. Coolant Velocity Profile




Figure 22. Coolant Bulk Temperature Profile




Figure 23. Combustor Channel Width Profile

R/RD88-291

41

5471e




Figure 24. Combustor Channel Height Profile

An analysis of the combustor minimum life cycle was conducted to determine if the combustor design would meet structural requirements for creep and fatigue strain. This analysis indicates that the worst area occurs at $X = -0.170$ in., with a total life of 2429 cycles, (607 cycles with a factor of safety of 4.0). All other areas of the combustor were higher than this value. The combustor coolant wall channels were sized based on an iterative analytical process which balanced hot-gas wall cooling requirements with combustor wall thickness, channel aspect ratio and life cycles. The life evaluation was based on minimum material properties, wall thickness and hot-gas wall temperature which used standard structural analysis equations to calculate creep and fatigue life damage. These values were then divided by the predicted number of cycles to failure (in the case of fatigue), or hours to failure in the case of creep stress. This resulted in "damage fractions" for both fatigue and creep stress. The damage fractions were added together and multiplied times a factor of safety, 4.0. This safety factor meant that at a damage fraction of 1.0, or predicted failure of the part, the combustor would have accumulated only 25% total creep stress and fatigue strain. This gave a high degree of confidence that the combustor sized for the 7.5Klb thrust engine would meet the minimum life requirement of 500 cycles.

6.2.4 Nozzle Tube Design

Two nozzle configurations were evaluated: (1) The tubular nozzle and (2) the channel nozzle. The primary nozzle configuration considered was a tubular design, consisting of 240 tubes. The nozzle tubes would be fabricated from A286 Stainless Steel, the same material as currently used on the SSME flight nozzle. The coolant tubes are brazed together and brazed to a one piece structural jacket. Substantial experience in fabrication techniques has been gained from using this same method during the SSME program and will be directly applicable to the 7.5Klb regeneratively cooled nozzle. This will result in a smoother fabrication cycle and lower costs and lower fabrication time. The regeneratively cooled portion of the nozzle extends from an area ratio (ϵ) of 14, $X = 2.539$ in., to an ϵ of 427, $X = 32.515$ in. A 1-1/2 pass design (i.e., single downpass followed by a 1/2 up-pass flow) was selected for the coolant flow configuration (Figure 25). The thrust chamber nozzle profile is provided in Figure 26. The total coolant flow to the nozzle is 2.18 lb/sec., which exits from the combustor at 4730 psia and 864 deg. R.

The tube wall temperature distribution for both the hot gas side and the coolant side are presented in Figure 27. The maximum hot gas side wall temperature of 965 deg. F occurs at $X = 15.176$ inches. Figures 28 through 30 provide the coolant static and total pressure, heat flux, and gas side film coefficient, respectively, along the nozzle length. The coolant velocity and bulk temperature are given in Figures 31 and 32. Figure 33 shows the cross section of the nozzle tube and defines the inner radius and flat length dimensions. The inner radius and flat length profile of the tube is presented in Figures 34 and 35. The nozzle total heat into the coolant is 1736 Btu/sec. resulting in a coolant bulk outlet temperature of 1094°R. The coolant exits the nozzle at 4726 psia with a net pressure loss of 4 psi. Table 7 presents the metal temperature distribution plot at the maximum hot gas side wall temperature location.

The minimum predicted analytical life of 3317 cycles is located at a position of $X = 2.539$ in. (829 cycles with a factor of safety of 4.0). The life evaluation was based on minimum material properties, wall thickness and hot-gas wall temperature which used standard structural analysis equations to calculate creep and fatigue life damage. These values were then divided by the predicted number of cycles to failure (in the case of fatigue), or hours to failure (in the case of creep stress). This resulted in "damage fractions" for both fatigue and creep stress. These damage fractions were added together and multiplied times a factor of safety, 4.0. This safety factor meant that at a damage fraction of 1.0 (the predicted failure of the part), the combustor would have accumulated only 25% total creep stress and fatigue strain. This gave a high degree of confidence that the nozzle sized for the 7.5Klb thrust engine would meet the minimum life requirement of 500 cycles.




Figure 25. 1-1/2 Pass Nozzle Cooling Design




Figure 26. Nozzle Profile




Figure 27. Hot Gas Side and Coolant Side Wall Temperature Profile




Fig. 28 Constant Static and Total Pressure Profile




Figure 29. Nozzle Wall Heat Flux Profile




Figure 30. Gas Side Heat Transfer Film Coefficient




Figure 31. Coolant Velocity Profile




Figure 32. Coolant Bulk Total Temperature Profile




Figure 33. Nozzle Tube Cross Section




Figure 34. Tube Gas Side Radius Profile

QTV 7.5K NOZZLE, PC=1740.45, NR=6.0, E=14-440.1 1/2 PASS, TUBES

89/05/25
QOTV7NZ

10




Figure 35. Tube Flat Length


Table 7. Metal Temperature Distribution Plot
at Maximum Hot Gas Side Wall Temperature

QTV 7.5K NOZZLE, PC=1740.45, MR=6.0, E=14-44J, 1 1/2 PASS, TUBES

STATION NO. 16 X = 15.576 X/RT = 18.939

NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 42
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HEAT IN AND HEAT OUT = .02421 PERCENT

CROWN HEAT FLUX = .6598



1. OUTSIDE RADIUS = .12912
2. INSIDE RADIUS = .11913
3. COATING THICKNESS = .00000
4. FLAT LENGTH = .03299
5. TAW = 5722. DEG. F
6. MG = .00013872
- 7-11. HG FACTORS = .9953 .9395 .7807 .5431 .3349
12. RIPPLE FACTOR = 1.5707
13. TC = 543. DEG. F
14. REFERENCE MC = .0013665
15. EXPONENT = .5500
- 16-17. K OF TUBE = .0001514 * (.1500E-06) * T
- 18-19. K OF COATING = .0001514 * (.1500E-06) * T
20. CONVERGENCE CRITERION = .0100 DEG. F

6.2.5 Nozzle Channel Design

An alternate configuration considered for the regeneratively cooled portion of the nozzle was a channel design, consisting of 248 channels... As in the tubular design, the total coolant exiting the combustor section is 2.18 lb/s at 4730 psia and 864°R, was used to cool the nozzle. A 1-1/2 pass coolant flow configuration was selected for the channel design as was chosen for the tubular geometry. A single pass was not used as the channel geometry at the exit would have been large. The regeneratively cooled portion of the nozzle was again considered to be from an area ratio of 14 to an area ratio of 427, from $X = 2.539$ in. to $X = 32.515$ in. The nozzle coolant channels were designed to be fabricated from nickel-cobalt using an E-D process, and incorporate a Narloy-Z liner.

The nozzle temperature distribution for both the hot gas side and the coolant side is presented in Figure 36. Figures 37 through 39 provide the coolant static and total pressure, heat flux and gas side film coefficient, along the nozzle length. The coolant velocity and bulk temperature are given in Figures 40 and 41. The channel width and height are provided in Figures 42 and 43.

The analytical results for the channel design nozzle indicate that the peak hot gas side wall temperature (650°F) occurs at $X = 17.341$ in. At $X = 29.556$ in., the minimum life (2039 cycles) is realized. The total heat into the coolant is 1611 Btu/s, yielding a coolant bulk outlet temperature of 1077°R. The pressure exiting the nozzle is 4691 psia for a pressure drop of 39 psi. Table 8 presents the temperature plot at the maximum hot gas side wall temperature location.

The tubular nozzle has the advantage over the channel nozzle in that the coolant pressure losses are lower, the total heat into the coolant is greater (desirable for an expander cycle engine), and the minimum life is increased. The disadvantage of a tubular nozzle configuration are a higher hot gas side peak operating temperature. Both configurations provide workable designs, however, the tubular design is the priority design choice for the preliminary design.




Figure 36. Nozzle Channel Wall Temperature Profile




Figure 37. Coolant Static and Total Pressure Profile




Figure 38. Channel Wall Nozzle Heat Flux Profile




Table 39. Channel Wall Nozzle Gas Side Heat Transfer Coefficient




Figure 40. Coolant Velocity Profile for Channel Wall Nozzle




Figure 41. Coolant Bulk Temperature Profile for Channel Wall Nozzle




Figure 42. Coolant Channel Width for Nozzle




Figure 43. Coolant Channel Height for Nozzle

Table 8. Temperature Distribution Plot
in Nozzle Channel Wall

OTV 7.5K NOZZLE, PC=1740.45, MH-6, IC2-20, IN 4, 1 1/2 PASS, NAHIV, CHANNEL

STATION NO. 20 X = 17.341 X/HI = 21.086

NUMBER OF ITERATIONS = 60
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HEAT IN AND HEAT OUT = .31494 PERCENT

HEAT INFLUX = .620
2-D/1-D Q/A = 1.00000

649	649	649	650	650	650
648	648	648	648	649	649
646	646	647	647	648	648
645	645	645	646	647	647
643	643	642			
641	641	640			
639	639	639			
638	638	637			
637	637	636			
636	636	635			
636	636	634			
635	635	634	628	628	625
634	633	632	630	629	628
633	632	631	630	629	629

Temperatures in °F

1. LAND WIDTH = .07335
2. CHANNEL WIDTH = .07500
3. WALL THICKNESS = .03100
4. CHANNEL DEPTH = .10000
5. CLOSEOUT THICKNESS = .04000
6. TAW = 6712. DEG. F
7. MG = .0001225
8. TC = 617. DEG. F
9. REFERENCE MC = .0132287
10. MC FACTOR FOR UPPER WALL = 1.0000
11. MC FACTOR FOR LOWER WALL = 1.0000
12. EXPONENT = .3500
- 13-14. K OF REGION 1 = .004875 * (-.1870E-06) * T
- 15-16. K OF REGION 2 = .004875 * (-.1870E-06) * T
- 17-18. K OF REGION 3 = .001340 * (-.1390E-05) * T
19. CONVERGENCE CRITERION = .0100 DEG. F
20. COATING THICKNESS = .000000
- 21-22. COATING K = .0000E+00 * (.0000E+00) * T

Remaining issues for the thrust chamber and nozzle are determining the need for acoustic cavity stability aids, evaluating nozzle tubes versus channels (coolant approach between tubes and channels), and determining the minimum radiative nozzle wall thickness.

6.3 Turbomachinery Analysis

The turbomachinery analysis includes the high-pressure fuel turbopump (HPFTP), the high-pressure oxidizer turbopump (HPOTP), the low-pressure fuel turbopump (LPFTP), and the low-pressure oxidizer turbopump (LPOTP). The HPFTP analysis effort was conducted under Task B.7. The HPFTP final report is incorporated into the Task D.5 final report for completeness in describing the OTV Engine Preliminary Design.

The depth of analysis has been sufficient to identify the design and off-design performance of the HPFTP. Design and analysis of the boost pumps (LPFTP and LPOTP) and HPOTP have been conducted to assure the validity of the turbomachinery relationship to the engine system.

The technology requirements of the high-speed fuel turbopump are key to the success of the Orbit Transfer Vehicle Engine(OTVE)program. The high-speed fuel turbopump capability dictates the engine performance and configuration to a great degree and the basic operating parameters must be correct to optimize the OTVE design. A high-speed design has been selected maximizing specific speed (N_s) for high efficiency and minimizing size for low weight. Three major issues have been identified and were addressed in the preliminary design studies. These are:

1. The high-speed requirement of the turbopump is well beyond the range of operation of conventional ball bearings.
2. This high speed also exceeds the range of current rotordynamic analysis experience on conventional turbopumps.
3. At this small turbopump size, the performance of the turbomachinery is impacted by the clearances and leakage losses inherent across seals and bearings.

6.3.1 High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump

The objectives were to complete a preliminary design of a liquid hydrogen turbopump for operation over the wide range of conditions corresponding to the established engine operating parameters (Table 9). Selected turbopump components were initially to be utilized in a rotordynamic simulator to characterize the turbopump dynamic behavior at high speed. Scope changes have eliminated the rotordynamic simulator objective and restricted the effort to the sizing and analysis currently accomplished.

6.3.1.1 Turbomachinery Design Requirements - The engine requirements and goals, presented in Table 9, impose definite requirements for the turbomachinery. The selection of the expander engine cycle dictates that the pump turbines be in series with the combustion chamber, thus limiting the available turbine pressure ratio. Also, in this cycle, turbine temperature is limited by the energy available in the engine coolant, hydrogen, which is used to drive the turbines.

On the pump side, the required fuel pump discharge pressure is established by the combustion chamber injection pressure plus the turbine pressure ratio and any line and valve pressure drops. The fuel pump must be designed to meet all of the pressure drops with an appropriate discharge pressure. Similarly, the required oxidizer pump discharge pressure is established by the combustion chamber injection pressure and line and valve pressure drops. These requirements result in relatively high discharge pressures. Propellant flow requirements are dictated by the engine thrust level, throttling requirements, and mixture ratio.

The final design of a turbopump is the result of a series of compromises between conflicting design objectives. These design objectives, approaches to solutions, and compromises are discussed in their respective technical sections.

Table 9. Orbit Transfer Propulsion Requirements and Goals

Requirements	
Propellants—fuel, oxidizer	Hydrogen, oxygen
Vacuum thrust	Design point engine thrust level is to be based on an orbit transfer vehicle total vacuum thrust level of 15,000 lbf with a minimum of two engines
Engine mixture ratio	Design point engine mixture ratio (O/F) will be 6.0 with capability for operation in the O/F range from 5.0 to 7.0
Propellant inlet conditions	Design point hydrogen and oxygen inlet temperatures will be 37.8°R and 162.7°R, respectively. Design point net positive suction head (NPSH) at full thrust will be 15 ft-lbf/lbm at the hydrogen pump inlet and 2 ft-lbf/lbm at the oxygen pump inlet
Design criteria	The engine is to be man-rated The engine must be compatible with aero-assist return of the vehicle to low earth orbit The engine must be capable of being space based
Gimbal	Engine gimbal requirements are +20 deg in the pitch and yaw planes
Start cycle	Engine start to full thrust is to be accomplished using tank-head-idle and pumped-idle operating modes as shown below: • Tank-head-idle: Propellants are supplied from the vehicle tanks at saturated conditions. This mode of operation is intended to settle propellants and thermally condition the engine. • Pumped-idle: Propellants are supplied initially at saturated conditions. Pumps operate at a power level sufficient to provide autogeneous pressurization of the vehicle propellant tanks to pump inlet design point NPSH levels. • Autogeneous pressurization continues during acceleration to and at full thrust.
Goals	
Vacuum-specific impulse	490 s
Vacuum thrust throttling ratio	10:1
Weight	360 lbm (maximum total weight of main propulsion system engines)
Length (stowed)	T80
Service life between overhauls	500 starts/20-h operation
Service free life	100 starts/4-h operation

6.3.1.2 Preliminary HPFTP Design and Sizing Analysis - A liquid hydrogen pump was sized to deliver 2.209 lb/s, raising the pressure from an inlet pressure of 63.82 psia to an outlet pressure of 5639.4 psia. The turbopump configuration, shown in Figure 44, is a four-stage centrifugal pump which rotates at 208,200 rpm and has an inducer with a kicker blade row in front of the first-stage impeller and a balance piston behind the fourth-stage impeller to balance axial thrust during steady-state operation. The materials selected for the HPFTP are shown in Figure 44A.

The rotor is supported by two hydrostatic bearings which are externally fed with pressurized LH₂ from the second-stage impeller discharge for the pump end bearing and from the discharge volute for the turbine end bearing. A ball bearing which has a loose fit on the shaft reacts transient axial thrust. The four impellers are identical to reduce manufacturing costs. The kicker blade row is shrouded and acts as a bearing journal for the hydrostatic bearing. A bearing outboard of the first-stage impeller was necessary to ensure rotordynamic stability, and bearing stiffness is maximized by using the larger diameter of a shroud on an axial blade row for the journal rather than the shaft. The inducer was not used for the bearing journal, as the hydrodynamic design of the blades would be severely compromised to provide sufficient back-up stiffness for the bearing, and this together with the bearing flow being returned to the inducer inlet would have resulted in an unacceptable reduction in suction performance. For these reasons, a shrouded kicker blade row was placed between the inducer and first impeller to act as the bearing journal. The kicker blade row is very lightly loaded hydrodynamically, meaning little turning of the blades, so that the radial stiffness can be maximized without degrading pump performance.

6.3.1.3 Selection of Number of Stages and Impeller Diameter - In general, the rotational speed of a turbopump is increased within the constraints of being able to achieve the required inducer suction performance. This allows the specific speed to be increased, along with efficiency, and the envelope and weight of the turbopump to be decreased. In this case, however,




Figure 44. OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump (HPFTP)




Figure 44A. GTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Materials

the inlet pressure provided by the boost pump provides adequate NPSH margin, as will be shown later, and the design point rotational speed is 208,200 rpm as set by the turbine disk stress limits at the off-design speed of 227,560 rpm. At this rotational speed, the number of stages required and the impeller diameter were selected to provide adequate margin for structural integrity and performance.

Structural analysis of impellers of similar size and design (three-stage Mark 49 OTVE HPFTP) indicated that the impeller tip speed should be less than 1,870 fps to provide margin on burst speed for a cast titanium impeller. This pump is required to operate at a 9.3% overspeed condition point 2 (Figure 9) for propellant usage at mixture ratio 5. The impeller tip speed was set at 1870 ft/s at the off-design point 2, resulting in a tip speed of 1710 ft/s at the design point. To reduce development risk, the stage head coefficient was kept below 0.55 based on Rocketdyne's experience for successful hydrogen impeller designs. Figure 45 shows that a four-stage pump is required to satisfy these criteria. Figure 46 shows that the resulting tip diameter is 1.88 in. and Figure 47 shows that the stage specific speed is 1095, which is well within current design experience.

Since the pump is small, the impeller discharge tip width (the axial length of the flow passage at the impeller discharge) was checked to ensure manufacturing feasibility. The tip width was calculated assuming a 0.1 discharge flow coefficient and accounting for blade and boundary layer blockage. Figure 48 shows how the impeller tip width varies with impeller tip diameter. The tip width is 0.088 in. for a diameter of 1.88 in., which is within current casting capabilities.

6.3.1.4 Performance Calculations - Performance predictions for multistage pumps are performed in two steps. First the stage performance is predicted using empirical loss isolation techniques. This involves calculating the magnitudes of the various loss mechanisms (e.g., skin friction, diffusion, tip clearance) using empirical correlations and summing these to determine stage efficiency. This provides performance characteristics of the stage in terms of




Figure 45. Head Coefficient versus Impeller Tip Speed




Figure 46. Impeller Tip Speed versus Impeller Tip Diameter

MAIN FUEL PUMP FOR 7.5KilbOTV ENGINE




Figure 47. Stage-Specific Speed versus Shaft Speed





Figure 48. Impeller Tip Width versus Impeller Tip Diameter

head coefficient versus flow coefficient and efficiency versus flow coefficient. This information is then used in a thermodynamic model of the complete pump. This model calculates the magnitude of the secondary flows, bearing flows, etc. (Figure 49) and the thermodynamic states at all points in the pump. It calculates the overall pump efficiency, which is lower than the stage efficiency, including the stage-to-stage variations of the recirculation flows and the thermodynamic effects caused by the compressibility of hydrogen.

The required flow rate, and inlet and discharge pressures for the design point were taken from the engine balance and are listed in Table 10. Pump speed, and inducer and impeller geometries are also listed in Table 10. The performance calculations are based on soft seal technology which would keep the radial clearances of the interstage seals and the impeller wear ring seals ≤ 0.001 in. The performance prediction also includes hydrostatic bearing flow rates calculated by Rocketdyne's bearing design program, assuming an operating radial clearance of 0.00125 in.

The stage performance characteristics are shown in Figures 50 and 51. The stage efficiency for this OTV fuel pump is 65% and was anchored using the three-stage MK-49 fuel pump test data corrected to the present OTV pump size and specific speed. The Centrifugal Pump Loss Program includes a stall model which predicts the operating point at which stall occurs and the resulting performance degradation. Figures 50 and 51 show the predicted performance with and without this calculation. The pump performance map used in the preliminary system analysis is shown in Figure 9. Optimization of the impeller designs resulted in a diffusion ratio of 3.5 in the crossovers which is well within



UNITS: LB/SEC
 SPEED = 208200 RPM
 HYDROSTATIC BEARING GAP = 0.00125 INCH

Figure 49. HPFTP Flow Schematic at Design Speed

Table 10. HPFTP Operating Characteristics

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Value</u>
Shaft Speed	RPM	208,200
Power	hp	1083
Bearing Dn	10 ⁶ mm-rpm	--
Flowrate	lbm/S	2.209
Inlet Temperature	°R	37.8
Pressure In	psia	63.82
Outlet Temperature	°R	115
Pressure Out	psia	5639.4
Headrise (Total)	ft.	161,575
Number of Stages		4
Stage Specific Speed, Ns		1,095
Stage Efficiency	%	65
Overall Efficiency	%	60
Inducer		
Blade Number		4
Tip Diameter	in.	0.93
Inlet Hub Diameter	in.	0.28
Discharge Hub Diameter	in.	0.55
Inlet Flow Coefficient		0.143
Head Coefficient		0.19
Operating Suction Specific Speed		11,500
Impeller		
Blade Number (Full & Partial)		4+4
Eye Diameter	in.	1.0
Inlet Hub Diameter	in.	0.55
Tip Diameter	in.	1.88
Tip Width	in.	0.088
Tip Speed	fps.	1710




Figure 50. Stage Headrise Versus Flow Rate




Figure 51. Stage Efficiency Versus Flow Rate

current design experience. The results of the thermodynamic model at the design point are shown in Figure 49. The overall isentropic efficiency for the four-stage OTV fuel pump was calculated to be 60% using this model. It was not possible, within the scope of this program, to run the thermodynamic model at the off design points and generate an overall pump performance map. Overall pump performance can be predicted to first order accuracy, however, by using the stage maps presented in Figure 50 and 51 anchored to the overall pump head and efficiency at the design point from Figure 9.

6.3.1.5 Suction Performance - At the design point, the inducer inlet flow coefficient is 0.1445. The NPSH capability at the design speed of 208,200 rpm and design flow rate of 225 gpm in hydrogen is calculated to be 270 ft. The available design point NPSH is 1500 ft based on the 63.82-psia inlet pressure given in the engine balance. The NPSH margin at the design point is therefore 456%.

6.3.1.6 Off-Design Operating Points - According to the pump performance map (Figure 9), the pump is required to operate at some off-design points (points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). The two extreme points (point 2 and point 6) represent the highest and lowest Q/N (where Q is flowrate in GPM and N is rotating speed in RPM) points and will be discussed in more detail. The $H-Q$ (H is pump head in feet) and $\eta-Q$ (η is stage efficiency in %) curves as shown in Figures 50 and 51 represent the nondimensional head and stage efficiency over a wide range of nondimensional flows. The extreme off-design points are marked on these curves. The actual efficiencies will be slightly lower for point 2 and higher for point 6 due to compressibility effects in liquid hydrogen. For most of the off-design points, the nondimensional flow (Q/N) is less than 50% of the current design value. As shown in the nondimensional $H-Q$ curves (Figure 50) these points will all potentially operate with some elements of the pump stalled. There are several ways to delay or reduce the severity of these stall characteristics such as (1) increasing the design point Q/N to delay stall; (2) designing a blunt blade leading edge to reduce the sensitivity to stall; and (3) increasing the recirculation flow which increases the component Q/N and delays stall. Addressing these stall characteristics requires more analysis than was possible under the scope of the current study.

A major concern when operating at off-design points, where the pump efficiency is low, is hydrogen boil-off in the pumping process. Figure 52 is a hydrogen T-S diagram showing the two-phase flow boundary. The OTV fuel main pump inlet condition, the first-stage discharge and overall pump discharge for both design and off-design operating point 6 are shown on the diagram. As can be seen, at off-design operating point 6 the thermodynamic state comes closest to the two-phase dome but there is still sufficient margin for boil-off not to be a concern.

In a multistage machine, the majority of the radial load on the impellers is generated at the final stage where the potential of a nonuniform discharge pressure distribution exists because of the volute discharge configuration. The nondimensional radial load at off-design points is much higher than at the design point due to the mismatched area distribution of the volute. The radial load at point 6 (Figure 9) was calculated and, despite having a nondimensional value about four times that of design, was found to be only about 25% of the design point radial load because of the much lower operating speed. However, at point 2 the flow rate is 16% higher than design flow. The nondimensional radial load at point 2 is about 4 times higher than at the design point; the actual radial load is almost 5 times higher than at the design point because point 2 is operating at 9.3% higher speed. The radial load at off-design point 2 can be reduced if the volute is sized for a higher flow (for example, 10% higher than design flow). Another way to reduce the radial load is to use a "double-tongue" volute to improve the uniformity of circumferential pressure distribution.

At off-design conditions, the suction performance capability is also reduced. The point of most concern is the off-design point 2 where the flow is above the design flow and is about 1.065 times the design Q/N . Based on Rocketdyne data for similar inducers, the suction specific speed capability will be reduced by 6.5%. This means that the NPSH capability in hydrogen will be 411 ft. The available point 2 NPSH is calculated to be 1183 ft, thus providing an adequate NPSH margin of 188%.




Figure 52. Hydrogen Temperature--Entropy Diagram

Another concern when operating far from the design point, especially when there is the potential for stall, is the increased level of dynamic loads which can cause rotordynamic instabilities and reduce component life due to fatigue. The operating speeds at the low Q/N off-design point, however, are much lower than at the design point and this, coupled with the low density of hydrogen significantly reduces the magnitude and potential damage of the dynamic loads. This combined with the positive results from the boil-off, radial load and suction performance analyses, indicates that operation at the off-design points is feasible providing the head and efficiency of the pump is adequate for the overall engine system.

6.3.1.7 Axial Thrust - The axial thrust calculation was based on the four-stage turbopump configuration shown in Figure 44. The calculation was performed to assess the balancing thrust required from the balance piston.

Static pressure levels at the impeller discharges were taken from the thermodynamic model. The pumping factor "K" defines the strength of the forced vortices in the impeller shroud cavities as a ratio of the fluid rotational velocity to the pump rotational speed. It is used to calculate the pressure distributions, and thus axial thrusts, on the impeller shrouds. These "K" factors were estimated to be 0.62 for the impeller front shrouds and 0.4 for the rear shrouds based on experimental data on rotating disks and CFD analysis performed to support the MK-49F three-stage OTVE fuel turbopump. Based on the above K-factors and including the fluid momentum forces, the pump axial thrust (without balance piston and without turbine) was calculated at different speeds and is shown in Figure 53. It has been estimated that the turbine axial thrust toward the pump is about 1,000 lb at design speed, and therefore the required balance piston thrust is about 8,000 lb. It was also calculated that the maximum balance piston capability, with the high-pressure orifice fully open, is about 11,000 lb and the minimum balance piston capability, with the high-pressure orifice fully closed, is about 6,800 lb. This preliminary analysis shows that the design point axial thrust is within the balance piston capability,




Figure 53. Pump Axial Thrust versus Shaft Speed

however, this capability is sensitive to the assumed pumping factors and the transient axial thrust balance must still be addressed. More detailed analysis should be performed if the design is continued any further.

6.3.1.8 OTVE HPFTP Turbine Analysis - The preliminary turbine analysis and sizing was conducted as described below. The status of this analysis is such that additional effort will be required to confirm assumptions made and fine tune selections made for final detail design.

6.3.1.9 HPFTP Turbine - The successful experience with the Mark 49F turbine, which operated under similar conditions, guided the selection of this turbopump turbine design. The Mark 49F turbine was a two-stage partial-admission design and achieved an operating efficiency 5 points higher than the design prediction during laboratory tests. Two stages were also chosen for this turbine to optimize efficiency. A single-stage design would have been simpler and of somewhat less weight but would have been over 5 points less in efficiency with resultant penalties to the engine performance. A high loading coefficient of over 2.4 directs the selection of a pressure-compounded impulse-type turbine. The maximum operating speed occurs at off-design (point 2) and a speed limit of 1,600 fps for the pitchline velocity was set for this point to maintain acceptable stress limits in the disk. The resulting operating pitch line velocity at the design point is 1,466 fps. Using this velocity, a trade-off design study was made to evaluate the optimum rotational speed and diameter. Two speeds were examined: 240K and 208.2K rpm. For this turbopump, the higher rpm would actually be more efficient for the pump but less efficient for the turbine. The speed of 208.2K rpm was selected because it gave a 2-point efficiency advantage even though it results in a partial rather than a full admission turbine. At speeds lower than 208.2K, both the pump and the turbine efficiency will degrade; therefore, lower rpm was not considered. With a speed of 208.2K rpm, the required pitchline diameter is 1.614 in. At higher speeds, this

diameter must be smaller and all key dimensions of the rotor blades must be smaller. The lower efficiency at the higher speed is partially due to the inability to scale some of the design parameters with the small diameter. Two of the driving parameters are:

1. This turbine size will require very thin blades. The estimated trailing edge thickness necessary is about 0.008 in., which is judged to be the minimum for manufacturing and durability purposes. This thickness corresponds to a channel blockage of about 12%, which is considered to be the limit for most turbine designs because higher blockages will significantly degrade efficiency.
2. The throat between two blades is close to 0.050 in., which is not the manufacturing limit; however, if a typical 0.002-in. tolerance on either side of the blade profile is assumed, the throat area could change by 8%. This error in the throat is considered to be the limiting value due to its effect on performance.

Unshrouded blades were chosen for ease of manufacturing; however, to achieve good efficiency, a maximum running tip clearance of 0.005 in. was assumed. The characteristic parameters of the turbine are listed in Table 11. Figure 54 shows the velocity diagram for the two stages at the design point. The exit absolute velocity from each stage is essentially axial which optimizes the efficiency. The blading will be designed to achieve impulse conditions (zero rotor Δp) at the hub to minimize axial thrust of the turbine. This also is favorable for efficiency. Figures 55, 56, and 57 present the key performance curves for the turbine. Figure 55 shows the efficiency as a function of pressure ratio for various speed parameters. Figure 56 shows the corrected mass flow for the same independent parameters. Figure 57 shows the efficiency as a function of the velocity ratio (U/C_o) for various pressure ratios. From these curves, both the design point and off-design performance can be assessed. As noted in Figure 57, the efficiency is near the peak.

Table 11. HPFTP Turbine Parameters

Power, hp	1086.
Speed, rpm	208,200
Turbine type	2RPC
Mean diameter, in.	1.614
Pressure ratio	1.73
Inlet temperature, °R	1146.
Inlet pressure, psia	4105.
Admission, %	47./53.
Flow, lbm/s	2.013
Blade speed (based on mean diameter), ft/s	1466.
Efficiency T-S (Total Inlet to Static Outlet)	0.617
Velocity ratio	0.269
First nozzle/rotating blade height, in.	0.205/0.213
Second nozzle/rotating blade height, in.	0.226/0.236
First nozzle/rotating blade width, in.	0.250/0.240
Second nozzle/rotating blade width, in.	0.250/0.240
Axial gap between nozzle and rotor, in.	Max. 0.030
Axial gap between first and second stage, in.	0.200
Running tip clearance, in.	Max. 0.005
Blade TE thickness, in.	Max. 0.008

6.3.1.10 Bearing Selection - Bearings for small, high-speed turbopumps have been reviewed in a parallel program--the OTVE High-Speed Turbopump Bearing task. This effort is summarized here.

The speed of the small OTVE HPFTP (208,200 rpm) exceeds the capabilities of propellant cooled rolling element bearings for long service life. The predicted life of these rolling element bearings (5 hr) falls short of the engine life requirements (20 hr). The ever increasing turbopump shaft speeds coupled with objectives of increased component life continue to amplify the need for




Figure 54. OTVE HPFTP Turbine Velocity Diagram

OVERALL EFFICIENCY VERSUS PRESSURE RATIO OTV HPFTP PERFORMANCE MAP




Figure 55. Overall Efficiency Versus Pressure Ratio

CORRECTED MASS FLOW VERSUS PRESSURE RATIO OTV HPFTP PERFORMANCE MAP




Figure 56. Corrected Mass Flow Versus Pressure Ratio




Figure 57. Overall Efficiency Versus Velocity Ratio

control of the shaft rotordynamics and improved methods of supporting the shaft during operation including start-up and shutdown. Hybrid hydrostatic/ball bearing applications have been studied extensively for larger turbopump designs over the past several years with the objective of developing a bearing design that would accomplish these objectives. Although bearings of this type have been used in other applications, their use in high-speed turbopumps with their severe and hazardous environments is relatively new. Major accomplishments have been achieved in this field since the late 1970s in both analytical procedures and empirical demonstration of various hybrid and hydrostatic components. The effort has resulted in an understanding of the potential design configurations available for various applications. This, coupled with dynamic analysis application studies, has established the limits and applicability of the many configuration options available with hybrid hydrostatic/ball bearings.

Hybrid bearing configurations offer improved life, up to 50 hours; however, the hybrid configurations have larger turbopump cross sectional requirements in both the radial and axial directions. This space requirement makes them less suitable for use in the small diameter OTVE high-speed fuel turbopump. Additionally, the rolling element bearing in the combination will continue to rotate and generate parasitic losses.

The use of a hydrostatic bearing alone is the next potential design configuration. Hydrostatic bearings have very high-speed capability, but may wear during start/stop transients. Additionally, the hydrostatic bearing flow penalties will affect the efficiency of the turbopump. The parallel OTVE Hydrostatic Bearing Tester program explores the feasibility of using a hydrostatic bearing and identifying the means of obtaining adequate wear life by use of wear-resistant hydrostatic bearing materials.

Progress on the OTVE Hydrostatic Bearing Tester has demonstrated the need for the careful selection of hydrostatic bearing materials that are compatible with the housing material. The difference in thermal expansions of the various candidate bearing materials and the associated housing material must be

considered when designing a mounting system for the hydrostatic bearings. The stresses caused by the interference fits with differences in thermal expansion rates coupled with the stresses caused by the hydrostatic interdiametral fluid supply pressure eliminates many bearing materials from consideration. Efforts continue in this area to arrive at a material/design configuration that can be successfully mounted in a small turbopump.

Early on in the OTVE High-Speed Turbopump Bearing task, a review was made of foil bearings. This review resulted in the listing of all of the foil bearing types, their characteristics, and the applications that were currently available.

The foil bearing alternative has not been pursued for incorporation into the HPFTP because of the lack of information about their properties in OTVE propellants. Turbopump rotordynamic analysis requires a complete understanding of the stiffness and damping characteristics of the shaft supporting bearings and their load-carrying capability.

These stiffness and damping characteristics are not available for foil bearings in cryogenics.

6.3.1.11 Transient Axial Thrust Control - During steady-state operation of the HPFTP, shaft axial thrust is reacted and controlled by the built-in balance piston located on the back face of the fourth-stage impeller. This device requires adequate pump discharge pressure to be able to function. Consequently, during start and shutdown transients, axial thrust must be reacted by another device. Before start-up, the rotating assembly is positioned radially and axially in a gravity free environment with little contact being made with physical restraints. At start-up and tank-head idle, liquid hydrogen, at available tank pressure, will be introduced into the turbomachinery. This will cause the pumps to rotate and impose an axial load on the shaft. In addition, the pumped idle mode and shutdown conditions of operation will impose transient axial loads.

The magnitude and direction of these axial loads are difficult to predict, however, some assumptions can be made and devices that are capable of reacting these loads can be put in place.

Some concepts to address this need include hybrid bearings, impeller axial hydrostatic bearings, combined axial and radial hydrostatic bearings, an additional pressurized balance piston, rolling element bearings, rub rings, Rayleigh and/or spiral groove bearings, magnetic bearings, and foil bearings. Of the concepts listed, the rolling element bearing was chosen to react transient axial load and provide long life. The bearing inner ring does not touch the shaft either radially or axially during normal operating conditions as shown in Figure 44. This bearing would only be engaged at shaft maximum axial excursions in either direction until the balance piston assumes shaft axial control or the shaft reaches zero speed and load. The bearing outer ring is split axially and both halves are loaded by springs to provide bearing pre-load, thus minimizing and compensating for bearing wear.

6.3.1.12 Seal Selection - Seal selection for the HPFTP is driven by the need for reduced seal radial clearance to minimize flow losses. This is critical in small pumps in that seal clearances do not scale down and the flow losses due to these seal clearances become a larger percentage of total flow. Soft seals have been selected for the internal pump seals which are the impeller front shroud and impeller interstage seals which can be seen in Figure 44. Soft seals are intended to tolerate the rubbing that is expected between the impeller surfaces and the seal surfaces due to the small radial clearances. The soft seals may be made of polymeric materials such as teflon, Kel-f, or VPS 211. These seals are also utilized as rotordynamic aids in that they have inherent damping qualities and the seal length is tailored by rotordynamic requirements. These seals are being evaluated by OTVE Soft Seal Technology Program Task B-5.

The purged labyrinth seal between the turbine end hydrostatic bearing and turbine section is designed to provide a barrier to seal off turbine gases from the pump section.

The turbine interstage seal is a typical honeycomb seal that limits leakage around the second-stage nozzle and also provides rotordynamic damping.

6.3.1.13 OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Rotordynamic Analysis - The original finite element model is presented in Appendix B along with all design iterations. The analyses consist of linear damped critical speed and stability calculations. Preliminary analyses have been performed on the evolving cross sections as described in Appendix B. Rotordynamic solutions have been achieved by making modifications to these cross sections; however, more detailed analyses are required on the finalized design to confirm all required margins. Additionally, as the detail design progresses to define housing stiffness, response calculations can be made to specify bearing loads, rotor displacements, and housing accelerations.

Several design iterations of the 7,500 lbf OTV engine HPFTP have been analyzed for critical speeds and rotordynamic stability. In all, five different rotor cross sections were analyzed in combination with numerous different seal and bearing configurations. The end results of each analysis are maps of mode natural frequency versus running speed and modal damping (log dec) versus running speed. The natural frequency maps show what the frequency of each mode is at any given shaft speed. A critical speed is indicated where precession mode crosses the linear shaft speed line.

In virtually all cases studied, the rotor has one critical speed below the quoted operating range of 50,000 to 210,000 rpm, and one critical speed in the operating range in the vicinity of 60,000 to 80,000 rpm. In general for the configurations analyzed, the mode which is in the operating range is too lightly damped to permit continuous operation at that speed. The stability of these two

modes is also cause for some concern. The lower frequency first mode is predicted to be stable, but its frequency at max power level is well below our current design limit of 50% of shaft speed. Experience dictates that violating this criteria increases the likelihood that the mode may be unstable in spite of model predictions. Also, the higher frequency second mode, in certain configurations, is predicted to be only very marginally stable. None of the configurations analyzed to date are 100% acceptable from a rotordynamic standpoint. To achieve an acceptable design with our current design criteria will require more shaft and/or seal stiffness to raise the frequency of the first mode, and more damping to permit having the second critical speed in the operating range.

6.3.1.14 HPFTP Remaining Issues - Remaining issues and concerns for the HPFTP are listed below:

1. Additional hydrodynamic and aerodynamic effort is recommended to verify assumptions and further define off-design operating conditions. Analysis/design iterations should continue until a final detail design layout is complete.
2. Additional rotordynamic effort and analysis is recommended and includes a further reduction in the turbine overhang and the introduction of damping, possibly by foil bearing, at the turbine interstage seal.
3. One of the priority criteria for the selection of the hydrostatic bearing material used in the turbopump is the ability to mount the bearing in the turbopump. Bearing materials such as P5N carbon possess thermal expansion coefficients that are far different from the coefficients of typical housing materials. This difference gives rise to concerns about diametral fits at ambient and cryogenic conditions and developed stresses either at ambient or cryogenic conditions. Compatible material combinations need to be carefully scrutinized.
4. The axial thrust control device shown in the turbopump cross section has yet to be demonstrated. While this seems the best configuration at this time, other candidate designs, such as combined axial and radial hydrostatic bearings, may also prove successful.

5. Isolation of the turbine bearing package from the turbine thermal source could be improved.
6. A final detail design layout will require additional structures and materials, analysis, and design.

6.3.2 High-Pressure Oxidizer Turbopump

The high-pressure oxidizer turbopump (HPOTP) employs a single stage centrifugal impeller preceded by an inducer. The turbine is a partial admission single stage impulse type. Turbopump speed for the expander cycle operation has been calculated at approximately 75,000 rpm. Figures 58 and 59 present the turbopump layouts showing (1) principal dimensions and (2) hardware and materials identification. Tables 12 and 13 list the pertinent parameters for the pump and turbine.




Figure 58. OTVE High-Pressure LO2 Turbopump (HPOTP)




Figure 59. OTVE High-Pressure LO₂ Turbopump Candidate Materials

Table 12. HPOTP Operating Characteristics

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Value</u>
Shaft speed	RPM	75,084
Power	hp	254
Bearing DN	10^6 mm-rpm	1.1
Flowrate	lbm/s	13.26
Inlet Temperature	°R	163
Pressure in	psia	71
Outlet temperature	°R	179
Pressure out	psia	3,094
Headrise (total)	ft	6,120
Number of stages		1
Stage-specific speed, Ns		992
Stage Efficiency	%	-
Overall Efficiency	%	58
Inducer		
Blade Number		4
Tip Diameter	in.	1.0
Inlet Hub Diameter	in.	0.28
Discharge Hub Diameter	in.	0.55
Inlet Flow Coefficient		0.143
Hero Coefficient		0.19
Operating Suction Specific Speed		11,500
Impeller		
Blade # (full & partial)		4+4
Eye diameter	in.	1.0
Inlet Hub Diameter	in.	0.55
Tip Diameter	in.	1.88
Tip Width	in.	0.088
Tip Speed	fps	1710

Pump end bearing coolant and balance piston flow passages have been integrated into the volute housing. Liquid oxygen flows from the LOX volute to the turbine side of the pump bearings, through the bearings and into the balance piston cavity for recycling into the pump main stream. The turbine bearing coolant is liquid hydrogen which is supplied from the high pressure fuel turbopump to the port on the turbine housing. Bearing flow proceeds through the bearing, through a controlled gap shaft riding seal and into the turbine cavity. Front and rear bearings are 15 millimeter angular contact duplex sets running at a DN value of approximately 1.1×10^6 mm-rpm.

Table 13. HPOTP Turbine Parameters

Power, hp	258.
Speed, rpm	75,084
turbine type	1RI
Mean diameter, in.	3.700
Pressure ratio	1.16
Inlet temperature, °R	1044.
Inlet pressure, psia	2355.
Admission, %	45.
Flow, lbm/s	2.096
Blade speed (based on mean diameter), ft/s	1212.
Efficiency T-S (total inlet to static outlet)	0.55
Velocity ratio	0.431
Nozzle/rotating blade height, in.	0.297/0.331
Nozzle/rotating blade width, in.	0.280/0.300
Axial gap between nozzle and rotor, in.	Max. 0.030
Running tip clearance, in.	Max. 0.010
Blade TE thickness, in.	Max. 0.008

6.3.2.1 HPOTP Pump Performance - The high-pressure oxidizer pump is required to operate with a design flow of 13.26 lbm/s and a discharge pressure of at least 3,094 psia. The inlet flow to the pump is provided by the discharge flow from the low-pressure oxidizer pump. Sufficient NPSH is provided that cavitation problems are not expected on the inducer in the high-pressure pump. An inducer is incorporated to prevent cavitation on the impeller.

Pump efficiency is maximized and geometric dimensions of the pump are kept as small as possible to conserve weight. Because of the high head rise and relatively low flow, yielding a specific speed (N_s) of 992, a centrifugal impeller was selected as the pumping element.

6.3.2.2 HPOTP Turbine - The design of this turbine is not limited by pitch line velocity because the LOX pump speed is relatively low. Maximizing the pitch line velocity by increasing the diameter is always beneficial for performance. However, the relative size between the impeller and turbine was also taken into consideration so that both can fit compactly into the same envelope. Given a pump impeller diameter of 2.019 in., it was judged that a 3.7-in. turbine wheel diameter would be a good selection. An impulse turbine was chosen because of a low-velocity ratio and partial admission. Unshrouded blading was also recommended for this design for the same reason as that for the HPFTP turbine. The main turbine parameters are presented in Table 13.

6.3.3 Low-Pressure Fuel Boost Pump

The low-pressure fuel boost pump consists of an axial flow single-stage inducer driven by a partial admission gaseous hydrogen impulse turbine. Figures 60 and 61 present the turbopump layouts showing (1) principal dimensions and (2) hardware and materials identification.

The pump design consists of an inducer, a vaneless diffuser and a volute. The rotor is supported by two 20-mm-diameter ball bearings operating at a DN value of approximately 0.87×10^6 mm-rpm. Bearing coolant is provided by high pressure liquid hydrogen supplied from the high pressure pump. Bearing flow control is maintained by a floating ring controlled gap seal at each bearing location. Coolant flow is introduced into a cavity between the pump and turbine and bearings. Pump end bearing coolant flow is routed into the discharge of the inducer while turbine end bearing coolant flow is directed into the turbine wheel cavity which is downstream of the partial admission turbine nozzle but upstream of the wheel.




Figure 60. Low Pressure Fuel Pump (7.5Klb)




Figure 61. OTVE Low-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Candidate Materials

6.3.3.1 LPFTP Pump Performance - The low-pressure fuel boost pump is required to operate with a minimum supplied NPSH of 15 feet of liquid hydrogen at a design flow of 2.21 lbm/s. The pressure rise through the pump must be sufficient to insure that there will be no head loss resulting from cavitation on the high pressure fuel pump inducer. On the other hand, it is desirable to keep the boost pump design head coefficient as low as possible to minimize the blade loading. Ideally, the blade loading can be kept low enough that a single-blade row inducer can be used. The blade tip speed, however, should not be allowed to become so large that the design inlet flow coefficient becomes too small. Low inlet flow coefficients result in manufacturing difficulties and inducer friction losses large enough to seriously degrade the boost pump efficiency. The overall pump size should be kept as small as possible.

The selected low-pressure fuel turbopump delivers 74 psia at 40,000 rpm utilizing a single-stage, partial admission 10-hp gaseous hydrogen turbine.

6.3.4 Low-Pressure Oxidizer Boost Pump

The low-pressure oxidizer boost pump consists of an axial flow single-stage inducer driven by a Francis-type radial inflow hydraulic turbine. Turbine drive media is the entire LOX discharge flow from the main oxidizer turbopump. Figures 62 and 63 present the turbopump layouts showing (1) principal dimensions and (2) hardware and materials identification.

The shaft bearings for the boost pump must be selected to carry the maximum expected axial load with sufficient load margin, and to meet the expander cycle life requirement of 20 hr. Bearing coolant (LOX) is directed through the turbine runner tip into the duplex bearings then toward the pump end bearing. A labyrinth seal package just downstream of the pump end bearing lowers the coolant pressure before being directed into the rear shroud of the inducer and then into the boost pump discharge.




Figure 62. Low-Pressure Oxidizer Pump (7.5Klb)




Figure 63. GTVE Low-Pressure Oxidizer Turbopump Candidate Materials

6.3.4.1 LPOTP Pump Performance - The low-pressure oxidizer boost pump is required to operate with a minimum supplied NPSH of 2 ft of liquid oxygen at a design flow of 13.26 lbm/s. The low-pressure oxidizer turbopump delivers 86 psia at 10,000 rpm utilizing a Francis-type radial inflow 5-hp turbine.

6.3.5 Valve Analysis

The engine system incorporates five valves. They are the main oxidizer valve (MOV), the fuel turbine bypass valve (FTBV), the oxidizer turbine bypass valve (OTBV), the main fuel valve (MFV), and the turbine shutoff valve (TSO). Figure 64 shows these valves on the engine schematic and Table 14 lists the engine control valve functions. The MFV and the TSO valves are on/off valves. The MOV, FTBV, and the OTBV are modulating valves used to control the engine.

A major output of the Off-Design Code (reference Systems Analysis) is valve resistance response to off-design engine operation. The code enables one or more general iteration loops to converge main valve resistances to a desired value by changing the resistance of a bypass valve, engine mixture ratio, thrust, or some combination of these or other system variables. Tables 15 through 18 present MFV, MOV, FTBV, and OTBV operating resistance calculations for on- and off-design engine conditions. The TSO resistance calculations are not shown, since it is an on/off valve with suitable capacity for all conditions.

A summary of valve resistance range for on-design and for the off-design conditions is presented in Table 19. The resistance ratio is defined as the valve resistance at a given operating condition divided by the resistance of that valve at on-design operating condition. The resistance range is the maximum resistance divided by the minimum resistance. The acceptable range for reasonable control is 100 or less. As can be seen from Table 19, the fuel turbine bypass valve resistance range greatly exceeds 100. The reason is that at the 10% thrust level, a very low resistance is required for the FTBV to allow bypass of most of the drive gas around the turbines. At full thrust, and the




Table 14. 7.5Klb Engine Control Valves

Valve	Control Function
Main oxidizer (MOV)	Shutoff, mixture
Main fuel (MFV)	Shutoff
Turbine shutoff (TSO)	Tank head idle shutoff
Turbine bypass (FTBV)	Throttling
Ox, turbine bypass (OTBV)	Mixture ratio

Table 15. Off-Design Valve Summary

MFV - H ₂						
Thrust F (lbf)	Mixture Ratio MR (none)	Flowrate M (lb/sec)	Pressure Drop ΔP (psid)	Resistance $\frac{\text{lbf} \cdot \text{sec}^2}{\text{lbm} \cdot \text{ft}^3 \cdot \text{in}^2}$	$\frac{R_{\text{Off Design}}}{R_{\text{On Design}}}$	Chamber Pressure P _c (psia)
7500 On Design	6	2.182	25	2.373E01	1.00	1740
7500	5	2.575	33	2.381E01	1.0036	1800
7500	7	1.950	19	2.380E01	1.0032	1695
750 (Pumped Idle)	4	0.314	0.54	2.380E01	0.9968	189
750 (Pumped Idle)	5	0.264	0.39	2.382E01	1.0038	184
750 (Pumped Idle)	6	0.231	0.30	2.365E01	0.9968	179
750 (Pumped Idle)	7	0.208	0.24	2.381E01	1.0037	177

Table 16. Off-Design Valve Summary

MOV - O ₂						
Thrust F (lbf)	Mixture Ratio MR (none)	Flowrate M (lb/sec)	Pressure Drop ΔP (psid)	Resistance $\frac{\text{lbf sec}^2}{\text{lbm ft}^3 \text{ in}^2}$	$\frac{R_{\text{Off Design}}}{R_{\text{On Design}}}$	Chamber Pressure P _c (psia)
7500 On Design	6	13.106	426	1.760E02	1.00	1740
7500	5	12.756	255	1.116E02	0.634	1800
7500	7	13.546	288	1.117E02	0.6345	1695
750 (Pumped Idle)	4	1.245	36	1.670E03	1.8974	189
750 (Pumped Idle)	5	1.309	19	8.049E02	0.9146	184
750 (Pumped Idle)	6	1.371	10	3.874E02	0.4402	179
750 (Pumped Idle)	7	1.445	0.71	2.404E01	0.0273	177

5.0 mixture ratio, a relatively high resistance is required in the same valve. The remaining valve resistance ranges were acceptable.

The fuel turbine bypass valve design was evaluated to eliminate the resistance ratio problem. Two possible solutions were developed, a parallel valve and a sector valve concept. The parallel valve concept is shown in Figure 65. Two valves operating in parallel will reduce the resistance range to acceptable values at both low- and high-thrust engine operation. The sector valve concept (reference Figure 66) also shows promise for solving the problem. The sector valve incorporates a variable cross sectional area which increases control in

Table 17. Off-Design Valve Summary

FTBV - H ₂						
Thrust F (lbf)	Mixture Ratio MR (none)	Flowrate M (lb/sec)	Pressure Drop ΔP (psid)	Resistance $\frac{\text{lbf sec}^2}{\text{lbm ft}^3 \text{ in}^2}$	$\frac{R_{\text{Off Design}}}{R_{\text{On Design}}}$	Chamber Pressure P_c (psia)
7500 On Design	6	0.236	2082	0.174E05	1.00	1740
7500	5	0.152	2528	0.604E05	3.49	1800
7500	7	0.280	1784	0.934E04	0.54	1695
750 (Pumped Idle)	4	0.181	96	0.133E03	0.00769	189
750 (Pumped Idle)	5	0.153	82	0.134E03	0.00775	184
750 (Pumped Idle)	6	0.134	73	0.137 03	0.00792	179
750 (Pumped Idle)	7	0.120	67	0.144E03	0.00832	177

the deep throttling mode while maintaining control at full-thrust operation. The result is valve resistance tailored to the operating regime of the engine. The sector valve has the added advantage that only one valve is needed for control. Additional analysis will be required to validate utilizing the sector valve or the parallel valve concept for the fuel turbine bypass valve design.

An advanced electric actuated valve has been designed and fabricated for the OTV engine application. Figure 67 shows a cross section of the valve. This prototype valve was designed for liquid oxygen application. The valve features are listed in Table 20. Defined electric valve issues and solution options are presented in Table 21.

Table 18. Off-Design Valve Summary

OTBV - O ₂						
Thrust F (lbf)	Mixture Ratio MR (none)	Flowrate M (lb/sec)	Pressure Drop ΔP (psid)	Resistance $\frac{\text{lbf sec}^2}{\text{lbm ft}^3 \text{ in}^2}$	$\frac{R_{\text{Off Design}}}{R_{\text{On Design}}}$	Chamber Pressure P _c (psia)
7500 On Design	6	0.221	325	2.488E03	1.00	1740
7500	5	0.535	323	0.484E03	0.195	1800
7500	7	0.052	318	0.394E05	15.84	1695
750 (Pumped Idle)	4	0.026	12	0.687E03	0.276	189
750 (Pumped Idle)	5	0.018	11	0.111E04	0.446	184
750 (Pumped Idle)	6	0.012	10	0.195E04	0.784	179
750 (Pumped Idle)	7	0.009	10	0.336E04	1.350	177

6.3.6 ICHM Analysis

The control system for the baseline engine provides for elements of sensing and monitoring engine performance, the electronic controller (one of whose functions is to generate electronic commands to modulate and sequence the valves), the final control elements (valves) to execute those commands, the ignition system, and the electrical harness to interconnect the engine control system. The three primary modes of operation for the engine are the tank head idle, pumped idle, and mainstage. In addition to the control of the engine and its operating modes during start, mainstage and shutdown, other major

Table 19. Control Valve Requirements

Thrust (Klb)	O/F	Resistance Ratio = R/R_{des}			
		MOV	MFV	OTBV	FTBV
7.5	6.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
7.5	5.0	0.63	1.00	0.20	3.49
7.5	7.0	0.63	1.00	1.58	0.54
.75	4.0	1.90	1.00	0.28	0.0077
.75	5.0	0.91	1.00	0.45	0.0078
.75	6.0	0.44	1.00	0.78	0.0079
.75	7.0	0.027	1.00	1.35	0.0083
Maximum Resistance Ratio $R_{max};$ R_{min}		69	1.00	81	454*

*Exceeds Allowable Maximum of 100

functions include checkout and status monitoring, input/output data processing, and protection of the engine to enhance man-rated capability. The control system concepts have been covered in Task D.1/D.3, Advanced Engine Study (Reference 1). Additional work in this area was not completed under Task D.5.

Health monitoring plays a key role in achieving space-based maintainability. Advanced monitoring techniques such as spectroscopic analysis of exhaust plume, eddy current and EMAT combustor wall thickness measurement, isotope bearing wear detection, fiberoptic deflector for turbopump shaft speed, shaft radial displacement, and shaft axial displacement, will enable maintenance on an efficient as-need basis through accurate assessment of the engine health. Activity in the above health monitoring areas have been completed under OTV Rocketdyne Engine Technology Tasks E.5 through E.8. These health monitoring task results are covered in separate task reports.

PROBLEM: THROTTLED OPERATION REQUIRES EXCESSIVE RANGE IN FTBV
RESISTANCE ($R_{MAX} / R_{MIN} = 454 \gg \text{ALLOWABLE} = 100$)

POSSIBLE SOLUTION: TWO VALVES OPERATING IN PARALLEL REDUCED RESISTANCE
RANGE TO ACCEPTABLE VALUES ($R_{MAX} / R_{MIN} = 21.3 \ll 100$)




Figure 65. OTV 7.5 Klbf Fuel Turbine Bypass Valve (FTBV)




Figure 66. OTV FTBV Deep Throttling Sector Concept




Figure 67. Advanced Electric Actuated Valve -- for FTBV

Table 20. Advanced Electric Actuated Valve

- Type - deep throttling, light weight, quiet flow, sector ball valve with line replaceable elements
- Line size - 1-1/4 in. ID
- Pressure - 2800 psig
- Flow - 0.3 to 28 lb/sec
- Pressure drop - 580 psi max
- Internal leakage - 100 scim He max
- Actuator - dual rare-earth electric motors with ball screw/lever/link
- Control - modulating at 100%/s with 0.1 deg precision
- Weight - 6.0 pounds

Table 21. OTV Valves Issues

<u>Issues</u>	<u>Options</u>
Control System Redundancy	Fail lock in position Dual electric motors/controls (fail operable) Pneumatic override (fail safe) Dual feedback optical encoder
Thermal Conditioning	Heated actuator Cryogenic actuator
Turbine Bypass Valve Deep Throttling	Ball with deep throttle flow section Two valves in parallel
Smart Control	Built-in electric motor controller

6.4 Technology Level


Advanced technology ground rules are assumed for the thrust chamber (rib and fin heat transfer), several turbopump components, electric valves, and integrated control and health monitoring. The turbopump advanced technologies include two-stage, partial admission turbines, hydrostatic bearings, and soft-wear ring seals. Demonstration tasks are ongoing, reference Table 1, or have been completed to validate many of these advanced technology ground rules assumptions. Areas where the 7.5Klb thrust engine technology levels can be enhanced are: deep throttling valves, advanced sensors, selected CFD analysis on heat transfer enhancements, long-life combustor technology, turbopump shaft axial thrust control, plasma-sprayed turbine tip shroud development, and quantifying hydrostatic bearing stiffness and damping coefficients,.

6.5 Engine Layout

The engine arrangement layout, Figure 68, shows the location of major components and the general ducting configuration. The turbopump ducting and valve arrangement are similar to those developed in the Task D.4 Advanced Engine Study (Reference 2). The uncooled nozzle extension is shown in both the retracted and extended positions. Engine length with the nozzle retracted and extended is 60 in. and 117 in., respectively. The maximum engine diameter, at the nozzle exit, is approximately 53 in. Table 22 presents a tabulation of engine weights. The engine weights are similar to those presented in Reference 1 except for updating the nozzle extension weight calculations.

6.6 Component Layouts

The 7,500-lb thrust engine preliminary component design layout drawings include an injector/igniter assembly drawing, Figure 69, a nozzle/combustion chamber drawing, Figure 70(a and b), and a thrust chamber nozzle coolant channel configuration layout drawing, Figure 71, and an uncooled nozzle extension, Figure 72.



LOX HEAT EXCHANGER

FUEL PUMP INLET

SPACE OPERABLE
VEHICLE INTERFACE
COUPLING

OXIDIZER

HIGH PRESSURE
FUEL TURBOPUMPLOW PRESSURE
FUEL TURBOPUMP

FUEL


National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Propulsion Division

Space Vehicle Systems

Page 40-10001

Sheet 4



11

10

9

8

7

MICROFILM OVERLAP AREA

National Historical Commission
Baltimore, Maryland
Surveying System

Page 45 of 45	Sheet 1	1
---------------	---------	---


11

10

9

8

7





16

15



14

13

↓

12

11



16

15


14

13

↑

12

11



6

5

4

3

2

REVISION

REF. VALUES OTHER

- (1) MATERIAL : INCONEL 625
- (2) MATERIAL : NICKEL 200
- (3) MATERIAL : RIGIMESH
- (4) LOX IGNITION INLET
- (5) FUEL IGNITION INLET
- (6) LOX MAIN INLET
- (7) FUEL MAIN (PART OF THRUST)
- (8) MACHINE PER RADIO-26 - EDM
- (9) MAIN LOX TEMP/ PRESSURE
- (10) GROUND TEST (REMOVABLE)
- (11) GFE (GOVERNMENT FURNI)
- (12) SPARK PLUG CABLE (WARD AL)
- (13) 707-SR SPARK PLUG (BG A)




Figure 69. Injector Assembly Drawing


RI/RD88-291
125

REVISION	DATE	BY	INJECTOR
1			7.5 Klb
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

LONG

1

ORG	



H

G

F

E

D

C

A

ST

PL

CHAMBER

PERMISSIBLE

FLANGE

ISHED EQUIPMENT

ED OR EQ

AIRCRAFT OR EQ

cor

wi

or/Igniter

ing

R/ IGNITOR ASSY

OT V ENGINE






20

19


18

17

16



SECTION C-C
 1ST VARIATIONS
 SCALE 10:1



7R02999 8L
 1





20

19

18

17

16



11


10

9

8

7

MICROFILM OVERLAP AREA



7R029999L

1

MICROFILM OVERLAP AREA

11

10

9

8

7




Figure 70. Nozzle Chamber Drawing

RI/RD88-126

[illegible]

24

23

22

21

20



H

G

F

E

D

C

B

A

Vertical text label, possibly 'Vertical' or 'Verticality'.

	↓	20	19	18	17	16	
--	---	----	----	----	----	----	--

• MICROFILM OVERLAP AREA •

United International Corporation
 International Division
 International Division

Form No. 100-1000	Page 1
7000000000	5

16



15

14

13

12

11



11


10

9

8

7

MICROFILM OVERLAP AREA



VIEW A-A

EXACT CONFIGURATION OF NOZZLE
END TO BE DETERMINED BY NOZZLE EXTENSION
MECHANISM AND SEAL DESIGNCIRCUMFERENTIAL
TYPE TURNAROUND

E.D. NICKEL-COBALT

HARLOY 2
LINER32.515
FROM THROAT

7

6


5

4

3

EXACT CONFIGURATION OF NOZZLE
TO BE DETERMINED BY NOZZLE EXTENSION
MECHANISM AND SEAL DESIGN

CIRCUMFERENTIAL S.O.
TYPE TURNAROUND MANIFOLD



1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----

3 2 1

REV. NO. DESCRIPTION DATE APPROVED

NOZZLE / COMBUSTION CHAMBER, 7.5 KILOTV ENGINE ASSY OF


7P029998L

127

Figure 70. Nozzle Combustion Chamber Drawing (Part B)

FI/RD88-291

ONLY CENTERLINES OF CHANNELS SHOWN



480 CHANNELS (240 UP - 240 DOWN)

CHANNELS
TO
HP FUEL
PUMP


32.69 00.

32.78 1.0

Figure 71. Thrust Chamber Nozzle
Coolant Channel Configuration

RI/RD88-291
128

32.515



6.7 Injector/Igniter Assembly Layout

The injector/igniter assembly layout, Figure 69, includes 60 coaxial elements in four rows on a 3.5-in. diameter injector face. The assembly incorporates a dual spark plug plasma-torch igniter. The design has a strong historical base and is optimized for maximum heat transfer to the thrust chamber.

6.8 Nozzle/Combustion Chamber Assembly Layout

The nozzle-thrust chamber assembly layout drawings, Figure 70 (a and b), incorporate a combined thrust chamber/nozzle coolant manifold, and have a 1-1/2-pass cooled nozzle configuration. Figure 71 shows the coolant channel configuration and validates the fabricability of the design. The cold hydrogen enters the combustor coolant inlet manifold 2.9 inches downstream of the throat and flows up the coolant channels to the outlet manifold at the injector face. The coolant is then routed to the nozzle coolant inlet manifold just downstream of the combustor inlet. From there the hydrogen flows down 240 channels to the end of the cooled nozzle, 32.515 inches from the throat. At this point the coolant passes through a turnaround manifold and returns up the nozzle to the exit manifold 17.341 inches from the throat through 240 more channels interspersed between those carrying the downpass flow. The uncooled, metallic nozzle extension is shown in Figure 72.

6.9 Fuel and Oxidizer Turbopump Assembly Layouts

The high-pressure fuel turbopump, the low-pressure fuel turbopump, and high-pressure oxidizer turbopump, and the low-pressure oxidizer turbopump layout drawings are presented in the turbopump section of this report.

REFERENCES

1. Martinez, A., "Orbit Transfer Rocket Engine Technology Program--Interim Report, Advanced Engine Study," NASA CR175084, January 1986
2. Erickson, C., "Orbit Transfer Rocket Engine Technology Program--Interim Report, Advanced Engine Study, Phase II," NASA CR179602, February 1987
3. Baily, R. D., "Enhanced Heat Transfer Combustor Technology Interim Report--Subtasks I and II, Task C.I," NASA CR179541, 16 December 1986
4. Gerstley, J. G., "Regenerative Cooling Design/Analysis Computer Program," ASR-73-170, July 1973
5. Morinishi, R., "Input to the Enhanced Transfer Combustor Final Report," AATU-86-6017, 6 March 1986

APPENDIX A
ON-DESIGN AND OFF-DESIGN ENGINE SYSTEM ANALYSIS CODE OUTPUT

RI/RD88-291

A-1

Table D.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 1 of 8)

ENGINE DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	EXPANDER CYCLE SERIES	
CYCLE TYPE		7500.00 (ENG)	7500.00 (T/C)
TURBINE ARRANGEMENT		6.00 (ENG)	6.10 (T/C)
OXYGEN PROPERTIES COMPUTED		490.20 (ENG)	491.68 (T/C)
CHAMBER IS NOT TAPERED		10.984	
CHAMBER IS RIBBED		10.276	
THRUST	(LBF)		
MIXTURE RATIO	(NONE)		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LB-SEC/LBM)		
MAIN TURBINES BYPASS	(PERCENT)		
MAIN OX TURBINE BYPASS	(PERCENT)		
INLET PROPELLANT TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)		
INLET MP/SH	(FT)		
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)		
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)		
PRESSURIZATION FLOWRATE	(LBS/SEC)		
OVERBOARD LEAKAGE FLOWS	(LBS/SEC)		
COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION			
CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)		
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)		
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)		
BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)		
NUMBER OF ENGINE SEGMENTS	(NONE)		
INPUT LIFE	(CYCLES)		
RIG HEIGHT	(INCHES)		
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH	(PERCENT)		
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION	(KCAL/MOLE)		
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)		
NOZZLE LENGTH	(INCHES)		
COMBUSTOR LENGTH	(INCHES)		
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT)	(INCHES)		
ENGINE LENGTH (RET)	(INCHES)		
CONTRACTION RATIO	(NONE)		
C SUB P	(NONE)		
THROAT AREA	(IN ²)		
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH	(BTU/SEC)		
HEAT LOSS DUE TO LEAKS AND PRESS.	(BTU/SEC)		
COOLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)		
COOLANT DELTA P	(PSID)		
HEAT INPUT	(BTU/SEC)		
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)		
INLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)		
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)		
EXIT WALL TEMPERATURE	(DEG F)		
DELTA-T EXIT TO MAX	(DEG F)		
MAX WALL TEMPERATURE	(DEG F)		
INLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)		
OUTLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)		
COOLANT DENSITY	(LBS/FT ³)		
		COMBUSTOR	NOZZLE
		2.18	2.18
		997.31	7.04
		6534.52	1692.80
		4592.01	4576.37
		115.71	921.47
		921.40	1142.88
		920.71	
		101.06	
		1021.77	
		243.62	3238.28
		3238.28	4014.06
		2.665	.753

Table D.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 2 of 8)

PUMP DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	MAIN PUMP		BOOST PUMP		AUXILIARY FUEL PUMP	OTV POINT-DESIGN MODEL VERSION 3.0
		OXIDIZER	FUEL	OXIDIZER	FUEL		
PUMP							
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	84074.3	192487.5	9707.3	40514.0	0.0	
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.8712	.6023	.7049	.7081	0.000	
MORSEPOWER	(HP)	201.59	1083.27	4.89	10.29	0.00	
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	71.34	83.82	16.34	18.82	0.00	
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2960.82	5639.39	86.34	73.82	0.00	
FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	13.258	2.209	13.258	2.210	0.000	
DIAMETER	(IN)	2.31	2.22	2.25	1.93	0.00	
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	71.14	4.94			0.00	
INDUCER							
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	40.51	117.66	7.48	27.36		
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	269.36	782.36	95.48	341.61		
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.15	.15	.08	.08		
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.10	.10	.50	.50		
DELTA P	(PSID)	111.43	57.70	70.00	55.00		
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.752	.752	.705	.708		
DELIVERED HP/SH	(FT)	58.49	276.57	2.00	15.00		
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	10070.23	10070.23				
IMPELLER							
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	36.87	118.60			0.00	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	646.47	1064.05			0.00	
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.11	.11			0.00	
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.43	.43			0.00	
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	5041.25	46261.08			0.00	
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.67	.58			0.00	
DELIVERED HP/SH	(FT)	35.98	200.64			0.00	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	900.28	919.60			0.00	
TIP WIDTH	(IN)	.095	.092			0.000	

Table D.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 3 of 8)

TURBINE DESCRIPTION	MAIN TURBINE		BOOST TURBINE		AUXILIARY		OTV POINT-DESIGN MODEL VERSION 3.0
	OXIDIZER	FUEL	FF	HYD	FUEL	COOLANT	
TYPE	4.229	1.761	2.132	1.684	0.000	0.000	
PITCH DIAMETER	1.506	1.912	13.258	.185	0.000	0.000	
FLOW RATE	.513	.421	.900	.080	0.000	0.000	
ADMISSION	.751	2.608	.039	.131	0.000	0.000	
BEARING DMS-E-8	.890	7.666	.034	.217	0.000	0.000	
ANNULUS AREA SPEED SQUARED-E-10 ((RPM-IN)SQ)	1183.15	1480.27	90.36	342.24	0.00	0.00	
PITCH LINE VELOCITY	.141	.254	.031	.250	0.000	0.000	
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.374	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.421	.265	.470	.109	0.000	0.000	
VELOCITY RATIO	2355.22	4104.87	2969.62	2355.22	0.00	0.00	
INLET PRESSURE	2030.36	2369.02	2844.26	2030.36	0.00	0.00	
OUTLET PRESSURE	.600	.644	.800	.249	0.000	0.000	
EFFICIENCY	1.16	1.73	1.04	1.16	0.00	0.00	
PRESSURE RATIO	1043.98	1146.40	0.00	1043.98	0.00	0.00	
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	1018.97	1043.89	0.00	1034.83	0.00	0.00	
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	201.59	1083.27	4.89	10.29	0.00	0.00	
MORSE POWER	3.658	3.747	0.000	3.658	0.000	0.000	
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	1.397	1.398	0.000	1.397	0.000	0.000	
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	3613.52	4014.06	0.00	3613.52	0.00	0.00	
INLET ENTHALPY	3518.91	3613.52	0.00	3574.20	0.00	0.00	
OUTLET ENTHALPY	12.79	12.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
INLET ENTROPY	2.02	2.02	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.00	
GAS MOLECULAR WEIGHT							

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION	FUEL		OXIDIZER	
	FUEL	OXIDIZER	FUEL	OXIDIZER
HEAT RATE	24.28	24.28	24.28	24.28
FLOW RATE	.24	.24	.13	.13
PRESSURE LOSS	1.14	1.14	1.00	1.00
INLET PRESSURE	2022.57	2022.57	2844.26	2844.26
INLET TEMPERATURE	1161.03	1161.03	178.72	178.72
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	1131.53	1131.53	669.14	669.14
SPECIFIC HEAT	3.49	3.49	.37	.37

PERFORMANCE

A-5

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

HEAT RATE
DELTA-T
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)
THERMAL EFFICIENCY
LENGTH
FRONTAL AREA
MATERIAL FLAG
CHANNEL DIAMETER
WALL THICKNESS
CHANNEL SPACING
WEIGHT

CHANNEL HEIGHT
LOSS FACTOR
FRICTION FACTOR
FLOW RATE
HEAT TRANSFER COEF
FLOW AREA
DELTA P
OUTLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
OUTLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
OUTLET ENTHALPY
INLET ENTROPY
OUTLET ENTROPY
AVERAGE DENSITY
AVERAGE SPECIFIC HEAT

(UNITS)
(BTU/SEC)
(DEG-R)
(DEG-R)
(FRACTION)
(INCH)
(IN*2)
(NONE)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(LBM)
(INCH)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(LBM/SEC)
(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)
(IN*2)
(PSID)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM-R)
(BTU/LBM-R)
(LBM/FT*3)
(BTU/LBM-R)

1.00
908.07
908.07
.000
.00
16.00
0.00
.100
.025
.050
.005
.100
.500
.020
2.182
.008
4.267
.06
5603.42
115.43
115.60
243.16
243.62
3.67
3.68
4.509
2.852

COLD SIDE
HOT SIDE
COLD SIDE
HOT SIDE

Table D.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 5 of 8)

QTY POINT-DESIGN
VER. 1.0
MODEL 1.0

Table 0.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 6 of 8)

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY	LOCATION	PRESSURE (PSIA)	TEMPERATURE (DEG R)	ENTHALPY (BTU/LB)	DELTA-H (BTU/LB)	ENERGY (BTU/SEC)	ENTROPY (BTU/LB-R)	DENSITY (LB/FT ³)	FLOWRATE (LB/SEC)
ENGINE OXIDIZER INLET	(O1)	18.3	162.70	-57.47	-174.57	(-2314.45)	.70	71.149	(13.258)
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET	O2	86.3	183.16	-57.44	-174.54	(-2314.04)	.70	71.138	(13.258)
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP LEAKAGE	(O3)	2844.3	178.72	-46.69	-183.79	(3.02)	.72	71.173	(13.018)
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET	O4	2844.3	178.72	-46.69	-183.79	(3.02)	.72	71.173	(13.018)
OXIDIZER TANK PRESSURIZATION	(O5)	2843.3	669.14	134.32	17.42	(-2.33)	1.24	12.486	(13.106)
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET	O6	2417.6	180.37	-46.69	-163.79	(-2146.60)	.73	70.542	(13.106)
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET	(O7)	2400.6	182.91	-46.69	-163.79	(-2146.60)	.74	70.136	(13.106)
ENGINE FUEL INLET	(F1)	18.8	37.60	-106.67	-1919.65	(-4241.80)	2.30	4.367	(2.210)
BOOST FUEL PUMP OUTLET	F2	73.8	38.55	-103.39	-1916.36	(-4234.53)	3.66	4.524	(2.027)
MAIN FUEL PUMP OUTLET	F3	5639.4	115.13	243.16	-1569.82	(42.15)	3.67	4.514	(2.182)
MAIN FUEL PUMP LEAKAGE	(F4)	5639.4	115.13	243.16	-1569.82	(42.15)	3.68	4.508	(2.182)
MAIN FUEL VALVE OUTLET	F5	5614.4	115.34	243.16	-1569.82	(42.15)	11.70	.628	(2.182)
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)	(F6)	5603.4	115.60	243.62	-1569.36	(3424.44)	12.43	.679	(2.148)
COMBUSTOR OUTLET	F7	4592.0	921.40	3238.28	1425.30	(3110.08)	12.57	.614	(-0.034)
NOZZLE OUTLET	(F8)	4576.4	1142.88	4014.08	2201.08	(4728.04)	12.79	.400	1.912
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET	F9	4104.9	1146.40	4014.06	2201.08	(-74.84)	12.85	.355	1.506
FUEL TANK PRESSURIZATION	(F10)	4104.9	1146.40	4014.06	2201.08	(-74.84)	12.91	.350	.185
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET	F11	2359.0	1043.98	3613.52	1800.54	3442.86	13.22	.320	.236
MAIN OXIDIZER TURBINE INLET	F12	2355.2	1043.98	3613.52	1800.54	3442.86	13.07	.348	(-2.148)
MAIN OXIDIZER TURBINE OUTLET	F13	2030.4	1018.97	3518.91	1705.93	2569.81	100.00		
BOOST FUEL TURBINE INLET	F14	2030.4	1034.83	3574.20	1761.22	325.82			
OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER INLET	F15	2021.4	1131.53	3911.14	2096.16	495.03			
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)	F16	2025.4	1023.52	3534.66	1721.68	3292.06			
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET	(F17)	2021.4	1037.21	3576.01	1763.03	(-3787.09)			
SUMMATION (W.E) AT CONTROL SURFACE						-1.43			
ENERGY AND MASS BALANCE ACCURACY AT CONTROL SURFACE						99.98			

(SHOWN IN () AND FLOW OUT IS NEG)

Table 0.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 7 of 8)

CONTROL SURFACE SUMMARY	LOCATION	PRESSURE (PSIA)	TEMPERATURE (DEG R)	MB ENTHALPY (BTU/LB)	DELTA-H (BTU/LB)	ENERGY (BTU/SEC)	FLOWRATE (LB/SEC)	HEAT OF FORMATION (CAL/MOLE)
ENGINE OXIDIZER INLET	(01)	16.3	162.70	-57.47	-174.57	(-2314.45)	(13.258)	-3103.5
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP LEAKAGE	(02)	2844.3	178.72	-46.69	-163.79	(-3.02)	(-0.018)	-2911.9
OXIDIZER TANK PRESSURIZATION	(05)	2843.3	653.14	134.52	17.42	(-2.33)	(-0.134)	309.8
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET	(07)	2400.6	162.91	-46.69	-163.79	2146.60	-13.106	-2911.9
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR	(08)	2400.6	153.32	-57.47	-174.57	(-2287.84)	(-13.106)	-3103.5
T/C OXIDIZER - B.L. ATTACHMENT	08	1740.5	156.22	-57.47	-174.57	-2287.94	13.106	-3102.0 (NBP)
ENGINE FUEL INLET	(F1)	18.8	37.86	-106.67	-1519.65	(-4241.80)	(2.210)	-2150.0
MAIN FUEL PUMP LEAKAGE	(F4)	5639.4	115.13	243.16	-1568.82	(42.15)	(-0.027)	-1758.2
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)	(F5)	3603.4	115.60	243.62	-1569.36	(3424.44)	(-2.102)	-1757.7
NOZZLE OUTLET	(F6)	4576.4	1142.88	4014.06	2301.08	(4802.86)	(2.182)	2465.2
FUEL TANK PRESSURIZATION	(F10)	4104.8	1146.40	4014.06	2201.08	(-74.84)	(-0.034)	2465.2
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET	(F17)	2021.4	1037.21	3576.01	1763.03	(-3787.09)	(-2.148)	1974.6
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR	(F18)	2021.4	1054.27	3641.77	1828.79	(-3928.33)	(-2.148)	2048.2
T/C FUEL - B.L. ATTACHMENT	F19	1740.5	876.83	3354.92	1551.94	3333.66	2.148	1738.2
SUMMATION (W.E) AT CONTROL SURFACE						-1.43	100.00	
ENERGY AND MASS BALANCE ACCURACY AT CONTROL SURFACE						95.96		

(SHOWN IN () AND FLOW OUT IS NEG)

Table D.5-1. Optimizer/Design Code Output
(Sheet 8 of 8)

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

	SEGMENT 1	SEGMENT 2	SEGMENT
SEGMENT TYPE	REGEN	RADIATION	
BREAKPOINT EPSILON	427.9	1034.4	
SEGMENT LENGTH	32.54	89.54	
RADIATION SEGMENT AREA	2310.39	7890.12	
DELTA-H CODE	5466.50	6466.50	
B/L Q	4893.189	704.102	
TOTAL Q	7632.643	704.102	
PER-SEGMENT DELTA ADIAB	.01733	.02321	
K2 FACTOR	.97666	.98246	
DELTA-ETA-QBL	.03054	.00435	
DELTA-DELTA PER SEG	.01733	.00588	

NOZZLE CONTOUR DEFINITION

THETA MAX	DEGREES	45.104
THETA EXIT	DEGREES	5.219
RCIAC/RT	NONE	0.000
M-SUB-X	INCHES	.36353
M-SUB-Y	INCHES	1.05026
P	NONE	-.24477
Q	INCHES	-17.40113
S	INCHES	43.44774
T	INCHES	302.7992

PARABOLIC CONTOUR EQUATION IS:
 $R = MV + P \cdot (X-MX) + Q + \text{SORT}(S \cdot (X-MX) + T)$

ENGINE DESCRIPTION

THRUST (UNITS)
MIXTURE RATIO (LBF)
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE (NONE)
MAIN TURBINES BYPASS (LB-SEC/LBM)
MAIN OX TURBINE BYPASS (PERCENT)

7500.00 (ENG)
5.0000 (ENG)
489.9595 (ENG)
6.028
21.227

7500.00 (T/C)
5.0568 (T/C)
491.5684 (T/C)

OXIDIZER
162.70
2.00
12.73824
12.75616
.01771
.13400

FUEL
37.80
15.00
2.51905 (T/C)
2.55123 (ENG)
.03149
.02359

COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION

CHAMBER PRESSURE (PSIA)
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE (DEG R)
AREA RATIO (AE/AT)
BREAKPOINT EPSILON (NONE)
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH (PERCENT)
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION (KCAL/MOLE)
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE (LBS/SEC)
NOZZLE LENGTH (INCHES)
COMBUSTOR LENGTH (INCHES)
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT) (INCHES)
ENGINE LENGTH (RET) (INCHES)
CONTRACTION RATIO (NONE)
C SUB P (NONE)
THROAT AREA (IN**2)
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH (BTU/SEC)

1800.02
6418.14
1034.40
427.93
93.61
1.39
.00
89.54
20.00
117.00
60.00
4.00
1.960
2.125
626.92

COMBUSTOR

COOLANT FLOW RATE (LBS/SEC)
COOLANT DELTA P (PSID)
HEAT INPUT (BTU/SEC)
OUTLET PRESSURE (PSIA)
INLET TEMPERATURE (DEG R)
OUTLET TEMPERATURE (DEG R)
INLET ENTHALPY (BTU/LBS)
OUTLET ENTHALPY (BTU/LBS)
COOLANT DENSITY (LBS/FT**3)

2.543
1233.84
6881.21
5205.74
126.64
849.21
292.84
2999.17
2.765

NOZZLE

2.543
7.74
1792.23
5188.50
849.28
1049.75
2999.17
3704.04
.906

F = 7500

MR = 5

PUMP DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	MAIN PUMP OXIDIZER	FUEL PUMP FUEL OXIDIZER	BOOST PUMP FUEL	AUXILIARY FUEL PUMP
PUMP					
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	62340.4	210217.0	38050.5	.0
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.6712	.5895	.6671	.000
MORSEPOWER	(HP)	188.03	1437.90	9.25	.00
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	68.49	45.26	18.82	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2812.78	6504.79	58.84	.00
FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	12.89	2.57	2.57	.00
DIAMETER	(IN)	2.31	2.22	1.93	.00
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	71.14	4.94		.00
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	39.39	137.12	31.88	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	262.07	854.42	92.92	
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.15	.16	.08	
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.10	.09	.00	
DELTA P	(PSID)	105.51	66.84	66.33	
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.752	.752	.667	
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	55.34	344.46	2.00	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	10085.10	10967.61	15.00	
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	35.85	138.22		.00
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	628.98	2036.39		.00
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.14	.16		.00
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.43	.36		.00
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	5341.53	46553.37		.00
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.67	.58		.00
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	34.04	249.90		.00
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	899.96	1014.34		.00

TURBINE DESCRIPTION	MAIN TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	BOOST TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	AUXILIARY HPFT
TYPE	4.229	1.761	2.132	1.684	.000
DIAMETER	1.632	2.367	12.890	.200	.000
FLOW RATE	.513	.421	.900	.080	.000
ADMISSION	1151.14	1616.61	87.93	279.89	.00
TIP SPEED	.141	.254	.031	.250	.000
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.374	.000	.000	.000
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.282	.442	.709	.125	.000
VELOCITY RATIO	2461.65	4665.67	2926.96	2461.65	.00
INLET PRESSURE	2139.06	2479.60	2708.75	2139.06	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	.601	.654	.800	.280	.000
EFFICIENCY	1.15	1.88	1.04	1.15	.00
PRESSURE RATIO	946.18	1053.67	.00	946.18	.00
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	924.91	946.07	.00	937.37	.00
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	188.02	1437.85	4.48	9.24	.00
HORSE POWER	3.685	3.803	.000	3.685	.000
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	1.393	1.395	.000	1.393	.000
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	3274.72	3704.03	.00	3274.72	.00
INLET ENTHALPY	3153.29	3274.72	.00	3236.78	.00
OUTLET ENTHALPY	12.41	12.15	.00	.00	.00
INLET ENTROPY	.23	.15	.00	.00	.00
NOZZLE AREA	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
FLOW PARAMETER	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION	OXIDIZER	FUEL
HEAT RATE	21.89	21.89
FLOW RATE	.134	.152
PRESSURE LOSS	24.28	1.14
INLET PRESSURE	68.50	2127.91
INLET TEMPERATURE	178.72	1071.43
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	620.73	1030.10

Note: QTV Off Design model output for
the heat exchanger is inaccurate
predicting pressure loss and temperatures
& values are estimated on schematics

CHAMBER PRESSURE
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO
AREA RATIO

0000 SPECIFIC IMPULSE
0000 CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY

SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY

EFFECTIVE TDK SPECIFIC IMPULSE
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS

DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE

SYSTEM PRESSURES

ITEM PRESSURES	(UNITS)	PROPELLANT OXIDIZER	FUEL	GAS	FUEL
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	16.	19.		
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	83.	59.		
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	68.	45.		
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)				
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2813.	6505.		
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)		6440.		
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)				5206.
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)				5189.
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			2827.	2462.
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)			2709.	2139.
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			2462.	4666.
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)			2139.	2480.
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2423.			2128.
	(PSIA)				1800.

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

BREAKPOINT EPSILON
SEGMENT LENGTH
B/L Q
TOTAL Q
ADIABATIC LOSS
NET HEAT LOSS

HOT SIDE

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE		TEMPERATURE		ENTHALPY		ENTROPY		DENSITY	
BEFORE	DENS CALL:	18.8160	37.8000	-106.6670	1.9983	(BTU/LB)	(BTU/LB-R)	(LB/FT ³)			
ENGINE FUEL INLET											
ENGINE OXID INLET		16.3	162.70	-57.47	37.80	-106.67	2.00	4.37			
BOOST PUMP OUTLET		58.8	38.41	-104.13			.70	71.15			
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		82.7	163.16	-57.44			2.02	4.37			
MAIN PUMP OUTLET		6504.8	125.35	290.67			.70	71.13			
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		2694.6	177.67	-47.16			3.66	4.57			
MAIN VALVE OUTLET		6471.7	125.63	290.67			.72	71.20			
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET		2439.5	179.13	-47.16			3.67	4.56			
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)		6457.4	126.50	292.84			.73	70.75			
COMBUSTOR OUTLET		5205.7	849.21	299.17			3.68	4.54			
NOZZLE OUTLET		5188.5	1049.75	3704.04			11.70	.99			
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF		5188.5	1049.75	3704.04			12.45	.82			
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET		4865.7	1053.67	3704.04			12.45	.82			
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		2479.6	946.07	3274.72			12.57	.74			
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET		2461.6	946.18	3274.72			12.79	.46			
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET		2139.1	824.91	3193.29			12.85	.41			
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		2139.1	937.37	3236.78			12.91	.40			
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER		2127.5	1030.10	3559.90			13.22	.37			
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)		2132.7	931.15	3214.93			12.97	.41			
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET		2127.5	939.19	3235.73			13.07	.40			
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET		2423.1	182.67	-46.70			.74	70.19			

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 59.954
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 58.442

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115 TIMES 3138

ENGINE DESCRIPTION

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 8.0

(UNITS)

(LBF)
(NONE)
(LB-SEC/LBM)
(PERCENT)
(PERCENT)

7500.00 (ENG)
7.0000 (ENG)
484.3866 (ENG)
14.667
2.738

7500.00 (T/C)
7.0795 (T/C)
485.7492 (T/C)

OXIDIZER

FUEL

(DEG R)
(FT)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)

162.70
2.00
13.52904
13.54806
.01881
.13400

37.80
15.00
1.91102 (T/C)
1.93544 (ENG)
.02389
.01790

COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION

(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(AE/AT)
(NONE)
(PERCENT)
(KCAL/MOLE)
(LBS/SEC)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(IN**2)
(BTU/SEC)

1695.15
6928.15
1034.40
427.93
93.61
2.01
.00
89.54
20.00
117.00
60.00
4.00
2.082
2.125
558.99

COMBUSTOR

NOZZLE

(LBS/SEC)
(PSID)
(BTU/SEC)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBS)
(BTU/FT**3)

1.929
838.34
6149.03
4177.46
108.84
968.89
206.83
3394.64
2.589

1.929
6.38
1584.59
4163.29
968.95
1203.72
3394.64
4216.14
.660

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

$F = 7500$

MR = 7

PUMP DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	MAIN PUMP OXIDIZER	FUEL PUMP FUEL OXIDIZER	BOOST PUMP FUEL	AUXILIARY FUEL PUMP
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	63170.2	180209.6	10091.0	39420.0
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.6697	.6004	.7049	.000
HORSEPOWER	(HP)	201.39	861.41	5.44	.00
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	78.35	65.92	16.34	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2838.73	5055.82	92.32	.00
FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	13.68	1.95	13.68	.00
DIAMETER	(IN)	2.31	2.22	2.25	.00
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	71.14	4.94		.00
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	41.81	104.02	7.72	24.19
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	285.56	732.46	99.25	332.36
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.16	.14	.08	.07
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.10	.09	.00	.52
DELTA P	(PSI)	106.20	51.64	75.99	54.92
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.752	.752	.705	.702
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	58.61	233.33	2.00	15.00
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	10458.04	9938.42		
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	38.05	104.86		.00
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	637.35	1745.71		.00
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.14	.14		.00
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.43	.38		.00
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	5376.74	35961.90		.00
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.67	.58		.00
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	36.05	169.28		.00
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	934.91	919.16		.00

TURBINE DESCRIPTION

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

TURBINE DESCRIPTION	MAIN TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	BOOST OXIDIZER	TURBINE FUEL	AUXILIARY HPFT
TYPE					
DIAMETER	4.229	1.761	2.132	1.684	.000
FLOW RATE	1.406	1.631	13.682	.173	.000
ADMISSION	.513	.421	.900	.080	.000
TIP SPEED	1166.46	1385.85	93.93	289.96	.00
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.141	.254	.031	.250	.000
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.374	.000	.000	.000
VELOCITY RATIO	.256	.400	.714	.125	.000
INLET PRESSURE	2268.47	2722.95	2854.71	2268.47	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	1950.88	2279.72	2721.53	1950.88	.00
EFFICIENCY	.595	.636	.800	.280	.000
PRESSURE RATIO	1.16	1.64	1.05	1.16	.00
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	1110.28	1206.93	.00	1110.28	.00
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	1083.34	1110.20	.00	1098.72	.00
MORSE POWER	201.37	861.48	5.35	9.25	.00
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	3.643	3.716	.000	3.643	.000
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	1.399	1.399	.000	1.399	.000
INLET ENTHALPY	3842.76	4216.15	.00	3842.76	.00
OUTLET ENTHALPY	3741.51	3842.76	.00	3795.10	.00
INLET ENTROPY	13.04	12.84	.00	.00	.00
NOZZLE AREA	.23	.15	.00	.00	.00
FLOW PARAMETER	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION	OXIDIZER	FUEL
HEAT RATE	25.79	25.79
FLOW RATE	134	.280
PRESSURE LOSS	24.28	1.14
INLET PRESSURE	76.37	1945.18
INLET TEMPERATURE	178.72	1219.44
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	699.55	1193.10

Note: OTV Off Design model output for
the heat exchanger is inaccurate
predicting pressure loss and temperatures
& values are estimated on schematics

PERFORMANCE

THRUST	(LBF)	500.00	
CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)	1095.15	
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO	(BY WEIGHT)	7.00	
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)	1034.40	
GDE SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	511.13	
ODE CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	7522.65	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.800	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	98.907	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.574	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.729	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	96.745	
EFFECTIVE TDK SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	501.03	
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	16.64	
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	485.75 (T/C)	
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	534.64 (DUMP)	
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	484.39 (ENGINE)	

SYSTEM PRESSURES		(UNITS)	PROPELLANT	FUEL	GAS	FUEL
			OXIDIZER		OXIDIZER	
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	16.				4177.
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	92.				4163.
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	76.				2268.
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2839.				1951.
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)					3729.
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)					2280.
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)					1944.
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)					1695.
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)					
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)					
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)					
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	2399.				
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)					

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

	(NONE)	(INCHES)	(BTU/SEC)	(NONE)	(NONE)	REGEN.	RADIATION
						COOLED	COOLED
BREAKPOINT EPSILON						427.93	1034.40
SEGMENT LENGTH						32.54	89.54
B/L Q						4453.89	.00
TOTAL Q						7174.63	.00
ADIABATIC LOSS						.01732	.00591
NET HEAT LOSS						.02793	.00449

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

HEAT RATE
DELTA-T
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)
THERMAL EFFICIENCY
LENGTH
FRONTAL AREA
WATERIAL FLAG
CHANNEL DIAMETER
CHANNEL THICKNESS
CHANNEL SPACING
HEIGHT

(UNITS)
(BTU/SEC)
(DEG-R)
(DEG-R)
(FRACTION)
(INCH)
(IN**2)
(NONE)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(LBM)

.96
1000.77
1000.77
.000
.00
16.00
.00
.00
.025
.050
.005

CHANNEL HEIGHT
SS FACTOR
CORRECTION FACTOR
FLOW RATE
HEAT TRANSFER
FLOW AREA
TAP
INLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
INLET ENTHALPY

(INCH)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(LBM/SEC)
(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)
(IN**2)
(PSIO)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM)

COLD SIDE
HOT SIDE
HOT SIF

.100
.500
.020
1.631
.008
4.267
.51
1946.86
1085.93
1085.76
3750.43
3749.84

.100
.500
.020
1.929
.007
4.267
5027.50
108.55
108.74
206.34
206.83

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE (PSIA)	TEMPERATURE (DEG R)	ENTHALPY (BTU/LB)	ENTROPY (BTU/LB-R)	DENSITY (LB/FT ³)
BEFORE DENS CALL:	18.8160	37.8000	-106.6670	1.9983		
ENGINE FUEL INLET		18.8	37.80	-106.67	2.00	4.37
ENGINE OXID INLET		16.3	182.70	-57.47	.70	71.15
BOOST PUMP OUTLET		73.7	38.58	-103.31	2.02	4.37
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		92.3	163.16	-57.44	.70	71.14
MAIN PUMP OUTLET		5055.8	109.06	208.46	3.66	4.48
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		2705.6	177.83	-47.05	.72	71.18
MAIN VALVE OUTLET		5036.4	109.23	209.45	3.67	4.47
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET		2417.4	178.47	-47.06	.73	70.68
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)		5027.5	108.74	206.83	3.68	4.48
COMBUSTOR OUTLET		4177.5	868.89	3394.64	11.70	.73
NOZZLE OUTLET		4163.3	1203.72	4216.14	12.45	.59
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF		4163.3	1203.72	4216.14	12.45	.59
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET		3729.0	1206.93	4216.14	12.57	.54
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		2279.7	1110.20	3842.76	12.79	.37
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET		2268.5	1110.28	3842.76	12.79	.36
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET		1950.9	1083.34	3741.51	12.85	.32
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		1950.9	1098.72	3795.10	12.91	.32
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER		1943.6	1193.10	4124.13	13.22	.29
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)		1946.9	1085.76	3749.84	12.87	.32
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET		1943.6	1103.15	3804.74	13.07	.32
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET		2399.0	181.37	-47.39	.74	70.57

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 60.039
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 58.442

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115 TIMES
2553

ENGINE DESCRIPTION		(UNITS)			
THRUST		(LBF)			
MIXTURE RATIO		(NONE)			
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE		(LB-SEC/LBM)			
MAIN TURBINES BYPASS		(PERCENT)			
MAIN OX TURBINE BYPASS		(PERCENT)			
INLET PROPELLANT TEMPERATURE		(DEG R)			
INLET NPSH		(FT)			
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
OVERBOARD LEAKAGE FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
PRESSURANT FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION					
CHAMBER PRESSURE		(PSIA)			
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE		(DEG R)			
AREA RATIO		(AE/AT)			
BREAKPOINT EPSILON		(NONE)			
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH		(PERCENT)			
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION		(KCAL/MOLE)			
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
NOZZLE LENGTH		(INCHES)			
COMBUSTOR LENGTH		(INCHES)			
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT)		(INCHES)			
ENGINE LENGTH (RET)		(INCHES)			
CONTRACTION RATIO		(NONE)			
C SUB F		(NONE)			
THROAT AREA		(IN**2)			
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH		(BTU/SEC)			
COOLANT FLOW RATE		(LBS/SEC)			
COOLANT DELTA P		(PSID)			
HEAT INPUT		(BTU/SEC)			
OUTLET PRESSURE		(PSIA)			
INLET TEMPERATURE		(DEG R)			
OUTLET TEMPERATURE		(DEG R)			
INLET ENTHALPY		(BTU/LBS)			
OUTLET ENTHALPY		(BTU/LBS)			
COOLANT DENSITY		(LBS/FT**3)			

$F = 750$

$\mu K = 4.2$

PUMP DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	MAIN PUMP OXIDIZER	MAIN PUMP FUEL	BOOST PUMP OXIDIZER	BOOST PUMP FUEL	AUXILIARY FUEL PUMP
PUMP						
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	16700.4	57310.0	1018.9	10726.7	.0
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.4289	.4379	.7049	.5563	.000
HORSEPOWER	(HP)	2.60	22.98	.01	.17	.00
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	16.95	23.28	16.34	18.82	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	233.14	568.42	17.11	23.48	.00
FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	1.38	.31	1.38	.31	.00
DIAMETER	(IN)	2.31	2.22	2.25	1.93	.00
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT**3)	71.14	4.94			.00
INDUCER						
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	4.21	16.73	.78	3.89	
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	70.21	232.94	10.02	90.45	
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.06	.07	.08	.04	
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.11	.10	.00	.60	
DELTA P	(PSID)	8.31	5.64	.78	4.66	
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.752	.752	.705	.556	
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	2.15	14.98	2.00	15.00	
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM**.5/FT**.75)	5931.78	6670.86			
IMPELLER						
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	3.84	16.87			.00
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	168.50	555.17			.00
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.05	.07			.00
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.48	.41			.00
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	420.79	3928.80			.00
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.43	.42			.00
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	1.32	10.87			.00
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM**.5/FT**.75)	530.38	616.96			.00

TURBINE DESCRIPTION		MAIN TURBINE		BOOST TURBINE		AUXILIARY	
		OXIDIZER	FUEL	OXIDIZER	FUEL	HPFT	
TYPE	(IN)	4.229	1.761		COOLANT		.000
DIAMETER	(LBS/SEC)	.089	.126				.000
FLOW RATE	(FRACTION)	.513	.421	1.379	.011		.000
ADMISSION	(FT/SEC)	308.38	440.73	.900	.080		.000
TIP SPEED	(INCHES)	.141	.254	9.48	78.90		.00
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	(INCHES)	.163	.374	.031	.250		.000
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	(INCHES)	.106	.186	.000	.000		.000
VELOCITY RATIO	(NONE)			.711			.000
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	260.59	350.20	233.30	.125		.000
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	248.86	261.23	231.94	260.59		.00
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.379	.371	.800	248.86		.00
PRESSURE RATIO	(NONE)	1.05	1.34	1.01	.280		.000
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	(DEG R)	1201.07	1237.48	.00	1.05		.00
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	(DEG R)	1195.15	1201.07	.00	1201.07		.00
HORSE POWER	(HP)	2.63	22.97	.01	1196.72		.00
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	(BTU/LB-DEG R)	3.500	3.503	.000	.09		.00
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	(NONE)	1.401	1.398	.000	3.500		.000
INLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)	4114.46	4243.33	.000	1.401		.000
OUTLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)	4093.61	4114.46	.00	4114.46		.00
INLET ENTROPY	(BTU/LBS-DEG R)	15.45	15.26	.00	4099.06		.00
NOZZLE AREA	(IN**2)	.23	.15	.00	.00		.00
FLOW PARAMETER	(NONE)	.00	.00	.00	.00		.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION		OXIDIZER	FUEL
HEAT RATE	(BTU/SEC)	26.07	26.07
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)	.134	.181
PRESSURE LOSS	(PSID)	24.28	1.14
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	16.95	254.47
INLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)	178.72	1238.07
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)	705.24	1196.88
Note: OTV Off Design model output for the heat exchanger is inaccurate predicting pressure loss and temperatures & values are estimated on schematics			

Note: OTV Off Design model output for the heat exchanger is inaccurate predicting pressure loss and temperatures & values are estimated on schematics

PERFORMANCE

THRUST	(LBF)	750.00		
CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)	188.99		
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO	(BY WEIGHT)	4.00		
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)	1034.40		
ODE SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	520.96		
ODE CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	8331.64		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	100.000		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	98.692		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.574		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.497		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	94.559		
EFFECTIVE TDK SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	509.38		
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	28.34		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	483.61 (T/C)		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	534.64 (DUMP)		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	481.80 (ENGINE)		
SYSTEM PRESSURES				
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(UNITS)	PROPELLANT	GAS	FUEL
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	OXIDIZER		
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	FUEL		
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	16.		
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.		
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	233.		
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			464.
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)			461.
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)		233.	261.
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)		232.	249.
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)		261.	350.
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)		249.	261.
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	195.		248.
				189.

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY		REGEN. COOLED	RADIATION COOLED
BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)	427.93	1034.40
SEGMENT LENGTH	(INCHES)	32.54	89.54
B/L Q	(BTU/SEC)	866.62	.00
TOTAL Q	(BTU/SEC)	1236.77	.00
ADIABATIC LOSS	(NONE)	.02318	.00791
NET HEAT LOSS	(NONE)	.05404	.00786

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

	(UNITS)		COLD SIDE	HOT SIDE
HEAT RATE	(BTU/SEC)			
DELTA-T	(DEG-R)			
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)	(DEG-R)			
THERMAL EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)			
LENGTH	(INCH)			
FRONTAL AREA	(IN**2)			
MATERIAL FLAG	(NONE)			
CHANNEL DIAMETER	(INCH)			
WALL THICKNESS	(INCH)			
CHANNEL SPACING	(INCH)			
WEIGHT	(LBM)			
CHANNEL HEIGHT	(INCH)			
LOSS FACTOR	(NONE)			
FRICTION FACTOR	(NONE)			
FLOW RATE	(LBM/SEC)			
HEAT TRANSFER COEF	(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)			
FLOW AREA	(IN**2)			
DELTAP	(PSIO)			
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)			
INLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)			
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)			
INLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBM)			
OUTLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBM)			

RI/RD88-291

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE	TEMPERATURE	ENTHALPY	ENTROPY	DENSITY
BEFORE DENS CALL:		(PSIA)	(DEG R)	(BTU/LB)	(BTU/LB-R)	(LB/FT3)
18.8160	37.8000	-106.6670	1.9983			
ENGINE FUEL INLET	18.8	37.80		-106.67	2.00	4.37
ENGINE OXID INLET	16.3	162.70		-57.47	.70	71.15
BOOST PUMP OUTLET	23.5	37.91		-106.28	2.02	4.37
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET	17.1	163.16		-57.44	.70	71.07
MAIN PUMP OUTLET	568.4	52.02		-54.58	3.66	4.12
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET	231.8	165.52		-56.22	.72	70.88
MAIN VALVE OUTLET	567.9	52.02		-54.58	3.67	4.12
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET	195.3	165.66		-56.22	.73	70.82
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)	567.5	52.50		-53.11	3.68	4.10
COMBUSTOR OUTLET	464.2	991.26		3388.84	11.70	.09
NOZZLE OUTLET	460.8	1236.80		4243.33	12.45	.07
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF	460.8	1236.80		4243.33	12.45	.07
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET	350.2	1237.48		4243.33	12.57	.05
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET	261.2	1201.07		4114.46	12.79	.04
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET	260.6	1201.07		4114.46	12.79	.04
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET	248.9	1195.15		4093.61	12.85	.04
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET	248.9	1196.72		4099.08	12.91	.04
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER	248.5	1196.88		4099.61	13.22	.04
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)	248.6	1196.10		4096.90	12.87	.04
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET	248.5	1196.92		4098.50	13.07	.04
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET	195.2	165.94		-56.11	.74	70.77

(PERCENT) = 43.795
(PERCENT) = 58.442

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115 4094+

ENGINE DESCRIPTION

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

THRUST	(UNITS)		
MIXTURE RATIO	(LBF)		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(NONE)		
MAIN TURBINES BYPASS	(LB-SEC/LBM)		
MAIN OX TURBINE BYPASS	(PERCENT)		
	(PERCENT)		

750.00 (ENG)	750.00 (T/C)
5.0000 (ENG)	5.0561 (T/C)
477.3193 (ENG)	478.9378 (T/C)
59.241	
6.972	

OXIDIZER	FUEL
162.70	37.80
2.00	15.00
1.30739	.25857 (T/C)
1.30940	.26188 (ENG)
.00182	.00323
.13400	.00242

INLET PROPELLANT TEMPERATURE
INLET NPSH
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE
OVERBOARD LEAKAGE FLOW RATE
PRESSURANT FLOW RATE

(DEG R)
(FT)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)

COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION

CHAMBER PRESSURE
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE
AREA RATIO
BREAKPOINT EPSILON
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE
NOZZLE LENGTH
COMBUSTOR LENGTH
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT)
ENGINE LENGTH (RET)
CONTRACTION RATIO
C SUB F
THROAT AREA
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH

(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(AE/AT)
(NONE)
(PERCENT)
(KCAL/MOLE)
(LBS/SEC)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(IN**2)
(BTU/SEC)

183.71
5962.00
1034.40
427.93
93.61
2.76
.00
89.54
20.00
117.00
60.00
4.00
1.921
2.125
89.23

COMBUSTOR	NOZZLE
.261	.261
81.05	1.30
991.23	243.33
417.86	414.99
51.26	1092.42
1092.41	1359.71
-58.30	3739.56
3739.56	4671.86
2.087	.064

COOLANT FLOW RATE
COOLANT DELTA P
HEAT INPUT
OUTLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
OUTLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
OUTLET ENTHALPY
COOLANT DENSITY

(LBS/SEC)
(PSID)
(BTU/SEC)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBS)
(BTU/LBS)
(LBS/FT**3)

RI/RD88-291

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

$F = 750$

$MR = 5.0$

PUMP DESCRIPTION	(UNITS)	MAIN PUMP OXIDIZER	FUEL PUMP FUEL OXIDIZER	BOOST PUMP FUEL	AUXILIARY FUEL PUMP
PUMP					
WHEEL SPEED	(RPM)	15830.8	53649.6	1066.2	10726.7
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.4612	.4060	.7049	.4953
HORSEPOWER	(HP)	2.29	18.30	.01	.16
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.01	23.42	16.34	18.82
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	211.67	500.62	17.19	23.56
FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	1.44	.26	1.44	.26
DIAMETER	(IN)	2.31	2.22	2.25	1.93
EFFECTIVE DENSITY	(LB/FT ³)	71.14	4.94		
INDUCER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	4.41	14.08	.81	3.27
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	66.55	218.06	10.49	90.45
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.07	.06	.08	.04
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.11	.10	.00	.61
DELTA P	(PSID)	7.48	4.94	.85	4.74
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.752	.752	.705	.495
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	2.07	12.22	2.00	15.00
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	6222.76	6328.57		
IMPELLER					
INLET FLOW VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	4.01	14.19		
TIP SPEED	(FT/SEC)	159.72	519.71		
FLOW COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.06	.07		
HEAD COEFFICIENT	(NONE)	.48	.41		
HEAD RISE PER STAGE	(FT)	378.88	3439.20		
EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.46	.39		
DELIVERED NPSH	(FT)	1.27	8.87		
STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED	(RPM*GPM ^{0.5} /FT ^{0.75})	556.40	585.30		

TURBINE DESCRIPTION

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

TYPE	MAIN TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	BOOST OXIDIZER	FUEL	AUXILIARY MPPT
DIAMETER	4.229	1.761	2.132	1.684	.000
FLOW RATE	.078	.105	1.443	.010	.000
ADMISSION	.513	.421	.900	.080	.000
TIP SPEED	292.32	412.58	9.92	78.90	.00
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.141	.254	.031	.250	.000
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.374	.000	.000	.000
VELOCITY RATIO	.099	.170	.711	.125	.000
INLET PRESSURE	243.63	319.20	211.84	243.63	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	232.95	244.16	210.35	232.95	.00
EFFICIENCY	.352	.352	.800	.280	.000
PRESSURE RATIO	1.05	1.31	1.01	1.05	.00
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	1325.71	1360.31	.00	1325.71	.00
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	1319.86	1325.71	.00	1321.07	.00
HORSE POWER	2.28	18.30	.01	.08	.00
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	3.510	3.517	.000	3.510	.000
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	1.397	1.395	.000	1.397	.000
INLET ENTHALPY	4549.16	4671.86	.00	4549.16	.00
OUTLET ENTHALPY	4528.48	4549.16	.00	4532.69	.00
INLET ENTROPY	15.86	15.68	.00	.00	.00
NOZZLE AREA	.23	.15	.00	.00	.00
FLOW PARAMETER	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION

OXIDIZER	FUEL
28.93	28.99
.124	.153
24.28	1.14
17.01	237.67
178.72	1360.81
764.19	1306.75

HEAT RATE	(BTU/SEC)
FLOW RATE	(LB/SEC)
PRESSURE LOSS	(PSID)
INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)
INLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)

Note: OTV Off Design model output for
the heat exchanger is inaccurate
predicting pressure loss and temperatures
& values are estimated on schematics

RI/RD88-291

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

PERFORMANCE

THRUST (LBF) 750.00
CHAMBER PRESSURE (PSIA) 183.71
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO (BY WEIGHT) 5.00
AREA RATIO (AE/AT) 1034.40

OOE SPECIFIC IMPULSE (LBF-SEC/LBM) 521.35
OOE CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY (FT/SEC) 8025.12

SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) 99.967
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) 97.392
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) 98.574
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) 99.543
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) 94.818

EFFECTIVE TON SPECIFIC IMPULSE (LBF-SEC/LBM) 503.12
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS (LBF-SEC/LBM) 26.40

DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE (LBF-SEC/LBM) 478.94 (T/C)
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE (LBF-SEC/LBM) 534.64 (DUMP)
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE (LBF-SEC/LBM) 477.32 (ENGINE)

SYSTEM PRESSURES

	(UNITS)	PROPELLANT OXIDIZER	FUEL	GAS OXIDIZER	FUEL
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	18.	19.		
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.	24.		
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.	23.		
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	212.	501.		
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)		499.		
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)				418.
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)				415.
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)			212.	244.
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			210.	233.
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)			244.	319.
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			233.	244.
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	191.			233.
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)				184.

RI/RD88-291

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

	(UNITS)	REGEN. COOLED	RADIATION COOLED
BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)	427.93	1034.40
SEGMENT LENGTH	(INCHES)	32.54	89.54
B/L Q	(BTU/SEC)	774.60	.00
TOTAL Q	(BTU/SEC)	1145.32	.00
ADIABATIC LOSS	(NONE)	.02320	.00792
NET HEAT LOSS	(NONE)	.04812	.00762

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

HEAT RATE
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)
DELTA-T (DEG-R)
THERMAL EFFICIENCY
LENGTH
FRONTAL AREA
MATERIAL FLAG
CHANNEL DIAMETER
WALL THICKNESS
CHANNEL SPACING
WEIGHT

(UNITS)
(BTU/SEC)
(DEG-R)
(DEG-R)
(FRACTION)
(INCH)
(IN**2)
(NONE)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(LBM)

.18
1408.33
1408.19
.000
.00
16.00
.00
.100
.025
.050
.005

CHANNEL HEIGHT
LOSS FACTOR
FRICTION FACTOR
FLOW RATE
HEAT TRANSFER COEF
FLOW AREA
DELTAP
OUTLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
OUTLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
OUTLET ENTHALPY

(INCH)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(LBM/SEC)
(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)
(IN**2)
(PSIO)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM)

COLD SIDE
HOT SIDE
.100
.500
.020
.105
.001
4.267
.01
499.95
51.03
51.25
-59.00
-58.30
.100
.500
.020
.105
.001
4.267
232.77
1320.98
1320.49
4532.40
4530.67

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE	TEMPERATURE	ENTHALPY	ENTROPY	DENSITY
BEFORE DEMS CALL:	18 8160	(PSIA)	(DEG R)	(BTU/LB)	(BTU/LB-R)	(LB/FT3)
		37.8000	-106.6670	1.9983		
ENGINE FUEL INLET		18.8	37.80	-106.67	2.00	4.37
ENGINE OXID INLET		16.3	162.70	-57.47	.70	71.15
BOOST PUMP OUTLET		23.6	37.93	-106.23	2.02	4.36
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		17.2	163.16	-57.44	.70	71.07
MAIN PUMP OUTLET		500.6	51.57	-57.28	3.68	4.09
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		210.2	165.09	-56.44	.72	70.93
MAIN VALVE OUTLET		500.2	51.57	-57.28	3.67	4.09
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET		190.8	165.17	-56.44	.73	70.90
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLO)		499.9	51.25	-58.30	3.68	4.10
COMBUSTOR OUTLET		417.9	1092.41	3739.56	11.70	.07
NOZZLE OUTLET		415.0	1359.71	4671.86	12.45	.06
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF		415.0	1359.71	4671.86	12.45	.06
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET		319.2	1360.31	4671.86	12.57	.04
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		244.2	1325.71	4549.16	12.79	.03
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET		243.6	1325.71	4549.16	12.79	.03
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET		233.0	1319.86	4528.48	12.85	.03
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		233.0	1321.07	4532.69	12.91	.03
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER		232.6	1306.75	4482.62	13.22	.03
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)		232.8	1320.49	4530.67	12.87	.03
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET		232.8	1312.65	4502.20	13.07	.03
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET		190.6	165.18	-56.45	.74	70.89

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 40.604
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 58.442

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115 TIMES 4094.

ENGINE DESCRIPTION

	(UNITS)	750.00 (ENG)	750.00 (T/C)
THRUST	(LBF)	6.0000 (ENG)	6.0674 (T/C)
MIXTURE RATIO	(NONE)	468.8016 (ENG)	470.2641 (T/C)
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LB-SEC/LBM)	59.179	
MAIN TURBINE BYPASS	(PERCENT)	5.508	

OXIDIZER

	(DEG R)	162.70	37.80
INLET PROPELLANT TEMPERATURE	(FT)	2.00	15.00
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	1.36919	.22566 (T/C)
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	1.37128	.22855 (ENG)
OVERBOARD LEAKAGE FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	.00190	.00282
PRESSURANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	.13400	.00211

COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION

CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)	178.83	
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)	6142.00	
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)	1034.40	
BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)	427.93	
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH	(PERCENT)	93.61	
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION	(KCAL/MOLE)	3.13	
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	.00	
NOZZLE LENGTH	(INCHES)	89.54	
COMBUSTOR LENGTH	(INCHES)	20.00	
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT)	(INCHES)	117.00	
ENGINE LENGTH (RET)	(INCHES)	60.00	
CONTRACTION RATIO	(NONE)	4.00	
C SUB F	(NONE)	1.973	
THROAT AREA	(IN*2)	2.125	
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH	(BTU/SEC)	83.74	

COMBUSTOR

COOLANT FLOW RATE	(LBS/SEC)	.228	
COOLANT DELTA P	(PSID)	67.60	
HEAT INPUT	(BTU/SEC)	931.93	
OUTLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	385.29	
INLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)	50.42	
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	(DEG R)	1175.97	
INLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)	-61.76	
OUTLET ENTHALPY	(BTU/LBS)	4029.68	
COOLANT DENSITY	(LBS/FT*3)	2.084	

NOZZLE

		.228	
		1.16	
		226.66	
		392.73	
		1175.98	
		1460.50	
		4029.68	
		5024.80	
		.055	

RI/R088-291

TURBINE DESCRIPTION

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

	MAIN TURBINE	BOOST TURBINE	AUXILIARY
	OXIDIZER	OXIDIZER	HPFT
DIAMETER	4.229	1.761	.000
FLOW RATE	.071	2.132	1.684
ADMISSION	.513	1.505	.009
TIP SPEED	282.05	10.35	.080
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.141	.031	78.90
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.000	.250
VELOCITY RATIO	.094	.712	.000
INLET PRESSURE	231.12	198.63	231.12
OUTLET PRESSURE	220.96	197.01	220.96
EFFICIENCY	.330	.800	.280
PRESSURE RATIO	1.05	1.01	1.05
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	1427.85	.00	1427.85
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	1421.38	.00	1422.88
HORSE POWER	2.09	.01	.08
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	3.522	.000	3.522
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	1.391	.000	1.391
INLET ENTHALPY	4906.76	.00	4906.76
OUTLET ENTHALPY	4885.93	.00	4889.08
INLET ENTROPY	16.17	.00	.00
NOZZLE AREA	.23	.15	.00
FLOW PARAMETER	.00	.00	.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION

	OXIDIZER	FUEL
HEAT RATE	31.36	31.36
FLOW RATE	.134	.134
PRESSURE LOSS	24.28	.14
INLET PRESSURE	17.07	225.04
INLET TEMPERATURE	178.72	1461.49
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	811.98	1394.64

Note: QTV Off D.
the heat exchanger
predicting pressure
& values are of

RI/RD88-291

PERFORMANCE

THRUST	(LBF)	750.00		
CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)	178.83		
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO	(BY WEIGHT)	6.00		
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)	1034.40		
ODE SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	519.42		
ODE CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	7675.51		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.300		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	95.864		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.574		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	98.801		
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	95.176		
EFFECTIVE TON SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	493.34		
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	25.06		
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	470.28	(Y/C)	
C-DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	534.64	(DUMP)	
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	488.60	(ENGINE)	
SYSTEM PRESSURES				
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(UNITS)	PROPELLANT	GAS	FUEL
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	OXIDIZER		
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	FUEL		
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	15.		
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.		
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.		
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	198.		
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	453.		
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)			385.
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)		199.	383.
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)		197.	231.
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)		231.	221.
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)		221.	298.
				232.
				221.
				179.

RI/RD88-291

A-37

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)	REGEN.	RADIATION
SEGMENT LENGTH	(INCHES)	COOLED	COOLED
B/L Q	(BTU/SEC)	427.93	1034.40
TOTAL Q	(BTU/SEC)	32.54	89.54
ADIABATIC LOSS	(NONE)	705.23	.00
NET HEAT LOSS	(NONE)	1074.85	.00
		.02322	.00792
		.04388	.00744

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

(UNITS)

HEAT RATE	(BTU/SEC)	.18
DELTA-T	(DEG-R)	1530.05
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)	(DEG-R)	1529.88
THERMAL EFFICIENCY	(FRACTION)	.000
LENGTH	(INCH)	.00
FRONTAL AREA	(IN**2)	16.00
MATERIAL FLAG	(NONE)	.00
CHANNEL DIAMETER	(INCH)	.100
WALL THICKNESS	(INCH)	.025
CHANNEL SPACING	(INCH)	.050
WEIGHT	(LBM)	.005

	COLD SIDE	HOT SIDE
CHANNEL HEIGHT	.100	.100
LOSS FACTOR	.500	.500
FRICTION FACTOR	.020	.020
FLOW RATE	.228	.092
HEAT TRANSFER COEF	.001	.001
FLOW AREA	4.267	4.267
DELTA P	.00	.02
OUTLET PRESSURE	453.75	220.81
INLET TEMPERATURE	50.17	1422.87
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	50.42	1422.33
INLET ENTHALPY	-62.53	4889.04
OUTLET ENTHALPY	-61.76	4887.13

(INCH)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(LBM/SEC)
(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)
(IN**2)
(PSID)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM)

RI/RD88-291

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE		TEMPERATURE		ENTHALPY		ENTROPY		DENSITY	
BEFORE DEMS CALL:		37.8000		(DEG R)		(BTU/LB)		(BTU/LB-R)		(LB/FT3)	
		106.6870		1.9983							
ENGINE FUEL INLET		18.8		37.80		-106.67		2.00		4.37	
ENGINE OXID INLET		16.3		162.70		-57.47		.70		71.15	
BOOST PUMP OUTLET		23.6		37.95		-106.18		2.02		4.36	
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		17.3		163.16		-57.44		.70		71.07	
MAIN PUMP OUTLET		454.3		51.30		-59.00		3.66		4.07	
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		196.8		164.83		-58.57		.72		70.96	
MAIN VALVE OUTLET		454.0		51.30		-59.00		3.67		4.07	
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET		186.6		164.88		-56.57		.73		70.94	
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)		453.8		50.42		-61.76		3.68		4.11	
COMBUSTOR OUTLET		385.3		1175.97		4029.68		11.70		.06	
NOZZLE OUTLET		382.7		1460.50		5024.80		12.45		.05	
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF		382.7		1460.50		5024.80		12.45		.05	
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET		297.7		1461.03		5024.80		12.57		.04	
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		231.6		1427.85		4906.76		12.79		.03	
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET		231.1		1427.85		4906.76		12.79		.03	
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET		221.0		1421.98		4885.93		12.85		.03	
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		221.0		1422.88		4889.08		12.91		.03	
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER		220.7		1394.64		4790.00		13.22		.03	
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)		220.8		1422.32		4887.13		12.87		.03	
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET		220.7		1406.21		4829.65		13.07		.03	
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET		186.4		164.83		-56.60		.74		70.95	

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 38.100
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 58.442

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115
TIMES 4094+

RI/RD88-291

ENGINE DESCRIPTION

	(UNITS)
THRUST	(LBF)
MIXTURE RATIO	(NONE)
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LB-SEC/LBM)
MAIN TURBINES BYPASS	(PERCENT)
MAIN OX TURBINE BYPASS	(PERCENT)

750.00 (ENG)	750.00 (T/C)
7.0000 (ENG)	7.0787 (T/C)
454.0315 (ENG)	455.3547 (T/C)
58.727	
4.393	

OXIDIZER

FUEL

162.70	37.80
2.00	15.00
1.44319	.20388 (T/C)
1.44538	.20648 (ENG)
.00201	.00255
.13400	.00191

INLET PROPELLANT TEMPERATURE
INLET MPISH
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE
PROPELLANT FLOW RATE
OVERBOARD LEAKAGE FLOW RATE
PRESSURANT FLOW RATE

(DEG R)
(FT)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)
(LBS/SEC)

COMBUSTOR AND NOZZLE DESCRIPTION

CHAMBER PRESSURE
CHAMBER TEMPERATURE
AREA RATIO
BREAKPOINT EPSILON
NOZZLE PERCENT LENGTH
FUEL INLET HEAT OF FORMATION
DUMP COOLING FLOW RATE
NOZZLE LENGTH
COMBUSTOR LENGTH
ENGINE LENGTH (EXT)
ENGINE LENGTH (RET)
CONTRACTION RATIO
C SUB P
THROAT AREA
HEAT LOSS BEFORE BL ATTACH

(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(AE/AT)
(NONE)
(PERCENT)
(KCAL/MOLE)
(LBS/SEC)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(INCHES)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(IN**2)
(BTU/SEC)

178.95
6214.00
1034.40
427.93
93.61
3.37
.00
89.54
20.00
117.00
60.00
4.00
1.994
2.125
79.07

COMBUSTOR

NOZZLE

COOLANT FLOW RATE
COOLANT DELTA P
HEAT IMPJT
OUTLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
OUTLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
OUTLET ENTHALPY
COOLANT DENSITY

(LBS/SEC)
(PSID)
(BTU/SEC)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBS)
(BTU/LBS)
(LBS/FT**3)

.206
58.95
881.19
363.39
49.92
1230.19
1525.20
4218.16
5252.13
050

PUMP DESCRIPTION

OTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

PUMP

WHEEL SPEED

EFFICIENCY

HORSEPOWER

INLET PRESSURE

OUTLET PRESSURE

FLOW RATE

DIAMETER

EFFECTIVE DENSITY

IMPELLER

INLET FLOW VELOCITY

TIP SPEED

FLOW COEFFICIENT

HEAD COEFFICIENT

DELTA P

EFFICIENCY

DELIVERED NPSH

STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED

IMPELLER

INLET FLOW VELOCITY

TIP SPEED

FLOW COEFFICIENT

HEAD COEFFICIENT

HEAD RISE PER STAGE

EFFICIENCY

DELIVERED NPSH

STAGE SPECIFIC SPEED

(RPM)

(FRACTION)

(HP)

(PSIA)

(PSIA)

(LBS/SEC)

(IN)

(LB/FT**3)

(FT/SEC)

(FT/SEC)

(NONE)

(NONE)

(PSID)

(FRACTION)

(FT)

(RPM*GPM**5/FT**75)

(FT/SEC)

(FT/SEC)

(NONE)

(NONE)

(FT)

(FRACTION)

(FT)

(RPM*GPM**5/FT**75)

(FT)

(RPM*GPM**5/FT**75)

MAIN PUMP	BOOST PUMP	AUXILIARY
OXIDIZER	FUEL	FUEL PUMP
14828.8	49207.4	1167.3
5131	3624	7048
1.98	13.61	.01
17.14	23.53	16.34
188.05	423.51	17.38
1.58	.21	1.58
2.31	2.22	2.25
71.14	4.94	1.93
4.83	11.10	.89
62.34	200.00	11.48
.08	.08	.08
.11	.10	.00
6.57	4.14	1.02
.752	.752	4.81
2.01	9.30	.415
6722.38	5863.87	2.00
4.39	11.18	.00
149.61	476.68	.00
.07	.06	.00
.48	.41	.00
332.68	282.63	.00
.51	.35	.00
1.24	6.75	.00
601.07	544.17	.00

K = 750

MR = 7

TURBINE DESCRIPTION

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6.0

TURBINE DESCRIPTION	MAIN TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	BOOST TURBINE OXIDIZER	FUEL	AUXILIARY HPFT
TYPE	4.229	1.761	2.132	1.684	.000
DIAMETER	.067	.084	1.579	.008	.000
FLOW RATE	.513	.421	.900	.080	.000
ADMISSION	273.82	378.42	10.87	78.90	.00
TIP SPEED	.141	.254	.031	.250	.000
FIRST STG BLADE HEIGHT	.163	.374	.000	.000	.000
SECOND STG BLADE HEIGHT	.091	.151	.712	.125	.000
VELOCITY RATIO	223.53	284.31	188.26	223.59	.00
INLET PRESSURE	213.77	224.01	186.48	213.77	.00
OUTLET PRESSURE	.315	.329	.800	.280	.000
EFFICIENCY	1.05	1.27	1.01	1.05	.00
PRESSURE RATIO	1492.75	1525.68	.00	1493.75	.00
MAIN TURBINE INLET TEMP	1487.93	1493.74	.00	1488.58	.00
MAIN TURBINE EXIT TEMP	1.96	13.56	.01	.07	.00
MORSE POWER	3.531	3.532	.000	3.531	.000
GAS SPECIFIC HEAT	1.387	1.386	.000	1.387	.000
GAS PROCESS GAMMA	5133.27	5252.13	.00	5138.27	.00
INLET ENTHALPY	5117.56	5138.27	.00	5119.87	.00
OUTLET ENTHALPY	16.36	16.20	.00	.00	.00
INLET ENTROPY	.23	.15	.00	.00	.00
NOZZLE AREA	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
FLOW PARAMETER	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION

GASEOUS OXIDIZER HEAT EXCHANGER DESCRIPTION	OXIDIZER	FUEL
HEAT RATE	32.84	32.84
FLOW RATE	.134	.120
PRESSURE LOSS	24.28	1.14
INLET PRESSURE	17.14	217.31
INLET TEMPERATURE	178.72	1526.10
OUTLET TEMPERATURE	841.93	1446.21

Note. QTV Off Design model output for
the heat exchanger is inaccurate
predicting pressure loss and temperatures
& values are estimated on schematics

RI/RD88-291

PERFORMANCE

THRUST	(LBF)	750.00	
CHAMBER PRESSURE	(PSIA)	178.95	
ENGINE MIXTURE RATIO	(BY WEIGHT)	7.00	
AREA RATIO	(AE/AT)	1034.40	
ODE SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	513.74	
ODE CHARACTERISTIC VELOCITY	(FT/SEC)	7361.47	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE ENERGY RELEASE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.800	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE REACTION KINETIC EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	93.863	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE DIVERGENCE EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.574	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE HEAT LOSS EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	99.659	
SPECIFIC IMPULSE BOUNDARY LAYER EFFICIENCY	(PERCENT)	95.358	
EFFECTIVE TON SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	477.57	
BOUNDARY LAYER IS LOSS	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	23.85	
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	455.35	17/C
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	534.64	DUMP
DELIVERED SPECIFIC IMPULSE	(LBF-SEC/LBM)	454.03	ENGINE
SYSTEM PRESSURES	(UNITS)		
ENGINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	19.	FUEL
BOOST PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	17.	
MAIN PUMP INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	24.	
MAIN PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	424.	
COOLING JACKET INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	188.	
COMBUSTOR DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	186.	
NOZZLE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	224.	
BOOST TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	214.	
BOOST TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	224.	
MAIN TURBINE INLET PRESSURE	(PSIA)	214.	
MAIN TURBINE DISCHARGE PRESSURE	(PSIA)	214.	
CHAMBER INJECTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	185.	
CHAMBER COMBUSTION PRESSURE	(PSIA)	177.	

RI/RD88-291

A-43

NOZZLE SEGMENTATION SUMMARY

BREAKPOINT EPSILON	(NONE)	427.93	REGEN.	RADIATION
SEGMENT LENGTH	(INCHES)	32.54	COOLED	COOLED
B/L Q	(BTU/SEC)	649.21		
TOTAL Q	(BTU/SEC)	1014.90		
ADIABATIC LOSS	(NONE)	.02322		
NET HEAT LOSS	(NONE)	04077		

HEAT EXCHANGER DESIGN

QTV OFF-DESIGN MODEL
VERSION 6 0

(UNITS)

HEAT RATE
DELTA-T
DELTA-T (AVERAGE)
THERMAL EFFICIENCY
LENGTH
FRONTAL AREA
WATERIAL FLAG
CHANNEL DIAMETER
WALL THICKNESS
CHANNEL SPACING
WEIGHT

(BTU/SEC)
(DEG-R)
(DEG-R)
(FRACTION)
(INCH)
(IN**2)
(NONE)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(INCH)
(LBM)

.17
1610.87
1610.89
.000
.00
16.00
.00
.100
.025
.050
.005

COLD SIDE

HOT SIDE

CHANNEL HEIGHT
LOSS FACTOR
FRICTION FACTOR
FLOW RATE
HEAT TRANSFER COEF
FLOW AREA
DELTAP
OUTLET PRESSURE
INLET TEMPERATURE
OUTLET TEMPERATURE
INLET ENTHALPY
OUTLET ENTHALPY

(INCH)
(NONE)
(NONE)
(LBM/SEC)
(BTU/SQIN-R-SEC)
(IN**2)
(PSID)
(PSIA)
(DEG R)
(DEG R)
(BTU/LBM)
(BTU/LBM)

.100
.500
.020
.208
.001
4.267
.02
213.63
1488.62
1488.04
5119.99
5117.95

RI/R088-291

A-41

PROPELLANT PROPERTIES SUMMARY		PRESSURE		TEMPERATURE		ENTHALPY		ENTROPY		DENSITY	
BEFORE DENS CALL:		(PSIA)		(DEG R)		(BTU/LB)		(BTU/LB-R)		(LB/FT3)	
18.8160	37.8500	-106.6670	1.9983								
ENGINE FUEL INLET		18.8	37.80	-106.67	2.00	4.37					
ENGINE OXID INLET		16.3	162.70	-57.47	7.9	71.15					
BOOST PUMP OUTLET		23.6	37.96	-106.14	2.02	4.36					
BOOST OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		17.4	163.16	-57.44	.70	71.07					
MAIN PUMP OUTLET		423.5	51.16	-59.96	3.66	4.05					
MAIN OXIDIZER PUMP OUTLET		186.3	164.63	-56.67	.72	70.98					
MAIN VALVE OUTLET		423.3	51.16	-59.96	3.67	4.05					
MAIN OXIDIZER VALVE OUTLET		185.3	164.63	-56.67	.73	70.98					
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (COLD)		423.1	49.92	-63.89	3.68	4.11					
COMBUSTOR OUTLET		363.4	1230.19	4218.16	11.70	.06					
NOZZLE OUTLET		361.1	1525.20	5252.13	12.45	.04					
FUEL PRESSURIZATION TAP-OFF		361.1	1525.20	5252.13	12.45	.04					
MAIN FUEL TURBINE INLET		284.3	1525.68	5252.13	12.57	.03					
MAIN FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		224.0	1493.74	5139.27	12.78	.03					
MAIN OXID TURBINE INLET		223.6	1493.75	5138.27	12.79	.03					
MAIN OXID TURBINE OUTLET		213.8	1437.93	5117.56	12.85	.03					
BOOST FUEL TURBINE OUTLET		213.8	1488.58	5119.87	12.91	.03					
GASEOUS OXYGEN HEAT EXCHANGER		213.5	1448.21	4977.87	13.22	.03					
HEAT EXCH OUTLET (HOT)		213.6	1488.04	5117.95	12.87	.03					
MAIN FUEL INJECTOR INLET		213.5	1464.88	5035.68	13.07	.03					
MAIN OXIDIZER INJECTOR INLET		185.3	164.59	-56.70	.74	70.99					

FUEL PUMP OVERALL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 36.244
FUEL PUMP IDEAL EFFICIENCY (PERCENT) = 58.442

ERROR SUMMARY
ERROR 0115
TIMES 4094+

APPENDIX B
OTVE HIGH-PRESSURE FUEL TURBOPUMP ROTORDYNAMIC ANALYSIS

ANALYSIS: CROSS SECTION 1

The first cross section analyzed is shown in Figure B-1. It employs an inducer stage and four pump stages. The turbine has two stages and is of the partial emission/expander cycle variety. The shaft is radially supported by two hydrostatic bearings, located axially as shown, using liquid hydrogen as their working fluid. Wherever possible damper seals are utilized to help control the rotordynamics. These include the pump impeller wear ring seals, and the pump and turbine interstage seals. Figure B-2 shows the finite element beam model for this configuration. Table B-1 shows the stiffness, damping and cross coupling for the seals and bearings. All values are for a shaft speed of 200,000 rpm.

Figures B-3 and B-4 show the natural frequency and stability maps for this model. Note that the first two criticals are below 50,000 rpm and the third is at about 80,000 rpm. The fourth mode is the natural frequency of the draw bolt and is not important due to the presence of deflection limiters. The fifth mode is thus actually the fourth rotor mode. Note that each mode appears as two curves which diverge at increasing speed. The lower frequency curve is the backward component of the mode, and is not important. The higher frequency curve is the forward component of the mode. When a forward component frequency equals shaft speed that speed is a critical speed.

The stability map of Figure B-4 shows the log decs for the first two rotor modes only. Note that the first mode is unstable for all speeds, and the second mode is unstable for all speeds above 170,000 rpm.

These results are summarized in Table B-2.

RI/RD88-291

B-1





Figure B-1. OTV High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Preliminary Configuration

otv hptp free free model 5/27/88

Assembling Assembly Model

material 1
material 2



Nodal Placement

7.344

Axial Coordinates

Figure 8-2. Finite Element Beam Model Preliminary Configuration - *otv hptp free free model*

Table B-1. KXX, KXY, and CXX for Seals and Bearings, etc.
at 200,000 rpm

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	188620	16726	1.6
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	14550	5445	.31
2ND W.R.S.	14550	5445	.31
3RD W.R.S.	14550	5445	.31
4TH W.R.S.	14550	5445	.31
T/E BEARING	188620	16726	1.6
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA=1.5		483	
P.I.S.=PUMP INTERSTAGE SEAL			
W.R.S.=WEAR RING SEAL			
T.T.S.=TURBINE TIP SEAL			
T.I.S.=TURBINE INTERSTAGE SEAL			

To improve the rotordynamics of the turbopump the first step taken was to consider lengthening the W.R.S. from 0.1 to 0.2 in., and to include a swirl brake on each W.R.S. to reduce the inlet swirl ratio from 0.5 to 0.25. Table B-3 lists the new rotordynamic coefficients and the analysis results.

The only significant change is that the second mode has been stabilized by the reduced cross coupling and increased damping. The first mode is still unstable throughout the entire operating range.

OTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
DAMPED CRITICAL SPEED AND STABILITY ANALYSIS




Figure B-3. Rotordynamic Critical Speed Plot

RI/RD88-291

B-5

OTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
 DAMPED CRITICAL SPEED AND STABILITY ANALYSIS
 O=MODE 1 X=MODE 2 *MODE 3 .-MODE 4




Figure B-4. Log Decrement Stability Plot

RI/RD88-291

B-6

Table B-2. Summary of Results for Initial Model

FIRST CRITICAL SPEED	= 10,000 RPM
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED	= 30,000 RPM
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= -.14 (UNSTABLE)
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= -.02 (UNSTABLE)
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM	= 55,000 CPM

Table B-3. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for First Iteration.
Lengthened W.R.S with Swirl Brakes.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	188620	16726	1.6
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	188620	16726	1.6
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA=1.5		483	

FIRST CRITICAL SPEED	= < 50,000 RPM
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED	= < 50,000 RPM
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= -.12 (UNSTABLE)
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= +.17 (STABLE)
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM	= 55,000 CPM

ANALYSIS: CROSS SECTION 2

The second cross section analyzed, Figure B-5, employs a turbine end bearing with a diameter of 0.723 in. (increased from 0.6 in., length still the same at 0.4 in.). Table B-4 lists the rotordynamic coefficients used, and summarizes the results.

ANALYSIS: CROSS SECTION 3

The third cross section analyzed, Figure B-6, employs the same enlarged turbine end bearing in conjunction with a pump end bearing enlarged to a diameter of 0.723 in. (increased from 0.6 in., length still same 0.4 in.). Table B-5 lists the rotordynamic coefficients used in the analysis.


In this case there also was a small benefit, but not very substantial.

ANALYSIS: CROSS SECTION 4

The fourth cross section analyzed, Figures B-7 and B-8, employs the same enlarged turbine end bearing in conjunction with a pump end bearing moved out onto the first stage pump impeller shroud by making the inducer now out of Titanium and integral with the first impeller. The cross over between the first and second stage pump impellers is made identical to those between the other pump impellers. The diameter of the pump end bearing is now 1.25 in. (length still same 0.4 in.). Table B-6 lists the rotordynamic coefficients used, and summarizes the results.

Figures B-9 and B-10 show the natural frequency and stability maps for this analysis. The change to the pump end bearing is seen to have a substantial improvement on the frequency and stability of the second rotor mode. Also, the third rotor mode has now been moved entirely out of the operating range. The first rotor mode, however, is still unstable and has too low a frequency at 200,000 rpm.

INCREASED SHAFT DIAMETER AT
TURBINE END RADIAL HYDROSTATIC BEARING



0 1 2 3
INCHES

Figure B-5. OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Cross Section No. 2

RI/RD88-291


B-9

Table B-4. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Second Iteration.
Increased Diameter T/E Bearing.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	188620	16726	1.6
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA=1.5		483	
FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM			
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM			
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = -.07 (UNSTABLE)			
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.09 (STABLE)			
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 60,000 CPM			

On all rotordynamic analyses to this point, both turbine stages have been assumed to be unshrouded. Thus a blade tip efficiency factor (Beta) of 1.5 had been used to compute the Alford's force aerodynamic cross coupling for each turbine wheel. If the blades are shrouded then the Beta factor would be more on the order of 0.25. Table B-7 summarizes the reanalysis of the case in Table B-6 using a Beta factor of 0.25.

INCREASED SHAFT DIAMETER AT
PUMP END RADIAL HYDROSTATIC BEARING



0 1 2 3
INCHES

Figure B-6. OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Cross Section No. 3

RI/RD88-291

B-11

**Table B-5. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for
Third Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing
and Increased Diameter P/E Bearing.**

	<u>Kxx</u> <u>LB-S/in</u>	<u>Kxy</u> <u>LB-S/in</u>	<u>CXX</u> <u>LB-S/in</u>
P/E Bearing	299157	20656	2.0
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E Bearing	299157	20656	2.0
T.T.S. (Each)	00	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA = 1.5		483	

There is seen to be an enhancement to the stability of the first rotor mode, but it is still predicted to be unstable throughout the entire operating speed range.

On all analyses described thus far the rotordynamic coefficients of the turbine interstage seal have been based on a pressure differential of 50 psi. This seal delta p was then revised to 647 psi. New rotordynamic coefficients were calculated and are listed in Table B-8 along with the results of the reanalysis.

This modification to the turbine interstage seal has stabilized the first rotor mode. The log dec for this mode is greater than 0.07 throughout the entire operating speed range. The frequency of this mode, however, is still less than 50% of running speed at 200,000 rpm. Also, the log dec of 0.41 for the second rotor mode is still too small to permit sustained operation at the second critical speed of 90,000 rpm.





Figure B-7. OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Cross Section No. 4

RI/RD88-291

B-13

Rotating Assembly Model

material 1
material 2



Node Placement



Axial Coordinates

Figure B-8. Finite Element Beam Model Cross Section No. 4

Table B-6. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Fourth Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing and P/E Bearing on 1st Impeller Shroud.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	494671	39486	4.9
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA=1.5		483	

FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM
 SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = 90,000 RPM
 1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = -.07 (UNSTABLE)
 2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.41 (STABLE)
 FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 60,000 CPM

Another iteration that was tried was to put damper seals on the turbine shrouds. Table B-9 shows the rotordynamic coefficients and summarizes the results found.

This change further enhances the stability of the first rotor mode to the point that its log dec is now greater than 0.12 throughout the operating speed range. Its frequency has also increased to 71,000 cpm at 200,000 rpm, but is still less than 50%. The second mode is unaffected.

QTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
WRS L=.2 , SWRL=.25, T/E AND P/E BRG OD=.723, ON SHROUD




Figure B-9. Rotordynamic Critical Speed Plot Cross Section No. 4

RI/RD88-291

B-16

OTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
 WRS L-.21, SWRL-.25, T/E AND P/E BRG OD-.723, ON SHROUD
 O=MODE 1 X=MODE 2 * =MODE 3 . =MODE 4




Figure B-10. Log Decrement Stability Plot Cross Section No. 4

RI/RD88-291

B-17

Table B-7. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Fifth Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing P/E Bearing on 1st Impeller Shroud and a Beta Factor of 0.25.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	494671	39486	4.9
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	405	126	.065
ALFORD BETA=0.25		121	

FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM
 SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = 90,000 RPM
 1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = -.015 (UNSTABLE)
 2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.41 (STABLE)
 FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 60,000 CPM

The last iteration performed on this cross section was to increase the diameter of the turbine interstage seal from 0.65 in. to 1.10 in., and employ a honeycomb stator. Its length and delta p remain unchanged. Table B-10 shows the rotordynamic coefficients and summarizes the results obtained.

The added direct stiffness of the large diameter seal raises the frequency of the first mode to 83,000 cpm, but it is still below 50% of running speed. The log dec of the first mode decreased a small amount which can be neglected. The second rotor mode was unaffected.

RI/RD88-291

B-18

Table B-8. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Sixth Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing P/E Bearing on 1st Impeller Shroud, a beta Factor of 0.25, 647 psi T.I.S. Delta P.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	494671	39486	4.9
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
T.T.S. (EACH)	0	0	0
T.I.S.	6000	750	.44
ALFORD BETA=0.25		121	

FIRST CRITICAL SPEED	= < 50,000 RPM
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED	= 90,000 RPM
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= +.10 (STABLE)
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM	= +.41 (STABLE)
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM	= 65,000 CPM

ANALYSIS: CROSS SECTION 5

The fifth and final cross section analyzed, Figures B-11 and B-12, has several changes to the shaft including: shortened turbine overhang, an added rolling element thrust bearing, and a kicker stage between the inducer and first impeller to carry the pump end bearing. Table B-11 lists the rotordynamic coefficients used in this analysis and summarizes the results. The turbine end bearing diameter is 0.723 in. and the pump end bearing diameter is 1.08 in. The turbine interstage seal diameter is 0.65 in.

Table B-9. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Seventh Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing P/E Bearing on 1st Impeller Shroud, a Beta Factor of 0.25, 647 psi T.I.S. Delta P, and Turbine Tip Damper Seals.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	494671	39486	4.9
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
1ST T.T.S.	5000	450	.16
2ND T.T.S.	4500	420	.14
T.I.S.	6000	750	.44
ALFORD BETA=0.25		121	
FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM			
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = 90,000 RPM			
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.17 (STABLE)			
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.41 (STABLE)			
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 71,000 CPM			

This new cross section has raised the frequency of the first rotor mode to 96,000 cpm, almost to 50% of shaft speed, Figure B-13. The log dec is an acceptable 0.14 and is above 0.10 throughout the entire operating range, Figure B-14. The log dec of the second mode, however, has decreased considerably with this cross section and is even below 0.05 through part of the operating range. The log dec of the second mode needs to be increased not only to give it sufficient margin against instability, but also to permit sustained operation at the second critical speed at 60,000 rpm. One analysis which has not

Table B-10. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for Eighth Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing P/E Bearing on 1st Impeller Shroud, a Beta Factor of 0.25, Large Diameter T.I.S., and Turbine Tip Damper Seals.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	494671	39486	4.9
1ST P.I.S.	0	0	0
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	299157	20656	2.0
1ST T.T.S.	5000	450	.16
2ND T.T.S.	4500	420	.14
T.I.S.	30000	1350	.46
ALFORD BETA=0.25		121	
FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM			
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = 90,000 RPM			
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.15 (STABLE)			
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.41 (STABLE)			
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 83,000 CPM			

been performed with this final cross section is one employing the large diameter turbine interstage seal. Incorporating this change should raise the frequency of the first mode above 50% of running speed, but its effect on the stability of the second mode may be beneficial or detrimental.




Figure B-11. OTVE High-Pressure Fuel Turbopump Cross Section No. 5

RI/RD88-291

B-22

Rotational Assembly Model




Figure B-12. Finite Element Beam Model -- Cross Section No. 5

Table B-11. Seal and Bearing Parameters and Results for
Ninth Iteration. Increased Diameter T/E Bearing,
P/E Bearing on Kicker Shroud, a Beta Factor
of 0.25, and Turbine Tip Damper Seals.

	Kxx lb-s/in	Kxy lb-s/in	Cxx lb-s/in
P/E BEARING	511200	38030	3.64
1ST P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
2ND P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
3RD P.I.S.	8100	1800	.17
1ST W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
2ND W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
3RD W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
4TH W.R.S.	29303	5066	1.13
T/E BEARING	327130	24380	2.33
1ST T.T.S.	5000	450	.16
2ND T.T.S.	4500	420	.14
T.I.S.	5150	667	.27
ALFORD BETA=0.25		121	
FIRST CRITICAL SPEED = < 50,000 RPM			
SECOND CRITICAL SPEED = 60,000 RPM			
1ST MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.14 (STABLE)			
2ND MODE LOG DEC @ 200 KRPM = +.06 (STABLE)			
FREQ. OF 1ST MODE @ 200 KRPM = 96,000 CPM			

OTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
TAPERED HONEYCOMB IIS OD-1.1 L-.35, ITIPS INCLUDED




Figure B-13. Rotordynamic Critical Speed Plot Cross Section No. 5

RI/RD88-291

B-25

OTV HPFTP CRITICAL SPEEDS AND STABILITY
 TAPERED HONEYCOMB T15 DD-1.1 L-.35, TTIPS INCLUDED
 O-MODE 1 X-MODE 2 =-MODE 3 .-MODE 4




Figure B-14. Log Decrement Stability Plot Cross Section No. 5

RI/RD88-291

B-26

CONCLUSIONS:

The OTV HPFTP has been analyzed for critical speeds and stability. The primary rotordynamic concerns are: the low frequency, and thus, stability of the first rotor mode, potentially excessive rotor response while operating at or near the second mode critical speed, and the stability of the second rotor mode while at high power level. Potential design changes which may benefit the turbopump's rotordynamics, but have not yet been analyzed include: a foil bearing damper at the turbine interstage seal location, supporting the entire rotor on foil bearings, or incorporating a pressurized damper near the middle of the bearing span. Modifications such as these have the potential to enhance the frequency/stability of the first rotor mode, and raise the damping of the second mode to the level required to permit sustained operation at its critical speed.

1. Report No. CR-190754	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Orbit Transfer Rocket Engine Technology - 7.5 Kib Thrust Rocket Engine Preliminary Design		5. Report Date October 15, 1993	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author (s) T. J. Harmon & E. Roschak		8. Performing Organization Report No. RI/RD88-291	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, California 91303		10. Work Unit No. Tasks D.5 and B.7	
		11. Contract or Grant No. NAS3-23773	
12. Sponsoring agency Name and Address National Aeronautics & Space Administration Lewis Research Center, Space Vehicle Propulsion Branch 21000 Brookpark Rd., Cleveland, Ohio 44135		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report 12/87-10/88	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Program Manager: G. P. Richter, NASA-Lewis Research Center; Cleveland, OH			
16. Abstract A preliminary design of an advanced LOX/ LH2 expander cycle rocket engine producing 7,500 lbf thrust for Orbital Transfer vehicle missions was completed. Engine system, component and turbomachinery analysis at both on design and off design conditions were completed. The preliminary design analysis results showed engine requirements and performance goals were met. Computer models are described and model outputs are presented. Engine system assembly layouts, component layouts and valve and control system analysis are presented. Major design technologies were identified and remaining issues and concerns were listed.			
17. Key words (Suggested by author (s)) Orbit Transfer Vehicle (OTV) Expander Cycle Hydrogen/Oxygen Rocket Engine Space Based Engine High Pressure Fuel Turbopump		18. Distribution Statement Publicly Available Unclassified	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 131	22. Price*