


AD-A277 316



28

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 28 1994
S F D

DoD Base Realignment and Closure Part II (BRAC 91)

Department of the Air Force

94-09380

FY 1995
Budget Estimates

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited

94 3 24 038

Justification Data Submitted to Congress : February 1994

Table of Contents
1991 Commission

	<u>Page</u>
I. Base Closure and Realignment.....	1
II. Financial Summary 1991 Commission.....	9
III. Base Closure and Realignment Detail - By Package	
1. Bergstrom AFB, Texas.....	11
2. Carswell AFB, Texas	20
3. Castle AFB, California	23
4. Eaker AFB, Arkansas	58
5. England AFB, Louisiana.....	61
6. Grissom AFB, Indiana	64
7. Loring AFB, Maine	69
8. Lowry AFB, Colorado	72
9. MacDill AFB, Florida	81
10. Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina.....	83
11. Richards-Gebaur ARS, Missouri	86
12. Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio	89
13. Williams AFB, Arizona	115
14. Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan.....	118
15. Chanute AFB, Illinois	121
16. George AFB, California.....	126
17. Mather AFB, California	129
18. Program Management.....	141

FY 1995 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 COMMISSION

FY 95 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 Commission U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule/Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing the thirteen bases and realigning one base as recommended by the 1991 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close the thirteen bases and realign one base at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and anticipated proceeds from sale of real property at the closure bases. The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and will accrue in other Air Force appropriations. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective. Also included are those requirements generated by the 1991 Base Closure Commission changes to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations. To facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

FY 1992: Base Closure Account Disbursement: \$33.5 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1992 consisted of planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.2 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.6 Million
Funding was due to environmental and restorations actions.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.1 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.8 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.8 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.7 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$29.1 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$ 2.0 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.3 Million
The funding was required to modify on-going construction projects at gaining locations in order to take advantage of reduced design and construction costs. Environmental requirements were also included.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.3 Million
The funding was primarily related to environmental and restoration actions, and some construction.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.7 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.5 Million
Funding was primarily related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and environmental costs.

FY 1993: Base Closure Account Disbursement: \$104.3 Million. Eaker and England AFBs closed 15 December 1992. Myrtle Beach AFB closed 31 March 1993. Bergstrom, Carswell, Williams and Wurtsmith AFBs closed by the end of the year. Additionally, Chanute, George, and Mather AFBs closed as recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission. All Active Duty force structure at these bases relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. Numerous units relocated or inactivated. Funding was primarily related to construction projects and environmental requirements. Funds were required to pay both military and civilian moving costs and civilian severance costs. Additionally, to facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$58.5 Million
The base closed. The funding was related to construction for the units moving to Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, and Fort Hood, TX, and the relocation of military and civilian personnel, and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$38.3 Million

The base closed. Funding was required for construction at gaining locations and for the AF Reserve cantonment area at Carswell. All Active force structure relocated. Funding also was required for personnel moves or severance and environmental cleanup.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.6 Million

The base closes in FY 1995. The funding was primarily related to environmental, operations and maintenance, and military construction costs.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$22.0 Million

The base closed 15 December 1992. Funding was required for environmental, operations and maintenance, and PCS of military personnel.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$34.7 Million

The base closed in 1992. Funding was required for military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.2 Million

Funding consisted of operation and maintenance, environmental, and military construction requirements.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.7 Million

The funding was required primarily for construction of facilities for the alert detachment moving to Bangor AGS, ME, and environmental compliance and restoration costs, and operation and maintenance.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$171.7 Million

Funding was primarily related to construction at gaining locations, moving training courses and equipment, procurement of equipment for the electronics training course, and environmental cleanup.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$34.3 Million

Funding was required for construction to support units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC. Funds were also required for moving people, munitions, and equipment, and environmental actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.9 Million

The base closed 31 March 1993. Funding was primarily related to construction for units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, Pope AFB, NC, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC. The 73 Air (formerly Tactical) Control Squadron moved to Luke AFB, AZ. Funding was required for caretaker costs, relocation of personnel, environmental compliance and restoration actions.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$40.7 Million

Funding was required for construction supporting units moving to Whiteman AFB, MO, as well as operation and maintenance, and environmental costs.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$78.2 Million

The 4950 Test Wing began its relocation to Edwards AFB, CA. Funding was required for construction at the gaining locations to support these units and to move personnel and equipment, and environmental compliance and restoration operations.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$28.3 Million

The base closed. Funding is primarily related to operation and maintenance costs. Also included were costs for military construction, military personnel PCS, and environmental.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.5 Million

The base closed in 1993. Funding primarily related to relocation of forces, environmental compliance and restoration, and military personnel PCS.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.1 Million

The base closed in 1993. Funding required for construction for redirected activities and communications installation at gaining locations, and movement of people and equipment.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$50.7 Million

The base closed in 1992. Most of the funding consists of military construction. Also included are operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.2 Million

The base closed in 1993. Construction is required at Randolph AFB, TX , and on the Mather hospital which will become an annex to McClellan. Communications equipment must be installed in the new facilities. Funds are required for moving people and equipment.

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$92.1 Million

Funding is related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and disposal environmental studies.

FY 1994. Base Closure Account Disbursement: \$0.52 Million. Grissom, Loring, Lowry, Richards-Gebaur and Rickenbacker will close by the end of the year. All active duty force structure at these bases will be relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel will relocate or be eliminated from Air Force end strength. In addition, the flying mission at MacDill will be terminated, and the remainder of the base will be converted to an administrative base. The largest requirements are environmental and operation and maintenance. Funds are required to pay both military and civilian moving and civilian separation costs. Environmental studies will be completed or continued as necessary and environmental restoration will be initiated. Numerous units will be relocated or inactivated. Funds will be required to pay moving or severance costs.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.6 Million

Funding is due to military construction costs, caretaker and disposal management team, and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$8.8 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$44.4 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.4 Million
Funding is due to caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$20.2 Million
Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.5 Million
The base closes in the fourth quarter. Funding is primarily related to construction of the AF Reserve cantonment area, relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.8 Million
The funding is primarily related to relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs. The base closes in the fourth quarter.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$33.2 Million
The base closes in the fourth quarter. Funding supports relocation of remaining training courses to various other Technical Training Centers. The Air Reserve Personnel Center, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and the 3567 USAF Recruiting Squadron remain in a cantonment area. The 1001 Space Systems Squadron will remain in a separate cantonment area.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.7 Million
The base closes in the second quarter. The Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) will remain, although the active flying mission moves. Funding supports environmental, and operation and maintenance costs.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.4 Million
Funding supports environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.7 Million
The base closes the end of the fourth quarter. Funding is for the movement of personnel and equipment, installation of equipment, and training personnel to replace personnel lost due to relocation, and environmental costs.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$52.3 Million
Funding is related to movement of personnel and equipment, construction projects at gaining locations, procurement and installation of equipment, and training of replacement personnel for those lost due to relocation.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.8 Million
Funding supports environmental cleanup, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$49.1 Million
Funding supports environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.7 Million
Funding is required to complete construction at Vandenberg AFB, CA.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.7 Million

Funding is required for construction, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$29.1 Million

Funding is primarily related to planning and design costs for construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker costs, and environmental expenses.

FY 1995. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$0 Million. Castle AFB, CA will close by the end of the year. All other bases will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Grisson. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$0

FY 1996. Base Closure Account Requirement. \$57.8 Million. All bases have closed by the end of FY 95 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.2 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.0 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.8 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.4 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.7 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.1 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.0 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.2 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.0 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.0 Million
Funding supports environmental costs.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$0

FY 1997. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$24.2 Million. All bases closed by the end of FY 95 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Environmental restoration will continue until completion.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$24.2
Funding supports environmental requirements.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	22.4	456.4	45.0	32.5
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	2.1	23.1
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	181.8	212.4	112.9	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	0.3	217.1	231.4	27.1
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	16.9	17.0	0.0
Other	0.1	3.1	3.4	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	204.6	905.8	411.7	82.7
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0.0	0.0	-22.4	-82.7
BUDGET REQUEST	204.6	905.8	389.3	0.0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	48.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	58.0	48.4	37.6
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58.4	58.0	48.4	37.6
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	114.5	12.4	19.0	21.5
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	0.0	21.9	37.3	42.4
Operation & Maintenance	0.0	-3.1	117.1	151.8
Military Personnel	0.0	152.3	359.9	451.3
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	0.0	-1,301.0	-2,535.0	-2,758.0
Military ES	0.0	-7,565.0	-10,692.0	-11,881.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	114.5	183.5	533.3	667.0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	-82.4	443.9	25.9	11.0
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	2.1	23.1
- Operations	0.0	-21.9	-37.3	-42.4
Environmental	181.8	212.4	112.9	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	48.9	220.2	114.3	-124.7
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	-135.5	-342.9	-451.3
Other	0.1	3.1	3.4	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	58.0	48.4	37.6
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	148.4	780.3	-73.2	-546.7

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF FINANCIAL SUMMARY
(DOLLARS IN MILLIONS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	556.2
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	25.2
- Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	57.8	24.2	589.1
Operation & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	475.9
Military Personnel - PCS	0.0	0.0	33.9
Other	0.0	0.0	6.6
Homeowners Assistance Program	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	57.8	24.2	1,686.8
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0.0	0.0	-105.1
BUDGET REQUEST	57.8	24.2	1,581.7
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0.0	0.0	9.8
Family Housing - Operations	0.0	0.0	0.0
Environmental	0.0	0.0	0.0
Operation & Maintenance	0.0	0.0	48.6
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Homeowners Assistance Program	12.4	1.2	157.6
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	12.4	1.2	216.0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	22.2	23.0	212.6
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	0.0
- Operations	43.8	45.3	190.6
Operation & Maintenance	162.0	168.1	595.9
Military Personnel	487.8	507.2	1,958.6
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0
Civilian ES	-2,758.0	-2,758.0	-2,758.0
Military ES	-11,881.0	-11,881.0	-11,881.0
TOTAL SAVINGS	715.8	743.6	2,957.7
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	-22.2	-23.0	353.3
Family Housing - Construction	0.0	0.0	25.2
- Operations	-43.8	-45.3	-190.6
Environmental	57.8	24.2	589.1
Operation & Maintenance	-162.0	-168.1	-71.4
Military Personnel - PCS	-487.8	-507.2	-1,924.7
Other	0.0	0.0	6.6
Homeowners Assistance Program	12.4	1.2	157.6
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0.0	0.0	0.0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-645.6	-718.2	-1,055.0

Bergstrom AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/BERGSTROM AFB, TX
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	14,654	13,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	11,219	22,000	2,863	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	16,848	5,704	826
Military Personnel - PCS	0	4,516	0	0
Other	0	495	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,219	58,513	21,567	826
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-826
BUDGET REQUEST	11,219	58,513	21,567	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	18	1,841	7,169
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	18	1,841	7,169
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	-4,048	-1,187	-1,236
Military Personnel	0	20,554	39,641	41,246
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	30	30	30
Military ES	0	-1,043	-1,043	-1,043
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	18,373	40,387	42,011
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	14,654	13,000	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
Environmental	11,219	22,000	2,863	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	20,896	6,891	2,062
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-16,038	-39,641	-41,246
Other	0	495	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	18	1,841	7,169
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	11,219	40,158	-16,979	-34,016

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/BERGSTROM AFB, TX

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	27,654
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,228	0	37,310
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	23,378
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,516
Other	0	0	495
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,228	0	93,353
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-826
BUDGET REQUEST	1,228	0	92,527
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	153	29	9,210
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	153	29	9,210
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	-1,285	-1,336	-9,092
Military Personnel	42,886	44,596	188,923
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	30	30	30
Military ES	-1,043	-1,043	-1,043
TOTAL SAVINGS	43,670	45,399	189,840
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	27,654
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	1,228	0	37,310
Operation & Maintenance	1,285	1,336	32,470
Military Personnel - PCS	-42,886	-44,596	-184,407
Other	0	0	495
Homeowners Assistance Program	153	29	9,210
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-42,289	-45,370	-87,277

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas

Closure Package: Bergstrom AFB, Texas, closed and assigned RF-4 aircraft retired in FY 1993. The Air Force Reserve units will remain in a cantonment area if the base is converted to a civilian airport. In this case, funds will be required for construction of facilities in later years. As of the writing of this document, the community is considering if Bergstrom should be turned into a civilian airport.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1994</u>			
*Bergstrom AFB	Alt Admin Facility	1994	650
*Bergstrom AFB	Alt Maintenance Shops	1994	4,750
*Bergstrom AFB	Alt Base Engr/NDI Shops	1994	1,700
*Bergstrom AFB	Isolate Utilities/Fence	1994	1,000
*Bergstrom AFB	Munitions Complex	1994	2,650
*Bergstrom AFB	Alt Support Facilities	1994	2,250
Total 1994			13,000
* DD 1391 included for revised project			

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations..

Bergstrom AFB, Texas Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER ADMIN- ISTRATION FACS FOR CANTONMENT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-443	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949002	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER ADMINISTRATION FACS FOR CANTONMENT		LS			558
ALTER 924TH COMMAND SECTION		LS			(65)
ALTER BASE OPERATIONS		LS			(50)
ALTER 10TH AF HQ/BOS		LS			(185)
ALTER 10TH CEF/PRIME RIB		LS			(60)
924TH MEDICAL SQUADRON		LS			(60)
924TH COMMAND POST		LS			(120)
MWR BILLETING		LS			(18)
SUBTOTAL					558
CONTINGENCY (10%)					56
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					614
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					37
TOTAL REQUEST					651
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing space in various facilities by demolishing walls, and adding new walls and finishes. Work includes all necessary utilities and alterations to existing utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Alter administraiton facilities for cantonment. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alteration of admin- istration space is required to house the 924th Command Section(B4555), Base Operations(B4555), Base Operating Support (BOS) & 10th Air Force Headquarters (B2202), 924th Medical Squadron(B2202), 924th Support Group, MWR Billeting(B2103), 10th Civil Engineering Flight (CEF)(B2003), PRIME RIBS, and 924th Command Post(B4531). CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. Existing space within the cantonment can be altered and reconfigured to meet the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949006	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 4,750		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER MAINTENANCE SHOPS		LS			4,065
ALTER VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY		LS			(1,900)
ALTER POL OPERATIONS		LS			(390)
ADD REFUELER VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY		LS			(490)
ADD REFUELER VEHICLE PARKING		LS			(380)
ADD AIRCRAFT PAINT BOOTH		LS			(350)
ADD LIQUID OXYGEN STORAGE FACILITY		LS			(135)
ALTER POL STORAGE		LS			(420)
SUBTOTAL					4,065
CONTINGENCY (10%)					407
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					4,472
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					268
TOTAL REQUEST					4,740
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					4,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing facilities and add to existing facilities to match existing construction. Includes all utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Vehicle Maintenance Facility(B4577), POL Operations(B4532) and POL storage. Add Refueler Vehicle Maintenance Facility/Parking, Aircraft Paint Booth Facility, and Liquid Oxygen (LOX) Storage. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alterations to various facilities are required to house vehicle maintenance administration/shop, and POL Operations. New facilities are required for an aircraft paint booth, a refueler vehicle maintenance shop, LOX storage, and a refueler parking area. Alteration of the existing POL storage is required to provide a fuels loading capability. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. Currently all of the facilities required by this project are outside of the cantonment area. There are facilities within the cantonment area that can be altered for Vehicle Maintenance and POL Operations. There are no facilities in the cantonment that can be adequately altered for the Aircraft Paint Booth,the LOX Storage, and the Refueler Maintenance Shop/Parking. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the alterations and new facilities provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
USAFR					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE ENGINEER/NDI SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
55396F	219-944	BJHZ949005	1,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE ENGINEER/NDI SHOPS	LS			1,466	
ALTER BCE ADMIN/SHOPS/STORAGE	SF	27,000	48	(1,296)	
ALTER NDI SHOP	SF	2,000	85	(170)	
SUBTOTAL				1,466	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				147	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,613	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				97	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,710	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter existing space by demolishing walls and adding new walls and finishes. Work includes all necessary utilities, and alterations to existing utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 29,000 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Alter Base Civil Engineering Administration, Shops, and Storage (B4580) and alter Non-Destructive Inspection (NDI) Shop(B4582). REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alteration of space is required to provide BCE administration, shops and storage. BCE shop space is required for carpentry, plumbing/heating, refrigeration, electrical, sheet-metal, welding and painting. BCE covered storage is required for the supplies and materials used by the by the BCE organization in its day-to-day operations. Altered space is also required to house a NDI shop. CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a contonment area and assume all Civil Engineering and NDI responsibilities. The current Civil Engineering Facility and NDI Shop are outside of the cantonment and are in an area that will be redeveloped into a commercial airport by the City of Austin. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT USAFR	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ISOLATE UTILITIES ADD PERIMETER FENCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 800-100	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949004	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,000	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ISOLATE UTILITIES ADD				
PERIMETER FENCE	LS			900
ISOLATE UTILITIES AND METERING	LS			(400)
PERMETER SECURITY FENCE	LS			(300)
GATE ENTRANCE	LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL				900
CONTINGENCY (5%)				45
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				945
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				57
TOTAL REQUEST				1,002
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a perimeter security fence, construct an entrance gate, and isolate and meter existing utilities to serve the Air Force Reserve Cantonment area.				
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Construct a Perimeter Security fence, a Gate Entrance, and isolate utilities. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequate quantities of water, gas, and electricity are required to serve the cantonment area. A perimeter security fence is required to contain and protect the cantonment. A gate entrance is required to service control of the cantonment. CURRENT SITUATION: Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment. The existing base perimeter fence is much larger than the proposed cantonment, which makes a new perimeter fence and gate entrance essential. The City of Austin plans to convert the surrounding active duty base into a major commercial airport. Existing utilities can be altered and metered to serve the cantonment area. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the work provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to secure themselves from the surrounding proposed commercial businesses. The Reserves will also not be able to control or meter its utilities. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-MUNITIONS COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 216-642	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949003	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-MUNITIONS COMPLEX		SF	15,400	140	2,156
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					235
UTILITIES		LS			(85)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(95)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(55)
SUBTOTAL					2,391
CONTINGENCY (5%)					120
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,511
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					151
TOTAL REQUEST					2,662
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					2,650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a Munitions Complex within the Base Architectural Compatibility Standards. Work includes all necessary utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 15,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a Munitions Complex. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Adequate space is required for a Munitions Complex. This facility requires space for inspection, testing, assemble, maintenance, and storage of missiles, munitions, and munition trailers. Space requirements include missile maintenance bay, classroom, office, parts/tool storage, locker space, trailer maintenance bay, paint spray room, covered storage, and igloos. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Upon realignment of Bergstrom AFB, the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. The existing munitions complex is not in the cantonment area and is now in area that will be used for a commercial airport. No facilities exist within the cantonment area that can be used for a Munitions Complex. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the facility provided by this project the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BERGSTROM AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 442-758	7. PROJECT NUMBER BJHZ949001	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,250		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES	SF	113,000		1,935	
ALTER BASE SUPPLIES ADMIN & STORAGE	SF	83,500	18	(1,503)	
ALTER BASE CONTRACTING	SF	3,000	16	(48)	
ALTER DINING HALL	SF	18,000	16	(288)	
ALTER FITNESS CENTER	SF	6,000	16	(96)	
SUBTOTAL				1,935	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				194	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,129	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				128	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,257	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,250	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter an existing facility by demolishing wall and adding new walls and finishes. Work includes all necessary utilities and alterations to existing utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 113,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Base Supply Administration and Warehouse, Base Contracting, Base Dining Hall, and Physical Fitness Center. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of Bergstrom AFB, TX. Alteration of existing space is required for a Base Supply Warehouse and it's administrative function. Alteration of space is also required for a Dining Hall, Contracting administrative offices, and a Physical Fitness Center with a weight room. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Upon realignment of the Bergstrom AFB the Air Force Reserve will consolidate its units into a cantonment area. The existing Commissary facility can be altered to meet the requirements of this project. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the alterations provided by this project, the Reserves will not be able to properly sustain their mission at Bergstrom AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

Carswell AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	6,349	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	6,644	12,222	1,483	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	16,404	7,330	803
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2,332	0	0
Other	0	1,000	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	6,644	38,307	8,813	803
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances				-803
BUDGET REQUEST	6,644	38,307	8,813	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,029	12,448	3,285
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6,029	12,448	3,285
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,294	3,411	3,531
Operation & Maintenance	0	-24,725	-17,685	-18,300
Military Personnel	0	35,842	66,300	68,985
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-26	-26	-26
Military ES	0	-1,648	-1,648	-1,648
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	14,411	52,026	54,216
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	6,349	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-3,294	-3,411	-3,531
Environmental	6,644	12,222	1,483	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	41,129	25,015	19,103
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-33,510	-66,300	-68,985
Other	0	1,000	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,029	12,448	3,285
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6,644	29,925	-30,765	-50,128

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	6,349
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	20,349
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	24,537
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2,332
Other	0	0	1,000
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	54,567
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances			-803
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	53,764
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	716	119	22,597
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	716	119	22,597
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,651	3,774	17,661
Operation & Maintenance	-18,911	-19,546	-99,167
Military Personnel	71,728	74,588	317,443
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-26	-26	-26
Military ES	-1,648	-1,648	-1,648
TOTAL SAVINGS	56,468	58,816	235,937
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	6,349
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,651	-3,774	-17,661
Environmental	0	0	20,349
Operation & Maintenance	18,911	19,546	123,704
Military Personnel - PCS	-71,728	-74,588	-315,111
Other	0	0	1,000
Homeowners Assistance Program	716	119	22,597
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-55,752	-58,697	-158,773

Carswell AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Carswell Air Force Base, Texas

Closure Package: Carswell AFB, Texas, closed in FY 1993. The 301st Tactical Fighter Wing (AFR), 457th Tactical Fighter Squadron (AFR), 73rd Aerial Port Squadron (AFR), and 20th Medical Services Squadron (AFR) remain at Carswell AFB, in a cantonment area.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Castle AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	16,210	0	28,100
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	23,068
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	25,108	20,203	33,169	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	230	10,723	4,870
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	538	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	25,108	36,643	44,430	56,038
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-56,038
BUDGET REQUEST	25,108	36,643	44,430	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,175	8,636	13,781
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,175	8,636	13,781
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	3,766
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	9,686
Military Personnel	0	0	0	27,231
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	-223
Military ES	0	0	0	-1,189
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	40,683
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	16,210	0	28,100
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	23,068
- Operations	0	0	0	-3,766
Environmental	25,108	20,203	33,169	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	230	10,723	-4,816
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	-27,231
Other	0	0	538	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,175	8,636	13,781
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	25,108	43,818	53,066	29,136

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	44,310
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	23,068
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,000	0	83,480
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	15,823
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	538
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,000	0	167,219
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-56,000
BUDGET REQUEST	5,000	0	111,181
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	10,765	999	41,356
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	10,765	999	41,356
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,894	4,026	11,686
Operation & Maintenance	14,507	15,057	39,250
Military Personnel	46,838	48,706	122,775
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-223	-223	-223
Military ES	-1,189	-1,189	-1,189
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,239	67,789	173,711
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	44,310
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	23,068
- Operations	-3,894	-4,026	-11,686
Environmental	5,000	0	83,480
Operation & Maintenance	-14,507	-15,057	-23,427
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,838	-48,706	-122,775
Other	0	0	538
Homeowners Assistance Program	10,765	999	41,356
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-49,474	-66,790	34,864

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Castle Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Castle AFB, California, will close in FY 1995. The 93 Bombardment Wing will deactivate. Per the 1993 Commission, the bomber and tanker Combat Crew Training missions are redirected to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana (B-52) and Altus AFB, Oklahoma (KC-135).

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1993</u>			
*Barksdale AFB	B-52 Simulator Training Facility	1993	6,500
*Barksdale AFB	Alter B-52 Simulator Facility	1993	580
*Barksdale AFB	Alter Flight Training Facility	1993	1,850
*Barksdale AFB	Student Officers Quarters	1993	2,950
*Barksdale AFB	Add/Alter Academic Facility	1993	1,750
*Barksdale AFB	Add/Alter Target Intelligence Vault	1993	650
*Barksdale AFB	Alter B-52 Training Facilities	1993	430
*Altus AFB	Alter Flight Training Support	1993	1,500
Total FY 1993			16,210
* DD 1391 included for revised project			
<u>FY 1995</u>			
Altus AFB	Flying Training Facility	1995	1,700
Altus AFB	Student Officers Quarters	1995	7,700
Altus AFB	Add to Apron/Hydrant System	1995	7,900
Altus AFB	Flight Simulator/Academic Facility	1995	10,800
Total FY 95			28,100

Family Housing Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1995</u>			
Altus AFB	Family Housing (233 UN)	1995	23,068
Total FY 95			23,068

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1993 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-B-52 SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 100.110	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-212	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952301	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 6,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-B-52 SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY		SF	27,500		4,208
FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING		SF	18,600	160	(2,976)
DEPOT STORAGE		SF	5,500	125	(688)
OSMT SIMULATOR TRAINING		SF	3,400	160	(544)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,600
UTILITIES		LS			(450)
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			(1,150)
SUBTOTAL					5,808
CONTINGENCY (5%)					290
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					6,098
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					366
TOTAL REQUEST					6,464
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					6,500
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolition, site clearing, foundations, reinforced concrete, fencing, and steel structure, electric, and water. A simulator facility with high bay areas, briefing, computer, library and storage areas, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 210 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 84,183 SF ADEQUATE: 18,483 SF SUBSTANDARD: 19,600 SF <u>PROJECT</u> : Construct a B-52 flight simulator training facility to support the new flight crew training mission. This project is due to the Closure of Castle AFB, CA. <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Adequate facility to house B-52 weapons system flight simulator training devices in support of B-52's assigned to the base. These simulators represent the latest advances in technology, which include large degree motion capability and visual display of the airborne environment. The full mission simulator will permit the training of pilots in all phases of flight including takeoffs and landings. This equipment will be used to qualify all aircrews in the aircraft and to maintain their proficiency. Increased flight simulator time reduces actual flight time and associated cost while still providing training for crew proficiency. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : The base must have two flight simulators and two offensive station mission trainer simulators to provide satisfactory training for its flight crews. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : Aircrews will not be able to train in a cost effective manner. Without simulator facilities crew training cannot be performed. Sufficient flight crews must be trained to support these wartime missions. Crews to meet these wartime taskings will not be available. This could have an adverse impact on the availability of					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-B-52 SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	AWUB952301	
aircraft and crew and would be detrimental to our strategic deterrence posture and national security. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-B-52 SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	AWUB952301	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		390
(b) All Other Design Costs		65
(c) Total		455
(d) Contract		273
(e) In-house		182
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 SIMULATOR FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 100.110	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952302	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 580		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 SIMULATOR FACILITY	LS			202	
B-52 SIMULATOR FACILITY	SF	9,600	21	(202)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				295	
UTILITIES	LS			(110)	
CLASSIFIED VAULT AREA	LS			(135)	
COMPUTER FLOOR	LS			(25)	
CRANE	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				497	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				50	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				547	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				33	
TOTAL REQUEST				580	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				580	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 5650 Interior alterations, vault, raised floor systems, crane support, utilities upgrade and all other necessary associated support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 84,183 SF ADEQUATE: 18,483 SF SUBSTANDARD: 19,600 SF PROJECT: Alter B-52 Simulator Building to support relocation of B-52 Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) flight simulator training systems. This project is due to the closure of Castle AFB, CA. REQUIREMENT: Construction is required to support the relocation of the B-52 CCTS from Castle AFB CA to Barksdale AFB LA into existing facilities. Building 5650 will house one B-52 Air Refueling Simulator, and the TSSC function. These facilities include instructor offices, administrative support areas, environmentally controlled computer support areas, security control, vaults, mechanical rooms, equipment maintenance areas, and contractor support areas. This facility will train B-52 crews. CURRENT SITUATION: The base does not posses a B-52H Aerial Refueling Simulator, or TSSC support function. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without facilities for the simulators, crew training cannot be performed. Sufficient crews must be trained to support wartime missions. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 SIMULATOR FACILITY	AWUB952302	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		34
(b) All Other Design Costs		64
(c) Total		98
(d) Contract		59
(e) In-house		39
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 100.110	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952303	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,850		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY -		LS			975
FLIGHT TRAINING CLASSROOM		SF	18,400	53	(975)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					610
UTILITIES		LS			(230)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(320)
DEMOLITION		LS			(60)
SUBTOTAL					1,585
CONTINGENCY (10%)					159
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,744
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					105
TOTAL REQUEST					1,849
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,850
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 6328: All electrical, mechanical, and structural necessary to provide administrative and supply support area, and conversion and alteration of existing areas into flight training, life support, and ACE maintenance areas. Demolition of a triple wide trailer inside this hangar is also included.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 41,940 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Building to support relocation of the B-52 Combat Flight Training facility. This project is due to the closure of Castle AFB. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Alteration is required to support the relocation of the B-52 Flight Training facility from Castle AFB CA to Barksdale AFB, LA. An adequate facility properly sized and configured for B-52 Flight Courses is required. These courses are sized for continuous use by 110 students and 70 instructors. These areas contain mock-ups and other training devices. This facility will train B-52 crews. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The facility is presently utilized by a relocating flying squadron. Its size is not sufficient to support B-52 flight training functions. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without facilities for the combat flight crew, training cannot be performed. Sufficient crews must be trained to support wartime missions. Crews to meet these wartime taskings will not be available. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING FACILITY	AWUB952303	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		111
(b) All Other Design Costs		74
(c) Total		185
(d) Contract		130
(e) In-house		55
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 100.110	6. CATEGORY CODE 724-417	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952304	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 2,950	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS	SF	23,700	90	2,133
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				520
UTILITIES	LS			(200)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(145)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(175)
SUBTOTAL				2,653
CONTINGENCY (5%)				133
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,786
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				167
TOTAL REQUEST				2,953
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,950
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Special concrete foundation, brick structure with standing seam metal roof, utilities and other necessary support. To include private bathrooms and kitchen. POV parking, furnishings, site improvements, and all support is included. Air Conditioning: 30 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 125 PN ADEQUATE: 83 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a 42 PN student officers quarters housing facility. REQUIREMENT: The 2d Wing needs on-base quarters to support B-52 Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) students TDY to Barksdale. Academic load will require quarters for 42 students. This project is due to the closure of Castle AFB, CA. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing base VOQ rooms are utilized at 86%. Room is not available in present quarters to handle the additional load imposed by the B-52 CCTS. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The majority of CCTS students will have to be quartered off base in contract quarters which will reduce access to base curriculum study assets, resulting in less effective training. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190 "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS	AWUB952304	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		177
(b) All Other Design Costs		88
(c) Total		265
(d) Contract		190
(e) In-house		75
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.10.11	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952305	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,750	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY	LS			1,121
ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY	SF	19,400	15	(291)
ADD TO ACADEMIC FACILITY	SF	4,150	200	(830)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				450
UTILITIES	EA	1	250,000	(250)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	EA	1	200,000	(200)
SUBTOTAL				1,571
CONTINGENCY (5%)				79
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,650
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				99
TOTAL REQUEST				1,749
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,750
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 5454: All electrical, mechanical, and structural alterations necessary to support the addition of a second floor to the existing facility. Added areas will contain academic and classified classrooms. Work also includes associated area alterations, site work, pavements, and utilities required for support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 41,940 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 19,400 SF PROJECT: Add/Alter Building to support relocation of the B-52 Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) Academic facilities. REQUIREMENT: The project is required to support the relocation of the B-52 CCTS from Castle AFB CA to Barksdale AFB LA. Building 5454 will house the CCTS flying training classrooms. The CCTS Flying Training Classroom facility is sized for 110 students continuously, and 70 instructors, with areas for mock-ups and other training devices. This facility will train B-52 crews. CURRENT SITUATION: The facility is presently utilized by a relocating flying squadron. It lacks sufficient size to support the B-52 CCTS and must be altered. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without facilities for the academics function crew training cannot be performed. Sufficient crews must be trained to support wartime missions. Crews to meet these wartime taskings will not be available. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY	AWUB952305	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		105
(b) All Other Design Costs		70
(c) Total		175
(d) Contract		115
(e) In-house		60
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE VAULT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.10.11	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB962308	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 650	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE VAULT	LS			435
ADDITION	SF	2,000	190	(380)
ALTERATION	SF	500	110	(55)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				150
UTILITIES	LS			(65)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(35)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL				585
CONTINGENCY (5%)				29
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				614
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				37
TOTAL REQUEST				651
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete masonry unit walls with seismic pilasters, concrete floor slab on gradebeams, concrete roof , supporting utilities, communications. All necessary Support. Air Conditioning: 8 Tons.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 13,706 SF ADEQUATE: 11,206 SF SUBSTANDARD: 500 SF PROJECT: Construct classified storage vault addition and alter interior of target intelligence facility. This project is due to the closure of Castle AFB, CA. REQUIREMENT: Barksdale AFB will become host base for the Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) operating a B-52 flight training program. As part of the CCTS beddown, an increase in classified vault storage is necessary for storing emergency war orders (EWO), operation and contingency plans, combat mission folders, training scenarios and classified training documentation. CURRENT SITUATION: Current facilities cannot support the increase in classified storage vault area demanded by the additional B-52 training mission growth. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inadequate space will not be available for mission critical storage of emergency war orders, classified training documentation and scenarios, operational plans and contingency plans. B-52 flight training will be compromised due to inadequate classified storage space and subsequent overcrowding of existing classified storage areas. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE VAULT	AWUB962308	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	Z	
(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01	
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	39	
(b) All Other Design Costs	45	
(c) Total	84	
(d) Contract	59	
(e) In-house	25	
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA				4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 TRAINING FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 1.10.11		6. CATEGORY CODE 171-211	7. PROJECT NUMBER AWUB952306		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 430	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 TRAINING FACILITIES				SF	15,400	11 169
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						200
UTILITIES				LS		(165)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL				LS		(35)
SUBTOTAL						369
CONTINGENCY (10%)						37
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						406
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)						24
TOTAL REQUEST						430
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						430
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior alterations, redistributed power and air condition support systems, and all other necessary support.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 15,400 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter B-52 training facilities to support relocation of B-52 Combat Crew Training School flight simulator training systems and academic classrooms. This project is due to the closure of Castle AFB, California. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Building 5141 will house the following B-52 training devices: 1 CPT, 3 T-4(s), and 5 T-40(s). This facility will also provide administrative support for DET 3, TSSC, as well as flight crew briefing rooms. These simulators will permit training of pilots in various phases of flight. This equipment will be used to qualify all aircrews in the aircraft and to maintain proficiency of aircrews. Increased flight simulator time in relationship to actual flight time provides added crew proficiency with the benefit of reduced cost. This project will enable the B-52 Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) to continue training flight crews in temporary facilities, until the permanent facility is renovated. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Two T-4 simulators exist and will continue to be utilized to support the CCTS; however, the additional simulators must be provided before adequate training can be provided to the B-52 flight crews. The base does not possess any other single facility which would properly temporarily support the academic function. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without facilities for the simulators, crew training cannot be performed. Sufficient crews must be trained to support wartime missions. Crews to meet these wartime taskings will not be available. Expensive temporary facilities would have to be rented or leased, or the academic function would have to be temporarily housed in						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 TRAINING FACILITIES		AWUB952306	
several facilities located considerable distances apart from one another. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".			

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE, LOUISIANA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER B-52 TRAINING FACILITIES	AWUB952306	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 NOV 15
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		94 JAN 15
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		25
(b) All Other Design Costs		48
(c) Total		73
(d) Contract		53
(e) In-house		20
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING SUPPORT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	171-211	AGGN943005	1,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING SUPPORT	LS			1,251	
ALTR BLDG 570 FOR CFIC	SF	6,500	3	(20)	
ALTR BLDGS 116/164/170 FOR ACADEMICS	SF	22,700	23	(522)	
ALTR BLDG 193 FOR SQ OPS	SF	14,900	10	(149)	
ALTR BLDG 188 FOR AMU	SF	7,200	15	(108)	
ALTR BLDG 171 FOR SIMULATORS	SF	11,300	40	(452)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				20	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
SUBTOTAL				1,271	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				127	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,398	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				84	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,482	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alterations to seven facilities. Work includes roof replacement, electrical and mechanical alterations, installation of air conditioning, alterations to interior partitions, ceilings and floors, modifications to fire protection systems and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required.					
PROJECT: Alter various facilities at Altus AFB to provide interim and/or permanent facilities for the KC-135 Combat Crew Training School (CCTS) relocating from Castle AFB.					
REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle AFB. Alterations to various facilities at Altus AFB are required to provide adequate interim or permanent facilities for the KC-135 CCTS. Training production from the CCTS must be maintained, and Castle AFB will close before permanent facilities can be constructed at Altus AFB. This project will make essential alterations to buildings 170, 164 and 116 to provide interim space for the academic function, and will provide essential electrical, mechanical and structural modifications to building 171 to permit interim installation of four of the seven required simulators. The project will alter building 570 to permit interim occupancy by the Consolidated Flight Instructor Course (CFIC) and the KC-135 scheduling function, and will alter buildings 193 and 188 for permanent use as a squadron operations/aircraft maintenance unit facility.					
CURRENT SITUATION: Presently there are no available adequate facilities to support the required functions relocating from Castle AFB. The alterations in this project will provide minimum essential facilities necessary to allow continued student production from the CCTS pending completion of permanent facilities at Altus AFB.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING SUPPORT	AGGN943005	
<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The KC-135 CCTS will not be able to maintain the minimum required student production after relocation to Altus AFB. Jeopardized the relocation of the KC-135 CCTS and the closure of Castle AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FLIGHT TRAINING SUPPORT	AGGN943005	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE-FLYING TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	171-211	AGGN953008	1,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-FLYING TRAINING FACILITY	LS			1,106	
FLYING TRAINING FACILITY	SF	10,600	84	(890)	
AMU FACILITY	SF	2,400	90	(216)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				405	
UTILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENT, PAVEMENTS	LS			(320)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATION	LS			(35)	
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				1,511	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				76	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,587	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				95	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,682	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct Flying Training Facility, including special foundation, brick structure with standing seam metal roof, all necessary utilities, EMCS System and parking/road upgrades. Facility includes classrooms, briefing rooms, instructor, administrative and aircraft maintenance areas and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 35 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 13,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Provides adequate facilities for the KC-135 Consolidated Flight Instructor Course (CFIC), KC-135 CCTS Standardization/Evaluation function (Stan/Eval), and the KC-135 CCTS Aircraft Maintenance Unit. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Provides adequate classroom and flight operations space on the flightline for the KC-135 training function and provides additional space required for the KC-135 CCTS aircraft maintenance function. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Adequate space does not exist at Altus AFB. In addition, the conversion from an operational KC-135 mission to a training mission with more aircraft and a higher operational tempo (7,000 flight hours per year to 18,000 hours per year) requires additional aircraft maintenance space for the larger KC-135 AMU. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The CFIC, Stan/Eval and AMU functions will not have sufficient facilities necessary to perform their mission. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the recently developed guidance for Sq Ops/AMU facilities, as modified for the CCTS mission.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-FLYING TRAINING FACILITY	AGGN953008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994	35%	
(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 OCT	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	724-417	AGGN953010	7,700		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS	SF	72,000	77	5,544	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,365	
UTILITIES	LS			(365)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(415)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(415)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATION	LS			(120)	
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				6,909	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				345	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,254	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				435	
TOTAL REQUEST				7,689	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,700	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Special concrete foundation, brick structure with standing seam metal roof, utilities and other necessary support. To include private bathrooms and kitchen. Includes POV parking and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 250 Tons. Grade Mix: 160 01-03.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 160 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct 160 PN Student Officer's Quarters. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Provide adequate quarters for unaccompanied officers attending the KC-135 Combat Crew Training School (CCTS) scheduled to relocate from Castle AFB to Altus AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing facilities are not available at Altus AFB to billet the total number of students attending the newly assigned flying training course. Also, adequate quarters do not exist in the local community to accomodate the students. Based on projected FY-97 data, the officer Average Daily Student Load (ADSL) at Altus AFB will be 363, with an additional daily load of 58 temporary duty personnel, for a total transient officer billeting requirement of 421. The KC-135 CCTS officer Average Daily Student Load is 160. Therefore, this project provides billeting for the 160 officers attending this course. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Training cannot be accomodated at Altus AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-STUDENT OFFICERS QUARTERS	AGGN953010	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	
(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%	
(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01	
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 OCT	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	121-122	AGGN953011	7,900		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM	LS			6,069	
HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	OL	18	262,940	(4,733)	
APRONS	SY	19,400	63	(1,222)	
PAVED SHOULDER	SY	5,200	22	(114)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,020	
UTILITIES, AIRFIELD LIGHTING	LS			(365)	
SITE PREPARATION, BLAST DEFLECTORS	LS			(335)	
DEMOLITION (FUEL TANKS, PAVEMENTS)	LS			(160)	
REPAIR APRON	LS			(160)	
SUBTOTAL				7,089	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				354	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,443	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				447	
TOTAL REQUEST				7,890	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,900	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide 18 hydrant fuel outlets and distribution piping. Upgrade pump capacity in existing Type III hydrant system. Provide portland cement concrete pavement with asphalt shoulders in areas adjacent to the existing KC-135 aircraft parking apron. Relocate blast deflectors. Includes necessary support and demolition of tanks, piping and pavement.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT</u> : Reconfigure existing KC-135 concrete parking apron and hydrant refueling system to match required aircraft parking plan. <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Reconfigure existing KC-135 aircraft parking apron and hydrant fueling system to provide adequate wing tip parking clearances and taxi lanes necessary for student training, and increase parking capability from 14 to 18 aircraft. A fully pressurized hydrant system is required. Hydrant system must meet all federal, state and local environmental and safety regulations. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : On the existing KC-135 aircraft parking ramp, fourteen aircraft are parked tail to tail with 12 foot wing-tip to wing-tip clearances in a very congested configuration. All aircraft are currently towed into place, and taxied out. Although in violation of aircraft parking criteria, taxi-out operations present an acceptable level of risk for the operational mission. However, in a training environment, with students new to the aircraft having sole control during taxi operations, the close wing-tip clearances and congested parking area present an unacceptable level of risk for taxi-out operations. It is not possible to reconfigure the parking plan to meet wing tip clearances and other safety criteria, park the required number of aircraft, and still use the existing hydrant fuel outlets. If spacing were increased on the existing parking					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM		AGGN953011
apron such that the existing hydrants could continue to be used, only eight aircraft could be parked on the ramp, requiring that 16 aircraft be parked elsewhere, including nine in the alert parking area which is over a mile from the main apron and lacks a hydrant refueling system. These aircraft would have to be parked near a hydrant for refueling, and then towed to their parking spot, resulting in an unacceptable increase in maintenance workload. Reconfiguring the parking plan to meet safety criteria and park the minimum number of aircraft near hydrant outlets requires that the ramp be expanded and eighteen new hydrant outlets installed. Installation of the eighteen hydrants also requires that the pump capacity of the existing Type III hydrant system be upgraded to provide sufficient fuel flow. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Maintenance efficiency dictates that the existing parking plan continue to be used. The flight instructor will be required to taxi all aircraft from the parking area, and then change places with the student, or all aircraft will have to be towed out of the parking area. Having the instructor change places with the student during taxi will unacceptably degrade student training. Having to tow all aircraft both in and out of parking will present an unacceptable maintenance workload, and will also degrade training by eliminating the opportunity for the student to taxi from parking. Some aircraft will still have to be parked in the alert parking area. Jeopardizes the closure of Castle AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".		

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO APRON AND HYDRANT SYSTEM	AGGN953011	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	
(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	35%	
(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01	
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 OCT		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE-FLIGHT SIMULATOR/ ACADEMIC FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	171-212	AGGN953006	10,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-FLIGHT SIMULATOR/ ACADEMIC FACILITY	LS			7,147	
FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING	SF	40,500	130	(5,265)	
FLIGHT TRAINING CLASSROOM	SF	22,400	84	(1,882)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,485	
SPECIAL FOUNDATION	LS			(200)	
ELECTRICAL (PRIMARY)	LS			(400)	
UTILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENT, PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,735)	
EMCS CONNECTION	LS			(50)	
COMM DUCT	LS			(100)	
SUBTOTAL				9,632	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				482	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				10,114	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				607	
TOTAL REQUEST				10,721	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,800	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Site improvements, special foundations, reinforced concrete masonry and steel structure, primary and secondary electric, water, sewer, and road upgrades, high bay simulator areas with overhead cranes, fire protection, security and communications systems, raised computer flooring, maintenance areas, briefing rooms, pavements, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 250 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT</u> : Flight simulator and academic instructor/classroom facility. <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Construction is required to provide adequate simulator, and academic classroom and instructor space for KC-135 mission qualification and continuation training. This facility will house six training devices, instructor offices, administrative support areas, environmentally controlled computer support areas, academic classrooms, mechanical equipment, equipment maintenance areas and contractor support space. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : Adequate facilities are not available to provide the required simulator and academic space necessary to relocate the KC-135 Combat Crew Training Squadron to Altus AFB. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : Without facilities for the simulators and academic space requirement, crew training cannot be performed. <u>ADDITIONAL</u> : Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-FLIGHT SIMULATOR/ ACADEMIC FACILITY	AGGN953006	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994		35%
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 OCT
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE		
AIR FORCE						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE			
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			BASE CLOSURE - FAMILY HOUSING & LAND PURCHASE			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
8.87.41	711-142	AGGN954015	23,068			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BRAC FAMILY HOUSING		UN	233	46,735	10,889	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					9,935	
MAINTENANCE FACILITY		LS			(280)	
SITE PREPARATION		LS			(795)	
ROADS AND PAVING		LS			(1,800)	
UTILITIES		LS			(1,770)	
LANDSCAPING		LS			(1,630)	
RECREATION		LS			(180)	
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS/GARAGES		LS			(3,220)	
LAND ACQUISITION		LS			(260)	
SUBTOTAL					20,824	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1,041	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					21,865	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)					1,203	
TOTAL REQUEST					23,068	
AREA COST FACTOR		.86				
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct family housing units to include heating, cooling, appliances, garages, patios and storage. Support facilities include site grading, flood control and drainage, road systems (including bridges, sidewalks, curb and gutter, utility distribution systems, landscaping, sprinkler systems, maintenance facility, and recreational areas. Includes land purchase (65 Acres).						
UNIT TYPE		NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/NSF	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO	2BR	950	.84	55	200	8,778,000
CGO	3BR	1350	.84	55	22	1,372,140
FGO	3BR	1400	.84	55	7	452,760
FGO	4BR	1550	.84	55	4	286,440
					233	10,889,340
11. REQUIREMENT: 233 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0						
PROJECT: Construct 233 military family housing units.						
REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Project is required to provide adequate family housing for the additional families expected at Altus AFB as a result of the KC-135 combat Crew Training School (CCTS) relocating from Castle AFB.						
CURRENT SITUATION: A 1990 Family Housing Survey, and the current MFH Community Plan, show a deficit of 191 family housing units at Altus AFB. In addition, a draft of an ongoing Housing Market Analysis at Altus AFB shows a projected 1998 effective housing deficit of 287 units without accounting for the population increase due to the BRAC move. The CCTS relocating from Castle AFB is expected to add additional accompanied						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
AIR FORCE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA			
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE - FAMILY HOUSING & LAND PURCHASE		AGGN954015	
enlisted and officer personnel, for a total Base Closure housing requirement of 233 additional units. Altus, Oklahoma, which has a population of approximately 22,000. The next nearest large community is Lawton, Oklahoma, located 50 miles east of Altus AFB. The city of Altus currently does not have sufficient suitable quarters to accept the expected population increase. Also, the city recently gained a large meat packing operation, which further increased pressure on the local housing market. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The additional Air force members and their families will have to be housed in unacceptable off-base quarters, or they will be required to live excessive distances from the base to find adequate housing. Either situation will have an adverse affect on morale, performance, and the retention of quality personnel. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.			

Tri-Service Family Housing Cost Model

SERVICE AIR FORCE LOCATION ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA

BASELINE:

$$(233) (1012) (55) = \$12,963,500$$

$$(No. Units) (ANSF) (\$/NSF) = 5' \text{ Line Cost}$$

PROJECT FACTORS:

$$(0.86) (0.98) (1.00) = 0.84$$

$$(ACF) (Project Size) (Unit Size) = Project Factor$$

HOUSING COST:

$$(12,963,500) (0.84) = \$10,889,340$$

$$(5' \text{ Line Cost}) (Project Factor) = \text{Housing Cost}$$

$$(0) (0.86) (233) = \$0$$

$$(\text{Solar Unit Cost}) (ACF) (Units) = \text{Total Project Solar Cost}$$

$$((10,889,340) + (0)) / (233) = \$46,735$$

$$((\text{Housing Cost}) + (\text{Solar})) / (No. Units) = \text{Average Unit Cost}$$

SUPPORTING COST:

MANAGEMENT OFFICE	0
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	280,000
SITE PREPARATION	795,000
ROADS AND PAVING	1,800,000
UTILITIES	1,770,000
LANDSCAPING	1,630,000
RECREATION	180,000
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS/GARAGES	3,220,000
LAND ACQUISITION	260,000
Support Cost	9,935,000

SUMMARY:

$$(10,889,340) + (0) + (9,935,000) = \$20,824,340$$

$$(\text{Housing Cost}) + (\text{Solar Cost}) + (\text{Support Cost}) = \text{Subtotal}$$

$$(20,824,340) (1.050) (1.055) = \$23,068,162 \text{ Say: } \$23,068,000$$

$$(\text{Subtotal}) (\text{Contingency}) (SIOH) = \text{Project Cost (Round)}$$

$$(23,068,000) / (233) (1012) (0.86) = \$114$$

$$(\text{Project Cost}) / (\# \text{ of Units}) (ANSF) (ACF) = \text{Total Project Cost/SF}$$

PROJECT SIZE FACTOR - (# OF UNITS)		UNIT SIZE - (AVG NET SF)	
1 - 4 = 1.15	100 - 199 = 1.00	600-749 = 1.05	1051-1150 = 0.99
5 - 19 = 1.10	200 - 299 = 0.98	750-849 = 1.03	1151-1250 = 0.98
20 - 49 = 1.05	300 - 499 = 0.96	850-949 = 1.01	1251-1350 = 0.97
50 - 99 = 1.02	500+ = 0.95	950-1050 = 1.00	1351+ = 0.96

Eaker AFB, Arkansas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/EAKER AFB, AR

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	11,029	13,000	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	7,981	5,400	1,162
Military Personnel - PCS	0	987	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	11,029	21,968	5,400	1,162
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-1,162
BUDGET REQUEST	11,029	21,968	5,400	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	5,982	3,092	715
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	5,982	3,092	715
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,965	3,070	3,178
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,826	17,114	17,777
Military Personnel	0	19,338	40,059	41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-234	-234	-234
Military ES	0	-1,031	-1,031	-1,031
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	29,129	60,243	62,636
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-2,965	-3,070	-3,178
Environmental	11,029	13,000	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,155	-11,714	-16,615
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-18,351	-40,059	-41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	5,982	3,092	715
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	11,029	-1,179	-51,751	-60,759

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/EAKER AFB, AR

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	800	0	24,829
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	14,543
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	987
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	800	0	40,359
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-1,162
BUDGET REQUEST	800	0	39,197
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	62	50	9,901
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	62	50	9,901
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,286	3,397	15,896
Operation & Maintenance	18,432	19,118	79,267
Military Personnel	43,338	45,066	189,482
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-234	-234	-234
Military ES	-1,031	-1,031	-1,031
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,056	67,581	284,645
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,286	-3,397	-15,896
Environmental	800	0	24,829
Operation & Maintenance	-18,432	-19,118	-64,724
Military Personnel - PCS	-43,338	-45,066	-188,495
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	62	50	9,901
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-64,194	-67,531	-234,385

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Eaker Air Force Base, Arkansas

Closure Package: Eaker AFB, Arkansas, closed 15 December 1992. The 97 Bombardment Wing was inactivated as a result of the closure. The B-52G aircraft retired and the assigned KC-135A aircraft were redistributed to other active and Air Reserve Component units in 1991.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

England AFB, Louisiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	8,986	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	7,776	14,859	14,416	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	9,325	5,800	1,040
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,543	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,776	34,713	20,216	1,040
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-1,040
BUDGET REQUEST	7,776	34,713	20,216	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	2,864	1,973	327
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2,864	1,973	327
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,936	21,762	22,586
Military Personnel	0	17,444	32,041	33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	0	-859	-859	-859
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,247	55,736	57,925
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	8,986	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
Environmental	7,776	14,859	14,416	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,389	-15,962	-21,546
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-15,901	-32,041	-33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	2,864	1,973	327
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,776	15,330	-33,547	-56,558

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	8,986
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	425	0	37,476
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	16,165
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,543
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	425	0	64,170
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-1,040
BUDGET REQUEST	425	0	63,130
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	17	9	5,190
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	17	9	5,190
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	23,403	24,253	94,940
Military Personnel	34,664	36,046	153,533
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	-859	-859	-859
TOTAL SAVINGS	60,136	62,438	258,482
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	8,986
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	425	0	37,476
Operation & Maintenance	-23,403	-24,253	-78,775
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,664	-36,046	-151,990
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	17	9	5,190
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-59,694	-62,429	-189,122

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/England Air Force Base, Louisiana

Closure Package: England AFB, Louisiana, closed 15 December 1992.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues from Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	11,820	7,100	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,354	4,100	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,329	11,913	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,000	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,354	21,249	24,494	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,354	21,249	24,494	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	10	10
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	10	10
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	5,799	6,003
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	6,194	6,761
Military Personnel	0	0	21,523	44,472
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-18	-18
Military ES	0	0	-1,162	-1,162
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	33,516	57,236
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	11,820	7,100	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-5,799	-6,003
Environmental	1,354	4,100	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,329	5,719	-6,761
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-16,523	-44,472
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	10	10
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,354	21,249	-9,012	-57,226

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION**USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	18,920
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,700	0	8,635
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	17,242
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,000
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,700	0	49,797
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,700	0	49,797
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	20
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	20
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,207	6,416	24,425
Operation & Maintenance	6,994	7,235	27,184
Military Personnel	46,240	48,083	160,318
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-18	-18	-18
Military ES	-1,162	-1,162	-1,162
TOTAL SAVINGS	59,441	61,734	211,927
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	18,920
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,207	-6,416	-24,425
Environmental	2,700	0	8,635
Operation & Maintenance	-6,994	-7,235	-9,942
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,240	-48,083	-155,318
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	20
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-56,741	-61,734	-162,110

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Grissom Air Force Base, Indiana

Closure Package: Grissom AFB, Indiana, will close during FY 94. Funding is for construction at Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio.

One Time Implementation Costs:

<u>Military Construction:</u>		<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>of Award</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1994</u>			
*Wright Patt AFB	NECAP	1994	7,100
Total FY 1994			7,100
* DD 1391 included for revised project			

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-NEACP COMPLEX		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 3.20.15F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-154	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV943204	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 7,100		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-NEACP COMPLEX	PN	75	42,000	3,150	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,230	
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS/VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(385)	
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP OPS AREA	LS			(80)	
REFUEL VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(270)	
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP MAINT	SF	8,500	110	(935)	
ALARM AND TRAFFIC CONTROL SYS	LS			(270)	
AIRCRAFT PARKING UTILITIES/LIGHTING	LS			(570)	
BLAST FENCE AND PAVING ALTERATIONS	LF	800	900	(720)	
SUBTOTAL				6,380	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				319	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST:				6,699	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				402	
TOTAL REQUEST				7,101	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,100	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct pre-engineered metal facility on concrete slab for support equip facilities. Concrete, CMU, steel structure for quarters and operations. Construct parking area for refueling and alert vehicles. Install alarms and traffic control system for aircraft and required utilities. Includes aircraft blast fences and alterations to the parking apron. Airfield lighting/access roads. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Provide a National Emergency Airborne Command Post (NEACP) Forward Operating Location (FOL). This project is due to the closure of Grissom AFB. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A FOL is required for NEACP which can provide adequate support for mission planning, aircraft service and maintenance and personnel. Personnel must be provided adequate quarters to be rested for nationally critical missions. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The NEACP FOL is currently located at Grissom AFB. Wright-Patterson can support similar large aircraft, but must be upgraded to meet the unique requirements of the NEACP E-4. Security, utility support, and adequate facilities are not adequate for this one-of-a-kind asset at Wright-Patterson today. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The National Emergency Airborne Command Post will be forced to operate from facilities which provide only minimum mission capabilities. This one-of-a-kind mission may be subject to degradation because of inadequate aircraft mission and personnel support. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-NEACP COMPLEX	ZHTV943204	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Loring AFB, Maine Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/LORING AFB, ME
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	7,900	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	22,830	29,138	25,450	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	9,695	21,930	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6,000	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	22,830	46,733	53,380	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances				
BUDGET REQUEST	22,830	46,733	53,380	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,921	11,920	10,532
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	4,921	11,920	10,532
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	5,912	6,120
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	22,833	31,958
Military Personnel	0	0	17,708	34,900
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-429	-429
Military ES	0	0	-1,019	-1,019
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	46,453	72,978
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	7,900	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	-5,912	-6,120
Environmental	22,830	29,138	25,450	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	9,695	-903	-31,958
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-11,708	-34,900
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,921	11,920	10,532
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	22,830	51,654	18,847	-62,446

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/LORING AFB, ME
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	7,900
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	36,147	24,200	137,765
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	31,625
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6,000
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	36,147	24,200	183,290
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances			0
BUDGET REQUEST	36,147	24,200	183,290
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	671	15	28,059
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	671	15	28,059
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,328	6,542	24,902
Operation & Maintenance	33,138	34,373	122,302
Military Personnel	36,287	37,734	126,629
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-429	-429	-429
Military ES	-1,019	-1,019	-1,019
TOTAL SAVINGS	75,753	78,649	273,833
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	7,900
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,328	-6,542	-24,902
Environmental	36,147	24,200	137,765
Operation & Maintenance	-33,138	-34,373	-90,677
Military Personnel - PCS	-36,287	-37,734	-120,629
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	671	15	28,059
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-38,935	-54,434	-62,484

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Loring Air Force Base, Maine

Closure Package: Loring AFB, Maine, will close by FY 1994/4.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	133,624	0	4,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	3,045	15,120	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	22,456	27,153	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6,000	0
Other	0	472	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,045	171,672	33,153	4,000
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-4,000
BUDGET REQUEST	3,045	171,672	33,153	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	15	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	2,956	3,060
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	14,692	22,391
Military Personnel	0	0	12,446	20,872
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-378	-378
Military ES	0	0	-522	-522
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	30,094	46,323
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	133,624	0	4,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-2,956	-3,060
Environmental	3,045	15,120	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	22,456	12,461	-22,391
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-6,446	-20,872
Other	0	472	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	3,045	171,687	-3,059	-42,323

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	137,624
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	600	0	18,765
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	49,609
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6,000
Other	0	0	472
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	600	0	212,470
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-4,000
BUDGET REQUEST	600	0	208,470
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	15
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,164	3,271	12,451
operation & Maintenance	23,233	24,118	84,434
Military Personnel - PCS	21,702	22,567	77,587
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-378	-378	-378
Military ES	-522	-522	-522
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,099	49,956	174,472
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	137,624
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,164	-3,271	-12,451
Environmental	600	0	18,765
Operation & Maintenance	-23,233	-24,118	-34,825
Military Personnel - PCS	-21,702	-22,567	-71,587
Other	0	0	472
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-47,499	-49,956	38,013

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

Closure Package: Lowry AFB, Colorado, closes in 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1993</u>			
Buckley AFB	Relocate District OSI	1993	2,317
Ft. Meade	AFIS Audio Visual School	1993	13,650
Goodfellow AFB	Alter Seismic Sensor Training Facility	1993	670
Keesler AFB	Alter PMEL	1993	7,072
Keesler AFB	Space Systems Facility	1993	517
Keesler AFB	Dining Facility	1993	3,189
Keesler AFB	Alter Dorm (648 PN)	1993	2,100
Keesler AFB	ADAL USAF Clinic	1993	3,400
Keesler AFB	Child Development Center	1993	450
Keesler AFB	Physical Fitness Center	1993	320
Kelly AFB	AF Civ Appellate Review Agency	1993	335
Lackland AFB	Electronic Principles Facility	1993	4,450
Lackland AFB	Alter Tech Training Support Facility	1993	6,800
Lackland AFB	Student Officer Quarters	1993	13,065
Lackland AFB	ADAL Physical Fitness Center	1993	380
Peterson AFB	Area Dental Lab	1993	3,450
Sheppard AFB	Nuclear Weapons Training Facility	1993	7,800
Sheppard AFB	Munition Training Facility	1993	5,400
Sheppard AFB	ADAL Avionics Training Facility	1993	14,200
Sheppard AFB	Aircraft Armament Training Facility	1993	16,300
Sheppard AFB	Dormitory (1770 PN)	1993	13,969
Sheppard AFB	Alter Utility Systems	1993	2,950
Sheppard AFB	Add to Wing Headquarters	1993	820
Sheppard AFB	Physical Fitness Center	1993	4,600
Sheppard AFB	ADAL USAF Clinic	1993	2,500
Sheppard AFB	Add to Chapel	1993	700
Sheppard AFB	Add to Child Development Center	1993	420
*Sheppard AFB	Bakery Kitchen	1993	1,800
Total FY 1993			133,624

* DD 1391 included for project not previously submitted.

FY 1995

Buckley AFB	Enlisted Dorm	1995	4,000
Total FY 1995			4,000

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

FY 1993 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-CENTRAL PREP KITCHEN/BAKERY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.57.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 723-385	7. PROJECT NUMBER VNVP953004	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,800		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-CENTRAL PREP KITCHEN/BAKERY		LS			1,271
CENTRAL PREPARATION KITCHEN		SF	5,900	165	(974)
PASTRY KITCHEN		SF	1,800	165	(297)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					330
UTILITIES		LS			(165)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(160)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(5)
SUBTOTAL					1,601
CONTINGENCY (5%)					80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,681
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					101
TOTAL REQUEST					1,782
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,800
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, built-up roof, landscaping and all utilities. Relocate existing sanitary and storm sewer lines as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Construct a 7,700 SF addition to Bldg 61, the Base Cold Storage/Troop Subsistence Warehouse, to house a Central Preparation Kitchen and Bakery for serving base dining halls. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB, CO. Provide an adequate facility for the central preparation of foods to be cooked and served at the various dining halls serving Sheppard AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: Six dining halls, all located in student dormitories, serve 7,000 meals daily to permanent party and student enlisted members. This total is projected to rise to 13,000 meals/day by FY 95. A seventh dining hall is under construction. Each kitchen prepares foods for cooking and serving separately, resulting in duplication of effort and excessive floorspace requirements. The Pastry Kitchen is colocated with the base Post Office in a converted dining hall that is a Condition Code 2 structure. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Existing food preparation methods will continue to require excessive manpower and space. ADDITIONAL: Funding to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-CENTRAL PREP KITCHEN/BAKERY	VNVP953004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 SEP 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD , COLORADO			BASE CLOSURE-ENLISTED DORMITORY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.71.34	721-312	CRWU953050	4,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ENLISTED DORMITORY	SF	30,000	110	3,300	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				285	
UTILITIES	LS			(65)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(95)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(125)	
SUBTOTAL				3,585	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				179	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,764	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				226	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,990	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, floor slabs, masonry walls, and roof system. Includes interior partitions, room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage, lounge areas, vehicle access pavement and parking, and all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons. Grade Mix: 150 E1-E4.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,200 PN ADEQUATE: 850 PN SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a 150 PN Enlisted Dormitory for relocation of Space Command personnel from Lowry AFB, CO. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB, CO. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. CURRENT SITUATION: Buckley ANGB does not have enough facilities to accommodate all assigned unaccompanied enlisted personnel. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable and result in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project is included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Conjunctive funding will be provided by a FY 1995 Regular MILCON project for the 200 PN existing deficiency.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BUCKLEY AIR NATIONAL GUARD , COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ENLISTED DORMITORY	CRWU953050	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		94 FEB 15
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1994		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		94 MAY 15
(e) Date Design Complete		94 AUG 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 SEP
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MacDill AFB, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	10,152	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,686	18,624	4,706	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,500	11,863	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	87	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,686	34,276	16,656	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,686	34,276	16,656	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	81	26	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	81	26	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	3,628	5,160
Military Personnel	0	0	13,100	16,765
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-76	-76
Military ES	0	0	-424	-424
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	16,728	21,925
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	10,152	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,686	18,624	4,706	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,500	8,235	-5,160
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-13,100	-16,765
Other	0	0	87	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	81	26	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,686	34,357	-46	-21,925

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

**USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)**

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	10,152
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	3,000	0	41,016
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	17,363
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	87
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,000	0	68,618
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,000	0	68,618
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	107
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	107
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,351	5,551	19,690
Military Personnel - PCS	17,432	18,127	65,424
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-76	-76	-76
Military ES	-424	-424	-424
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,783	23,678	85,114
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	10,152
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	3,000	0	41,016
Operation & Maintenance	-5,351	-5,551	-2,327
Military Personnel - PCS	-17,432	-18,127	-65,424
Other	0	0	87
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	107
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-19,783	-23,678	-16,389

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/MacDill Air Force Base, Florida

Closure Package: MacDill AFB, Florida, will realign force structure and partially close by mid-FY 1994. All F-16 aircraft will realign to Luke AFB, Arizona. The 1993 Commission recommended that the Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) will remain at MacDill as long as the airfield is non-DOD operated. Operation of the airfield at MacDill will be taken over by the Department of Commerce or another Federal agency.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	13,083	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	29,120	8,100	3,456	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	8,718	6,900	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,000	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	29,120	30,901	10,356	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	29,120	30,901	10,356	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	9,508	3,045	437
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	9,508	3,045	437
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,196	2,274	2,354
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,017	8,159	8,496
Military Personnel	0	18,055	32,075	33,374
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	0	-932	-932	-932
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,268	42,508	44,224
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	13,083	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-2,196	-2,274	-2,354
Environmental	29,120	8,100	3,456	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,701	-1,259	-8,496
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-17,055	-32,075	-33,374
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	9,508	3,045	437
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	29,120	18,141	-29,107	-43,787

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	13,083
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	700	0	41,376
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	15,618
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,000
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	700	0	71,077
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	700	0	71,077
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	12,990
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	12,990
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,434	2,516	11,774
Operations & Maintenance	8,828	9,178	36,678
Military Personnel - PCS	34,701	36,084	154,289
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-219	-219	-219
Military ES	-932	-932	-932
TOTAL SAVINGS	45,963	47,778	202,741
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	13,083
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,434	-2,516	-11,774
Environmental	700	0	41,376
Operations & Maintenance	-8,828	-9,178	-21,060
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,701	-36,084	-153,289
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	12,990
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-45,263	-47,778	-118,674

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, South Carolina

Closure Package: Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina, closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Richards-Gebaur AFB, Missouri Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	33,442	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,024	667	1,053	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,296	16,639	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	254	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,024	40,659	17,692	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,024	40,659	17,692	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,433	4,799
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-108	-108
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,433	4,799
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	33,442	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,024	667	1,053	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,296	15,206	-4,799
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	254	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,024	40,659	16,261	-4,799

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	33,442
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	3,744
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	22,935
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	254
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	60,375
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	60,375
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	4,990	5,192	16,414
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-108	-108	-108
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	4,990	5,192	16,414
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	33,442
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	3,744
Operation & Maintenance	-4,990	-5,192	6,521
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	254
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-4,990	-5,192	43,963

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Missouri

Closure Package: Richards-Gebaur Air Reserve Station (ARS), Missouri, will close at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	4,904	43,666	7,500	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,414	7,300	4,220	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	27,000	37,865	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	187	2,737	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,318	78,153	52,322	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,318	78,153	52,322	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,251	1,301
Military Personnel	0	0	227	236
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	-225	-225
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,478	1,537
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	4,904	43,666	7,500	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,414	7,300	4,220	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	27,000	36,614	-1,301
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-227	-236
Other	0	187	2,737	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13,318	78,153	50,844	-1,537

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	56,070
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,200	0	22,134
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	64,865
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	2,924
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,200	0	145,993
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,200	0	145,993
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,351	1,403	5,306
Military Personnel - PCS	246	256	965
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-225	-225	-225
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,597	1,659	6,271
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	56,070
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,200	0	22,134
Operation & Maintenance	-1,351	-1,403	59,559
Military Personnel - PCS	-246	-256	-965
Other	0	0	2,924
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	603	-1,659	139,722

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, Ohio

Closure Package: Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base (ANGB), Ohio, will close at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994. The 1993 Commission directed that the 121st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) and the 160th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) move into a cantonment area on the present Rickenbacker ANGB, and operate as a tenant of the Rickenbacker Port Authority (RPA) on RPA's airport. The 907th Airlift Group (AFRES) will realign to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio as originally recommended. The 4950 Test Wing will relocate from Wright-Patterson AFB to Edwards AFB, California.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1993</u>			
Edwards AFB	ADAL Ramp and Pads	1993	3,821
Edwards AFB	Hydrant Fuel System	1993	3,625
Edwards AFB	Fuel System Maintenance Dock	1993	4,220
Edwards AFB	ARIA Eng/Maintenance Facility	1993	2,590
Edwards AFB	Alter Large A/C Maintenance Hanger	1993	894
Edwards AFB	Alter PMEL	1993	900
Edwards AFB	Alter Jet Engine Test Cell	1993	1,733
Edwards AFB	ADAL Research Lab	1993	2,354
Edwards AFB	Covered Wash Rack	1993	2,043
Edwards AFB	ADAL Life Support Facility	1993	1,699
Edwards AFB	Supply Warehouse	1993	1,707
Edwards AFB	AGE Maintenance Facility	1993	1,700
Edwards AFB	Alter Back Shop Area	1993	747
Edwards AFB	Non-Complex Tooling Facility	1993	383
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Communications Facility	1993	420
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Base Maintenance Shops	1993	1,050
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter General Purpose Shops	1993	440
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Fencing and Utilities	1993	310
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Squadron Ops	1993	420
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Fuel System Maintenance Dock	1993	910
*Rickenbacker ANGB	Jet Fuel Storage/Distribution	1993	9,000
Wright Patt AFB	Temporary Facility	1993	1,000
*Wright Patt AFB	ADAL Aerial Port	1993	400
*Wright Patt AFB	AFR Alter Ops/Admin	1993	1,300

Total FY 1993

43,666

* DD 1391 included for projects not previously submitted.

FY 1994

*Wright Patt AFB	Alt Shops	1994	2,000
*Wright Patt AFB	ADAL Maintenance Hangar	1994	5,500

Total FY 1994 7,500

* DD 1391 included for projects not previously submitted.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

FY 1993 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-445	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939684	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 420		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		SF	24,000		360
ALTER COMMUNICATIONS/ADP		SF	8,000	25	(200)
ALTER O&T AND AUDIO-VISUAL		SF	16,000	10	(160)
SUBTOTAL					360
CONTINGENCY (10%)					36
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					396
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					20
TOTAL REQUEST					416
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					420
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 24,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 24,000 SF PROJECT: Alter Building 910 to accommodate headquarters and mission support communications communications functions. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space consolidation is required within the new cantonment area to support administrative and training functions, and base communications. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within the new cantonment area. Existing Building 910 can accommodate the administrative and training support functions, and base communications for a reasonable cost. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment: Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	NLZG939684	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939686	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,050		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	37,900		708
ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS		SF	15,800	20	(316)
ALTER ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT		SF	10,000	15	(150)
ALTER VEHICLE MAINT		SF	7,600	20	(152)
ALTER REFUELER VEHICLE MAINT		SF	1,500	20	(30)
ALTER DISASTER PREPAREDNESS		SF	3,000	20	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					200
PREWIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL					908
CONTINGENCY (10%)					91
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					999
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					50
TOTAL REQUEST					1,049
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,050
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support. Ventilation systems for vehicle maintenance. Air Conditioning: 15 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 37,900 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 37,900 SF PROJECT: Alter building 888 to accommodate BCE maint shops, organizational maintenance, vehicle maintenance, refueler maintenance and disaster preparedness. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support functions. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing maintenance facilities are located in areas whose total square footage exceed the authorized amounts, which will result in excessive operation and maintenance costs. Building 888 can be altered to accommodate the composite maintenance and support functions, and allow disposal of excess space. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	NLZG939686	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-152	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939687	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 440	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE		45,700		378
ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE SHOP	SF	27,000	5	(135)
ALTER NDI SHOP	SF	3,500	30	(105)
ALTER SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	SF	8,000	10	(80)
ALTER AGE SHOP UTILITIES	SF	7,200	8	(58)
SUBTOTAL				378
CONTINGENCY (10%)				38
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				416
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)				21
TOTAL REQUEST				437
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				440
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support.				
11. REQUIREMENT: 45,700 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 45,700 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter Buildings 875 and 885 to accommodate aircraft maintenance shops, NDI, survival equipment and AGE. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support shop functions. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing shop facilities are located in areas whose square footage exceeds the authorized amount. Buildings 875 and 885 can be altered to accommodate the maintenance shop functions and allow disposal of excess space. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."				

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE	NLZG939687	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		2
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FENCING/ UTILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
5.52.96F	932-681	NLZG939690	310		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FENCING/ UTILITIES		LS			284
PERIMETER FENCING		LF	7,000	10	(70)
ENTRY CONTROL GATE HOUSE		LS			(30)
ALTER UTILITIES		LS			(84)
VEHICLE PARKING		LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL					284
CONTINGENCY (5%)					14
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					298
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					15
TOTAL REQUEST					313
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					310
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct chain link security fence. Construct 200 SF entry control station with related gates, utilities, and paving. Construct asphalt parking. Modify utilities entering new cantonment					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct perimeter security fence along new base boundary and construct 200 SF gate house with gates and paving. Provide isolated utilities for the cantonment area. Construct vehicle parking area. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. The new cantonment area requires perimeter security and entry control. New parking is needed to accommodate the drill weekends within a smaller base. Utilities need to be isolated for metering and service into the cantonment area. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The new cantonment area is not contiguous with existing base boundaries. There is no security perimeter fencing or entry control station. Utilities are configured to service a much larger area and need to be isolated for the cantonment area. Parking is inadequate within the reduced base to accommodate drill weekends. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Security of military assets will be compromised without perimeter fencing and entry control. Lack of adequate parking will result in vehicles parked unsafely and in hazardous areas. Utility costs cannot be properly charged to the ANG or accounted for. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FENCING/ UTILITIES	NLZG939690	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
5.52.96F	141-753	NLZG939694	420		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY		SF	33,300	6	200
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					160
PRE-WIRED WORK STATIONS		LS			(160)
SUBTOTAL					360
CONTINGENCY (10%)					36
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					396
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					20
TOTAL REQUEST					416
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					420
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities, and necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 33,300 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 33,300 SF PROJECT: Alter Building 887 to accommodate squadron operations and headquarters functions. <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house operations and training functions. <u>CURRENT SITUATION</u> : Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The present squadron operations functions are located in areas whose total square footage exceed the authorized amount. Building 887 can be altered to efficiently accommodate the operations and training functions, and allow disposal of excess space. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED</u> : Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. <u>ADDITIONAL</u> : Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	NLZG939694	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993	X	
(c) Date 35% Complete	93 DEC 01	
(d) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-179	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939700	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 910		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS					
MAINTENANCE DOCK		LS			680
INSTALL FIRE SUPPRESSION		LS			(350)
REPAIR ROOF		LS			(100)
REPLACE HANGAR DOOR		LS			(130)
REPAIR PAVEMENTS		LS			(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					110
UTILITIES		LS			(110)
SUBTOTAL					790
CONTINGENCY (10%)					79
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					869
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					43
TOTAL REQUEST					912
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					910
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade Building 594 to include a complete fire detection and suppression system with Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF), built-up roof, hangar door replacement, and concrete access taxiway.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 25,100 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 25,100 SF PROJECT: Upgrade Building 594 to accommodate aircraft fuel system maintenance. REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space with a new fire suppression system is required within the new cantonment area to support aircraft fuel systems maintenance. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing fuel systems facility has no fire protection system, has an inadequate door operation system, and is in general need of overall repairs and improvements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of safe, adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded. ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	NLZG939700	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96F	6. CATEGORY CODE 124-135	7. PROJECT NUMBER NLZG939729	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 9,000			
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX		LS			7,131	
UPGRADE TWO EACH 25000 BL STG TANKS		LS			(1,350)	
OPERATING STORAGE TANK		BL	2,500	135	(338)	
FUEL TRANSFER LINE		LF	3,600	270	(972)	
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/PADS		SY	11,000	90	(990)	
HYDRANT SYSTEM		LS			(3,250)	
POL OPERATIONS FACILITY		SF	1,650	140	(231)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,000	
PAVEMENTS/UTILITIES/DEMOLITION		LS			(1,000)	
SUBTOTAL					8,131	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					407	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					8,538	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5%)					427	
TOTAL REQUEST					8,965	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					9,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade two 25,000 barrel above ground steel storage tanks. Construct operating storage tank and transfer line, piping and valves, pumphouse, control house, fuel stands, hydrant system, and concrete refueler vehicle parking. Repairs to aircraft parking apron. Environmental controls and utilities. Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.						
11. REQUIREMENT: 50,000 BL ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 50,000 BL PROJECT: Upgrade existing Jet Fuel Storage Complex. Repair aircraft parking apron. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new contonment area. Upgrade of two 25,000 barrel jet fuel storage tanks is required to meet operational and environmental requirements. A complete jet fuel operating system including an operating tank, transfer line, hydrant system, a functional fuels operations and lab facility, and parking apron to support 20 KC-135 aircraft is required. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing POL storage area is antiquated and does not comply with environmental standards. Leakage, with contamination of the groundwater aquifer is very likely. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Environmentally unsafe storage for the proposed tanker unit. Possible contamination of the aquifer. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX	NLZG939729	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-AFRES ADD TO AND ALTER AERIAL PORT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 5.52.96	6. CATEGORY CODE 214-425	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV919613	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-AFRES ADD TO AND ALTER AERIAL PORT		LS			237
AERIAL PORT ADDITION		SF	2,400	80	(192)
AERIAL PORT ALTERATION		SF	3,000	15	(45)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					120
UTILITIES		LS			(35)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(65)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					357
CONTINGENCY (5%)					18
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					375
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					23
TOTAL REQUEST					398
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Masonry walls, partition walls, ceilings, floor coverings, electrical, mechanical, utilities, exterior work and other necessary support.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,400 SF ADEQUATE: 3,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Alter building for Aerial Port. REQUIREMENT: Due to the closure of Rickenbacker ANGB, adequate space is required at Wright-Patterson AFB to support air cargo handling and training required by the realigned AFRES units. CURRENT SITUATION: The facility is an open warehouse with no shops or training areas to support aerial port. Existing electrical and ventilation systems are inadequate to support the new functions. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Proper and effective aerial port training cannot be accomplished in the existing facility. Training and wartime readiness of the units will be degraded. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-AFRES ADD TO AND ALTER AERIAL PORT	ZHTV919613	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1992	Z	
(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01	
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER VARIOUS FACILITIES FOR OPS/ADMIN		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 141-753	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV919646R1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 1,300		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER VARIOUS FACILITIES FOR OPS/ADMIN		LS			940
ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS		LS			(200)
ALTER GROUP HEADQUARTERS		LS			(240)
ALTER LIFE SUPP/AMU/67 AES/SURV EQUIP		LS			(200)
ALTER FACILITY FOR MEDICAL UNITS		LS			(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					160
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)
ASBESTOS		LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL					1,100
CONTINGENCY (10%)					110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,210
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					73
TOTAL REQUEST					1,283
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,300
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to provide new masonry walls, partition walls, floor covering, electrical, mechanical, utilities, and other necessary support. Work includes all necessary utilities and alterations to utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. <u>PROJECT:</u> Alter portions of four facilities for operations and administration. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Realignment of AFRES Units at Rickenbacker ANGB to Wright-Patterson AFB, OH. Adequate space is required to house Squadron Operations, Group Headquarters, Life Support, Survival Equipment, Aircraft Maintenance Unit (AMU), 67th Aeromedical Squadron (AES), Aeromedical Patient Staging Squadron, and a Medical Clinic. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Due to BRAC (Round 2) the Air Force Reserve units at Rickenbacker ANGB are realigning to Wright-Patterson AFB. There are existing facilities that can be alter for the requirements of this project. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Without the alterations provided by this project the Air Force Reserve will not be able to properly sustain its mission at Wright-Patterson AFB. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook, 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1993 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER VARIOUS FACILITIES FOR OPS/ADMIN	ZHTV919646R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1992		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SHOPS		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 217-712	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV919610R1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 2,000		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SHOPS	LS			1,589	
ALTER MISC SHOPS	SF	32,000	37	(1,184)	
ALTER AGE/ENGINE SHOP	LS			(275)	
ALTER FLEET SERVICE	LS			(50)	
ALTER DOORS ON FUEL CELL DOCK	LS			(80)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				110	
UTILITIES	LS			(20)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)	
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(50)	
SUBTOTAL				1,699	
CONTINGENCY (10%)				170	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,869	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				112	
TOTAL REQUEST				1,981	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter facilities to provide partition walls, doors, suspended ceilings, HVAC changes, lighting fixtures, bridge cranes, hangar door modifications, and asbestos removal. Work includes all necessary utilities and alterations to utilities.					
11. REQUIREMENT: As required. PROJECT: Alter shops and administration. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of AFRES Units at Rickenbacker ANGB to Wright-Patterson AFB, OH. Alteration of space is required for a Maintenance shop, Dash 21 Storage, Civil Engineering Squadron administration, Logistics Group administration, Aerospace Ground Equipment (AGE) Shop, Engine Shop, Fleet Services, and alterations to a Fuels Cell Dock hangar doors. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to BRAC (Round 2) the Air Force Reserve units at Rickenbacker ANGB are realigning to Wright-Patterson AFB. There are existing facilities that can be alter for the requirements of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the alterations provided by this project the Air Force Reserve will not be able to properly sustain its C-141B aircraft mission at Wright-Patterson AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook, 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER SHOPS	ZHTV919610R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	94 JUL	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 55396F	6. CATEGORY CODE 211-111	7. PROJECT NUMBER ZHTV919617R1	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5,500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	LS			4,462	
ADD AIRCRAFT HANGAR EYEBROW	SF	15,000	130	(1,950)	
ADD GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS	SF	16,000	100	(1,600)	
ALTER GENERAL PURPOSE SHOPS	SF	6,000	40	(240)	
ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	SF	28,000	24	(672)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				475	
UTILITIES/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(350)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SUBTOTAL				4,937	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				247	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,184	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				311	
TOTAL REQUEST				5,495	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: ADDITION: Construct concrete foundations, floor slabs, steel frame and trusses, roofing, lighting, mechanical systems, and fire protection (AFFF). ALTERATION: Repair to insulation, floor slabs, and lighting. Includes all necessary new utilities and alterations to existing utilities and removal of asbestos.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 65,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 34,000 SF PROJECT: Add to and Alter a Maintenance Hangar/General Purpose Shops for C-141 aircraft at Wright-Patterson AFB. REQUIREMENT: Realignment of AFRES Units at Rickenbacker ANGB to Wright-Patterson AFB, OH. Adequate additional space and altered existing space is required for C-141 aircraft maintenance. Additional space is required for an eyebrow so that the C-141 aircraft will fit into the existing hangar. Additional space is also required for general purpose shops. Alterations are required in the existing hangar for proper configuration of the existing general purpose shops, and to accommodate the eyebrow and shop additions. CURRENT SITUATION: Due to BRAC (Round 2) the Air Force Reserve units at Rickenbacker ANGB are realigning to Wright-Patterson AFB. The existing maintenance hangar was not designed for C-141 aircraft, nor was it designed for complete aircraft maintenance. Some general purpose shops are located on the other side of the Base more than 2.5 miles away. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the additions and alterations provided by this project the Air Force Reserve will not be able to properly sustain its mission at Wright-Patterson AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	ZHTV919617R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		X
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Williams AFB, Arizona Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	1,200	1,550	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,059	11,296	961	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	14,415	9,866	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,069	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	15,259	28,330	10,827	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	15,259	28,330	10,827	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	16	16
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	16	16	16
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,623	3,752	3,884
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,581	16,560	17,224
Military Personnel	0	20,257	41,475	43,155
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-316	-316	-316
Military ES	0	-935	-935	-935
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	27,461	61,787	64,263
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	1,200	1,550	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-3,623	-3,752	-3,884
Environmental	14,059	11,296	961	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	10,834	-6,694	-17,224
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-19,188	-41,475	-43,155
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	16	16
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	15,259	885	-50,944	-64,247

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	2,750
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,000	0	27,316
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	24,281
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,069
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,000	0	55,416
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,000	0	55,416
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	20	0	68
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	20	0	68
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	4,016	4,151	19,426
Operation & Maintenance	17,877	18,564	73,806
Military Personnel - PCS	44,871	46,660	196,418
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-316	-316	-316
Military ES	-935	-935	-935
TOTAL SAVINGS	66,764	69,375	289,650
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	2,750
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-4,016	-4,151	-19,426
Environmental	1,000	0	27,316
Operation & Maintenance	-17,877	-18,564	-49,525
Military Personnel - PCS	-44,871	-46,660	-195,349
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	20	0	68
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-65,744	-69,375	-234,166

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Williams Air Force Base, Arizona

Closure Package: Williams AFB, Arizona, closed in FY 1993. The 82 Flying Training Wing was inactivated, and all aircraft were retired or redistributed. The Air Crew Training Research Facility will be moved to Orlando, Florida.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	9,675	6,113	19,217	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	13,494	7,324	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	4,889	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,675	24,496	26,541	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,675	24,496	26,541	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	13,340	3,529	335
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	13,340	3,529	335
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	6,039	6,254	6,474
Operation & Maintenance	0	10,340	22,325	23,193
Military Personnel	0	20,850	43,316	45,070
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	-317	-317	-317
Military ES	0	-1,117	-1,117	-1,117
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	37,229	71,895	74,737
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-6,039	-6,254	-6,474
Environmental	9,675	6,113	19,217	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,154	-15,001	-23,193
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-15,961	-43,316	-45,070
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	13,340	3,529	335
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9,675	607	-41,825	-74,402

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	4,000	0	39,005
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	20,818
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,889
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	4,000	0	64,712
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	4,000	0	64,712
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17,204
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	17,204
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,694	6,919	32,380
Operation & Maintenance	24,050	24,948	104,856
Military Personnel - PCS	46,862	48,730	204,828
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	-317	-317	-317
Military ES	-1,117	-1,117	-1,117
TOTAL SAVINGS	77,606	80,597	342,064
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,694	-6,919	-32,380
Environmental	4,000	0	39,005
Operation & Maintenance	-24,050	-24,948	-84,038
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,862	-48,730	-199,939
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17,204
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-73,606	-80,597	-260,148

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
1991 COMMISSION
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Michigan

Closure Package: Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE CALIFORNIA			BASE CLOSURE-VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
8.57.96	214-428	XUMU934008	650		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY		SF	12,000	40	480
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					105
UTILITIES		LS			(25)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(60)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL					585
CONTINGENCY (5%)					29
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					614
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					37
TOTAL REQUEST					651
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					650
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, pre-engineered metal structure and metal roof. Includes restroom, utilities, driveway, and all other appurtenances required for a complete and usable facility.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 12,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Provide modern, economical and functional vehicle storage facility. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Chanute AFB. A facility of adequate size and configuration is required to properly store and protect vehicles. CURRENT SITUATION: High value, special purpose vehicles are currently stored in the building that will be used for the Large Missile Training mission moving from Chanute AFB when it closes. No other existing facilities are available on Vandenberg AFB in which to store these vehicles. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The vehicles cannot be stored outside due to the corrosive nature of the air along the coast. If the vehicles cannot be moved to another facility, the training mission cannot be accomodated thereby jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Chanute AFB. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY	XUMU934008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		%
(c) Date 35% Complete		93 DEC 01
(d) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		30
(b) All Other Design Costs		20
(c) Total		50
(d) Contract		35
(e) In-house		15
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

George AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	49,864	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	800	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	50	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	50,714	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	50,714	0	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	15	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	49,864	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	800	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	50	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	50,729	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FY 1996	FY 1997	TOTAL FY 92 - 97
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	49,864
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	800
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	50
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	50,714
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	50,714
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	15
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	49,864
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	800
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	50
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	50,729

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U.S. Air Force/George Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: George AFB closed 15 December 1992. Changes to the 1988 Commission recommendations include the following: The F-4G aircraft retire or realign to the Idaho Air National Guard. The 35 Tactical Training Wing inactivated. The 41 Electronic Combat Squadron remained at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona. The EF-111 aircraft at Mountain Home AFB, Idaho, realigned to Cannon AFB, New Mexico. A composite wing will be established at Mountain Home AFB.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental Compliance and Restoration: N/A.

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/MATHER AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	38,280	4,200	400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,245	3,497	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	65	0	0
Other	0	670	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	45,260	7,697	400
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	0	-400
BUDGET REQUEST	0	45,260	7,697	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	0	38,280	4,200	400
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,245	3,497	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	65	0	0
Other	0	670	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	45,260	7,697	400

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION

USAF/MATHER AFB, CA
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	42,880
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	9,742
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	65
Other	0	0	670
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	53,357
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-400
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	52,957
 <u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0
 <u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
 <u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	42,880
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	9,742
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	65
Other	0	0	670
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	53,357

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Mather Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Mather AFB, California closed in FY 1993. Changes by the 1991 Base Closure Commission to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations included relocating the 940th Air Refueling Group to McClellan AFB, California, leaving the 323rd Flying Training Wing Hospital open as an annex to McClellan AFB, California, and relocating the Undergraduate Navigator Training mission to Randolph AFB, Texas. The 1993 Base Closure Commission then changed the 1991 Commission's directed beddown of the 940th Air Refueling Group to Beale AFB, California vice McClellan AFB, California. Due to the closure of Mather AFB, the 940th Air Refueling Group will temporarily relocate to McClellan AFB, awaiting permanent beddown at Beale AFB. Other costs and savings associated with the closure of Mather AFB are contained in the Base Closure Account (Part I) Justification Book.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year of Award</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
<u>FY 1994</u>			
*Beale AFB	Add/Alter Group Headquarters (AFRES)	1994	3,400
*Beale AFB	Reserve Security Police (AFRES)	1994	500
*Beale AFB	Add/Alter Support Facilities (AFRES)	1994	300
Total FY 1994			3,700
* DD 1391 included for revised project			
<u>FY 1995</u>			
Beale AFB	Add to Reserve Medical Training Training (AFRES)	1995	400
Total FY 1995			400

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: Facility expansion will be shared between the Base Closure Account and AF MILCON program.

<u>Location/Project Title</u>	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Total Cost (\$000)</u>	<u>Conj Fund (\$000)</u>	<u>Source</u>
Beale AFB/Hospital Life Safety Upgrade	1994	3,900	3,500	AF MILCON
Beale AFB/Fire Training Facility	1994	1,550	1,250	AF MILCON

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather AFB, California Package

FY 1994 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE			
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE GROUP HEADQUARTERS			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
2.71.34	171-445	BAEY939101	3,400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE GROUP HEADQUARTERS	LS			2,200	
GROUP HEADQUARTERS	SF	20,000	80	(1,600)	
PLANS VAULT/COMMAND POST	SF	5,000	120	(600)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				845	
UTILITIES	LS			(680)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(105)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(60)	
SUBTOTAL				3,045	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				152	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,197	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				192	
TOTAL REQUEST				3,389	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,400	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, steel framework, built-up roofing and masonry walls. Project includes secure room for operational plans. All support as required. Air Conditioning: 50 Tons.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 135,000 SF ADEQUATE: 85,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct a Group Headquarters facility to accommodate the 940th Air Refueling Group that is being relocated from a temporary location at McClellan AFB, CA. REQUIREMENT: This project is required for the BRAC 93 redirect from the Mather AFB closure. Facilities are required to train officer and enlisted reservists in their wartime taskings of command, control, operation, and support of a deployable reserve tanker squadron. CURRENT SITUATION: There are no existing facilities available at Beale AFB that can be modified. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE GROUP HEADQUARTERS	BAEY939101	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE SECURITY POLICE FLIGHT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.71.34	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-443	7. PROJECT NUMBER BAEY939105	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 500		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE SECURITY POLICE FLIGHT	LS			338	
RESERVE FORCES GEN TNG SPRT	SF	2,500	90	(225)	
STORAGE	SF	2,500	45	(113)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				110	
UTILITIES	LS			(45)	
PAVEMENTS	LS			(25)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(40)	
SUBTOTAL				448	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				22	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				470	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				28	
TOTAL REQUEST				498	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation/flooring, steel framework, built-up roofing, masonry walls. All support as required.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 5,000 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a new security police facility to accomodate the relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Group (ARG) security police flight from temporary location at McClellan AFB, CA. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Facilities are required to train reserve security police specialists in their wartime taskings of providing security for the deployable reserve tanker squadron and to store deployable equipment used for peacetime training. The Base Realignment and Closure Commission (BRAC 93) redirected the 940th Air Refueling Group from McClellan to Beale AFB. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The 940th security police must be located within or adjacent to a base security facility to allow joint use of law enforcement, central security control, armory, locker rooms, etc. There are no suitable facilities that can be utilized by the reserve police flight. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-RESERVE SECURITY POLICE FLIGHT	BAEY939105	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		Z
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 01
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 JUL		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.71.34		6. CATEGORY CODE 730-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER BAEY939108		8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 300	
9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES		LS			215	
FIRE STATION		SF	1,000	130	(130)	
SERVICES TRAINING/STORAGE/DINING ALTER		SF	1,500	30	(45)	
POL OPS TRAINING		SF	400	100	(40)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					50	
UTILITIES		LS			(25)	
PAVEMENTS		LS			(10)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(15)	
SUBTOTAL					265	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					13	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					278	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					17	
TOTAL REQUEST					295	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					300	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Add to new fire base fire station. Add to new base POL operations facility. Alter existing space near base flightline for a dining hall. Match existing construction. <u>Air Conditioning: 5 Tons.</u>						
11. REQUIREMENT: 57,700 SF ADEQUATE: 30,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,500 SF <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to and alter host base facilities to accomodate the relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Group(ARG) from McClellan AFB, CA. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Facilities are required to train reserve support specialists in their wartime taskings in support of a deployable reserve tanker squadron. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing and programmed facilities at Beale must be increased in scope and/or altered to accomodate reserve firefighters, POL operations, and services specialists and to store their deployable training assets. The host has made available existing space near the flightline dining facility which must be altered for the reserve services specialists. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The Fire Station portion is conjunctive funding to a FY 93 regular MILCON project.						

1. COMPONENT	FY 1994 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES	BAEY939108	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started		93 OCT 01
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs		Y
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1993		35%
(d) Date 35% Designed.		93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete		94 APR 15
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		94 JUL
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

Mather AFB, California Package

FY 1995 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT USAFR		FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO RESERVE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 2.71.34	6. CATEGORY CODE 171-443	7. PROJECT NUMBER BAEY939103	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 400		
9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO RESERVE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY		SF	3,500	90	315
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					45
UTILITIES		LS			(15)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(5)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL					360
CONTINGENCY (5%)					18
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					378
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)					25
TOTAL REQUEST					403
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					400
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation/flooring, steel framework, built-up roofing, masonry walls. All necessary support included.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 3,500 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 <u>PROJECT:</u> Add to existing base hospital to provide sole use administrative/training space to accommodate the relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Group(ARG) medical clinic from a temporary facility at McCellan. <u>REQUIREMENT:</u> This project is required due to the BRAC 93 redirect of the Mather AFB Closure. Facilities are required to train officer and enlisted reservists in their wartime taskings of providing medical support to the deployable reserve tanker squadron. <u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no existing facilities at Beale to accommodate the 940th medical function. This unit must be located within or adjacent to the main base medical facility to allow joint use of exam rooms, radiology, laboratory, pharmacy, etc. <u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions. <u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Addition to existing base hospital is in conjunction with base life/safety upgrade to this facility.					

1. COMPONENT	FY 1995 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	2. DATE
USAFR		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO RESERVE MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	BAEY939103	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	Y	
(c) Percent Complete as of Oct 1993	Z	
(d) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01	
(e) Date Design Complete	94 APR 01	
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b) All Other Design Costs		
(c) Total		
(d) Contract		
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start 94 OCT		
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

U.S. Air Force Program Management Summary

1991 Commission

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	16,308	24,375	6,500	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,100	0
- - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,795	29,673	1,400	0
Operation & Maintenance	285	38,016	41,521	18,400
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	125	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	31,513	92,064	51,521	18,400
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-22,414	-18,400
BUDGET REQUEST	31,513	92,064	29,107	0
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>				
Military Construction	9,756	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	48,617	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58,373	0	0	0
<u>SAVINGS:</u>				
Military Construction	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- - Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>				
Military Construction	-98,220	11,967	-12,512	-21,487
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,100	0
- - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,795	29,673	1,400	0
Operation & Maintenance	285	38,016	41,521	18,400
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	125	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-83,015	79,656	32,509	-3,087

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION
USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	47,183
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,100
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	45,868
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	98,222
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	125
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	193,498
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
Funded from Prior Year Balances	0	0	-40,814
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	152,684
<u>FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:</u>			
Military Construction	0	0	9,756
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	48,617
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	58,373
<u>SAVINGS:</u>			
Military Construction	22,225	22,985	212,645
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,225	22,985	212,645
<u>NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:</u>			
Military Construction	-22,225	-22,985	-165,462
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2,100
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	45,868
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	98,222
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	125
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-22,225	-22,985	-19,147

**BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT
PACKAGE DESCRIPTION**

U. S. Air Force/Program Management

Closure Package: Program management includes overall one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs can include military construction planning and design, environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: N/A

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: N/A

Savings: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.