

①

USAARL Report No. 94-14

AD-A278 962



**Is Increased Accommodation
A Necessary Condition for Instrument Myopia?
(Reprint)**

By
**John C. Kotulak
and
Stephen E. Morse**

**DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 06 1994
S G D**

Aircrew Health and Performance Division

94-13653



March 1994

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

94 5 05 1 1 4

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577**

Notice

Qualified requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.

Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Disclaimer

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Human use

Human subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRDC Reg 70-25 on Use of Volunteers in Research.

Reviewed:


RICHARD R. LEVINE
LTC, MS

Director, Aircrew Health and Performance Division

Released for publication:


ROGER W. WILEY, O.D., Ph.D.
Chairman, Scientific
Review Committee


DAVID H. KARNEY
Colonel, MC, SFS
Commanding

8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS							
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 0602787A	PROJECT NO. 3M162 787879	TASK NO. BG	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 174				
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Is Increased Accommodation a Necessary Condition for Instrument Myopia?										
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) John C. Kotulak and Stephen E. Morse										
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1994 March		15. PAGE COUNT 4				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Printed in the Optical Society of America Technical Digest Vision Science and its Applications, Vol 2, February 1994, pp. 113-116.										
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)							
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Instrument myopia, accommodation, night vision goggles, no-instrument control							
20	06									
23	02									
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)										
Emmetropic subjects focus optical instruments as though they were myopic when the level of accommodation with instrument viewing is no greater than it is without. ☒ Education ☐ Distribution / Availability Codes <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: top;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50px;">Dist</td> <td style="width: 100px;">Avail and/or Special</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">A-1</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>							Dist	Avail and/or Special	A-1	
Dist	Avail and/or Special									
A-1										
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS				21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified						
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Chief, Scientific Information Center				22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (205) 255-6907		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SGRD-UAX-SI				

**IS INCREASED ACCOMMODATION A NECESSARY CONDITION
FOR INSTRUMENT MYOPIA?**

John C. Kotulak and Stephen E. Morse

U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory

Fort Rucker, AL 36362-0577

INTRODUCTION

The cornerstone of our understanding of instrument myopia is that observers, who are not myopic under ordinary circumstances, tend to focus instruments as though they were myopic.¹ It is presumed that this occurs because the level of accommodation is greater during instrument viewing than under other conditions.¹ Our hypothesis was that this presumption is not always correct.

We based our hypothesis on the dark focus bias theory of accommodation, which holds that accommodation generally tends to seek its resting position or dark focus, and that the dark focus lies intermediate between the far and near points of accommodation.² The tendency for accommodation to regress to its dark focus is facilitated by viewing through a small artificial pupil, which opens the accommodative feedback loop (by increasing the depth of focus of the eye) under either instrument¹⁻³ or no-instrument conditions.⁴ An example of such an artificial pupil is the exit pupil of optical instruments, which can increase dark focus bias if it is smaller than the entrance pupil of the eye.^{2,3} We reasoned that, if the exit pupil of an optical instrument could be matched in size with the entrance pupil of the eye, and that similar targets could be presented for instrument and no-instrument viewing, then the levels of instrument and no-instrument accommodation should approach equality.

To test this hypothesis, we selected an instrument whose eyepiece functioned as a simple magnifier, and which, therefore, did not form an exit pupil.⁵ Under such circumstances, the entrance pupil of the eye serves as the instrument's exit pupil, which ensures the equality of these two apertures.⁶ We then measured accommodation during instrument viewing, and during a no-instrument control in which the stimulus conditions were similar to those found during instrument viewing, but in which the instrument was not used. Instrument accommodation was measured with the eyepiece focus fixed at infinity so that we could isolate the effects of user focus settings from the effects of instrument viewing per se.

In a second experiment, we allowed the observers, who were emmetropes, to focus the instrument for best vision. We wished to learn whether emmetropic subjects, for whom the limiting aperture for depth of focus of the eye was the same during

instrument and no-instrument viewing, would exhibit the myopic focusing behavior that is found with instruments that have exit pupils smaller than the eye's entrance pupil.

METHODS

Accommodation was measured in one eye with a dynamic infrared optometer under binocular, steady-state viewing conditions. A beamsplitter was used so that we could measure accommodation while the subjects viewed the visual stimuli either through the instrument or without the instrument. The stimuli were high and low contrast Bailey-Lovie visual acuity charts. The optical instrument was a pair of night vision goggles, which are unity magnification binoculars which electronically amplify ambient light and thus provide photopic vision under night sky conditions. Stimulus contrast, luminance, spatial frequency, and optical vergence were matched closely under instrument and no-instrument conditions. Although contrast was less when the

Table 1. Target Parameters

Parameter	Instrument		No Instrument	
	High	Low	High	Low
Contrast (percent)	62	12	98	21
Luminance (cd/m ²)	6.5		6.5	

target was viewed with the instrument than without, previous research has shown that such differences are unlikely to influence steady-state accommodation.⁷ The night vision goggle display is spatially lowpass filtered; however, it is generally accepted that the middle spatial frequencies, which are well represented in the night vision goggle display, are sufficient to stimulate an optimal accommodative response.⁷ The instrument eyepieces were set to 0.0 D for the fixed focus condition, and the objective lenses were focused for the object distance (5.8 m). The subject's task during the measurement of accommodation was to view through the instrument and keep threshold sized letters clear. Prior to making focus adjustments, the subjects were trained to use the least amount of minus dioptric power necessary to achieve best vision. Thirteen young adult volunteer subjects were recruited, who had uncorrected distance visual acuities of at least 20/20 in each eye, and were free from eye disease and other ocular anomalies.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows that accommodation during instrument viewing was no greater than accommodation during the no-instrument control, regardless of target contrast. The instrument and no-instrument




Figure 1. Accommodation under instrument and no-instrument conditions at two levels of target contrast.




Figure 2. Focus setting as a function of target luminance and contrast.

accommodation means were 0.35 and 0.45 D, respectively, when averaged across contrast. Figure 2 shows that the subjects, although they were emmetropic, exhibited a strong tendency to focus the instrument as though they were myopic. This tendency was robust with respect to target contrast and luminance (12 and 1 cd/m^2 for high and low luminance, respectively). The mean focus adjustment across all conditions was -1.1 D. By comparing the magnitude of the focus settings (Fig. 2) to the level of instrument accommodation for the fixed infinity focus condition (Fig. 1), it can be seen that focus adjustment magnitude exceeded that of accommodation by greater than a factor of two. Thus, the focus settings were not merely a compensation for the amount of accommodation present during the fixed infinity focus condition.

DISCUSSION

Our main conclusion is that accommodation during viewing through optical instruments is not necessarily greater than accommodation during ordinary viewing conditions without instruments. We also conclude that emmetropic observers do focus instruments as though they were myopic, even when the level of accommodation is no greater with instrument viewing than without. What then could be the etiology of the myopic focus adjustments?

In a previous report,⁸ we showed that emmetropes obtain better visual acuity after the myopic focus adjustment than during a fixed infinity focus control, when the experimental conditions were the same as those described in the current paper. We also demonstrated that observers who focus their instruments

close to their individual dark focuses show a greater improvement in visual acuity with focus adjustment than those who do not.⁸ The presumed benefit of placing the stimulus at the dark focus, where accommodation is most accurate, would be optimization of retinal image contrast through reduced focusing error. Another possibility for the myopic focusing behavior is that the observers may be improving visual performance by driving accommodation to a level which minimizes the aberrations of the eye.⁹

REFERENCES

1. M.F. Wesner and R.J. Miller, "Instrument myopia conceptions, misconceptions, and influencing factors," *Doc. Ophthalmol.* **62**, 281-309 (1986).
2. H.W. Leibowitz and D.A. Owens, "Anomalous myopias and the intermediate dark focus of accommodation," *Science* **189**, 646-648 (1975).
3. G. Smith, "The accommodative resting states, instrument accommodation, and their measurement," *Opt. Acta* **30**, 347-359 (1983).
4. C.M. Schor and J.C. Kotulak, "Dynamic interactions between accommodation and convergence are velocity sensitive," *Vision Res.* **26**, 927-942 (1986).
5. W.J. Smith, *Modern Optical Engineering*, 2nd ed. (McGraw Hill, New York, 1990), p. 408.
6. W.H.A. Fincham and M.H. Freeman, *Optics*, 8th ed. (Butterworths, London, 1974), p. 393.
7. K.J. Ciuffreda, "The Glenn A. Fry invited lecture. Accommodation to gratings and more naturalistic stimuli," *Optom. Vision Sci.* **68**, 243-260 (1991).
8. J.C. Kotulak and S.E. Morse, "The effects of instrument myopia and user focus adjustments on visual acuity with optical instruments," *Optom. Vision Sci. Suppl.* **69**, 145 (1992).
9. C.W. Lu, M.C.W. Campbell, and R. Munger, "Coma and spherical aberration in accommodated eyes," *Invest. Ophthalm. Vis. Sci. Suppl.* **34**, 775 (1993).

Initial distribution

**Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040**

**Library
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab
Box 900, Naval Sub Base
Groton, CT 06349-5900**

**Chairman
National Transportation Safety Board
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20594**

**Executive Director, U.S. Army Human
Research and Engineering Directorate
ATTN: Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005**

**Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180**

**Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Naval Air Development Center
Technical Information Division
Technical Support Detachment
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Commanding Officer, Naval Medical
Research and Development Command
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda, MD 20814-5044**

**Commanding Officer
Armstrong Laboratory
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573**

**Deputy Director, Defense Research
and Engineering
ATTN: Military Assistant
for Medical and Life Sciences
Washington, DC 20301-3080**

**Director
Army Audiology and Speech Center
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5001**

**Commander, U.S. Army Research
Institute of Environmental Medicine
Natick, MA 01760**

**Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: SFAE-IEW-JS
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5305**

Director
Federal Aviation Administration
FAA Technical Center
Atlantic City, NJ 08405

Commander, U.S. Army Test
and Evaluation Command
ATTN: AMSTE-AD-H
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Naval Air Systems Command
Technical Air Library 950D
Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20361

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-RMS
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
Washington, DC 20307-5100

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O)
5109 Leesburg Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

Harry Diamond Laboratories
ATTN: Technical Information Branch
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center
and School Library
Simpson Hall, Building 3071
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
ATTN: HSHB-MO-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research
and Development Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
21010-5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
ATTN: SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological
Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
600 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander
U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCDE-XS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

**Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604**

**Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-M
Fort Monroe, VA 23651**

**IAF Liaison Officer for Safety
USAF Safety Agency/SEFF
9750 Avenue G, SE
Kirtland Air Force Base
NM 87117-5671**

**Naval Aerospace Medical
Institute Library
Building 1953, Code 03L
Pensacola, FL 32508-5600**

**Command Surgeon
HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG)
U.S. Central Command
MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33608**

**Air University Library
(AUL/LSE)
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112**

**U.S. Air Force Institute
of Technology (AFIT/LDEE)
Building 640, Area B
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433**

**Henry L. Taylor
Director, Institute of Aviation
University of Illinois-Willard Airport
Savoy, IL 61874**

**Chief, National Guard Bureau
ATTN: NGB-ARS
Arlington Hall Station
111 South George Mason Drive
Arlington, VA 22204-1382**

**Commander
U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
ATTN: AMSAT-R-ES
4300 Goodfellow Bouvelard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798**

**U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
Library and Information Center Branch
ATTN: AMSAV-DIL
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120**

**Federal Aviation Administration
Civil Aeromedical Institute
Library AAM-400A
P.O. Box 25082
Oklahoma City, OK 73125**

**Commander
U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234**

**Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200**

**AAMRL/HEX
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433**

**John A. Dellinger,
Southwest Research Institute
P. O. Box 28510
San Antonio, TX 78284**

Product Manager
Aviation Life Support Equipment
ATTN: AMCPM-ALSE
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander and Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Station
ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R,
CD Department
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Commanding Officer
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 24907
New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Mr. Peter Seib
Human Engineering Crew Station
Box 266
Westland Helicopters Limited
Yeovil, Somerset BA20 2YB UK

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground
Technical Library, Building 5330
Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground
Technical Library
Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library
6510 TW/TSTL
Edwards Air Force Base,
CA 93523-5000

Commander
Code 3431
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army
ATTN: SMA
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Strughold Aeromedical Library
Document Service Section
2511 Kennedy Circle
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5122

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Aviation Engineering
Flight Activity
ATTN: SAVTE-M (Tech Lib) Stop 217
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Ms. Sandra G. Hart
Ames Research Center
MS 262-3
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-UMZ
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOP-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute
Aviation R&D Activity
ATTN: PERI-IR
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
U.S. Army Safety Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development
Test Activity
ATTN: STEBG-MP-P
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL R. Gifford)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

TRADOC Aviation LO
Unit 21551, Box A-209-A
APO AE 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development
Building 502
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office
P. O. Box 716
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Center
and Fort Rucker
ATTN: ATZQ-CG
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
Test & Evaluation Coordinating Board
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Canadian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

French Army Liaison Office
USAAVNC (Building 602)
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund
6 Burning Tree Court
Bethesda, MD 20817

Commandant, Royal Air Force
Institute of Aviation Medicine
Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK

Defense Technical Information
Cameron Station, Building 5
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science
and Technology Center
AIFRTA (Davis)
220 7th Street, NE
Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-ATCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander, U.S. Air Force
Development Test Center
101 West D Avenue, Suite 117
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495

Aviation Medicine Clinic
TMC #22, SAAF
Fort Bragg, NC 28305

Dr. H. Dix Christensen
Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753
Post Office Box 26901
Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R
/ILL Documents
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Director
Army Personnel Research Establishment
Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK

U.S. Army Research and Technology
Laboratories (AVSCOM)
Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH 44135

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZC (COL John F. Glenn)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Dr. Eugene S. Channing
166 Baughman's Lane
Frederick, MD 21702-4083

U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
USAMRDALC Liaison
ATTN: HSMC-FR
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Dr. A. Kornfield, President
Biosearch Company
3016 Revere Road
Drexel Hill, PA 29026

NVESD
AMSEL-RD-NV-ASID-PST
(Attn: Trang Bui)
10221 Burbeck Road
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5806

CA Av Med
HQ DAAC
Middle Wallop
Stockbridge, Hants S020 8DY UK

Dr. Christine Schlichting
Behavioral Sciences Department
Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander, HQ AAC/SGPA
Aerospace Medicine Branch
162 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 100
Langley Air Force Base,
VA 23665-1995

Commander
Aviation Applied Technology Directorate
ATTN: AMSAT-R-T
Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577

Director
Aviation Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSAT-R-Z
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZB (COL C. Fred Tyner)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Directorate of Combat Developments
ATTN: ATZQ-CD
Building 515
Fort Rucker, AL 36362