

AD-A279 335



**Vernier Acuity
Through Night Vision Goggles
(Reprint)**

By

Jeff Rabin

DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 18 1994
S G D

Aircrew Health and Performance Division

94-14818

405 518

April 1994

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

94 5 17 104

**United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577**

Notice

Qualified requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.

Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.


Disclaimer

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Human use

Human subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRDC Reg 70-25 on Use of Volunteers in Research.

Reviewed:


RICHARD R. LEVINE

LTC, MS

Director, Aircrew Health and Performance Division

Released for publication:


ROGER W. WILEY, O.D., Ph.D.
Chairman, Scientific
Review Committee


DAVID H. KARNEY
Colonel, MC, SFS
Commanding

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) USAARL Report No. 94-17			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research Development, Acquisition and Logistics Command (Provisional)		
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable) SGRD-UAS-VS	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21702-5012		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 620577 Ft. Rucker, AL 36362-0577		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 62787A	PROJECT NO. 3016278 7A879	TASK NO. PE	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 164
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Vernier Acuity through Night Vision Goggles					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Jeff Rabin					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1994 April	
15. PAGE COUNT 3					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Printed in <u>Optometry and Vision Science</u> , April 1993, Pages 689-691.					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Night vision goggles, image intensifiers, vernier acuity, contrast sensitivity		
17	05				
09	01				
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Night vision goggles (NVG) are being used increasingly in military and civilian environments. Despite the use of these devices, relatively few tests exist to assess visual performance through NVGs. Hyperacuity tasks may provide a sensitive index of performance through night vision devices. In this study, grating vernier acuity was measured through NVGs. As reported previously, a power law relation was observed between vernier acuity and stimulus contrast. Comparison of vernier acuity with and without NVGs indicated that performance is limited by the contrast transfer of the device. Vernier acuity measurements can be used to assess the quality of vision and quantity of contrast transferred through night vision devices.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Chief, Scientific Information Center			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (205) 255-6907		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL SGRD-UAX-SI

instruments/techniques

Vernier Acuity through Night Vision Goggles

JEFF RABIN*

U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, Alabama

ABSTRACT

Night vision goggles (NVG's) are being used increasingly in military and civilian environments. Despite the use of these devices, relatively few tests exist to assess visual performance through NVG's. Hyperacuity tasks may provide a sensitive index of performance through night vision devices. In this study, grating vernier acuity was measured through NVG's. As reported previously, a power law relation was observed between vernier acuity and stimulus contrast. Comparison of vernier acuity with and without NVG's indicated that performance is limited by the contrast transfer of the device. Vernier acuity measurements can be used to assess the quality of vision and quantity of contrast transferred through night vision devices.

Key Words: night vision goggles, image intensifiers, vernier acuity, contrast sensitivity

Military operations are often conducted at night or under conditions of limited visibility. These operations require high levels of performance in environments lacking sufficient ambient illumination to support normal visual function. Image intensifying devices [night vision goggles (NVG's)] amplify ambient illumination, making performance possible under extreme and limited conditions. Despite their usefulness NVG's present a view of the world that is isochromatic and lacking in contrast and detail. It is essential to understand factors which limit vision through NVG's so that performance can be anticipated under various conditions.

Several techniques have been used to assess visual performance of NVG's such as visual acuity, contrast sensitivity, and stereopsis.¹⁻⁴ Another approach for evaluating vision through NVG's is vernier acuity—the precision with which two targets can be aligned. Like stereopsis, vernier acuity is a type of "hyperacuity" because, under optimal conditions, it is better than the acuity predicted from the separation between photoreceptors.⁵ Research

has shown that vernier acuity depends on stimulus contrast.⁶⁻⁹ Because NVG display contrast is difficult to measure and varies with ambient conditions, evaluation of the contrast dependency of vernier acuity offers an adjunctive approach for assessing the effective contrast to the observer.

In the present study, vernier acuity was measured through third-generation NVG's. The red phosphor of a color monitor was used to stimulate the NVG's which have peak spectral sensitivity in the near infrared. Vernier acuity was assessed over a range of stimulus contrasts to characterize the contrast dependency of the response. Measurements were also obtained without the NVG, but at the same display luminance to estimate contrast transfer through the device.

METHODS

The stimulus for testing NVG's was generated on a high-resolution color monitor. Only the red gun of the phosphor was used to limit the spectral composition of the stimulus to the spectral range of NVG's. Although NVG's have peak sensitivity in the near infrared (750 nm), little infrared radiation is emitted by the red gun so its output between 600 to 720 nm forms the primary stimulus. Because the shape of the phosphor spectral output function remains constant with software-controlled changes in monitor intensity, it was possible to introduce accurate changes in contrast to the NVG by varying the red gun intensity in known steps. Neutral density (ND) filters were used to reduce the overall monitor luminance to a value estimated to be between one-quarter moon and starlight conditions.¹⁰ This level was used because it corresponds to a moderate level of stimulation to NVG's and is below the intensity level which activates the NVG automatic brightness control. This made it possible to test at an extended viewing distance without any change in display luminance imposed by the brightness control.

The stimulus for vernier acuity was a 1.4° circular patch of vertical, square-wave grating with a spatial frequency of 6 cpd surrounded by a uniform field of the same mean luminance. This spatial frequency

Received November 24, 1992; revision received April 5, 1993.
*O.D., Ph.D.

was chosen because it is associated with good vernier acuity³ and is within the spatial bandpass of the NVG for the light level used.⁴ In addition, the spatial frequency was high enough to make the effects of higher harmonics in the square-wave stimulus negligible. The top half of the grating was fixed in spatial phase; the horizontal position of the bottom half was adjustable in pixel steps by keyboard control. The subject viewed the grating monocularly with the right eye at a distance of 4.8 m. The method of adjustment was used in which the subject depressed keyboard buttons to shift the bottom half of the grating left or right until it appeared aligned with the top half. After 3 min of adaptation to the mean luminance of the NVG display, 10 alignment settings were made at each of 5 Michelson contrasts ranging from 4 to 66% in 0.3 log unit steps. The contrasts were presented in ascending order to reduce successive adaptation effects. For each contrast the standard deviation of 10 settings was used as the vernier threshold.

In separate sessions, measurements were obtained from the same subjects with a simulation of the NVG display. The same grating stimulus was used, but modulated in contrast using the green gun of the monitor to simulate the green phosphor (P20) of the NVG display. ND filters were used to reduce the monitor luminance to the NVG display luminance used in this study (0.4 ft-L). The same procedures were used as described for the NVG measurements to obtain vernier thresholds for each of the five grating contrasts.

Five subjects participated in this study. Each subject was male, 21 to 22 years of age, and had no refractive error with visual acuities of 6/6 (20/20).

RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows mean (± 1 SE) vernier acuity from five subjects plotted against stimulus contrast for NVG and simulated NVG conditions. The NVG test was conducted through the device, whereas the simulation indicates performance without the device, but at the same display luminance and color. In both viewing conditions, vernier acuity improved with stimulus contrast. Although display luminance and color were the same in the two conditions, vernier acuity was consistently reduced when viewing through NVG's (mean decrement = 2.7 $\times$). This difference between NVG and simulated conditions, and the improvement in vernier acuity with contrast were statistically significant effects (Friedman two-way analysis of variance; $\chi^2 = 8.0$, $p < 0.005$).

Previous studies reported a power law relation between vernier acuity and contrast.⁶⁻⁸ The results shown in Fig. 1a are also best described by power law functions with exponents of -0.81 for the NVG condition ($r^2 = 0.92$), and -0.73 for the simulated condition ($r^2 = 0.93$). These values are comparable to those reported in previous studies, and indicate that a 10-fold reduction in contrast will produce a 5 to 7 $\times$ reduction in vernier performance through NVG's.




Figure 1. Mean (± 1 SE) vernier thresholds from five subjects are plotted against stimulus contrast for NVG and simulated-NVG conditions (a). The same data are plotted in (b), but corrected for the difference in contrast thresholds. This was done by shifting the NVG data leftward along the contrast axis by a factor of 3.4 $\times$ —the ratio of NVG/simulation detection thresholds.

The fact that vernier acuity was 2 to 3 $\times$ better in the simulated condition indicates that performance through the NVG was limited by electro-optical properties of the device. This limitation could not be attributed to the luminance or color of the display because they were matched in the two conditions. It is also unlikely that resolution limited performance because the spatial frequency used was lower than the resolution limit of both the NVG and the human visual system. It is more likely that the effective contrast to the observer was attenuated through the NVG, perhaps because of the addition of electro-optical noise. Correction for this contrast reduction should lessen the difference in vernier acuity between NVG and simulated-NVG conditions. Fig. 1b shows mean vernier acuities corrected for the difference in contrast threshold with and without the NVG. All values conform to a common function when corrected for this contrast difference—a factor of 3.4 $\times$. Recent studies have also shown that differences in vernier acuity across mechanisms (e.g., luminance vs. color) diminish when contrast is scaled relative to detection threshold.^{9,11} These findings underscore the fundamental importance of system modulation transfer functions for explaining and predicting visual performance.

DISCUSSION

This study quantified vernier performance through NVG's. As shown in previous studies,⁶⁻⁸ a power law relation was observed between vernier

acuity and stimulus contrast. The exponent of this relation (-0.8) was about the same as that reported by Bradley and Skottun⁸ using a similar grating stimulus. The dependency of vernier acuity on contrast indicates that stimulus conditions or device factors which reduce contrast through NVG's will impair vernier alignment performance (e.g., targeting tasks). Design features which mitigate against contrast loss are essential for optimal alignment performance through NVG's.

A comparison of vernier acuity through NVG's to measurements obtained without the device but at the same luminance and color revealed substantial differences in vernier acuity. Vernier thresholds through NVG's were an average of 2 to 3 $\times$ higher than values obtained under comparable conditions of luminance and chromaticity. This reduction in vernier acuity could not be attributed to the brightness, color, or resolution of the NVG display, but could be explained by the difference in contrast sensitivity with and without the NVG. The difference in vernier performance between real and simulated conditions was essentially eliminated by correcting stimulus contrast for threshold differences with and without the NVG device.

Current military standards for image intensifiers include requirements for resolution, gain, and distortion. Vernier acuity offers an additional metric for grading the quality and quantity of vision through NVG's. Subtle distortion or contrast attenuation which may elude detection by standard techniques could reduce vernier acuity through NVG's. This approach will prove useful in the future assessment of night vision devices.

REFERENCES

1. Wiley RW, Holly FF. Vision with the AN/PVS-5 night vision goggle. U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. Fort Rucker, AL: 1976.
2. Levine RR, Rash CE. Attenuating the luminous output of the AN/PVS-5A night vision goggles and its effects on visual acuity. U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. Fort Rucker, AL: 1989; USAARL Report No. 89-24.
3. Wiley RW. Visual acuity and stereopsis with night vision goggles. U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. Fort Rucker, AL: 1989; USAARL Report No. 89-9.
4. Kotulak JC, Rash CE. Visual acuity with second and third generation night vision goggles obtained from a new method of night sky simulation across a wide range of target contrasts. U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. Fort Rucker, AL: 1992; USAARL Report No. 92-9.
5. Westheimer G. Visual acuity and hyperacuity. Invest Ophthalmol 1975;14:570-2.
6. Foley-Fischer JA. Contrast, edge-gradient, and target line width as factors in vernier acuity. Optica Acta 1977;24:179-86.
7. Wilson HR. Responses of spatial mechanisms can explain hyperacuity. Vision Res 1986;26:453-69.
8. Bradley A, Skottun BC. Effects of contrast and spatial frequency on vernier acuity. Vision Res 1987;27:1817-24.
9. Krauskopf J, Farrell B. Vernier acuity: effects of chromatic content, blur and contrast. Vision Res 1991;31:735-49.
10. RCA Electro-Optics Handbook. Electro-optics handbook technical series EOH-11. Lancaster: RCA Corp, 1974.
11. Kooi FL, De Valois RL, Switkes E. Spatial localization across channels. Vision Res 1991;31:1627-32.

AUTHOR'S ADDRESS:

Jeff Rabin
U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Attn: SGRD-UAS-VS (MAJ Rabin)
P.O. Box 620577
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	20

Initial distribution

**Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040**

**Library
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab
Box 900, Naval Sub Base
Groton, CT 06349-5900**

**Chairman
National Transportation Safety Board
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20594**

**Executive Director, U.S. Army Human
Research and Engineering Directorate
ATTN: Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005**

**Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180**

**Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Naval Air Development Center
Technical Information Division
Technical Support Detachment
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B
Warminster, PA 18974**

**Commanding Officer, Naval Medical
Research and Development Command
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda, MD 20814-5044**

**Commanding Officer
Armstrong Laboratory
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573**

**Deputy Director, Defense Research
and Engineering
ATTN: Military Assistant
for Medical and Life Sciences
Washington, DC 20301-3080**

**Director
Army Audiology and Speech Center
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5001**

**Commander, U.S. Army Research
Institute of Environmental Medicine
Natick, MA 01760**

**Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: SFAE-IEW-JS
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5305**

Director
Federal Aviation Administration
FAA Technical Center
Atlantic City, NJ 08405

Commander, U.S. Army Test
and Evaluation Command
ATTN: AMSTE-AD-H
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Naval Air Systems Command
Technical Air Library 950D
Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20361

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-RMS
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
Washington, DC 20307-5100

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O)
5109 Leesburg Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

Harry Diamond Laboratories
ATTN: Technical Information Branch
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSYP-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center
and School Library
Simpson Hall, Building 3071
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
ATTN: HSHB-MO-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research
and Development Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
21010-5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
ATTN: SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological
Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
600 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander
U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCDE-XS
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-M
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

IAF Liaison Officer for Safety
USAF Safety Agency/SEFF
9750 Avenue G, SE
Kirtland Air Force Base
NM 87117-5671

**Naval Aerospace Medical
Institute Library**
Building 1953, Code 03L
Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon
HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG)
U.S. Central Command
MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33608

**Air University Library
(AUL/LSE)**
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

**U.S. Air Force Institute
of Technology (AFIT/LDEE)**
Building 640, Area B
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor
Director, Institute of Aviation
University of Illinois-Willard Airport
Savoy, IL 61874

Chief, National Guard Bureau
ATTN: NGB-ARS
Arlington Hall Station
111 South George Mason Drive
Arlington, VA 22204-1382

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
ATTN: SGRD-UAX-AL
4300 Goodfellow Blvd., Building 105
St. Louis, MO 63120

**U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
Library and Information Center Branch**
ATTN: AMSAV-DIL
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

**Federal Aviation Administration
Civil Aeromedical Institute
Library AAM-400A**
P.O. Box 25082
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

AAMRL/HEX
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

John A. Dellinger,
Southwest Research Institute
P. O. Box 28510
San Antonio, TX 78284

Product Manager
Aviation Life Support Equipment
ATTN: AMCPM-ALSE
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander and Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Station
ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R,
CD Department
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Commanding Officer
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 24907
New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Mr. Peter Seib
Human Engineering Crew Station
Box 266
Westland Helicopters Limited
Yeovil, Somerset BA20 2YB UK

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground
Technical Library, Building 5330
Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground
Technical Library
Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library
6510 TW/TSTL
Edwards Air Force Base,
CA 93523-5000

Commander
Code 3431
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army
ATTN: SMA
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Strughold Aeromedical Library
Document Service Section
2511 Kennedy Circle
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5122

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

U.S. Army Aviation Engineering
Flight Activity
ATTN: SAVTE-M (Tech Lib) Stop 217
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-5000

Ms. Sandra G. Hart
Ames Research Center
MS 262-3
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-UMZ
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOP-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute
Aviation R&D Activity
ATTN: PERI-IR
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
U.S. Army Safety Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development
Test Activity
ATTN: STEBG-MP-P
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL R. Gifford)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

TRADOC Aviation LO
Unit 21551, Box A-209-A
APO AE 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development
Building 502
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office
P. O. Box 716
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Center
and Fort Rucker
ATTN: ATZQ-CG
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
Test & Evaluation Coordinating Board
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Canadian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

French Army Liaison Office
USAAVNC (Building 602)
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund
6 Burning Tree Court
Bethesda, MD 20817

**Commandant, Royal Air Force
Institute of Aviation Medicine
Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK**

**Defense Technical Information
Cameron Station, Building 5
Alexandra, VA 22304-6145**

**Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science
and Technology Center
AIFRTA (Davis)
220 7th Street, NE
Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396**

**Commander
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-ATCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604**

**Commander, U.S. Air Force
Development Test Center
101 West D Avenue, Suite 117
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495**

**Aviation Medicine Clinic
TMC #22, SAAF
Fort Bragg, NC 28305**

**Dr. H. Dix Christensen
Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753
Post Office Box 26901
Oklahoma City, OK 73190**

**Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R
/ILL Documents
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898**

**Director
Army Personnel Research Establishment
Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK**

**U.S. Army Research and Technology
Laboratories (AVSCOM)
Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH 44135**

**Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZC (COL John F. Glenn)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012**

**Dr. Eugene S. Channing
166 Baughman's Lane
Frederick, MD 21702-4083**

**U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
USAMRDALC Liaison
ATTN: HSMC-FR
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234**

**Dr. A. Kornfield, President
Biosearch Company
3016 Revere Road
Drexel Hill, PA 29026**

**NVESD
AMSEL-RD-NV-ASID-PST
(Attn: Trang Bui)
10221 Burbeck Road
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5806**

**CA Av Med
HQ DAAC
Middle Wallop
Stockbridge, Hants S020 8DY UK**

Dr. Christine Schlichting
Behavioral Sciences Department
Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander, HQ AAC/SGPA
Aerospace Medicine Branch
162 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 100
Langley Air Force Base,
VA 23665-1995

Commander
Aviation Applied Technology Directorate
ATTN: AMSAT-R-T
Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577

Director
Aviation Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSAT-R-Z
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
USAMRDALC
ATTN: SGRD-ZB (COL C. Fred Tyner)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Director
Directorate of Combat Developments
ATTN: ATZQ-CD
Building 515
Fort Rucker, AL 36362