




1

**U.S. Army Aviation Epidemiology Data Register:
Comparison of the Administrative Effect
of Historical and Proposed
Hearing Standards for Army Aviators**

By

Kevin T. Mason

Aircrew Protection Division



19950509 111

March 1995

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8

**United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362-0577**

Notice

Qualified requesters

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DTIC.

Change of address

Organizations receiving reports from the U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory on automatic mailing lists should confirm correct address when corresponding about laboratory reports.


Disposition

Destroy this document when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Disclaimer


The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation. Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial items.

Reviewed:




KEVIN T. MASON
LTC, MC, MFS
Director, Aircrew Protection
Division

Released for publication:



ROGER W. WILEY, O.D., Ph.D.
Chairman, Scientific
Review Committee



DENNIS F. SHANAHAN
Colonel, MC, MFS
Commanding

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) USAARL Report No. 95-18		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) MCMR-UAD	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 620577 Fort Rucker, AL 36362-0577		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21702-5012	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 62787A	PROJECT NO. 30162787A878
		TASK NO. HC	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. 144
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) U.S. Army Aviation Epidemiology Data Register: Comparison of the administrative effect of historical and proposed hearing standards for Army aviators			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Mason, Kevin T.			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final	13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1995 March	15. PAGE COUNT 11
16. SUPPLEMENTAL NOTATION			
17. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
05	09		
06	04		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The U.S. Army Aeromedical Activity, U.S. Army Aeromedical Center, Fort Rucker, Alabama, requested a descriptive analysis of the current and proposed U.S. Army Class 2 aviator hearing standards to estimate the effect of changing to the proposed from the current standard in 1995. The background section compared one historical hearing standard from 1980 to the current (1983), and proposed (1995), hearing standards. The application of the aviator hearing standards in a hearing conservation, public health protocol was described. A cohort of Army aviators, numbering 19,916, from calendar year 1993, were studied to determine the effect of changing the current aviator hearing standard to a standard proposed for the 1995 Army Regulation 40-501, Medical fitness standards. Six percent of the cohort (1242 of 19,916) failed the current hearing standard. An additional 5.5 percent of the cohort (1091 of 19,916) passed the current 1983 standard, but failed the proposed 1995 standard. (Continued on next page)			
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Chief, Science Support Center		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (334) 255-6907	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL MCMR-UAX-SS

19. Abstract (Continued):

The burden of managing the increased audiology consultation workload among the 1,091 new cases will be negligible among 201 of 212 aviation medicine clinics affected by the change in standards. However, the remaining clinics can expect more than one new audiology consultation requirement per month. Fort Rucker Aviation Medicine Clinic will carry the greatest burden with a predicted 187 additional consultations in the first 1 to 2 years of standard implementation. After initial screening, the number who will have progressive hearing loss requiring follow-up audiology consultations is unknown. Among the 1,091 aviators affected by the proposed standard, 12.5 percent already have completed the baseline audiology consultation prior to standard implementation.

Table of contents

	Page
List of tables	1
Military relevance	3
Background	3
Method	5
Results	5
Discussion	6
Summary and conclusions	8
References	9
Appendix A. Visual basic code for determining hearing fitness for Army aviator duties	10

List of tables

Table

1. Historical comparison of hearing standards for U.S. Army aviators 4
2. Demonstration of pass or fail the hearing standard at 1000 Hz for four example aviators 5
3. Number of aviators failing combinations of three hearing standards 7
4. Distribution of burden for audiology consultations directed by the new hearing standard ... 7

Accession For	
NTIS CRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution /	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

This page was left blank intentionally

Military relevance

Army aircraft, especially rotary-wing aircraft, produce high intensity noise that will injure unprotected human hearing. Hearing loss is a common cause of medical disqualification among Army aviators, despite the occupational loss of hearing being preventable in most cases. Most aviators with hearing loss continue to fly. Hearing standards for Army aircrew members are evaluated regularly and are modified as required. A change in Army aviator hearing standards was proposed to the Aeromedical Consultant Advisory Panel in 1989, and remains under consideration today.

The U.S. Army Aeromedical Activity, U.S. Army Aeromedical Center, Fort Rucker, Alabama, requested a descriptive analysis of the current and proposed U.S. Army Class 2 aviator hearing standards to estimate the effect of changing to the proposed hearing standard in 1995 from the current one. Study findings will be presented to the Aeromedical Consultant Advisory Panel as it makes final deliberations on the hearing standards proposed for the 1995 Army regulation 40-501, Medical fitness standards (Department of the Army, 1995).

Background

The Army conducts a hearing conservation program for Army aircrew members. Program objectives include conducting hearing conservation training, providing personal and helmet mounted hearing protection devices, and by annually screening the pure tone hearing of aircrew members at 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 6000 Hz (Department of the Army, 1980; U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, 1988; Department of the Army, 1991).

Those with hearing threshold levels in excess of screening standards are referred for a complete audiology evaluation to include air and bone conducted pure tone testing, binaural speech discrimination at the most comfortable listening level, bilateral speech reception threshold testing, and if indicated, tympanogram, retrocochlear testing, and ear, nose and throat consultation. Aviators are returned to flying duties following evaluation, unless their binaural speech discrimination score is less than 84 percent and/or the aviator subjectively feels unsafe while flying due to hearing loss. The complete audiology evaluation is repeated only if there is a 20 dB worsening of hearing threshold level in the frequencies of 1000, 2000, 3000, or 4000 Hz, compared to the last baseline audiology consultation (Department of the Army, 1986).

In 1989, 1600 aviators were medically disqualified for flying duties. Of these, 1280 were returned to flying duties. Among those returned to flying duties, 302 had hearing loss as the cause for medical disqualification. Of the 320 aviators medically terminated from aviation service, one was terminated due to hearing loss. This aviator requested termination from aviation service because he no longer felt safe handling instrument flying rules radio communications and could not be helped by a hearing aid (Mason, 1990).

Table 1 shows a historical comparison of hearing standards for Army aviators. The current hearing standard (1983) uses the concept of the better ear and poorer ear. The regulation does not state if the relationship between the better ear and the poorer ear is an “AND” logical relationship, or an “OR” logical relationship. In practice, the “OR” logical relationship has been used by the Aeromedical Activity since at least 1983 (personal communication with former directors, U.S. Army Aeromedical Activity). It is easy for flight surgeon offices and the Aeromedical Activity staff to make an administrative error while determining hearing fitness for flying duties using the better ear and poorer ear concept. Table 2 demonstrates this method of determining hearing fitness for flying duties using an “OR” logical relationship between the better ear and poorer ear.

The proposed 1995 standard removes the confusing concept of the better ear and poorer ear by application of a single hearing threshold level standard to either ear.. The proposed standard also brings the hearing standards for Class 2 (aviators), and Classes 2S/2F/3 and 4 (other aircrew members and air traffic controllers), under one standard rather than three separate standards. These changes will reduce the confusion by the flight surgeon office and flying duty medical examination (FDME) reviewers in applying hearing standards.

In the range of 500 to 3000 Hz, the proposed standard is aligned with the current and 1980 better ear standard. In the range of 4000 to 6000 Hz, the proposed standard drops the hearing threshold level by 10 dB compared to the current standard, but is 10 to 20 dB above the previous standard of 1980.

Table 1.
Historical comparison of hearing standards for U.S. Army aviators.

Reference	Class 2 aviator hearing standard in decibels at a given frequency						
	Ear	500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	3000 Hz	4000 Hz	6000 Hz
AR 40-501, Change 32, 1980	Better	>25*	>25	>25	>35	>35	>35
	Poorer	>25	>35	>35	>45	>45	>45
AR 40-501, Change 34, 1983, current standard	Better	>25	>25	>25	>35	>65	>75
	Poorer	>25	>35	>35	>45	>65	>75
Proposed standard, 1995	Either	>25	>25	>25	>35	>55	>65

* Each decibel standard is stated as the failing standard; example, "the aviator fails with hearing threshold levels greater than 25 dB at 500 Hz."

Table 2.

Demonstration of pass or fail the hearing standard at 1000 Hz for four example aviators.

Frequency 1000 Hz	Standard	Example aviator #1	Example aviator #2	Example aviator #3	Example aviator #4
Better ear	>25 dB	20 dB	30 dB*	20 dB	30 dB
Poorer ear	>35 dB	30 dB	35 dB	40 dB	40 dB
Pass or fail standard		Pass	Fail	Fail	Fail

* Bold face type indicates the ear(s) that fails the better ear/poorer ear hearing standard.

Method

The first occurrence of a FDME for each Army aviator was extracted from the Aviation Epidemiology Data Register for the period of 1 January 1993 to 31 December 1993 by date of examination. Data elements were extracted from these FDMEs included: cohort subject social security number, age, facility of examination, pure tone audiogram findings for the right and left ear.

The hearing standards from Table 1 were applied to the hearing findings of each aviator to determine if the aviator passed or failed the standard. Appendix A shows the Visual Basic™ code applied to determine the pass or fail status for each standard (Microsoft Corporation, 1993a). The waiver and suspense file was queried for those passing the current standard, but failing the proposed standard, to determine who had already undergone a complete audiology evaluation.

Analyses of the data were conducted using the pivot wizard function (crosstabs) of Excel 5.0™ to create a descriptive comparison of the standards (Microsoft Corporation, 1993b). The effects of the proposed standard on examination facilities were tabulated.

Results

This study reviewed the audiograms of 19,916 Army aviators for calendar year 1993. Among the 1993 aviator cohort, 3,799 (19.1 percent) failed at least one of the hearing standards as shown in Table 1. Among the 3,799 aviators who failed at least one of the hearing standards, 1,242 failed all three standards. Another 1,466 failed the most stringent 1980 standard, but passed the current 1983 standard and proposed 1995 standard. This left 1,091 aviators who passed the current standard, but failed the proposed standard. These 1,091 aviators would be required to undergo a complete audiology consultation in the first year they were discovered to meet the proposed standard. Only those with progressive hearing loss would be required to undergo further audiology consultation.

The work load of the excess, first-time, audiology consultations for the 1,091 aviators will be done by 212 military facilities. Of these, 201 facilities will have 1 or less excess audiology consultations per month. The U.S. Army Aeromedical Center, which has the greatest FDME burden of all military facilities, can expect 187 audiology consultations for aviators in the first 1 to 2 years of the proposed standard implementation. Table 4 shows the distribution of burden for excess audiology consultations directed by the new hearing standard. Of the 1,091 aviators, the waiver and suspense file query showed that 136 (12.5 percent) already have completed an audiology evaluation; lessening the burden for excess audiology consultations directed by the new hearing standard.

Discussion

Army aviator hearing standards are not clinical standards, but are public health screening standards for aviators used to determine who needs a comprehensive audiology evaluation. The evaluation rules out other serious causes of hearing loss. Among the many with noise induced, high frequency hearing loss, there will be aviators with undiagnosed central nervous system, inner ear, and middle ear disorders, such as acoustic neuromas and cholesteatomas, that require further treatment or restriction from flying duties. The evaluation establishes a baseline of hearing threshold levels for reference in future screening. And hopefully, the evaluation stimulates greater attention to the aviator's hearing protection strategies at work and home.

Hearing standards for aviators should as a minimum meet the national public health standard of care for hearing screening. Unfortunately, most hearing standards in this country are exposure oriented, and not hearing threshold level oriented, thwarting comparison of Army standards to public standards.

Hearing loss is a common finding among Army aviators as seen in this study with 19 percent failing at least 1 of the 3 study hearing standards and 6.2 percent failing the current hearing standard. What significance this burden of hearing loss has on Army aviation safety, aviator performance, and mission completion is unknown. The issue is likely to be helped somewhat by the introduction of the communication ear plug (Mason and Mozo, 1995; Mozo, Murphy, and Ribera, 1995). This prototype device improves speech intelligibility in the rotary-wing environment while providing additional hearing protection to the aviator helmet earcup. But, the issue is likely to become more complex with the introduction of three dimensional acoustic cue devices to the aviator helmet as proposed in future Air Warrior aircrew member ensembles. In addition, the hearing performance effects of the aviation operational environment are not well known, including the effects of night flying and medications, and use of equipment such as spectacles, chemical protective masks, and cold weather ensembles. What other auditory factors may be as important, or more important, for aviator performance than the pure tone hearing threshold is unknown. Therefore, given the lack of performance-based aviation audiology knowledge, the aviation medicine community will in the foreseeable future, have to continue to rely on other standards development methods, such as the establishment of a "best guess" public health screening protocol.

Table 3.
Number of aviators failing combinations of three hearing standards.

1980 Standard	1983 Standard	1995 Standard	N meeting all three conditions
Pass	Pass	Pass	16117
Fail	Fail	Fail	1242
Fail	Pass	Pass	1466
Fail	Fail	Pass	0
Fail	Pass	Fail	721
Pass	Pass	Fail	370

Table 4.
Distribution of burden for audiology consultations directed by the new hearing standard.

Number of audiology consultations in excess of expected examinations	Number of flight surgeon offices carrying the burden of excess, new audiology consultations	Facility by name with >1 excess new audiology consultation per month
1	81	
2	31	
3	19	
4	22	
5	8	
6	9	
7	7	
8	4	
9	5	
10	6	
11	6	
12	3	
13	2	
14	3	
15	1	OK ARNG, Lexington, OK
16	1	Fort Belvoir
18	1	Fort Hood
29	1	EAATS, Indiantown Gap, PA
40	1	Fort Campbell
48	1	Fort Bragg
187	1	Fort Rucker
Grand Total	212	

Summary and conclusions

A cohort of Army aviators, numbering 19,916, from calendar year 1993, were studied to determine the effect of changing the current aviator hearing standard to a standard proposed for the 1995 Army regulation 40-501, Medical fitness standards. The paper compared one historical hearing standard from 1980 to the current (1983), and proposed (1995), hearing standards.

Six percent of the cohort (1,242 of 19,916) failed the current hearing standard. An additional 5.5 percent of the cohort (1,091 of 19,916) passed the current standard, but failed the proposed standard.

The burden of managing the increased audiology consultation workload among the 1,091 new cases will be negligible among 201 of 212 aviation medicine clinics affected by the change in standards. However, the remaining clinics can expect more than one new audiology consultation requirement per month. Fort Rucker Aviation Medicine Clinic will carry the greatest burden with a predicted 187 additional consultations in the first 1 to 2 years of standard implementation. After initial screening, the number who will have progressive hearing loss requiring follow up audiology consultations is unknown. Among the 1,091 aviators affected by the proposed standard, 12.5 percent have already completed the baseline audiology consultation prior to standard implementation.

For now, Army aviator hearing standards will have to be oriented to public health screening guidelines and the traditional, and perhaps arbitrary, deliberations by aviation medicine policy experts. In the future, when research projects on aviator hearing and flying performance are completed, perhaps hearing standards could be oriented to aviation performance and safety, in addition to public health screening guidelines and arbitrary deliberations. Fortunately, the Army has recently established a science and technology objective for aviator performance that includes objectives for studying aviator hearing performance.

Finally, this paper highlights the value of the Aviation Epidemiology Data Register in responding in less than 1 week to a critical question asked by aviation medicine policy makers. In this case, the issue was how would a new hearing standard affect aviation medicine clinic workload at the moment when the standard is going to press! With the study cohort established, other proposed standards could be tested and evaluated rapidly. Other questions could be addressed by the AEDR, such as what is the natural progression of hearing loss among aviators? Without the AEDR, these questions could not be answered at all, or would require an expensive, time-consuming review of records in a sampling of clinics.

References

- Department of the Army. 1980. Hearing conservation. Washington, DC: Headquarters, Department of the Army. Army technical bulletin, TB MED 501.
- Department of the Army. 1986. Memorandum for all flight surgeons, Subject: audiometric evaluation. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Center. Aeromedical policy letter 17-86.
- Department of the Army. 1991. Hearing conservation. Washington, DC: Headquarters, Department of the Army. Department of the Army pamphlet 40-501.
- Department of the Army. 1995. Medical fitness standards. Washington, DC: Headquarters, Department of the Army. Department of the Army regulation 40-501.
- Mason, K. T. 1990. Health problems of the aging Army aviator. Presentation at the 2nd Army Safety and Standardization Conference, Savannah, GA.
- Mason, K. T., and Mozo, B. T. 1995. CEP and ANR, hearing protection technologies for Air Warrior. U.S. Army aviation digest. March/April (in press).
- Microsoft Corporation. 1993a. Microsoft® Visual Basic™ programming system for Windows™, version 3.0. Redmon, WA: Microsoft Corporation.
- Microsoft Corporation. 1993b. Microsoft® Excel™ for Windows™, version 5.0. Redmon, WA: Microsoft Corporation.
- Mozo, B. T., Murphy, B. A., and Ribera, J. E. 1995. User acceptability and comfort of the communication earplug (CEP) when used in the UH-1 helicopter. Fort Rucker, AL: U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory. USAARL Report No. 95-17.
- U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency. 1988. Hearing conservation. Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD: U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency. Technical guide No. 170.

Appendix A.

Visual basic code for determining hearing fitness for Army aviator duties.

```
' *** 18 February 1995, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL ***
' *** This code is written in Microsoft Visual Basic 3.0 for Windows by Kevin T. Mason ***
' *** U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory places this code in the public domain ***
'
' *** Determination of PASS X=0 or FAIL X=1 for 1980 hearing standards
Function FAIL1980(R500, L500, R1000, L1000, R2000, L2000, R3000, L3000, R4000, L4000,
R6000, L6000) As Integer ' pass the hearing test findings to the function
Dim X As Integer
X = 0 ' initialize the variable X as PASS standard X=0, if X<>0 then X is FAIL standard
If R500 > 25 Or L500 > 25 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R1000,L1000) > 25 Or MAX(R1000,L1000) > 35 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R2000,L2000) > 25 Or MAX(R2000,L2000) > 35 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R3000,L3000) > 35 Or MAX(R3000,L3000) > 45 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R4000,L4000) > 35 Or MAX(R4000,L4000) > 45 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R6000,L6000) > 35 Or MAX(R6000,L6000) > 45 Then
    X = 1
Else
    X = 0
End If
FAIL1980 = X ' pass variable for PASS or FAIL back to calling procedure
End Function
'
'
' *** Determination of PASS X=0 or FAIL X=1 for 1983-1994 hearing standards ***
Function FAIL1983(R500, L500, R1000, L1000, R2000, L2000, R3000, L3000, R4000, L4000,
R6000, L6000) As Integer ' pass the hearing test findings to the function
Dim X As Integer
X = 0 ' initialize the variable X as PASS standard X=0, if X<>0 then X is FAIL standard
If R500 > 25 Or L500 > 25 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R1000,L1000) > 25 Or MAX(R1000,L1000) > 35 Then
    X = 1
```

```

Elseif MIN(R2000,L2000) > 25 Or MAX(R2000,L2000) > 35 Then
    X = 1
Elseif MIN(R3000,L3000) > 35 Or MAX(R3000,L3000) > 45 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R4000>65 Or L4000 > 65 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R6000>75 Or L6000 > 75 Then
    X = 1
Else
    X = 0
End If
FAIL1983 = X ' pass variable for PASS or FAIL back to calling procedure
End Function
'
'
' *** Determination of PASS X=0 or FAIL X=1 for proposed 1995 hearing standards ***
'
Function FAIL1995(R500, L500, R1000, L1000, R2000, L2000, R3000, L3000, R4000, L4000,
    R6000, L6000) As Integer ' pass the hearing test findings to the function
Dim X As Integer
X = 0 ' initialize the variable X as PASS standard X=0, if X<>0 then X is FAIL standard
If R500 > 25 Or L500 > 25 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R1000 > 25 Or L1000 > 25 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R2000 > 25 Or L2000 > 25 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R3000 > 35 Or L3000 > 35 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R4000 > 65 Or L4000 > 65 Then
    X = 1
Elseif R6000 > 75 Or L6000 > 75 Then
    X = 1
Else
    X = 0
End If
FAIL1995 = X ' pass variable for PASS or FAIL back to calling procedure
End Function
'

```

Initial distribution

Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research,
Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SATNC-MIL (Documents
Librarian)
Natick, MA 01760-5040

Chairman
National Transportation Safety Board
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20594

Commander
10th Medical Laboratory
ATTN: Audiologist
APO New York 09180

Naval Air Development Center
Technical Information Division
Technical Support Detachment
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer, Naval Medical
Research and Development Command
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda, MD 20814-5044

Deputy Director, Defense Research
and Engineering
ATTN: Military Assistant
for Medical and Life Sciences
Washington, DC 20301-3080

Commander, U.S. Army Research
Institute of Environmental Medicine
Natick, MA 01760

Library
Naval Submarine Medical Research Lab
Box 900, Naval Sub Base
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Executive Director, U.S. Army Human
Research and Engineering Directorate
ATTN: Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
Man-Machine Integration System
Code 602
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Code 602-B
Warminster, PA 18974

Commanding Officer
Armstrong Laboratory
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433-6573

Director
Army Audiology and Speech Center
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, DC 20307-5001

Commander/Director
U.S. Army Combat Surveillance
and Target Acquisition Lab
ATTN: SFAE-IEW-JS
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5305

Director
Federal Aviation Administration
FAA Technical Center
Atlantic City, NJ 08405

Director
Walter Reed Army Institute of Research
Washington, DC 20307-5100

Commander, U.S. Army Test
and Evaluation Command
Directorate for Test and Evaluation
ATTN: AMSTE-TA-M (Human Factors
Group)
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21005-5055

Naval Air Systems Command
Technical Air Library 950D
Room 278, Jefferson Plaza II
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20361

Director
U.S. Army Ballistic
Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST Tech Reports
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Chemical Defense
ATTN: SGRD-UV-AO
Aberdeen Proving Ground,
MD 21010-5425

Commander
USAMRMC
ATTN: SGRD-RMS
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

HQ DA (DASG-PSP-O)
5109 Leesburg Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

Harry Diamond Laboratories
ATTN: Technical Information Branch
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

U.S. Army Materiel Systems
Analysis Agency
ATTN: AMXSY-PA (Reports Processing)
Aberdeen Proving Ground
MD 21005-5071

U.S. Army Ordnance Center
and School Library
Simpson Hall, Building 3071
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Army Environmental
Hygiene Agency
ATTN: HSHB-MO-A
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Technical Library Chemical Research
and Development Center
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD
21010-5423

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research
Institute of Infectious Disease
ATTN: SGRD-UIZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

Director, Biological
Sciences Division
Office of Naval Research
600 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Commandant
U.S. Army Aviation
Logistics School ATTN: ATSQ-TDN
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Headquarters (ATMD)
U.S. Army Training
and Doctrine Command
ATTN: ATBO-M
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

IAF Liaison Officer for Safety
USAF Safety Agency/SEFF
9750 Avenue G, SE
Kirtland Air Force Base
NM 87117-5671

Naval Aerospace Medical
Institute Library
Building 1953, Code 03L
Pensacola, FL 32508-5600

Command Surgeon
HQ USCENTCOM (CCSG)
U.S. Central Command
MacDill Air Force Base, FL 33608

Director
Directorate of Combat Developments
ATTN: ATZQ-CD
Building 515
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Air Force Institute
of Technology (AFIT/LDEE)
Building 640, Area B
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Henry L. Taylor
Director, Institute of Aviation
University of Illinois-Willard Airport
Savoy, IL 61874

Chief, National Guard Bureau
ATTN: NGB-ARS
Arlington Hall Station
111 South George Mason Drive
Arlington, VA 22204-1382

AAMRL/HEX
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, OH 45433

Commander
U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
ATTN: AMSAT-R-ES
4300 Goodfellow Bouvelard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command
Library and Information Center Branch
ATTN: AMSAV-DIL
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120

Federal Aviation Administration
Civil Aeromedical Institute
Library AAM-400A
P.O. Box 25082
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

Commander
U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
ATTN: Library
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander
U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research
ATTN: SGRD-USM
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6200

Air University Library
(AUL/LSE)
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

Product Manager
Aviation Life Support Equipment
ATTN: SFAE-AV-LSE
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander and Director
USAE Waterways Experiment Station
ATTN: CEWES-IM-MI-R,
CD Department
3909 Halls Ferry Road
Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Commanding Officer
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 24907
New Orleans, LA 70189-0407

Assistant Commandant
U.S. Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: Morris Swott Technical Library
Fort Sill, OK 73503-0312

Mr. Peter Seib
Human Engineering Crew Station
Box 266
Westland Helicopters Limited
Yeovil, Somerset BA20 2YB UK

U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground
Technical Library, Building 5330
Dugway, UT 84022

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground
Technical Library
Yuma, AZ 85364

AFFTC Technical Library
6510 TW/TSTL
Edwards Air Force Base,
CA 93523-5000

Commander
Code 3431
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Aeromechanics Laboratory
U.S. Army Research and Technical Labs
Ames Research Center, M/S 215-1
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sixth U.S. Army
ATTN: SMA
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

Commander
U.S. Army Aeromedical Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Strughold Aeromedical Library
Document Service Section
2511 Kennedy Circle
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235-5122

Dr. Diane Damos
Department of Human Factors
ISSM, USC
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0021

U.S. Army White Sands
Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-IM-ST
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Director, Airworthiness Qualification Test
Directorate (ATTC)
ATTN: STEAT-AQ-O-TR (Tech Lib)
75 North Flightline Road
Edwards Air Force Base, CA 93523-6100

Ms. Sandra G. Hart
Ames Research Center
MS 262-3
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Commander
USAMRMC
ATTN: SGRD-UMZ
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5009

Commander
U.S. Army Health Services Command
ATTN: HSOP-SO
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6000

U. S. Army Research Institute
Aviation R&D Activity
ATTN: PERI-IR
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
U.S. Army Safety Center
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

U.S. Army Aircraft Development
Test Activity
ATTN: STEBG-MP-P
Cairns Army Air Field
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Commander
USAMRMC
ATTN: SGRD-PLC (COL R. Gifford)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702

TRADOC Aviation LO
Unit 21551, Box A-209-A
APO AE 09777

Netherlands Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

British Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Italian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Directorate of Training Development
Building 502
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Chief
USAHEL/USAAVNC Field Office
P. O. Box 716
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5349

Commander, U.S. Army Aviation Center
and Fort Rucker
ATTN: ATZQ-CG
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Sehchang Hah
Dept. of Behavior Sciences and
Leadership, Building 601, Room 281
U. S. Military Academy
West Point, NY 10996-1784

Canadian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

German Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

French Army Liaison Office
USAAVNC (Building 602)
Fort Rucker, AL 36362-5021

Australian Army Liaison Office
Building 602
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

Dr. Garrison Rapmund
6 Burning Tree Court
Bethesda, MD 20817

Commandant, Royal Air Force
Institute of Aviation Medicine
Farnborough, Hampshire GU14 6SZ UK

Defense Technical Information
Cameron Station, Building 5
Alexandra, VA 22304-6145

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science
and Technology Center
AIFRTA (Davis)
220 7th Street, NE
Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

Commander
Applied Technology Laboratory
USARTL-ATCOM
ATTN: Library, Building 401
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander, U.S. Air Force
Development Test Center
101 West D Avenue, Suite 117
Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542-5495

Aviation Medicine Clinic
TMC #22, SAAF
Fort Bragg, NC 28305

Dr. H. Dix Christensen
Bio-Medical Science Building, Room 753
Post Office Box 26901
Oklahoma City, OK 73190

Commander, U.S. Army Missile
Command
Redstone Scientific Information Center
ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R
/ILL Documents
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Aerospace Medicine Team
HQ ACC/SGST3
162 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 100
Langley Air Force Base,
VA 23665-1995

U.S. Army Research and Technology
Laboratories (AVSCOM)
Propulsion Laboratory MS 302-2
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, OH 44135

Commander
USAMRMC
ATTN: SGRD-ZC (COL John F. Glenn)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Dr. Eugene S. Channing
166 Baughman's Lane
Frederick, MD 21702-4083

U.S. Army Medical Department
and School
USAMRDALC Liaison
ATTN: HSMC-FR
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

NVESD
AMSEL-RD-NV-ASID-PST
(Attn: Trang Bui)
10221 Burbeck Road
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5806

CA Av Med
HQ DAAC
Middle Wallop
Stockbridge, Hants S020 8DY UK

Dr. Christine Schlichting
Behavioral Sciences Department
Box 900, NAVUBASE NLON
Groton, CT 06349-5900

Commander
Aviation Applied Technology Directorate
ATTN: AMSAT-R-TV
Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577

COL Yehezkel G. Caine, MD
Surgeon General, Israel Air Force
Aeromedical Center Library
P. O. Box 02166 I.D.F.
Israel

HQ ACC/DOHP
205 Dodd Boulevard, Suite 101
Langley Air Force Base,
VA 23665-2789

41st Rescue Squadron
41st RQS/SG
940 Range Road
Patrick Air Force Base,
FL 32925-5001

48th Rescue Squadron
48th RQS/SG
801 Dezonias Road
Holloman Air Force Base,
NM 88330-7715

HQ, AFOMA
ATTN: SGPA (Aerospace Medicine)
Bolling Air Force Base,
Washington, DC 20332-6128

ARNG Readiness Center
ATTN: NGB-AVN-OP
Arlington Hall Station
111 South George Mason Drive
Arlington, VA 22204-1382

35th Fighter Wing
35th FW/SG
PSC 1013
APO AE 09725-2055

66th Rescue Squadron
66th RQS/SG
4345 Tyndall Avenue
Nellis Air Force Base, NV 89191-6076

71st Rescue Squadron
71st RQS/SG
1139 Redstone Road
Patrick Air Force Base,
FL 32925-5000

Director
Aviation Research, Development
and Engineering Center
ATTN: AMSAT-R-Z
4300 Goodfellow Boulevard
St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander
USAMRMC
ATTN: SGRD-ZB (COL C. Fred Tyner)
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012

Commandant
U.S. Army Command and General Staff
College
ATTN: ATZL-SWS-L
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027-6900

Director
Army Personnel Research Establishment
Farnborough, Hants GU14 6SZ UK

Dr. A. Kornfield
895 Head Street
San Francisco, CA 94132-2813

Mr. George T. Singley, III
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army
for Research and Technology
and Chief Scientist
ATTN: Room 3E374
103 Army Pentagon
Washington, DC 20310-0103

The Honorable Gilbert F. Decker
Assistant Secretary of the Army
for Research, Development,
and Acquisition
ATTN: Room 2E672
103 Army Pentagon
Washington, DC 20310-0103

Dr. Craig Dorman
Office of the Deputy Director,
Defense Research and Engineering
ATTN: Room 3D129LM
103 Army Pentagon
Washington, DC 20310-0103

HQ, AFOMA
ATTN; SGPA (Aerospace Medicine)
Bolling Air Force Base,
Washington, DC 20332-6188

Cdr, PERSCOM
ATTN: TAPC-PLA
200 Stovall Street, Rm 3N25
Alexandria, VA 22332-0413