

NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER



A STUDY OF TECHNOLOGY FOR DETECTION OF NONLUMINOUS
ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES IN DAYLIGHT

by

He Cheng, Zhu Qixiang



**Approved for public release:
distribution unlimited**

19960221 091

HUMAN TRANSLATION

NAIC-ID(RS)T-0638-95 21 December 1995

MICROFICHE NR: 95C 000 789

A STUDY OF TECHNOLOGY FOR DETECTION OF NONLUMINOUS
ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES IN DAYLIGHT

By: He Cheng, Zhu Qixiang

English pages: 11

Source: Unknown

Country of origin: China

Translated by: Edward A. Suter

Requester: NAIC/TASC/John L. Gass

Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

THIS TRANSLATION IS A RENDITION OF THE ORIGINAL FOREIGN TEXT WITHOUT ANY ANALYTICAL OR EDITORIAL COMMENT STATEMENTS OR THEORIES ADVOCATED OR IMPLIED ARE THOSE OF THE SOURCE AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OR OPINION OF THE NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER.

PREPARED BY:

TRANSLATION SERVICES
NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER
WPAFB, OHIO

GRAPHICS DISCLAIMER

All figures, graphics, tables, equations, etc. merged into this translation were extracted from the best quality copy available.

A STUDY OF TECHNOLOGY FOR DETECTION OF NONLUMINOUS ARTIFICIAL SATELLITES IN DAYLIGHT

(Romanized title: "*Baitian Feizifaguang Tianti Mubiao Tance Jishu De Yanjiu*")

by He Cheng and Zhu Qixiang (Academia Sinica, Photoelectric
Technology Institute, Chengdu 610209)

Abstract: In this paper, the authors discuss the detection limits of systems for detecting nonluminous artificial satellites in daylight, as well as the relationship of target contrast and signal-to-noise ratio to artificial satellite characteristics, sky background characteristics, optical system parameters, and CCD [charge-coupled device] parameters. The authors discuss in depth the effects of spectral filtering technology on detection limits, contrast, and signal-to-noise ratio. Results of numerical simulations demonstrate that spectral filtering technology can increase the target satellite's optical contrast by 4 to 8 times, raise the target satellite's signal-to-noise ratio by 1.5 to 2.5 times, and enhance the system's limit detection brightness by 0.4 to 0.7 visual magnitude.

Foreword

Detection of signals from low-contrast, weak targets that reflect sunlight in daytime when background signals are strong is a key technology for optical and photoelectric detection, tracking, and measurement of nonluminous artificial satellites. During the daytime, when the sky is bright, background signals are strong and artificial satellites shine by reflecting sunlight. The spectral characteristics of artificial satellites are similar to those of their backgrounds. Thus, it is necessary first to solve the technical problems of extracting the signals of artificial satellites from intense background signals when carrying out detection during the daytime. The methods that are often used today to solve this problem include:

- (1) Adopting refrigeration technology to reduce the device's thermal noise;

(2) Using a low-noise, wide-band pre-amplifier to amplify the photoelectric detector's output signal. This method can only prevent noise from expanding, and has no suppressing effect on the noise already produced by the detector;

(3) Employing relevant techniques to suppress noise and increase the output signal-to-noise ratio. Using correlated dual-sampling circuits lowers the charge-coupled device's reset noise, but cannot lower its shot noise;

(4) Adopting self-adaptive background suppression methods to suppress sky background signals. These methods cannot reduce the shot noise created by background photon fluctuation, either.

In this paper, we advance an optical method of reducing background radiation: spectral wave filtering technology. This method reduces background photon radiation, radically lowers the system's noise — especially photon shot noise — and raises the system's signal-to-noise ratio.

1 Artificial satellite spectrum characteristics and background characteristics

1.1 Artificial satellite spectrum characteristics Artificial satellites are not usually self-luminous. The sun shines on them in the upper atmosphere and they reflect the sun's light. The intensity of illumination of spheroids [viewed] from the earth's surface can be calculated using the following formula:

$$E(\lambda) = \tau(\lambda)^{\sec(Z)} \cdot \rho(\lambda) \cdot E_0(\lambda) \cdot [(2 \cdot R^2/3 \cdot ((\pi - \beta) \cdot \cos(\beta) + \sin(\beta)))] / (\pi \cdot L^2) \quad (1)$$

Page 29

Here, Z is the zenith angle, $\rho(\lambda)$ is the spectral reflectivity of the spheroid's surface, R is the radius of the spheroid, β is the phase angle (the included angle between the sun, the target, and the detector), L is the target's apparent distance, and E_0 is the sun's intensity of illumination outside the atmosphere.

The surface paint colors of targets being detected are either white or green. The spectral illumination characteristics (obtained through numerical calculation) of targets viewed from the earth are shown in Figure 1.

1.2 Background spectral characteristics During the day, the sky is bright. Its luminance ranges from 0.1 to 1 stilb^[1]. Its spectral irradiance characteristics are shown in Figure 1. One can tell from Figure 1 that background radiation is primarily concentrated in the 0.2 - 0.6 μm wave band, and artificial satellite radiation is in the small wave band from 0.2 - 0.4 μm . This provides conditions for the application of spectral wave filtering technology.

2. Analysis of spectral filtering technology and contrast

Spectral filtering technology is the choosing of an appropriate wave filter based on the difference between the spectral radiation from the artificial satellite being detected and the spectral radiation from its background. It causes light radiation entering the photoelectric receiver to be limited to necessary wavelengths, and reduces background radiation photons received by the photoelectric receiver to the greatest extent possible, thus raising target-signal contrast and signal-to-noise ratio.

The definition of the target artificial satellite's optical contrast $C^{[2]}$ is the ratio between the spectral radiant luminance L_s of the target on the detector's surface to the spectral radiant luminance of the background L_B , i.e.:

$$C = L_s/L_B \quad (2)$$

It can be proved that the optical contrast given in the above definition is equivalent to that in the formulas below:

$$C = H_s/H_B \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} E_s(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{\#}(\lambda) \cdot \tau_F(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} E_B(\lambda) \cdot \tau_{\#}(\lambda) \cdot \tau_F(\lambda) d\lambda} \quad (4)$$

In these formulas, H_s and H_b are, respectively, the integral illumination of the artificial satellite and of its background; τ_{λ} is the optical system's spectral penetration rate; E_s and E_b are, respectively, the spectral illumination of the target and its background at the optical system's entrance pupil; and τ_F is the light filter's spectral penetration rate.

If λ_0 is used to express the cutoff wavelength of the spectral light filter, and when $\lambda > \lambda_0$, then $\tau_F = 1$, and when $\lambda < \lambda_0$, then $\tau_F = 0$, clearly, choosing a light filter with an appropriate spectral penetration rate according to differences in the spectral distribution of the target and its background causes τ_F to have different effects on the target and its background. Choosing an appropriate photoelectric detector allows the spectral response of the detector to match the light filter's penetration rate characteristics, so that the highest amount of background suppression may be attained. In this way, elevation of the target's signal contrast is achieved.

Page 30

3. The relationship between contrast and signal-to-noise ratio

The photoelectric detectors that nonluminous artificial satellite photoelectric detection systems can use have CCD area array devices and silicon target-intensification camera tubes. Today, most use CCD area array devices. Below, the detection performance of photoelectric systems is calculated using CCD area array detectors as photoelectric receivers.

3.1 Calculation of signal-to-noise ratio The detection performance of photoelectric systems is often defined as the signal-to-noise ratio of the system's output signals. Signal-to-noise ratio is defined as:

$$SNR = I_s / (\overline{I_N^2})^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

In this formula, I_s represents the signal current of a CCD pixel, and $(\overline{I_N^2})^{1/2}$ represents the mean-root-square value of the noise current of a CCD pixel. I_s can be written as^[4]:

$$I_s = N_s \cdot f_H \cdot e \quad (6)$$

In this formula, f_H represents the pixel's scanning frequency, e is the electron's quantity of electric charge, and N_s is the electric charge quantity of a signal output by a CCD pixel. N_s can be obtained using the following formula^[4]:

$$N_s = H_s \cdot Q_s \cdot S \cdot A \cdot T_s \cdot K/e \quad (7)$$

In this formula, A is the system's entrance pupil point, S is the sensitivity of the CCD, H_s is the signal light's integral illumination, Q_s is the quantum efficiency of the CCD to the signal light, T_s is the signal light's integral time, and K is the penetration rate of a neutral light filter.

The CCD's noise sources can be summed up as follows: shot noise, transfer noise, and thermal noise.

Thus, $(\overline{I_N^2})^{1/2}$ can be written as:

$$(\overline{I_N^2})^{1/2} = (\overline{I_{N_1}^2} + \overline{I_{N_2}^2} + \overline{I_{N_3}^2})^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

1. Shot noise

The randomness of incident photon current produces incident photon shot noise. The mean square current of shot noise produced by signal current I_s , background current I_B , and dark current I_D is a function of the CCD detector band Δf . Its expression is^[5]:

$$\overline{I_{N_1}^2} = 2 \cdot e \cdot (I_s + I_B + I_D) \cdot \Delta f \quad (9)$$

Because each pixel of the CCD can be seen as an integral wave filter with integral time T , its bandwidth is^[5]:

$$\Delta f = 1/(2 \cdot T) \quad (10)$$

The background current from a pixel of a CCD can be written as^[4]:

$$I_B = N_B \cdot f_H \cdot e \quad (11)$$

In this formula, N_B is the background quantity of electric charge from a CCD pixel. N_B can be written as^[4]:

$$N_B = H_B \cdot Q_B \cdot S \cdot A \cdot T_B \cdot A_p \cdot K/e \quad (12)$$

In this formula, H_B is the integral intensity of background light, Q_B is the quantum efficiency of the CCD to background light, T_s is the integral time of background light, and A_p is the angle area of a CCD pixel.

Because dark current $I_D \ll I_B$, when we do not consider the shot noise produced by dark current, $\overline{I_{N_1}^2}$ can be expressed as:

$$\overline{I_{N_1}^2} = e \cdot (I_s + I_B)/T \quad (13)$$

2. Transfer noise

The kind of transfer noise that has the greatest effect is transfer loss noise, which is also a kind of shot noise. It is primarily produced by I_s and I_B . The mean square current of this kind of shot noise is^[4]:

Page 31

$$\overline{I_{N2}} = (e \cdot I_B + e \cdot I_s) \cdot 2\varepsilon N/T \quad (14)$$

where εN represents the overall transfer loss rate following N transfers. In general, $\varepsilon N = 0.1$.

3. Thermal noise

Because thermal noise is much fainter than shot noise, we will omit thermal noise here.

The system's signal-to-noise ratio can be expressed as:

$$SNR = I_s / (\overline{I_N})^{1/2} = \frac{H_s \cdot A \cdot Q_s \cdot S \cdot T_s \cdot K}{[e \cdot S \cdot A \cdot K \cdot 1.2(H_s \cdot Q_s \cdot T_s + H_B \cdot Q_B \cdot T_B \cdot A_p)]^{1/2}}$$

When detecting artificial satellites against a clear daylight background, because $H_s \ll H_B$ and $T_s \leq T_B$, signal-to-noise ratio can be simplified to:

$$SNR = \frac{H_s \cdot Q_s \cdot T_s \cdot (A \cdot S \cdot K) 1/2}{(e \cdot 1.2 \cdot H_B \cdot Q_B \cdot T_B \cdot A_p) 1/2} \quad (15)$$

3.2 The relationship between contrast and signal-to-noise ratio Assuming that the brightness of the sky background is definite, from formula (3) we can obtain:

$$H_s = C \cdot H_B \quad (16)$$

and because $T_B = T$, therefore:

$$SNR = \frac{C \cdot Q_s \cdot T_s \cdot (H_B \cdot S \cdot A \cdot K) 1/2}{(e \cdot 1.2 \cdot Q_B \cdot T \cdot A_p) 1/2} \quad (17)$$

When the background brightness detected by the detector surface is definite, the artificial

satellite's signal-to-noise ratio forms a direct ratio with its optical contrast.

4. An analysis of the detection capabilities of photoelectric detection systems

Detection capability is an important problem that must be solved first in photoelectric detection systems. The detection capability of photoelectric detection systems is decided by the following factors^[6]:

(1) The artificial satellite's overall dimension, its surface reflectivity, and the altitude of its orbit;

(2) The zenith angle, phase angle, and background conditions when observing the artificial satellite;

(3) The optical system's parameters (caliber, penetration rate, relative aperture, etc.) and the spectral filter's cutoff wavelength;

(4) Functions of the receiver (spectrum corresponds to quantum efficiency, saturation electron number, etc.).

According to the Poisson distribution, when assured that $SNR = 6$ in 95% of detection probability, by using formula (15) we can obtain:

$$H_s = \frac{6 \cdot (1.2 \cdot e \cdot H_B \cdot Q_B \cdot T \cdot A_r)^{1/2}}{Q_s \cdot T_s (S \cdot A \cdot K)^{1/2}} \quad (18)$$

After finding the target's H_s , it can be changed into the target's visual magnitude M_v . The results of numerical calculations show that spectral wave filter technology is effective at raising the system's limits of detection. Without using spectral wave technology, where $SNR = 6$, the CCD's saturation electron number is 130 K, telescope diameter $D = 400$ mm, background luminance is 5.1 stellar magnitudes, and the artificial satellite surface is painted white, gray, or black, the dimmest detectable artificial satellite is 6.6 stellar magnitudes; however, when a spectral wave filter with cutoff wavelength of $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ is added, the dimmest detectable artificial satellite is 7.0 magnitudes. When the artificial satellite surface is painted green, without spectral wave filter technology, the dimmest detectable target is 6.4 stellar magnitudes; but when a spectral wave filter with cutoff wavelength of $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ is added, the dimmest detectable target is

6.9 stellar magnitudes, and when a spectral wave filter with cutoff wavelength of 0.7 μm is added, the dimmest target the system can detect is 7.1 stellar magnitudes. Clearly, spectral wave filter technology can effectively suppress background photon radiation, and thus raise the system's detection limits.

Page 32

5. Numerical calculations

Four surface paint colors were used as examples: white, green, gray, and black^[7]. Numerical calculations were carried out based on their surface reflectivity data. The results are displayed in figures 2, 3, and 4. In these figures, λ_0 represents the cutoff wavelength of the spectral wave filter. Conditions where $\lambda_0 = 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ are equivalent to conditions where spectral wave filters are not used. We can tell from the figures that no matter what color the target is painted, using spectral wave filter technology can markedly improve the contrast and signal-to-noise ratio of the target's signals, and can raise the detection limits of photoelectric detection systems. Calculation results are shown in tables 1, 2, and 3.

6. Conclusions

Results of numerical calculation show that spectral wave filter technology is effective at enhancing the artificial-satellite detection capabilities of visible light detection systems in daylight. When detecting nonluminous artificial satellites, satellites' optical contrast can be multiplied by 4 to 8 times, and their signal-to-noise ratio can be multiplied by 1.5 to 2.5 times. In conditions where the system's parameters are definite, the system's limit detection magnitude can be raised by 0.4 to 0.7 visual magnitude.

① 表 1

② 波长单位: μm

λ_0		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
③ 对 比 度	④ 白漆	0.004	0.0059	0.0081	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.018	0.019
	⑤ 绿漆	0.0038	0.005	0.0068	0.0097	0.013	0.02	0.029	0.033
	⑥ 灰漆	0.0041	0.0059	0.0081	0.010	0.0122	0.0144	0.0166	0.0173
	⑦ 黑漆	0.0039	0.0058	0.0078	0.0098	0.0122	0.0149	0.0178	0.0194

Key: (1). Table 1. (2). Wavelength unit: μm . (3). Contrast. (4). Painted white. (5). Painted green. (6). Painted gray. (7). Painted black.

① 表 2

② 波长单位: μm

λ_0		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
③ 信 噪 比	④ 白漆	2.4	2.9	3.43	3.7	3.5	2.8	2.0	1.3
	⑤ 绿漆	2.1	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.5	3.9	3.4	2.3
	⑥ 灰漆	2.4	2.96	3.43	3.61	3.4	2.79	1.93	1.2
	⑦ 黑漆	2.3	2.87	3.3	3.52	3.39	2.89	2.07	1.33

Key: (1). Table 2. (2). Wavelength unit: μm . (3). Signal-to-noise ratio. (4). Painted white. (5). Painted green. (6). Painted gray. (7). Painted black.


Page 33

① 表 3


② 单位: 目视星等

λ_0		0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.55	0.6	0.7	0.8
③ 探 测 极 限	④ 白漆	6.6	6.7	6.9	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.4
	⑤ 绿漆	6.4	6.5	6.8	6.9	6.9	7.0	7.1	6.9
	⑥ 灰漆	6.6	6.7	6.9	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.7	6.3
	⑦ 黑漆	6.6	6.7	6.9	7.0	7.0	6.7	6.7	6.4

Key: (1). Table 3. (2). Unit: Visual magnitude. (3). Detection limit. (4). Painted white. (5). Painted green. (6). Painted gray. (7). Painted black.




① 图1 目标和背景的光谱照度特性




⑥ 图2 对比度与 λ_0 的关系

Key: (1). Figure 1. Spectral intensity of the target and its background. (2). Spectral intensity. (3). Background characteristics. (4). Characteristics of the target when painted white. (5). Characteristics of the target when painted green. (6). Figure 2. The relationship between contrast and λ_0 . (7). Contrast. (8). Painted green. (9). Painted white. (10). Painted gray. (11). Painted black.



① 图3 信噪比与 λ_0 的关系



⑦ 图4 探测极限与 λ_0 的关系

Key: (1). Figure 3. The relationship between signal-to-noise ratio and λ_0 . (2). Signal-to-noise ratio. (3). Painted gray. (4). Painted white. (5). Painted green. (6). Painted black. (7). Figure 4. The relationship between detection limits and λ_0 . (8). Detection limits. (9). Painted gray. (10). Painted white. (11). Painted green. (12). Painted black.

Reference Documents

1. W. Rerk, "Ground-based Electro-optical Surveillance of Satellites in Daylight by Detection of Reflected Sunlight." AD-All 7413, 1982.
2. [United States] Radio Corporation, Editors, *Electro-optics Handbook*, Beijing: National Defense Industry Publishing House, 1978.
3. F. Gelumu [probably a transliteration of "Groom"] et al., *Radiometry*, Beijing: Mechanical Engineering Publishing House, 1987.
4. Liu Jikun, *The Physical Basis for Solid-state Camera Devices*, Electronic Science and Technology University Publishing House, 1989.
5. R.H. Kingston, *Optical and Infrared Radiation Detection*, Beijing: Scientific Publishing House, 1984.
6. R. Weber, "The Limits of Detectability of Low-Light-Level Point-Source Sensors: A Function of Telescope Aperture, Sensor Resolution, Night-Sky Background, and Pre-readout Electron Gain." AD-785137, 1974.
7. Anhui Photomechanical Institute et al., *Determination of Visible Light Bidirectional Reflection Distribution of Surface Materials of Space Targets*. Internal materials.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DISTRIBUTION DIRECT TO RECIPIENT

<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>MICROFICHE</u>
B085 DIA/RTS-2FI	1
C509 BALLOC509 BALLISTIC RES LAB	1
C510 R&T LABS/AVEADCOM	1
C513 ARRADCOM	1
C535 AVRADCOM/TSARCOM	1
C539 TRASANA	1
Q592 FSTC	4
Q619 MSIC REDSTONE	1
Q008 NTIC	1
Q043 AFMIC-IS	1
E404 AEDC/DOF	1
E410 AFDTC/IN	1
E429 SD/IND	1
P005 DOE/ISA/DDI	1
1051 AFIT/LDE	1
PO90 NSA/CDB	1

Microfiche Nbr: FTD95C000789
NAIC-ID(RS)T-0638-95