

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



## THESIS

INTEGRATION OF A SUBMARINE INTO NPSNET

by

Daniel Keith Bacon Jr.

September 1995

Thesis Advisor:  
Thesis Co-Advisors:

Michael J. Zyda  
Donald P. Brutzman  
John S. Falby

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

19960220 047

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved*  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)</b>		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> September 1995	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Master's Thesis	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> INTEGRATION OF A SUBMARINE INTO NPSNET			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Bacon, Daniel K., Jr.				
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING/ MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>			<b>10. SPONSORING/ MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> The views expressed in this thesis are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the United States Government.				
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT</b> ( <i>Maximum 200 words</i> ) <p>In the current version of NPSNET there are two problems that prevent users of this virtual environment from achieving a realistic training experience. First, the motion of the vehicles is not built around realistic, physically based models. In particular, the motion of computer-generated sea-going vehicles is not based on the hydrodynamic models that reflect the motion of actual ships moving through water. Second, vehicles in NPSNET are currently controlled by a single individual; they lack the capability to be controlled by a team. This misrepresents the many actual military vehicles—submarines, tanks, helicopters, and others—that must be controlled by <i>several</i> people working together.</p> <p>The approach taken was to update the submersible vehicle class in NPSNET in two ways. A physically-based hydrodynamic model was used to control the vehicle's motion through the virtual world. In addition, a network communications protocol was implemented to enable several remote individuals to control the same vehicle simultaneously.</p> <p>The result of this work is the creation of a computer-generated submersible vehicle whose motion is determined by a real-time hydrodynamic model so it moves through the virtual world according to physically based models. This submersible is also capable of being controlled by several remote individuals—effectively the same team members who would perform the job in the actual vehicle. This ultimately results in a more realistic user experience as well as a more effective training tool for NPSNET.</p>				
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Submarine Trainer Graphical Ocean Environment Multiplayer Control			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 99	
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b>	
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UL	



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

## INTEGRATION OF A SUBMARINE INTO NPSNET

Daniel Keith Bacon Jr.  
Lieutenant, United States Navy  
B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1988

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE IN COMPUTER SCIENCE**

from the


**NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL**


**September 1995**


Author:


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Keith Bacon Jr.

Approved by:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael J. Zyda, Thesis Advisor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Donald P. Brutzman, Thesis Co-Advisor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Falby, Thesis Co-Advisor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ted Lewis, Chairman,  
Department of Computer Science



## ABSTRACT

In the current version of NPSNET there are two problems that prevent users of this virtual environment from achieving a realistic training experience. First, the motion of the vehicles is not built around realistic, physically based models. In particular, the motion of computer-generated sea-going vehicles is not based on the hydrodynamic models that reflect the motion of actual ships moving through water. Second, vehicles in NPSNET are currently controlled by a single individual; they lack the capability to be controlled by a team. This misrepresents the many actual military vehicles—submarines, tanks, helicopters, and others—that must be controlled by *several* people working together.

The approach taken was to update the submersible vehicle class in NPSNET in two ways. A physically based hydrodynamic model was used to control the vehicle's motion through the virtual world. In addition, a network communications protocol was implemented to enable several remote individuals to control the same vehicle simultaneously.

The result of this work is the creation of a computer-generated submersible vehicle whose motion is determined by a real-time hydrodynamic model so it moves through the virtual world according to physically-based models. This submersible is also capable of being controlled by several remote individuals—effectively the same team members who would perform the job in the actual vehicle. This ultimately results in a more realistic user experience as well as a more effective training tool for NPSNET.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
	A. BACKGROUND .....	1
	B. MOTIVATION .....	2
	1. Littoral Warfare and the Submarine .....	2
	2. Teamwork vs. Individual Training .....	3
	C. OBJECTIVES .....	4
	D. THESIS OUTLINE .....	5
II.	RELATED WORK .....	7
	A. NPSNET .....	7
	B. HYDRODYNAMICS .....	8
	C. MULTI-PLAYER CONTROL .....	10
	D. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF AN OCEAN ENVIRONMENT ...	11
III.	HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL .....	13
	A. INTRODUCTION .....	13
	B. BUOYANCY FUNCTION .....	14
	1. Buoyancy in General .....	14
	2. Buoyancy Function Based on Depth .....	15
	3. Buoyancy Function Based on Ship's Angle .....	16
	C. IMPLEMENTATION .....	19
	1. New Coefficients .....	19
	2. Coordinate Systems .....	20
	a. NPSNET .....	20
	b. Hydrodynamics Model .....	20
	c. Integration of two reference models .....	21
	3. Inputs and Outputs .....	22
IV.	MULTI-CONTROLLER PROTOCOL .....	23

A.	INTRODUCTION .....	23
B.	SUBMARINE CONTROL PANELS .....	24
1.	Helmsman Control Panel .....	24
2.	Officer of the Deck (OOD) Control Panel .....	26
3.	Weapons Officer Control Panel .....	26
C.	COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL.....	28
V.	GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF OCEAN ENVIRONMENT.....	31
A.	INTRODUCTION .....	31
B.	GRAPHICAL UNDERSEA ENVIRONMENT .....	32
C.	OCEAN WAVE CARPET .....	34
VI.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	39
A.	RUNTIME PERFORMANCE.....	39
1.	Hydrodynamics Model.....	39
2.	Ocean Wave Carpet .....	39
B.	CONCLUSIONS.....	40
C.	LIMITATIONS.....	40
D.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK .....	40
	APPENDIX A DARPA SUBOFF MODEL COEFFICIENTS .....	43
	APPENDIX B GENERIC SUBMARINE MODEL COEFFICIENTS .....	63
	APPENDIX C OBTAINING NPSNET SOURCE CODE .....	81
	LIST OF REFERENCES.....	83
	INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST .....	85

## LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Virtual AntiSubmarine Warfare (ASW) Exercise .....	3
2.	NPS Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV).....	9
3.	Buoyancy related dimensions .....	15
4.	Buoyancy versus keel depth for a rectangular box (solid line) and a cylinder or ellipsoid (dotted line)	16
5.	Moment arm created by change in CB position.....	17
6.	Surface Length determination.....	18
7.	NPSNET and Hydrodynamics Model coordinate conventions .....	21
8.	Helm Control Panel.....	25
9.	OOD Control Panel.....	27
10.	Weapons Officer Control Panel.....	29
11.	Undersea Environment.....	33
12.	Ocean Carpet (Wire frame).....	34
13.	Ocean Carpet (Textured).....	34
14.	Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet .....	36
15.	Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet .....	37
16.	Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet .....	38

# I. INTRODUCTION

## A. BACKGROUND

In 1990, researchers and students began work on what was to become the Naval Postgraduate School Networked Virtual Environment (NPSNET) at the Graphics and Video Laboratory of the Department of Computer Science at the Naval Postgraduate School in Monterey, California. NPSNET is an interactive distributed virtual simulation of military maneuvers. NPSNET's functionality and capabilities have improved with each generation of software, networking technology and graphic capabilities. [Zyda94]

Currently, NPSNET is in its fourth major version (NPSNET-IV). It includes a suite of complementary software applications such as network management tools and various interfaces. NPSNET uses the Distributed Interactive Systems (DIS) Protocol version 2.0.3 for networked communications[Zeswitz93].

The software applications of NPSNET graphically simulate many actual military activities, such as helicopter and tank warfare, fighter plane missions and foot soldier operations. Each of these electronic simulators--whether a ship, a bomber, or soldier--can run on separate workstations manufactured by Silicon Graphics Incorporated (SGI). Individuals--ideally trainees--can operate these software applications without difficulty.

One of the elements that makes NPSNET unique is the fact that the applications are networked and offer real-time interactions among the software applications. The DIS protocol allows for real-time three-dimensional (3D) contacts and exchanges among all participants located at individual, geographically dispersed workstations.

This thesis is an effort to add to the ongoing work that continually improves NPSNET. Specifically, a submarine entity has been created with physically based hydrodynamic motion and controls that can be run by three distant users simultaneously.

## **B. MOTIVATION**


Computer simulation provides the Navy with an inexpensive yet highly realistic method of training. For proper training, various entities must be created to populate the virtual world. Entities are such things as tanks, personnel carriers, surface ships, aircraft, submarines and even individual combat soldiers. Training is essential because it ensures that the military is ready at all times to meet the defense needs of the nation.

### **1. Littoral Warfare and the Submarine**

The U.S. Navy is rethinking its war-fighting doctrine, shifting from the blue-water Navy of the past to the littoral war fighters of the future [Dalton94]. A blue-water Navy prepares to do battle in the open ocean against another navy that is also intent on fighting at sea. Littoral warfare, however, takes place on the waterways close to land, the land close to water, and the airspace above the sea-land border. Unfortunately, most of the U.S. Navy's training has been for blue water conflict. As a result, Navy personnel in general know little about the science of littoral warfare. One expensive way to rapidly increase awareness and knowledge about littoral warfare is to stage large-scale joint battles in various littoral regions with all the appropriate branches of the U.S. armed forces. A more cost-effective method of education is to simulate littoral warfare using a distributed virtual environment such as NPSNET.

NPSNET makes it more practical to invite participants from various warfare communities into cooperative training exercises. All can gain valuable experience and have their contributions included from different parts of the country. Using NPSNET, a costly antisubmarine warfare (ASW) exercise can be run without any of the combat participants leaving home port Figure 1.[Schmidt93]

One important platform in littoral warfare is the submarine. A submarine has the ability to move close to shore without revealing its presence. From this vantage point, a submarine is an excellent vehicle for running reconnaissance missions, stealthily



**Figure 1: Virtual AntiSubmarine Warfare (ASW) Exercise**

transporting SEALs for penetration operations, laying minefields, or launching a rapid, highly accurate strike using Tomahawk missiles.

## **2. Teamwork vs. Individual Training**

Systems like NPSNET have been developed to help train groups of individuals, each of whom controls a separate vehicle. However, many vehicles currently operated by the armed forces require a group effort to control. Several people must work together to correctly control and employ the vehicle. This is true for tanks, bomber aircraft, ships and submarines.

Today a handful of simulators are able to let a group of people control the same entity, but NPSNET is not one of them [Sullivan93]. Cooperation is a critical part of the

training process, since team members must work together. Prior to this thesis, NPSNET did not have the capability to let more than one person participate in the control of an individual vehicle. Since NPSNET is already a distributed system, it is possible to add the technology needed to allow for various individuals to participate in the shared control of a single entity from multiple locations.

### **C. OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this research is to design an accurate submarine vehicle and incorporate it into NPSNET-IV. To achieve this objective, the submarine requires the following capabilities:

- The motion must be physically based, both underwater and on the surface. This allows the vehicle to be used as a trainer for junior officers. They can "drive" the virtual ship and get a feel for how a submarine would actually respond.[Hearn93] [Nobles95]
- The submarine must be operable by one or more individuals at the same time. An actual submarine is not an individually controlled machine, rather it requires teamwork to employ effectively. If the virtual submarine is to be used for training, it ought to train a team, not simply an individual. Additionally, the controls must remain relatively simple so that a single person is capable of operating the submarine if no others are available.
- The weapons of the submarine must look and behave similarly to the normal weapons of a submarine (e.g. the Mk 48 or ADCAP torpedoes, and the Harpoon and Tomahawk missiles.) Though the weapons might resemble the actual ones, they ought not to be modeled exactly after the actual weapons, due to classification restrictions.
- Since NPSNET is distributed openly, all of the source code is unclassified. The submersible hydrodynamics coefficients must be unclassified, yet the model must have the capacity to quickly change to classified coefficients. This is accomplished through the use of parameter files that are used to initialize the hydrodynamic model.

Classified coefficient files may be easily substituted in an secure environment with no other changes to the code.

#### **D. THESIS OUTLINE**

The previous sections of this chapter state the objectives and motivation for providing a submarine vehicle for NPSNET. Chapter II provides background of the NPSNET project including purpose, history, general program design and current research. Chapter III discusses the design considerations of the hydrodynamic model that will control the submarine, including general hydrodynamic theory, survey of earlier work, derivation of a real-time buoyancy model, generation of representative unclassified hydrodynamic coefficients, and computational complexity considerations. Chapter IV describes the requirements and implementation of a protocol that allows more than one person to control a single vehicle. Chapter VI covers the graphical ocean environment required for the submarine to operate in the virtual world of NPSNET. Conclusions reached in this research are in Chapters VII and VIII, including run-time performance, limitations of the submarine and suggested future work.



## II. RELATED WORK

### A. NPSNET

Students and faculty in the Naval Postgraduate School's Department of Computer Science began a project known as the Naval Postgraduate School Networked Virtual Environment (NPSNET) in 1990. NPSNET was written as a real-time, networked software package running on commercial off-the-shelf workstations, i.e. the Silicon Graphics Incorporated (SGI) IRIS family of computers. NPSNET was originally envisioned as a low-cost, government-owned, workstation-based visual simulator [Zyda94]. NPSNET-IV.8.2 is the current version of the evolving NPSNET simulation system. It uses the Distributed Interactive System (DIS) Protocol version 2.0.3 for networked communications, and follows the object-oriented programming paradigm for defining and controlling remote and local DIS-based entities and munitions [Barham94].

DIS defines twenty-seven standard Protocol Data Units (PDUs), (information packets), for sharing information between simulators. Currently NPSNET implements only three of the PDUs: Entity State, Fire, and Detonation. These three PDUs are the only ones required for basic interactive simulations. The other twenty four PDUs are relevant mainly in large, high-fidelity military exercises, or are not critical for the virtual world research currently being conducted at the Naval Postgraduate School[Barham94]. A notable deficiency is failure to implement the Message PDU [Brutzman94]. Currently NPSNET has implemented an Interface Data Unit (IDU) along with a IDU manager. IDU's can be specified prior to runtime, and used to communicate entity control data across the network.

Networked Virtual World simulation systems sharing the same Virtual Environment must have the capability of sharing information about the virtual environment, both transmitting the events the local simulator causes and receiving events caused by remote entities in the virtual environment. This information includes such 3D data as entity position and orientation (collectively referred to as posture), weapons firing, tracking and detonations. In a DIS virtual environment, each simulator maintains its own

world database copy of the objects in the world (e.g. terrain, buildings, trees etc.) and is responsible for managing the local copy by keeping it up to date as the simulation progresses.[Barham94]

NPSNET-IV uses a Euclidean coordinate system to specify an entity's posture in the virtual world. A posture is composed of a position specification, (x, y, z) and an orientation specification (heading, pitch and roll). These three axes, combined with orientation displacements, enable an entity to describe six physical degrees of freedom for motion. Linear and angular velocities and acceleration can also be passed via PDUs to enable remote users to dead reckon entity postures between PDUs. Dead reckoning is defined as the process of calculating an updated posture for an entity with no additional inputs. This is done by taking the initial posture vector and adding displacements that are equal to the respective velocity components multiplied by the time since the initial posture was received.

In order to keep track of remote or networked entities, the local simulator must have some type of entity list containing entity identification, posture, geometry model data and other pertinent information. One way of reducing network traffic in a DIS simulation is to require the local simulation system to dead reckon each remote entity's position between the intermittent reception of actual Entity State PDUs. This dead reckoning implies that the data concerning remote entities maintained in the entity list is lower resolution compared to the higher resolution knowledge of the locally controlled entity.


## **B. HYDRODYNAMICS**

There have been a few attempts to create submersible vehicles in virtual worlds. The first one developed at the Naval Postgraduate School took an NPS Object File Format (NPSOFF) submarine object and animated it under the constraints of accurate hydrodynamic laws of motion [Jurewicz90]. Unfortunately the physically based modeling representation of the dynamics in this project is hard coded for one specific underwater

vehicle. Adding or adjusting the submarine dynamics is not a simple task, and the integration of a physically different submarine model requires both software maintenance by a knowledgeable programmer [Zyda91] and rederivation of equations of motion by a mechanical engineer. Because of these problems, this work is unsuitable for general use.

A Deep Submergence Rescue Vehicle (DSRV) was also modeled using a simple Newtonian force-based paradigm [Zehner93]. As before, the model used was not general enough to allow for directly changing vehicle characteristics such as length, width and buoyancy. In addition, the networking components used only simplistic stubs to provide a proof of concept. Benefits of this model included an object-oriented structure for physically based modeling.

In 1994, a rigorous general model for submerged vehicle hydrodynamics was created that was computationally suitable for real-time simulation [Brutzman94]. This model was developed to support computer simulation and testing of the NPS Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) project, shown in Figure 2. This is the first publicly available hydrodynamic model that is based on standardized equations of motion and operates in real-time (10 Hz or faster control loop.) Another advantage of this model is its object-oriented design, which allows programmers to implement new components and adjust for various types of submarines.



**Figure 2: NPS Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV)**

The initial implementation of this model assumed that the submarine remained submerged at all times, hence the buoyancy of the submarine remained neutral. Based on results reported in this thesis, the model now allows for real-time changes in a submarine's buoyancy, and includes a function for buoyancy versus depth. This functionality permits the AUV to surface and act much like a surface ship. This hydrodynamics model may conceivably be used to provide even more realistic training for other ship simulators [Hearn93][Nobles95].

### **C. MULTI-PLAYER CONTROL**

There are many different types of vehicle simulators in existence. Some simulators have the capability to train small groups of people to control a single vehicle, such as airline cockpit simulators that train a crew consisting of a pilot, co-pilot, and navigator together. Other simulators allow individuals to each control one vehicle, network the individual vehicles together and train as a group. No system exists however, that allows individuals to work as a small group controlling a single vehicle while at the same time remaining networked at different locations. NPSNET provides the required basic networking protocols and allows for rapid implementation of physically based models to be incorporated into the virtual environment. NPSNET and the DIS protocol, however, currently do not provide a means for a single vehicle to be controlled by more than one operator. Although the DIS protocol might be utilized to achieve this functionality using Message PDUs, such work has not been done.

A step in this direction for NPSNET was thesis work that created a remote panel from which a single individual user could control a vehicle [McMahan94]. The protocol used the basic NPSNET architecture coupled with a DIS-like protocol. This allowed a remote workstation to send a message containing the control information for one vehicle to another workstation (or host) that was simultaneously running the NPSNET simulation. The host workstation sends back to the remote controller updates of the vehicle's current

posture, speed, sensor information, etc. However, this protocol does not have any means to direct these "control" and "information" packets to more than one machine. Because of this, only one remote site can be operated at a time. Also, there is no hierarchy for means of control. If more than one remote station tries to send a "control" packet to the host, a race condition can occur where the host does not know which packet is the correct one to use. Multi-player control requires the ability to address "control" and "information" packets. It also requires a control protocol which prevents race conditions on the host workstation. Finally, authentication of control packets must be considered in order to prevent unauthorized interference.

#### **D. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF AN OCEAN ENVIRONMENT**

Providing a more realistic visual ocean is a crucial part of making an accurate submarine model. Current submarines use their periscopes infrequently, usually relying on passive sonar to determine locations of contacts. Because of this, officers aboard naval submarines get little practice looking through periscopes. Nevertheless the periscope, is one of the most vital sensors for a submarine. It enables reconnaissance of coast lines, obtaining visual navigation fixes, and providing rapid solutions to surface Target Motion Analysis (TMA) problems. Most shore-based periscope trainers use unrealistic flat oceans and toy contact models, occasionally blocking out the view with a single blue polygon to simulate actual ocean waves. Incorporating a realistic graphical open-ocean environment adds more realism, thus immersing the individual further in the Virtual Environment, increasing the user's sense of presence and adding to the training value [Covington94].

The current open-ocean theater implemented for NPSNET is not capable of representing a littoral region in the virtual world [Covington94]. One reason for this is the intersection of nonmoving polygons (representing land) with moving polygons (representing wave motion) is difficult to calculate. Another problem with representing

large bodies of water is that it is too computationally expensive to model waves across the entire ocean. This is an excellent area for future work.

### III. HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL

#### A. INTRODUCTION

A physically based hydrodynamics model is a set of mathematical equations that simulates the combined forces on a submerged rigid body. This model must be able to update a vehicle's posture, velocities and accelerations in the six degrees of freedom as discussed in the previous chapter. These updates must account for the complex physics of motion through water.

The effects of forces and moments can all be cross-coupled between vertical, lateral and horizontal directions. The effects of the surrounding environment are relatively large and significant, so much so that the adjacent water tends to be accelerated along with the vehicle and can be thought of as an "added mass." Together these challenges make underwater vehicle physical response, guidance and control an extremely difficult dynamics problem. [Brutzman 94]

Because of these challenges, the underwater hydrodynamics problem is too complex for a meaningful kinematics (velocities-only) solution [Healey93]. A more appropriate solution is to model all forces acting on the submersible and calculate the corresponding accelerations. Until this recent result, no single hydrodynamic model was general or robust enough to provide accurate information about all aspects of hydrodynamic motion in real time while simultaneously providing the means to vary pertinent inputs into the model. Furthermore, the ability to change the hydrodynamics coefficients allows one to model any form of submersible.

Reflecting the complex nature of underwater hydrodynamics, the hydrodynamics models might use more than one hundred pertinent coefficients. These coefficients can be obtained through costly analysis along with tow tank modeling. Most of the coefficients however, are of negligible importance to the physical behavior of a large underwater vehicle since they deal with second and third order effects. Thus current coefficient sets typically include only a few dozen nonzero values.

The NPS AUV hydrodynamics model is physically and computationally rigorous while enabling the programmer to easily change coefficients and dimensions of the submersible being modeled. This model provides six degrees of freedom and real-time performance in computer-based visual simulation [Brutzman94]. It is ported into NPSNET along with a coefficient set developed to represent a generic fast-attack submarine.

## **B. BUOYANCY FUNCTION**

### **1. Buoyancy in General**

As currently implemented, submersibles in the hydrodynamics model are considered neutrally buoyant. That is to say, the weight of the water which the submersible displaces is equal to the weight of the submersible itself. To enable a submarine to have a functioning ballasting system and to enable surface operations, the hydrodynamics model must be extended further.

Archimedes' principle states that a submerged body is subject to a buoyancy force that is equal to the weight of the fluid displaced by the volume of that body. The point at which all forces producing the buoyant effect may be considered to act is the center of buoyancy (CB) and is the volumetric center of the fluid displaced. For a stable floating object, the center of buoyancy is directly above the object's center of gravity (CG). For any three-dimensional body the center of gravity is, the point where the net force related to the body's weight, or mass, may be considered to be located. Failure to put the center of buoyancy over the center of gravity results in static instability and a tendency to invert. To determine a vehicle's buoyant force we use the following formula:

$$\text{Buoyancy} = \rho g \iiint dV$$

Where:  $\rho$  is the density of water

$g$  is gravity

$\iiint dV$  is the volumetric displacement at a given time


This formula determines how much water volume a vehicle displaces at a given time and then multiplies this integration by the density of water and gravity. Although this is the general solution for buoyancy, it involves an irregular triple integral. This is deemed too computationally expensive for real-time use, since a submersible is not only an irregular shape, but the volume displaced changes rapidly as the body moves up and down at the water's surface. A simple approximation for this problem is to use a shape with an easily calculable volume that resembles the submersible. Although this is not precisely accurate, it models the major effects of buoyancy and is rapid enough for real-time computation. Furthermore such a simplification is correct at bounding conditions corresponding to neutral buoyancy. Thus any inaccuracies are only due to transient approximations. These approximations are insignificant compared to the variability already present due to surface wave action.

## 2. Buoyancy Function Based on Depth

Let us consider a rectangular box as a simple vehicle model with length  $L$ , height  $H$ , and width  $W$ , as in Figure 3. When the vehicle is not in the water, the vehicle has no buoyant force. When the vehicle is fully submerged, the buoyant force is equal to the volume of the vehicle  $L * H * W$ . With motion initially considered only in the vertical direction, the vehicle's buoyancy can quickly be determined by calculating the volume submerged.

$$\text{Volume} = \text{Length} \times \text{Width} \times (\text{Height} - Z)$$


Here,  $Z$  is the amount of "freeboard" or distance from the water line to the level deck of the vehicle.



**Figure 3: Buoyancy related dimensions**

As seen in Figure 4, when the vehicle is not in the water at all, buoyant force is equal to zero. As the volume of the vehicle enters the water the buoyant force increases until the entire volume is submerged. For a surface ship with a given mass, if the buoyant force is less than its mass, it will sink into the water. Likewise, if the surface ship is too deep in the water, causing the buoyant force to be larger than the mass of the surface ship, it will move towards the surface of the water. This simple example demonstrates that a ship will tend to move to a point at which the surface ship's mass is equal to the buoyant force.

Buoyancy (lbf or kg)



**Figure 4: Buoyancy versus keel depth for a rectangular box (solid line) and a cylinder or ellipsoid (dotted line)**


A submarine is not a rectangle; it more closely resembles a cylinder or ellipsoid. Its buoyancy function is bowed in the beginning due to less volume entering the water, grows most steeply when the widest part of the ship is entering, and again tapers off as the top of the cylinder is reached. Figure 4 also illustrates how the change in buoyancy when using a cylinder versus a rectangle is a physically small effect that will only affect transient response, not bounding conditions or steady-state behavior.

### 3. Buoyancy Function Based on Ship's Angle

The previous discussion was based on a level vehicle that changed displacement only in the vertical direction and did not consider pitch or roll. Since a submarine is almost perfectly symmetrical along the longitudinal axis, roll does not play a significant part in determining buoyancy. Such is not the case for a submersible's pitch. Submersibles have

the capability to achieve large pitch angles of up to forty-five degrees while submerged. When the submersible is near the surface, these pitch angles can have a major impact on the vehicle's buoyancy in two ways. First and most obvious, more of the submersible can be moved out of the water. This of course tends to reduce the ship's overall buoyant force. The second and more significant impact is the change in the center of buoyancy. As stated, the center of buoyancy is the location where the buoyant forces act upon the vessel and is based on the location of the center of the displaced water. When a large portion of a submersible is out of the water, the volumetric location of the center of buoyancy moves to the centroid of the volume still submerged. If the bow is protruding from the water the center of buoyancy will move aft, and if the stern is protruding CB will move forward.


Because of this shift in the center of buoyancy, a large moment arm is created in combination with the center of gravity. With the buoyant force pushing up from the submerged end and the mass of the ship pulling down at the longitudinal center, a submersible tends to pitch as shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 5: Moment arm created by change in CB position.**

In general, the distance that the center of buoyancy shifts is linearly proportional to the percentage of the volume of the submersible above the water. Volume above the

water's surface can be approximated estimated using only the pitch angle and centerline distance above the water. The centerline distance above water is also quickly calculated using only depth and pitch angle of a vehicle as illustrated in Figure 6.



**Figure 6: Surface Length determination.**

The centerline distance (CD) above the water is thus calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{CD above water} = \max( (\text{Total Length} / 2) - \text{Surface Length} )$$

$$\text{Surface Length} = \text{Depth} / \sin \theta$$

Furthermore, we must constrain this value to avoid inappropriate calculations when submerged.

$$-\text{Total Length} / 2 < \text{CD} < \text{Total Length} / 2$$

By combining all these effects related to depth and pitch, a realistic estimation of a submersible's buoyancy is obtained. Although this is a simplified buoyancy function, it demonstrates that the hydrodynamics model has the capability to adequately compute buoyancy changes under real-time restrictions.

## C. IMPLEMENTATION

### 1. New Coefficients

As stated earlier, numerous coefficients are required for a hydrodynamic model to determine correct solutions. Hydrodynamics model coefficients have been normalized with respect to vehicle length  $L$  and thus are dimensionless quantities. These coefficients help describe the six degree-of-freedom relationships between the various velocities and accelerations of a submerged vehicle to the hydrodynamic effects that vehicle experiences.

Other important inputs to a hydrodynamic model are the measurements that define the physical layout of the submersible being modeled. Measurements include such things as length, mass, volume, moments of inertia, and cross-sectional areas of the submersible along with precise locations of propellers, planes and rudders. Currently the hydrodynamics model uses coefficients designed to correlate with the NPS Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV). The AUV is an actual submersible six feet long and weighing 387 pounds. Unlike other hydrodynamic models, the coefficients and measurements in this model are located in a separate computer file. This allows for quick and easy changes by programmers.

To create a submarine in the virtual world of NPSNET, new coefficients were needed. To ensure this thesis remains unclassified, all coefficients and other measurements were obtained from unclassified documents. If the improved accuracy of classified coefficients is required in the future, it is a simple matter to substitute them. In the first iteration, the coefficients and measurements were obtained from the David Taylor Research Center, Ship Hydrodynamics Report on the ARPA/SUBOFF shape [Roddy90]. This report describes detailed hydrodynamics evaluation of a 14.292 foot, 1556 pound general submarine form. A stable set of coefficients and measurements was obtained using this report, incorporation of estimated values for several critical missing coefficients and a series of validating laboratory missions. Then the general submarine model was ratioed up to a 360-foot, 6,900-ton submarine. This is an unclassified approximation corresponding to

a Los Angeles class submarine[Sharpe93]. Both sets of coefficients and pertinent measurements are located in Appendices A and B, respectively. Of particular note is that the only change between models was adjustment of size and mass values.

## **2. Coordinate Systems**

To uniquely describe motion in a three-dimensional world, a standard coordinate reference system must be established. Unfortunately, there is no universal convention for frame of reference. Many related disciplines use conventions that are different from one another. This thesis resolves two similar reference systems. The following describes the two frames of reference and how they were resolved.

### ***a. NPSNET***

NPSNET uses the convention of a right-handed three-dimensional Euclidean space. In relative terms, the horizontal axis is the X axis, positive from left to right. The vertical axis is the Z axis, positive from bottom to top. The Y axis is perpendicular to the X and Z axis, pointing ahead and positive from near to far. In global coordinate space, the Y axis is considered to point to a Northern compass heading, positive X pointing to the East, and positive Z indicating Height above mean sea level.

As is standard in most world coordinate systems, right-hand rule rotations about the X, Y, and Z axes define the positive Euler angles phi, theta and psi ( $\phi, \theta, \psi$ ) respectively. These are commonly known as pitch, roll and heading. A vehicle's *posture* can be uniquely described given x, y, z coordinates along with  $\phi, \theta, \psi$  Euler angles.

### ***b. Hydrodynamics Model***


The hydrodynamics model also uses a right handed, three-dimensional Euclidean space but this coordinate system is based on the Naval Engineering standard. In relative and world terms, respectively, the horizontal axis is now the Y axis, positive from left to right, pointing to the East. The vertical axis is still the Z axis, but now the positive direction has flipped, going from top to bottom. This is because the Z axis is now a measurement of depth from the ocean surface. The X axis is now perpendicular to the Y

and Z axis, positive from near to far, along the vehicle longitudinal axis, pointing towards North.

With a new coordinate system, roll, pitch and heading are defined again using right-handed counter-clockwise rotations about the three axes. Roll corresponds to the X axis, pitch to the Y axis and heading to the Z axis.

*c. Integration of two reference models*

Reconciling the differing reference conventions between the hydrodynamics model and NPSNET is straight forward when both conventions are viewed side by side in Figure 7. The actual conversions are done within the local entity Update function of NPSNET.



**Figure 7: NPSNET and Hydrodynamics Model coordinate conventions**

Note that although roll  $\phi$  and pitch  $\psi$  map directly, heading is reversed since the Z axis has been inverted. Sign transformations for heading  $\psi$ , depth Z and east coordinate Y are used to make the two references compatible.

### **3. Inputs and Outputs**

All vehicles must be provided some means of control as they operate in their native environment. Typically a submerged vehicle is outfitted with one or two propellers along with a combination of control planes. Control over weight and buoyancy is typically maintained through the use of a ballast and trim system. Weight and buoyancy changes are ordinarily gradual, over periods of tens of minutes.

Although propellers and thrusters may be positioned and oriented in various ways on a submersible, for simplicity the submersible modeled in this thesis has one main propeller and no thrusters. This main propeller is located aft along the centerline of the submersible and provides forward and aft thrust.

The main purpose of a control surface is to induce a moment on the moving vehicle to cause it to rotate to a desired angle. Control planes are usually aligned in either the horizontal or vertical direction and cause rotation around their axis of orientation. A rudder is a plane aligned in the vertical direction and is used to control submersible heading. Stern and bow planes are aligned in a horizontal direction and are used to control submersible pitch. When neutrally buoyant and moving forward, pitch control is via the bow and stern planes allowing the submersible to change depth.

## IV. MULTI-CONTROLLER PROTOCOL

### A. INTRODUCTION

As described in *NPSNET-IV: An Object-Oriented Interface for a Three-Dimensional Virtual World*, [McMahan94] a remote control panel for NPSNET was developed that has the ability to control a single NPSNET entity across a network. This is done using unique data structures passed over network socket connections between the machine running the remote panel application and the NPSNET entity host machine. The information sent between the two platforms allows for a loose coupling between the interface application and NPSNET. A benefit of this system is that changes can be made quickly to one application with few effects on the other [McMahan94].

Although successful as a remote communication application, McMahan's work was built with the paradigm of single user controlling a single vehicle. In order for that work to be useful in a multiuser application, it needs to be expanded to allow several users to control a single vehicle.

One problem encountered while extending McMahan's work directly into a multi-user control protocol was dealing with the "race conditions" that can ensue. A "race condition" results when two or more processes are reading or writing some shared data and the final result depends on the precise order of the processes executed. With any multiuser control protocol, race condition situations must be addressed. This problem becomes more apparent when the remote control application enables an individual user to assume the role of any of the possible control positions. The basic issue is that a remote control application must be prevented from sending erroneous control information to the main application, while at the same time it must be constantly synchronized with the other remote control applications that are running.

## **B. SUBMARINE CONTROL PANELS**

In this thesis, the remote control application combines three user roles in the effort to operate a submarine—specifically a Helmsman, an Officer of the Deck (OOD), and a Weapons Officer. Each user controls different parts of the on-screen panel, which are cooperative components of the same application. In addition, each user has the ability to change roles at any time during the simulation. The submarine control application is flexible enough to support three users, adequate for meaningful team training, and can easily be controlled by one individual. General layout and design of the three panels are based on making the controls intuitive while retaining functionality. [Schneiderman92]

### **1. Helmsman Control Panel**

The Helmsman's responsibility in the operation of a submarine is to steer the submarine so that it remains on the depth and course that is ordered by the OOD. The Helmsman's panel therefore contains various indicators to report the current depth, course, and speed of the submarine, in both digital and analog readouts as shown in Figure 8. Along with these readouts are indicators that show the orientation of the various control surfaces of the submarine (rudder, fairwater planes and stern planes.) Control of these planes is accomplished using a joystick or keyboard inputs instead of a submarine steering wheel control. In addition to steering the submarine, the helmsman relays the ordered speed to the engine room through the use of the engine order telegraph. Submarine speed can be set using the engine order telegraph, throttle control or keyboard input. On a normal submarine, the OOD makes his orders to the Helmsmen verbally. Due to the distributed nature of this application verbal orders might not be possible because the OOD and the Helmsman may be located a great distance apart. The ordered course, depth and speed display indicators on the far left side of the Helmsmen panel fulfills this communication requirement.




Figure 8: Helm Control Panel

## **2. Officer of the Deck (OOD) Control Panel**

The OOD's main responsibility is ordering a path for the submarine to maneuver through the virtual world. To do this, the OOD control panel must have the ability to give orders and view the surrounding environment. The OOD panel is shown in Figure 9. Orders are relayed to the helmsman through the use of ordered course, depth and speed areas. These orders can be monitored using the actual course, depth, and speed indicators. In addition to these orders the OOD has controls for the Main Ballast Tanks (MBTs).

MBTs are large floodable tanks, fore and aft, designed to surface or submerge the submarine. The MBTs are empty while the submarine is on the surface, providing positive buoyancy. To submerge in an actual submarine, MBT vents, located at the top of the tanks, let water fill the MBTs from open grates in the bottom of the tanks. This weight in turn causes the submarine to lose positive buoyancy and submerges. To surface, this process is reversed. The MBT vents are shut and air is injected into the tanks using the Emergency Main Ballast Tank (EMBT) Blow system, forcing the water out of the open grates in the bottom of the tanks. In this virtual submarine, controls for both the MBTs vents and EMBT Blow system are incorporated into the OOD control panel.

To allow the OOD to view the external environment, periscope controls are provided. These allow the OOD to raise and lower the periscope and change the elevation as well as the azimuth of the viewing direction. The periscope view also provides the ability to determine a bearing and range to an object that is being viewed by placing the object in the cross hairs of the periscope and then depressing the mark button. Bearing and range information is then displayed below the viewing controls.

## **3. Weapons Officer Control Panel**

The Weapons Officer is responsible for the launching of weapons, in this case unclassified versions of MK 48 or ADCAP torpedoes and Tomahawk cruise missiles. To carry out these functions, the Weapons Officer control panel has one area for torpedo controls and one for cruise missile controls as shown in Figure 10. Torpedoes are used to




Figure 9: OOD Control Panel

conduct AntiSubmarine Warfare (ASW) or AntiSurface Warfare (ASUW). Tomahawk cruise missiles are used to conduct precision strikes against land targets.

The torpedo controls enable the Weapons Officer to select a tube to be fired, set a course for the torpedo to follow, then shoot the torpedo. Unlike torpedoes, the Tomahawk missiles are preloaded with flight paths and therefore no course needs to be set for these missiles.

### **C. COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL**

Revising the code to allow for multi-user control required labeling each of the Interface Data Units (IDUs) sent from the remote control applications to the NPSNET host application. The labels identify the role of the user who generated the input. These labels allow the NPSNET application to parse out the appropriate information for a given label while ignoring the other data fields. For example, when a IDU labeled "Weapons Officer" is transmitted to the NPSNET application via the socket or multicast connection, only the positions of the dials and inputs under the direct authority of the Weapons Officer will be registered and updated, such as torpedo tube selected, torpedo course and torpedo shoot commands. The controls not under the authority of the Weapons Officer (such as helmsman and OOD functions) will not be registered by NPSNET therefore eliminating the need for direct communications between remote control applications.

Once the NPSNET application has registered the useful information from an incoming control data packet, the application creates an updated master communication packet. This master communication packet contains the most current setting for all three control positions. NPSNET then sends this master communication packet to all of the remote controllers, so information is updated on the three control panels on all remote applications. In this manner, all control panels on each remote application stay synchronized. The numbers and settings displayed remain constant until the appropriate user intentionally revises them.




Figure 10: Weapons Officer Control Panel



## **V. GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF OCEAN ENVIRONMENT**

### **A. INTRODUCTION**

Databases used in virtual worlds can be broken down into two major categories: the two-dimensional (2D) plane and the three-dimensional (3D) objects. The 2D plane can be thought of as a table top or playing field and usually represents the surface of the land or large bodies of water. This plane defines the boundaries for the x and y axes of the virtual world. The next major category is composed of 3D objects, buildings, vehicles, mountains, bridges and foliage that populate the virtual world. These objects can be thought of as being placed onto the initial 2D plane. Because of this paradigm, the virtual world usually only extends into the positive third dimension, namely height above the two-dimensional plane. One flaw with this approach is that whenever a user moves below the flat 2D plane of the virtual world, for example when an entity dives below the surface of the ocean, there is nothing there. It appears that the virtual world is suspending in air, allowing the user to quickly determine the unreality of the situation. Developing a simple means of creating an entire environment underneath the surface plane was required for this project, since submarines operate in this previously undeveloped region of the virtual world.

Performance regarding on the number of polygons per second a computers can render is steadily improving with introduction of faster processors, specialized graphics generators and larger graphics pipelines. Computer graphics still have limits to their capabilities nevertheless. To ensure rapid frame rates are maintained for providing smooth realistic motion, polygon conservation is essential when creating a new environment. When displaying virtual worlds, programmers attempt to render as few polygons as necessary to achieve a graphically believable environment. One way of accomplishing this is using only a few large polygons in large flat areas of the virtual world, such as fields and oceans.


Challenges occur when these large areas need to appear as if in motion. Since it is impossible to bend a polygon, such areas must be created using several polygons so that the overall surface appears smooth throughout its "movement."

Programmers use textures as another way of minimizing the number of polygons in a virtual world. Textures are images that can be applied to a polygon giving it a much more complex appearance. A simple example is a cube with textures applied to each surface that are pictures of the outside of a house. Instead of using individual polygons to model each door, window or brick, textures allow a developer to place that complex image in the virtual world using only five polygons (four walls and a roof). In NPSNET, this technique has been useful in creating buildings, trees and a realistic-looking ocean surface. Previously only a random noise texture was placed on the blue polygons that represent the ocean, thus giving the effect of ripples and waves as viewed from a distance. Unfortunately, as the viewer gets closer to these textured polygons, the optical illusion is destroyed and the "flatness" of the ocean becomes apparent.

## **B. GRAPHICAL UNDERSEA ENVIRONMENT**

To create an environment below the 2D playing field of the current virtual environment, two steps were taken. First, a new 2D playing field was created. This new field is lower than the original one, representing not the ocean surface but the ocean bottom. Second, the space between these two planes was filled with a new graphical environment, namely the ocean water. This below-surface environment is shown in Figure 11.

The ocean bottom was created by taking a copy of the ocean surface polygons and adjusting all vertices along the negative z axis to an appropriate depth. Since the original ocean surface is represented by a group of flat polygons with a constant depth, the ocean bottom, in turn, now has a constant depth. The main purpose for creating an ocean bottom was to give the user a visual frame of reference when driving the submarine underwater. Without such a frame of reference, up, down, left and right can quickly become confused.




**Figure 11: Undersea Environment**

A simple shoaling ocean bottom was deemed unimportant for the simulation and might make the ocean bottom more difficult to discern as a visual reference. Future work will undoubtedly apply bathymetric data to contour the ocean bottom.


To create the effect of water, the Performer fog function was used. This function allows the programmer to specify the range, color and level of the fog, which is then applied to the scene. In this case, the fog is colored light blue with visibility degrading as entity depth increases. Fog is generated whenever the height z axis is below the surface of the water. An added benefit of using the fog function is that, just as vision is difficult under real water, vision in this virtual world also is difficult. This effect also supports the argument that depicting a shoaling ocean bottom is not significant.

### C. OCEAN WAVE CARPET

Although submarines usually remain submerged, the rendering of wave motion is essential to provide realism while the submarine is near the surface operating its periscope. Two principles are critical when considering how to create surface wave motion. First, the closer objects are, the more realistic they must look. Second, making the entire ocean out of moving polygons can easily become too complex to be practical or possible. Thus, a moving "ocean carpet" was developed in this work. This carpet consists of 824 polygons suspended one meter above the "flat" ocean polygons Figure 12. The ocean carpet is colored and textured to look the same as the rest of the distant-ocean polygons Figure 13.



**Figure 12: Ocean Carpet (Wire frame)**




**Figure 13: Ocean Carpet (Textured)**


The ocean carpet polygons are animated so that they appear to move in a wave-like fashion. This animation takes advantage of the Performer graphics pipeline, using an array of three sets of vertices of the ocean carpet. Experimentation using only one set of vertices in the animation process was initially adequate, but three sets provided for truly life-like smoothness of motion due to graphic pipeline performance enhancements.

The ocean wave carpet is positioned in the x and y axis and rotated about the z axis corresponding with the heading of the local entity. The ocean carpet therefore follows the vehicle wherever it goes in the virtual undersea world while always remaining suspended one meter above the normal ocean polygons. Because the ocean carpet and the rest of the ocean are colored and textured identically, the edge of the carpet cannot be discerned from the user's vantage point. Similarity of texturing and color, coupled with the fact that the original texture has always been a good representation of waves from a distance, provides views from periscopes that are extremely realistic as shown in Figure 14, Figure 15 and Figure 16.


This ocean carpet is not represented for any entities other than the locally generated one. This is because wave motion is only apparent close up, and in general most entities are so far away that the generation of waves around them becomes visually insignificant. Future work for the ocean wave carpet includes incorporation of standard ocean wave equations of motion and maintaining correct global orientation of wave surface textures while changing relative orientation of the ocean wave carpet wireframe.



**Figure 14: Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet**



**Figure 15: Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet**



**Figure 16: Time Lapsed View from Periscope with Ocean Wave Carpet**

## VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### A. RUNTIME PERFORMANCE

#### 1. Hydrodynamics Model

Physically based dynamic modeling, when properly parallelized, is less processor-intensive than graphics rendering [Jurewicz90][Zyda91]. In this application of a physical model, the hydrodynamics model used to control the submersible's motion did not require parallelization. This led to no measurable degradation of performance in frame rates, maintaining approximately 30 frames per second. This experimental result confirms that the NPS AUV hydrodynamics model is suitable for real-time applications. Since this is perhaps the most sophisticated dynamics model available for a single vehicle it also indicates that computationally efficient dynamics models are available. Along with adequate frame rates, the hydrodynamic model, utilizing the new sets of coefficients, provided smooth accurate motion. Because of this physically realistic motion, the overall experience of using the submersible is greatly enhanced.

#### 2. Ocean Wave Carpet

Whenever more polygons are added to a scene, graphical performance may decline. Since the ocean carpet only adds 824 new polygons, there is not a significant performance decline in the average NPSNET scene. Along with the addition of more polygons requiring rendering, new positions of the various vertices for these polygons must be calculated for each frame. As stated in Chapter V, this has been parallelized, taking advantage of the Performer pipeline. In addition, only one ocean carpet is generated to enhance only the local entity's view into the virtual world. If ocean carpets are generated for additional entities, such as surfaced submarines or ships, there is a likelihood of performance degradation.

## **B. CONCLUSIONS**

The objective of this research was to enhance the training potential of NPSNET by implementing a submarine whose motion is produced by a physically based hydrodynamics model. The submarine also has controls that enable multiple individuals to use teamwork to control the vehicle. After performing the development, testing and evaluation of the various aspects of this project, we have reached the following conclusions:

- Physically based hydrodynamics models can be implemented in real-time.
- Control of a single entity by various distributed individuals can be accomplished using a multicast communications protocol.
- Generation of ocean waves in littoral regions can be accomplished in real-time.

## **C. LIMITATIONS**

The current submarine implementation has few limitations. The most obvious one is that although a multi controller protocol is in place for three individuals to operate the submarine, this task is normally done by over 20 crew members. To generate controls and supply individual workstations for this number is not currently a practical task. Implementation might readily be used for simpler platforms which require as few operators, such as tanks, planes, an smaller vessels.

In addition to the scalability problem of controlling stations, there exists another scalability problem that was not addressed in this work. Since there is only one NPSNET process running, acting as the host to various controlling applications, there can be only one view point into the virtual world. This view is not capable of being distributed at this time. This would be important if various participants needed to receive visual queues, such as a pilot and co-pilot.

## **D. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK**

This work provides three major improvements to NPSNET IV: a physically based hydrodynamics model that can be quickly updated to represent various underwater vehicles; the capability to have multiple users control the same entity, improving the

training capability provided by NPSNET; and an improvement to the graphical representation of the ocean in NPSNET. Some areas of future work are:

- Extending the buoyancy function in the hydrodynamic model to incorporate the effects of wave motion.
- Modeling the wave motion based on standardized physical representations of waves.
- Integrate the NPS AUV control code into the NPSNET submersible vehicle class to allow scripted high-level behavior and vehicle-specific mission playback.
- Establish a way to let remote entities see moving ocean carpets around surfaced submarines and ships.
- Update sub launched weapons motion with physically based movements.
- Extend the submersible vehicle software to create a graphical way to conduct Target Motion Analysis (TMA).
- Incorporating the ocean surface model into the Open Inventor-based NPS AUV undersea Virtual World.
- Integrate the extended hydrodynamics model into actual submersibles to provide a predictive self-modeling diagnostic capability.
- Utilize NPSNET to provide 3D visualization capability in next-generation fast-attack submarine (NSSL) combat control system (CCS).



## APPENDIX A - DARPA SUBOFF MODEL COEFFICIENTS

////////////////////////////////////

/\*

Program: SUBOFFmodel.H (Version of UUVmodel.H for DARPA SUBOFF vehicle)

Author: Don Brutzman

Revised: 6 September 95

System: Irix 5.3

Compiler: ANSI C++

Compilation: irix> cp SUBOFFmodel.H UUVmodel.H

irix> make dynamics

Dissertation: Brutzman, Donald P., A Virtual World for an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle, Ph.D. Dissertation, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1994. Available at <http://www.stl.nps.navy.mil/~brutzman/dissertation/>

Brutzman, Donald P., Software Reference: A Virtual World for an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle, technical report NPS-CS-010-94, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1994. The accompanying public electronic distribution of this reference includes source code and executable programs. World-Wide Web (WWW) Uniform Resource Locator (URL) is [ftp://taurus.cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/auv/auv\\_uvw.html](ftp://taurus.cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/auv/auv_uvw.html)

Advisors: Dr. Mike Zyda, Dr. Bob McGhee and Dr. Tony Healey

References: Healey, Anthony J. and Lienard, David, "Multivariable Sliding Mode Control for Autonomous Diving and Steering of Unmanned Underwater Vehicles," IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, vol. 18 no. 3, July 1993, pp. 327-339,

Lewis, Edward V., editor, \_Principles of Naval Architecture volume III\_, second revision, The Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers, Jersey City New Jersey, 1988, pp. 188-190 and 418-423.

Gertler, Morton and Hagen, Grant R., \_Standard Equations of Motion for Submarine Simulation\_, Naval Ship Research and Development Center (NSRDC) Research and Development Report 2510, Washington DC, June 1967.

Smith, N.S., Crane J.W. and Summey, D.C., \_SDV Simulator Hydrodynamic Coefficients\_, Naval Coastal Systems Center (NCSC), Panama City Florida, June 1978. Declassified.

Marco, David. "Slow Speed Control and Dynamic Positioning of an Autonomous Vehicle," Ph.D. dissertation, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, March 1995.

Bahrke, Fredric G., "On-Line Identificaton of the Speed, Steering and Diving Response Parameters of an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle from Experimental Data," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, March 1992.

Warner, David C., "Design, Simulation and Experimental Verification of a Computer Model and Enhanced Position

Estimator for the NPS AUV II," Master's Thesis,  
Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1991.

Bacon, Daniel K. Jr., "Integration of a Submarine into  
NPSNET," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School,  
Monterey California, September 1995.

Roddy, Robert F., "Investigation of the Stability and Control  
Characteristics of Several Configurations of the DARPA SUBOFF  
Model (DTRC Model 5470) from Captive-Model Experiments,"  
Technical Report DTRC/SHD-1298-08, Ship Hydrodynamics  
Department, David Taylor Research Center (DTRC),  
September 1990 (unclassified).

Model note: We use values for DARPA SUBOFF model in Configuration 2  
(fully appended) which includes plane surfaces.

Notes: const definitions are for software engineering reliability  
they can be changed to variables if coefficient modification  
becomes desirable

add clamp values for planes, rudders and propulsors  
pass clamp values to execution level

value for N\_prop needed (twist due to single screw)

\*/

////////////////////////////////////

#ifndef UUVMODEL\_H

#define UUVMODEL\_H // prevent errors if multiple #includes present



```

const double I_z  = 0.001084*m4_ft4 ; // Nms^2    = I_zz =
const double I_xy = 0.0  ; // Nms^2    = I_yx
const double I_xz = 0.0  ; // Nms^2    = I_zx
const double I_yz = 0.0  ; // Nms^2    = I_zy

#undef    m4_ft4

                //      Centers of Gravity & Buoyancy
const double x_G  = 0.556  *0.3048; // m
const double y_G  = 0.0    *0.3048; // m
const double z_G  = 0.0    *0.3048; // m    Note CG below CB  Marco 0.5"
const double x_B  = 0.532094*0.3048; // m
const double y_B  = 0.0    *0.3048; // m
const double z_B  = -0.006669*0.3048; // m    CB at center of UUV

//      Additional hull characteristics      //

const double H    = 0.240792 ; // m    main hull height 9.50"

double revisedBuoyancy, revised_x_B;

double surface_length = 0.0; // distances (CB to surface) & (CB to nose)
                // along body axis
const double nose_length = (0.90 * L) / 2.0;

#else // (not SI) standard British units -----

const double Weight = 1556.2363 ; // lb    Weight  Submerged
const double Buoyancy= 1556.2363 ; // lb    Buoyancy Submerged

const double MBT_Weight = 0.0; // lb    Main Ballast Tanks fore+aft

```

```

const double L    = 14.2917 ; // ft    characteristic length

const double g    = 32.174 ; // ft/s^2    gravitational constant
const double rho  = 1.94 ; // slugs/ft^3 mass density of fresh water
const double m    = Weight / g ; // lb/ft-s^2    vehicle mass incl. free flood
                // = 48.369376

// Moments of inertia units normalized using perpendicular length 13.9792 ft
// rather than characteristic length L (Roddy p.3, Feldman p. 6)

#define L_n 13.9792
#define Lnorm(i) (i * 0.5 * rho * L_n * L_n * L_n * L_n * L_n)

                ; //      Inertia matrix coefficients

// new value I_x not found, verify
const double I_x  = Lnorm(0.000060); // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_xx

const double I_y  = Lnorm(0.001053); // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_yy
const double I_z  = Lnorm(0.001084); // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_zz

// no coupled inertial moments means that sail effects are missing
const double I_xy = Lnorm(0.0) ; // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_yx
const double I_xz = Lnorm(0.0) ; // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_zx
const double I_yz = Lnorm(0.0) ; // lb-ft-sec^2    =I_zy

// print values as comments here ***

#undef Lnorm(i)

// Model length = 14.292', body coordinate center (0,0,0) will be at
// midpoints (longitudinal = 14.292/2 = 7.146', vertical = 1.667/2 = 0.83')

```

```

// CG: x_G = 7.146 - 6.590 = 0.556'      (Figure 1 p. 9)
// CG: y_G = 0.0'                        (Figure 1 p. 9 not given)
// CG: z_G = 0.0'                        (Figure 1 p. 9)
// CB: x_B = 7.146 - 6.613906 = 0.532094' (Table 1c p. 14 LCB)
// CB: y_B = 0.0'                        (Table 1c p. 14 not given)
// CB: z_B = -0.006669'                  (Table 1c p. 14 VCB)

//          Centers of Gravity & Buoyancy
const double x_G = 0.532094 ; // ft      listed as 0.556
const double y_G = 0.0 ; // ft

// *** modification - z_G moved down from 0.0 for more adequate righting arm ***
const double z_G = 0.5 ; // ft      Note CG below CB

const double x_B = 0.532094 ; // ft      0.010416667
const double y_B = 0.0 ; // ft
const double z_B = -0.006669 ; // ft

// Thruster/propeller distances from centerlines. Note stern/port are negative.

const double x_bow_vertical = 0.0 ; // ft No thrusters!
const double x_stern_vertical = 0.0 ; // ft
const double x_bow_lateral = 0.0 ; // ft
const double x_stern_lateral = 0.0 ; // ft

const double y_port_propeller = 0.0 ; // ft Single propeller, on centerline
const double y_stbd_propeller = 0.0 ; // ft

// Rudder bow/stern distances from centerlines. 0.5 is all the way forward/aft.

const double x_rb = 0.0 * L; // proportional distance to bow (none!)

```

```

const double x_rs = -0.427 * L; // proportional distance to stern

//      Additional hull characteristics      //

const double H = 1.667 ; // ft      main hull diameter 1.667 ft

double revisedBuoyancy, revised_x_B;

double surface_length = 0.0; // distances (CB to surface) & (CB to nose)
// along body axis
const double nose_length = (0.90 * L) / 2.0;

const int THRUSTERS = FALSE; // are cross-body thrusters present?

#endif

//-----//
//      Surge equation of motion coefficients      //

// *** warning: no X_ coefficients found in Roddy reference for ARPA SUBOFF
const double X_u_dot = 0.0 ; // Linear force coefficients acting in
const double X_v_dot = 0.0 ; // the longitudinal body axis
const double X_w_dot = 0.0 ; // with respect to subscripted
const double X_p_dot = 0.0 ; // motion components
const double X_q_dot = 0.0 ; //
const double X_r_dot = 0.0 ; //

const double X_uu = 0.0 ; //
const double X_vv = 0.0 ; //
const double X_ww = 0.0 ; //
const double X_pp = 0.0 ; //
const double X_qq = 0.0 ; //

```

```

const double X_rr = 0.0 ;//

const double X_prop = 0.0 ;// X_prop "constant" no longer applicable

// plane surface drags not given, either 0 or estimated at 1/2 AUV effectiveness
// (same swag factor for other coefficients later)

const double X_uu_delta_b_delta_b = 0.0 ;// drag due to bow plane
const double X_uu_delta_s_delta_s = -1.018E-2/2.0 ;// drag due to stern plane
const double X_uu_delta_r_delta_r = -1.018E-2/2.0 ;// drag due to rudder
// single plane/rudder set

const double X_pr = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double X_wq = 0.0 ;//
const double X_vp = 0.0 ;//
const double X_vr = 0.0 ;//

const double X_uq_delta_bow = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uq_delta_stern = 0.0 ;//
const double X_ur_delta_rudder = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uv_delta_rudder = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uw_delta_bow = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uw_delta_stern = 0.0 ;//

const double X_qdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double X_wdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double X_dsdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

// we assume 5 knot max speed = 500 yds/3 min = 500 ft/min = 8.333 ft/sec
// we assume max rpm is 200

const double speed_per_rpm = 8.333 / 200.0 ;// steady state: 0.04166

```

```

// = (8.333 feet/sec) per 200 rpm

const double MAX_RPM      = 200.0 ;// single propeller only

// *** recheck this value:
const double C_d0  = 0.00778      ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
//      Sway equation of motion coefficients      //

const double Y_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Linear force coefficients acting in
const double Y_v_dot = -0.016186 ;// the athwartships body axis
const double Y_w_dot = 0.0 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double Y_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double Y_q_dot = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_r_dot = -0.000398 ;// sign change??

const double Y_uu  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_uv  = -0.027834 ;//
const double Y_uw  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_up  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_uq  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_ur  = 0.005251 ;//

const double Y_uu_delta_rb = 0.0 ;// no bow rudder
const double Y_uu_delta_rs = 1.18E-2/2.0 ;//

const double Y_pq  = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double Y_qr  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_vq  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_wp  = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_wr  = 0.0 ;//

```

```

const double Y_vw = 0.0 ;//

const double C_dy = 0.5 ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
// Heave equation of motion coefficients //

const double Z_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Linear force coefficients acting in
const double Z_v_dot = 0.0 ;// the vertical body axis
const double Z_w_dot = -0.014529 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double Z_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double Z_q_dot = -0.000633 ;//
const double Z_r_dot = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_vv = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uw = -0.013910 ;//
const double Z_up = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uq = -0.007545 ;//
const double Z_rr = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_pp = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_uu_delta_b = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uu_delta_s = -0.005603 ;//

const double Z_pr = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double Z_vp = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_vr = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_qn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double Z_wn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double Z_dsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

```

```

const double C_dz = 0.5 ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
//      Roll equation of motion coefficients      //

const double K_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Angular force coefficient acting
const double K_v_dot = 0.0 ;// about the longitudinal body axis
const double K_w_dot = 0.0 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double K_p_dot = -2.4E-4 ;// motion components
      // NPS AUV value used since no SUBOFF value provided

const double K_q_dot = 0.0 ;//
const double K_r_dot = 0.0 ;//

const double K_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double K_uv = -0.000584 ;// NPS AUV is zero due to no sail.
      // SSN/SUBOFF negative due to keel
      // SDV-9 positive due to keel
const double K_uw = 0.0 ;//
const double K_up = -5.4E-3 ;// surge-related roll damping drag
      // NPS AUV value used since no SUBOFF value provided

const double K_uq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_ur = 0.0 ;//

const double K_uu_planes = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double K_pq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_qr = 0.0 ;//
const double K_vq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_wp = 0.0 ;//
const double K_wr = 0.0 ;//
const double K_vw = 0.0 ;//

```

```
const double K_prop = 0.0 ;// K_prop "constant" no longer applicable
```

```
const double K_pn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
```

```
const double K_pp = -2.02E-2 ;// test value for p-squared damping  
// static roll damping drag  
// NPS AUV value used since no SUBOFF value provided
```

```
const double K_p = K_pp/57.3 ;// estimate based on quadratic term  
// (K_pp) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec
```

```
//-----//  
// Pitch equation of motion coefficients //
```

```
const double M_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Angular force coefficient acting  
const double M_v_dot = 0.0 ;// about the athwartships body axis  
const double M_w_dot = -0.000561 ;// with respect to subscripted  
const double M_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components  
const double M_q_dot = -0.000860 ;//  
const double M_r_dot = 0.0 ;//
```

```
const double M_uu = 0.0 ;//  
const double M_vv = 0.0 ;//  
const double M_uw = -0.010324 ;//  
const double M_pp = 0.0 ;//  
const double M_rr = 0.0 ;//
```

```
const double M_uq = -0.003702 ;// surge-related pitch damping drag ***
```

```
const double M_uu_delta_bow = 0.0 ;// no bow rudder
```

```

const double M_uu_delta_stern = - x_rs * Z_uu_delta_s / 2.0;
    //      note (-) Z_uu_delta_s
    //      = - 0.058085219

const double M_pr = 0.0 ; // (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double M_vp = 0.0 ; //
const double M_vr = 0.0 ; //
const double M_prop = 0.0 ; // M_prop "constant" no longer applicable

const double M_qn = 0.0 ; // no longer used in new model
const double M_wn = 0.0 ; // no longer used in new model
const double M_dsn = 0.0 ; // no longer used in new model

const double M_qq = -7.00E-3 ; // slightly larger than N_rr estimate
    // test value for q-squared
    // static pitch damping drag
    // estimated M_qq ~ K_pp * length / width
    // Torsiello ~ 0.005* 7.3' / 10.1" = .005

const double M_q = M_qq / 57.3; // estimate based on quadratic term
    // (M_qq) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec

//-----//
//      Yaw equation of motion coefficients      //

const double N_u_dot = 0.0 ; // Angular force coefficient acting
const double N_v_dot = -0.000396 ; // about the vertical body axis
const double N_w_dot = 0.0 ; // with respect to subscripted
const double N_p_dot = 0.0 ; // motion components
const double N_q_dot = 0.0 ; //
const double N_r_dot = -0.000897 ; //

```

```

const double N_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double N_uv = -0.013648 ;// NPS AUV is zero!
const double N_uw = 0.0 ;//
const double N_up = 0.0 ;//
const double N_uq = 0.0 ;//
const double N_ur = -0.004444 ;// surge-related yaw damping drag

// N_uu_delta_rb and N_uu_delta_rs not symmetric due to different moment arms

const double N_uu_delta_rb = 0.0 ;// No bow rudder
const double N_uu_delta_rs = - x_rs * Y_uu_delta_rs / 2.0; //

const double N_prop = 0.0 ;// Normally 0.0 yaw moment due to paired
// counter-rotating propellers;
// *** however N_prop is not zero if propellor rpms are independent
// thus yaw equation of motion now has yaw moments due to propellers
// and N_prop "constant" is no longer applicable

// *** value needed to account for single propeller twisting force

const double N_pq = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double N_qr = 0.0 ;//
const double N_vq = 0.0 ;//
const double N_wp = 0.0 ;//
const double N_wr = 0.0 ;//
const double N_vw = 0.0 ;//

const double N_rr = -5.48E-3 ;// Torsiello value p.113 adjusted for L^5
// correction; static yaw damping drag
// estimated N_rr ~ M_qq * height/ width
//           = .040 * 10.1" / 16.5"

```

```

//          = 0.005
// Torsiello: 0.005
// Healey:  N_rr ~ M_qq

// alternate N_rr = 2 * 2# * 1.92' / (rho/2 L^5 * r_max * r_max) => 0.0048473244
//          using r_max = 16 deg/sec Torsiello which is consistent

const double N_r  = N_rr / 57.3; // estimate based on quadratic term
// (N_rr) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec

//-----//

// DEFINE THE LENGTH X, BREADTH bb, AND HEIGHT hh TERMS

const int cross_sections = 25;

// we must convert station coordinates (Roddy p. 16) to body coordinates
// center STATION value about zero then rescale to match actual size:
// (al units FEET)

#define STATION_TO_BODY(x) ((x - (20.4167/2.0)) * 14.2917 / 20.4167)

// Now a conversion function to convert B/B_x to feet (max diameter 1.667 ft)
// Note these values will be the same for hh and bb since SUBOFF is a cylinder

#define B_BX_TO_FEET(y) (y * 1.16667)

double xx [cross_sections] = {
    STATION_TO_BODY(0.0),
    STATION_TO_BODY(0.1),
    STATION_TO_BODY(0.2),
    STATION_TO_BODY(0.3),

```

```
STATION_TO_BODY(0.4),
STATION_TO_BODY(0.5),
STATION_TO_BODY(0.6),
STATION_TO_BODY(0.7),
STATION_TO_BODY(1.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(2.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(3.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(4.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(7.7143),
STATION_TO_BODY(10.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(15.1429),
STATION_TO_BODY(16.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(17.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(18.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(19.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.0),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.1),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.2),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.3),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.4),
STATION_TO_BODY(20.4167)
};
```

```
double hh [cross_sections] = {
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.29058),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.39396),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.46600),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.52147),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.56627),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.60352),
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.63514),
```

```
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.70744),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.84713),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.94066),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.99282),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.97598),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.81910),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.55025),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.26835),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11724),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11243),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.10074),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.07920),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.03178),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000)  
};
```

```
// note identical since cylindrical
```

```
double bb [cross_sections] = {  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.29058),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.39396),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.46600),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.52147),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.56627),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.60352),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.63514),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.70744),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.84713),
```

```
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.94066),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.99282),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.97598),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.81910),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.55025),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.26835),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11724),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11243),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.10074),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.07920),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.03178),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000)  
};
```

```
#undef STATION_TO_BODY(x)
```

```
#undef B_BX_TO_FEET(y)
```

```
//-----//
```

```
#endif // UUVMODEL_H
```



## APPENDIX B - GENERIC SUBMARINE MODEL COEFFICIENTS

////////////////////////////////////

/\*

Program: SSNmodel.H (Version of UUVmodel.H for generic SSN)

Author: Don Brutzman

Revised: 6 September 95

System: Irix 5.3

Compiler: ANSI C++

Compilation: irix> cp SSNmodel.H UUVmodel.H

irix> make dynamics

Dissertation: Brutzman, Donald P., A Virtual World for an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle, Ph.D. Dissertation, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1994. Available at <http://www.stl.nps.navy.mil/~brutzman/dissertation/>

Brutzman, Donald P., Software Reference: A Virtual World for an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle, technical report NPS-CS-010-94, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1994. The accompanying public electronic distribution of this reference includes source code and executable programs. World-Wide Web (WWW) Uniform Resource Locator (URL) is [ftp://taurus.cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/auv/auv\\_uvw.html](ftp://taurus.cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/auv/auv_uvw.html)

Advisors: Dr. Mike Zyda, Dr. Bob McGhee and Dr. Tony Healey

References: Healey, Anthony J. and Lienard, David, "Multivariable

Sliding Mode Control for Autonomous Diving and Steering of Unmanned Underwater Vehicles," IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, vol. 18 no. 3, July 1993, pp. 327-339,

Lewis, Edward V., editor, \_Principles of Naval Architecture volume III\_, second revision, The Society of Naval Architects and Marine Engineers, Jersey City New Jersey, 1988, pp. 188-190 and 418-423.

Gertler, Morton and Hagen, Grant R., \_Standard Equations of Motion for Submarine Simulation\_, Naval Ship Research and Development Center (NSRDC) Research and Development Report 2510, Washington DC, June 1967.

Smith, N.S., Crane J.W. and Summey, D.C., \_SDV Simulator Hydrodynamic Coefficients\_, Naval Coastal Systems Center (NCSC), Panama City Florida, June 1978. Declassified.

Marco, David. "Slow Speed Control and Dynamic Positioning of an Autonomous Vehicle," Ph.D. dissertation, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, March 1995.

Bahrke, Fredric G., "On-Line Identification of the Speed, Steering and Diving Response Parameters of an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle from Experimental Data," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, March 1992.

Warner, David C., "Design, Simulation and Experimental Verification of a Computer Model and Enhanced Position Estimator for the NPS AUV II," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, December 1991.

Bacon, Daniel K. Jr., "Integration of a Submarine into NPSNET," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California, September 1995.



```

#ifndef SI // Systeme International (metric) units -----

const double Weight = 1556.2363*0.454; // N Weight sub.(0.454 kg/lb == 1)
// = 706.53128
const double Buoyancy= 1556.2363*0.454; // N Buoyancy (0.454 kg/lb == 1)
// = 706.53128
const double MBT_Weight = 0.0; // N Main Ballast Tanks fore+aft

const double L = 14.2917*0.3048; // m characteristic length 14.2917'

const double g = 9.81 ; // m/s^2 gravitational constant
const double rho = 1000.0 ; // kg/m^3 mass density of fresh water
const double m = Weight / g ; // N-s^2/m vehicle mass incl. free flood
// = 72.021537

#define m4_ft4 (0.305)*(0.305)*(0.305)*(0.305) // (0.305 m/ft == 1)

// Inertia matrix coefficients
const double I_x = 0.0 *m4_ft4 ; // Nms^2 = I_xx =
const double I_y = 0.001053*m4_ft4 ; // Nms^2 = I_yy =
const double I_z = 0.001084*m4_ft4 ; // Nms^2 = I_zz =
const double I_xy = 0.0 ; // Nms^2 = I_yx
const double I_xz = 0.0 ; // Nms^2 = I_zx
const double I_yz = 0.0 ; // Nms^2 = I_zy

#undef m4_ft4

// Centers of Gravity & Buoyancy
const double x_G = 0.556 *0.3048; // m
const double y_G = 0.0 *0.3048; // m
const double z_G = 0.0 *0.3048; // m Note CG below CB Marco 0.5"
const double x_B = 0.532094*0.3048; // m
const double y_B = 0.0 *0.3048; // m
const double z_B = -0.006669*0.3048; // m CB at center of UUV

```

```

//      Additional hull characteristics      //

const double H      = 0.240792 ; // m      main hull height 9.50"

double revisedBuoyancy, revised_x_B;

double surface_length = 0.0; // distances (CB to surface) & (CB to nose)
// along body axis
const double nose_length = (0.90 * L) / 2.0;

#else // (not SI) standard British units -----

const double Weight = 6900.0 * 2000.0 ; // lb      Weight Submerged
const double Buoyancy= 6900.0 * 2000.0 ; // lb      Buoyancy Submerged

const double MBT_Weight = 0.0; // lb      Main Ballast Tanks fore+aft

const double L      = 360.0      ; // ft      characteristic length

const double g      = 32.174 ; // ft/s^2      gravitational constant
const double rho    = 1.94 ; // slugs/ft^3 mass density of fresh water
const double m      = Weight / g ; // lb/ft-s^2 vehicle mass incl. free flood
// =

// Moments of inertia units normalized using characteristic length L

#define Lnorm(i) (i * 0.5 * rho * L * L * L * L * L)

// naive approach to scaling up moments of inertia

//      Inertia matrix coefficients

// new value I_x not found, verify
const double I_x    = Lnorm(0.000060); // lb-ft-sec^2      =I_xx

const double I_y    = Lnorm(0.001053); // lb-ft-sec^2      =I_yy

```

```

const double I_z   = Lnorm(0.001084); // lb-ft-sec^2   =I_zz

// no coupled inertial moments means that sail effects are missing
const double I_xy  = Lnorm(0.0)   ; // lb-ft-sec^2   =I_yx
const double I_xz  = Lnorm(0.0)   ; // lb-ft-sec^2   =I_zx
const double I_yz  = Lnorm(0.0)   ; // lb-ft-sec^2   =I_zy

#undef Lnorm(i)

//          //          Centers of Gravity & Buoyancy
const double x_G   = 0.0   ; // ft   listed as 0.556
const double y_G   = 0.0   ; // ft

// *** modification - z_G moved down from 0.0 for more adequate righting arm ***
const double z_G   = 3.0   ; // ft   Note CG below CB

const double x_B   = 0.0   ; // ft   0.010416667
const double y_B   = 0.0   ; // ft
const double z_B   = 0.0   ; // ft

// Thruster/propeller distances from centerlines. Note stern/port are negative.

const double x_bow_vertical   = 0.0 ; // ft No thrusters!
const double x_stern_vertical = 0.0 ; // ft
const double x_bow_lateral   = 0.0 ; // ft
const double x_stern_lateral = 0.0 ; // ft

const double y_port_propeller = 0.0 ; // ft Single propeller, on centerline
const double y_stbd_propeller = 0.0 ; // ft

// Rudder bow/stern distances from centerlines. 0.5 is all the way forward/aft.

const double x_rb   = 0.0 * L; // proportional distance to bow (none!)
const double x_rs   = -0.427 * L; // proportional distance to stern

//          Additional hull characteristics          //

```

```

const double H    = 32.0 ; // ft    main hull diameter 32.0 ft

    double revisedBuoyancy, revised_x_B;

    double surface_length = 0.0; // distances (CB to surface) & (CB to nose)
        // along body axis
const double nose_length = (0.90 * L) / 2.0;

const int  THRUSTERS = FALSE; // are cross-body thrusters present?

#endif

//-----//
//      Surge equation of motion coefficients      //

// *** warning: no X_ coefficients found in Roddy reference
const double X_u_dot = 0.0 ; // Linear force coefficients acting in
const double X_v_dot = 0.0 ; // the longitudinal body axis
const double X_w_dot = 0.0 ; // with respect to subscripted
const double X_p_dot = 0.0 ; // motion components
const double X_q_dot = 0.0 ; //
const double X_r_dot = 0.0 ; //

const double X_uu = 0.0 ; //
const double X_vv = 0.0 ; //
const double X_ww = 0.0 ; //
const double X_pp = 0.0 ; //
const double X_qq = 0.0 ; //
const double X_rr = 0.0 ; //

const double X_prop = 0.0 ; // X_prop "constant" no longer applicable

// plane surface drags not given, either 0 or estimated at 1/2 AUV effectiveness
// (same swag factor for other coefficients later)

```

```

const double X_uu_delta_b_delta_b = 0.0 ;// drag due to bow plane
const double X_uu_delta_s_delta_s = -1.018E-2/2.0 ;// drag due to stern plane
const double X_uu_delta_r_delta_r = -1.018E-2/2.0 ;// drag due to rudder

const double X_pr = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double X_wq = 0.0 ;//
const double X_vp = 0.0 ;//
const double X_vr = 0.0 ;//

const double X_uq_delta_bow = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uq_delta_stern = 0.0 ;//
const double X_ur_delta_rudder = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uv_delta_rudder = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uw_delta_bow = 0.0 ;//
const double X_uw_delta_stern = 0.0 ;//

const double X_qdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double X_wdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double X_dsdsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

// we assume 20 knot max speed = 2000 yds/3 min = 2000 ft/min = 33.333 ft/sec
// we assume max rpm is 200

const double speed_per_rpm = 33.333 / 200.0 ;// steady state: 0.16667
// = (33.3 feet/sec) per 200 rpm

const double MAX_RPM = 200.0 ;//

// *** recheck this value:
const double C_d0 = 0.00778 ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
// Sway equation of motion coefficients //

const double Y_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Linear force coefficients acting in
const double Y_v_dot = -0.016186 ;// the athwartships body axis

```

```

const double Y_w_dot = 0.0 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double Y_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double Y_q_dot = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_r_dot = -0.000398 ;// sign change??

const double Y_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_uv = -0.027834 ;//
const double Y_uw = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_up = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_uq = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_ur = 0.005251 ;//

const double Y_uu_delta_rb = 0.0 ;// no bow rudder
const double Y_uu_delta_rs = 1.18E-2/2.0 ;//

const double Y_pq = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double Y_qr = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_vq = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_wp = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_wr = 0.0 ;//
const double Y_vw = 0.0 ;//

const double C_dy = 0.5 ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
// Heave equation of motion coefficients //

const double Z_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Linear force coefficients acting in
const double Z_v_dot = 0.0 ;// the vertical body axis
const double Z_w_dot = -0.014529 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double Z_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double Z_q_dot = -0.000633 ;//
const double Z_r_dot = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_vv = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uw = -0.013910 ;//

```

```

const double Z_up = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uq = -0.007545 ;//
const double Z_rr = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_pp = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_uu_delta_b = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_uu_delta_s = -0.005603 ;//

const double Z_pr = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double Z_vp = 0.0 ;//
const double Z_vr = 0.0 ;//

const double Z_qn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double Z_wn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double Z_dsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

const double C_dz = 0.5 ;// Cross-flow drag

//-----//
// Roll equation of motion coefficients //

const double K_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Angular force coefficient acting
const double K_v_dot = 0.0 ;// about the longitudinal body axis
const double K_w_dot = 0.0 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double K_p_dot = -2.4E-4 ;// motion components
// NPS AUV value used

const double K_q_dot = 0.0 ;//
const double K_r_dot = 0.0 ;//

const double K_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double K_uv = -0.000584 ;// NPS AUV is zero due to no sail.
// SSN/SUBOFF negative due to keel
// SDV-9 positive due to keel
const double K_uw = 0.0 ;//
const double K_up = -5.4E-3 ;// surge-related roll damping drag

```

```

// NPS AUV value used

const double K_uq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_ur = 0.0 ;//

const double K_uu_planes = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double K_pq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_qr = 0.0 ;//
const double K_vq = 0.0 ;//
const double K_wp = 0.0 ;//
const double K_wr = 0.0 ;//
const double K_vw = 0.0 ;//
const double K_prop = 0.0 ;// K_prop "constant" no longer applicable

const double K_pn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

const double K_pp = -2.02E-2 ;// test value for p-squared damping
// static roll damping drag
// NPS AUV value used

const double K_p = K_pp/57.3 ;// estimate based on quadratic term
// (K_pp) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec

//-----//
// Pitch equation of motion coefficients //

const double M_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Angular force coefficient acting
const double M_v_dot = 0.0 ;// about the athwartships body axis
const double M_w_dot = -0.000561 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double M_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double M_q_dot = -0.000860 ;//
const double M_r_dot = 0.0 ;//

const double M_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double M_vv = 0.0 ;//

```

```

const double M_uw = -0.010324 ;//
const double M_pp = 0.0 ;//
const double M_rr = 0.0 ;//

const double M_uq = -0.003702 ;// surge-related pitch damping drag ***

const double M_uu_delta_bow = 0.0;

const double M_uu_delta_stern = - x_rs * Z_uu_delta_s / 2.0;
// note (-) Z_uu_delta_s
// = - 0.058085219

const double M_pr = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double M_vp = 0.0 ;//
const double M_vr = 0.0 ;//
const double M_prop = 0.0 ;// M_prop "constant" no longer applicable

const double M_qn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double M_wn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model
const double M_dsn = 0.0 ;// no longer used in new model

const double M_qq = -7.00E-3 ;// slightly larger than N_rr estimate
// test value for q-squared
// static pitch damping drag
// estimated M_qq ~ K_pp * length / width
// Torsiello ~ 0.005* 7.3' / 10.1" = .005

const double M_q = M_qq / 57.3; // estimate based on quadratic term
// (M_qq) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec

//-----//
// Yaw equation of motion coefficients //

const double N_u_dot = 0.0 ;// Angular force coefficient acting
const double N_v_dot = -0.000396 ;// about the vertical body axis

```

```

const double N_w_dot = 0.0 ;// with respect to subscripted
const double N_p_dot = 0.0 ;// motion components
const double N_q_dot = 0.0 ;//
const double N_r_dot = -0.000897 ;//

const double N_uu = 0.0 ;//
const double N_uv = -0.013648 ;//
const double N_uw = 0.0 ;//
const double N_up = 0.0 ;//
const double N_uq = 0.0 ;//
const double N_ur = -0.004444 ;// surge-related yaw damping drag

// N_uu_delta_rb and N_uu_delta_rs not symmetric due to different moment arms

const double N_uu_delta_rb = 0.0 ;// No bow rudder
const double N_uu_delta_rs = -x_rs * Y_uu_delta_rs / 2.0 ;//

const double N_prop = 0.0 ;// Normally 0.0 yaw moment due to paired
// counter-rotating propellers;
// however N_prop is not zero if propellor rpms are independent
// thus yaw equation of motion now has yaw moments due to propellers
// and N_prop "constant" is no longer applicable

const double N_pq = 0.0 ;// (these aren't in Bahrke thesis model)
const double N_qr = 0.0 ;//
const double N_vq = 0.0 ;//
const double N_wp = 0.0 ;//
const double N_wr = 0.0 ;//
const double N_vw = 0.0 ;//

const double N_rr = -5.48E-3 ;// Torsiello value p.113 adjusted for L^5
// correction; static yaw damping drag
// estimated N_rr ~ M_qq * height/ width
// = .040 * 10.1" / 16.5"
// = 0.005
// Torsiello: 0.005

```

```

// Healey:  N_rr ~ M_qq

// alternate N_rr = 2 * 2# * 1.92' / (rho/2 L^5 * r_max * r_max) => 0.0048473244
//          using r_max = 16 deg/sec Torsiello which is consistent

const double N_r  = N_rr / 57.3; // estimate based on quadratic term
//          (N_rr) equivalent damping at 1 deg/sec

//-----//

// DEFINE THE LENGTH X, BREADTH bb, AND HEIGHT hh TERMS

const int cross_sections = 25;

// we must convert station coordinates (Roddy p. 16) to body coordinates
// center STATION value about zero then rescale to match actual size:

#define STATION_TO_BODY(x) ((x - (20.4167/2.0)) * 14.2917 / 20.4167)

// Now a conversion function to convert B/B_x to feet (max diameter 1.667 ft)
// Note these values will be the same for hh and bb since SUBOFF is a cylinder

#define B_BX_TO_FEET(y) (y * 1.16667)

double xx [cross_sections] = {
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.0),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.1),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.2),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.3),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.4),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.5),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.6),
  STATION_TO_BODY(0.7),
  STATION_TO_BODY(1.0),
  STATION_TO_BODY(2.0),
  STATION_TO_BODY(3.0),

```

```
STATION_TO_BODY(4.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(7.7143),  
STATION_TO_BODY(10.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(15.1429),  
STATION_TO_BODY(16.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(17.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(18.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(19.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.0),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.1),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.2),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.3),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.4),  
STATION_TO_BODY(20.4167)  
};
```

```
double hh [cross_sections] = {  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.29058),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.39396),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.46600),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.52147),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.56627),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.60352),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.63514),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.70744),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.84713),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.94066),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.99282),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.97598),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.81910),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.55025),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.26835),
```

```
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11724),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11243),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.10074),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.07920),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.03178),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000)  
};
```

```
double bb [cross_sections] = {  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.29058),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.39396),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.46600),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.52147),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.56627),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.60352),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.63514),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.70744),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.84713),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.94066),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.99282),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(1.00000),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.97598),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.81910),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.55025),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.26835),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11724),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.11243),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.10074),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.07920),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.03178),  
B_BX_TO_FEET(0.00000)  
};
```

```
#undef STATION_TO_BODY(x)
```

```
#undef B_BX_TO_FEET(y)
```

```
//-----//
```

```
#endif // UUVMODEL_H
```



## APPENDIX C - OBTAINING NPSNET SOURCE CODE

### The NPSNET Email Addresses

For general code questions, concerns, comments, requests for distributions and documentation, and bug reports, email [npsnet@cs.nps.navy.mil](mailto:npsnet@cs.nps.navy.mil).

To contact principal investigators, receive overall research project information and funding, or request demonstrations, email [npsnet-info@cs.nps.navy.mil](mailto:npsnet-info@cs.nps.navy.mil).

### Anonymous FTP Archives

A number of sites maintain archives of documents and software that are generally available. The procedure is to FTP to these sites, using the name "anonymous" with a password of "guest" or your email address, as prompted. The following sites are known to us to have information relevant to NPSNET, computer graphics, or VR.

#### Site Host Directory Notes

NPS [cs.nps.navy.mil pub/barham](ftp://cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/barham) Retrieve README for instructions on obtaining NPSNET.

SGI [sgigate.sgi.com pub/Performer](ftp://sgigate.sgi.com/pub/Performer) Performer stuff.

ISI [ftp.isi.edu mbone/faq.txt](ftp://ftp.isi.edu/mbone/faq.txt) is the MBONE FAQ.

IST [tiig.ist.ucf.edu public/DIS](ftp://tiig.ist.ucf.edu/public/DIS) stuff.

XEROX-PARC [parcftp.xerox.com pub/net-research](ftp://parcftp.xerox.com/pub/net-research) Multicast software for the MBONE; MBONE maps.

### World-Wide Web

The WWW is a collection of sites that make information available through use of browsers like Mosaic or Netscape. Following are the sites we know of that have information relevant to NPSNET, computer graphics, or VR.

The NPSNET Research Group home page

URL: [ftp://cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/NPSNET\\_MOSAIC/npsnet\\_mosaic.html](ftp://cs.nps.navy.mil/pub/NPSNET_MOSAIC/npsnet_mosaic.html)

Notes: Relevant NPSNET documents, including papers and theses produced at NPS, which are available for downloading.

NPSNET Distribution Information

URL: <http://cs.nps.navy.mil/research/npsnet/distribution/page.html>

Notes: Info on NPSNET distribution and documentation

## LIST OF REFERENCES

Barham, Paul T., Zyda, Michael J., Pratt, David R., Locke, John, Falby, John, "NPSNET-IV: A DIS-Compatible, Object-Oriented Software Architecture for Virtual Environments," unpublished paper, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, October 1994.

Brutzman, Donald P., *A Virtual World for an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle*, Dissertation, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, March 1994. Available at <http://www.stl.nps.navy.mil/~brutzman/dissertation>.

Covington, James H., "Implementing an Open Ocean Theater in NPSNET", Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, March 1994. Available at <http://www.nps.navy.mil/research/NPSNET/publications/covington.thesis.ps.Z>.

Dalton, John H., Secretary of the Navy, "Forward...From the Sea", Doctrine Policy Paper, Navy Department, Washington D.C., September 1994.

Healey, Antony J., "Dynamics of Marine Vehicles", unpublished course notes Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California 1993.

Hearn, John H., "NPSNET: Physically Based, Autonomous, Naval Surface Agents", Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, September 1993.

Jurewicz, T., "A Real Time Autonomous Underwater Vehicle Dynamic Simulator", Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, June 1989.

McMahan, Christopher B., "NPSNET-IV: An Object-Oriented Interface for a Three-Dimensional Virtual World," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, December 1994.

Nobles, Joseph and Garrova, James, "Virtual Shipboard Navigational Trainer" Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, June 1995.

Roddy, Robert F., "Investigation of the Stability and Control Characteristics of Several Configurations of the DARPA SUBOFF Model (DTRC MODEL 5470) from Captive-Model Experiments," Ship Hydromechanics Departmental Report DTRC/

SHD-1298-08, David Taylor Research Center, Bethesda, Maryland, September 1990.

Sharpe, Richard, *Jane's Fighting Ships*, Jane's Information Group Ltd., Alexandria, Virginia, 1993.

Schmidt, Dennis A., "*NPSNET: A Graphical Based Expert System To Model P-3 Aircraft Interaction With Submarines and Ships*," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, June 1993.

Schneiderman, Ben, *Designing The User Interface: Strategies For Effective Human-Computer Interaction*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1992.

Sullivan, J., Frost, D., *U.S. Military Simulation and Training Markets*, Commercial Survey, Frost & Sullivan, Mountain View, California, January 1993.

Young, Roy D., "*NPSNET: A Real-Time 3D Interactive Virtual World*," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, September 1993.

Zehner, Stanley N., "*Modeling and Simulation Of A Deep Submergence Rescue Vehicle (DSRV) And Its Networked Application*," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, June 1993.

Zeswitz, S. R., "*NPSNET: Integration of Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) Protocol for Communication Architecture and Information Interchange*", Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California September 1993. Available at <http://www.nps.navy.mil/research/NPSNET/publications/Steve.Zeswitz.thesis.ps.Z>

Zyda, Michael J., Jurewicz, Thomas A., Floyd, Charles A., and McGhee, Robert B., "Physically Based Modeling of Rigid Body Motion in a Real-Time Graphical Simulator", unpublished paper, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, September 1991.

Zyda, Michael J., Pratt, David R., Kelleher, Kristen M., *1994 Annual Report for the NPSNET Research Group*, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California 1994. Available at <http://www.nps.navy.mil/research/NPSNET/1994.NPSNET.Annual.Report.ps.Z>.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1. Defense Technical Information Center ..... 2  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
2. Dudley Knox Library ..... 2  
Code 52  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943-5101
3. Dr Ted Lewis, Chairman, Code CS ..... 2  
Computer Science Department  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
4. Dr Michael Zyda, Code CS/ZY ..... 1  
Computer Science Department  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
5. Dr Don Brutzman, Code UW/BR ..... 1  
Undersea Warfare Academic Group  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
6. Mr John Falby, Code CS CS/FA ..... 1  
Computer Science Department  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
7. Dr James Eagle, Code UW ..... 1  
Chair, Undersea Warfare Academic Group  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943
8. CDR Michael J. Holden, USN ..... 1  
Computer Science Department  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93943

9. Dr. Anthony J. Healey, Code ME ME/HY ..... 1  
 Mechanical Engineering Department  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943
  
10. Dr. SeHung Kwak, Code CS CS/KW ..... 1  
 Computer Science Department  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943
  
11. Dr. David Marco, Code ME ME/MA ..... 1  
 Mechanical Engineering Department  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943
  
12. Dr. Robert B. McGhee, Code CS CS/MZ ..... 1  
 Computer Science Department  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943
  
13. Dr. Xiaoping Yun,, Code EE EE/YU..... 1  
 Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering  
 Naval Postgraduate School  
 Monterey, CA 93943
  
14. Commander, Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division ..... 1  
 1176 Howell Street  
 Attn: Erik Chaum, Code 2251, Building 1171-3  
 Combat Systems Engineering and Analysis Laboratory (SEAL)  
 Newport, Rhode Island 02841-1708
  
15. Dr. James Bellingham ..... 1  
 Undersea Vehicles Laboratory, MIT Sea Grant College Program  
 292 Main Street  
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
 Cambridge Massachusetts 02141
  
16. Dr. Richard Blidberg, Director..... 1  
 Marine Systems Engineering Laboratory, Marine Science Center  
 Northeastern University  
 East Point, Nahant, Massachusetts 01908

17. Dr. Brian S. Bourgeois, Code 7442 ..... 1  
 Electronic/Ssystem Engineer, Advanced Sensor and Survey  
 Naval Research Laboratory, Mapping Charting and Geodesy Branch  
 Stennis Space Center, Mississippi 39529-5004
18. Tom Curtin ..... 1  
 Office of Naval Research (ONR)  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, Virginia 22217
19. Dr. Harold Hawkins ..... 1  
 Manager, Perseptual Science  
 Office of Naval Research (ONR)  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, Virginia 22217
20. Dr. Teresa McMullen ..... 1  
 Office of Naval Research (ONR), Code 342PS  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, Virginia 22217
21. Harold Hawkins ..... 1  
 Office of Naval Research (ONR)  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, Virginia 22217
22. Terry Allard ..... 1  
 Office of Naval Research (ONR)  
 800 North Quincy Street  
 Arlington, Virginia 22217
23. Fred Brooks ..... 1  
 University of North Carolina  
 Department of Computer Science  
 209 Sitterson Hall  
 Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3175
24. Dr. Larry Rosenblum ..... 1  
 Director, VR Systems and Research  
 Code 5580  
 Naval Research Laboratory  
 Washington DC 20375-5000

- 25. Dr. David Zeltzer ..... 1  
Sensory Communication Group  
Research Laboratory of Electronics  
Massachusetts Institute of Techonolgy  
50 Vassar Street, Room 36-763  
Cambridge Massachusetts 02139
  
- 26. Art Spero ..... 1  
Naval Sea Systems Command, Code PEO - Submarines  
2531 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Arlington, Virginia 22242-5160
  
- 27. Lt Daniel K. Bacon. .... 1  
12430 Rue Cheaumont  
San Diego,CA 92131