


Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
NBDL-86R007

**DYNAMIC VARIABLE AND TEMPORARY INJURY CORRELATION
FOR HUMAN HEAD AND NECK IMPACT EXPERIMENTS**

Brian W. Wamsley, Alvah C. Bittner, Jr., Norman S. Gilbert, Leonard S. Lustick

Naval Biodynamics Laboratory
P.O. Box 29407
New Orleans, LA 70189-0407




Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for

Naval Medical Research and Development Command
Bethesda, MD 20889-5044

19960215 062

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST
QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY
FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED
A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF
PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

DYNAMIC VARIABLE AND TEMPORARY INJURY CORRELATION FOR HUMAN HEAD AND NECK IMPACT EXPERIMENTS

BRIAN W. WAMSLEY, ALVAH C. BITTNER, JR., NORMAN S. GILBERT,
LEONARD S. LUSTICK

ABSTRACT

The Naval Biodynamics Laboratory (NBDL) has collected a data base describing the head/neck kinematic response of a large number of human subject volunteers to -X, +Y, and -X+Y vector exposures. This paper will present injury-related parameters for the most severe exposures in each vector, together with correlations of these parameters with the medical findings. The parameters presented include axial and shear forces and torques at the occipital condyles, as well as the head injury criterion (HIC number). Moderate ($R = .35$ and $.38$) but highly significant ($p < .025$) correlations were revealed between general (GENFAC) and muscle (MUSFAC) symptom factor variables. These findings encourage continued systematic evaluation of the relationship between the observed injury-related (eg. axial and shear) and medical findings.

INTRODUCTION

The Naval Biodynamics Laboratory (NBDL) has collected a data base describing the head-neck kinematic response of human subject volunteers to -X, +Y, and -X +Y, vector exposures. The goal of this program has been to develop human dynamic and injury response models to impact acceleration as well as to determine the correlation of dynamic responses with physiological effects and injuries. This information is in use for design, construction, and validation of manikins and mathematical models. These products are used to evaluate human protective systems for prevention of casualties from severe impacts (e.g., aircraft crashes and ejections). Thomas, Ewing, Majewski, and Gilbert (1) have previously reported modest correlation between clinical medical effects and sled acceleration and direction. Interestingly, current as well as previous modeling efforts predict that such correlations would be greater, if correlations were made relating "injury-related variables" (eg., maximum shear) with clinical variables (eg., Ewing et al. (2) and Muzzy et al. (3)). This paper will present injury-related parameters for maximum -X,+Y, and -X+Y exposures and their correlations with medical findings .

METHOD

Subjects--The subjects were six Navy enlisted men (ages 19 to 23) who had volunteered for duty as biodynamics research subjects (ie., subjects H131 to H136). They had been selected to be unusually free of skeletal, cardiopulmonary and other medical or psychological conditions which would preclude participation in potentially hazardous environmental research. The subjects were otherwise typical of the general enlisted population. All subjects were recruited, evaluated, and employed in accordance with SECNAV Instruction Series 3900.39 and MANMED Instruction Series 3900.6.



In order to compare subjects at similar points in the anatomy, it is required to define a head anatomical coordinate system and a T(1) anatomical coordinate system (Thomas, (9)). These anthropometric coordinate systems are related to the instrumentation coordinate systems by three-dimensional x-ray anthropometry on each subject (Ewing et al. (5)).

Estimated anthropometric parameters for the subjects are listed in Table 1.

One reference frame for the entire series of experiments is fixed to the laboratory. This is established by first defining a sled coordinate system in which the origin is a benchmark permanently machined into the sled structure. The +X axis is parallel but in the opposite direction to the thrust vector of the accelerator. The +Z axis is parallel to gravity and positive upward and the +Y axis is established so that the axes form an orthogonal, right-hand system. A second frame of reference is fixed to the anatomical axes of the head as illustrated in figure 2. (cf Thomas, (9) for more detail). Both coordinate systems used in this study are right handed where X, Y, and Z axes are taken in that order.

any significant medical symptoms. This information was reported to the physician in charge of the subject's care.

Figure 2 about here
The report of the physician in charge of the subject's care was used to determine if any significant medical symptoms were reported.

Injury-related variables which will be tabularly reported for all runs include maximum and minimum:

>Linear force components (relative to head X, Y, and Z) over a sled run at the head-neck junction (condyles).

A multivectorial sled which was rail mounted on a track and accelerated by a motor drive system, to which the head and neck were mounted.

>Torque components (around the head X, Y, and Z) and resultant forces at the head-neck junction.

These variables will be reported for all runs.

>HIC component and resultant value for window widths of less than 200 streams. A high speed video camera will be used to determine the time course of the head-neck junction.

In addition, plots comparing the time course for these variables for the subjects with the greatest and smallest head mass parameter (H132 and H135) will be provided for illustration of the range of responses. Time courses for these and other selected variables will be graphically provided for a typical subject (H134). Only linear and torque force components will be related to the medical log symptoms by correlational analysis.

RESULTS

Evaluation of the data was conducted in three phases. During the first phase, dynamic variables believed to be injury-related were examined. Medical variables were analyzed during the second phase. The third phase was concerned with correlation of the injury-related variables and medical variables.



Injury-Related Variables.

Injury-related dynamic variables were tabulated and graphically analyzed during this phase of evaluation. Graphical analysis revealed, as might be expected that the injury-related variables tended to increase in magnitude with increasing exposure (G) levels for the three vector directions. Figures 3, 4, and 5 illustrate time courses for respective changes in the resultant force, resultant torque and HIC variables for a range of 10.2 to 15.6 G(-X), 5.3 to 7.0(+Y), and 9.3 to 11.4(-X+Y). It should be pointed out that, occasionally, experienced forces may be substantially greater for a relatively lower sled G level than at higher levels for the same subject (e.g., H132 at 13 vs. 15 G(-x) in Appendix A). The trend toward increasing injury-related magnitudes with increased exposure limits focused our attention toward the higher levels in later analyses.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 about here

Graphical analyses also indicated that individual differences play a role in experienced forces under nominally the same sled force conditions. Figures 6, 7, and 8 show shear and axial forces as well as resultant torque time-courses for subjects with greatest (H135) and least (H132) head mass parameters. Over nominal 15G(-x), 7G(+y) and 10G(-x+y) conditions, the largest headed subject (H132) tended to experience greater stresses than the smallest subject. The larger subject, as will be seen later, also reported greater medical problems post-run. The apparent role of individual differences focused our attention on their importance in later analyses.

Figures 6, 7, and 8 about here

The results of graphical analysis suggested tabulating experienced injury-related variables for the greatest experienced level for each vector and each subject. The appendix contains a portion of this tabulation.

Medical Variables

The medical variables were tabulated and analyzed during the second phase of evaluation. Table 3 provides the basic data by subject and run. Examining this table, it may be noted that some runs were uneventful while other runs resulted in clusters of symptoms (muscle soreness and muscle stiffness tend to go together). It may also be seen that about 30 percent of the impact runs resulted in some significant symptom. The nature and frequency of such findings were similar to that reported by Thomas et al. (1) where significant symptoms were reported for about 40 percent of the runs. This clustering of symptoms suggested condensing the symptoms into "factors" which are more syndrome in character.

Table 3 about here.



A principal factor analysis was conducted on transformations of the variables shown in Table 3. The first four variables (hours of muscle soreness, through hours of backache) were transformed ($\log(x)+1$) to reduce skewness and theoretically enhance linearity. The last variable was coded as "0" or "1" to respectively denote the absence or presence of other symptoms. The transformed variables are subsequently labeled MUSOR, MUSIF, HEAD, BACK, and OTHER for purposes of identification. The analysis resulted in evidence of a strong first factor (syndrome) which accounted for 50.8% of the variance across the symptoms (eigen-value of 2.53). A second factor (syndrome) was also suggested which added an additional 25.4% of the variance (eigen-value of 1.27). For purposes of this investigation, it was decided to consider results for both the one and two factor solutions with the injury-related variables. Table 4 provides the intercorrelation of the medical variables as well as their loadings (correlations) on the derived factors.

TABLE 4 about here.

The factor from the first solution we termed GENALFAC. Those from the second were respectively termed MUSFAC and OTHRFACT. These terms were selected to reflect the relative prominence of general, muscular, and other symptom loadings on the respective factors (cf, Table 4).

Correlation of Medical and Injury-Related Variables

Stepwise regression analysis was applied to each of the three medical factor variables (i.e., GENFAC, MUSFAC and OTHRFACT). Interestingly, prior to the analyses, it had been planned to force specific variables (Maximum Shear, and absolute Axial Forces); however, these were selected from GENFAC and MUSFAC by the stepwise procedure. We also correlated G-level with these factor variables, but maximum shear or axial force showed a higher correlation. For OTHRFACT, the Shear and Axial Variables were unproductive and a broad range of other variables were considered (e.g. Torques at the condyles, etc.). Analyses for GENFAC and MUSFAC represent planned analyses while that for OTHRF was exploratory.

GENFAC.

Regression analysis with the shear and axial variables yielded a significant multiple $R = 0.354$ ($F(2,57) = 4.07, p < .025$). The prediction equation is:

$$\text{GENFAC} = -.7194 + .0027Z + .0028S \quad (1)$$

where Z is the greatest negative axial force (Newtons) and S is the maximum shear (Newtons). The coefficient for Z and S significantly exceeded their respective standard errors ($F(1,57) = 5.20, p < .05$ and $F(1,57) = 7.83, p < .01$). Equation (1) predicts an increasing GENFAC with increasing shear force and lessening of the axial force which tends to hold the head on the neck.



MUSFAC. Regression analysis with the axial and shear variables yielded a significant multiple $R^2 = 0.378$ ($F(2,57) = 4.75, p < .012$). The prediction equation is:

$MUSFAC = -.8435 + .0025Z + .0029S$ and any (2) of the independent variables. The transformed variables are subsequently substituted into equation (1) where Z and S are as defined for equation (1). Again the coefficient for Z and S significantly exceed their respective standard errors ($F(1,57) = 4.44, p < .05$ and $F(1,57) = 8.26, p < .01$). Equation (2) predicts increases in MUSFAC under conditions similar to that of (1).

OTHRFAC

Initial regression analysis with axial and shear forces yielded no results of consequence. Subsequent stepwise analysis was only relatively more productive; the best single predictor was the maximum torque about the X-axis ($R = .18, p > .16$) which even in conjunction with a second predictor (greatest negative torque about the S-axis) yielded no improvement ($R = 0.236, p > .19$). The resulting OTHRAC prediction equation weight for the torque variables were in the direction of increasing with increasing torque magnitude.

DISCUSSION

This report considered the relationship between variables believed to be injury-related and their correlation with medical findings over (-X), (+Y) and (-X+Y) impacts. Initial analyses focused upon relationships within the medical variables. These focused our attention on the relationship between the injury-related and medical factor variables. Our discussion of these results will follow this pattern of analysis and will precede conclusions.

Injury-Related Variables.

The graphical analyses of these variables revealed two relationships. First and not unexpectedly, the injury-related variables tended to increase with the nominal magnitudes for each vector direction exposure (cf, Figure 3, 4 and 5). This focused attention on the nominally most severe runs for each vector direction in the NBDL database.

The second finding of the graphical analyses was the apparent relationship between the injury-related criteria and anthropometry (i.e., head mass) seen in Figures 6, 7 and 8. Subject H132 with the largest head mass experienced larger forces and torques than the smallest (H135). These subjects also differed on other variables in a similar pattern (e.g. neck length) and consequently the observed systemic differences can not be uniquely ascribed to head mass. (However, the report by Muzzy et al. (3) certainly indicates a strong relationship between head mass and resultant forces and torques.) Interestingly subject H134, with an intermediate head mass reported no adverse effects and had a particularly short neck.



Currently, we are initiating correlation of variables across subjects in an effort to be more definitive. Certainly, these results point to consistent individual differences which are embedded in our later analyses.

Medical Findings

and Summary of the medical findings revealed apparent clusters of reported symptoms (cf, Table 3). These clusters could reflect subject tendencies to complain; subject differences in "injury"; or a combination of both. The tendency for subject H132 (largest head mass) to report more often than H135 (smallest) did give some initial support for the view that actual injury differences are involved. Factor analysis was performed to identify and condense the symptoms prior to correlation analyses with the injury-related variables. Table 4 standardized the correlation between the transformed medical variables and factors which resulted from this analysis.

Regression Analyses

The correlational analyses relating the medical factors and injury-related variables provided strong evidence of their relationship. Both the GENFAC (general) and the MUSFAC (muscle) factor variables exhibited moderate but highly significant ($p < .025$ and $.012$ respectively) correlation with the same shear and axial forces experienced by the subject ($r = .35$ and $.38$). The prediction equations for these two variables were also similar equation (cf, equations (1) and (2)). These similarities, were in part not unexpected as GENFAC may theoretically be viewed as a comparison between MUSFAC and OTHRFACT. However, the correlation between MUSFAC and GENFAC was relatively higher than expected ($r = .86$). In any case, the relationship between the injury-related dynamic variables and the medical reports is supported by the results in the paper. The choice of symptom cluster does remain open for future work.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this report encourage continued systematic evaluation of the relationship between observed injury-related variables and medical findings. Certainly, the results of this report indicate a moderate but highly significant correlation between reported symptoms and the maximum shear and greatest negative axial forces. Based on this report, additional correlation analyses relating individual differences in anthropometric variables (head and neck size) with the injury-related and medical variables appears a fruitful direction for future work. Perhaps equally productive might be correlation of such variables and injuries experienced by civilian and military personnel in impact environments. We encourage other researchers to focus on non-permanent symptoms following impacts and their relationship with subject variables.



REFERENCES

1. Thomas, D. J., Ewing, C. L., Majewski, P.L. & Gilbert, N. S. Clinical-Medical Effects of Head and Neck Response During Biodynamic Stress Experiments. AGARD Conference, Lisbon, 22-26 Oct 1979. Proceedings No. 267, 7 Rue Ancelle, 92200 Neuilly Sur Seine, France, Mar 1980, 15:1-15. Summary of the medical findings revealed by video studies of reported symptoms (cf. Table 2). These clusters could reflect individual responses to common subject differences in head/neck response.
2. Ewing, C. L., Thomas, D. J., Lustick, L. S., Muzzy, W. H., Willems, G. C. & Majewski, P. L. The Effects of Duration, Rate of Onset and Peak Sled Acceleration on Dynamic Response of the Human Head and Neck. Proceedings, 20th Stapp Car Crash Conference, Society of Automotive Engineers Transactions, 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096, 1976, 3-41. Clinical medical variables and response video recordings.
3. Muzzy, W. H., Bittner, A. C. Seemann, M. R., Willems, G. and Lustick, L. S. The Effects of Mass Distribution Parameter Changes on Head/Neck Dynamic Responses (12SC15) Submitted for the Proceeding 30th Stapp Car Crash Conference, 1986.
4. Thomas, D. J., Majewski, P.L., Ewing, C. L., & Gilbert, N.S. Medical-qualification procedures for hazardous duty aeromedical research. AGARD Conference Proceedings No. 231, Neuilly-Sur-Seine, France: AGARD, 1978. A-3: 1-13. Summary of the medical findings revealed by video studies of reported symptoms (cf. Table 2). These clusters could reflect individual responses to common subject differences in head/neck response.
5. C. L. Ewing, D. J. Thomas, L. Lustick, E. Becker, G. Willems and W. H. Muzzy, III, "The Effect of the Initial Position of the Head and Neck on the Dynamic Response of the Human Head and Neck to -Gx Impact Acceleration." Submitted to the Nineteenth Stapp Car Crash Conference, San Diego, CA: Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., 1975.
6. Seemann, M. R. & Lustick L. S. Combination of Accelerometer and Photographic Derived Kinematic Variables Defining Three Dimensional Rigid Body Motion. Proceedings, 25th Annual SPIE International Symposium and Instrument Disply, San Diego, CA 24-28 Aug 81.
7. E. Becker and G. Willems, "An Experimental Validated 3-D Inertial Tracking Package for Application in Biodynamic Research." Submitted to the Nineteenth Stapp Car Crash Conference, San Diego, CA: Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc., 1975.
8. E. Becker, "A Photographic Data System for Determination of 3-Dimensional Effects on Multi-axes Impact Acceleration on Living Humans." Submitted to Automotive Safety Seminar of the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, 1974.



Table 1. Subject Anthropometric Variables

Subject	Age (Yrs)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	Head Circumference (cm)	Head Length (cm)
H131	20	167.0	67.7	57.5	19.6
H132	21	172.9	80.0	57.9	19.7
H133	20	161.7	61.4	56.1	19.4
H134	20	178.3	75.5	56.6	19.4
H135	23	171.6	69.1	53.5	17.9
H136	19	185.4	89.1	56.4	19.4

Table 2. HEAD MASS PARAMETERS

SUBJECT	MASS* (gm)	CENTER OF MASS** (cm)			PRINCIPAL MOMENTS** OF INERTIAL (Kg-cm(2))		
		X	Y	Z	X'	Y'	Z'
H131	4449	0.84	-.06	3.17	219.8	235.0	152.9
H132	4523	0.84	-.06	3.18	225.9	241.5	157.2
H133	4170	0.82	-.05	3.10	197.3	211.0	137.3
H134	4278	0.83	-.05	3.13	205.9	220.1	143.3
H135	3791	0.80	-.05	3.00	168.3	180.0	117.1
H136	4235	0.83	-.05	3.12	202.4	216.4	140.9

*Mass estimated from head circumference and length (Kaleps et al., 1984)

**Center of mass and principal moments estimated via isometric analysis (Bittner, 1986)



RUN NUMBER	SUBJECT NUMBER	G level	MUSCLE SORENESS (HOURS)	MUSCLE STIFFNESS (HOURS)	HEADACHE (HOURS)	BACKACHE (HOURS)	OTHE (YES/N)
3908	H131	10.2G(-x)					
3948	H131	13.7G(-x)					
3987	H131	14.5G(-x)					
3990	H131	15.4G(-x)					
4089	H131	5.1G(+y)					
4109	H131	6.2G(+y)					
4124	H131	7.2G(+y)					
4242	H131	7.3G(-x+y)					YES*
4251	H131	10.2G(-x+y)					YES
3989	H132	10.3G(-x)	59	59			
3950	H132	13.6G(-x)	68	68			
3957	H132	14.7G(-x)	33	33	7		
3982	H132	15.6G(-x)	49	49	4		
4090	H132	5.1G(+y)	67	67		67	
4110	H132	6.1G(+y)	70	70			
4128	H132	7.1G(+y)	47				
4261	H132	9.0G(-x+y)					
4297	H132	10.0G(-x+y)					
4306	H132	11.1G(-x+y)	48		33	48	YES*
3998	H133	10.2G(-x)					
3951	H133	13.4G(-x)					
3963	H133	14.5G(-x)					
3986	H133	15.6G(-x)					
4093	H133	5.1G(+y)					
4111	H133	6.1G(+y)					
4125	H133	7.2G(+y)					
4236	H133	7.3G(-x+y)	44	44	6		
4240	H133	9.1G(-x+y)	95	95	2	11	
3993	H134	10.2G(-x)					
3961	H134	13.4G(-x)					
3968	H134	14.3G(-x)					
3983	H134	15.6G(-x)					
4097	H134	5.0G(+y)					
4112	H134	6.1G(+y)					
4126	H134	7.1G(+y)					
4264	H134	9.3G(-x+y)					
4298	H134	10.1G(-x+y)					
4307	H134	11.4G(-x+y)					

* two premature ventricular contractions after impact.
 ** right bundle branch block for three complexes impact
 *** felt "stunned" after impact, complained of dizziness for 2 days



RUN NUMBER	SUBJECT NUMBER	G level	MUSCLE SORENESS (HOURS)	MUSCLE STIFFNESS (HOURS)	HEADACHE (HOURS)	BACKACHE (HOURS)	OTHE (YES/N)
3916	H135	10.3G(-x)					
3955	H135	13.6G(-x)		18			
3965	H135	14.6G(-x)					
3970	H135	15.6G(-x)					
4095	H135	5.2G(+y)					
4114	H135	6.1G(+y)					
4131	H135	7.3G(+y)	44	44			
4314	H135	9.1G(-x+y)					
4316	H135	10.1G(-x+y)					
4918	H136	10.2G(-x)		20			
3953	H136	13.3G(-x)	33	33			
3962	H136	14.1G(-x)	47	47			
4098	H136	5.1G(+)			47	47	
4142	H136	6.0G(+y)					YES*
4153	H136	7.1G(+y)					
4247	H136	7.1G(-x+y)					
4263	H136	9.2G(-x+y)	240				


**** one premature ventricular contraction 22 seconds after impact



TABLE 4. MEDICAL VARIABLE INTERCORRELATIONS AND FACTOR LOADINGS

MEDICAL VARIABLES					ONE FACTOR SOLUTION	TWO FACTOR SOLUTION	
	MUSOR	MUSIF	HEAD	BACK	OTHER	FACTOR 1	FACTOR 2
					(GENFAC)	(MUSFAC)	(OTHRFAC)
MUSOR	1.000						
MUSIF	.800	1.000			.856	.901	.169
HEAD	.498	.412	1.000		.775	.929	-.032
BACK	.402	.286	.588	1.000	.797	.549	.629
OTHER	.046	-.114	.250	.289	1.000	.403	.715
					.232	-.232	.823

RESPIRATORY VENTILATION CONTRACTION IN SECONDARY ASTHMA







Figure 1, Illustration of the Restraint System as implemented on Subject H134.





**FIGURE 2. HEAD AND T1 ANATOMICAL
COORDINATE SYSTEMS**



Figure 3. Resultant Force Time-Courses Across -X, +Y, And -X+Y
Vector Directions And Selected Accelerations For H134

COORDINATE





RESULTANT FORCE FOR MINUS X SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX3993 R JFORE	H00134 10.70
LX3991 R JFORE	H00134 13.40G
LX3998 R JFORE	H00134 14.31G
LX3983 R JFORE	H00134 15.58G





Fig 39





RESULTANT FORCE FOR PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX4097 R.J.FORE.	H00134 5.02G
LX4112 R.J.FORE.	H00134 6.11G
LX4126 R.J.FORE.	H00134 7.08G




Fig 36



RESULTANT FORCE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX1264 R-JEONE	H00134 0.270
LX1298 R-JEONE	H00134 10.150
LX1307 R-JEONE	H00134 11.440




Fig 3C



Figure 4. Resultant Torque Time-Courses Across -X, +Y and -X+Y
Vector Directions and Selected Accelerations For H134



RESULTANT TORQUE FOR MINUS X SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX3963	RJTORG H00134 10.178
LX3961	RJTORG H00134 13.406
LX3968	RJTORG H00134 14.316
LX3983	RJTORG H00134 15.586




Fig 49



RESULTANT TORQUE FOR PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND:	
LX4097	RJTORQ H00134 5.02G
LX4112	RJTORQ H00134 6.11G
LX4126	RJTORQ H00134 7.08G




Fig 46





RESULTANT TORQUE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y BLEED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX4264	RJTORQ ADD134 0.276
LX4298	RJTORQ ADD134 10.136
LX4307	RJTORQ ADD134 11.446




Fig 4C



Figure 5. Head Injury Criteria (HIC) Time-Courses Across -X, +Y and -X+Y Vector Directions and Selected Accelerations For H134.





HEAD INJURY CRITERIA FOR MINUS X SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX3993 HICAA	H00134 10.17G
LX3961 HICAA	H00134 13.40G
LX3968 HICAA	H00134 14.31G
LX3983 HICAA	H00134 15.58G




Fig 59





HEAD INJURY CRITERIA FOR PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND

LX4097_HICAAA	H00134	5.02G
LX4112_HISAAA	H00134	5.11G
LX4126_HICAAA	H00134	7.08G





Fig 56





HEAD INJURY CRITERIA FOR MINUS X 1 PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND	
LX4284 HIC95	H00134 9.270
LX4289 HIC95	H00134 10.136
LX4307 HIC95	H00134 11.446



7 FIG 5C



Figure 6. Shear Force Time-Course Comparisons for Subjects with Large (H132) and Small (H135) Heads Across Selected -X, +Y, and -X+Y Impacts





SHEAR FORCE FOR MINUS X SLED DIRECTION

LEGEND
LX3982 SHFOREX H00132 15.61G
LX3970 SHFOREX H00135 15.55G




Fig 69



SHEAR FORCE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX4297 SHFOREX H00132 10.03G
LX4316 SHFOREX H00135 10.07G




Fig 6C

Figure 7. Axial Force Time-Course Comparisons for Subjects with Large (H132) and Small (H135) Heads Across Selected -X, +Y and -X+Y Impacts





AXIAL FORCE FOR MINUS X SLED DIRECTION

LEGEND
LX3982 F.JCOXS H00132 15.61G
LX3970 F.JCOXS H00135 15.55G




Fig 7a





AXIAL FORCE FOR PLUS Y SLED DIRECTION

LEGEND
 LX4128 FJ00XS H00132...7.14G
 LX4131 FJ00XS H00135...7.25G




Fig 7b





AXIAL FORCE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX4297 FJCXS H00132 10.03G
LX4316 FJCXS H00135 10.07G




Fig 7c



AXIAL FORCE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX1297 FJCOXS H00132 10.03G
LX1316 FJCOXS H00135 10.07G




Figure 8. Resultant Torque Time-Course Comparisons for Subjects with Large (H132) and Small (H135) Heads Across -X, +Y and -X+Y Impacts





RESULTANT TORQUE FOR MINUS X SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX3982 RJTORQ H00132 15.61G
LX3970 RJTORQ H00135 15.55G




Fig 89





RESULTANT TORQUE FOR PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX4128 RJTORO H00132 7.14G
LX4131 RJTORO H00135 7.25G





Fig 8b



RESULTANT TORQUE FOR MINUS X : PLUS Y SLED RUNS

LEGEND
LX4297 R.J.TORO HQ0132 10.03G
LX4316 R.J.TORO HQ0135 10.076



File DC



APPENDIX

SUBJECT (H131-H136) INJURY-RELATED VARIABLE SUMMARY TABLES FOR
SELECTED -X, +Y, AND -X+Y IMPACT ACCELERATIONS AT NBDL



SUBJECT H00131

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 4.449 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0084 Y = -0.0006 Z = +0.0317 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.02198 2 = +0.02350 3 = +0.01529

Principal Axis Matrix	Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.
+0.82900 +0.00000 +0.55920	+0.019887 +0.000000 +0.003101
+0.00000 +1.00000 +0.00000	+0.000000 +0.023510 +0.000000
-0.55920 +0.00000 +0.82900	+0.003101 +0.000000 +0.017381

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

	10 G-Level Run LX3908		13 G-Level Run LX3948		14 G-Level Run LX3987		15 G-Level Run LX3990	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	140.59	-711.44	120.85	-828.81	139.87	-1058.48	127.16	-982.90
Force Y-Axis	74.12	-76.47	26.99	77.77	56.72	-93.02	38.80	-131.41
Force Z-Axis	114.50	-404.53	44.34	-621.00	44.38	-721.15	45.03	-709.69
Force Result	788.51	4.63	980.34	15.66	1225.57	11.25	1180.26	14.98

	10 G-Level Run LX3993		13 G-Level Run LX3961		14 G-Level Run LX3968 ⁸⁷		15 G-Level Run LX3983 ⁹⁰	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	8.66	-6.95	6.70	-2.49	7.56	-4.38	10.90	-3.77
Torque Y-Axis	27.48	-45.81	11.48	-51.92	13.69	-58.89	13.10	-55.22
Torque Z-Axis	1.44	-2.96	0.89	-1.58	3.28	-3.55	2.56	-2.71
Torque Result	45.81	0.41	51.93	0.09	58.90	0.10	56.30	0.17

	10 G-Level Run LX3993		13 G-Level Run LX3961		14 G-Level Run LX3968 ⁸⁷		15 G-Level Run LX3983 ⁹⁰	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	47.77	80.00	84.55	76.50	114.03	75.00	112.08	74.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.03	150.50	0.04	160.00	0.16	93.00	0.24	91.00
HIC Z-Axis	9.33	61.50	14.81	53.50	19.79	47.50	21.08	44.00
Resultant HIC	65.33	114.00	112.04	94.50	153.53	83.50	155.58	83.00



SUBJECT H00131
 *** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

DDI

	5 G-Level Run LX4089		6 G-Level Run LX4109		6 G-Level Run LX4138		7 G-Level Run LX4124	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	43.83	-213.44	63.95	-218.45	58.28	-192.78	50.69	-190.52
Force Y-Axis	412.69	-46.53	400.74	-69.48	403.64	-78.89	403.69	-88.93
Force Z-Axis	54.85	-198.98	53.97	-309.86	75.07	-241.51	69.86	-323.39
Force Result	440.67	39.02	473.42	41.12	443.29	43.57	494.31	39.76

	5 G-Level Run LX4089		6 G-Level Run LX4109		6 G-Level Run LX4138		7 G-Level Run LX4124	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	2.67	-32.58	4.32	-32.48	6.33	-35.00	7.09	-33.13
Torque Y-Axis	7.09	-11.98	7.10	-11.02	6.33	-11.24	8.92	-11.28
Torque Z-Axis	9.57	-1.86	11.70	-2.91	12.67	-3.13	12.95	-4.86
Torque Result	35.79	0.56	35.33	0.07	36.78	.71	35.41	0.25

	5 G-Level Run LX4089		6 G-Level Run LX4109		6 G-Level Run LX4138		7 G-Level Run LX4124	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	11.95	94.00	12.65	94.00	10.85	97.50	13.02	84.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.24	129.00	0.20	130.00	0.52	73.00	0.41	68.00
HIC Z-Axis	1.19	59.50	2.59	64.00	3.78	67.00	2.66	60.00
Resultant HIC	15.28	95.00	17.85	101.50	16.92	107.50	18.09	94.00

*** Minus X / Plus Y ***

	7 G-Level Run LX4242		10 G-Level Run LX4251	
	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	6.81	-395.81	98.05	-700.19
Force Y-Axis	274.63	-2.14	394.24	-81.61
Force Z-Axis	51.17	-155.54	42.81	-467.41
Force Result	479.87	22.17	877.93	21.56

	7 G-Level Run LX4242		10 G-Level Run LX4251	
	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	0.20	-20.71	6.91	-28.93
Torque Y-Axis	0.76	-27.23	7.37	-44.60
Torque Z-Axis	7.71	-2.34	12.52	-5.17
Torque Result	31.48	0.17	51.52	0.35

	7 G-Level Run LX4242		10 G-Level Run LX4251	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	15.87	104.00	55.08	69.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.13	77.00	2.15	54.00
HIC Z-Axis	3.50	67.50	13.18	57.50
Resultant HIC	22.42	113.00	83.28	106.50

NBT



SUBJECT H00132

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 4.523 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0084 Y = -0.0006 Z = +0.0318 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.02259 2 = +0.02415 3 = +0.01572

Principal Axis Matrix			Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.		
+0.82900	+0.00000	+0.55920	+0.020440	+0.000000	+0.003185
+0.00000	+1.00000	+0.00000	+0.000000	+0.024150	+0.000000
-0.55920	+0.00000	+0.82900	+0.003185	+0.000000	+0.017867

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

		10 G-Level Run LX3989		13 G-Level Run LX3950		14 G-Level Run LX3957		15 G-Level Run LX3982	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force	X-Axis	55.43	-691.57	118.72	-1535.00	110.60	-1199.90	143.99	-1395.33
Force	Y-Axis	11.89	-88.28	64.71	-194.54	45.75	-177.12	23.92	-214.45
Force	Z-Axis	80.33	-317.61	42.95	-983.66	44.89	-629.76	44.56	-801.69
Force	Result	750.09	22.59	1823.22	4.61	1252.74	16.66	1514.52	8.89

		10 G-Level Run LX3989		13 G-Level Run LX3950		14 G-Level Run LX3957		15 G-Level Run LX3982	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque	X-Axis	6.39	-0.86	11.19	-15.49	7.80	-3.22	11.73	-6.22
Torque	Y-Axis	4.54	-39.89	18.17	-68.34	11.66	-56.12	13.58	-75.11
Torque	Z-Axis	0.62	-3.00	7.42	-4.79	2.59	-5.25	1.23	-4.15
Torque	Result	40.37	0.10	68.58	0.11	56.59	0.34	75.71	0.11

		10 G-Level HIC nbr Width Run LX3989		13 G-Level HIC nbr Width Run LX3950		14 G-Level HIC nbr Width Run LX3957		15 G-Level HIC nbr Width Run LX3982	
HIC	X-Axis	40.62	81.50	93.49	72.50	118.32	74.50	112.06	65.00
HIC	Y-Axis	0.02	184.00	0.24	187.00	0.11	212.00	0.08	66.50
HIC	Z-Axis	6.25	75.50	16.61	19.50	13.42	41.00	29.03	41.00
Resultant	HIC	50.01	94.50	126.49	83.50	146.99	81.00	167.47	83.00



SUBJECT H00132
 *** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

	5 G-Level Run LX4090		6 G-Level Run LX4110		7 G-Level Run LX4128	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	42.26	-178.27	35.75	-315.75	60.02	-287.66
Force Y-Axis	291.58	-19.82	414.47	-89.96	392.60	-138.20
Force Z-Axis	77.41	-168.80	73.51	-320.10	101.81	-301.20
Force Result	343.08	38.09	539.94	28.51	495.36	44.30

	5 G-Level Run LX4090		6 G-Level Run LX4110		7 G-Level Run LX4128	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	1.92	-19.70	7.49	-29.34	10.84	-27.67
Torque Y-Axis	3.46	-8.27	5.56	-13.79	5.33	-15.05
Torque Z-Axis	8.00	-1.32	11.35	-2.96	13.85	-3.81
Torque Result	22.49	0.23	33.73	0.27	32.89	0.26

	5 G-Level Run LX4090		6 G-Level Run LX4110		7 G-Level Run LX4128	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	5.94	124.00	14.78	101.00	12.53	94.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.09	214.50	0.23	26.50	0.13	216.00
HIC Z-Axis	1.74	80.00	2.01	54.00	3.69	61.00
Resultant HIC	9.01	137.50	19.71	104.00	18.77	104.50

*** Minus X / Plus Y ***

	7 G-Level Run LX4261		10 G-Level Run LX4297		11 G-Level Run LX4306	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	26.95	-568.61	64.44	-752.94	31.41	-713.83
Force Y-Axis	299.74	-60.54	311.01	-104.52	341.89	-74.08
Force Z-Axis	44.64	-202.31	98.66	-295.38	45.72	-301.87
Force Result	650.44	14.79	848.15	17.48	834.00	26.42

	7 G-Level Run LX4261		10 G-Level Run LX4297		11 G-Level Run LX4306	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	4.35	-20.38	6.62	-18.26	4.00	-21.82
Torque Y-Axis	2.03	-35.51	5.30	-45.39	3.26	-46.70
Torque Z-Axis	8.88	-1.31	10.91	-4.28	10.06	-2.59
Torque Result	40.70	0.46	49.07	0.52	51.65	0.62

	7 G-Level Run LX4261		10 G-Level Run LX4297		11 G-Level Run LX4306	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	36.62	103.50	45.65	77.00	50.09	84.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.46	44.00	1.22	32.50	0.57	28.00
HIC Z-Axis	3.15	56.50	7.04	53.50	8.83	53.00
Resultant HIC	45.72	108.50	60.14	95.00	66.50	95.50



SUBJECT H00133

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 4.170 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0082 Y = -0.0005 Z = +0.0310 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.01973 2 = +0.02110 3 = +0.01373

Principal Axis Matrix			Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.		
+0.82900	+0.00000	+0.55920	+0.017853	+0.000000	+0.002781
+0.00000	+1.00000	+0.00000	+0.000000	+0.021100	+0.000000
-0.55920	+0.00000	+0.82900	+0.002781	+0.000000	+0.015605

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

	10 G-Level Run LX3998		13 G-Level Run LX3951		14 G-Level Run LX3963		15 G-Level Run LX3986	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	96.70	-757.19	96.06	-855.84	115.21	-867.71	53.09	-552.93
Force Y-Axis	34.28	-78.60	70.55	-142.20	85.05	-149.16	26.64	-99.08
Force Z-Axis	40.77	-740.92	40.78	-726.01	40.65	-867.30	83.78	-414.60
Force Result	978.92	4.99	1122.77	11.35	1147.43	10.67	671.05	2.96

	10 G-Level Run LX3998		13 G-Level Run LX3951		14 G-Level Run LX3963		15 G-Level Run LX3986	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	9.22	-2.39	6.14	-4.61	13.39	-8.25	9.22	-2.39
Torque Y-Axis	3.14	-38.31	9.29	-48.99	11.65	-55.96	3.14	-38.31
Torque Z-Axis	1.34	-1.47	4.11	-3.40	3.96	-3.10	1.34	-1.47
Torque Result	39.15	0.15	49.06	0.03	56.19	0.13	39.15	0.15

	10 G-Level Run LX3998		13 G-Level Run LX3951		14 G-Level Run LX3963		15 G-Level Run LX3986	
	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	43.28	97.00	86.99	76.50	96.61	77.50	131.30	77.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.06	73.00	0.06	216.00	0.09	144.00	0.20	77.50
HIC Z-Axis	5.11	62.50	17.29	48.00	15.57	50.50	23.83	41.50
Resultant HIC	55.32	106.50	120.17	94.00	125.77	89.00	177.62	88.50



SUBJECT H00133
 *** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

		5 G-Level Run LX4093		6 G-Level Run LX4111		7 G-Level Run LX4125	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force	X-Axis	43.51	-163.40	27.00	-188.44	24.50	-274.48
Force	Y-Axis	364.71	-34.23	395.26	-51.60	577.36	-108.84
Force	Z-Axis	47.67	-159.00	71.89	-276.20	68.77	-369.02
Force	Result	380.65	40.25	444.90	30.88	647.16	36.46

		5 G-Level Run LX4093		6 G-Level Run LX4111		7 G-Level Run LX4125	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque	X-Axis	2.84	-28.16	3.91	-33.20	8.71	-44.60
Torque	Y-Axis	4.70	-10.12	3.30	-9.25	4.26	-12.65
Torque	Z-Axis	9.38	-0.27	10.32	-1.15	16.68	-2.43
Torque	Result	30.38	0.49	35.42	0.69	49.05	0.64

		5 G-Level Run LX4093		6 G-Level Run LX4111		7 G-Level Run LX4125	
		HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC	X-Axis	11.62	104.50	16.36	97.00	24.85	74.00
HIC	Y-Axis	0.18	148.50	0.25	135.00	0.23	79.50
HIC	Z-Axis	0.63	268.00	1.72	61.50	3.95	44.00
Resultant	HIC	13.74	106.00	21.05	102.50	32.93	78.50

*** Minus X / Plus Y ***

		7 G-Level Run LX4236		10 G-Level Run LX4240	
		Max	Min	Max	Min
Force	X-Axis	3.74	-343.93	11.38	-447.93
Force	Y-Axis	338.00	-8.19	499.11	-39.79
Force	Z-Axis	45.92	-159.02	52.51	-273.00
Force	Result	497.62	213.34	643.11	12.44

		7 G-Level Run LX4236		10 G-Level Run LX4240	
		Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque	X-Axis	1.84	-22.29	2.80	-26.65
Torque	Y-Axis	0.34	-20.87	0.80	-25.14
Torque	Z-Axis	9.18	-0.11	11.45	-0.94
Torque	Result	31.33	0.09	36.51	0.22

		7 G-Level Run LX4236		10 G-Level Run LX4240	
		HIC nbr	Width	HIC nbr	Width
HIC	X-Axis	26.26	107.50	39.86	104.50
HIC	Y-Axis	0.18	45.50	0.33	44.50
HIC	Z-Axis	1.04	115.00	3.98	57.50
Resultant	HIC	29.97	108.50	50.50	106.50



SUBJECT H00134

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 4.278 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0083 Y = -0.0005 Z = +0.0313 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.02059 2 = +0.02201 3 = +0.01433

Principal Axis Matrix			Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.		
+0.82900	+0.00000	+0.55920	+0.018631	+0.000000	+0.002902
+0.00000	+1.00000	+0.00000	+0.000000	+0.022010	+0.000000
-0.55920	+0.00000	+0.82900	+0.002902	+0.000000	+0.016287

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

	10 G-Level Run LX3993		13 G-Level Run LX3961		14 G-Level Run LX3968		15 G-Level Run LX3983	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	28.56	-629.12	81.13	-969.39	92.79	-961.99	100.82	-994.27
Force Y-Axis	20.05	-42.76	30.54	-84.36	57.22	-119.35	67.90	-89.18
Force Z-Axis	46.41	-418.24	41.61	-739.49	43.32	-826.82	42.62	-769.25
Force Result	722.41	14.38	1089.09	+11.57	1114.39	13.86	1182.19	10.44

	10 G-Level Run LX3993		13 G-Level Run LX3961		14 G-Level Run LX3968		15 G-Level Run LX3983	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	3.77	-1.98	5.67	-1.91	7.27	-5.35	7.75	-3.02
Torque Y-Axis	2.06	-39.14	7.09	-47.83	10.04	-53.65	9.23	-55.22
Torque Z-Axis	0.63	-0.68	1.50	-2.65	2.39	-4.18	1.05	-1.71
Torque Result	39.25	+0.05	47.99	+0.05	53.72	+0.02	55.76	0.15

	10 G-Level Run LX3993		13 G-Level Run LX3961		14 G-Level Run LX3968		15 G-Level Run LX3983	
	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	46.27	80.00	96.30	67.50	93.33	67.00	137.66	71.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.08	89.00	0.64	200.50	0.11	121.00	0.22	175.00
HIC Z-Axis	10.54	65.00	18.36	54.50	25.43	49.50	25.01	43.00
Resultant HIC	66.03	103.00	127.56	89.00	134.16	87.00	186.80	84.00



SUBJECT H00134
 *** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

	5 G-Level Run LX4097		6 G-Level Run LX4112		7 G-Level Run LX4126	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	20.59	-171.14	31.85	-243.86	60.02	-262.26
Force Y-Axis	330.00	-42.60	366.24	-67.13	399.14	-87.26
Force Z-Axis	43.97	-162.33	52.70	-250.91	47.34	-307.52
Force Result	374.40	16.35	422.86	31.73	489.36	41.01

	5 G-Level Run LX4097		6 G-Level Run LX4112		7 G-Level Run LX4126	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	2.77	-24.35	5.53	-31.37	6.92	-31.04
Torque Y-Axis	2.25	-10.17	4.14	-14.51	8.02	-14.86
Torque Z-Axis	7.90	-0.25	10.94	-2.23	10.66	-2.81
Torque Result	26.57	+0.34	33.02	+0.45	34.45	+0.04

	5 G-Level Run LX4097		6 G-Level Run LX4112		7 G-Level Run LX4126	
	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	11.15	114.50	13.69	103.50	16.27	86.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.08	133.00	0.06	143.50	0.21	112.00
HIC Z-Axis	1.14	70.00	0.98	69.50	2.08	55.00
Resultant HIC	13.70	116.50	15.89	105.50	20.62	91.00

*** Minus X / Plus Y ***

	9 G-Level Run LX4264		10 G-Level Run LX4298		11 G-Level Run LX4307	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	30.28	-520.00	37.18	-589.15	43.68	-680.55
Force Y-Axis	356.98	-48.65	341.57	-49.29	361.52	-86.75
Force Z-Axis	42.31	-337.14	43.75	-473.28	42.29	-631.21
Force Result	681.84	25.56	755.83	+14.07	924.77	12.78

	9 G-Level Run LX4264		10 G-Level Run LX4298		11 G-Level Run LX4307	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	4.33	-28.12	3.69	-23.99	6.58	-29.66
Torque Y-Axis	2.47	-32.52	3.15	-36.92	3.69	-42.53
Torque Z-Axis	10.54	-0.90	10.82	-1.49	12.12	-0.88
Torque Result	41.17	+0.06	42.17	+0.17	48.55	+0.03

	9 G-Level Run LX4264		10 G-Level Run LX4298		11 G-Level Run LX4307	
	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	40.91	82.50	45.56	74.50	70.22	75.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.35	188.00	0.23	142.00	0.66	196.00
HIC Z-Axis	5.30	114.00	8.85	63.00	12.53	105.50
Resultant HIC	54.40	107.00	62.68	102.00	96.89	87.00



SUBJECT H00135

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 3.791 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0080 Y = -0.0005 Z = +0.0300 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.01683 2 = +0.01800 3 = +0.01171

Principal Axis Matrix			Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.		
+0.82900	+0.00000	+0.55920	+0.015228	+0.000000	+0.002374
+0.00000	+1.00000	+0.00000	+0.000000	+0.018000	+0.000000
-0.55920	+0.00000	+0.82900	+0.002374	+0.000000	+0.013310

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

	10 G-Level Run LX3916		13 G-Level Run LX3955		14 G-Level Run LX3965		15 G-Level Run LX3970	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	80.70	-632.31	122.75	-786.30	114.80	-1078.20	91.83	-840.30
Force Y-Axis	36.37	-109.08	25.83	-120.50	44.89	-141.20	16.60	-210.44
Force Z-Axis	71.48	-360.26	114.49	-559.82	192.81	-764.17	36.55	-675.56
Force Result	717.10	6.56	891.40	10.25	1294.03	11.38	955.28	9.40

	10 G-Level Run LX3916		13 G-Level Run LX3955		14 G-Level Run LX3965		15 G-Level Run LX3970	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	8.27	-2.39	9.50	-2.26	9.68	-5.45	18.09	-1.70
Torque Y-Axis	5.41	-33.14	7.63	-44.79	8.78	-68.54	8.68	-49.17
Torque Z-Axis	0.94	-1.29	2.52	-2.94	3.68	-3.70	2.05	-4.10
Torque Result	33.21	0.13	44.82	0.24	68.58	0.17	49.35	0.27

	10 G-Level Run LX3916		13 G-Level Run LX3955		14 G-Level Run LX3965		15 G-Level Run LX3970	
	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	50.13	85.50	90.27	75.50	116.77	74.00	109.17	75.50
HIC Y-Axis	0.08	38.50	0.06	200.00	0.24	207.00	0.06	229.00
HIC Z-Axis	9.27	137.00	16.62	49.50	23.61	108.50	24.95	45.00
Resultant HIC	66.22	107.50	120.46	87.50	162.35	84.00	154.17	86.50



SUBJECT H00135
 *** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

	5 G-Level Run LX4095		6 G-Level Run LX4114		7 G-Level Run LX4131	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis	31.37	-144.94	30.70	-204.51	52.96	-254.57
Force Y-Axis	286.25	-61.93	329.41	-91.10	365.60	-120.51
Force Z-Axis	54.27	-140.82	63.02	-224.09	76.10	-275.73
Force Result	325.10	30.09	375.44	32.69	447.19	36.17

	5 G-Level Run LX4095		6 G-Level Run LX4114		7 G-Level Run LX4131	
	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis	5.34	-21.65	6.21	-23.75	8.84	-28.43
Torque Y-Axis	3.07	-8.76	3.55	-9.52	7.36	-12.95
Torque Z-Axis	7.75	-1.17	7.91	-1.94	10.66	-3.03
Torque Result	23.96	0.23	26.48	0.27	32.92	0.33

	5 G-Level Run LX4095			6 G-Level Run LX4114			7 G-Level Run LX4131		
	HIC	Nbr	Width	HIC	Nbr	Width	HIC	Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	11.42		117.00	14.85		102.50	40.85		81.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.13		151.50	0.15		141.50	0.32		131.50
HIC Z-Axis	0.89		74.00	1.30		48.50	3.88		54.50
Resultant HIC	13.77		121.00	18.28		102.00	50.76		101.00

*** Minus X / Plus Y ***

	9 G-Level Run LX4314		10 G-Level Run LX4316	
	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force X-Axis
Force Y-Axis
Force Z-Axis
Force Result

	9 G-Level Run LX4314		10 G-Level Run LX4316	
	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque X-Axis
Torque Y-Axis
Torque Z-Axis
Torque Result

	9 G-Level Run LX4314			10 G-Level Run LX4316		
	HIC	Nbr	Width	HIC	Nbr	Width
HIC X-Axis	40.85		81.00	51.32		79.00
HIC Y-Axis	0.32		131.00	0.37		162.00
HIC Z-Axis	3.88		54.50	6.10		55.50
Resultant HIC	50.76		101.50	63.92		94.00



SUBJECT H00136

*** Head Anatomical Configuration ***

Head Mass : 4.235 Kg.
 Head Center of Gravity: X = +0.0083 Y = -0.0005 Z = +0.0312 Meters
 Head / Neck Center of Gravity: X = +0.0190 Y = +0.0000 Z = +0.5800 Meters
 Eigenvalues: 1 = +0.02024 2 = +0.02164 3 = +0.01409

Principal Axis Matrix			Moment of inertia matrix in A.C.S.		
+0.82900	+0.00000	+0.55920	+0.018316	+0.000000	+0.002851
+0.00000	+1.00000	+0.00000	+0.000000	+0.021640	+0.000000
-0.55920	+0.00000	+0.82900	+0.002851	+0.000000	+0.016012

*** Minus X Sled Runs ***

		10 G-Level Run LX3918		12 G-Level Run LX3942		13 G-Level Run LX3953		14 G-Level Run LX3962	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Force	X-Axis
Force	Y-Axis
Force	Z-Axis
Force	Result
		10 G-Level Run LX3918		12 G-Level Run LX3942		13 G-Level Run LX3953		14 G-Level Run LX3962	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
Torque	X-Axis
Torque	Y-Axis
Torque	Z-Axis
Torque	Result
		10 G-Level Run LX3918		12 G-Level Run LX3942		13 G-Level Run LX3953		14 G-Level Run LX3962	
		HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC	X-Axis	48.48	91.50	65.83	92.50	85.96	83.50	99.13	85.50
HIC	Y-Axis	0.08	528.50	0.18	189.50	0.18	194.00	0.09	84.00
HIC	Z-Axis	8.95	61.00	16.22	53.50	19.11	52.00	14.07	120.00
Resultant HIC		65.84	107.50	95.49	95.50	121.16	100.00	128.32	85.50



SUBJECT H00136

*** Plus Y Sled Runs ***

		5 G-Level		6 G-Level		7 G-Level	
		Run LX4098		Run LX4142		Run LX4153	
		Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min
HIC	X-Axis	9.42	118.00	13.37	100.00	18.48	82.00
HIC	Y-Axis	0.17	188.50	0.36	154.50	0.53	127.50
HIC	Z-Axis	0.77	77.50	1.28	119.00	2.64	106.50
Resultant HIC		11.57	124.00	16.91	104.50	24.36	90.00

***-Minus X / Plus Y ***

		7 G-Level		9 G-Level	
		Run LX4247		Run LX4263	
		HIC Nbr	Width	HIC Nbr	Width
HIC	X-Axis	23.27	115.00	33.30	81.50
HIC	Y-Axis	0.14	59.50	0.61	57.00
HIC	Z-Axis	1.94	58.00	6.11	63.00
Resultant HIC		27.86	112.00	47.72	109.50



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204 Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE December 1986	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Interim Report
---	--	---

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Dynamic Variable and Temporary Injury Correlation for Human Head and Neck Impact Experiments	5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00205-86-M-D001
--	---

6. AUTHOR(S) Brian W. Wamsley, Alvah C. Bittner, Jr., Norman S. Gilbert, Leonard S. Lustick	
---	--

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Biodynamics Laboratory P. O. Box 29407 New Orleans, LA 70189-0407	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER NBDL-86R007
--	--

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Medical Research and Development Command National Naval Medical Center Building 1, Tower 12 Bethesda, MD 20889-5044	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
--	---

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
--	-------------------------------

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) The Naval Biodynamics Laboratory has collected a data base describing the head/neck kinematic response of a large number of human subject volunteers to -X, +Y, and -X+Y vector exposures. This publication will present injury-related parameters for the most severe exposures in each vector, together with correlations of these parameters with the medical findings.

14. SUBJECT TERMS Kinematics, protective systems, injury-related variables, psychological conditions, hazardous environments.	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 88
	16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
--	---	--	-----------------------------------