

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Infrared Techniques for Studying Coating Durability and Performance

William S. Lum
Philip H. Patterson

ARL-MR-319

July 1996

19960718 075

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED.

NOTICES


Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF COLOR PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY ON BLACK AND WHITE MICROFICHE.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE July 1996	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Progress, November 1994 - September 1995	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Infrared Techniques for Studying Coating Durability and Performance			5. FUNDING NUMBERS 5S2HDL	
6. AUTHOR(S) William S. Lum and Philip H. Patterson				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-MA-PE Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5812			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-MR-319	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES POC: William S. Lum, DSN 654-2801 or COM (703) 704-2801				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) This interim report describes the progress of the first stage of this 2-year ARL project. Determining possible infrared characterization techniques to be used in a progressive study of chemical-agent-resistant coating (CARC) materials was the main effort, using a Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) instrument. Attenuated total reflectance (ATR) technology and other techniques were applied to analyze samples accurately, easily, and cost effectively. Preliminary results show that benefits can be realized in durability studies and weatherability determination of materials.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS chemical-agent-resistant coatings (CARC), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), weatherability, durability study, materials			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES	v
1. BACKGROUND	1
2. APPROACH	1
3. EXPERIMENTAL	2
4. RESULTS	3
5. CONCLUSIONS	8
6. PLANS	8
DISTRIBUTION LIST	11

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1a.	FT-IR spectrum of resins before natural exposure	4
1b.	FT-IR spectrum of resins after 1 month of natural exposure	5
2.	FT-IR spectrum of resins after 2 months of natural exposure	6
3.	Samples after 3 months of natural weathering	7
4.	Samples after 360 hr of Xenon-Arc exposure	7
5.	Samples after 400 hr of QUV exposure	8

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. BACKGROUND

The first part of this 2-year investigation utilized advanced instrumentation and sampling accessories. The main thrust was to determine possible infrared (IR) characterization techniques to be used in a progressive study of chemical-agent-resistant coating (CARC) materials.

The current physical degradation or durability studies are not only time-consuming, but they also produce poor correlation between both Q-Ultra Violet (Q-UV, Q-Panel Lab Products, Cleveland, OH) and Atlas Xenon-Arc (Atlas Electric Devices Company, Chicago, IL) accelerated weathering tests vs. Florida exposure tests. Additionally, they suffer from data scattering.

Benefits realized from this study may include effective techniques for further research, increased knowledge of CARC coatings in relation to chemical and physical degradation, and a greater understanding of chemical changes occurring during the weathering process.

There is an apparent need to shorten the time it takes to determine the weatherability of materials. The objective of this study is to determine a possible technique or techniques that are less time-consuming, effective, and capable of being performed by a spectroscopist with limited coatings experience.

2. APPROACH

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer (Model 5DX-B, Nicolet Instrument, Madison, WI) was used to investigate the various sampling techniques. The instrument was also used to run existing coating samples and newly formulated coating materials.

The accessories and techniques identified and selected for this study were as follows:

- (1) magnetic sample holders with electronic grade silicon wafers (Harrick Scientific Corp.),
- (2) polyethylene screen cells (Janos Technology, Inc.),
- (3) 3M disposable IR cards, both polyethylene (PE) and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) substrates (3M New Products Development, Inc.),
- (4) vertical attenuated total reflectance (ATR), and
- (5) free films via transmittance.

The study began by reviewing application literature on FT-IR accessories and sampling methods. Technical training on weathering techniques and IR interpretation was obtained, followed by procurement of supplies, accessories, and related equipment.

Preliminary coating samples were run on a trial basis using different spectroscopic techniques and accessories. A spectrum of each sample, before and after outdoor exposure and accelerated weathering methods, was scanned and saved in the software's spectrum file or the .SPA extension as a standard procedure. This report covers all the initial findings in the Results and Conclusions sections.

In the latter part of the study, specific IR absorption bands will be monitored to follow the chemical degradation process. The same outdoor exposure and accelerated weathering techniques will be used for all samples. Techniques will be fine-tuned as problems arise from trial runs. Changes will be made to optimize the FT-IR instrument and sample film preparation for the best reproducibility.

The final objective is to determine the most efficient technique for evaluating coating materials based on their weathering properties. A technical report will conclude the entire investigation by encompassing all the results of the analysis. Furthermore, development of a technology base to enhance and reinforce any spin-off studies may result from this investigative research.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

Coated and uncoated silicon wafer magnetic holders, screen cells, and disposable IR cards underwent both natural and accelerated weathering. The applied coating consisted of a clear two-component polyester polyurethane. The resins, Desmophen 650A-65 polyester polyol and Desmodur N-75 polyisocyanate, were obtained from Bayer Chemicals, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA.

The natural weathering phase was performed at Fort Belvoir, VA, with the racks facing in a southerly direction at a 45° angle. This procedure follows the requirements as specified by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory's (ARL) CARC specifications.

OMNIC, the FT-IR software that was used, was employed to acquire background and sample spectra, peak positions, and tables. OMNIC is unique in the sense that it is for Nicolet's instruments only. Initially, version 1.1 was installed in the computer. However, an updated 2.0 version became available and was implemented for use in most parts of this project.

A new background was used for each sample. Transmittance was converted into absorbance in order to do a baseline correction. The appearances of the spectra were adjusted using baseline corrections and data smoothing.

Thallium bromoiodide (KRS-5) crystal was used with a vertical ATR (Spectra-Tech, Inc. Stamford, CT). The spectral range of the crystal is from $2,200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ to 250 cm^{-1} , which allows an extended view of the lower region of the spectrum. The resolution was set at 4 cm^{-1} . Spectra were measured in the range of $4,000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ to 400 cm^{-1} .

A concept that was derived and tried involved the preparation and analysis of free-standing strips of cured films. The free-film concept was somewhat effective; however, it proved to be extremely sensitive to film thickness and very difficult to reproduce quality spectra.

4. RESULTS

A particular band was used for each analysis. In the case of CARC coatings, the band was approximately $2,265\text{ cm}^{-1}$, which signifies a presence of unreacted isocyanate. Based on the curing time, the intensity shows the completeness of the polyurethane reaction (polyisocyanate with chain-terminating polyol). This band was used to monitor the chemical change that was occurring, such as the completeness of reaction.

Figure 1a is a spectrum of Bayer 650A-65 cured with Bayer N-75 before any exposure was done to the film. Note the band at $2,263\text{ cm}^{-1}$. A peak table is compiled below the spectrum.

Figure 1b shows the result of the same material after 1 month of natural exposure. We labeled a diminishing band at $2,260\text{ cm}^{-1}$ on the spectrum.

Silicon wafers with a magnetic holder became the best technique by far out of the possible three. Figure 2 is a spectrum demonstrating that we can track the isocyanate band. This shows that we can track any band representing chemical change. Note the disappearance of the same band at $2,267\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Figures 3-5 show how extensive the deterioration can be on these screen cells and IR cards. Therefore, they will not be used in any future studies.

Tues July 11 10:36:02 1995

Collect Sample:

Spectrum Title: Thur Mar 09 14:48:02 1995

Resolution: 4.000cm-1

Number of scans: 50

A new background spectrum was collected.

A new sample spectrum was collected.

Silicon wafer in a Harrick magnetic holder


Bayer 650A-65 and N-75

C:\omnic\log\harrick.log

harrick.log, log file

before natural exposure

Spectrum, Figure 1-A



Tue Jul 11 15:19:52 1995

FIND PEAKS:

Spectrum: **Thu Mar 09 14:48:02 1995

Region: 4000.00 400.00

Absolute threshold: 36.545

Sensitivity: 50

Peak list:

Position: 612.43	Intensity: 25.831
Position: 616.49	Intensity: 26.094
Position: 1111.54	Intensity: 34.053
Position: 1256.33	Intensity: 36.389
Position: 1689.39	Intensity: 34.462
Position: 1731.11	Intensity: 33.912
Position: 2931.23	Intensity: 36.541

Figure 1a. FT-IR spectrum of resins before natural exposure.

Tues July 11 10:27:53 1995

Collect Sample:

Spectrum Title: Thu Apr 06 13:36:31 1995

Resolution: 4.000cm-1

Number of scans: 50

A new background spectrum was collected.

A new sample spectrum was collected.

Silicon wafer in a Harrick magnetic holder


Bayer 650A-65 and N-75

C:\omnic\log\harrick1.log

harrick1.log, log file

after natural exposure, 1 month

Spectrum, Figure 1-B



Tue Jul 11 14:23:05 1995

FIND PEAKS:

Spectrum: **Thu Apr 06 13:36:31 1995

Region: 4000.00 400.00

Absolute threshold: 39.022

Sensitivity: 50

Peak list:


Position: 612.89	Intensity: 26.874
Position: 1111.65	Intensity: 35.232
Position: 1257.14	Intensity: 38.251
Position: 1523.60	Intensity: 38.725
Position: 1690.67	Intensity: 36.139
Position: 1726.34	Intensity: 35.670
Position: 2931.88	Intensity: 38.873

Figure 1b. FT-IR spectrum of resins after 1 month of natural exposure.

Mon July 10 10:10:08 1995

Collect Sample:

Spectrum Title: Thu May 04 14:04:21 1995
Resolution: 4.000cm-1
Number of scans: 50
A new background spectrum was collected.
A new sample spectrum was collected.
Silicon wafer in a Harrick magnetic holder
Bayer 650A-65 and N-75
C:\omnic\log\harrick2.log
harrick2.log, log file
after natural exposure, 2 months
Spectrum, Figure 2



Tue Jul 11 10:10:10 1995

FIND PEAKS:

Spectrum: **Thu May 04 14:04:21 1995

Region: 4000.00 400.00

Absolute threshold: 37.294

Sensitivity: 50

Peak list:

Position: 610.18	Intensity: 27.736
Position: 1112.58	Intensity: 34.935
Position: 1255.32	Intensity: 36.218
Position: 1463.61	Intensity: 37.281
Position: 1523.25	Intensity: 36.732
Position: 1688.19	Intensity: 32.974
Position: 1727.47	Intensity: 32.498
Position: 2932.29	Intensity: 37.266

Figure 2. FT-IR spectrum of resins after 2 months of natural exposure.




Figure 5. Samples after 400 hr of QUV exposure.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A practical approach in studying CARC materials is using a silicon wafer in a magnetic holder. We have found that using silicon wafer is the best way in tracking particular spectral bands relating to chemical degradation process. However, this approach has a higher start-up cost than the disposable cards. Most projects have to be within budget; therefore, cost is an important consideration.

Once the equipment are ready to run, the silicon wafer approach should take only minutes to analyze the sample. This method allowed the authors to turnaround the samples quickly into testing again for another cycle of exposure. It is proven to be less time-consuming, effective, and easily done.

6. PLANS

Additional work on this project will continue into next year. A final publication with recommendations and significant findings will complete this study at the end of fiscal year 1996 (FY96).

Plans for future work include the following:

- (1) troubleshooting the trial runs,
- (2) configuring and maximizing the FT-IR instrument and its accessories,
- (3) compiling and analyzing the results, and
- (4) finalizing a technical report.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

NO. OF
COPIES

ORGANIZATION

2 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CTR
ATTN DTIC DDA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TA
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
ATTN AMSRL OP SD TP
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1145

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

2 DIR USARL
ATTN AMSRL OP AP L (305)

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND ATTN AMCCE Q AMCDMA ML 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
2	COMMANDER US ARMY NATICK RD&E CENTER ATTN STRNC EP STRNC ML NATICK MA 01760-5014
1	COMMANDER US ARMY FRGN SCI & TECHLGY CTR ATTN AMCST IS3 220 7TH STREET NE CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22901-5396
1	COMMANDER US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ATTN DAEN ASI TECH LIB 20 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW ROOM 3119 WASHINGTON DC 20314
1	COMMANDER NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND ATTN TECH LIB AIR 00D4 WASHINGTON DC 20361
1	COMMANDER NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND LBRY DOCUMENTATION BRANCH SEA 9661 WASHINGTON DC 20362
1	ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN AMSRL CC OFC OF THE CHIEF COUNSEL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN AMSRL AS ADMIN SUPPORT OFC 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	DIRECTOR NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN TECH LIB WASHINGTON DC 20375
10	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN AMSRL MA PE FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5812
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>
4	DIR, USARL ATTN: AMSRL-MA
1	DIR, USA ERDEC ATTN: AMSMC-QAV

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author ARL-MR-319 (Lum) Date of Report July 1996

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



**NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES**

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 0001,APG,MD

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

**DIRECTOR
U.S. ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: AMSRL-MA-PE
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5606**

