

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20543.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 7-30-96		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Technical Report 1JUL93-28FEB96	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE VISUO-OCULAR PERFORMANCE DURING VESTIBULAR STIMULATION				5. FUNDING NUMBERS G F49620-93-1-0261 61102F 2313-CS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Joseph M. Furman, MD, PhD James A. Carl, MD					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Pittsburgh 350 Thackeray Hall Pittsburgh, PA 15260				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFOSR TR 96 0428	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NL United States Air Force 110 Duncan Ave, Room B115 Bolling AFB DC 20332-8080				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 19960822 235	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>During the reporting period, significant information has been obtained regarding the interaction between otolithic stimulation, semicircular canal stimulation, and visual stimulation. Results, published in a peer-reviewed journal have indicated that whereas human subjects can suppress semicircular canal-induced eye movements by focusing on a head-fixed visual target, subjects have great difficulty suppressing eye movements induced by otolithic stimulation, specifically, eye movements induced by rotation about an off-vertical axis. This difference in visual-vestibular interaction between the semicircular canal-ocular reflexes and the otolith-ocular reflexes suggests that rotational acceleration-induced eye movements and linear acceleration-induced eye movements are generated by different central nervous system pathways. These studies form the basis for future research regarding multi-sensory integration, vestibular-induced eye movements and spatial orientation.</p> <p>Preliminary studies, not yet published, have assessed the ability of normal human subjects to generate <i>volitional</i> eye movements (saccades and pursuit) during vestibular stimulation.</p> <p>The work performed during the reporting period served as the basis for an application to the National Institutes of Health regarding spatial reorientation. That proposal, Grant No. DC01791, when funded, will enable a continuation of the studies begun during the reporting period with funds from AFOSR. Specifically, that project will allow a study of volitional eye movements during vestibular stimulation.</p>					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Vestibulo-ocular reflex; otolith organs; human performance; visual-vestibular interaction				15. NUMBER OF PAGES -2-	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT N/A	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE N/A	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT N/A	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT N/A		

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT:

During the reporting period, significant information has been obtained regarding the interaction between otolithic stimulation, semicircular canal stimulation, and visual stimulation. Results, published in a peer-reviewed journal article have indicated that whereas human subjects can suppress semicircular canal-induced eye movements by focusing on a head-fixed visual target, subjects have great difficulty suppressing eye movements induced by otolithic stimulation, specifically, eye movements induced by rotation about an off-vertical axis. This difference in visual-vestibular interaction between the semicircular canal-ocular reflexes and the otolith-ocular reflexes suggests that rotational acceleration-induced eye movements and linear acceleration-induced eye movements are generated by different central nervous system pathways. These studies form the basis for future research regarding multi-sensory integration, vestibular-induced eye movements and spatial orientation.

One aim of this study was to further define the eye movement response to combined visual and vestibular stimulation, especially during linear acceleration. Subjects included 15 asymptomatic healthy individuals (8 females and 7 males) between the ages of 20 and 31 years. Vestibular stimulation consisted of earth-vertical axis rotation and off-vertical axis rotation (OVAR). Visual stimuli consisted of projected vertical stripes that were rotated for optokinetic trials and were stationary for visual augmentation trials. A small laser target (0.5 mW, 0.5 deg arc) that rotated with the subject was used for fixation trials. Eye movements were measured with electro-oculography. Results showed that visual-vestibular interaction during sinusoidal rotation was not affected by a 15 deg off-vertical tilt. Constant velocity OVAR induced a continuous nystagmus whose slow component velocity contained a nonzero baseline, i.e. a bias, and a periodic fluctuation at the rotation frequency, i.e. a modulation component. The modulation component during visual fixation was reduced as compared with that seen during rotation in the dark but was not absent. Constant velocity OVAR in the presence of earth-fixed stripes induced a consistent sinusoidal modulation. Our results suggest that visual-vestibular interaction for otolith stimulation differs from visual-vestibular interaction for semicircular canal stimulation. The modulation component of the response to OVAR appears to be modified by visual stimulation to a lesser extent than other vestibular induced eye movements and thus may reflect a more "direct" vestibulo-ocular response. The bias component of the response to OVAR can be substantially influenced by vision and thus may depend upon more "indirect" pathways. The results have been published in the *Journal of Vestibular Research* 1996; 6(2):93-103, entitled "Visual-vestibular interaction during off-vertical axis rotation".

Preliminary studies, not yet published, have assessed the ability of normal human subjects to generate *volitional* eye movements (saccades and pursuit) during vestibular stimulation.

The work performed during the reporting period served as the basis for an application to the National Institutes of Health regarding spatial reorientation. That proposal, Grant No. XXX, when funded, will enable a continuation of the studies begun during the reporting period with funds from AFOSR. Specifically, that project will allow a study of volitional eye movements during vestibular stimulation.