

PA 23,762



*Handwritten notes:*  
H. H. Salmon  
m. p.

AD

*Handwritten notes:*  
9-11-66

TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM-00013-66

# NONPERPENDICULAR ILLUMINATION OF ULTRASONIC CELLS

Report of Project MICHIGAN

By  
EDWIN E. HENRY  
HARVEY RING

19961212 113

August 1966

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

# ECOM


UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND · FORT MONMOUTH, N.J.  
Contract DA-28-043 AMC-00013 (E)

*Willow Run Laboratories*  
THE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
The University of Michigan      Ann Arbor, Michigan

*Handwritten:* **SEP 14 1966**  
DD

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

# DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST  
QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY  
FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED  
A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF  
PAGES WHICH DO NOT  
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

## NOTICES

Sponsorship. The work reported herein was conducted by the Willow Run Laboratories of the Institute of Science and Technology for the U. S. Army Electronics Command under Project MICHIGAN, Contract DA-28-043-AMC-00013(E) (continuation of Contracts DA-36-039-SC-78801 and DA-36-039-SC-52654), and for the U. S. Air Force under Contract AF 33(615)-1452. Contracts and grants to The University of Michigan for the support of sponsored research are administered through the Office of the Vice-President for Research.

Disclaimers. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition. Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

TECHNICAL REPORT ECOM-00013-66

AUGUST 1966

NONPERPENDICULAR ILLUMINATION OF  
ULTRASONIC CELLS

Report of Project MICHIGAN  
CONTRACT NO. DA-28-043-AMC-00013(E)  
DA Project 1P6 20801 A 175 05

By

EDWIN E. HENRY  
HARVEY RING

Radar and Optics Laboratory  
Willow Run Laboratories

THE INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Ann Arbor, Michigan

For

U. S. ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND, FORT MONMOUTH, N. J.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

ABSTRACT

Results obtained by nonperpendicular illumination of ultrasonic cells are described. There is reasonably good agreement between theory and experiment; this agreement exists for results obtained when the cell is operated at 5, 15, and 25 Mc/s. In an optical analog computer, the light intensity of the optical output can be increased considerably by illuminating the cell at the Bragg angle; but this increase is obtained at the expense of decreased bandwidth of operation.

ULTRASONIC  
RADIATION

end

## FOREWORD

In accordance with recommendations of Project TEOTA (The Eyes of the Army), a large technical study made by the Army in 1952 on the problems of combat surveillance, Project MICHIGAN was established in May 1953 under a tri-service charter and under a contract administered by the U. S. Army Signal Corps. Its purpose was to perform research and development encompassing all problems pertaining to combat surveillance. The Project MICHIGAN contract essentially left the determination of the program to the Project itself. The Project was to determine the nature of the problems, the needs of the services, and the way the physical sciences could be used to meet these needs. A joint-services tactical and technical steering committee was established to provide broad guidance.

During the summer of 1953, Project MICHIGAN convened Project WOLVERINE, a summer study program to review the problems of combat surveillance and to recommend an initial program for Project MICHIGAN.

In February 1957, the U. S. Army Combat Surveillance Agency (USACSA) was established. At about this time, the tri-service charter and steering committee were terminated, and guidance of the project was assigned to USACSA. USACSA defined the role of Project MICHIGAN as complementary to the functions of the U. S. Army Signal Research and Development Laboratories and the U. S. Army Electronic Proving Ground. The "mission" of Project MICHIGAN has since been defined as follows: "To conduct a continuing long-range research and development program for advancing the Army's combat-surveillance and target-acquisition capabilities." With the reorganization of the Army in mid-1962, the technical cognizance of Project MICHIGAN shifted from the Office of the Chief Signal Officer to the U. S. Army Materiel Command (USAMC). Currently, technical guidance is provided by the Combat Surveillance and Target Acquisition Laboratory of the U. S. Army Electronics Command for USAMC.

The research and development effort of Project MICHIGAN is oriented toward achieving new and improved techniques which will lead to new or greatly improved combat-surveillance and target-acquisition equipment that will meet the long-range operational requirements of the Army in the field. Since 1953, the work of Project MICHIGAN has been concerned primarily with theoretical and experimental investigations to determine and to demonstrate the potential value of the many concepts and ideas for combat surveillance and target acquisition brought out in TEOTA and WOLVERINE and subsequently proposed by others including the Project itself. The cur-

rent emphasis is on the subjects of imaging radar, hologram radar, MTI radar, infrared-optical imaging and signal correlation techniques, and image interpretation.

The work reported upon was conducted under Subproject 1, Task 1, of the overall technical program for Project MICHIGAN approved by the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative under DA Project Number 1P6 20801 A 175, Task 05, "Airborne Surveillance Target Acquisition Radar Receiver, Recording and Display Techniques."

The Project is a part of a diversified program of research conducted for the Willow Run Laboratories of The University of Michigan's Institute of Science and Technology by a full-time staff of specialists in physics, engineering, mathematics, and related fields, by members of the teaching faculty, by graduate students, and by other research groups and laboratories of The University of Michigan. The function of the Institute of Science and Technology is to make available to government and industry the resources of The University of Michigan and to broaden the educational opportunities for students in the scientific and engineering disciplines.

The computations described in this report were performed by Mr. George Hurchalla and Mr. David B. Kirk of the Computation Department of the Willow Run Laboratories.

Progress and results described in reports are continually reassessed by Project MICHIGAN. Comments and suggestions from readers are invited.

## CONTENTS

Abstract . . . . .	iii
Foreword . . . . .	v
List of Figures . . . . .	viii
1. Introduction . . . . .	1
2. Theoretical Results . . . . .	1
3. Experimental Program . . . . .	3
3.1. Equipment Used . . . . .	3
3.2. Experimental Results . . . . .	5
4. Determining the Theoretical-Results Curves . . . . .	7
5. Discussion of Results . . . . .	8
6. Summary . . . . .	10
References . . . . .	10
Distribution List . . . . .	11

### FIGURES

1. Optical Schematic . . . . .	2
2. Bragg-Angle Illumination of Ultrasonic Cells ( $\alpha = 1/2$ ) . . . . .	4
3. Arrangement of Optical Equipment . . . . .	5
4. Relative Intensity of First Order-Diffraction vs. Angle of Incidence for Operation at 5 Mc/s . . . . .	6
5. Relative Intensity of First Order-Diffraction vs. Angle of Incidence for Operation at 15 Mc/s . . . . .	7
6. Relative Intensity of First Order-Diffraction vs. Angle of Incidence for Operation at 25 Mc/s . . . . .	7

## NONPERPENDICULAR ILLUMINATION OF ULTRASONIC CELLS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The ultrasonic cell has long been used as a device for introducing a given input function into an optical analog computer for such purposes as spectrum analysis, filtering, and optical scanning. Modern technology has demanded more and more bandwidth in such devices, which, in turn, require the use of transducers with higher and higher resonant frequency. However, it has been shown [1] that at the higher frequencies the intensity of the desired optical output of such a computer decreases rapidly (with frequency) when the cell is illuminated with light which is perpendicular to the ultrasonic wave train. One possible solution to the problem of increasing the intensity of the output is to illuminate the cell at the Bragg angle, that angle at which all rays emitting from the cell are in phase.

### 2. THEORETICAL RESULTS

Figure 1 shows a general optical schematic in which collimated light is directed toward an ultrasonic cell of thickness  $L$  and length  $d$ . The ultrasound creates a phase grating in the cell, the Fourier transform of which is formed by the objective lens when the light is incident perpendicular to the ultrasonic wave train. The intensity of the light forming the central image  $I_0$  (zero frequency), first-order images  $I_1$  and  $I_1'$  (fundamental frequency), and higher harmonic images, all of which occur in a plane located a focal distance behind the objective lens, indicates the strength of these harmonics in the exciting signal.

Raman and Nath [2] performed one of the first analyses of the case in which the light is perpendicular to the ultrasonic wave train. By evaluating the diffraction integral across the face of the cell they found that the spectral images occur at an angle

$$\theta = \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda^*} \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta$  = angle of inclination from the center line of the optical system

$n$  = order of the harmonic frequency

$\lambda$  = wavelength of the light

$\lambda^*$  = wavelength of the ultrasound in the delay medium

and that the images have an intensity

$$\frac{I_n}{I_m} = \frac{J_n^2(v_t)}{J_m^2(v_t)} \quad (2)$$




FIGURE 1. OPTICAL SCHEMATIC

where  $I_n$  = intensity of the nth harmonic  
 $I_m$  = intensity of the mth harmonic  
 $J_n$  and  $J_m$  = Bessel functions of the nth and mth orders  

$$v_t = \frac{2\pi\mu L}{\lambda} \quad (3)$$
 $\mu$  = alternating portion of the index of refraction

The above relations describe what is known as normal diffraction, i.e., diffraction in which the ultrasonic wavelength is long, and the value of  $\mu$  is small.

Willard [3] has expressed a criterion for distinguishing normal from abnormal diffraction. He suggested that the value of the product

$$K = \frac{Lf^2 v_t}{2\pi} \quad (4)$$

where  $f$  = acoustic frequency of operation

provides a working distinction between normal and abnormal Bragg diffraction. He used the value  $34.4 \text{ Mc/s}^2\text{-cm}$  as a criterion. If  $K$  is less than this number, the diffraction is normal; but if  $K$  is greater, the diffraction is abnormal. In common practice, the use of  $10 \text{ Mc/s}$  or less results in normal diffraction; the use of more than  $10 \text{ Mc/s}$  results in abnormal diffraction. Abnormal diffraction occurs when  $I_1$  and  $I_1'$  (fig. 1) do not occur simultaneously with equal strength. Hargrove [4], and other investigators, considered the abnormal cell as a series of normal cells. He introduced the parameters

$$Q_\ell = \frac{2\pi\lambda\ell}{\mu_\lambda * 2} \quad \text{and} \quad V_\ell = \frac{2\pi\mu\ell}{\lambda} \quad (5)$$

where  $Q_\ell$  = a constant for the individual layer

$\ell$  = thickness of the individual layer

$\mu_0$  = index of refraction

$V_\ell$  = the incremental phase change in the individual layer

and stated that  $Q_\ell V_\ell \ll 2$  for normal diffraction.

Klein [5] achieved a considerable simplification of Hargrove's results and, among others, used the parameter

$$\alpha = -\mu_0 \frac{\lambda^*}{\lambda} \sin \phi \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  = an angular constant

$\phi$  = angle of incidence measured from the normal

A value of  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  is equivalent to the Bragg angle.

The program, as defined by Klein, was set up for the IBM-7090 computer to obtain theoretical predictions which could be compared with experimental results obtained earlier. During the computation, a most interesting phenomenon was noted; namely, that when the ultrasonic cell was illuminated at the Bragg angle, the ratio of  $I_1/I_0$  was independent of frequency. This result, which is shown in figure 2, was also noted and experimentally verified by Klein [6].

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

#### 3.1. EQUIPMENT USED

The optical arrangement for the experimental program is shown in figure 3. A filtered 5461-Å lamp was used as a light source. A set of 75-mm,  $f/2.8$  lenses was used as a condenser. The output of the last lens was focused on a 25- $\mu$ -diam aperture, thus simulating a point source, the output of which was collimated by a 100-mm,  $f/2.3$  lens. The output from this lens constituted the incident light  $I(\lambda)$  of figure 1. The angle of illumination was varied by changing the position of the light-source section, shown mounted on a wooden platform in the figure, and was calculated from the dial-gauge reading.

The faces of the ultrasonic cell were of optical-quality glass, polished flat to  $1/8$  wavelength. The internal dimensions of the cell were  $7/8 \times 7/8 \times 2$ -in long. A rubber ultrasound absorber was cemented to the end of the cell opposite the transducer.

All transducers were X-cut quartz crystals. Most were  $1/2$ -in in diameter, with a  $3/8$ -in-diam gold electrode evaporated on one side and a  $1/2$ -in-diam gold electrode evaporated on the other side. However, some crystals were smaller in diameter.




FIGURE 2. BRAGG-ANGLE ILLUMINATION OF ULTRASONIC CELLS ( $\alpha = 1/2$ )

Crystals with fundamental resonant frequencies of 5, 15, and 25 Mc/s were used. The crystals were mounted onto a plastic holder which had a 3/8-in hole drilled so that the inner electrode was accessible for electrical connection; therefore, the backing impedance of the crystals was air.

The light beam was directed through the ultrasonic column, far enough from the transducer to avoid local transducer disturbances, but close enough to minimize ultrasonic attenuation losses. Then the optical output from the ultrasonic cell was brought to a focus with a 152-mm,  $f/2.7$  lens. Finally, the intensity of the spectral images was measured with a specially constructed and dc-operated photomultiplier circuit which has shown excellent stability.





FIGURE 3. ARRANGEMENT OF OPTICAL EQUIPMENT


### 3.2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experimental results are given in figures 4 through 6, which show the relative intensity of the first-order diffraction image as a function of the angle of incidence. In these figures, three curves are shown: The heavier line is the intensity of one first-order image ( $I_1$  in fig. 1), the lighter line is the intensity of the other first-order image ( $I_1'$  in fig. 1), and the broken line is the computed result for  $I_1$ . Figure 4 shows the results for a transducer with a resonant frequency of 5 Mc/s, figure 5 shows the results for 15 Mc/s, and figure 6 shows the results for 25 Mc/s.

One result which is immediately evident from these tests is that, at 25 Mc/s and, undoubtedly, at higher frequencies, considerably more light is available when the cell is illuminated at the Bragg angle than when it is illuminated at an angle perpendicular to the ultrasonic wave train (the angle at which the two curves  $I_1$  and  $I_1'$  intersect).




(a)




(b)

FIGURE 4. RELATIVE INTENSITY OF FIRST-ORDER DIFFRACTION VS. ANGLE OF INCIDENCE FOR OPERATION AT 5 Mc/s. (a) 60 V. (b) 100 V.




(a)




(b)

FIGURE 5. RELATIVE INTENSITY OF FIRST-ORDER DIFFRACTION VS. ANGLE OF INCIDENCE FOR OPERATION AT 15 Mc/s. (a) 40 V. (b) 60 V.



(a)



(b)

FIGURE 6. RELATIVE INTENSITY OF FIRST-ORDER DIFFRACTION VS. ANGLE OF INCIDENCE FOR OPERATION AT 25 Mc/s. (a) 30 V. (b) 50 V.

#### 4. DETERMINING THE THEORETICAL-RESULTS CURVES

The theoretical results, shown by the broken line in figures 4 through 6, were computed in the following manner: The computer program for the theoretical solution was defined by three parameters,  $v_t$  (eq. 3),  $Q$  (eq. 5), and  $\alpha$  (eq. 6). In essence, we specified a value of  $v_t$ , which gives the driving voltage; a value of  $Q$ , which essentially gives the operating frequency (for a specific ultrasonic cell); and a value of  $\alpha$ , which gives the angle of illumination, once the other operating conditions are established.

An experimental curve, in which  $I_1/I_0$  was plotted as a function of electrical voltage, was determined, with the ultrasonic wave train perpendicular to the light beam ( $\alpha = 0$ ). And a theoretical curve in which  $I_1/I_0$  was plotted as a function of  $v_t$  was determined ( $\alpha = 0$ ). Then, by matching values of  $I_1/I_0$  from the two curves, an operating value of  $v_t$  was determined. (In the latter curve, the value of  $Q$  was adjusted for the cell dimensions and operating frequency of the experimental curve.) Using the operating value of  $v_t$ , we determined a theoretical curve in which  $I_1/I_0$  was plotted as a function of  $\alpha$ , and we adjusted the intensity value of the curve, where  $\alpha = 0$ , to indicate the theoretical relative intensity at other angles of illumination. This information was then plotted as the broken line shown in figures 4 through 6.

#### 5. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A comparison of the computed curve with the experimentally obtained curves (figs. 4 through 6) indicates that good agreement exists between theory and experiment, with the possible exception of the results at 25 Mc/s; here, the theoretical intensity far exceeds the experimental intensity. It is believed that this discrepancy was caused by experimental error. However, there is a much more important aspect of these results which should be discussed: that aspect is the ratio of light intensity obtained when the cell is illuminated at the Bragg angle to the intensity obtained when the cell is illuminated perpendicularly to the ultrasonic wave train. Further, the effects of these two types of illumination on the bandwidth that may be achieved under the same conditions must be considered. From table I, it is obvious that at frequencies below 25 Mc/s ( $Q = 6.68$ ), there is little to be gained in light intensity by illuminating at the Bragg angle.

Let us now consider the bandwidth available. This may be calculated from the expression

$$\alpha = -\mu_0 \frac{\lambda^*}{\lambda} \sin \phi$$

from which

$$\Delta\alpha = -\mu_0 \frac{\Delta\lambda^*}{\lambda} \sin \phi$$

TABLE I. COMPUTED  $I_1/I_0$  FOR ILLUMINATION AT BRAGG ANGLE  
 COMPARED WITH COMPUTED  $I_1/I_0$  FOR ILLUMINATION  
 PERPENDICULAR TO WAVE TRAIN

Frequency (Mc/s)	Voltage (rms)	$I_1/I_0$ for Illumination at Bragg Angle (A)	$I_1/I_0$ for Illumination Perpendicular to Wave Train (B)	Ratio $\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)$
5	60	0.0447	0.0449	1.0
5	100	0.1119	0.1120	1.0
15	40	0.0237	0.0225	1.05
15	50	0.0333	0.0317	1.05
15	60	0.0459	0.0437	1.05
25	30	0.42	0.109	3.85
25	40	0.712	0.149	4.76
25	50	0.999	0.166	6.03

From the computed data,  $\Delta\alpha$ ,  $\Delta\lambda^*$ , and the bandwidth can be determined. Since light intensity is analogous to power, the available bandwidth can be determined as that difference in ultrasonic wavelength which produces a change in  $\alpha$  sufficient to decrease the value of  $I_1$  to 0.5 of  $I_1$  when  $\alpha = 0$  (see table II).

It is easily seen that the increase of usable light achieved by illuminating at the Bragg angle is obtained at the cost of drastically decreasing the available bandwidth. This decrease in bandwidth is independent of that which usually occurs because of difficulties inherent with crystal transducers. However, it should be borne in mind that these bandwidth results are calculated

TABLE II. AVAILABLE BANDWIDTH WHEN  
 ILLUMINATING AT THE BRAGG ANGLE  
 (0.500 criterion)

Frequency (Mc/s)	Band Limits: $f_1$ to $f_2^*$ (Mc/s)	Bandwidth (Mc/s)
15	11.12 to 23.1	11.98
25	23.4 to 26.8	3.4

\*  $f_1 < f_0 < f_2$  where  $f_1$  = lower band limit

$f_0$  = resonant frequency

$f_2$  = upper band limit

and have not been verified experimentally. Nevertheless, since there has been excellent theoretical-experimental agreement throughout the program, it is felt that these conclusions bear merit.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. E. Henry and H. M. Ring, Higher Frequency Ultrasonic Light Modulators, Report No. 6400-54-T, Willow Run Laboratories of the Institute of Science and Technology, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, November 1965.
2. C. V. Raman and N. J. Nath, "Diffraction of Light by HF Sound Waves," Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Vol. 2, 1935, pp. 406-409.
3. G. W. Willard, "Criteria for Normal and Abnormal Light Diffraction Effects," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., Vol. 21, 1949, pp. 101-108.
4. L. E. Hargrove, "Optical Effects of Ultrasonic Waves Producing Phase and Amplitude Modulation," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., Vol. 34, 1962, pp. 1547-1552.
5. W. R. Klein, A General Treatment of Fraunhofer Light Diffraction by Ultrasonic Gratings, University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, 1964.
6. W. R. Klein, "Light Diffraction of Ultrasonic Beams of High Frequency near Bragg Incidence," presented at 5th International Congress of Acoustics, Liege, Belgium, 5 September 1965.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Director of Defense  
Research & Engineering  
Technical Library  
Room 3C 128, Pentagon  
Washington, D. C. 20301

(1)

Director  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
ATTN: Director, Technical Information  
Washington, D. C. 20301

(1)

Director  
Weapons Systems Evaluation Group  
Washington, D. C. 20305

(1)

Defense Intelligence Agency  
Dissemination Center (DIAAQ-3)  
Arlington Hall Station  
Arlington, Virginia 22212

(2)

Defense Documentation Center  
Cameron Station  
ATTN: TISIA  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(25)

### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Office, Chief of Research and Development  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: Physical Sciences Division (CRD/O-P&E)  
Washington, D. C. 20310

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Personnel Research Office  
ATTN: CRD-AI-DRL  
Washington, D. C. 20315

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Research Office-Durham  
ATTN: CRD-AA-IP  
Box CM, Duke Station  
Durham, North Carolina 27706

(1)

Director of Research  
HumRRO Division No. 5  
(Air Defense) P. O. Box 6021  
Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

(1)

Chief  
U. S. Army Armor Human Research Unit  
ATTN: Library  
Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121

(1)

The Assistant Chief of Staff for Force  
Development  
Department of the Army  
ATTN: Service Support Systems Division  
Washington, D. C. 20310

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Imagery Interpretation Center  
Fort Holabird, Maryland 21219

(1)

Office of the Chief of  
Communications-Electronics  
Department of the Army  
Washington, D. C. 20315  
ATTN: CCEES-3a

(1)

### Major Commands

Commanding General  
U. S. Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCSO-M  
Washington, D. C. 20315

(1)

Commanding General  
U. S. Army Materiel Command  
ATTN: AMCRD-RP-E  
Washington, D. C. 20315

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Cold Regions Research and  
Engineering Laboratory  
Box 282  
Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

(1)

Commanding Officer  
Harry Diamond Laboratories  
ATTN: Library  
Washington, D. C. 20438

(1)

Commanding Officer  
U. S. Army Human Engineering Laboratories  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

(1)

Commanding General  
U. S. Army Electronics Command  
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703

(30)

ATTN: AMSEL-CB  
AMSEL-RD-GF  
AMSEL-RD-MAT  
AMSEL-RD-MAF  
AMSEL-HL-CT-D  
AMSEL-HL-CT-DT  
AMSEL-HL-CT-A  
AMSEL-HL-CT-RD  
AMSEL-HL-CT-SD  
AMSEL-HL-CT-L  
AMSEL-HL-CT-I  
AMSEL-HL-CT-P  
AMSEL-HL-CT-O  
AMSEL-HL-CT-R  
AMSEL-NL-D  
AMSEL-WL-D  
AMSEL-KL-D  
AMSEL-KL-EM  
AMSEL-IO-T

(1)  
(1)  
(1)  
(2)  
(1)  
(1)  
(1)  
(2)  
(1)  
(1)  
(1)  
(3)  
(2)  
(1)  
(2)  
(1)  
(3)  
(2)  
(3)  
(2)  
(1)  
(1)

Director  
Night Vision Laboratory  
U. S. Army Electronics Command  
CS, NV & TA Laboratories  
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

(1)

Chief, Willow Run Office  
Combat Surveillance & Target  
Acquisition Lab, USAECOM  
P. O. Box 618  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

(2)

Chief, Mountain View Office  
Electronics Warfare Lab, USAECOM  
ATTN: Technical Library  
P. O. Box 205  
Mountain View, California 94042

(1)

Chief  
Intelligence Materiel Development Office  
Electronics Warfare Lab.  
Ft. Holabird, Maryland 21219

(1)

U. S. Army Electronics Command  
Liaison Officer  
Rome Air Development Center  
ATTN: EMPL  
Griffiss AFB, New York 13442

(1)

Commanding General  
U. S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: AMSMI-DE  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

(2)

Commanding General  
U. S. Army Missile Command  
ATTN: Chief Document Section  
Redstone Scientific Information Center  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

(2)

Director U. S. Army Engineer Research & Development Lab ATTN: STINFO Branch Ft. Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(2)	Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Intelligence Agency Fort Holabird, Maryland 21219	(1)
Commanding Officer Picatinny Arsenal ATTN: SMUPA-DR7 Dover, New Jersey 07801	(1)	Commanding General U. S. Army Security Agency ATTN: IACON Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia 22212	(1)
Commanding General U. S. Army Electronics Proving Ground ATTN: Technical Library Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613	(2)	Commanding General U. S. Army Security Agency ATTN: IACDA-QJ Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia 22212	(1)
Commanding Officer Electronic & General Equipment Division ATTN: Mr. Taragin (STEAP-DS-TF) Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	(1)	Commanding General U. S. Army Security Agency ATTN: IADEV-R Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia 22212	(1)
President U. S. Army Air Defense Board Fort Bliss, Texas 79916	(1)	Commanding General U. S. Army Security Agency ATTN: IATOP-E Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia 22212	(1)
President U. S. Army Artillery Board ATTN: STEBA-GD Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73504	(1)	<u>Schools</u>	
President U. S. Army Aviation Test Board Fort Rucker, Alabama 36362	(1)	Commandant U. S. Army Command & General Staff College ATTN: Library Division Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027	(1)
President U. S. Army Infantry Board ATTN: STEBC-SW Fort Benning, Georgia 31905	(1)	Commandant U. S. Army Air Defense School ATTN: AKBAAS-DR-R Fort Bliss, Texas 79916	(4)
Commanding General U. S. Army Weapons Command ATTN: AMSWE-RDR Rock Island, Illinois 61202	(1)	Commandant U. S. Army Artillery and Missile School ATTN: AKPSITA Fort Sill, Oklahoma 73504	(2)
Commanding General U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Experimentation Center ATTN: CDEC-GC Fort Ord, California 93941	(1)	Commandant U. S. Army Combat Surveillance School Ft. Huachuca, Arizona 85613	(2)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Experimentation Support Group Fort Ord, California 93941	(2)	Commandant U. S. Army Engineer School ATTN: AIBBES-SY Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(1)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Air Defense Agency Ft. Bliss, Texas 79916	(2)	<u>Additional Army Agencies</u>	
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Armor Agency Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121	(1)	Director U. S. Army Engineer Geodesy, Intelligence and Mapping Research & Development Agency ATTN: ENGGM-IN Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(1)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Artillery Agency ATTN: CAGAT-RT Ft. Sill, Oklahoma 73504	(1)	Director U. S. Army Engineer Geodesy, Intelligence and Mapping Research & Development Agency ATTN: ENGGM-TP Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(2)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Communications-Electronics Agency ATTN: CAGCE-M Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	(1)	Director U. S. Army Engineer Geodesy, Intelligence and Mapping Research & Development Agency ATTN: Chief, Photogrammetry Division Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(1)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Engineer Agency Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	(1)	U. S. Army Liaison Office MIT-Lincoln Laboratory Lexington, Massachusetts 02173	(2)
Commanding Officer U. S. Army Combat Developments Command Infantry Agency Fort Benning, Georgia 31905	(1)	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Research Director Office of Naval Research (Code 402) Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360	(4)

Office of Naval Research (Code 463) Department of the Navy Main Navy Building, Room 4216 18th and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20360	(1)	Director, Air Force Avionics Laboratory ATTN: AVRS/Capt. R. L. Gossel Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	(1)
Office of Chief of Naval Operations (Op-07TE) Department of the Navy ATTN: Technical Analysis and Advisory Group Washington, D. C. 20350	(1)	Commander Foreign Technology Division ATTN: TDAT Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	(2)
Commander U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office ATTN: Library, Code 1640 Washington, D. C. 20390	(3)	Director Research and Technology Division ATTN: SEG (SEST) Mr. David Egan Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	(1)
Director U. S. Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2027 Washington, D. C. 20390	(2)	Commander Rome Air Development Center ATTN: Shelton MacLeod/EMI Griffiss AFB, New York 13442	(1)
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Reconnaissance and Technical Support Center 4301 Suitland Board Washington, D. C. 20390	(1)	Commander Rome Air Development Center ATTN: M. A. Diab (EMASS) Griffiss AFB, New York 13442	(1)
Bureau of Naval Weapons (RRRE-31) Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360	(1)	Commander Rome Air Development Center ATTN: Mr. J. A. Lovecchio (EMATS) Griffiss AFB, New York 13442	(1)
Chief, Bureau of Naval Weapons ATTN: RTOS-311 Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360	(1)	Commander Rome Air Development Center ATTN: Documents Library (EMLAL-1) Griffiss AFB, New York 13442	(1)
Commanding Officer U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory ATTN: Library Corona, California 91720	(1)	Commander APGC (AFL-2825) Eglin AFB, Florida 32542	(1)
Commander U. S. Naval Missile Center Code N223 (Attn: A. Oster) Space Research Division Point Mugu, California 93041	(1)	Commander U. S. Air Force Tactical Air Reconnaissance Center Director of Intelligence (DRI) ATTN: TARC Technical Library, AFL #4816 Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina 29152	(1)
Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station ATTN: Code 40508 China Lake, California 93557	(1)	Commander ESD/ESTI L. G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01731	(2)
Commander U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station ATTN: Code 753 China Lake, California 93557	(1)	Commander 544 Aerospace R Tech Wg (CAS) Offutt AFB, Nebraska 68113	(1)
Chief, Bureau of Ships Department of the Navy ATTN: Code 680 Washington, D. C. 20360	(1)	Commander Tactical Air Command (OA) Langley AFB, Virginia 23365	(1)
Commanding Officer and Director U. S. Navy Electronics Laboratory ATTN: Library San Diego, California 92152	(1)	Director Air University Library ATTN: AUL3T-7971 Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112	(1)
Commanding Officer and Director (Code 222A) U. S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory San Francisco, California 94135	(1)	U. S. MARINE CORPS  Director Marine Corps Landing Force Development Center Marine Corps Schools Quantico, Virginia 22134	(2)
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE		ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES	
Headquarters Research & Technology Division Bolling Air Force Base ATTN: RTTC Washington, D. C. 20332	(1)	Central Intelligence Agency ATTN: OCR-DD/Std. Dist. Washington, D. C. 20505	(4)
Director Air Force Avionics Laboratory ATTN: AFAL(AVRS/R. R. Roalef) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	(1)	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Manned Spacecraft Center ATTN: Tech Infor Dissemination Branch Houston, Texas 77058	(2)
Director Air Force Avionics Laboratory ATTN: AVNT (Mr. K. H. McCain) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	(2)	NASA Scientific & Technical Information Facility ATTN: Acquisitions Branch (S-AK/DL) P.O. Box 33 College Park, Maryland 20740	(2)

MISCELLANEOUS ORGANIZATIONS

Applied Physics Laboratory  
The Johns Hopkins University  
ATTN: Documents Librarian  
8621 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

(2)

THRU: U.S. Army Procurement Office  
9800 Savage Road  
Fort George Meade, Maryland 20755

TO: Syracuse University Research Corporation  
P. O. Box 26, University Station  
ATTN: Electronic Research Lab  
Syracuse, New York 13210

(2)

THRU: The Rome Air Development Center (EMR)  
Griffiss Air Force Base  
Rome, New York 13442

TO: Syracuse University Research Corporation  
P. O. Box 26, University Station  
ATTN: Special Projects Laboratory  
Syracuse, New York 13210

(1)

THRU: Resident Office Headquarters  
Los Angeles Contract Management District  
United States Air Force  
The RAND Corporation  
Santa Monica, California 90406

TO: The RAND Corporation  
1700 Main Street  
ATTN: Library  
Santa Monica, California 90406

(1)

Institute for Defense Analyses

ATTN: Classified Library  
400 Army-Navy Drive  
Arlington, Virginia 22202

(2)

Remote Area Conflict Information Center  
Battelle Memorial Institute  
505 King Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43201

(1)

Remote Area Conflict Information Center  
Battelle Memorial Institute  
1755 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D. C. 20036

(1)

THRU: Commanding Officer  
Research & Technology Division  
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433  
ATTN: SEG/SEAEA

TO: Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.  
4455 Genesee Street  
ATTN: J. P. Desmond, Librarian  
Buffalo, New York 14221

(2)

THRU: U. S. Army R&D Operations Research Advisory Group  
Research Analysis Corporation  
McLean, Virginia 22101

TO: Research Analysis Corporation  
ATTN: Library  
McLean, Virginia 22101

(1)

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&amp;D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Willow Run Laboratories, Institute of Science and Technology The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE NONPERPENDICULAR ILLUMINATION OF ULTRASONIC CELLS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Summary			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Henry, Edwin E. and Ring, Harvey			
6. REPORT DATE August 1966		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES viii + 14	7b. NO. OF REFS 6
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DA-28-043-AMC-00013(E)		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 6400-66-T	
b. PROJECT NO. 1P6 20801 A 175		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) 7000-34-T, ECOM-00013-66	
c. Task 05			
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cosponsored by and also published for the U. S. Air Force under Contract AF 33(615)-1452 (see 9b above).		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Willow Run Office CS & TA Laboratory, USAECOM Box 618, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48107	
13. ABSTRACT Results obtained by nonperpendicular illumination of ultrasonic cells are described. There is reasonably good agreement between theory and experiment; this agreement exists for results obtained when the cell is operated at 5, 15, and 25 Mc/s. In an optical analog computer, the light intensity of the optical output can be increased considerably by illuminating the cell at the Bragg angle; but this increase is obtained at the expense of decreased bandwidth of operation.			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Mathematics and data processing Computers and data systems Analog computers Optical analysis Diffraction Spectrum analyzers Ultrasonic radiation						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.
- 2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.
- 2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.
3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.
4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.
5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.
6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.
- 7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.
- 7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.
- 8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.
- 8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.
- 9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.
- 9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).
10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through \_\_\_\_\_."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.
12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.
13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.