


Volume ES
Executive Summary

**Combined Limited Energy
Study of Electrical Energy
Demand and Usage and
Heating Systems
Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas**



Contract #DACA01-94-D-0038/0004
Project #694-1331-004

RESTRICTED STATEMENT B

Approved for public release.
Distribution Unlimited

RS&H

Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc.
Architectural, Engineering, Planning and Environmental Services




DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 9005
CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61826-9005

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF: TR-I Library

17 Sep 1997

Based on SOW, these Energy Studies are unclassified/unlimited.
Distribution A. Approved for public release.


Marie Wakefield,
Librarian Engineering

**A COMBINED LIMITED ENERGY STUDY OF
ELECTRICAL ENERGY DEMAND AND USE AND HEATING SYSTEMS
AT PINE BLUFF ARSENAL, ARKANSAS**

**VOLUME ES
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

FINAL SUBMITTAL

Prepared for
U. S. Army Engineer District, Little Rock

Contract Number DACA01-94-D-0038
Delivery Order Number 0004

Prepared by
Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc.
4651 Salisbury Road
Jacksonville, Florida 32256
(904) 296-2000

September 1996

694-1331-004

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

19971017 239

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume	Section	Title	Page
ES		EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	1.0	INTRODUCTION	ES.1-1
	2.0	BUILDING / SYSTEMS DATA	ES.2-1
	3.0	PRESENT ENERGY CONSUMPTION	ES.3-1
	4.0	REEVALUATED PROJECTS RESULTS	ES.4-1
	5.0	ENERGY CONSERVATION ANALYSIS	ES.5-1
	6.0	ENERGY AND COST SAVINGS	ES.6-1
I		NARRATIVE REPORT	
	1.0	INTRODUCTION	
		1.1 Authorization	1-1
		1.2 Objectives	1-1
		1.3 Work Accomplished	1-1
	2.0	FACILITY DESCRIPTION	
		2.1 General Description	2-1
		2.2 Boilers	2-1
		2.3 Steam Distribution System	2-4
		2.4 Air Compressors	2-4
		2.5 Historical Energy Use and Cost	2-5
	3.0	METHODOLOGY	
		3.1 Project Approach	3-1
		3.2 Estimate of Energy Loss from Steam Leaks	3-1
		3.3 Field Investigation Equipment	3-11
		3.4 Analysis Tools	3-11
		3.5 Utility Rates	3-12
		3.6 Cost Estimating	3-13
	4.0	ANALYSIS	
		4.1 Evaluation of Energy Conservation Projects	4-1
	5.0	RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
		5.1 Summary of ECO's	5-1
		5.2 Results of ECO Evaluations	5-2
		5.3 Recommended ECO's	5-3
		5.4 Operation and Maintenance Recommendations	5-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Volume	Section	Title	Page
II		APPENDICES	
	A.1	Scope of Work	A.1-1
	A.2	List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	A.2-1
	A.3	Boiler Efficiency Calculations	A.3-1
	A.4	Steam Leak Energy Loss Calculations	A.4-1
	A.5	ECO Calculations, Cost Estimates and Backup Data	A.5-1
	A.6	Energy Consumption and Cost Data	A.6-1
	A.7	Submittal Review Comments and Review Actions	A.7-1
	A.8	Correspondence and Meeting Notes	A.8-1
III		FIELD INVESTIGATION FORMS	
	B.1	Boiler Survey	B.1-1
	B.2	Air Compressor Data	B.2-1
	B.3	Electric Motor Data	B.3-1
	B.4	Steam Distribution System	B.4-1
IV		PROGRAMMING DOCUMENTATION	
	1	Repair Steam Pipe and Fittings	
	2	Boiler Efficiency Improvements	
	3	Repair Compressed Air Pipe and Fittings	
	4	Replace Filtered Water Pump Motors	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 AUTHORIZATION

Architectural-engineering services for the Energy Engineering Analysis Program (EEAP) - Southeast Region were authorized by the US Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District Contracting Division under Indefinite Delivery Contract Number DACA01-94-D-0038. Engineering services for the Combined Limited Energy Study of Electrical Energy Demand and Usage and Heating Systems at Pine Bluff Arsenal (PBA) were authorized by Delivery Order Number 4 from the US Army Engineer District, Little Rock. Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc. (RS&H) received the Notice to Proceed for Delivery Order Number 4 on September 12, 1995.

1.2 OBJECTIVES

The primary purpose of this contract is to conduct a detailed study of the boilers, air compressors and large electric motors in the production areas of PBA and develop projects to improve the efficiency of these systems. This study includes comprehensive field investigations of the boiler and compressed air plants; boiler efficiency testing; survey and analysis of the steam distribution system; measurement of electric motor power consumption; identification of Energy Conservation Opportunities (ECO's); energy and labor savings calculations; cost estimates and economic analysis of the ECO's.

1.3 WORK ACCOMPLISHED

The entry interview was conducted at the PBA Department of Public Works (DPW) office on January 29, 1996. RS&H conducted two field investigations to obtain the data required to analyze all of the boiler, compressor and electric motor ECO's. The initial field investigation, personnel interviews and data collection was performed at PBA from January 29, 1996 through February 1, 1996. The second field investigation was performed during the week of March 26 - 29, 1996. Information obtained during these site visits indicated that an enormous amount of energy was being wasted from leaks in the steam distribution system.

Consequently, a no-cost modification to the Scope of Work was requested and approved to include investigation and analysis of a project to repair or replace the existing steam piping distribution system. A subsequent field investigation was performed May 13 - 17, 1996 to survey the steam distribution system serving the production facilities located in Section 3, Areas 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Energy and labor savings calculations, cost estimates and economic analyses were completed for all of the ECO's. All Interim Submittal Review Comments were resolved and the results were used to

finalize all sections of the Final Submittal for this study. This submittal includes the following volumes:

- Volume ES; an executive summary that gives a brief overview of the results of this study.
- Volume I; a narrative report containing the methodology for field investigations and data analysis, ECO project evaluations, results of the evaluations, and recommendations for improvements in the heating system, the steam distribution system, the compressed air system and large electric motors at PBA.
- Volume II; appendices with ECO calculations, cost estimates, back-up data, a copy of the Scope of Work.
- Volume III; appendices containing copies of the field investigation forms.
- Volume IV; programming documentation for all recommended ECO's and combination of ECO's, based on direction provided by PBA.

2.0 BUILDING / SYSTEMS DATA

2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Pine Bluff Arsenal (PBA) covers about 14,900 acres and is located approximately 35 miles southeast of Little Rock, Arkansas. PBA is a government-owned, government-operated (GOGO) installation established in 1941 to produce incendiary munitions. The Arsenal's mission now includes the design, manufacture, renovation, and demilitarization of signaling and screening smoke, riot control agents, incendiary munitions and chemical/biological defensive items. PBA also provides support for training operations for active and reserve military units.

There are five main functions within the arsenal: production, incineration, water treatment, bomb storage and administrative/housing. The scope of work for this project includes the boilers and electric motor-operated equipment associated with the production, incineration and water treatment processes. Figure 2.1-1 shows a partial schematic site plan showing the production areas of PBA.


2.2 BOILERS

There are five boiler houses that provide steam to the various production areas. The steam is utilized for space (comfort) heating, process heating and process humidification. The boilers in Buildings 32-060, 33-060 and 34-140 are connected through a manifold and provide steam to Areas 31, 32, 33, and 34. The boilers in Building 42-960 serve Area 42 (the incinerator area) and the boilers in Building 44-120 provide steam to Area 44 (LAP area). The following table provides a summary of the boilers surveyed.

Boiler Data						
Building Number	Boiler No.	Fuel Used	Rated Capacity	Boiler Manufacturer(s)	Year Installed	Avg. Eff. Measured
32-060 ⁽¹⁾	1	N. Gas	311 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	74-75%
	2	N. Gas	311 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	74-75%
33-060	1	N. Gas	311 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	74-75%
	2	N. Gas	311 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	74-75%
34-140 ^(2,3)	1	N. Gas	249 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	72%
	2	N. Gas	249 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	NA
	3	N. Gas	249 HP	Babcock & Wilcox	1942	72%
42-960	1	N. Gas	40 HP	Aztec Superior	1978	79%
	2	N. Gas	40 HP	Aztec Superior	1978	79%
44-120	1	N. Gas	100 HP	Ray Bumer	1969	NA
	2	N. Gas	100 HP	Cleaver Brooks	1989	83%

(1) The Arsenal has two new boilers on site that have not been installed. These boilers are rated at 600 horsepower each. PBA plans to remove the two existing boilers located in Building 32-060 and install the new boilers in the near future.

Figure 2.1-1
Pine Bluff Arsenal Partial Schematic Site Plan



(2) There is no deaerator for the boilers in this building. There is an Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) project pending to construct a new boiler facility in Area 3, Section 4. The new facility will contain two boilers rated at 350 horsepower each. The existing boilers in Building 34-140 will be phased out of service and demolished.

(3) Boiler Number 2 is not operational due to a leak in the flue breaching stack.

2.3 STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

The production facilities in Areas 31, 32, 33, and 34 utilize steam from a main distribution system. The boilers in Buildings 32-060, 33-060 and 34-140 are connected by about two miles of manifold pipe (the "high line") and provide steam to each of these areas via sets of branch pipes. The main steam header is called the "high line" because it is mounted approximately 30 feet above ground on wooden utility poles. The branch distribution piping is typically mounted about two feet above ground level. When the pipes cross a road, they are raised to approximately 15 feet. There is a total of approximately eight miles of steam distribution piping serving the production facilities in Areas 31, 32, 33, and 34, including the main header piping.

2.4 AIR COMPRESSORS

The production facilities in Areas 31, 32, 33, and 34 utilize compressed air from a main distribution system. Buildings 32-060, 33-060 and 34-140 each contain two air compressors that supply the main distribution system. Process air for the incinerator operations is provided by a new compressor located in Building 42-961. This unit was installed to replace the air compressor located in Building 42-960. A summary of the air compressors surveyed is presented in the following table.

Air Compressor Data						
Building Number	Compressor No.	Motor HP	Motor Type	Rated Capacity	Compressor Manufacturer(s)	Year Installed
32-060	1	150	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
	2	173	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
33-060	1	173	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
	2	173	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
34-140	3	150	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
	4	173	Synchronous	825 CFM	Ingersoll-Rand	1967
42-961	1	75	Induction	NA	Sull-Air	1993

2.5 ELECTRIC MOTORS

The following table provides a summary of the electric motors surveyed.

Electric Motor Data					
Building Number	Motor Use	Motor HP	Motor Type	Motor Manufacturer	Electric Volts/Ph/Hz
32-060	Compressor No. 1	150	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
	Compressor No. 2	150	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
33-060	Compressor No. 1	150	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
	Compressor No. 2	150	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
34-140	Compressor No. 3	150	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
	Compressor No. 4	173	Synchronous	General Electric	460/3/60
34-196	Scrubber Fan	800	Induction	Louis-Allis	2300/3/60
	Scrubber Fan	800	Induction	Louis-Allis	2300/3/60
42-010	Pump	150	Induction	U.S. Electric Motor	440/3/60
42-020	Pump	150	Induction	U.S. Electric Motor	460/3/60
42-030	Pump	150	Induction	NA	440/3/60
42-210	Pump No. 1	30	Induction	Sterling Motor	440/3/60
	Pump No. 2	30	Induction	NA	440/3/60
	Pump No. 3	30	Induction	NA	440/3/60
	Pump No. 4	30	Induction	NA	440/3/60
42-979	Scrubber Fan	350	Induction	TECO	460/3/60

3.0 PRESENT ENERGY CONSUMPTION

3.1 HISTORICAL ENERGY USE AND COST

The primary energy sources utilized at PBA are natural gas and electricity. Natural gas is provided by a government contract with Falling Tree Enterprises located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, and is supplied to the Arsenal through a single-metered supply line. The monthly readings from the main meter are the basis for determining the total monthly natural gas consumption at PBA and the monthly billing by the natural gas supplier. The natural gas is then distributed to approximately 75 buildings within the Arsenal.

Electricity is provided by the Arkansas Power & Light Company (AP&L). There are three electric substations that provide electric service to PBA. Substation A serves incinerator, water plant and LAP facilities (Areas 42 and 44), Substation B serves the production facilities (Areas 31,32, 33 and 34) and Substation C serves the administration and housing areas of the Arsenal. PBA receives two electric bills each month, one for Substations A and B combined and one for Substation C. The facilities served by Substations A and B use approximately 70 percent of the total electricity consumed at PBA. The Scope of Work for this project is confined to the production areas, so only the electric energy use for Substations A and B will be considered in this report.

The monthly consumption of natural gas and electricity at PBA is presented in Figure 3.1-1. This figure shows there is a natural gas base load of about 35,000 million British thermal units (MBtu) per month during the summer. This base load is mostly due to steam leaks and process energy requirements. Natural gas consumption increases to over 83,000 MBtu per month during the winter because of space heating requirements.

Electricity use in the production areas at PBA is much lower than natural gas use. Figure 3.1-1 indicates the facilities served by Substations A & B have an electric base load of approximately 3,600 MBtu per month during the winter. Their consumption rises to about 6,500 MBtu per month during the summer due to space cooling requirements. The primary components of the electric base load are compressor motors, fan and pump motors for the incinerator and water plant pump motors.

Figure 3.1-2 shows natural gas is by far the main energy source for the Arsenal. The natural gas energy consumption is broken down by end user. The annual energy cost apportionment is presented by Figure 3.1-3. The electricity cost per MBtu at PBA is about six times higher than the cost of natural gas. The higher unit cost explains why electricity only accounted for nine percent of the annual energy use, but represented 36 percent of the annual energy cost.

Figure 3.1-1
PBA Monthly Energy Consumption
 Electricity is for Substations A & B Only




Figure 3.1-2
PBA Annual Energy Use, 2/95 - 1/96
Electricity for Substations A & B only





Figure 3.1-3
PBA Annual Energy Cost, 2/95 - 1/96
Electricity for Substations A & B only



3.2 ENERGY CONSUMPTION OF SYSTEMS STUDIED

The annual consumption and cost of natural gas and electricity used by various systems at PBA is presented in Table 3.2-1 and 3.2-2, respectively.

System	Natural Gas (MBtu/Yr)	Electricity (kWh/Yr)	Electricity (MBtu/Yr)
Boilers (Bldg. 32-060)	163,392	NA	NA
Boilers (Bldg. 33-060)	125,255	NA	NA
Boilers (Bldg. 34-140)	203,467	NA	NA
Steam System Leaks	168,000	NA	NA
Air Compressors	NA	3,533,424	12,060
Compressed Air Leaks	NA	1,713,243	5,847
WP Scrubber Fans	NA	360,525	1,230
Primary Water Pumps	NA	372,403	1,271
Filtered Water Pumps	NA	216,459	739
Incinerator Scrub. Fan	NA	1,095,567	3,739

System	Natural Gas (\$/Yr)	Electricity (\$/Yr)
Boilers (Bldg. 32-060)	\$459,130	NA
Boilers (Bldg. 33-060)	\$351,670	NA
Boilers (Bldg. 34-140)	\$571,740	NA
Steam System Leaks	\$472,080	NA
Air Compressors	NA	\$202,490
Compressed Air Leaks	NA	\$98,180
WP Scrubber Fans	NA	\$20,650
Primary Water Pumps	NA	\$21,340
Filtered Water Pumps	NA	\$12,410
Incinerator Scrub. Fan	NA	\$62,780

The energy losses due to steam leaks within Production Areas 31, 32, 33 and 34 were estimated by performing a monthly natural gas balance for the entire Arsenal for calendar year 1995. Steam consumption at PBA includes process heating, process humidification and comfort heating. Steam losses include condensate leaks, thermal losses due to conduction and convection, system (boiler) efficiency and steam leaks. The following expression was derived for calculating natural gas use due to steam leaks in the production areas:

$$LEAKS_P = NG_B - IB_M - PE_P - CH_P - CL_P - TL_P$$

Definitions of the terms and results of the estimated natural gas balance achieved by utilizing this equation are shown in Table 3.2-3. The values of the terms in the equation were estimated by a series of calculations described in Volume I.

Natural Gas Component	Estimated Monthly Natural Gas Consumption (MBtu)											
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1. Natural Gas Bills (NG _B)	72,425	65,166	58,220	47,855	37,697	38,392	37,838	34,199	35,284	41,937	58,597	77,672
2. Bldgs w/ Meters (IB _M)	9,187	10,282	7,633	5,274	2,505	3,814	5,233	5,277	4,505	6,079	6,715	9,367
3. Process Heat (PE _P)	10,181	10,647	12,176	10,759	10,907	11,848	12,357	10,362	10,034	10,181	9,853	10,544
4. Comfort Heat (CH _P)	35,117	27,788	20,787	6,854	2,517	271	73	137	1,322	7,812	18,317	30,387
5. Condensate Loss (CL _P)	4,228	3,669	3,382	2,847	2,353	2,312	2,180	1,934	2,058	2,397	3,469	4,567
6. Conduction Loss (TL _P)	4,564	4,080	4,392	4,116	4,138	3,890	3,971	3,984	3,947	4,234	4,266	4,530
7. Steam Leaks (LEAK _S)	9,148	8,700	9,849	18,005	15,277	16,257	14,024	12,505	13,417	11,234	15,977	18,278
Steam Leaks (7) = (1) - (2) - (3) - (4) - (5) - (6)												

Results of the natural gas balance listed in Table 3.2-1 are presented graphically by Figures 3.2-1, 3.2-2 and 3.2-3. Figure 3.2-1 shows the results in bar graph format to illustrate how the values of each natural gas-consuming component add up to the total natural gas use. The bars at the bottom of this figure depict the estimated amount of natural gas wasted due to steam leaks.

Figure 3.2-2 is a line graph that shows how the values of the total natural gas use at PBA and all of the components vary on a monthly basis. The average estimated loss due to steam leaks during June, July and August is 14,260 MBtu per month. Based on this value, the economic analyses assume that the steam leaks remain constant at 14,000 MBtu per month throughout the year. Therefore, the total annual estimated energy loss due to steam leaks at the Arsenal is about 168,000 MBtu per year. Using \$2.81 per MBtu as the average cost of natural gas, the cost of steam leaks at PBA is approximately \$472,000 per year.

Figure 3.2-3 shows the annual natural gas energy distribution at PBA for 1995. Steam leaks in Areas 31, 32, 33, and 34 represent approximately 27 percent of the natural gas consumption and cost for 1995. Steam leaks are the single largest energy consumer at PBA.

3.3 UTILITY RATES

The utility rates for electricity and natural gas that were used in the energy cost savings calculations and the economic analyses are presented in Table 3.5-1.

Utility	Rate	Source
Natural Gas - Annual Average ⁽¹⁾	\$2.81/MBtu	Natural Gas Bills
Electricity - Overall Average ⁽¹⁾	\$0.057/kWh, \$16.79/MBtu	Electric Bills
Energy - Annual Average	\$0.029/kWh, \$8.58/MBtu	Calc. from 12 months of data
Demand - Annual Average	\$12.94/kW	Calc. from 12 months of data

(1) Calculated from actual utility bills for the 12 month period from 2/95 through 1/96.

**Figure 3.2-1
PBA Natural Gas Balance, 1995**




Figure 3.2-2
PBA Natural Gas Balance, 1995





Figure 3.2-3
PBA Estimated Annual Natural Gas Use, 1995



4.0 REEVALUATED PROJECTS RESULTS

4.1 REEVALUATED PROJECTS

The Scope of Work for this study does not include the reevaluation of previously considered energy conservation projects.

5.0 ENERGY CONSERVATION ANALYSIS

5.1 ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES (ECO's) INVESTIGATED

The purpose of this study is to conduct a detailed analysis of the boilers, air compressors and large electric motors in the production areas of PBA and develop projects to improve the efficiency of these systems. Table 5.1-1 lists all ECO's that were considered for this study. All of the ECO's that were eliminated from consideration prior to performing life cycle cost evaluations are indicated in this table along with the reasons for their elimination.

Table 5.1-1 Summary of Energy Conservation Opportunities			
ECO No.	Description of ECO	Evaluated	Comments
Electrical Load Reduction			
E1	Replace synchronous motors for compressors.	No	Efficient synchronous motors not available.
E2	Replace WP scrubber/exhaust motors.	Yes	
E3	Replace primary water pump motors.	Yes	
E4	Replace filtered water pump motors.	Yes	
E5	Replace incinerator scrubber fan motor.	Yes	
E6	Reduce Contracted Demand Limit	No	Electric rate does not have a demand limit.
Steam Production and Distribution System			
H1-A	Repair existing steam pipe and fittings.	Yes	
H1-B	Install new steam distribution piping system.	Yes	
H2-A	Bldg. 32-060 - Install new boilers.	Yes	
H2-B	Bldg. 32-060 - Improve efficiency of existing boilers.	Yes	
H2-C	Bldg. 32-060 - Install surplus boilers.	Yes	
H2-D	Bldg. 32-060 - Install economizers on surplus boilers.	Yes	
H3-A	Bldg. 33-060 - Install new boilers.	Yes	
H3-B	Bldg. 33-060 - Improve efficiency of existing boilers.	Yes	
H3-C	Bldg. 33-060 - Install economizers on existing boilers.	Yes	
H4-A	Bldg. 34-140 - Install new boilers.	Yes	
H4-B	Bldg. 34-140 - Improve efficiency of existing boilers.	Yes	
H4-C	Bldg. 34-140 - Install economizers on existing boilers.	Yes	
H5-A	Bldg. 42-960 - Install new boilers.	No	Existing boilers are operating efficiently.
H5-B	Bldg. 42-960 - Improve efficiency of existing boilers.	No	Existing boilers are operating efficiently.
H6-A	Bldg. 44-120 - Install new boiler.	No	Existing boiler is operating efficiently.
H6-B	Bldg. 44-120 - Improve efficiency of existing boiler.	No	Existing boiler is operating efficiently.
Compressed Air System			
C1-A	Replace existing compressors with surplus units.	No	Surplus compressors use more energy.
C1-B	Add surplus compressors to existing compressors.	No	Surplus compressors use more energy.
C2	Replace exist. compressors with more efficient units.	No	Existing compressors are very efficient.
C3-A	Install dedicated compressors at the buildings.	Yes	
C3-B	Install new compressed air distribution piping system.	Yes	
C3-C	Repair existing compressed air pipe and fittings.	Yes	

5.2 RESULTS OF ECO EVALUATIONS

The ECO evaluations included energy and labor savings calculations, cost estimates and economic analyses. Table 5.2-1 provides a summary of the results for all of the ECO evaluations. This table lists the evaluated ECO's in order of ECO Number.

ECO No.	Total Project Cost \$	SIR	Simple Payback Years	N. Gas Savings MBtu/Yr	Electric Savings MBtu/Yr	Total Savings MBtu/Yr	N. Gas Savings \$/Year	Electric Savings \$/Year	O & M Savings \$/Year	Total Savings \$/Year
E2	117,540	0.36	41.4	0	168.9	169	0	2,840	0	2,840
E3	26,700	1.16	13.0	0	122.6	123	0	2,060	0	2,060
E4	8,320	2.09	7.2	0	68.8	69	0	1,160	0	1,160
E5	21,230	1.56	9.7	0	131.0	131	0	2,200	0	2,200
H1-A	77,920	113	0.2	168,000	0	168,000	472,080	0	0	472,080
H1-B	5,647,000	1.55	12.0	168,000	0	168,000	472,080	0	0	472,080
H2-A	651,660	1.22	14.6	12,914	0	12,914	36,290	0	8,320	44,610
H2-B	7,140	47.2	0.4	6,457	0	6,457	18,140	0	0	18,140
H2-C	298,100	2.68	6.7	12,914	0	12,914	36,290	0	8,320	44,610
H2-D	85,810	3.27	5.7	5,381	0	5,381	15,120	0	0	15,120
H3-A	651,660	0.92	19.3	9,074	0	9,074	25,500	0	8,320	33,820
H3-B	7,140	30.2	0.6	4,125	0	4,125	11,590	0	0	11,590
H3-C	132,070	1.55	12.0	3,919	0	3,919	11,010	0	0	11,010
H4-A	846,850	1.30	13.9	18,761	0	18,761	52,720	0	8,320	61,040
H4-B	72,470	9.12	2.0	12,657	0	12,657	35,570	0	0	35,570
H4-C	195,550	1.65	11.3	6,164	0	6,164	17,320	0	0	17,320
C3-A	1,478,710	0.87	17.4	0	5060.9	5,061	0	84,970	0	84,970
C3-B	1,389,140	1.07	14.2	0	5847.3	5,847	0	98,180	0	98,180
C3-C	83,680	17.7	0.9	0	5847.3	5,847	0	98,180	0	98,180

5.3 RECOMMENDED ECO'S

ECO project funding criteria requires a savings to investment ratio (SIR) greater than 1.25 and a simple payback of less than 10 years. Based on this criteria, the results of the ECO evaluations were used to recommend projects for the heating system, compressed air system and some of the large electric motors at PBA. Table 5.3-1 lists all of the ECO's that meet the energy project funding criteria. The ECO's are listed in order of descending SIR along with the summary information from the ECO analyses.

ECO No.	Total Project Cost \$	SIR	Simple Payback Years	N. Gas Savings MBtu/Yr	Electric Savings MBtu/Yr	Total Savings MBtu/Yr	N. Gas Savings \$/Year	Electric Savings \$/Year	O & M Savings \$/Year	Total Savings \$/Year
H1-A	77,920	113	0.2	168,000	0	168,000	472,080	0	0	472,080
H3-B	7,140	30.2	0.6	4,125	0	4,125	11,590	0	0	11,590
C3-C	83,680	17.7	0.9	0	5847.3	5,847	0	98,180	0	98,180
H4-B	72,470	9.12	2.0	12,657	0	12,657	35,570	0	0	35,570
H2-D	85,810	3.27	5.7	5,381	0	5,381	15,120	0	0	15,120
E4	8,320	2.09	7.2	0	68.8	69	0	1,160	0	1,160

These ECO's were recommended based on the results of the life cycle cost analyses. All of these ECO's have SIR's greater than 1.25 and simple paybacks of less than 10 years.

5.4 REJECTED ECO'S

Table 5.4-1 lists all of the ECO's that fail to meet the energy project funding criteria. The ECO's are listed order of ECO Number along with the summary information from the ECO analyses.

ECO No.	Total Project Cost \$	SIR	Simple Payback Years	N. Gas Savings MBtu/Yr	Electric Savings MBtu/Yr	Total Savings MBtu/Yr	N. Gas Savings \$/Year	Electric Savings \$/Year	O & M Savings \$/Year	Total Savings \$/Year
E2	117,540	0.36	41.4	0	168.9	169	0	2,840	0	2,840
E3	26,700	1.16	13.0	0	122.6	123	0	2,060	0	2,060
E5	21,230	1.56	9.7	0	131.0	131	0	2,200	0	2,200
H1-B	5,647,000	1.55	12.0	168,000	0	168,000	472,080	0	0	472,080
H2-A	651,660	1.22	14.6	12,914	0	12,914	36,290	0	8,320	44,610
H2-B	7,140	47.2	0.4	6,457	0	6,457	18,140	0	0	18,140
H2-C	298,100	2.68	6.7	12,914	0	12,914	36,290	0	8,320	44,610
H3-A	651,660	0.92	19.3	9,074	0	9,074	25,500	0	8,320	33,820
H3-C	132,070	1.55	12.0	3,919	0	3,919	11,010	0	0	11,010
H4-A	846,850	1.30	13.9	18,761	0	18,761	52,720	0	8,320	61,040
H4-C	195,550	1.65	11.3	6,164	0	6,164	17,320	0	0	17,320
C3-A	1,478,710	0.87	17.4	0	5060.9	5,061	0	84,970	0	84,970
C3-B	1,389,140	1.07	14.2	0	5847.3	5,847	0	98,180	0	98,180

The SIR and simple payback period for ECO-E5, ECO-H2B and ECO-H2C meet the requirements for recommended projects, however, the equipment for all of these ECO's will be abandoned before the payback period.

5.5 ECIP PROJECTS DEVELOPED

To qualify for funding under the Energy Conservation Investment Program (ECIP) the construction cost of a project must be greater than or equal to \$300,000. There are no individual ECO's that meet the ECIP requirements for construction cost.

5.6 NON-ECIP PROJECTS DEVELOPED

A list of all recommended ECO's that qualify as non-ECIP projects along with the summary information from the ECO analyses is presented in Table 5.6-1.

ECO No.	Total Project Cost \$	SIR	Simple Payback Years	N. Gas Savings MBtu/Yr	Electric Savings MBtu/Yr	Total Savings MBtu/Yr	N. Gas Savings \$/Year	Electric Savings \$/Year	O & M Savings \$/Year	Total Savings \$/Year
H1-A	77,920	113	0.2	168,000	0	168,000	472,080	0	0	472,080
H3-B	7,140	30.2	0.6	4,125	0	4,125	11,590	0	0	11,590
C3-C	83,680	17.7	0.9	0	5847.3	5,847	0	98,180	0	98,180
H4-B	72,470	9.12	2.0	12,657	0	12,657	35,570	0	0	35,570
H2-D	85,810	3.27	5.7	5,381	0	5,381	15,120	0	0	15,120
E4	8,320	2.09	7.2	0	68.8	69	0	1,160	0	1,160
Totals	335,340	NA	0.5	190,163	5916.1	196,079	534,360	99,340	0	633,700

Based on direction from PBA, documentation for funding under the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) was prepared for the following projects:

1. ECO-H1A; Repair existing steam distribution system pipe and fittings.
2. ECO-H2D; Install economizers on surplus boilers in Building 32-060 combined with ECO-H3B; Improve efficiency of existing boilers in Building 33-060.
3. ECO-C3C; Repair existing compressed air system pipe and fittings.
4. ECO-E4; Replace pump motors in Building 42-210 with energy efficient motors.

5.7 OPERATIONAL AND POLICY CHANGE RECOMMENDATIONS

Boiler Operations

1. Proper operation of the boilers at PBA has been neglected for some time. Communication and coordination between boiler operators and the production staff appears to be virtually non-existent. The steam consumers are unconcerned about their steam use because there is no penalty for squandering steam energy. No one appears to know exactly what system pressure should be maintained to meet the process equipment requirements. The entire system is currently being operated at 120 psig. Operation at 120 psig may not be necessary at all or it may only be required in order to service only one or two buildings. The lowest steam pressure that will produce successful Arsenal performance for winter and summer operations should be

determined immediately. All energy losses due to conduction and leaks can be reduced by operation at lower pressures.

2. Repair or replace the stack gas O₂ meters. All of the boilers in buildings 32-060, 33-060, and 34-060 are equipped with stack O₂ measuring devices that indicate on the control panels residual O₂ concentrations in the stack. Unfortunately, none of the instruments are working properly. There is no single reading more important to the efficient operation of the boilers than stack gas O₂. All O₂ instruments should be refurbished and maintained in good working order. Boiler operators should be encouraged to operate with about 1.7 percent residual O₂ in the stack. All of the fuel will not be burned when operating below 1.7 percent. In addition to wasting costly fuel, operating with excess accumulations of unburned fuel in the boiler can cause boiler explosions. When operating above 1.7 percent O₂, the boiler efficiency suffers and energy and money are wasted. Approximately \$70,000 was wasted in 1995 because the boilers are operating with too much air. If the operator cannot operate the boilers at the proper O₂ level the cause should be addressed immediately. The cost of natural gas for operating these boilers at full load is approximately \$1000 per day.
3. Repair or replace the fuel flow meters. All of the natural gas meters serving buildings 32-060, 33-060, and 34-060 are broken. These meters should be restored to proper service as soon as possible. Each individual boiler should also be equipped with a fuel flow meter. These meters are invaluable in diagnosing problems, cross-checking the steam flow meters and allowing equal share participation of all boilers.
4. Install steam flow meters on all buildings that utilize process steam. The costs of producing a particular product should be known. Process energy is certainly a component of the cost of each product. The Arsenal has about 70 natural gas meters that are read and recorded monthly. The fact that some energy is delivered in the form of steam does not negate the need to meter amount of energy consumed. Steam flow meters should be installed at every process building so that the proper energy costs may be readily attributed to the products produced. A method of billing or allocating energy costs should be determined and applied to all building occupants. This would provide some incentive for the Production Division to consolidate and conserve whenever possible.

Steam Distribution

1. Repair all steam leaks. Over \$470,000 per year is being wasted due to about 128 steam leaks. These leaks are visible to everyone, especially during the winter. All visible or audible steam leaks, no matter how small, should be repaired as soon as possible. In addition to the steam

leaks in the distribution lines the leaks in the individual buildings and mechanical equipment rooms should be repaired. Steam leaks were noted in Buildings 34-140 and 32-720.

2. Turn off the steam supply to the WP area whenever possible. Personnel in charge of WP production should keep the boiler operators informed of their production plans. Steam should never be turned on to the WP area without notifying the boiler operators about eight hours in advance and again about 30 minutes prior to opening the valves. This common courtesy will allow the boiler operators time to anticipate the increase in steam demand by putting another boiler into service.
3. The steam heat tracing in the pollution abatement area, at the in-ground storage tanks, and in the above-ground storage area should be analyzed. These lines currently operate at system pressures of over 100 psig. Since the condensate from the steam heat tracing can not be brought back to the steam plant for safety reasons, then perhaps the lines could be operated at a substantially lower pressure. Steam at 10 psig has a temperature of about 240 degrees F.

Compressed Air Distribution

1. Repair all compressed air leaks. Approximately \$85,000 per year is being wasted due to compressed air leaks. Many of these leaks are audible with out amplification, or a leak detector can be rented for about \$200 per month. All audible compressed air leaks, no matter how small, should be repaired as soon as possible. The following compressed air leaks were noted during the survey of the steam distribution system;

Leak from air line near Building 31-820.

Valve from air line open at Avenue 321A and 322 Street.

Air leak at pipe union next to Building S32-270.

Valve from air line was fully open at Building 33-670.

Leak from air line at Building 34-130.

Two leaks in air line at Building 34-650.

Electric Motors

1. The procurement staff and the departments requesting new motors for PBA facilities should specify premium efficient motors. A very simple spreadsheet computer program could be set up to determine if the additional cost of purchasing a new premium efficient motor would provide an acceptable payback.

6.0 ENERGY AND COST SAVINGS

6.1 POTENTIAL ENERGY AND COST SAVINGS

Based on 1995 energy data, the energy use and costs before and after project implementation are shown in Table 6.1-1.

	Energy Use Before ECO's (MBtu/Year)	Energy Use After ECO's (MBtu/Year)	Energy Cost Before ECO's (\$/Year)	Energy Cost After ECO's (\$/Year)	Percent Reduction
Natural Gas	612,931	422,768	\$1,723,589	\$1,188,842	31%
Electricity	58,052	52,136	\$974,939	\$875,584	10%
Total	670,983	474,904	\$2,698,528	\$2,064,426	29%

The sum of the energy savings for all of the recommended ECO's provide a total natural gas savings of 190,163 MBtu per year and a total electric savings of 5,916 MBtu per year. The total annual energy consumption will be reduced from 670,983 MBtu to 474,904 MBtu. The total annual energy cost will be reduced from \$2,698,528 to \$2,064,426. The result is a total savings of about 196,079 MBtu per year and \$633,700 per year or 29 percent of the current energy use and cost at PBA.

Figures 6.1-1 and 6.1-2 compare the 1995 annual energy consumption and cost with the projected energy consumption and cost after the recommended ECO's are implemented. The natural gas energy consumption and cost are reduced by about 31 percent and the electric energy use and cost will be lowered by approximately 10 percent.

Figure 6.1-1
PBA Annual Energy Use Before and After ECO's
 Electric Use is for Substations A & B Only




Figure 6.1-2
PBA Annual Energy Cost Before and After ECO's
 Electricity Use is for Substations A & B Only

