

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Technical Report	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Intelligent Control of Uncertain System			5. FUNDING NUMBERS AFOSR-TR-97 G: F49620-94-1-0181  0635	
6. AUTHOR(S) A. S. Morse, PI			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Department of Electrical Engineering Yale University P.O. Box 208267 New Haven, CT 06520-8267			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research AFOSR/NM, Building 410 Bolling Air Force Base DC 20332-6448			11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approval for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; opacity: 0.5; transform: rotate(-15deg); position: absolute; top: 50%; left: 50%; pointer-events: none;">19971203 150</div>				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  This project has developed provably correct architectures and reconfiguring algorithms for controlling processes whose dynamical models may change drastically due to aging, component failure or other unpredictable events. With AFOSR support, the devising, testing and analysis of a provably correct, "smart." high-level controller called a <i>supervisor</i> has been completed. The supervisor is capable of controlling the set-point of a very poorly modelled process by orchestrating the of switching a sequence of candidate, off-the-shelf, linear set-point controllers into feedback with the process. The provable features of the overall supervisory control system include robustness to unmodelled dynamics, noise and disturbances, as well as exponential convergence in the absence of noise. With the ultimate goal of extending these ideas to the supervision of families of nonlinear regulators, it has been shown that the any certainty equivalence control causes the familiar interconnection of a controlled process and associated output estimator to be <i>detectable</i> through the estimator's output error, for every frozen value of the index or parameter vector upon which both the estimator and controller dynamics depend. The concept of supervisory control has been successfully applied, both in simulations and in laboratory experiments, to the problem of auto-calibrating a stereo-vision based system for driving a rigid mobile robot to a prescribed target.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Adaptive Control, Nonlinear Systems, Visual Servoing			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

# 1 Introduction

This is the final technical report on research carried out with the support of AFOSR under Grant F4920-94-1-0181. The report consists of the following sections:

1. Introduction
2. Work Accomplished
3. Publications
4. Individuals Involved in the Research Effort

# 2 Work Accomplished

With AFOSR support we have prepared and/or published during the three year grant period April 1, 1996 – March 31, 1997, one post workshop volume [1], four full-length technical papers [2] - [5], two full-length plenary review articles [6], [7], one full-length historical paper [8], [9], and eleven conference papers [10] - [20]. Three additional full-length papers will be published this year [21] - [23] and two full-length technical reports [24],[25] and one doctoral thesis [26] are near completion.

Our research has been concerned with the study of a number of different classes of logic-based switching control systems. By a logic-based switching controller is meant a controller whose subsystems include not only familiar dynamical components {integrators, summers, gains, etc.} but logic-driven elements as well. A general overview of this area can be found in [6]. This paper documents the content of a plenary lecture delivered by the P. I. at the Third European Control Conference in September, 1995. The P. I. also organized a workshop on logic-based switching which took place on Block Island, R. I. at the end of September, 1995. Reference [11] discusses a variety of unresolved issues concerned with logic and switching while [1] is a post-workshop volume which discusses a wide range of ideas and applications.

Although logic-based switching control is a broad field with many different lines of inquiry, we've devoted much of our effort to the application of logic-based switching to the following basic problem: Construct a control system capable of driving to and holding at a prescribed set-point  $r$ , the output  $y$  of a process modeled by a dynamical system with large scale structured uncertainty. Toward this end we've completed basic development, testing and analysis of a "smart," high-level controller called a *supervisor* which is capable of controlling the set-point of a very poorly modelled process by orchestrating the of switching a sequence of candidate, off-the-shelf, set-point controllers into feedback with the process [5], [21]. The supervisory control system

- is very easy to implement. Supervisory control employs logic and control parameter switching rather than dynamical parameter tuning. Switching logics seem to be easier

to implement than dynamical parameter tuners. We've developed a Matlab Simulink implementation of a generic supervisor which can be downloaded to C-code for real-time applications. The supervisor module is available via the World Wide Web.

- is compatible with off-the-shelf, non-adaptive set-point controllers
- is provably correct
- is inherently robust to modeling errors
- does not require a persistently exciting probing signal
- does not require a priori knowledge of disturbance or noise bounds
- does not attempt to estimate disturbance or noise bounds
- cannot be destabilized by noise or disturbance inputs
- performs very well in simulation and laboratory experiments.

Provably correct algorithms for supervising families of discrete-time controllers and families of two-degree-of-freedom controllers can be found in [23] and [3] respectively. In [4] the concept of "cyclic switching" is combined with the architecture described in [5] to deal with unavoidable singularities in parameter space where certainty equivalence control is impossible.

Reference [15] and [13] represent our first attempts to use switching and logic to improve the performance of a linear system, whose norm bound on unmodelled dynamics is uncertain; many of the complexities normally associated with switching vector fields are avoided here by clever use of the Youla parameterization.

At the present time, the only provably correct techniques we know of for dealing with uncertain nonlinear dynamical systems with non-globally Lipschitz nonlinearities, are parameter adaptive methods which employ nonlinear damping and back-stepping. Successful as they have been in dealing with here-to-fore unresolved problems, these concepts are known to result in complicated algorithms which, because of "adaptive zero-canceling," are limited to special types nonlinear systems - e.g., those with stable zero dynamics. In view of the simple structure and inherent robustness of the supervisory control architecture we've been discussing, we've been led to ask if these ideas can be used to advantage in dealing with nonlinear dynamics. References [12], [14] and [19] represent first steps along these lines; in [14] we show that the architecture we've been pursuing can yield semi-global results for a prototype one-dimensional, uncertain nonlinear model. A broad overview of these findings can be found in [7]. This paper documents the content of a plenary lecture delivered by the P. I. at the XI Congresso Brasileiro de Automática in São Paulo, Brazil in September 1996.

Essentially all of our work concerned with the supervision of families of linear and nonlinear controllers, exploits the concept of "certainty equivalence." Certainty equivalence is a

well known heuristic idea which advocates that in an adaptive context, the feedback control to an imprecisely modeled process should, at each instant of time, be designed on the basis of a current estimate of what the process model is, with the understanding that each such estimate is to be viewed as correct even though it may not be. On the surface justification for certainty equivalence seems self-evident: if process model estimates converge to the “true” process model, then a certainty equivalence based controller ought to converge to the nonadaptive controller which would have been implemented had there been no plant uncertainty. The problem with this justification is that because of noise and unmodelled dynamics, process model estimates don’t typically converge to the true process model – even in those instances where certainty equivalence controls can be shown to perform in a satisfactory manner. A more convincing justification stems from the fact that any {stabilizing} certainty equivalence control causes the familiar interconnection of a controlled process and associated output estimator to be *detectable* through the estimator’s output error  $e_p$ , for every frozen value of the index or parameter vector  $p$  upon which both the estimator and controller dynamics depend. Detectability is key because adaptive controller tuning/switching algorithms are invariably designed to make  $e_p$  small – and so with detectability, smallness of  $e_p$  ensures smallness of the state of the controlled process and estimator interconnection. The fact that certainty equivalence implies detectability has been known for some time – this has been shown to be so whenever the process model is linear and the controller and estimator models are also linear for every frozen value of  $p$ . In [24] use is made of recently introduced concepts of input-to-state stability and detectability [6] for nonlinear systems, to prove that the same implication is valid in a more general, nonlinear setting.

We’ve studied the potential applicability of logic-based switching and supervision to problems in computer vision and especially vision-based control [2] [16], [20]. One of the specific problems undertaken was to develop the results needed to apply supervisory control to the problem of auto-calibrating a stereo vision-based, set-point tracking control system. One of the technical issues which arises in this context is to prove, for a particular state dependent matrix  $J$  which is always singular, and a tracking error  $e$ , that  $Je = 0$  implies  $e = 0$ ; by appealing to the underlying geometry of the vision system being considered, we’ve been able to show that this is in fact so [26]. This finding proves for the first time, that image-based motion control of a mobile robot to a fixed target will in fact accomplish the positioning task. This finding paves the way for the development of a correctness proof for a switching logic and architecture devised to calibrate and re-calibrate on the fly, a closed-loop camera navigation system for a mobile robot. The system of interest is described in [16]. In this paper, a robot-video camera system is modelled as a linear system with an unknown but slowly varying coefficient matrix. Because the slowly varying coefficient matrix is actually a state-dependent Jacobian matrix, the results obtained are valid only for slowly moving robots. Reference [20] seeks to overcome this limitation by using actual nonlinear camera models. Because camera models depend nonlinearly on camera parameters, the development of an algorithm capable of calibrating on-line using real operating data, is intractable. One way to side-step the tractability problem, is to restrict the uncertainty to a finite but large number of possible models. This is the approach taken in this paper.

Even with a finite number of candidate camera models, the self-calibration problem remains formidable, because each such model is nonlinear and because the number of candidate models is initially large. The basic approach taken is to use an estimator-based supervisor together with a certainty equivalence control. In addition, to shrink the uncertainty, falsification {a form of model invalidation} is used together with a well-known algebraic relation from computer vision called the “epipolar constraint” to enable the supervisor to eliminate over time unpromising candidate camera models. What results proves to be a semi-global stable system.

### 3 Publications

- [1] A. S. Morse, editor. *Control Using Logic-Based Switching*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences 222. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [2] G. D. Hager, W-C Chang, and A. S. Morse. Robot hand-eye coordination based on stereo vision. *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, 15(1):30–39, February 1995.
- [3] A. S. Morse. Supervisory control. In K. J. Astrom, G. C. Goodwin, and P. R. Kumar, editors, *Proceedings of the IMA Workshop on Adaptive Control, Filtering and Signal Procession*, pages 241–270. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [4] A. S. Morse. Logic-based switching and control. In B. A. Francis and A. R. Tannenbaun, editors, *Feedback Control, Nonlinear Systems, and Complexity*, pages 173–195. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [5] A. S. Morse. Supervisory control of families of linear set-point controllers - part 1: Exact matching. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, pages 1413–1431, oct 1996.
- [6] A. S. Morse. Control using logic-based switching. In A. Isidori, editor, *Trends in Control*, pages 69–113. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [7] A. S. Morse. Supervision of families of linear and nonlinear controllers. In *Proceedings XI Congresso Brasileiro de Automática in São*, pages 1–24, sep 1996.
- [8] A. S. Morse. Overcoming the obstacle of high relative degree. *Journal of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers*, 34(8):629–636, 1995.
- [9] A. S. Morse. Overcoming the obstacle of high relative degree. *European Journal of Control*, 2(1):29–35, 1996.
- [10] A. S. Morse. Cyclic switching and supervisory control. In *Proceedings of the 1995 IFAC Symposium on Nonlinear Control Systems Design*, pages 499–502. 1995.

- [11] A. S. Morse. Issues concerned with the development of controllers which employ switching and logic. In *Preprints, Block Island Workshop on Control Using Logic-Based Switching*, pages 155–219, 1995.
- [12] J. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Towards the supervisory control of nonlinear regulators. In *Proc SPIE Symposium on Smart Structures and Materials*. SPIE, 1996.
- [13] J. P. Hespanha. Towards the high performance control of uncertain processes via supervision. In *Proceedings Conference on Information Science and Systems*. Princeton University, 1996.
- [14] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Supervisory control of families of nonlinear regulators. In *Proceedings Conference on Information Science and Systems*, pages 765–768. Princeton University, 1996.
- [15] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Supervisory control of families of stabilizing controllers. Technical Report 9502, Lab. for Control Science & Eng., Yale University, December 1995. Presented at the 12th Int. Symposium on the Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, St. Louis, MO.
- [16] W-C Chang, A. S. Morse, and G. D. Hager. A calibration-free, self-adjusting stereo visual control system. In *Proceedings of the 13th World Congress, IFAC*, pages 343–348, 1996.
- [17] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Stabilization of nonholonomic integrators via logic-based switching. In *Proc. 13th World Congress, IFAC*, pages 467–473, 1996.
- [18] D. Borrelli and A. S. Morse. Discrete-time supervisory control of families of linear set-point controllers. In *Proc. 13th World Congress, IFAC*, pages 211–216, 1996.
- [19] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Supervision of families of nonlinear controllers. In *Proceedings 1996 IEEE conference on Decision and Control*, pages 3772–3773, 1996.
- [20] W-C Chang and A. S. Morse. Control of a rigid robot using an uncalibrated stereo vision system. In *Proc. 1997 ACC*, 1997.
- [21] A. S. Morse. Supervisory control of families of linear set-point controllers - part 2: Robustness. Technical report, Yale University, Nov 1994. revised version dated August 8, 1996 to appear in *IEEE TAC*.
- [22] P. Belhumeur, J. Hespanha, and D. Kriegman. Eigenfaces vs. linear projection. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Intelligence*, 1997. to appear.
- [23] D. Borrelli, A. S. Morse, and E. Mosca. Discrete-time supervisory control of families of 2-degree of freedom linear set-point controllers. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1997. to appear.

- [24] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Certainty equivalence implies detectability. In *Proceedings of the Joint Conference on Information Sciences*, mar 1997. also available as Yale Technical Report.
- [25] J. P. Hespanha and A. S. Morse. Adaptive control revisited. Technical report, Yale University, apr 1997. in preparation.
- [26] Wen-Chung Chang. *Vision-Based Control of Uncertain Systems*. PhD thesis, Yale University, 1997.

## 4 Individuals Involved in the Research Effort

1. A. S. Morse, Principal Investigator
2. W-C Chang, Graduate Student
3. J. P. Hespanha, Graduate Student
4. D. Borrelli, Visiting Graduate Student
5. Shogo Fujji, Visiting Graduate Student
6. G. D. Hager, Faculty
7. E. Mosca, Faculty at University of Florence