

AD _____

AWARD NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4222

TITLE: Utilization of An NCI Infrastructure to Evaluate Breast Cancer Patient Outcomes of Importance in Determining Priorities for New Health Care Reform

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Garrett A. Smith, M.D.
I. Craig Henderson, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco, California 94143-0962

REPORT DATE: September 1997

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

19980721 153

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report 1 Aug 94 - 31 July 97
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Utilization of An NCI Infrastructure to Evaluate Breast Cancer Patient Outcomes of Importance in Determining Priorities for New Health Care Reform			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-94-J-4222
6. AUTHOR(S) Garrett A. Smith, M.D. I. Craig Henderson, M.D.			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, California 94143-0962			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT This project continues to develop and refine a novel clinical curriculum that utilizes resources from diverse disciplines. I have combined sub-specialty training in oncology with formal courses in biostatistics, epidemiology, and health policy research, in order to develop expertise in clinical trials methodology and health care reform. The Clinical Research Scholars Program served as a foundation in outcomes research during the first year of the project. The second year of the project focused on an in depth analysis of high-dose chemotherapy with peripheral blood stem cell transplant as a treatment for breast cancer. Specifically, outcomes research methodology highlighted a comprehensive review of HDC for breast cancer, and compared this technology to other new treatments for breast cancer. Technology assessment serves a vital role the design of clinical pathways in an expanding managed care marketplace. Specific evidence-based guidelines were generated for Blue Cross/Blue Shiel of Michigan (BCBSM) in an attempt to clarify the controversy surrounding the investigational nature of HDC, and explore the impact of this new technology on practice patterns and 3rd-party payers coverage. During the final phase of the training grant a new Managed-Care oncology practice will be created at the University of California, San Francisco with particular emphasis on quality-of life and evidence-based practice guidelines. The goal is to incorporate outcomes assessment into a Managed Care marketplace.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer outcomes research, managed care, bone marrow transplant, clinical curriculum			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 15
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the US Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

NA In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

NA For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

NA In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

NA In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

NA In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Conrad C. Lunt 8/28/91
PI - Signature Date

Table of Contents

Front Cover	p. 1
SF 298	p. 2
Foreword	p. 3
Table of Contents	p. 4
Introduction	p. 5
Body	p. 9
Conclusions	p 15

INTRODUCTION

Background

Last year 182, 000 women in the United States were diagnosed with breast cancer. Experts agree that median survival has not changed appreciably in the past 5 decades, and it is estimated that over 45,000 women will die each year from metastatic disease. Because so many women are affected, breast cancer research receives the largest allocation of federal funding in this country. In 1993, over 260 million federal research dollars will be invested in breast cancer research. This funding does not include the enormous resources spent each year by third-party insurers for the screening, diagnosis and treatment of women with breast cancer in the United States.

High-dose chemotherapy with autologous bone marrow transplantation (HDC/ABMT) technology has advanced dramatically over the past decade. Enthusiasm for this method of treatment has flourished, despite the absence of any prospective randomized data comparing this investigational treatment to conventional chemotherapy for advanced disease. A variety of studies clearly document higher complete response rates and overall response rates in patients treated with HDC/ABMT. However, these patients have similar survival duration, and the duration of response is no different when HDC patients are compared to patients treated with conventional therapy. Thus, the lack of controlled clinical trials has resulted in data which are extremely difficult to interpret and public expectations which are currently unfounded. Nevertheless, public expectation of this treatment far exceeds the reality of published results.

HDC/ABMT has generated an intense health policy debate, because the financial cost of such treatment is enormous. Third-party insurers still consider this treatment investigational, and frequently deny coverage for the \$60,000-\$100,000 estimated cost for each transplant. As a result, many patients are now suing insurance carriers who don't cover this therapy. The Managed Care environment has also forced hospitals to reconsider widespread application of a once profitable treatment, as they have been forced to negotiate for HDC/ABMT contracts, often at or below cost.

As a consequence of uncontrolled trials, data exists that allows an investigator to support a variety of conclusions. This dilemma is highlighted by two large literature reviews that

appeared almost simultaneously in 1992 oncology journals, but came to opposite conclusions. K. Antman, a pioneer in the development of high-dose chemotherapy regimens used with autologous bone marrow transplant, reviewed all trials in which women with advanced breast cancer who were treated with bone marrow transplantation. In her article, "Progress in Chemotherapy for Metastatic Breast Cancer" (*Seminars in Oncology* 19: 317-332, 1992), three sets of uncontrolled studies were viewed favorably based on a comparison of complete response rates to historical controls. She concludes that, "un-maintained responses appear to be encouraging in patients who are transplanted early in the course of their disease, and after a good response to standard dose chemotherapy."

David Eddy of the Center for Health Policy Research and Education at Duke University, and advisor to the Blue Cross / Blue Shield National Association Technology Assessment Panel reaches an entirely different conclusions from the same clinical trials data. His review, "High-Dose Chemotherapy with Autologous Bone Marrow Transplantation for the Treatment of Metastatic Breast Cancer" (*Journal of Clinical Oncology* 10: 657-670, 1992), explains that "firm conclusions are not possible because of the lack of controlled studies, and the presence of numerous biases.

I. Craig Henderson also asserts that current breast cancer trials in women with advanced disease are "sufficiently promising to justify comparative trials, but insufficient to conclude that the therapy is superior to more conventional treatments." In his editorial, "Window of Opportunity," he further outlines the scope of the debate involving the high costs of bone marrow transplant in terms of toxicity and the shrinking resources available to fund large clinical trials. (*Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 83: 894-896, 1991) Henderson reports that the National Blue Cross / Blue Shield Association recently created a fund to help the National Cancer Institute sponsor clinical trials. Such collaboration between third-party payers and clinical investigators is imperative in the current setting of health care reform, in order to cover the "costs of legitimate research approved by peer review groups outside the investigator's own institution."

The controversy surrounding high-dose chemotherapy as a treatment for breast cancer has recently intensified with the first publication of a randomized trial supporting concept of dose-intensity. (*Journal of Clinical Oncology* 13(10):2483-2489, 1995) High dose chemotherapy (HD-CNV) was administered as a two step tandem regimen at doses of 2.4 g/m² cyclophosphamide, 35-45 mg/m² of mitoxantrone, and 2.5 g/m² of etoposide. The

control arm used 6-8 cycles of a conventional dose schedule (CNVr) with 600 mg/m² of cyclophosphamide, 12 mg/m² of mitoxantrone, and 1.4 mg/m² of vincristine. The response rates were significantly higher in the high-dose patients (95% vs 53%) with 51% of the high-dose patients achieving a complete response. Disease-free survival was prolonged with HD-CNV (80 weeks vs. 34 weeks) and overall survival was twice that of the standard dose regimen (90 weeks vs. 45 weeks). The statistical significance of these survival differences was not reported. Although not definitive, this positive study will be championed by advocates of dose intensity, and health policy decisions regarding patients selection, specific drug regimen, and insurance reimbursement now have an urgent priority.

Proposal Outline

This proposal creates a novel curriculum in clinical outcomes research that utilizes multiple resources from diverse disciplines.

A.G. Mulley, a pioneer in the field of outcomes research, stresses the increasing problems associated with cost and access to health care. In a draft manuscript, "Outcomes Research: Potential, Prospects & Policy Implications," he defines outcomes research as the "generation, collection, and analysis of information about the results or outcomes of medical care for the purpose of learning how to improve those results. He outlines 4 specific types of research that directly applies to the debate about bone marrow transplantation in advanced breast cancer:

- 1) Studies that observe variation in the process of medical care.
- 2) Studies that observe variations in the outcomes of care.
- 3) The development of instruments to measure outcomes of care.
- 4) The study of patient preferences.

Eddy also addresses the issues of patient outcomes in his review, when he describes the 5%-15% treatment related mortality involved in bone marrow transplantation, as well as the complication rate of 30%. He defines outcomes that have not yet been specifically analyzed in current clinical trials, to the detriment of drawing legitimate conclusions.

- 1) Overall survival
- 2) Relief of symptoms
- 3) Risk of treatment
- 4) Side effects of treatment

Eddy finally concludes that response rates are not sufficient outcomes, and thus, can not be sole basis for treatment decisions. Instead researchers must define how patient outcomes can and should affect medical decision making. Outcomes research methodology will provided useful tools for the analysis of the transplant controversy. Specific questions to be addressed remain, which have critical importance in resource allocation and health care reform:

- 1) Do women live longer with a bone marrow transplant
- 2) Do women spend a significantly greater period of time without side effects of therapy or symptoms of disease than they would with conventional therapy?
- 3) What do women understand are the benefits of transplant?
- 4) What are physician expectations for this therapy?
- 5) Do the benefits of treatment justify the costs?

Thus, high-dose chemotherapy with autologous bone marrow transplant represents an enormous public health issue, and this clinical fellowship proposal has been developed to prepare a clinical oncologist for the academic arena of health services research and health care reform. Sub-specialty training in medical oncology will be combined with formal courses in biostatistics, health services research and technology assessment, in order to develop expertise in clinical trials methodology and health policy issues.

Preliminary work based on this training will involve the development of specific outcome models and instruments to assess individual patient preferences and satisfaction with various treatment options. Such tools will be invaluable in an analysis of the quality of medical care received by women with breast cancer in the United States. Moreover, they are important measurements of the impact that existing physician practice has on the quality and cost of health care. The expanding presence of Managed Care in California has made outcomes research a vital priority, as evidence-based medicine will soon dictate breast cancer practice patterns and insurance coverage.

BODY OF REPORT

YEAR 1

I successfully completed both objectives for the first year of the project.

Develop an in-depth understanding of the natural history and medical management of breast cancer.

The essential core of this curriculum involves in-depth specialty training in the treatment of breast cancer patients. I have completed the clinical fellowship in medical oncology at the University of California, San Francisco, and focus on the outpatient care of women with breast cancer at the UCSF / Mount Zion Breast Cancer Clinic. This multi-disciplinary clinic provides a unique opportunity to focus on a select group of patients under the guidance of Drs. I. Craig Henderson, Chris Benz, Charles Dolbaum and Debu Tripathy from the division of Medical Oncology, Drs. Laura Esserman and William Goodson from the Department of Surgery, and Dr. Laurence Margolis from the department of Radiation Oncology. Drs. Henderson, Tripathy, Esserman and Margolis have primary offices in the Breast Clinic, and will provide daily instruction. All of these physicians are breast cancer specialists, who serve as vital resources during weekly clinics and conferences. I will continue to participate in this weekly clinic and multi-disciplinary conference for the entire 3-year fellowship training project.

As a result of my participation in the breast cancer multi-disciplinary clinic, I have developed two Phase II/III clinical trials involving Vinorelbine as a treatment for metastatic breast cancer. These studies will incorporate significant quality-of-life and resource utilization outcomes that are a direct result of this project. Both trials have just been accepted by the Human Subjects Committee, and are open for patient accrual.

I have also expanded my role in the clinic to include primary teaching responsibilities. I directly supervise fellows in our Division, and have precepted numerous medical students and residents. I particularly emphasize

cost-effectiveness, managed care and quality of life issues in the care of patients with breast cancer.

Master the methodology of clinical trial design

During the first year of this research fellowship, I completed the Clinical Research Scholars Program at U.C.S.F. This combined program in the departments of Medicine, Epidemiology, and Biostatistics consisted of a 1-year core curriculum in clinical research, with a specific goal to "train the scholar to conceive, plan, and conduct state of the art clinical research, and analyze the results of research appropriately." The Clinical Scholars Program included comprehensive training in statistical methods and data analysis, as well as the specifics of clinical trial design. Particularly relevant topics included decision analysis, cost-effectiveness research, and computer-based data management. During the monthly "Work in Progress Seminar," I designed a Phase II trial involving Vinorelbine and Paclitaxel as a combination treatment for metastatic breast cancer. This trial has been approved by the Human Subjects Committee, and will be open to patient accrual in September.

The second half of the course involved in depth health care policy and outcomes research, and was taught by faculty from both the Department of Epidemiology and the Institute for Health Policy Studies. These 3 hour/week didactic sessions have provided an excellent foundation for future independent study, particularly cost-benefit analysis and managed care issues in health policy.

YEAR 2

Based on the foundation provided by the breast clinic and the Clinical Scholars Program, I completed two key objectives during the second year of the project:

Learn the fundamentals of patient outcomes research, medical economics, and health care policy, in order to critique a new technology.

This fellowship proposal involves patient outcomes research training, and the application of specific tools to examine patient outcomes in the development and implementation of high-dose chemotherapy (HDC/ABMT) for breast cancer.

A) Critical literature reviews

During the second phase of my training, I published a comprehensive review of high-dose chemotherapy with autologous bone marrow transplant for women with breast cancer, which emphasized the health policy debate surrounding this controversial treatment (Smith, GA, Henderson, IC, "High-dose Chemotherapy with Autologous Bone Marrow Transplantation for the Treatment of Breast Cancer: The Jury Is Still Out," *Important Advances in Oncology* 1995, DeVita, V.T. ed, 1995, pp. 201-214.). This article provided an opportunity to review the available literature, and critically examine the issues surrounding an investigational therapy.

I have also completed a manuscript which examines the role of Phase I/II investigational therapies in the breast cancer armamentarium. (Smith, GA, Henderson, IC, "New Treatments for Breast Cancer," *Seminars in Oncology* 23(4) pp. 506-528.) In this review, I included the results from the first published randomized controlled trial which involved HDC/ABMT as a treatment for advanced breast cancer. Until more supportive data are available, HDC is not an established standard therapy and remains investigational at this time.

In collaboration with Dr. Henderson, I have completed a manuscript draft entitled "Management of Metastatic Breast Cancer," which provides a detailed review of chemotherapy treatment options for women with breast cancer. I specifically critique HDC in detail, and examine the impact of patient selection, small numbers

of patients, and brief follow up, which introduces substantial bias and must temper the preliminary results reported to date. I also emphasize outcomes research methodology in an attempt to define treatments guidelines from an evidence-based medicine approach. My major emphasis will be the study of patient preferences, physician decision-making, quality of life issues associated with high-dose chemotherapy and peripheral blood stem cell support as a treatment for breast cancer. Specifically, I will utilized cost-effectiveness and decision analysis methodology to create a detailed algorithms in order to identify the optimal treatment for specific groups of women with advanced breast cancer and patients who would be appropriate candidates for high-dose adjuvant therapy. I expect this model to appear in peer-reviewed literature, and to serve as a tool for managed care and insurance company reimbursement decisions.

B) Blue Cross/Blue Shield Technology Assessment

I had originally intended to base the technology assessment component of this project at the Institute for Health Policy and the National Blue Cross/ Blue Shield Association. Instead I was given the opportunity to work directly with the Michigan Blue Cross /Blue Shield (BCBS) on a specific HDC/ABMT project.

Despite specific exclusions for "investigational or experimental therapies" in their health plan, BCBS has been forced to provide coverage for HDC as a treatment for breast cancer, despite the absence of conclusive data to support its use as a standard therapy. In preparation for extensive class action litigation, BCBS of Michigan solicited a comprehensive assessment of HDC/PBSC for breast cancer. I completed an exhaustive review of the medical literature for Medical Director Seymore Adelson M.D., and provided a detailed annotated bibliography to serve as a permanent resource for BCBS, and as a general reference for their legal counsel.

I also critically interpreted all of the available evidence, and prepared a technology assessment overview of HDC/ABMT for metastatic and high-risk primary breast cancer. I incorporated cost-effectiveness and decision analysis information that has become available, and determined that at the present time (5/96) that high-dose chemotherapy with peripheral blood stem cell transplantation remain investigational.

This project remains active, and I anticipate a future role as an advisor to the Michigan group as they deal with the evolving HDC/ABMT technology, and pending litigation. I expect to participate in the process of implementing new policies for coverage for their members.

YEAR 3

Apply the foundations acquired in the training curriculum to incorporate outcomes methodology into a managed care environment

The ultimate goal of my proposal will be to apply the diverse outcomes research training background to develop specific breast cancer research projects.

A) Lange Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment

I am the principle editor for a new oncology edition of the Lange series textbook scheduled for publication in 1997. I will emphasize HDC/ABMT, technology assessment and outcomes research in a special chapter entitled "Managed Care Oncology." I believe that the ultimate adoption of HDC technology can only be based on a comprehensive analysis of cost-effectiveness, quality-of-life, and overall survival. The driving force will likely be health care administrators, not research physicians.

This material will serve as an excellent example of the goals of entire project, and I plan to present the information at the Breast Cancer Research Program in the Spring of 1997.

B) Inpatient Hospitalist at UCSF

As a result of this training grant, I have embarked on a clinical pathway at the University of California, San Francisco. The health care arena has prompted academic centers to change dramatically to face new economic realities (Wachter, R.M., Goldman, L., "The Emerging Role of 'Hospitalists' in the

American health Care System," *New England Journal of Medicine*, 335(7) pp. 514-517.

I am an Assistant Clinical Professor on the inpatient medical service, where I directly supervise and teach a group of students and residents. I particularly emphasize outcomes research techniques such as decision analysis, cost-effectiveness, and evidence-based medicine. The goal of the new inpatient service is to optimize the value of care in academic hospitals, which results from improving quality and reducing cost. Currently I attend on the wards 4 months a year, and emphasize breast cancer as a model for clinical epidemiology and outcomes research. The technology assessment example provided by HDC/ABMT serves as a valuable template for housestaff training.

C. Managed Care at UCSF

I have developed a plan, in conjunction with Dr. Lee Goldman, to transform an existing private oncology practice into a Managed Care faculty practice. Dr. Sam Spivack will retire 4/97, and has agreed to transition his patients to my care. He has over 450 patients in a formerly fee-for-service setting. I will re-define the role of a community oncologist by incorporating evidence-based medical care with new oncology practice guidelines.

I hope to transform this practice into an academic, Managed Care oncology office which can care for patients, and serve as a productive database for quality outcomes research projects at UCSF in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

This annual report documents the successful completion of the first 2 years of a three year novel clinical education in outcomes research. I have completed sub-specialty training in breast cancer through participation in a unique, multi-disciplinary clinic at the University of California, San Francisco. This training has resulted in several independent Phase II/III trials of new treatments for breast cancer, which emphasize importance of quality of life and resource utilization outcomes as primary research endpoints. I have also completed the Clinical Research Scholars Program, which has provided an excellent foundation for health care outcomes research.

In second year of the project, I focused on high-dose chemotherapy with bone marrow transplant (HDC/ABMT) as a model for technology assessment. I have 3 breast cancer publications based on this research, which critically examine the evidence supporting HDC/ABMT as a treatment for breast cancer. I continue to serve as a medical advisor for the Michigan Blue Cross/Blue Shield, and have reviewed HDC/ABMT for their legal counsel, and generated recommendations supporting the investigational nature of HDC at this time.

I have also initiated plans to incorporate my outcomes research curriculum into several novel Managed Care projects at UCSF. Specifically, I have become one of the pioneering "hospitalists," emphasizing evidence-based medicine into the inpatient medical service. I have also started to transition a private oncology practice into a Managed-Care program to serve as a clinical resource for subsequent outcomes analysis.