

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate only, other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (07804-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (LEAVE BLANK)		2. REPORT DATE 1998		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Professional Paper
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Modeling Of Pulsed Thermography In Anisotropic Media			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Ignacio Perez, Rachel Santos, Paul Kulowitch and Steven Steven Shepard				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division 22347 Cedar Point Road, Unit #6 Patuxent River, Maryland 20670-1161			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	

MODELING OF PULSED THERMOGRAPHY IN ANISOTROPIC MEDIA

Ignacio Perez, Rachel Santos, Paul Kulowitch and Steven Shepard*

Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division
Materials Division, Patuxent River MD, 20670

Thermal Wave Imaging, Inc.
18899 W. 12 Mile Rd.
Lathrup Village, MI 48076

A simple thermographic model has been developed that accurately describes the surface temperature response of an aluminum panel with flat bottom holes of different depths and diameters to a short heat pulse. This model assumed that a thin layer of material at the surface is instantaneously heated by the pulse, and that subsequent cooling of the surface is due to diffusion of the deposited energy into the bulk of the material. The model accounts for sample thickness, density, specific heat, in-plane and out-of-plane thermal conductivity and defect size and depth. However, heat pulse parameters such as pulse duration and intensity were not included. In this talk we will present experimental and modeling results on graphite epoxy composites with flat bottom holes of different radii and depth. The experimental results were collected with standard pulse thermographic equipment. The experimental data was analyzed with our model. The effects of anisotropy in the thermal conductivity will be presented and discussed.

Dr. Ignacio Perez
Naval Air Warfare Center \Aircraft Division
Code 4.3.4.2, Unit 5, Bldg 2188
Patuxent River MD 20670-5304
Tel. (301) 342-8074
FAX (301) 342-8062

to be presented at the
25th annual Progress in Quantitative Nondestructive Evaluation Conference
Snowbird Conference Center, Snowbird, Utah., July 19 - 24, 1998
Abstracts, Manuscripts, Sessions

Sarah Kallsen or Connie Nessa
qnadel@cnde.iastate.edu
515-294-9749 (phone)
515-294-2367 (fax)

19980810 072

CLEARED FOR
OPEN PUBLICATION

JUN 3 1998

PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE
NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND

H. Howard

BTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1



MODELING OF PULSED THERMOGRAPHY IN ANISOTROPIC MEDIA


By:

Dr. Ignacio Perez
Paul Kulowitch
Rachel Santos
Steven Shepard

OUTLINE

- EXPERIMENTAL
- DATA ANALYSIS
- SIMPLE CALORIMETRIC MODEL
- SIMPLE FINITE ELEMENT MODEL
- EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
- SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

THERMOGRAPHIC SYSTEM



CAMERA SPECIFICATIONS
 Amber Engineering Model AE-4128
 128X128 InSb FPA
 207 frames/s (max)
 Sensitive to 0.01°C


FLASH LAMP SPECIFICATIONS
 Speedtron Model 4803CX Capacitors
 Speedtron Model 206VF Lamps
 Delivers 5KJ per lamp (2) in 5 ms





TEST PANEL & TYPICAL TIME-RESPONSE CURVES



1/8" Thick Al-7075 panel




1" Diameter Holes



EXPERIMENTAL DATA

CONTRAST vs DEPTH






NO LATERAL HEAT CONDUCTIVITY APPROXIMATION

FLAT
BOTTOM
HOLE


NO LATERAL
CONDUCTION
APPROXIMATION

$$q = m \cdot c \cdot \Delta T$$



$$q_2 = \rho \cdot A_2 \cdot t \cdot c \cdot T_2$$

$$q_1 = \rho \cdot A_1 \cdot d \cdot c \cdot T_1$$



$$\Delta T = \frac{Q}{\rho \cdot c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t} \right)$$

$$\Delta T = T_1 - T_2$$

$$Q = q/A$$


CONTRAST PROPERTIES

$$\Delta T = \frac{Q}{\rho \cdot c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t} \right)$$


1. THE CONTRAST (ΔT) INCREASES LINEARLY WITH THE AMOUNT OF DEPOSITED ENERGY PER UNIT AREA (Q).
2. THE HIGHER THE SPECIFIC HEAT-DENSITY OF A MATERIAL ($\rho c \uparrow$) THE SMALLER THE PEAK CONTRAST ($\Delta T \downarrow$)
3. THE CLOSER THE DEFECT TO THE SURFACE ($d \rightarrow 0$) THE HIGHER THE PEAK CONTRAST ($\Delta T \rightarrow \infty$).
4. AS THE DEFECT DEPTH APPROACHES THE PANEL THICKNESS ($d \rightarrow t$) THE CONTRAST VANISHES ($\Delta T \rightarrow 0$).
5. FOR A GIVEN DEFECT DEPTH D, THE THICKER THE PANEL ($t \rightarrow \infty$) THE LARGER THE CONTRAST ($\Delta T \rightarrow Q/\rho c d$).

SIMPLE MODEL CORRELATION (no lateral heat flow)


CONTRAST vs DEPTH





DEPTH OF RESOLUTION vs ENERGY



SIMPLE FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION



Sample



$$\rho \cdot A_1 \cdot p \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_1}{dt} = k \cdot A_1 (T_1' - T_1) + k_L \cdot A_p (T_2 - T_1)$$

$$\rho \cdot A_2 \cdot p \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_2}{dt} = k \cdot A_2 (T_2' - T_2) + k_L \cdot A_p (T_1 - T_2)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\rho \cdot A_2 \cdot h \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_2''}{dt} = k \cdot A_2 (T_2' - T_2'')$$

k = Effective Contact Normal Thermal Conductivity

k_L = Effective Contact Lateral Thermal Conductivity



MODEL ASSUMPTIONS



- THE ENERGY "Q" IS ABSORBED BY A THIN LAYER OF THICKNESS "p". THE EXPRESSIONS DERIVED IN THIS WORK ARE DERIVED IN THE LIMIT WHEN " $p \rightarrow 0$ "
- NO ENERGY IS DISSIPATED RADIATIVELY OR CONVECTIVELY TO THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT
- THE CONDUCTANCE "K" BETWEEN ELEMENTS CAN HAS BEEN EXPRESSED AS " $K = k A/l$ ". THE LATERAL AND NORMAL CONDUCTIVITIES ARE ASSUMED TO BE DIFFERENT

LATERAL HEAT FLOW EFFECTS (effective contact conductivity model)

$$\Delta T(t) = \frac{Q}{\rho c \cdot d \cdot (1 - a + r)} \left(e^{-\frac{a \cdot k}{d \cdot \rho c} t} - e^{-\frac{1+r \cdot k}{d \cdot \rho c} t} \right)$$

$$t_{\text{peak}} = \frac{\rho c}{k} \frac{d}{1 - a + r} \ln \frac{1 + r}{a}$$

$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{t_o}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{t_o} \right]^{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{t_o}} \right\}$$

**LATERAL HEAT
FACTOR**


$$a = \frac{k_L \cdot A_L}{k_n \cdot A_n}$$

$$h = t - d$$

$$r = \frac{d}{t - d}$$


THERMAL CONTRAST PREDICATIONS (effective contact conductivity model)

Fit of Contrasts Curves



$$\Delta T(t) = \frac{Q}{\rho c \cdot d \cdot (1 - a + r)} \left(e^{-\frac{a}{d} \frac{k}{\rho c} t} - e^{-\frac{1+r}{d} \frac{k}{\rho c} t} \right)$$


CONTRAST vs DEPTH



$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{t_o}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{t_o} \right] \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{t_o}} \right\}$$

LATERAL HEAT FACTOR (effective contact conductivity model)

Lateral Heat Factor



$$\Delta T_{peak} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{t_o}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{t_o} \right]^{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{t_o}} \right\}$$

CONTRAST PROPERTIES (specific thermal conductivity)



$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{t_o}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{t_o} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{t_o}} \right\} \right\}$$

$$a = \frac{k_L \cdot A_L}{k_n \cdot A_n}$$

$$h = t - d$$

1. THE CONTRAST (ΔT) INCREASES LINEARLY WITH THE AMOUNT OF DEPOSITED ENERGY PER UNIT AREA (Q).
2. THE HIGHER THE SPECIFIC HEAT-DENSITY OF A MATERIAL ($\rho c \uparrow$) THE SMALLER THE PEAK CONTRAST ($\Delta T \downarrow$)
3. THE CLOSER THE DEFECT TO THE SURFACE ($d \rightarrow 0$) THE HIGHER THE PEAK CONTRAST ($\Delta T \rightarrow \infty$).
4. AS THE DEFECT DEPTH APPROACHES THE PANEL THICKNESS ($d \rightarrow t$) THE CONTRAST VANISHES ($\Delta T \rightarrow 0$).
5. FOR A GIVEN DEFECT DEPTH D , THE THICKER THE PANEL ($t \rightarrow \infty$) THE LARGER THE CONTRAST ($\Delta T \rightarrow Q/\rho c d$).

LATERAL HEAT FLOW MODEL (specific thermal conductivity)



$$\rho \cdot A_1 \cdot p \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_1}{dt} = k \cdot \frac{A_1}{p+d} (T_1' - T_1) + k_L \cdot \frac{A_p}{R} (T_2 - T_1)$$

$$\rho \cdot A_2 \cdot p \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_2}{dt} = k \cdot \frac{A_2}{p+d} (T_2' - T_2) + k_L \cdot \frac{A_p}{R} (T_1 - T_2)$$

...

$$\rho \cdot A_2 \cdot h \cdot c \cdot \frac{dT_2''}{dt} = k \cdot \frac{A_2}{h+d} (T_2' - T_2'')$$

k = Thermal Conductivity

k_L = Lateral Thermal Conductivity



LATERAL HEAT FLOW MODEL COMPARISON



SPECIFIC THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

$$K = \frac{k \cdot A}{l}$$

$$\Delta T(t) = \frac{Q}{\rho c \cdot t_o (d - a \cdot h)} \left(e^{-a \frac{k}{\rho c d^2} t} - e^{-\frac{d}{h} \frac{k}{\rho c d^2} t} \right)$$

$$t_{\text{peak}} = \frac{\rho c}{k} d^2 \frac{h}{a \cdot h - d} \ln \frac{a \cdot h}{d}$$

$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{d}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{d} \right] \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{d}} \right\}$$

$$a = \frac{k_L \cdot A_L \cdot d}{k_n \cdot A_n \cdot R}$$

EFFECTIVE CONTACT CONDUCTIVITY

$$K = k \cdot A$$



$$\Delta T(t) = \frac{Q}{\rho c \cdot d \cdot (1 - a + r)} \left(e^{-\frac{a}{d} \frac{k}{\rho c} t} - e^{-\frac{1+r}{d} \frac{k}{\rho c} t} \right)$$

$$t_{\text{peak}} = \frac{\rho c}{k} \frac{d}{1 - a + r} \ln \frac{1 + r}{a}$$


$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{t_o}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{t_o} \right] \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{t_o}} \right\}$$

$$a = \frac{k_L \cdot A_L}{k_n \cdot A_n}$$

EXPERIMENTAL DATA (80% mass removal)




PEAK TEMP. VS DEPT



MODEL CORRELATION (effects of defect size)


$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{d}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{d} \right]^{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{d}} \right\}$$

Effects of Radii




MODEL TIME-RESPONSE PREDICTIONS (varying defect sizes and locations)


Dia = 1.00"




Dia = 0.75"



Dia = 0.50"



Lateral Heat Factor



$$\Delta T_{\text{peak}} = \frac{Q}{\rho c} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{t_o} \right) \cdot \left\{ \frac{d}{a \cdot h} \left[\frac{a \cdot h}{d} \right]^{1 - \frac{a \cdot h}{d}} \right\}$$

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS



- Calorimetric model was developed to predict thermal contrast.
- Model accounts for defect size, location, and lateral conductivity effects.
- Calorimetric model correlates well with experimental results.
- Anisotropic thermal conductivity can be modeled.
- Model accuracy should improve as the element mesh is refined.