

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



# Structure Function Spectra and Acoustic Scattering Due to Homogeneous Isotropic Atmospheric Turbule Ensembles

by George H. Goedecke, Michael DeAntonio, and Harry J. Auvermann

ARL-TR-1518

August 1998

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 7

19980831 012

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

**Army Research Laboratory**

Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

---

ARL-TR-1518

August 1998

---

# Structure Function Spectra and Acoustic Scattering Due to Homogeneous Isotropic Atmospheric Turbule Ensembles

George H. Goedecke and Michael DeAntonio

New Mexico State University  
Department of Physics  
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8001

Harry J. Auvermann

Information Science and Technology Directorate, ARL

---

## Abstract

---

Expressions are derived for the spectral densities  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  of the temperature and velocity structure functions of atmospheric turbulence, and for the corresponding Born approximation far-field acoustic scattering cross-sections, due to homogeneous isotropic stationary ensembles of self-similar localized turbules having many different scale lengths. It is shown that for some range  $K_{\min} \leq K \leq K_{\max}$ , the "inertial range," the spectral densities obey power laws with dependence  $K^{-P_T}$ ,  $K^{-P_v}$ . The exponents  $(P_T, P_v)$  depend only on choices of scaling relations and are independent of turbule morphology. Only  $K_{\min}$ ,  $K_{\max}$ , and the values of the spectral densities outside the inertial range are morphology-dependent. Expressions for  $K_{\min}$  and  $K_{\max}$  are derived in terms of inner and outer scale lengths in the turbule ensemble. If the turbule scale lengths  $a_\alpha$  are chosen to be in geometric sequence ( $a_{\alpha+1}/a_\alpha = \text{constant}$  independent of  $\alpha$ ), and if the power law is given as  $P_T = P_v = 11/3$ , the Kolmogorov spectrum in the inertial range, then not only must the turbule velocity and temperature amplitudes scale as  $a_\alpha^{1/3}$ , the usual result, but also the turbule packing fractions must be independent of scale length. Expressions for the structure parameters  $(C_T^2, C_v^2)$  that occur in the usual Kolmogorov spectra are obtained in terms of the turbule model parameters. It is also shown that quasi-Gaussian spectra result for the choice  $P_T = P_v = 0$  and Gaussian turbule morphology.

---

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Turbule Model of Homogeneous Isotropic Steady-State Atmospheric Turbulence</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Model Turbules . . . . .	3
2.2	Scaling . . . . .	4
2.3	Structure Function Spectra . . . . .	6
2.3.1	General . . . . .	6
2.3.2	Inclusion of Scaling . . . . .	9
2.3.3	Inertial Range Boundaries . . . . .	10
2.4	Morphology Dependence . . . . .	11
2.4.1	Kolmogorov Spectra . . . . .	12
2.4.2	Quasi-Gaussian Spectrum . . . . .	13
2.4.3	Examples . . . . .	14
<b>3</b>	<b>Acoustic Scattering</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Summary and Discussion</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>22</b>
	<b>Distribution</b>	<b>23</b>
	<b>Report Documentation Page</b>	<b>27</b>

---

## Figures

---

1	Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble temperature spectra for $m = 10^{-3}$ as functions of outer scale size parameter $ka_1$ . . . . .	15
2	Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble temperature spectra for $m = 10^{-4}$ as functions of outer scale size parameter $ka_1$ . . . . .	15
3	Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble velocity spectra for $m = 10^{-3}$ as functions of outer scale size parameter $ka_1$ . . . . .	16
4	Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble velocity spectra for $m = 10^{-4}$ as functions of outer scale size parameter $ka_1$ . . . . .	16

---

## 1. Introduction

---

This is the second in a series of technical reports that examine a turbule model of atmospheric turbulence and the acoustic scattering predicted by the model. The previous report [1] laid the groundwork for this and succeeding reports in the series. In Goedecke et al [1], the general properties of the Born approximation far-field acoustic scattering predicted by Monin's equation [2] were obtained. In addition, expressions for the acoustic scattering amplitudes and cross-sections were derived for individual model turbules of a given scale length and for their orientational averages, and it was shown that an ensemble of randomly oriented turbules of arbitrary morphology may be replaced by an equivalent ensemble of spherically symmetric nonuniformly rotating turbules, with randomly directed rotation axes.

In this report, we connect the turbule model predictions to the structure function predictions for the case of isotropic homogeneous fully developed steady-state atmospheric turbulence. This is an essential step toward the ultimate goal of describing acoustic propagation and scattering in inhomogeneous anisotropic turbulence using a turbule model. In section 2, we construct an ensemble of self-similar turbules of many different scale lengths, each with random location in a bounded volume  $V$  and with random orientation. We adopt general scaling laws in which the number of turbules of a given scale length  $a_\alpha$  and their velocity and temperature variation amplitudes scale according to powers of  $a_\alpha$ , and in which the spectrum of scale lengths follows a general power law that includes the usual fractal geometric sequence in which  $a_{\alpha+1}/a_\alpha$  is independent of  $a_\alpha$ . We also show that a kinetic energy cascade model similar to that of Kolmogorov yields one connection among the scaling exponents. We develop expressions for the spectral densities  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  of the temperature and velocity structure functions in terms of these turbule model parameters. We show that a power law scattering spectrum generally exists for some range  $K_{\min} \leq K \leq K_{\max}$ , the "inertial range," in which the cross-sections each depend on  $K$  to some power that could be different for the temperature than for the velocity scattering. We also show that the power laws are independent of turbule morphology, and that only  $K_{\min}$  and  $K_{\max}$  and the behavior of the spectral densities for  $K$  outside the inertial range are morphology dependent. We derive expressions for  $(K_{\min}, K_{\max})$  in terms of the inner and outer scale

length of the ensemble, and the characteristic widths of the spectral densities of individual turbules. We show that, if we wish to obtain a spectrum in which both spectra depend on  $K^{-11/3}$  in the inertial range, then for the fractal sequence only, not only must the velocity and temperature amplitudes scale as  $a_\alpha^{1/3}$ , the usual result, but also the turbule packing fractions must be scale-invariant. We show that a Gaussian scattering spectrum requires quite different scaling exponents than a  $K^{-11/3}$  spectrum. We also obtain expressions in terms of the turbule ensemble parameters for the structure parameters ( $C_T^2, C_v^2$ ) that occur in the Kolmogorov structure functions. We investigate the specific behavior of the spectral densities versus  $K$  for two example turbule structures.

In section 3, we express the Born approximation far-field cross-sections as functions of scattering angle  $\theta$  for acoustic scattering by the velocity and temperature fluctuations of the turbulence derived in section 2, and show under what conditions the cross-sections deviate appreciably from a power law dependence on  $\sin(\theta/2)$ .

Finally, in section 4 we summarize and discuss our results and plans for further work.

---

## 2. Turbulence Model of Homogeneous Isotropic Steady-State Atmospheric Turbulence

---

### 2.1 Model Turbules

We model turbulence contained in a volume  $V_s$  as an ensemble of self-similar stationary localized turbules of different scale lengths. On the average, in  $V_s$  we allow  $N_\alpha$  turbules of scale length  $a_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = (1, N_s)$ , where  $N_s$  is the total number of different scale lengths in the ensemble, so that  $N = \sum_\alpha N_\alpha$  is the total ensemble average number of turbules in  $V_s$ , in steady state. We assume in this report that the  $a_\alpha$  are much smaller than the scale length  $a_s$  of the volume  $V_s$ .

We take  $a_1$  as the largest scale length in the ensemble, and  $a_{N_s}$  as the smallest; these lengths define the outer and inner scales of the turbulence, respectively. Each turbule is characterized by the general scalable static temperature variation and solenoidal velocity fields  $(\Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{r}))$  chosen in the previous report in this series [1]. For turbule number  $n$ , we have

$$\mathbf{v}_{0n}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla_{\xi_n} \times \mathbf{A}_n(\xi_n), \quad \Delta T_{0n}(\mathbf{r}) = T_n(\xi_n) \quad (1)$$

where

$$\xi_n = (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}_n)/a_n, \quad (2)$$

$\mathbf{b}_n$  is the "location" of the turbule, i.e., the point about which the turbule is localized, and  $\mathbf{A}_n(\xi_n)$  is an unrestricted vector field.

For convenience, we allow uncorrelated locations  $\mathbf{b}_n$ . This means that the joint probability distribution for the locations of the  $N$  turbules may be written as

$$P(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N) = p_1(\mathbf{b}_1) \dots p_N(\mathbf{b}_N), \quad (3)$$

the product of  $N$  one-particle distributions, with

$$\int d^3b p_n(\mathbf{b}) = 1, \quad \text{all } n. \quad (4)$$

We also want the turbulence to be homogeneous. This means that the ratios of the number densities of turbules of different scale lengths should be independent of position in  $V_s$ ; this requires

$$p_n(\mathbf{b}) = p(\mathbf{b}), \quad (5)$$

independent of  $n$ . If we also want the turbulence to be uniform in  $V_s$ , then we must choose

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{b}) &= V_s^{-1}, \mathbf{b} \in V_s \\ &= 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

An isotropic ensemble consists of randomly oriented copies of turbules of arbitrary morphology for each scale length, and is thus characterized by spherically symmetric envelope functions  $\tilde{B}_T^2, \tilde{B}_v^2$  as defined by Goedecke et al [1], namely,

$$\langle |\tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n)|^2 \rangle = \pi^3 (\delta T_\alpha)^2 \tilde{B}_T^2(Ka_\alpha) \quad (7)$$

$$\langle \tilde{A}_{ni}(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\langle \tilde{A}_{ni} \tilde{A}_{nj}^*(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \pi^3 \delta_{ij} v_\alpha^2 \tilde{B}_v^2(\mathbf{K}a_\alpha). \quad (9)$$

The  $\tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{y}_n)$  and  $\tilde{A}_{ni}(\mathbf{y}_n)$  are the Fourier transforms of  $T_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$  and  $A_{ni}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$ , respectively, as defined by Goedecke et al [1], namely,

$$\tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{y}) \equiv \int d^3 \boldsymbol{\xi} e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{y}} T_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad \tilde{A}_n(\mathbf{y}) \equiv \int d^3 \boldsymbol{\xi} e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{A}_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad (10)$$

where the integrals are extended over all  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ -space, since the individual turbules are localized and their scale lengths  $a_n$  are assumed to be much smaller than the scale length  $a_s$  of  $V_s$ . Here, the expectation involves averaging over random orientations, so the amplitudes  $(\delta T_\alpha, v_\alpha)$  and the argument of the envelope functions depend only on the scale length index  $\alpha$ . The envelope functions themselves are independent of  $\alpha$ ; that is, they are the same functions of their arguments for all  $\alpha$ . This provides self-similarity. The factors of  $\pi^3$  and  $1/3$  are inserted for convenience as in Goedecke et al [1].

## 2.2 Scaling

In order to describe the complete ensemble, we assume that the quantities  $(N_\alpha, \delta T_\alpha, v_\alpha)$  scale with  $a_\alpha$ . We put

$$\frac{N_\alpha}{N_1} = \left( \frac{a_\alpha}{a_1} \right)^{-\beta}, \quad \left( \frac{\delta T_\alpha}{\delta T_1} \right) = \left( \frac{a_\alpha}{a_1} \right)^\gamma, \quad \left( \frac{v_\alpha}{v_1} \right) = \left( \frac{a_\alpha}{a_1} \right)^\nu, \quad (11)$$

where  $(\beta, \gamma, \nu)$  are parameters. In addition, we must decide how to relate the scale lengths to the index  $\alpha$ . One relation that has been used is [3]

$$a_\alpha = a_1 e^{-\mu(\alpha-1)}, \quad \mu > 0, \quad (12)$$

where  $\mu$  is a parameter that is determined by  $N_s$ , the number of scale lengths, and the ratio  $(a_{N_s}/a_1)$  of inner to outer scale lengths:

$$\mu = -(N_s - 1)^{-1} \ln m, \quad m \equiv a_{N_s}/a_1. \quad (13)$$

Equation (12) implies that the scale lengths form a geometric sequence, in which

$$a_2/a_1 = a_3/a_2 = \dots = e^{-\mu};$$

that is, the ratio of successively smaller scale lengths is a constant whose value lies between zero and unity. This is a kind of fractal scaling [3].

A general power law scaling relation is given by

$$a_\alpha = a_1 (1 + q\mu(\alpha - 1))^{-1/q}, \quad q > 0, \quad \mu > 0, \quad (14)$$

where here  $\mu$  is determined in terms of  $(m, q)$  by

$$\mu = q^{-1}(m^{-q} - 1)/(N_s - 1). \quad (15)$$

Equations (14) and (15) actually reduce to equations (12) and (13) respectively, when  $q$  goes to 0; so in what follows we may use just equations (14) and (15), with  $q \geq 0$ . We will also make use of the Kolmogorov concept of energy transfer in fully developed (steady-state) turbulence, in which energy is provided to the ensemble at the largest or outer scale. The largest eddies continually form but are unstable because of their large Reynolds numbers, and thereby continually fragment into smaller eddies, which in turn fragment further, etc. This cascade continues down to eddies of a size small enough to be stable, that is, to eddies whose Reynolds numbers are of order unity. These smallest or inner scale eddies dissipate almost all the energy that is being input at the largest scale. In steady state, all the ensemble average quantities  $(N_\alpha, v_\alpha, \delta T_\alpha)$ , and the relationships like equations (12) or (14), are constant in time. By dimensional analysis and from the fluid equations, the kinetic energy transfer rate  $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{K\alpha}$  from turbules of scale length  $a_\alpha$  to the next smaller is

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{K\alpha} = (C)(N_\alpha)(a_\alpha^3)(\delta T_\alpha)(v_\alpha^2/a_\alpha), \quad (16)$$

where  $C$  is a constant with dimension of reciprocal volume. That is, the energy transfer rate is proportional to the number of turbules of size  $a_\alpha$  in  $V$ , the volume of each, the kinetic energy per unit mass  $v_\alpha^2/2$  of each, and the characteristic rate of transfer  $v_\alpha/a_\alpha$ . The Kolmogorov model consists of neglecting dissipation in all eddies except the smallest. This means that, in steady state,  $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{K\alpha}$  is independent of  $\alpha$ , for  $\alpha = (1, N_s - 1)$ . Using equation (11) in this model then yields one ratio among the parameters  $(\beta, \nu)$ :

$$\beta = 3\nu + 2. \quad (17)$$

In the atmosphere, the ratios  $|\Delta T_0|/T_\infty$  and  $v_0/c_\infty$  are usually of the same order. This implies that our turbule temperature variation amplitudes  $\delta T_\alpha$  should be proportional to  $v_\alpha$ , whereby we get directly from equation (11)

$$\gamma = \nu. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, if we apply the Kolmogorov energy cascade model to the thermal energy constant of the turbules in our ensemble, we get for the thermal energy transfer rate  $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{T\alpha}$  from turbules of one scale length to the next smaller

$$\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{T\alpha} = (C')(N_\alpha)(a_\alpha^3)(\delta T_\alpha)(v_\alpha/a_\alpha), \quad (19)$$

where  $C'$  is a constant. This says that the energy transfer rate is proportional to the thermal energy content of a turbule, which is proportional to  $\delta T_\alpha$ . If we require this rate to be independent of  $a$ , then we get from equations (11) and (14)

$$\gamma = 2\nu. \quad (20)$$

This is equivalent to stating that  $\delta T_\alpha$  is proportional to  $v_\alpha^2$ . We see in the next section that these two possibilities of equations (18) and (20) yield quite different behavior for the velocity and temperature variation structure functions of the ensemble.

## 2.3 Structure Function Spectra

### 2.3.1 General

The velocity and temperature variation structure functions are important quantities that characterize atmospheric turbulence [4,5]. In this section,

we obtain formulas for the spectral densities of these structure functions from our turbule model, for isotropic homogeneous turbulence.

The temperature variation and velocity fields of the turbulence in  $V_s$  are just the superposition of those of the localized turbules in  $V_s$ :

$$\Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n \Delta T_{0n}(\mathbf{r}), \quad v_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n v_{0n}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (21)$$

We are interested in the Fourier transforms

$$\Delta \tilde{T}_0(\mathbf{K}) \equiv \int_{V_s} d^3r e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}), \quad \tilde{v}_0(\mathbf{K}) \equiv \int_{V_s} d^3r e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{r}). \quad (22)$$

Specifically, we wish to obtain general expressions for the spectral densities

$$\Phi^T(K) \equiv \langle |\Delta T_0(\mathbf{K})|^2 \rangle, \quad \Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K}) = \langle \tilde{v}_{0i}(\mathbf{K}) \tilde{v}_{0j}^*(\mathbf{K}) \rangle, \quad (23)$$

where the expectations  $\langle \rangle$  imply averaging over random turbule locations  $\mathbf{b}$  and random turbule orientations, as discussed in section 2.1 above.

Combination of equations (1), (2), (10), (21), and (22) yields

$$\tilde{v}_0(\mathbf{K}) = i \sum_n e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{b}_n} a_n^4 \mathbf{K} \times \tilde{A}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n) \quad (24)$$

$$\Delta \tilde{T}_0(\mathbf{K}) = \sum_n e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{b}_n} a_n^3 \tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n). \quad (25)$$

The spectral densities of equation (23) are then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^T(\mathbf{K}) &= \sum_n a_n^6 \langle |\tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n)|^2 \rangle \\ &\quad + |\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2 \sum_n a_n^3 \langle \tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle \sum_{\ell \neq n} a_\ell^3 \langle \tilde{T}_\ell^*(\mathbf{K}a_\ell) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K}) = \epsilon_{ipq} \epsilon_{jrs} K_p K_r \sum_n a_n^8 \langle \tilde{A}_{nq}(\mathbf{K}a_n) \tilde{A}_{ns}^*(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle, \quad (27)$$

where equation (8) was used. Here  $\epsilon_{ipq}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol, and

$$\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K}) = \int_{V_s} d^3b e^{-i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{b}} p(\mathbf{b}) \quad (28)$$

is the Fourier transform of the "one-particle" location distribution of equation (6).

In the standard treatment [4], the mean temperature in  $V_s$  is assumed equal to the remote reference temperature  $T_\infty$ , or  $\int_{V_s} d^3r \Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ . We will assume that here, in order to facilitate comparisons of our turbulence model spectra with the commonly used spectra. For simplicity we assume that in the ensemble,

$$\langle \tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle = \pm \pi^{3/2} \delta T_\alpha \tilde{B}_T(\mathbf{K}a_\alpha) = \pm \langle |\tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n)|^2 \rangle^{1/2}, \quad (29)$$

with equal numbers having the (+, -) signs. This ensures that  $\int_{V_s} d^3r \Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ . It also takes advantage of the result of Goedecke et al [1], that an isotropic ensemble of a given scale length may often be replaced by an ensemble of spherically symmetric turbules. For such turbules with a positive definite envelope function, equation (29) automatically would be valid. With this assumption, we have

$$\sum_n a_n^3 \langle \tilde{T}_n(\mathbf{K}a_n) \rangle = 0. \quad (30)$$

Then, from equations (7), (9), and (26) to (30), we get

$$\Phi^T(\mathbf{K}) = \left(1 - |\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2\right) \pi^3 \sum_n N_\alpha (\delta T_\alpha)^2 a_\alpha^6 \tilde{B}_T^2(\mathbf{K}a_\alpha) \quad (31)$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K}) = (\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j) (\pi^3/3) \sum_n N_\alpha v_\alpha^2 a_\alpha^6 (\mathbf{K}a_\alpha)^2 \tilde{B}_v^2(\mathbf{K}a_\alpha). \quad (32)$$

Note that the sums over turbules in equations (26) and (27) are replaced by sums over size index  $\alpha$  in equations (31) and (32), with  $N_\alpha$  included.

The factor involving  $|\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2$  in equation (31) comes from writing  $\sum_n \sum_{\ell \neq n} = \sum_n \sum_\ell - \sum_n$  in the second term of equation (26), and using equations (29) and (30). In general, if  $\int_{V_s} d^3r \Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ , then  $\Phi^T(\mathbf{K} = 0)$  must be zero; the factor  $(1 - |\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2)$  in equation (31) ensures this, since  $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K} = 0) = 1$ , because  $p(\mathbf{b})$  is a probability density (see eq. (28)).

It is important to note that  $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})$  is extremely small except for  $K$  near zero. For example, suppose that  $V_s$  is a spherical volume of radius  $a_s$  centered at the origin. Then, from equations (6) and (28)

$$\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K}) = 3(Ka_s)^{-3} [\sin Ka_s - Ka_s \cos Ka_s]. \quad (33)$$

Thus for large  $Ka_s$ ,  $|\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2$  is smaller than  $(Ka_s)^{-4} \ll 1$ . Therefore, in the spectral density  $\Phi^T(K)$ , the  $|\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2$  factor may be dropped out, except for very small  $K$  such that  $Ka_s \leq 1$ . But its presence is essential in order to comply with the assumption that  $\int_{V_s} d^3r \Delta T_0(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ .

This assumption is not necessary; if it is not made, then the spectrum  $\Phi^T(K)$  will have a different behavior for  $K \rightarrow 0$  than that of equation (31). We will investigate this in a later report.

Note that the velocity spectrum  $\Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K})$  includes the factor of  $(\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j)$ , characteristic for solenoidal velocities [4]. Also note that, for the bounded envelope functions  $\tilde{B}_v^2$  that will be used, the factor  $(Ka_\alpha)^2$  ensures that  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0$ , which is also a requirement for solenoidal velocities, directly related to the results of Goedecke et al [1] and Monin [2] that forward acoustic scattering due to such turbulent velocities is zero.

### 2.3.2 Inclusion of Scaling

In what follows, we replace the sum over size index  $\alpha$  by an integral. This will be valid if the number of different scale lengths in the ensemble is large, as we shall assume. We then write

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_s} = \int_1^{N_s} d\alpha = \int_{a_1}^{a_{N_s}} da / (da/d\alpha); \quad da/d\alpha = \mu a_1^{-q} a^{1+q} \quad (34)$$

where the last equality results from equation (14).

From equations (31), (32), and (34) and the scaling relations (11) to (15), we then get the following expressions for the spectral densities:

$$\Phi^T(K) = (1 - |\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2) (\pi^3 N_1 (\delta T_1)^2 a_1^6 / \mu) x^{-P_T} J_{P_T-1}^T(mx, x) \quad (35)$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K}) = (\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j) (\pi^3 N_1 v_1^2 a_1^6 / 3\mu) x^{-P_v} J_{P_v+1}^v(mx, x), \quad (36)$$

where  $(m, \mu)$  are defined by equations (13) to (15), and

$$x \equiv Ka_1; \quad (37)$$

$$J_s^{T,v}(mx, x) \equiv \int_{mx}^x dy y^s \tilde{B}_{T,v}^2(y) \quad (38)$$

$$P_T = 6 + 2\gamma - \beta - q, \quad P_v = 6 + 2\nu - \beta - q. \quad (39)$$

Here  $q \geq 0$ ;  $q = 0$  corresponds to the fractal scaling of equation (11).

Thus the spectra of equations (35) and (36) depend on the integrals of the generic form of equation (38). It is important to determine qualitatively how these integrals depend on  $(s, m, x)$ . In all cases, the envelope functions  $\tilde{B}_{T,v}^2(y)$  go to zero rapidly for large  $y$ ; otherwise, individual turbules would not be localized. Also, we expect the  $\tilde{B}^2(y)$  to be bounded everywhere. Thus, if  $x$  is large, then  $J_s(mx, x) \approx J_s(mx, \infty)$  to very good approximation, as long as the  $\tilde{B}^2(y)$  go to zero faster than  $y^{-s-1}$  for large  $y$ . Similarly, if  $mx \ll 1$ , then  $J_s(mx, x) \approx J_s(0, x)$  to very good approximation, as long as the  $y^s \tilde{B}^2(y) \rightarrow y^t$ , with  $t > -1$ , for  $y \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, in many cases, there will exist a range of  $x$  such that

$$J_s(mx, x) \approx J_s(0, \infty) = \text{constant} \quad (40)$$

to a very good approximation.

For this range of  $x$ , equations (35) and (36) show that the spectra have a power law dependence on  $x$  and thus on  $K$ , with powers  $(-P_T, -P_v)$ . Conventional language defines this range of  $x$  or  $K$  as the "inertial range."

We note that, if the powers  $(P_T, P_v)$  are to be the same, then from equation (39), we must have equation (18),

$$\gamma = \nu, \quad (41)$$

which is what resulted from the discussion preceding equation (18), not from that preceding equation (21). We shall adopt  $\gamma = \nu$ . Then equations (17) and (39) yield

$$P = P_T = P_v = 4 - \nu - q. \quad (42)$$

### 2.3.3 Inertial Range Boundaries

The boundaries of the inertial range of  $x$  may be estimated as follows. The integrand  $I_s(y)$  of  $J_s(mx, x)$  of equation (38) is  $y^2 \tilde{B}^2(y)$ ; for  $s > 0$  and  $\tilde{B}^2(y)$  that decrease monotonically faster than  $y^{-s}$  as  $y$  increases, it has a single maximum at  $y = y_{sm}$  given by  $I'_s(y_{sm}) = 0$ , or

$$y_{sm} = -\frac{1}{2}s\tilde{B}(y_{sm})/\tilde{B}'(y_{sm}). \quad (43)$$

The integrand has a characteristic width that also depends on  $s$  and the envelope function  $\tilde{B}(y)$ . We define  $y_{s\pm}$  by requiring that  $I_s(y_{s\pm})$  be some fraction of  $I(y_{sm})$ . In this report we choose

$$I_s(y_{s\pm}) = e^{-2}I_s(y_{sm}), \quad y_{s-} < y_{sm} < y_{s+}. \quad (44)$$

Then it is clear that  $J_s(mx, x) \approx J_s(0, \infty)$  for  $mx < y_{s-}$  and  $x > y_{s+}$ . That is, essentially pure power law spectra obtain for values of  $x$  that lie between  $x_{s \min}$  and  $x_{s \max}$ , where

$$x_{s \min} \approx y_{s+}, \quad x_{s \max} \approx y_{s-}/m. \quad (45)$$

If  $x < x_{s \min}$  or  $x > x_{s \max}$ , the dependence of the spectra departs significantly from that of a power law. Note  $(x_{\min}, x_{\max})$  for  $\Phi^T(K)$  are in general different than for  $\Phi_{ij}^v$ , because  $s$  is different for the two cases for  $P_T = P_v$ , and/or because the  $\tilde{B}(y)$  may be different. Also note that if  $x_{s \min} \geq x_{s \max}$  in equation (45), then there is no inertial range.

## 2.4 Morphology Dependence

It is important to examine the effects of changing the envelope functions  $\tilde{B}^2(y)$  that appear in the integrals  $J_s(mx, x)$  of equation (38).

We may change an envelope function in several ways. One way is merely to alter its amplitude. But that is trivial, because the previous values of the functions  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  could be maintained by commensurately altering the unknown amplitudes in equations (35) and (36).

Another way is to replace  $\tilde{B}(y)$  by the same functions of a "stretched" argument,  $\tilde{B}(y) \rightarrow \tilde{B}(\alpha y)$ . This is equivalent to changing the spectrum of scale lengths in the ensemble, such that  $a_\alpha \rightarrow a'_\alpha = \alpha a_\alpha$ , and altering  $(\delta T_1, v_1)$  appropriately to keep the same values of  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  in the inertial range. But the boundaries of the inertial range will be shifted; that is, from equation (45), we get

$$K'_{p \min} = y_{p+}/a'_\alpha = K_{p \min}/\alpha, \quad K'_{p \max} = K_{p \max}/\alpha. \quad (46)$$

Outside the inertial range, the spectra will be changed.

Another way is to replace a chosen  $\tilde{B}(y)$  by a different functional form  $\tilde{B}'(y)$ . Clearly, this will change the boundaries of the inertial ranges, in general, but inside the inertial ranges,  $(\delta T_1, v_1)$  can be altered to preserve the previous values of the spectra.

Therefore, we may conclude the following: the power law spectra in the inertial ranges are completely insensitive to all changes in turbulence morphology, that is, alterations of the envelope functions  $\tilde{B}(y)$ . Changes in the spectrum of scale lengths and/or in the functional form (morphology) of the  $\tilde{B}(y)$  irreducibly influence only the boundaries  $(K_{p \min}, K_{p \max})$  of the inertial range and the behavior of  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  outside the inertial range.

We will provide graphs of numerical examples in section 2.4.3.

### 2.4.1 Kolmogorov Spectra

From experimental and scaling considerations [4], the power law part of the spectrum is expected to go like  $x^{-11/3}$ , so  $P = 11/3$ . If this dependence were valid for all  $x$ , the spectral densities would be said to exhibit the "Kolmogorov spectrum."

From equations (42) and (17), this requires

$$\nu = 1/3 - q, \quad \beta = 3(1 - q). \quad (47)$$

We know that  $q \geq 0$ , since we insisted that  $a_\alpha$  get smaller as  $\alpha$  increases. Also, we expect that  $N_\alpha$  increases as the scale length decreases; from equations (11) and (47), this requires  $q < 1$ . It is especially interesting to note that, for the fractal scaling ( $q = 0$ ), which is often assumed [2], equation (47) yields

$$\nu = 1/3 \quad \beta = 3. \quad (48)$$

The  $\nu = 1/3$  result follows from the usual energy cascade model, upon requirement that the kinetic energy transfer rate *per unit mass* be independent of scale length [4]. The usual cascade model does not consider the number of eddies of each scale length, in contrast to our model of section 2.2. It is remarkable that only with fractal scaling does our model yield not only  $\nu = 1/3$ , but also  $\beta = 3$ , which corresponds to turbule packing fractions  $N_\alpha a_\alpha^3 / V_s$  independent of scale length.

The standard structure function description of isotropic homogeneous fully developed turbulence finds by dimensional analysis that the temperature and velocity structure functions of the turbulence must be given by

$$D_T(r) \equiv \left\langle \left( T_0(\mathbf{r}_1) - T_0(\mathbf{r}_2) \right)^2 \right\rangle = C_T^2 r^{2/3}, \quad (49)$$

$$D_{vrr}(r) \equiv \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j \left\langle \left( v_{0i}(\mathbf{r}_1) - v_{0i}(\mathbf{r}_2) \right) \left( v_{0j}(\mathbf{r}_1) - v_{0j}(\mathbf{r}_2) \right) \right\rangle = C_v^2 r^{2/3}, \quad (50)$$

where

$$\mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, \quad r = |\mathbf{r}|, \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r, \quad (51)$$

and  $(C_T^2, C_v^2)$  are the so-called structure parameters. These are valid for some range of  $r$  called the "inertial range" between the inner and outer

scale lengths  $(a_{N_s}, a_1)$ . Assuming (incorrectly, of course) that these forms are valid for all  $r$ , the following spectral densities are easily derived:

$$\Phi^T(K) = 8.19 C_T^2 V_s K^{-11/3} \quad (52)$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^v(K) = (\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j)(15.0 C_v^2 V_s K^{-11/3}). \quad (53)$$

It is clear that these are incorrect for  $K \rightarrow 0$ , but they are valid for most  $K$ . Comparing these forms with equations (35) and (36), putting  $P_T = P_v = 11/3$ , neglecting  $|\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2 \ll 1$  for  $K$  not near 0, and taking the values of the integrals of equation (33), in the inertial range of  $K$ , we get the following expressions for the structure parameters:

$$C_T^2 = (3.78/\mu)(N_1 a_1^3/V_s)(\delta T_1/a_1^{1/3})^2 J_{8/3}^T(0, \infty), \quad (54)$$

$$C_v^2 = (0.69/\mu)(N_1 a_1^3/V_s)(v_1/a_1^{1/3})^2 J_{14/3}^v(0, \infty). \quad (55)$$

Thus we have connected the structure parameters that are believed to characterize the Kolmogorov spectrum of isotropic homogeneous turbulence to the parameters of our scaled self-similar turbulence model, an essential step. Note that for fractal scaling ( $q = 0$ ), the factor  $(N_1 a_1^3/V_s)$  may be replaced by  $(N_\alpha a_\alpha^3/V_s)$  for any scale length  $\alpha$ , and so may the factors  $(\delta T_1/a_1^{1/3}, v_1/a_1^{1/3})$ . This is not the case for  $q \neq 0$ .

## 2.4.2 Quasi-Gaussian Spectrum

Gaussian spectra involving a single scale length have been used fairly often [5,6]; we illustrate this for an isotropic ensemble of turbules of a given scale length in Goedecke et al [1]. It is interesting to note that our turbule ensemble model, containing many scale lengths, allows spectra for  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  that are Gaussian for most  $K$ , for special choices of the envelope function  $(\tilde{B}_T^2, \tilde{B}_v^2)$ . In particular, if in equations (35) and (36) we put

$$P_T = P_v = 0, \quad \tilde{B}_T^2(y) = y^2 e^{-y^2/2}, \quad \tilde{B}_v^2(y) = e^{-y^2/2}, \quad (56)$$

we get

$$\Phi^T(K) = (1 - |\tilde{p}(K)|^2)(\text{constant})(e^{-m^2 x^2/2} - e^{-x^2}), \quad (57)$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^v(K) = (\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j)(\text{constant})(e^{-m^2 x^2/2} - e^{-x^2}). \quad (58)$$

For  $K \rightarrow 0$  and for  $K \rightarrow \infty$ , these go to zero, as they should; but, for  $x = Ka_1 \gg 1$ , they go to simple Gaussians involving the inner scale length  $a_{N_s}$ , since  $mx = Ka_{N_s}$ . These are a special case of a power law spectrum with  $P = 0$  for the "inertial range" of  $K$ , that is, for  $mx \ll 1$  and  $x \gg 1$ .

From equations (17) and (39), the scaling exponents must then satisfy

$$\beta = 14 - 3q > 0, \quad \nu = \gamma = 4 - q. \quad (59)$$

If we were to choose  $\nu = 1/3$  as in the Kolmogorov spectrum with fractal scaling, this again yields  $\beta = 3$ , but requires  $q = 11/3$ , a rather steep power law for the length scales in the ensemble (see equation (14)).

### 2.4.3 Examples

It is important to give some examples using specific envelope functions, in order to illustrate some of the results of the last several sections. We will do this for the (quasi) Kolmogorov spectra, having dependence  $x^{-11/3}$  in the inertial range.

In Goedecke et al [1], we considered two example envelope functions, a Gaussian and the Fourier transform of an exponential:

$$\tilde{B}_g^2(y) = e^{-y^2/2}, \quad \tilde{B}_e^2(y) = (1 + y^2/12)^{-6}. \quad (60)$$

The factor 12 in  $\tilde{B}_e^2(y)$  ensures that turbules of the same scale length have the same RMS radius [1]. For  $P = 11/3$ , we consider the normalized spectral densities

$$F_T(x) = x^{-11/3} J_{8/3}(mx, x) / J_{8/3}(0, \infty), \quad (61)$$

$$F_v(x) = x^{-11/3} J_{14/3}(mx, x) / J_{14/3}(0, \infty), \quad (62)$$

in which we use the same  $\tilde{B}(y)$  for both temperature and velocity spectra, for convenience. These functions are the factors in equations (35) and (36) that determine the boundaries of the inertial ranges of  $K$  and the behavior of  $\Phi^T(K)$ ,  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  outside the inertial ranges.

From equation (38), we have

$$J_s^g(mx, x) = \int_{mx}^x dy y^s e^{-y^2/2}, \quad (63)$$

$$J_s^e(mx, x) = \int_{mx}^x dy y^s (1 + y^2/12)^{-6}. \quad (64)$$

These integrals were evaluated for  $s = (8/3, 14/3)$ , for  $m = (10^{-3}, 10^{-4})$ , which are realistic values for the ratio  $a_N/a_1$  of inner to outer scale length. That is, inner scale lengths may be of the order of millimeters, while outer scale lengths may be of the order of tens to hundreds of meters.

Figures 1 and 2 are plots of  $\log F_T^g$  and  $\log F_T^e$  versus  $\log x$  for  $m = 10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$ , respectively; figures 3 and 4 are plots of  $\log F_v^g$  and  $\log F_v^e$

Figure 1. Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble temperature spectra for  $m = 10^{-3}$  as functions of outer scale size parameter  $ka_1$ .





Figure 2. Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble temperature spectra for  $m = 10^{-4}$  as functions of outer scale size parameter  $ka_1$ .



versus  $\log x$  for  $m = 10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$ , respectively. The plots, of course, coincide in the common portions of their inertial ranges; as discussed earlier, this coincidence can always be achieved for the actual spectra, for any choices of the envelope functions, by adjusting the (unknown) parameters  $(\delta T_1, v_1)$ . But the exponential and Gaussian envelopes yield slightly different inertial range boundaries, quite different behavior for  $x > x_{s \max}$ , and the same behavior but different values for  $x < x_{s \min}$ .

Figure 3. Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble velocity spectra for  $m = 10^{-3}$  as functions of outer scale size parameter  $ka_1$ .





Figure 4. Normalized isotropic homogeneous ensemble velocity spectra for  $m = 10^{-4}$  as functions of outer scale size parameter  $ka_1$ .



We may use the results of section 2.3.3 to estimate the inertial range boundaries, and compare the estimates with figures 1 to 4. Using equations (43) and (60), we get

$$y_{sm}^g = s^{1/2}, \quad y_{sm}^e = s^{1/2}/(1 - s/12)^{1/2} \quad (65)$$

for the (Gaussian, exponential) envelopes, respectively. Then by numerical solution of equation (44), using equation (45), we obtain the following inertial range boundaries for the temperature spectra, with  $s = 8/3$ :

$$x_{\min}^g \approx 3.19, \quad x_{\max}^g \approx 0.49/m \quad (66)$$

$$x_{\min}^e \approx 4.45, \quad x_{\max}^e \approx 0.52/m. \quad (67)$$

For the velocity spectra, with  $s = 14/3$ , the corresponding boundaries are

$$x_{\min}^g \approx 3.70, \quad x_{\max}^g \approx 0.94/m \quad (68)$$

$$x_{\min}^e \approx 6.34, \quad x_{\max}^e \approx 1.08/m. \quad (69)$$

These results compare reasonably well with the boundaries apparent in figures 1 to 4.

Based on the discussion in section 2.4, if we stretch the argument of the envelope functions ( $\tilde{B}(y) \rightarrow \tilde{B}(\alpha y)$ ) or, equivalently, change the length scales ( $a_\alpha \rightarrow \alpha a_\alpha$ ), then the boundaries ( $K_{\min}, K_{\max}$ ) of the inertial ranges of  $K$  change according to equation (46). That is, if we knew or assumed a value of  $a_1$ , then we would get from  $x = K a_1$  and equation (66)

$$K_{\min}^g \approx 3.19/a_1, \quad K_{\max}^g \approx 0.49/ma_1 = 0.49/ma_{N_s} \quad (70)$$

and similarly from equations (67) and (69). If we then change  $a_1$  by  $a_1 \rightarrow \alpha a_1$ , we get

$$K_{\min}^g \approx 3.19/\alpha a_1 = K_{\min}^g/\alpha, \text{ etc.} \quad (71)$$

---

### 3. Acoustic Scattering

---

For an isotropic homogeneous turbulence in a volume  $V_T$ , the far-field Born approximation differential cross-section for scattering of an acoustic plane wave is given by [4]

$$\bar{\sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \bar{\sigma}_T(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) + \bar{\sigma}_v(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (72)$$

where

$$\bar{\sigma}_T(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = (k^2/4\pi T_\infty)^2 \cos^2 \theta \Phi^T(K) \quad (73)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_v(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = (k^2/2\pi c_\infty)^2 \cos^2 \theta \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \Phi_{ij}^v(K); \quad (74)$$

and here,

$$\mathbf{K} \equiv k\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \quad K = |\mathbf{K}| = 2k \sin(\theta/2), \quad (75)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  is the observation direction,  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  is the incident plane wave propagation vector, with  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ ,  $\lambda =$  wavelength,  $\theta$  is the scattering angle, the angle between  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ , and  $\Phi^T(K)$  and  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$  are the spectra of the turbulent temperature variations and velocity, respectively, given by equations (35) and (36). In equation (73),  $T_\infty$  is the reference temperature outside the volume  $V_T$ , which has been chosen equal to the mean temperature inside  $V_T$ , as discussed in the previous section. In equation (74), we have

$$\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j \Phi_{ij}^v(\mathbf{K}) = \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j (\delta_{ij} - \hat{K}_i \hat{K}_j) G_v(K) = \cos^2(\theta/2) G_v(K), \quad (76)$$

where, from equation (36),

$$G_v(K) = (\pi^3 N_1 v_1^2 a_1^6 / 3\mu) x^{-P_v} J_{P_v+1}^v(mx, x), \quad (77)$$

and from equations (37) and (75)

$$x = Ka_1 = 2ka_1 \sin(\theta/2). \quad (78)$$

Equations (73) and (74) are valid for cases in which  $V_T$  is the scattering volume  $V_s$ , or in which  $V_s < V_T$ , but the scale length  $a_s$  of  $V_s$  satisfies

$a_s \gg a_\alpha$ , as discussed by Goedecke et al [1], and in equations (35) and (36),  $N_1$  is the mean number of turbules of scale length  $a_1$  in  $V_s$ .

The cross-sections in equations (73) and (74) are thus proportional to the normalized spectral densities in equations (61) and (62), and figures 1 to 4 therefore reveal the crucial behavior of the scattering cross-sections  $\bar{\sigma}_T(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}_v(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$  for the sample envelope function considered in section 2.4.3, except for the factors of  $\cos^2 \theta$  and  $\cos^2 \theta \cos^2(\theta/2)$ . It is important to note that here, the boundaries of the inertial range of  $x$  or  $K$  translate into boundaries of the inertial range of scattering angles ( $\theta_{\min}, \theta_{\max}$ ). For example, for the Gaussian envelope function and the  $x^{-11/3}$  power law in the inertial range, we have from equation (66) the temperature scattering

$$\sin(\theta_{\min}/2) \approx (3.19)/(2ka_1), \quad \sin(\theta_{\max}/2) \approx (0.49)(2mka_1). \quad (79)$$

Clearly,  $\sin(\theta/2) \leq 1$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . So, if  $mka_1$  is less than or approximately equal to 0.25, the upper inertial range boundary is never reached; that is, the power law spectrum obtains for scattering angles out to  $\theta = \pi$ .

For example, suppose the following reasonable values are chosen:  $m = 10^{-4}$ ,  $a_1 = 10^2 m$ ,  $\lambda = 0.314m \rightarrow k \approx 20m^{-1}$ ,  $ka \approx 2 \times 10^3$ . Then, from equation (79)

$$\sin(\theta_{\min}/2) \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \sin(\theta_{\max}/2) \approx 2.5. \quad (80)$$

So the power law spectrum would obtain for almost all angles; the effect of the factor  $1 - |\tilde{p}(\mathbf{K})|^2$  in  $\Phi^T(K)$  might dominate at angles as small as this  $\theta_{\min}$ . If  $ka_1$  were much smaller, then  $\theta_{\min}$  would be observable, but not  $\theta_{\max}$ ; if  $ka_1$  were larger, then  $\theta_{\max}$  would be observable in principle, but  $\theta_{\min}$  would be too small to be observed.

---

## 4. Summary and Discussion

---

In this report, we have established that a self-similar ensemble of localized stationary turbules of arbitrary morphology and many different scale lengths, but with random orientations and locations within a volume  $V_s$ , predict spectral densities ( $\Phi^T(K), \Phi_{ij}^v(K)$ ) of the temperature and velocity structure functions of the turbulence that have the following properties:

1. The spectra obey power laws ( $K^{-P_T}, K^{-P_v}$ ) in ranges  $K_{\min} \leq K \leq K_{\max}$ , conventionally called the inertial ranges, which may be different for  $\Phi^T(K)$  than for  $\Phi_{ij}^v(K)$ . The values ( $P_T, P_v$ ) are determined by choices of scaling exponents, and are independent of turbule morphology, as are the values of the spectral densities in the inertial range. The boundaries ( $K_{\min}, K_{\max}$ ) of the inertial range and the behavior of the spectral densities for  $K$  outside the inertial range are sensitive to turbule morphology.
2. The choice  $P_T = P_v = 11/3$ , corresponding to the Kolmogorov spectrum with a fractal size scaling  $a_{\alpha+1}/a_\alpha = \text{constant}$ , yields the usual scaling relation  $v_\alpha a_\alpha^{1/3} = \text{constant}$ , and also requires that packing fractions of turbules in  $V_s$  are independent of scale length. Expressions for the structure parameters ( $C_T^2, C_v^2$ ) of the Kolmogorov spectra were obtained in terms of turbule model parameters.
3. The choice  $P_v = P_T = 0$  yields a quasi-Gaussian spectrum for a Gaussian ensemble average turbule morphology.
4. The first Born approximation far-field acoustic scattering cross-sections due to the turbulent temperature and velocity fluctuations exhibit a power law dependence  $(\sin \theta/2)^{-P_T}, (\sin \theta/2)^{-P_v}$  in inertial ranges  $\theta_{\min} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{\max}$  determined by ( $K_{\min}, K_{\max}$ ), but deviate markedly from this dependence for scattering angles  $\theta$  outside the inertial ranges. Depending primarily on the values of the acoustic wavelength and the outer and inner scale lengths of the turbulence, these deviations may not be observable in practice, because the power law may be valid from very small angles out to  $\theta = 180^\circ$ .

There is quite a bit more that can be done with turbule models of atmospheric turbulence. For example, treatment of cases in which the mean temperature in  $V_s$  is not equal to the background reference

temperature can be done; this will yield a different power law for  $\Phi^T(K)$  for small  $K$  than for large  $K$ . Also, consideration should be given to situations that must often occur in practice, in which the scattering volume  $V_s$  is smaller than some of the large-scale turbules, and/or the observation distance is not in the far field of  $V_s$ . These situations may change the results significantly. They will also be considered in future reports.

The ultimate goal of the research reported in this series of reports is to describe acoustic scattering and propagation in anisotropic and/or inhomogeneous turbulence. It is hoped that a turbule approach will be particularly appropriate for this description.

---

## References

---

1. G. H. Goedecke, M. DeAntonio, and H. J. Auvermann, *First-Order Wave Equations and Scattering by Atmospheric Turbulence*, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, ARL-TR-1356 (August 1997).
2. A. S. Monin, "Characteristics of the Scattering of Sound in a Turbulent Atmosphere," *Sov. Phys. Acoust.* **7**, 130 (1962).
3. M. Nelkin, "In what sense is turbulence an unsolved problem?," *Science* **255**, 566–570 (1992).
4. V. I. Tatarskii, *The Effects of the Turbulent Atmosphere on Wave Propagation*, chap. 2, Keter, Jerusalem (1971).
5. W. E. McBride, H. E. Bass, R. Raspet, and K. E. Gilbert, "Scattering of sound by atmospheric turbulence: Predictions in a refractive shadow zone," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **91**, 1336–1340 (1992).
6. V. Ostashev, V. Mellert, R. Wandelt, and F. Gerdes, "Sound propagation in moving random media with the Gaussian correlation function of medium inhomogeneities. I. Plane wave propagation," accepted for publication in *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* (1997).

## Distribution

Admnstr  
Defns Techl Info Ctr  
Attn DTIC-OCP  
8725 John J Kingman Rd Ste 0944  
FT Belvoir VA 22060-6218

Mil Asst for Env Sci  
Ofc of the Undersec of Defns for Rsrch &  
Engrg R&AT E LS  
Pentagon Rm 3D129  
Washington DC 20301-3080

Ofc of the Secy of Defns  
Attn ODDRE (R&AT)  
Attn ODDRE (R&AT) S Gontarek  
The Pentagon  
Washington DC 20301-3080

Army ARDEC  
Attn SMCAR-IMI-I  
Bldg 59  
Dover NJ 07806-5000

Army Communications Elec Ctr  
for EW RSTA  
Attn AMSEL-EW-D  
Attn AMSEL-EW-MD  
FT Monmouth NJ 07703-5303

Army Corps of Engrs  
Engr Topographics Lab  
Attn ETL-GS-LB  
FT Belvoir VA 22060

Army Dugway Proving Ground  
Attn STEDP 3  
Dugway UT 84022-5000

Army Field Artillery School  
Attn ATSF-TSM-TA  
FT Sill OK 73503-5000

Army Foreign Sci Tech Ctr  
Attn CM  
220 7th St NE  
Charlottesville VA 22901-5396

Army Infantry  
Attn ATSH-CD-CS-OR E Dutoit  
FT Benning GA 30905-5090

Army Nuclear CML Agency  
Attn MONA ZB  
Bldg 2073  
Springfield VA 22150-3198

Army OEC  
Attn CSTE EFS  
4501 Ford Ave Park Center IV  
Alexandria VA 22302-1458

Army Rsrch Ofc  
Attn AMXRO-GS Bach  
PO Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park NC 27709

Army Satellite Comm Agcy  
Attn DRCPM-SC-3  
FT Monmouth NJ 07703-5303

Army Strat Defns Cmnd  
Attn CSSD-SL-L Lilly  
PO Box 1500  
Huntsville AL 35807-3801

CECOM  
Attn PM GPS COL S Young  
FT Monmouth NJ 07703

CECOM  
Sp & Terrestrial Commctn Div  
Attn AMSEL-RD-ST-MC-M H Soicher  
FT Monmouth NJ 07703-5203

DARPA  
Attn B Kaspar  
Attn L Stotts  
3701 N Fairfax Dr  
Arlington VA 22203-1714

Dir of Assessment and Eval  
Attn SARD-ZD H K Fallin Jr  
103 Army Pentagon Rm 2E673  
Washington DC 20310-0163

Hdqtrs Dept of the Army  
Attn DAMO-FDT D Schmidt  
400 Army Pentagon Rm 3C514  
Washington DC 20310-0460

## Distribution (cont'd)

MICOM RDEC  
Attn AMSMI-RD W C McCorkle  
Redstone Arsenal AL 35898-5240

Natl Security Agency  
Attn W21 Longbothum  
9800 Savage Rd  
FT George G Meade MD 20755-6000

Naval Air Dev Ctr  
Attn Code 5012 A Salik  
Warminster PA 18974

OSD  
Attn OUSD(A&T)/ODDDR&E(R) R Trew  
The Pentagon  
Washington DC 20301-7100

Science & Technology  
101 Research Dr  
Hampton VA 23666-1340

US Army Avn Rsrch, Dev, & Engrg Ctr  
Attn T L House  
4300 Goodfellow Blvd  
St Louis MO 63120-1798

US Army Edgewood Rsrch, Dev, & Engrg Ctr  
Attn SCBRD-TD J Vervier  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21010-5423

US Army Info Sys Engrg Cmd  
Attn ASQB-OTD F Jenia  
FT Huachuca AZ 85613-5300

US Army Materiel Sys Analysis Agency  
Attn AMXSY-D J McCarthy  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5071

US Army Mis Cmnd (USAMICOM)  
Attn AMSMI-RD-CS-R Documents  
Redstone Arsenal AL 35898-5400

US Army Natick Rsrch, Dev, & Engrg Ctr  
Acting Techl Dir  
Attn SSCNC-T P Brandler  
Natick MA 01760-5002

Dir US Army Rsrch Ofc  
4300 S Miami Blvd  
Research Triangle Park NC 27709

US Army Simulation, Train, & Instrmntn Cmd  
Attn J Stahl  
12350 Research Parkway  
Orlando FL 32826-3726

US Army Tank-Automtv & Armaments Cmd  
Attn AMSTA-AR-TD C Spinelli  
Bldg 1  
Picatinny Arsenal NJ 07806-5000

US Army Tank-Automtv Cmd Rsrch, Dev, &  
Engrg Ctr  
Attn AMSTA-TA J Chapin  
Warren MI 48397-5000

US Army Test & Eval Cmd  
Attn R G Pollard III  
Aberdeen Proving Ground MD 21005-5055

US Army TRADOC Anlys Cmnd WSMR  
Attn ATRC-WSS-R  
White Sands Missile Range NM 88002

US Army Train & Doctrine Cmd  
Battle Lab Integration & Techl Dirctr  
Attn ATCD-B J A Klevecz  
FT Monroe VA 23651-5850

US Military Academy  
Dept of Mathematical Sci  
Attn MAJ D Engen  
West Point NY 10996

USACRREL  
Attn CEREL-GP R Detsch  
72 Lyme Rd  
Hanover NH 03755-1290

USATRADOC  
Attn ATCD-FA  
FT Monroe VA 23651-5170

Nav Air War Cen Wpn Div  
Attn CMD 420000D C0245 A Shlanta  
1 Admin Cir  
China Lake CA 93555-6001

Nav Ocean Sys Ctr  
Attn Code 54 Richter  
San Diego CA 92152-5000

## Distribution (cont'd)

Nav Surface Warfare Ctr  
Attn Code B07 J Pennella  
17320 Dahlgren Rd Bldg 1470 Rm 1101  
Dahlgren VA 22448-5100

Naval Surface Weapons Ctr  
Attn Code G63  
Dahlgren VA 22448-5000

AFMC DOW  
Wright Patterson AFB OH 45433-5000

Air Weather Service  
Attn Tech Lib FL4414 3  
Scott AFB IL 62225-5458

GPS Joint Prog Ofc Dir  
Attn COL J Clay  
2435 Vela Way Ste 1613  
Los Angeles AFB CA 90245-5500

Ofc of the Dir Rsrch and Engrg  
Attn R Menz  
Pentagon Rm 3E1089  
Washington DC 20301-3080

Phillips Lab Atmospheric Sci Div  
Geophysics Dirctr  
Hanscom AFB MA 01731-5000

USAF Rome Lab Tech  
Attn Corridor W Ste 262 RL SUL  
26 Electr Pkwy Bldg 106  
Griffiss AFB NY 13441-4514

NASA Marshal Space Flt Ctr  
Atmospheric Sciences Div  
Attn E501 Fichtl  
Huntsville AL 35802

NASA Spct Flt Ctr Atmospheric Sciences Div  
Attn Code ED 41 1  
Huntsville AL 35812

ARL Electromag Group  
Attn Campus Mail Code F0250 A Tucker  
University of Texas  
Austin TX 78712

Hicks & Associates, Inc.  
Attn G Singley  
1710 Goodrich Dr Ste 1300  
McLean VA 22102

Dir for MANPRINT  
Ofc of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Prsnl  
Attn J Hiller  
The Pentagon Rm 2C733  
Washington DC 20310-0300

Lockheed Missile & Spc Co  
Attn Org 91 01 B 255 K R Hardy  
3251 Hanover St  
Palo Alto CA 94304-1191

Natl Ctr for Atmospheric Research  
Attn NCAR Library Serials  
PO Box 3000  
Boulder CO 80307-3000

NCSU  
Attn J Davis  
PO Box 8208  
Raleigh NC 27650-8208

New Mexico State University  
Dept of Physics  
Attn G Goedecke (5 copies)  
Las Cruces, NM 88003-8001

NTIA ITS S3  
Attn H J Liebe  
325 S Broadway  
Boulder CO 80303

Pacific Missile Test Ctr Geophysics Div  
Attn Code 3250  
Point Mugu CA 93042-5000

Army Rsrch Lab  
Attn AMSRL-IS-EW  
White Sands Missile Range NM 88002-5501

## Distribution (cont'd)

US Army Rsrch Lab

Attn AMSRL-CI-LL Techl Lib (3 copies)

Attn AMSRL-CS-AL-TA Mail & Records

Mgmt

Attn AMSRL-CS-AL-TP Techl Pub (3 copies)

Attn AMSRL-IS-EE H J Auvermann

(10 copies)

Adelphi MD 20783-1197

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE August 1998		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Interim, from Mar 1991 to Dec 1994
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Structure Function Spectra and Acoustic Scattering Due to Homogeneous Isotropic Atmospheric Turbule Ensembles			5. FUNDING NUMBERS PE: 62784A DA PR: AH71	
6. AUTHOR(S) George H. Goedecke, Michael DeAntonio (New Mexico State Univ), and Harry J. Auvermann (ARL)				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Attn: AMSRL-IS-EE (hauverma@arl.mil) 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-1518	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1197			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES AMS code: 622784H7111 ARL PR: 8HEF50				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Expressions are derived for the spectral densities $\Phi^T(K), \Phi_{ij}^v(K)$ of the temperature and velocity structure functions of atmospheric turbulence, and for the corresponding Born approximation far-field acoustic scattering cross-sections, due to homogeneous isotropic stationary ensembles of self-similar localized turbules having many different scale lengths. It is shown that for some range $K_{\min} \leq K \leq K_{\max}$ , the "inertial range," the spectral densities obey power laws with dependence $K^{-P_T}, K^{-P_v}$ . The exponents $(P_T, P_v)$ depend only on choices of scaling relations and are independent of turbule morphology. Only $K_{\min}, K_{\max}$ , and the values of the spectral densities outside the inertial range are morphology-dependent. Expressions for $K_{\min}$ and $K_{\max}$ are derived in terms of inner and outer scale lengths in the turbule ensemble. If the turbule scale lengths $a_\alpha$ are chosen to be in geometric sequence ( $a_{\alpha+1}/a_\alpha = \text{constant independent of } \alpha$ ), and if the power law is given as $P_T = P_v = 11/3$ , the Kolmogorov spectrum in the inertial range, then not only must the turbule velocity and temperature amplitudes scale as $a_\alpha^{1/3}$ , the usual result, but also the turbule packing fractions must be independent of scale length. Expressions for the structure parameters $(C_T^2, C_v^2)$ that occur in the usual Kolmogorov spectra are obtained in terms of the turbule model parameters. It is also shown that quasi-Gaussian spectra result for the choice $P_T = P_v = 0$ and Gaussian turbule morphology.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Acoustic scattering, atmospheric turbulence			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 34	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	