

**INSA**  
LYON

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**  
Approved for Public Release  
Distribution Unlimited

**CNRS** CENTRE NATIONAL  
DE LA RECHERCHE  
SCIENTIFIQUE

WORKSHOP :

# Applications of SQUID Magnetometrie

INSA de Lyon  
16 et 17 juin 1999  
Lyon - France

19991105 103

Professor Pierre-Louis VUILLERMOZ wishes to thank the following for their contribution to the success of this conference :

European Office of Aerospace Research and Development, Air Force office of Scientific Research , United States Air Force Research Laboratory.

AQF00-02-0423

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 12 October 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Conference Proceedings	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Applications of SQUID Magnetometry			5. FUNDING NUMBERS F61775-99-WF058	
6. AUTHOR(S) Conference Committee				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Laboratoire de Physique de la Matiere, Batiment 502, INSA de Lyon 20, avenue Albert Einstein Villeurbanne Cedex 69621 France			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER N/A	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) EOARD PSC 802 BOX 14 FPO 09499-0200			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER CSP 99-5058	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE A	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  The Final Proceedings for Applications of SQUID Magnetometry, 16 June 1999 - 17 June 1999  This is an interdisciplinary conference. Topics include non-destructive evaluation, geophysics, biomolecular dynamics; and biomedical applications in neurology and cardiology.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS EOARD, Superconductivity, Aging Aircraft			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 220	16. PRICE CODE N/A
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

**Introduction to SQUID Magnetometry  
and Its Applications  
(plus some examples of the author's research)**

**Harold Weinstock  
US Air Force Office of Scientific Research  
Arlington, VA 22203-1977 USA**

**Workshop on Applications of SQUID Magnetometry  
INSA de Lyon  
Lyon, FRANCE  
June 16-17, 1999**

**DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4**

# APPLICATIONS OF SQUID MAGNETOMETRY

(TOPICS TO BE COVERED)

Commercialization of SQUIDS

NDE of engineering structures and wires

RF amplifiers and dynamics of magnetic bacteria

Geophysical exploration

Magnetoencephalography (MEG)


Magnetocardiography (MCG)

Liver susceptometry and intestinal ischemia

# SQUID

Superconducting Quantum  
Interference Device

$$\Phi_0 = 2.07 \times 10^{-15} \text{ Wb} = \frac{h}{2e}$$



Typical sensitivity  $\approx 10^{-4} \Phi_0$   
or  $\approx 1-10 \text{ fT/Hz}^{1/2}$   
(commercial instruments)

## ALTERNATIVE MAGNETOMETERS

- Variometer

Rotation of a suspended magnet  
 $10^{-10}$  THz<sup>-1/2</sup> at zero frequency

- Fluxgate  $10^{-10}$  THz<sup>-1/2</sup> DC to kHz

- Induction Coil

10 cm long, 10 cm diameter

$10^{-13}$  THz<sup>-1/2</sup> at 10 Hz at room temperature

$10^{-13}$  THz<sup>-1/2</sup> at 4 K

- Magnetic resonance magnetometers

- Hall effect

- Optical fiber

- SQUID  $10^{-14}$  THz<sup>-1/2</sup> DC to 10's of kHz


"Magnetic quantities, units, materials and measurements," J.E. Zimmerman, in *Biomagnetism: an Interdisciplinary Approach*, S.J. Willia G.L. Romani, L. Kaufman, and I. Modena, Eds., (Plenum, New York, 1982) pp. 17-42.

**Never Use a SQUID When  
A Simpler,  
Less Expensive Technology  
is Adequate**

## Do Use a SQUID When



- Extra Sensitivity is Required
- Nothing Else Will Meet Your Requirements  
(distant noise, high fields, spatial resolution, linearity)

# Magnetic Fields




Car =  $10^{14}$  pT.cm<sup>3</sup>      Packaged IC chip or transistor =  $10^7$  pT.cm<sup>3</sup>  
 Screwdriver =  $10^{11}$  pT.cm<sup>3</sup>      IC chip or transistor die =  $5 \times 10^4$  pT.cm<sup>3</sup>

# SQUIDS



"Principles and applications of SQUIDS," J. Clarke, *Proc. IEEE* 77: 1208-1223.


## MAGNETOMETERS



- Single loop
- Multiple turns
- Field sensitivity proportional to coil area
- Sensitive to noise
- Sensitive to tilt in Earth's field


"Principles and applications of SQUIDS," J. Clarke, *Proc. IEEE* 77: 1208-1223.

# ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE




G.L. Romani, S.J. Williamson, and L. Kaufman, *Review of Sci. Instru.*, **53**: 1815 (1982)

## GRADIOMETERS



- Can be balanced to 1 part in  $10^7$
- Insensitive to distant noise sources
- Insensitive to tilt in uniform fields
- Energy wasted in balance coils

“Optimization of SQUID Differential Magnetometers,” J.P. Wikswo, Jr., *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 44: 145-149 (1978).




NOTES.  
 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MM.

Figure 1-3. Model 601 Biomagnetometer Coil Form and Pick-Up Coil

A2001-327, Rev. C


# SQUID DEWAR DESIGN




"Cryogenics," J.E. Zimmerman, in *Biomagnetism: an Interdisciplinary Approach*, S.J. Williamson, G.L. Romani, L. Kaufman, and I. Modena, Eds., (Plenum, New York, 1982) pp. 44-67.

# MCG Using HTS And LTS Magnetometer SQUIDS

Inside A Shielded Room (Courtesy PTB Berlin)




$B_N = 25 \text{ fT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$




$B_N = 40 \text{ fT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$

Video Bandwidth 250 Hz

**LN<sub>2</sub> Makes A Smaller Source-SQUID Distance Possible!**




1. sapphire window	4. thermal shields	7. coupling turnbuckle
2. SQUID array	5. refrigerator cold pad	8. bellows
3. cryobattery	6. vacuum jacket	9. microcooler



\*\*\* NOTE: ALL LINEAR DIMENSIONS IN MILLIMETERS  
 ALL TRACES AND JUMPERS 0.050 AG EXCEPT  
 SOURCE CON AND LEADS (0100 YRCS)






SAMPLE CONFIGURATION

Figure 3. (a) The top and side views of a model aluminum wing lap joint assembly. (b) A schematic of the lower aluminum sheet with a crack parallel to the lap joint and (c) the lower aluminum sheet with a crack at 45° to the lap joint.

$f = 30 \text{ kHz}$

2 mm




0.4



-0.8


Out of Phase Response  
(arbitrary units)

Figure 1. Phase response image of a low joint sample containing a 45° crack.



## TECHNICAL MISSION

Perform basic research to contribute to the integrity and maintainability of aging aircraft and future aerospace systems




## EDUCATIONAL MISSION

Produce qualified graduate and post-graduate students in fields relevant to AF needs




FAST


# Air Force Aging Aircraft



# Net magnetic flux per scan v.s. Time



# Magnetic Shield




# Sample Holder

4.0  
Bddy  
Current  
Detector

Camera

1/8 X 25 X 2.75  
Plexy Glass



X-Y stage

5.500

## INSPECTION TECHNIQUES

VISUAL (80%)

PENETRANT

HIGH FREQUENCY  
EDDY CURRENT

LOW FREQUENCY  
EDDY CURRENT

SONIC

ULTRASONIC

X-RAY RADIOGRAPHY

NEUTRON RADIOGRAPHY

MAGNETIC PARTICLE  
METHODS

LASER-BASED OPTICAL  
METHODS

X-RAY DIFFRACTION

THERMAL WAVE IMAGING

ACOUSTIC EMISSION


POTENTIAL DROP METHODS

# Magnetopneumography

---

- Body is normally diamagnetic or paramagnetic  
no naturally occurring ferro- or ferrimagnetic constituents
- Ferrimagnetic materials associated with particulate contaminants  
dust in lungs of coal miners, welders, asbestos workers  
magnetite dust tracer for lung clearance studies
- Allows lung clearance studies  
 $M = m_S (1 - e^{-3H/Q})$   
70 mT (5 sec)  $\Rightarrow$  90% saturation of ferrimagnetic particles  
 $M_{H = \text{constant}} = m_S (1 - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{ferrimagnetism}}})$  as short as minutes
- Example of Research, not Clinical Application

# Magnetopneumography Measurement Techniques



- Magnetize lung  
 $H \sim 100 \text{ mT}$  9 cm below magnet
- Move subject beneath magnetometer
- Scan magnetometer for remnant magnetism  
500 picogram/cc sensitivity  $\approx 1 \mu\text{gram}$  total particulates  
 $\Delta t \geq 10$  seconds

## Mesenteric Ischemia

---

- Mesenteric arteries carry blood to the stomach, small and large intestine
- Blockage of the blood flow (ischemia) can lead to intestinal necrosis
- Symptoms are primarily abdominal pain, usually 30-90 minutes after eating  
often ignored
- Diagnosis of mesenteric artery narrowing or blockage is by arteriogram  
requires catheter and X-ray dye  
limit on size of vessels that can be seen by X-ray
- Treatment requires surgical intervention  
if intestinal tissue is necrotic, segment(s) must be removed or bypassed  
if diagnosis delayed, mortality rate can exceed 50%

## Basic Electric Rhythm


---

- Gastrointestinal (GI) tract exhibits two types of electrical activity  
high frequency spiking associated with muscle contraction

**Low frequency oscillations known as electric activity or basic electric rhythm (BER)**

Human gastric BER	≈ 3.2 ± 0.1 Hz
Small intestine BER	≈ 11.3 ± 0.1 Hz
duodenum BER	≈ 12 Hz
terminal ileum BER	≈ 8 Hz

# Ischemic Episodes Show Marked Reduction in BER




BER frequency before and after occlusion of mesenteric artery

Statistically significant frequency shift may permit diagnosis

# Instrumentation for Ischemia

- Subcutaneous electrodes ~ mV  
Highly invasive  
*not a clinical procedure*
- SQUID Magnetometer ~ pT  
Not invasive
- Status  
First 3-channel system at Vanderbilt  
Building 19 channel system for preclinical studies  
has vector ( $B_x$ ,  $B_y$ ,  $B_z$ ) channels
- Example of a Pre-Clinical Application



# **Biomagnetic Liver Susceptometry**

---

- Medical Motivation
- Iron in the Human Body
- The Differential Measurement
- Calculation of Iron Concentration ( $\chi$ )
- Magnetic Detection First Shown in Animals
- The SQUID Magnetometer
- The Instrument
- Measurement Protocol
- Clinical Validation of Magnetic Measurement
- Conclusions

# Medical Motivation

## Genetic diseases, which directly or indirectly cause iron overload

**Disease:** Hæmochromatosis      none in early stages;  
 none in early stages;  
 diabetes, arthritis,  
 myocardial infarction,  
 cirrhosis, etc. in later  
 stages, anemia, tiredness

Thalassemia

Sickle-Cell Anemia

**Symptoms:**

anemia, tiredness      anemia, hypoxemia

**Source of excess iron:**

abnormal absorption from diet

transfusion, some excess absorption

whole blood transfusion

**Therapy for Iron Removal:**

phlebotomy

chelation

chelation

**Other Therapy:**

splenectomy, bone marrow transplantation

equal exchange transfusions

**Incidence\*:**  
(U.S. figures)

0.25% - 0.5 % affected  
 ~10% have trait

1.5%

0.3% blacks affected, 7% have trait

**Main Sub population affected**

Northern European decent

Mediterranean decent

African or Hispanic decent

**Consequences:** Long-term exposure to high levels of iron in the body cause diabetes, growth disturbances, arthritis, and irreversible damage to endocrine glands, heart and liver.



Tristan  
Technologies

# Iron in the Human Body

~ 4 Grams of Iron in Healthy Adult


~ 3 grams involved in biochemistry, primarily oxygen transport

~ 1 gram of iron is stored in specialized protein molecules (e.g., ferritin, hemosiderin).

The major storage location is the Liver

Secondary storage locations are the Spleen and, when overloaded, the Heart


**When greatly overloaded, as much as 50 gram of Iron stored in 1.5 kg liver**



**The iron stored in the ferritin molecule is paramagnetic**

The concentration of iron can be determined by a magnetic susceptibility measurement  $\chi = B/H$

# The Differential Measurement



- Susceptibility of Liver sum of iron ( $\propto [Fe]$ ) and liver tissue
- The Liver is surrounded by tissue
  - $\chi_{\text{tissue}}$  is non-zero
  - $\chi_{\text{tissue}} \approx \chi_{\text{water}}$
- Use of Water Bag simulates uniform medium
  - Measurement is made by lowering the subject (I)
  - Water effectively replaces the torso by water (II)

# Calculation of Iron Concentration ( $\chi$ )

## First Order

$\chi_{liver} \ll \chi_{tissue}$  (skin, fat, muscle, ribs,...)

$$V(z) = C \Delta\chi_{liver} \Phi + \Delta V_{system}(z) + V_0(z)$$

$$\Phi(z) = \int H_{magnet} \cdot B_{coils} dr^3$$

## Second Order

$\chi_{liver} \leq \chi_{tissue}/10$

$$V(z) = C \{ \Delta\chi_{tissue} \Phi_{tissue} + \Delta\chi_{liver} \Phi_{liver}(z + z_{liver}) \} + \Delta V_{system}(z) + V_0(z) + O_3$$

By fitting output voltage as a function of depth,  $\chi$  can be determined





Tristan  
Technologies

# Magnetic Detection First Shown in Animals

"Estimation of Hepatic Iron Stores by In-Vivo Measurement of Magnetic Susceptibility"

John H. Bauman and John W. Harris, *The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine*, 70, 246 - 257 (1967)



## **The SQUID Magnetometer**

---


- **Amplifier is Superconducting QUantum Interference Device (SQUID)**
  - Operates at cryogenic temperatures
- **Measures Magnetic Fields better than anything else**
  - Sensitivity as low as femtoTesla
- **Highly Stable and Repeatable in large magnetic fields**
  - better than parts per million/hour in Tesla fields
- **Very Reliable**
  - Fundamental technology commercially available since 1970
  - 12 year operational history as measurement of iron stores
- **Sites in Hamburg, Germany and New York**
  - Systems under construction for Torino, Italy and California

## **Clinical Biomagnetism**

---

- Advantages of Biomagnetism
- Magnetopneumography
- Biomagnetic Liver Susceptometry
- Intestinal Ischemia
- Issues in Clinical Applications

Robert L Fagaly  
Tristan Technologies,  
San Diego, USA




## Introduction

---

- Useful in identifying electrophysiological activity
- Biomagnetism has significant advantages over electrical recordings
  - Non-Invasive
    - e.g., Intestinal Ischemia
  - Measures a vector quantity—magnetic field, rather than a scalar quantity—voltage
- Many magnetic analogs to electrical activity
  - MCG (Baule & McFee)
  - MEG (Cohen)
- There are also biomagnetic signals that have no electrical analogs.
  - Biomagnetic Liver Susceptometry
  - Magnetopneumography

# The Instrument




## Measurement Protocol

---

- Ultrasound Measurements
- Patient Positioned Beneath Detection Coils
- Patient Raised to Bottom of Dewar Tail - Water Bag Filled
- Patient Lowered - Water Bag Filling
- SQUID Electronics Yield Voltage Change
- Calculation of Hepatic Iron Concentration (x)
- Patient Report

G. M. Brittenham et al, "Magnetic-Susceptibility of Human Iron Stores", *New England Journal of Medicine* 307 1671 (1982)

## HEPATIC IRON (MAGNETIC), µg/g, wet weight



## HEPATIC IRON (MAGNETIC), µmoles/g, wet weight

Comparison of hepatic iron concentration as determined by magnetic susceptibility and by chemical analysis of liver tissue obtained by clinically indicated biopsy. Magnetic and biochemical measurements were made within 1 month; patients with cirrhosis or with biopsy specimens less than 5 mg, wet weight, were excluded..



Tristan  
Technologies

## Conclusions

---

- The magnetic biopsy gives accurate assessment of iron stores
  - Direct measurement of iron
  - Repeatability better than 5%
- **Non-invasive!**
  - Allows serial measurements
  - Allows pediatric measurements
- **Rapid Results**
  - Measurement time (including ultrasound) < 30 minutes
- **Proven Technique**
  - >2,100 Patients measured
- **Commercially available**

# Issues That Must Be Solved For Any Biomagnetic Measurement System to Gain Market Acceptance

---

- Must be a *Clinically Accepted Method* (efficacy)
- Must offer *significant* improvement over conventional methods or,
- Must offer new information not achievable by conventional methods
- Must be cost effective
  - either address a large patient population
  - Cost not the sole decision driver
  - or, if addressing an orphan disease
  - Cost becomes significant
- Must be “easy” to use
  - staffing requirements can significantly effect acceptability
  - need to minimize visibility of cryogens
- Third Party Reimbursement important
  - see above

# Commercialization of SQUIDS

---

- The Basic Instrument
- Applications
- Product Costs
- Market Sizes
- History
- Commercial Companies
- Obstacles
- Conclusions

Robert L Fagaly  
Tristan Technologies,  
San Diego, USA




## Why SQUIDS?

---


- It is the **most** sensitive amplifier known
- True dc response
- GHz bandwidth
- Zero phase distortion
- Noise levels below  $10^{-31}$  J/Hz
- High dynamic range: >180 dB
- Excellent linearity: 1:10<sup>7</sup>
- Physically compact

# The Basic Instrument

---




# What Can I Do With It?





## HTS DC-SQUID flip-chip magnetometers




An example of a real-time MCG-measurement with the flip-chip magnetometer.




## Sensor head for a DC-SQUID microscope







ac and dc Current




Magnetic Field




dc Voltage



dc Resistance



ac Resistance/Inductance Bridge



ac Mutual Inductance  
(Susceptibility Bridge)

# Applications

## Laboratory

Ammeter:	$10^{-12}$ ampere/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
Voltmeter:	$10^{-14}$ volt
Ohmmeter:	$10^{-12}$ $\Omega$
Mutual/Self Inductance:	$10^{-12}$ henry
<b>Magnetic Susceptibility:</b>	$10^{-10}$ emu & single electron spins
Magnetic Fields:	$10^{-15}$ tesla/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$
Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	

## Geophysical

Oil Exploration  
Airborne Exploration Systems  
Oceanographic Measurements

## Biomedical

Studies of the Brain—**Neuromagnetism**  
Studies of the Heart—MagnetoCardiography  
Liver, Lung, Intestines, other biological activity

## NDE

Defect Detection in Ferrous and Non-Ferrous Metals  
Insulating Material Analysis  
Infrastructure (Bridges, Runways, Buildings)  
Aerospace

### **Magnetic Microscopy**


## Military

Mine Detection and Unexploded Ordinance (UXO)  
Submarine Communication and Detection

## non-SQUID Electronics *(but interesting)*

Digital switching  
Cellular filters  
NMR and MRI receiver coils

# Environmental Noise




# Impact of High Temperature Superconductivity

---

- 1986: Discovery of High Temperature Superconductivity
- Higher Operating Temperatures and Reduced Cooling Requirements
- Primarily Thin-Film Fabrication (not really HTS, but parallel development)
- **Positives**
  - Simplified cryogenics  
ratio of latent heats/unit volume  
( $\text{LN}_2/\text{LHe}$ )  $\approx 50$   
single-stage closed cycle cooling possible
  - Reduced size and operating costs
- **Negatives**
  - Noise power proportional to temperature  
but at acceptable levels:  $10^{-30}$  J/Hz
  - Planar devices suitable only for:  
Magnetometers:  $B_x$   
planar gradiometers:  $\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x}$
  - Need  $< 10^{-12}$   $\Omega$  joint resistances for dc response  
Problem of interconnects for 3D structures  $\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z}$




## HTS DC-SQUID flip-chip magnetometers



Multilayer flux transformer on 10 mm x 10 mm SrTiO<sub>3</sub> substrate and encapsulated dc-SQUID magnetometers. The magnetometers are fixed on standard dc-SQUID packages (axial and 90°) designed for operation together with iMAG electronics.



## HTS DC-SQUID flip-chip magnetometers



An example of a noise measurement with the flip-chip magnetometer, having a 8 mm x 8 mm pick-up loop of the flux transformer, measured inside a four layers  $\mu$ -metal shield.


## Product Costs

---

- **Laboratory**
  - Basic measurement system \$10,000
  - SQUID susceptometer \$150,000
- **Geophysical**
  - 3-axis HTS magnetometer \$40,000
  - Rock magnetometer \$150,000
- **Biomedical**
  - 150 channel neuromagnetometer \$2,000,000
  - Single channel biomagnetometer \$50,000
  - Liver-Iron biosusceptometer \$750,000
  - Custom biomagnetometer \$100,000 - 300,000
- **NDE**
  - Basic measurement system \$20,000
  - Magnetic microscope \$380,000
  - Custom NDE system \$50,000 - 500,000


# Market Sizes

1989



25 M\$

1998



30 M\$

- SQUID susceptometer market saturated
- 1999 Biomagnetism market increasing  
60+ whole head systems installed  
as many as 10 more in 1999
- SQUID NDE (primarily Microscopy)  
could be 10 M\$ in 2000


# TimeLine

---

1962	Josephson Junction
1964	1 <sup>st</sup> SQUID
1970	1 <sup>st</sup> Commercial rf SQUID
1974	Toroidal rf SQUID (ruggedized)
1980	Hybrid (thin film) rf SQUID
1982	1 <sup>st</sup> Commercial dc SQUID
1984	Biomagnetism emerges
1986	High Temperature Superconductivity
1993	Mr. SQUID (1 <sup>st</sup> Commercial HTS device)
1994	1 <sup>st</sup> Commercial HTS SQUID

# Commercial SQUID Companies

III F 499



2000



# Corporate Types

---

- **Type I**
  - Large
    - > \$100,000,000
    - > 500 employees
  - Diversified Technologies
    - multiple manufacturing sites
  - Profitable
    - *IGC, Oxford*
- **Type II**
  - Moderate size
    - ~\$10,000,000
    - < 100 employees
  - Single underlying technology products
    - single manufacturing site
  - Profitable
    - Quantum Design, Neuromag
- **Type III**
  - Products centered around single technology
    - Venture Capital/IPO Funded
      - Funding based upon market prospects > \$100,000,000
      - Rapid growth after funding
      - > 100 employees
      - \$5,000,000 - 10,000,000 annual expenditures
  - Retrenchment Phase
    - Market **Non-Acceptance** of Product
    - < 50 employees
    - more realistic market approach (*hopefully*)
    - BTi, Conductus, Hypres

# Obstacles

---

- **Perceived**
  - Need for cryogenics
  - Environmental noise
    - Need for shielding or sophisticated noise rejection
  - Motion induced noise
    - SQUIDS are vector devices
  - Cost
- **Applications**
  - Science establishes capability
  - Users establish need
- **Market Resistance**
  - Too often Technology Push, rather than Market Pull
- **Must Establish Need!**
  - Biomagnetism: Compelling Clinical Requirement
  - Industrial: Capability that saves user many M\$

## Conclusions

---

need to state influence of outside capital  
what does it take to become a type I?  
Product saturation vs. expanding markets

- SQUIDS offer *Significant* Technical Advantages
- There are Product Applications
- Only a “killer” Application gets you to Type I
- If the Market is Small, a Type II Company is appropriate

# **SQUIDS for Geomagnetic Exploration**

**A.I. Braginski**

*Institut für Schicht- und Ionentechnik (ISI)  
Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH (FZJ), D-52425 Jülich  
(Retired)*

**Partial support: BMBF Project No. 13 N 6527**

# Acknowledgements

## *Leading Project Collaborators:*

M. Bick, K.-D. Husemann, R. Otto, G. Panaitov, N. Wolters, Y. Zhang and E. Zimmermann

## *Project Partners:*

GERMANY: IPHT-Jena, Metronix GmbH, Tech. Univ. Berlin; CHINA: IGGE, Univ. of Peking

## *Unpublished Data:*

Courtesy of C. Foley et al. CSIRO, Australia

# Outline


- **Introduction**
- **Electromagnetic Methods of Geophysical Exploration**
- **Areas of Possible SQUID Applications in Geomagnetism**
- **Performance Requirements for SQUID Magnetometers**
- **History of LTS SQUID Uses in Geophysics**
- **Status of HTS SQUID Developments, rf and dc Magnetometers**
- **HTS SQUID Field Data**
- **Conclusions & Outlook**



# Principle of EM Methods in Geophysics

target parameter: electrical ground conductivity

distinguish geological structures  
by differences in conductivity




$$\text{skin depth } z \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma \cdot f}}$$


$\sigma$  = conductivity;  $f$  = frequency



# Electromagnetic Methods in Geophysics




claim: - one sensor for all methods  
 - improved resolution for **great** depths





# Application of Electromagnetic Methods for Geological Investigations



T. Radic, TU Berlin

# **Electromagnetic Methods of Geophysical Sounding**

## *Time Domain:*

- \* Transient Electromagnetic Method (TEM)**
- \* Long-Offset TEM (LOTEM)**

## *Frequency Domain:*

- \* Magnetotelluric (MT, AMT)**
- \* Controlled-Source MT, AMT (CSMT, CSAMT)**
- \* Very Low Radio Frequency Resistivity (VLF-R)**
- \* Radiomagnetic Sounding (RMS)**

# Magnetotellurics

- Natural or controlled source EM excitation, from  $10^{-3}$  to  $> 10^3$  Hz
- Determine at earth surface:

$$Z_{xy}(\omega) = \mu_0 \mu E_x(\omega) / B_y(\omega)$$

$(\mu \approx 1)$

- For a homogeneous earth:

$$\rho_{xy} = 1 / \mu_0 \mu \omega |Z_{xy}(\omega)|^2$$

- For inhomogeneous subsoil one can use the apparent resistivity  $\rho_a(\omega)$ :



$$\rho_a \approx 0.2t [E_x / H_y]^2$$

where:  $\rho_a$  [ $\Omega$ ],  $t$  [sec],  $E_x$  [mV/km],  $H_y$  [nT]

- Depth of penetration:

$$p \approx 1/2\pi(10\rho_a t)^{1/2}$$


Cd - CdCl<sub>2</sub>  
Porous Pot  
Electrodes





# Principle of Transient Electromagnetics (TEM)

- transmitter generates magnetic field in the ground
- decay of secondary signal measured by SQUID
- improvement of SN-ratio by using bipolar transmitter signal







# Principle of Transient Electromagnetics (TEM) Measurements in Geophysics

decay of secondary field measured by rf SQUID


for homogeneous halfspace expected:

$$B \sim t^{-1.5}$$






# Setup of TEM Field Trial



# Principle and Setup of LOTEM

- Investigation depths: several km
- Targets: oil and ore deposits



*The Bible:*

**Electromagnetic methods in Applied  
Geophysics – Applications, Parts A, B**

*Editor:*

**M.N. Nabighian**

*First Published in 1991 (last edition 1996) by:*

**Society of Exploration Physicists  
P.O.Box 702740, Tulsa Oklahoma 74170-2740**

# Possible Applications of SQUID in Geomagnetism

- **Paleomagnetism (Rock Sample Magnetometry)**
- **Prospecting/Surveying for Ore, Coal, etc. Deposits**
- **Prospecting for Oil Deposits**
- **Exploration for Geothermal Energy**
- **Small-Area Prospecting for Water, Buried Waste, Archeological Objects**
- **Volcanic Eruption and Earthquake Prediction**
- **Fluid Interface Detection**

*Source:*

**SQUID Applications to Geophysics**

*Editors:*

**H. Weinstock & A.C. Overton**


*Published in 1981 by:*

**Society of Exploration Physicists  
P.O.Box 702740, Tulsa Oklahoma 74170-2740**


# Advantages of HTS SQUIDS

## Size:

triple of induction coils  
(e.g. product of Metronix)



triple of  
HTS SQUIDS




- compact, low weight:
  - easy handling
  - borehole potential
- broadband sensor (dc - 20 kHz / 10MHz)
- high sensitivity at low frequencies
- B-field sensor

## Requirements:

- field proven
- dyn. range > 120db
- slew rate > 1mT/sec



# TEM Method: Principle Advantage of SQUID




- **Disadvantage of coil:  $\partial B/\partial t$  receiver**  
depth of investigation  $z \propto \left( \frac{I \cdot A}{\sigma \cdot \eta_v} \right)^{1/5}$

- **Advantage of SQUID: B-field sensor**  
depth of investigation  $z \propto \left( \frac{I \cdot A}{\eta_B} \right)^{1/3}$

[Spies, 1989]



# Magnetic field noise for HTS rf SQUID and induction coil



# **Geomagnetic Exploration**


## **Objective:**


**Improve portability, versatility, also attain mobility, beyond limitations of conventional induction coil equipment, but without sacrificing and possibly improving sensitivity of detection**

## **EM Methods Investigated:**


- Transient EM (time domain)**
- Controlled-source audio magnetotellurics (frequency domain)**
- Radiometric detection of water & environmental waste**

# System Geometry






# AIR BORNE RESULTS (Conductivity)



Project Supported by German Government (BMBF)




**SQUID Magnetometers  
for Geophysical Applications**



**Objectives:**


Development of a compact, broadband vector magnetometer

Demonstration of geophysical exploration





## Sensor Set-up of HTS rf SQUID Vector Magnetometer



- **sensor:** rf washer SQUID and coplanar resonator in flip-chip configuration

### SQUID:


- YBCO washer:  $\varnothing = 3,5\text{mm}$
- loop:  $100 \times 100 \mu\text{m}^2$ ,  $10 \times 500 \mu\text{m}^2$
- junction type: step edge junction
- junction width:  $4 \mu\text{m}$

### resonator:


- coplanar:  $\varnothing = 8\text{mm}$  on  $1\text{cm}^2$  substrate
- frequency:  $650 \text{ MHz} - 1 \text{ GHz}$
- $\partial B / \partial \Phi = 3,9 \text{ nT} / \Phi_0$  ,  $2,7 \text{ nT} / \Phi_0$



# Sensor Module of HTS rf SQUID Vector Magnetometer [YBCO]




rf SQUID, coplanar resonator and planar coupling coil  
integration of heater to eliminate trapped magnetic flux



triaxial sensor head

# 3-axis HTS rf SQUID Vector Magnetometer

Field Trial at Erbandorf, Oberpfalz




System includes heater and automatic adjustment of SQUID parameters; well shielded against rf noise



# TEM Survey with SQUID System


Cloncurry, Australia, October 1998







# Improved HTS SQUID Vector Magnetometer Presented at the Industrial Geophysical Exhibition SEG '98



Booth # 2660 of Metronix GmbH



## Characteristics of HTS rf SQUID Vector Magnetometer

- **sensor set up:** orthogonal, capsulated
- **bandwidth:** dc – 20 kHz
- **dynamic range:** > 130 dB
- **slew rate:** ~ 2mT/s [ $\sim 5 \times 10^5 \Phi_0/s$ ]
- **cross talk:** < 0.5 %
- **hold time of dewar:** > 30 h
- **implemented heater**

- **field resolution:**

white noise                      40 fT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  [typical]


1/f – onset                        @ 100 Hz [best channel]

⇒ **Requirements for TEM fulfilled**


⇒ **Stable operation of all 3 channels in  
urban environment proved**

# Noise Spectra of Vector Magnetometer (Z-channel) in and Outside Magnetic Shielding


1/f-onset @ ~ 7 Hz in shielding  
@ ~ 100 Hz outside shielding



# Noise Spectra of Vector Magnetometer Inside 3-layer $\mu$ -metal Shielding




# Performance of Heater: Noise Spectra Before and After Heating (X-channel) During Field Trial






# Geophysical Transient Electromagnetics (TEM) Measurements: Advantage HTS rf SQUID over Coil for Late Times



# TEM Measurements:


## Advantage HTS rf SQUID Over Coil for Late Times






Geophysical Transient Electromagnetics, Measured at Martinsbüttel, Germany

# HTS SQUID Provides Better SNR Than Conventional Coil







# Correlation SQUID - Coil for Late Times SQUID Provides Better SNR Than Coil



# Reproducibility of Geophysical SQUID TEM Measurements



1/ER-MCOTICE  
VERTICAL COMPONENT B(Z)  
F27 - SQUID



F  
TR/  
ELECTROMOT  
SECT  
TIME DERIVA

*Eloise,  
Queensland*

TX LOOP SIZE  
TX TURN OFF TIME  
FIRST GATE TIME  
CURRENT  
FREQUENCY  
INTEGRATION TIME  
SYNC MODE  
HORIZONTAL SCAL  
SURVEYED BY  
DATE



CLIENT : G  
PROJECT : T  
AREA : T  
LINE : B

1/28 - 1/28/2008  
IPMT - SOUND  
October 24, 1998

F

TR/

ELECTROMOT  
SEC

TIME DERIVA

*Floise,  
Queensland*


TX LOOP SIZE  
TX TURN OFF TIME  
FIRST GATE TIME  
CURRENT  
FREQUENCY  
INTEGRATION TIME  
SYNC MODE  
HORIZONTAL SCAL  
SURVEYED BY  
DATE



CLIENT : G  
PROJECT : T  
AREA : T  
LINE : B

Eastings (m)

nanovolts per amp metre squared



PLEASE NOTICE

October 24, 1998

# VERTICAL COMPONENT B(Z) GEOTERREX - COIL

F

TR

ELECTROMOT  
SEC

TIME DERIV.

*Elise,  
Reedland*


TX LOOP SIZE  
TX TURN OFF TIME  
FIRST GATE TIME  
CURRENT  
FREQUENCY  
INTEGRATION TIME  
SYNC MODE  
HORIZONTAL SCA  
SURVEYED BY  
DATE




CLIENT : :  
PROJECT : :  
AREA : :  
LINE : :

Easting (m)


nanovolts per amp metre squared




# Station 7 of TEM Profile, Northern China, 01.11.98



# SQUID-LOTEM Profile above Geological Structure in Northern China



# LOTEM, Station 2, Northern China, 03.11.98



# Radiomagnetic Sounding

- Above 100 kHz,  $E_x$  difficult to measure (sensor dimensions comp. to  $\delta$ )
- Measure  $B$  gradient instead:

$$\text{Curl } \mathbf{B}(\omega) = \mu_0 \mu (1/\rho_a - j\omega\epsilon) \mathbf{E}(\omega)$$

- When  $\rho < 100 \text{ } \Omega\text{cm}$ ,  $f < 1 - 2 \text{ MHz}$ ,  $j\omega\epsilon$  is negligible, and we have:

$$Z_{xy}(\omega) \cong -\rho^*/\Delta z (\Delta B_y(\omega)/B_y(\omega))$$

(with  $\Delta B_y$ ,  $\rho^*$  just below surface,  $\Delta z \ll \delta$ )

- For homogeneous earth ( $\rho = \rho^*$ ):


$$\left| \Delta B_y(\omega)/B_y(\omega) \right| \cong 2^{1/2} \Delta z/\delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \Delta B_y \right| = 8 - 800 \text{ fT}$$

for

$$\left| B_y \right| = 1 - 100 \text{ pT}$$

# Schematic of LTS RMS (RMT) System



Drung, Radic et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 7, 3283 (1997)



# Main Noise Sources in TEM

## Determining SNR

### 1. Intrinsic noise of sensor

### 2. External disturbances:

- **high frequency [ $>20\text{kHz}$  - GHz]**

radio / TV transmitter, mobile phones

⇒ directly affect SQUID's operation

- **low frequency [dc - 20kHz]**

- wind noise (vibrations)

- LF drifts of earth's magnetic field ( $\sim 0,3\text{nT/min}$ )

- cultural noise ( $16^{2/3}\text{Hz}$ , 50Hz)

- sferics



## 2. Intrinsic noise of sensor determining SNR

**Increased LF excess noise  
outside magnetic shielding.**

**Reasons:**

- **Penetration of flux into junction:  
suppression and fluctuation of critical current**

possible solution: narrow junction

- **Thermally activated hopping  
of flux vortices in YBCO film**

possible solutions:

- high quality YBCO film
- narrow line width of SQUID's structure ( $w < \pi \Phi_0 / 4B_{\text{earth}}$ )
- pinning centres (antidots)

## Conclusions

- The usefulness of SQUID in geophysics was convincingly demonstrated with LTS SQUID, but LHe cooling was prohibitive; LN<sub>2</sub> is not
- Recent field tests using HTS SQUID demonstrators have been confirming their potential in TEM and RMS
- Further reduction of HTS SQUID low-frequency noise is required, especially for use in magnetotellurics
- Also required is ruggedizing & automating of SQUID systems, long cryogen hold time, cryocoolers, borehole-compatible systems
- There is potential in HTS SQUID use for prediction of earthquakes and volcano eruptions (and other)

# Outlook

- Existing interest of industry and users should lead to commercial availability of HTS SQUID systems for TEM in a few years (2000–2005)
- New developments in SQUID sensors (Berkeley), may also permit CSAMT systems at a comparable time scale
- The RMS using HTS SQUID might find the relatively largest market, after additional development efforts
- Novel (electrokinetic) methods, possible only with SQUID, might have a large economic potential in a more distant future



## SQUIDS, Axions, Bugs and Hearts

- SQUIDS – a short review
- The axion detector: a new mode for SQUIDS
- The SQUID microscope: magnetotactic bacteria
- Unshielded magnetocardiography with a high- $T_c$  second-derivative gradiometer

Lyon  
16 June 1999

# Low-Noise rf Amplifiers Based on dc SQUIDs (LOW- $T_c$ )

Michael Mück and Marc-Olivier André

*Department of Physics  
University of California, Berkeley*


In collaboration with:

Jost Gail and Christoph Heiden

*Institut für Angewandte Physik  
Justus-Liebig Universität Gießen, Germany*

**XIAOFENG MENG  
(VAN DERZEE/MICROLAB)**

# LC - Resonator Input




WITH 2dB COUPLING LOSS, PEAK HEIGHT  $\approx 6.7 \text{ dB}$

HENCE  $10 \log_{10} [T_N^{(SYS)} + T] / T_N^{(SYS)} \approx 6.7$


$$T_N^{(SYS)} \approx \underline{1.1 \text{ K}}$$

[MEASURED :  $T_N^{(SYS)} = 1.4 \pm 0.18 \text{ K}$ ]

# LC - Resonator Input



## NOISE TEMPERATURE



$$S_v^o(f) = A^2 4k_B (T + T_N) R$$


WHERE  $T_N = T_N(R)$


## Axions

- The axion is a candidate particle for dark matter
- Energy constrained to  $10^{-6} - 10^{-3}$  eV (0.24 - 240 GHz)
- In a magnetic field  $B_0$  an axion can convert into a photon
- In a resonant cavity of volume  $V$  and quality factor  $Q$ , conversion rate  $\propto B_0^2 VQ$


### LLNL/MIT Axion Detector

- Cavity 1 m long, 0.5 m diameter :  $T_c \approx 1.3$  K
- Frequency range 0.7 - 0.8 GHz
- Output from cavity detected by HEMT amplifier :  
 $T_A \approx 1.7$  K
- System noise temperature  $T_s = T_c + T_A \approx 3$  K
- Since integration time  $\propto T_s$ , there is great incentive to run the detector at a lower temperature (say, 0.3 K) provided one has a much quieter amplifier






50  $\mu\text{m}$




Josephson  
junctions

# Conventional SQUID design

Top View




Side View





At high frequencies, most of the current flows through the parasitic capacitance rather than the inductance.

This reduces the gain substantially.



# MICROSTRIPLINE



SIDE VIEW

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &\approx 5 \mu\text{m} \\
 h &\approx 0.4 \mu\text{m} \\
 \epsilon &\approx 9 \\
 \lambda &\approx 0.15 \mu\text{m}
 \end{aligned}$$

NEGLECT FRINGING FIELDS

ASSUME  $\lambda <$  FILM THICKNESS


$$\begin{aligned}
 C/lm &= \frac{\epsilon \epsilon_0 W}{h} \\
 &\approx \underline{1 \text{ nF/m}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L/lm &= \frac{\mu \mu_0 h}{W} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{h} \right) \\
 &\approx \underline{175 \text{ nH/m}} \quad \text{INDUCTIVE LOADING}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{VELOCITY } \bar{c} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon \sqrt{1 + 2\lambda/h}}} \approx \underline{0.25c}$$

$$\text{IMPEDANCE } Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \frac{h}{W \sqrt{\epsilon}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\epsilon_0} \left( 1 + \frac{2\lambda}{h} \right)} \approx \underline{13 \Omega}$$

## MICROSTRIPLINE RESONANCE




RESONANCE :  $\frac{\lambda}{2} = l = \frac{c}{2f}$


QUALITY FACTOR :  $Q = \frac{\pi Z_{in}}{2 Z_0}$

# Feedback

Feedback from the output of the SQUID to the input via the capacitance of the microstrip.





Positive feedback for  $V_{\Phi}^+$




Negative feedback for  $V_{\Phi}^-$

# Gain Measurements



# Gain vs. Coil Length



Fitted line in inset: 
$$\nu_{res} = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{\epsilon_r \kappa \chi}(l + 16)} \quad (l \text{ in mm})$$

- $c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$
- $\epsilon_r (\text{Si}) \approx 9$
- $\kappa \approx 1.75$  arises from inductive loading.
- $\chi \approx 9$  accounts for the SQUID inductance coupled into the microstrip.

## RESONANT FREQUENCY

31-TURN COIL :  $l = 71\text{mm}$

FUNDAMENTAL RESONANCE  $\frac{\bar{c}}{2l} \approx 530\text{MHz}$  FOR  $\bar{c} = 0.25c$

MEASURED RESONANT FREQUENCY  $\approx$  200MHz

### SCALE MODEL 195:1

31-TURN COPPER COIL ON ONE SIDE OF PC BOARD.

HOLE & SLIT ON THE REVERSE SIDE.

MEASURE RESONANT FREQUENCY OF COIL.

- HOLE & SLIT COVERED WITH CU SHEET :


RESONANCE AT EXPECTED FREQUENCY

- HOLE & SLIT UNCOVERED :

RESONANT FREQUENCY DROPS BY FACTOR  $\sqrt{3}$


- HENCE IT APPEARS THAT INDUCTANCE COUPLED INTO THE COIL SLOWS THE WAVE VELOCITY.

# Noise Temperature Measurements




IF WE NEGLECT THE NOISE OF THE POSTAMPLIFIER

$$P_{\text{out}} = 4k_B (T_i + T_{SQ}) G_{SQ} G_A$$




# Results



Noise temperature of the 295 K post-amplifier:  $\sim 100 \text{ K}$ .

**SQUID Gain  $\approx 200$**   $\longleftrightarrow$   $0.5 \text{ K}$  at SQUID input.

Using a cold **HEMT post-amp** ( $G_A \approx 17 \text{ dB}$ ,  $T_{N,A} \approx 8 \text{ K}$ )



PERFORMANCE OF MICROSTRIP SOLID AMPLIFIERS  
WITH COOLED HEMT POSTAMPLIFIER

<u>FREQUENCY</u> (MHz)	<u>I</u>	<u>GAIN</u>	<u>T<sub>N</sub>(SYS)</u>	<u>T<sub>N</sub>(SQ)</u>
90	4.2	24	0.90 ± 0.12	0.86 ± 0.12
90	0.4	24.5	0.10 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.02
438	4.2	20	1.40 ± 0.18	1.02 ± 0.19
438	0.5	20	0.50 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.10

NOTE: AT 438 MHz,  $T_N^{SQ} = hf / k_B \ln 2 \approx \underline{0.03K}$

## RESONANT FREQUENCY

31-TURN COIL :  $l = 71\text{mm}$

FUNDAMENTAL RESONANCE  $\frac{\bar{c}}{2l} \approx 530\text{MHz}$  FOR  $\bar{c} = 0.25c$

MEASURED RESONANT FREQUENCY  $\approx$  200MHz

### SCALE MODEL 195:1

31-TURN COPPER COIL ON ONE SIDE OF PC BOARD.

HOLE & SLIT ON THE REVERSE SIDE.

MEASURE RESONANT FREQUENCY OF COIL.

- HOLE & SLIT COVERED WITH CU SHEET :


RESONANCE AT EXPECTED FREQUENCY

- HOLE & SLIT UNCOVERED :

RESONANT FREQUENCY DROPS BY FACTOR  $\sqrt{3}$


- HENCE IT APPEARS THAT INDUCTANCE COUPLED INTO THE COIL SLOWS THE WAVE VELOCITY.

# Noise Temperature Measurements




IF WE NEGLECT THE NOISE OF THE POSTAMPLIFIER

$$P_{\text{out}} = 4k_B (T_i + T_{SQ}) G_{SQ} G_A$$




# Results



Noise temperature of the 295 K post-amplifier:  $\sim 100 \text{ K}$ .

**QUID Gain  $\approx 200$**   $\longleftrightarrow$   $0.5 \text{ K}$  at SQUID input.

Using a cold **HEMT post-amp** ( $G_A \approx 17 \text{ dB}$ ,  $T_{N,A} \approx 8 \text{ K}$ )




PERFORMANCE OF MICROSTRIP SQUID AMPLIFIERS  
WITH COOLED HEMT POSTAMPLIFIER


<u>FREQUENCY</u> (MHz)	<u>I</u>	<u>GAIN</u>	<u>T<sub>N</sub>(SYS)</u>	<u>T<sub>N</sub>(SQ)</u>
90	4.2	24	0.90 ± 0.12	0.86 ± 0.12
90	0.4	24.5	0.10 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.02
438	4.2	20	1.40 ± 0.18	1.02 ± 0.19
438	0.5	20	0.50 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.10

NOTE: AT 438 MHz,  $T_N^{SQ} = hf / k_B \ln 2 \approx \underline{0.03K}$

# LC - Resonator Input




# LC - Resonator Input





INFER  $T_N^{(\text{SYS})} \approx \underline{0.5 \text{ K}}$

[MEASURED  $T_N^{(\text{SYS})} = 0.50 \pm 0.07 \text{ K}$ ]



## TERMINATION OF MICROSTRIP



# Varactor tuning of microstrip SQUID



# SQUID Postamplifier



## Microstrip SQUID Amplifier: Summary

- Gain  $\geq 20$  dB for frequencies  $\lesssim 1$  GHz
- With cooled postamplifier and  $T = 0.4 - 0.5$  K:

$$T_N^{(SQ)} \sim 0.1 \text{ K}$$


- Tunable over factor of 2 with varactor diode
- Second SQUID used as postamplifier
- Cooled to 0.1 K, SQUID should be

Quantum Limited ( $f \gtrsim 1/2$  GHz)

# Magnetotactic Bacteria


- Yann Chemla  
Helene Grossman  
Tom Lee
  
- Bob Buchanan -- Microbiology  
Mike Adamkiewicz

# MICROSCOPE SCHEMATIC




# Magnetotactic Bacteria

Bacteria MS-1 (*Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum*):



$$m \sim 10^{-16} \text{ Am}^2$$



Magnetotaxis:

-  $m \cdot B \sim 10 \text{ k}_B T$


-  $3\text{-D} \Rightarrow 1\text{-D}$

A. S. Bahaj, et. al. <http://www.soton.ac.uk>)

R. P. Blakemore (1982) *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* **36**, 217-38)

# Experimental Setup

- Observe bacteria in solution
- Parameters:
  - shielded environment ( $B < 2 \times 10^{-5} B_e$ )
  - cell concentrations:  $10^7$ - $10^8$  cells/ml
  - SQUID  $\sim 30\mu\text{m}$  away



- Measurement:

motion of bacteria in solution



magnetic flux fluctuations




measure flux noise spectral density:  $S_{\Phi}(f)$

# Dead Bacteria


Rotational Brownian motion of magnetic dipoles:

$$\Rightarrow S_{\Phi}(f) \sim \frac{2\tau_o}{1 + (2\pi\tau_o f)^2} \quad \begin{array}{l} \tau_o = \alpha_r/2k_B T, \\ \alpha_r = \text{rotational drag coeff.} \end{array}$$



Model bacteria as cylinders:

$$\tau_o \approx \frac{\pi\eta L^3}{6k_B T} \left( \ln \frac{L}{d} - 0.662 + 0.92 \frac{d}{L} \right)^{-1}$$




For  $d \sim 0.7 \mu\text{m} \Rightarrow L \approx 3.5 \mu\text{m}, \Delta L \approx 0.7 \mu\text{m}$

In a field, noise is reduced and  $\tau_o \Rightarrow \tau_B = \alpha_r/2mB$   
 $\Rightarrow m = 3.0 \times 10^{-16} \text{ Am}^2$


(M. M. Tirado, et al (1980) *J. Chem. Phys.* **73**(4), 1986-93)

# Relaxation in a Field

- Turn off a field & measure randomization time:




$$\Rightarrow \Phi(t) \sim e^{-t/\tau_0}$$
$$\tau_0 = \alpha_r / 2k_B T$$




$$\Rightarrow \bar{\tau}_0 = 4.8s$$

# Alignment in a Field

- Turn on a field & measure alignment time:



$$\Rightarrow \Phi(t) \sim e^{-t/\tau_B}$$
$$\tau_B = \alpha_r / 2mB$$




$$\Rightarrow m = 3.2 \times 10^{-16} \text{ Am}^2$$

# Live Bacteria


universal features:

- high frequency peaks: (1)  $\sim 65\text{Hz}$ , (2)  $\sim 25\text{Hz}$
- shift in low freq. knee: (3)  $\sim 0.1\text{Hz}$
- deviation from Lorentzian




# Modeling


- Vibration or “gyration”
  - imbalance in drag forces
  - vibration at flagellar frequency  $\sim 100\text{Hz}$



- Precession or “wobble”
  - body rolls counter to flagellum
  - body & flagellar axes not collinear
  - precession at lower frequency




- Model vibration & precession as rotations of dipole about two axes:



- In lab frame, measure peaks at  $f_p$  and  $f_v - f_p$ .


# High Frequency Behavior

Model vibration & precession as rotations of dipole about two axes:



Fit spectrum to Gaussian distribution of frequencies:


-  $\phi_v, \phi_p$  determined by scaling to  $S_\Phi(f=0)$





$$f_v = 89 \text{ Hz}, \Delta f_v = 30 \text{ Hz}, \phi_v = 5.5^\circ$$

$$f_p = 26 \text{ Hz}, \Delta f_p = 10 \text{ Hz}, \phi_p = 7.0^\circ$$

# Time scan of single bacterium



Interpretation: orbits near surfaces



Amplitude and period consistent

Sensitivity:  $<10^{-17} \text{ Am}^2$  in 1Hz  $\Leftrightarrow$  one 35nm  
particle  $\sim 15\text{-}30\mu\text{m}$  away

P. D. Frymier, et al (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA* **92**, 6195-99)

## MAGNETIC MOMENT RESOLUTION

- MAGNETIC MOMENT OF ONE BACTERIUM  $\approx 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ Am}^2$
- S/N RATIO OF SWIMMING BACTERIUM  
 $\approx 20:1$  IN 25 Hz BANDWIDTH
- THUS, MICROSCOPE RESOLUTION  $\approx 3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ Am}^2 / \text{Hz}^{1/2}$   
 $\approx 3 \times 10^5 \mu\text{B} / \text{Hz}^{1/2}$
- NOTE: EACH BACTERIUM CONTAINS  $\sim 30$  MAGNETOSOMES  
WITH A MAGNETIC MOMENT  $\sim 10^{-17} \text{ Am}^2$   
THUS, ONE COULD DETECT 1 MAGNETOSOME  
WITH A S/N RATIO  $\sim 3 (\text{Hz}^{-1/2})$

## Immunoassay

{ Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt, Berlin  
{ Institut für Diagnostikforschung GmbH, Berlin  
{ Schering Ag, Berlin

Magnetically tag antibody




Attach antigen to substrate




Allow interaction to take place

Apply magnetic field for a few seconds



Brownian rotation of antibody yields zero average magnetic field



Remanent magnetization of magnetic tag produces nonzero field

## MAGNETIC FIELD GRADIENTS


MAGNETIC DIPOLE MOMENT  $m$

$$B \sim \frac{m}{r^3}$$

$$\frac{dB}{dr} \sim \frac{m}{r^4}$$


$$\frac{d^2B}{dr^2} \sim \frac{m}{r^5}$$

# FLUX TRANSFORMERS



$B_N \sim 10^{-15} \text{ THz}^{-1/2} = 10^{-11} \text{ gauss Hz}^{-1/2}$  FLUX-LOCKED SQUID


(a)



$\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z}$

FLUX-LOCKED SQUID

(b)




$\frac{\partial^2 B_z}{\partial z^2}$

FLUX-LOCKED SQUID

(c)


XBL 766-7138A

# JÜLICH GRADIOMETER (TAVRIN ET AL.)




ALSO : 2<sup>ND</sup> DERIVATIVE

# THREE - SQUID GRADIOMETER (KOCH ET AL)



- REFERENCE SQUID PROVIDES QUIET ENVIRONMENT FOR UPPER AND LOWER SQUIDS
- NOISE OF REFERENCE SQUID IS SUBTRACTED OUT.

# PLANAR GRADIOMETER



FALEY ET AL. (JÜLICH)

BALANCE: 1 PART IN 1800

$5 \mu\text{T m}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$  AT 1 kHz

## Collaborators

### UCB/LBNL

Sherry Cho  
Gene Dantsker  
Oliver Froelich  
Achim Kittel  
Konstantin Kouznetsov  
Robert McDermott  
Byungdu Oh  
Saburo Tanaka  
**JÖRG BORGEMANN**

### Conductus, Inc.


Kookrin Char  
Z. Lu  
Vlad Matijasevic  
C. Soble

### IBM

Roger Koch

## FLIP-CHIP GRADIOMETER: PRINCIPLE

ZIMMERMAN 1977



CONDITION FOR BALANCE: ZERO RESPONSE TO UNIFORM  $B_z$

TRANSFORMER:  $B_z (A_p + A_i) - (L_p + L_i) J_t - M_i J_m = 0$

MAGNETOMETER:  $B_z A_m - L_m J_m - M_i J_t = 0$


REGARD  $\alpha$  AS THE VARIABLE PARAMETER, CHOOSING  
IT SO THAT  $J_m = 0$ :

$$\alpha = \frac{A_m}{A_p + A_i} \cdot \frac{L_p + L_i}{(L_i L_m)^{1/2}}$$

THIS IS THE CONDITION TO BALANCE THE GRADIOMETER

## FLIP-CHIP GRADIMETER: PRINCIPLE

ZIMMERMAN 1977



$$M_i = \alpha (L_i L_m)^{1/2}$$

GRADIENT RESPONSE: APPLY  $\delta B_z$  TO MAGNETOMETER AND INPUT LOOP ONLY

TRANSFORMER:  $\delta B_z A_i - (L_p + L_i) \delta J_t - M_i \delta J_m = 0$

MAGNETOMETER:  $\delta B_z A_m - L_m \delta J_m - M_i \delta J_t = 0$

SOLVING FOR  $\delta J_m$ :


$$\delta J_m = \eta \left[ \delta B_z \frac{A_m}{L_m} \right]$$

CURRENT IN BARE MAGNETOMETER

WHERE 
$$\eta = \frac{L_p/L_i + 1 - \alpha (A_i/A_m) (L_m/L_i)^{1/2}}{L_p/L_i + 1 - \alpha^2}$$


FACTOR  $\eta$  REPRESENTS THE REDUCTION IN THE SENSITIVITY OF THE MAGNETOMETER DUE TO THE TRANSFORMER

## FABRICATION OF GRADIOMETER



0 nm YBCO

u  
ATIASBAC 7 mm  
(HAR  
DUCTUS)



ESTIMATED PARAMETERS:

TRANSFORMER:  $L_i \approx 10 \text{ nH}$ ,  $A_i \approx 36 \text{ mm}^2$ ,  $L_p \approx 50 \text{ nH}$ ,  $A_p \approx 411 \text{ mm}^2$

SQUID:  $L \approx 50 \text{ pH}$ ,  $R \approx 1.2 \Omega$ ,  $I_0 \approx 200 \mu\text{A}$



MAGNETOMETER:  $L_m \approx 4 \text{ nH}$ ,  $A_m \approx 20 \text{ mm}^2$

THUS:  $\alpha = 0.43$

$\eta = 0.95$  - ONLY 5% REDUCTION IN SENSITIVITY


BASELINE: 48 mm

# First-order asymmetric gradiometer




TYPICAL BALANCE 1:300

# Probe with two gradiometers and three magnetometers




## Balance of First-Order Gradiometer




BALANCE : 20 ppm


# Noise Spectra of Magnetometer and Gradiometer



# Magnetocardiogram in an Unshielded Environment



# Magnetocardiogram in an Unshielded Environment (averaged 119 times)




## Frequency range of the dc SQUID

Magnetotactic bacteria:  $\sim 10^{-3}$  Hz

Axion detector:  $\sim 1$  GHz

Over 12 decades of frequency, appropriately used the dc SQUID is the most sensitive detector available.




# The Use of SQUIDs in the Non-Destructive Evaluation of Engineering Structures

Gordon B Donaldson  
Department of Physics & Applied Physics  
University of Strathclyde  
Glasgow  
Scotland




Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

# North Sea Oil and Gas Pipes- Welds



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

# A Brief History of SQUID NDE



1985	'Buried' Gas Pipes	NRL/AFOSR
	'North Sea' Oil Pipelines	Strathclyde
1987	AC injection and eddy current techniques	Vanderbilt
1988	Corrosion currents	MIT
1990	HTS	
1991..	Aircraft 'bodies'	SQM
	Concrete bridges	
	Ageing in reactor steels	Hitachi
	Fish	
	Inclusions in fine copper wire	Sumitomo
	Stressed steels	ETL
	Microscope	Maryland
	Aircraft wheels	Julich
..1998	Turbine blades	FIT



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999


# Magnetic Detection

## Advantages

- Non-contacting- significant lift off possible
- Skin depth dependence allows probing

## Disadvantages

- Can need large excitations
- No Time-of-flight or Phased array methods possible- spatial location comparable only to stand-off



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

# SQUIDS

Vector device

Gradiometer

Measures  $\Phi$ , not  $d\Phi/dt$  so useable to DC

In fact, measures  $\Delta\Phi$  in large  $\Phi_{\text{background}}$ ,  
equivalent to  $10^{-7}\text{T}$  in  $4 \times 10^{-2}\text{T}$

Can use tiny pick-up coils to improve spatial resolution  
(SQUID microscope)



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

# MAGNETIC SENSORS FOR NDE

Table 2: Magnetic sensors for eddy current NDE

Sensor	Sensor Package Size (Sensing Volume)	Spatial Resolution	Frequency Dependence	Signal Sensitivity	Cost
Induction coils	Small (1.5mm $\phi$ x 4mm)	Good	Signal $\propto$ 1/f		Low (£'s)
Hall Sensors	Small (1mm <sup>3</sup> )	Good	Good	Poor	Low (£'s)
Magnetoresistors	Medium (10mm <sup>3</sup> )	Good	Good	Medium	High (£1k) <sup>1</sup>
Fluxgates	Medium (1mm $\phi$ x 15mm)	Medium	Good	Medium	High (£1k)
SQUIDs	High <sup>2</sup> (1mm <sup>2</sup> thin film)	Good	Good	Good	High (£1k) <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Magnetoresistors are not widely available commercially. <sup>2</sup>While the sensing area of a HTS SQUID is small, the size of the cryostat has to be taken into account. <sup>3</sup>The initial outlay for a HTS SQUID is comparable to that of a fluxgate. Liquid nitrogen cryogen is inexpensive.

## Why use SQUIDs?

- flaws in airframes at depths ~10-20mm require low noise at  $f < 200\text{Hz}$  which only SQUIDs possess.
- low noise can also mean a much larger standoff compared to other sensors.
- often the cost of operating the SQUID is buried.


But

A £40K SQUID system is not state of the art technology. A fluxgate magnetometer detection system costing £40K is.


[General Reference — Jenks et al, "SQUIDs for Nondestructive Evaluation", Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, vol. 30, pp. 293-323, 1997].

# NDE Techniques


- **Static field mapping**



- **Directly injected current mapping**



- **Eddy current measurement**



5.2.1. *Pipelines.* In early work, Weinstock and Nisenoff [43] showed that when a 1 A, 4.6 Hz, current was passed along a metal pipe, the pipe could be accurately located using an axial SQUID gradiometer. A scan across the line of the pipe, given in Fig. 12, shows that when the pipe lies directly along the gradiometer axis there is a sharp zero in the detected signal, which is then purely transverse. The horizontal resolution here is rather better than the stand-off limit discussed earlier. Triangulation to determine the pipe position depends on a second scan with the gradiometer axis at about  $30^\circ$  to the vertical.





Figure 12. SQUID pipeline detection results [43].

Distances up to 1.6 m were studied, but much greater values should be possible and the method should be applicable to buried pipelines.

Holes and welds in the pipe could also be seen. They divert the flow of current, producing anomalies in the field measured by the SQUID as it is tracked along the line of the pipe.


# SQUID Gradiometer

Balanced and opposed pickup coils



Divergent Applied Field B  
Local Source

A distant source produces a uniform field- no net flux in coil pair



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

# 110 SQUID (超電導量子干渉素子) センサによる 2相ステンレス鋼の時効変化の検出

要旨

正 \* 大高 正廣 (日立機械研)      正 長谷川 邦夫 (日立機械研)  
 正 清水 翼 (日立機械研)      正 高久和夫 (日立日立)  
 S. Evanson (Univ. of Strathclyde)      G. B. Donaldson (Univ. of Strathclyde)

ルに置き、試験片が SQUID センサの下部を通

Table 1. Chemical composition and ferrite content of cast stainless steels

Material	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	Ni	Mo	Co	N	Ferrite content (%)
A	0.016	1.36	0.16	0.014	0.005	19.4	10.05	2.21	0.03	—	12.5
B	0.01	1.25	0.63	0.010	0.006	20.30	9.54	2.14	0.03	0.04	21.3
C	0.02	1.39	0.59	0.018	0.006	20.74	9.67	2.30	0.04	0.03	26.1




Fig. 3 Intensity of SQUID sensor as a function of aging time




Fig. 4 Intensity of SQUID sensor as a function of aging time (Material C)




Fig. 1 Schematic of experimental apparatus




Fig. 2 Intensity of SQUID sensor

Remanence




Fig. 5 Magnetization characteristics of virgin and aged cast stainless steel (Material C)


# Magnetic

## *Remote Magnetometry*

- Measure spontaneous fields of magnetised inclusions
- Measure induced fields of magnetisable inclusions
- Measure effective difference dipoles of inclusions of permeability different ( $\Delta\mu$ ) from host (including void  $\mu=0$ )
- Measure diverted fields
- Measure flux leakage


## *Remote Galvanometry*

- Measure difference fields caused by distorted flow of injected or induced (eddy) currents, close to flaw
- Measure fields due to noise currents



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999


# Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999


## STATIC FIELD MAPPING AND MATERIAL CHARACTERISATION

A MILD STEEL PLATE WAS ARTIFICIALLY CRACKED BY CYCLIC THREE-POINT LOADING AND WAS SCANNED BENEATH A LOW TEMPERATURE SQUID SENSOR. NO CONTACT WITH THE STEEL PLATE WAS MADE. THE CRACK WAS DETECTED BY MEASURING APPLIED FIELD DISTORTIONS CAUSED BY ASSOCIATED MAGNETIC PERMEABILITY VARIATIONS.



THIS TECHNIQUE HAS BEEN HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL FOR PREDICTING POSSIBLE CRACK SITES, EVEN THROUGH A THICK LAYER OF NON-MAGNETIC MATERIAL.

## Experimental Apparatus



'Room temperature' application

Planar gradiometers

Heavy ~~use~~ use of computer data  
acquisition and processing

# Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)

## Corrosion and embrittlement in

- Chemical and nuclear reactors
- Pipelines (especially coated, sub-sea, etc.)
- Ageing aircraft

are major, and expensive problems.

E.g.

- Extension of KC135 (Boeing 707), now 35 years old to 70 year life
- Japanese reactor accident



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999

## CURRENT FLOW $\leftrightarrow$ MAGNETIC FIELD TRANSFORMATIONS

STARTING WITH THE BIOT-SAVART LAW:

$$\underline{B}(\underline{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\underline{J}(\underline{r}') \times (\underline{r} - \underline{r}')}{|\underline{r} - \underline{r}'|^3} d^3 \underline{r}'$$

EXTRACTING THE VERTICAL COMPONENT AND EXPRESSING IN TERMS OF GREEN'S FUNCTIONS GIVES:

$$B_z(\underline{r}) = J_x(x, y) \otimes G_y(x, y, z) + J_y(x, y) \otimes G_x(x, y, z)$$

THE TWO GREEN'S FUNCTIONS ARE:

$$G_x(x, y, z) = \frac{\mu_0 dz}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{x}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right]$$

AND

$$G_y(x, y, z) = \frac{\mu_0 dz}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right]$$

TRANSFORMING INTO FREQUENCY SPACE AND APPLYING THE LAW OF CONTINUITY, GIVES A SIMPLE TRANSFORM BETWEEN CURRENT DENSITY AND MAGNETIC FIELD:


$$b_z(k_x, k_y, z) = i \frac{\mu_0 d}{2} \frac{k}{k_y} e^{-kz} j_x(k_x, k_y)$$

BY REARRANGEMENT THE INVERSE IS GIVEN BY:


$$j_x(k_x, k_y) = -i \frac{2}{\mu_0 d} \frac{k_y}{k} e^{kz} b_z(k_x, k_y, z)$$

## AN EXAMPLE OF MAGNETIC FIELD TO CURRENT FLOW TRANSFORMATIONS

A PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD IN THE SHAPE OF AN SU WITH A SMALL CURRENT FLOWING IN IT. WAS SCANNED BENEATH A LOW TEMPERATURE SQUID SENSOR. PRODUCING A MAGNETIC FIELD MAP AS SHOWN BELOW:



BY USING THE ALGORITHM DESCRIBED ABOVE THE CURRENT DENSITY WAS CALCULATED FROM THE Z COMPONENT OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD:



VARIATIONS IN PCB TRACK WIDTH AND THE SOLDER TAGS CAN EASILY BE RESOLVED.


# Depth profiling by frequency scanning

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\mu\mu_0\pi f}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu\mu_0\sigma\pi f}} = \frac{10^6}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{10}{\mu\sigma f}} \text{ mm}$$


Material parameter	Cu	Al	NiCr	Stainless steel	Mild steel	Si steel	Graphite
$\rho$ ( $\times 10^{-8}$ ) $\Omega\text{m}$	1.8	2.7	103	43	10	43	1000
$\mu$	1	1	1	1	800	50,000	1
Frequency	Skin depth (mm)						
1 Hz	67	83	510	330	5.6	1.4	1591
100 Hz	6.7	8.3	51	33	0.56	0.14	159
10 kHz	0.67	0.83	5.1	3.3	0.056	0.014	15.9
1 MHz	0.067	0.083	0.51	0.33	0.0056		1.59

For crack depth  $\pm$

$\delta \ll t$




$\delta \gg t$



$\delta \ll t$



$\delta \gg t$



$\delta \gg t$

Depth averaged current

## Experimental procedure

Many AC techniques generate single frequency 1D or 2D scans of a specimen. In contrast, we make measurements over a range of frequencies:

- $s(f)$  at a signal position, near the slot.
- $r(f)$  at a reference position, above a plain piece of plate, far from the slot.

Then we normalise, calculating  $s(f)/r(f)$ , which contains depth information via the skin effect.







Figure 3: a typical experimental result, for a subsurface slot 6.5 mm deep.

# NDE WITH LTS SQUID GRADIOMETERS


Uses same scanning system and electronics, but the requirement of a LHe cryostat imposes an additional standoff between the sensor and the sample. (Varying the coil to sample liftoff is much more critical).



LTS (70Hz, 0.5A)



HTS (570Hz, 0.05A) SQUIDS in motion



- LTS Gradiometers are overly sensitive for NDE purposes.
- rf or magnetic shielding is often required for operation in a magnetically hostile environment.
- The design and application of higher order asymmetric gradiometers *may* have some future in very specialised areas.





Figure 22. Section of fibreglass-clad aluminium pressure vessel with a fatigue through crack in its metal wall (supplied by British Gas).



Section 2.1.1.B). The concentration and charge gradients force  $\text{Na}^+$  into the cell and if the


Figure 2.7 Post-synaptic synapse. Neurotransmitters are released into the synaptic cleft and recombine with the post-synaptic membrane. The recombination of excitatory neurotransmitters, force a change in the permeability of the membrane to  $\text{Na}^+$  ions which initiates depolarisation. (Gaudin and Jones, 1989).

threshold potential is reached an action potential is initiated in the post-synaptic neurone. The action potential can now propagate along this new neurone. The current distribution of a post-synaptic potential is like that of a single current dipole. The measured biomagnetic signal is not of one axon synapsing with another but is the sum of the magnetic field from many synapses in the nerve bundle.


The post-synaptic potential always occurs at the synapse at the same time for a given nerve and stimulus site. The recordings taken from the spinal cord and cortex in Chapter 6 and 7 are of the magnetic fields induced by post-synaptic potential currents of several hundred neurones. Stimulation of the median nerve at the wrist, causes propagation of an action potential along the nerve bundle to the post-synaptic neurones in the dorsal horn in the spinal cord, 14ms after the stimulation. The signal

# MULTILAYER SPECIMENS


Realistic aircraft lap-joint structures may have flaws beside fasteners in the first, second or even third layers, ie flaws hidden below 6mm of aluminium. The lack of any sealant between the layers makes ultrasonic testing unsuitable.



Eddy currents induced in the sample using a spiral coil located on the cryostat tail.  $I_{coil} \sim 0.5A$ ,  $f_{coil} = 620Hz$ . The HTS SQUID magnetometer is scanned across the sample at  $v \sim 3mm s^{-1}$  and takes 60mins to collect the data.




The flaw signal is superimposed onto the rivet signal and identical unflawed rivets do not have identical signatures due to the nature of the contact between the rivet and the plate.



620Hz


Deeper flaws  $\rightarrow$  lower excitation frequency  
Reducing the frequency to 170Hz increases the flaw signal at rivet 2. Use digital signal subtraction to remove the rivet signal from the image.




170Hz

# FLUXGATES vs SQUIDS

Both sensors were used to map the features of the lap-joint sample, starting with the top layer.



Two-dimensional cross-correlation of the final image with an "ideal, unflawed fastener" can be used to isolate the flaw signal. For the SQUID image:




In the above example, a moderately low noise HTS SQUID performs better than a fluxgate in terms of spatial and signal resolution.

Removal of the rivet signal can also be performed using orthogonal induction of the eddy currents.


## Second generation gradiometers

Aimed at ultra low-noise applications:

- Fabricate gradiometer on large substrate  $30 \times 10\text{mm}^2$  --> baseline is 14mm.
- Improve inductance matching between gradiometer loop and SQUID
- Use novel coupling scheme where two SQUIDs are connected to gradiometer loops to compensate for parasitic effective area.



First Tests: Gradient resolution is  $70 \frac{\text{fT}}{\text{cm}\sqrt{\text{Hz}}}$  --> suitable for biomagnetism  
 Gradiometer operates well unshielded






## 4) Mobile Cryostat with SQUID and Scanmaster moving unit






# Mobile Cryostat for SQUID cooling (ILK Dresden)






# Flawless Airbus wheel

## Lock-in signal of SQUID (one trace)




## Signature from ferromagnetic keys







# Aircraft Wheel Testing

rf-Gradiometer,  $f = 215 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $I = 200 \text{ mA}$   
trace with 65 % crack and 25 % crack








# Orthogonal Excitation with Planar Gradiometer and Sheet Inducer



↑ Signal      ↓ integrated along gradiometer baseline




aluminum plate  
(250×250×4mm<sup>3</sup>)  
with 12.5mm slot  
and 12.5 mm hole

Sheet inducer with planar rf gradiometer



Excitation current: 5 mA @ 500 Hz

a) Multi-D coil


Principle



Setup with Gradiometer



b)



Sheet Inducer


Setup with Gradiometer

Fig. 6: MULTI-D COIL AND SHEET INDUCER WITH SQUID SETUP



# Rotation of excitation current

Software Evaluation of Orthogonal Measurements with Planar Gradiometer and Sheet Inducer





Excitation: 5 mA @ 500 Hz

aluminum plate (250×250×4mm<sup>3</sup>)  
with 12.5mm slot and 12.5 mm hole







# Orthogonal Excitation with Planar Gradiometer and Multi-D Coil



↑ Signal

↓ integrated along gradiometer baseline



Multi-D coil with planar gradiometer

Excitation current: 1 mA @ 500 Hz

aluminum plate  
(250×250×4mm<sup>3</sup>)  
with 12.5mm slot  
and 12.5 mm hole




# SQUID measurement of DASA Calibration Sample


Rivet distance: 25 mm  
 Rivet diameter: 4.8 mm

Section FWD



●	13	●	15	●	17
●	14	●	16	●	17




15 190 (k 4)..190 (k 5) 1



Conventional measurement:  
 (Eddyscan)





## Harold Weinstock (AFOSR) - 1997

“Those of us who are seeking potential SQUID NDE applications would do well to spend more time at NDE meetings. At these meetings we will learn what the real problems are, and how far we must go to outperform the existing and emerging competition. Furthermore, we must visit manufacturing and processing plants to learn how a SQUID might be used to speed production and improve quality control. The results of such forays into the world of NDE will have a major impact on the future of SQUID technology.”



Harold Weinstock DHC, INSA Lyon 1999