

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION TO FISCAL LAW

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	The Appropriations Process	1
B.	Historical Perspective	1
II.	KEY TERMINOLOGY	2
A.	Fiscal Year	2
B.	Period of Availability	2
C.	Obligation	2
D.	Budget Authority	2
E.	Authorization Act	3
F.	Appropriations Act	3
G.	Comptroller General and General Accounting Office (GAO)	4
III.	ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL OF APPROPRIATIONS	4
A.	Methods of Subdividing Funds	4
B.	Accounting Classifications	5
C.	Understanding an Accounting Classification	6
IV.	LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS	9
A.	General Limitations on Authority	9
B.	Limitations -- Purpose	9

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 29-01-2001	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (FROM - TO) 29-01-2001 to 29-01-2001
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 58th Fiscal Law Course Deskbook Unclassified	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
	5b. GRANT NUMBER	
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
	5e. TASK NUMBER	
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS TJASGA 600 Massie Road Charlottesville , VA 22903	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS ,	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT A PUBLIC RELEASE ,		

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
14. ABSTRACT This deskbook presents instruction on the legal, practical, administrative problems involved in the funding of government contracts and government operations.
15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Public Release	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 461	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Rike, Jack jrike@dtic.mil
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER International Area Code Area Code Telephone Number DSN

C. Limitations -- Time.....	11
D. Limitations -- Amount	11
V. FISCAL LAW RESEARCH MATERIALS	13
A. Legislation.....	13
B. Legislative History.....	13
C. Decisions	13
D. Regulations	13
E. Treatises	15
F. Internet Services	15
VI. CONCLUSION.....	15

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION TO FISCAL LAW

I. INTRODUCTION.

A. The Appropriations Process.

1. U.S. Constitution, Art. I, § 8, grants to Congress the power to “. . . lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imports, and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defense and general Welfare of the United States”
2. U.S. Constitution, Art. I, § 9, provides that “[N]o Money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in Consequence of an Appropriation made by Law.”

B. Historical Perspective.

1. For many years after the adoption of the Constitution, executive departments exerted little fiscal control over the monies appropriated to them. During these years, departments commonly:
 - a. Obligated funds in advance of appropriations;
 - b. Commingled funds and used funds for purposes other than those for which they were appropriated; and
 - c. Obligated or expended funds early in the fiscal year and then sought deficiency appropriations to continue operations.

2. Congress passed the Antideficiency Act (ADA) to curb the fiscal abuses that frequently created “coercive deficiencies” that required supplemental appropriations. The Act consists of several statutes that mandate administrative and criminal sanctions for the unlawful use of appropriated funds. See 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1342, 1350, 1351, and 1511-1519.

II. KEY TERMINOLOGY.

- A. Fiscal Year. The Federal Government’s fiscal year begins on 1 October and ends on 30 September.
- B. Period of Availability. Most appropriations are available for obligation for a limited period of time, *e.g.*, one fiscal year for operation and maintenance appropriations. If activities do not obligate the funds during the period of availability, the funds expire and are generally unavailable for obligation thereafter.
- C. Obligation. An obligation is any act that legally binds the government to make payment. Obligations represent the amounts of orders placed, contracts awarded, services received, and similar transactions during an accounting period that will require payment during the same or a future period. DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14, Vol. 1, p. xxi.
- D. Budget Authority.
 1. Congress finances federal programs and activities by granting budget authority. Budget authority is also called obligational authority.
 2. Budget authority means “. . . authority provided by law to enter into obligations which will result in immediate or future outlay involving government funds . . .” 2 U.S.C. § 622(2).
 - a. Examples of “budget authority” include appropriations, borrowing authority, contract authority, and spending authority from offsetting collections. OMB Cir. A-34, § 11.2.

- b. “Contract Authority,” as noted above, is a limited form of “budget authority.” Contract authority permits agencies to obligate funds in advance of appropriations but not to pay or disburse those funds absent some additional appropriations authority. See, e.g., 41 U.S.C. § 11 (Feed and Forage Act).

3. Agencies do not receive cash from appropriated funds to pay for services or supplies. Instead they receive the authority to obligate a specified amount.

E. Authorization Act. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 3, para. 0304.

1. An authorization act is a statute, passed annually by Congress, that authorizes the appropriation of funds for programs and activities.
2. An authorization act does not provide budget authority. That authority stems from the appropriations act.
3. Authorization acts frequently contain restrictions or limitations on the obligation of appropriated funds.

F. Appropriations Act.

1. An appropriations act is the most common form of budget authority.
2. An appropriation is a statutory authorization “to incur obligations and make payments out of the Treasury for specified purposes.” The Army receives the bulk of its funds from two annual appropriations acts: (1) the Department of Defense Appropriations Act; and (2) the Military Construction Appropriations Act. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 3, para. 030701.
3. The making of an appropriation must be stated expressly. An appropriation may not be inferred or made by implication. Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, Vol. I, p. 2-13, GAO/OGC 91-5 (1991).

G. Comptroller General and General Accounting Office (GAO).

1. The Comptroller General of the United States heads the GAO, an investigative arm of Congress charged with examining all matters relating to the receipt and disbursement of public funds.
2. Established by the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921 (31 U.S.C. § 702) to audit government agencies.
3. Issues opinions and reports to federal agencies concerning the obligation and expenditure of appropriated funds.

III. ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL OF APPROPRIATIONS.

A. Methods of Subdividing Funds.

1. Formal subdivisions: Appropriations are subdivided by the executive branch departments and agencies.
 - a. These formal limits are referred to as apportionments, allocations, and allotments.
 - b. Exceeding a formal subdivision of funds violates the ADA. 31 U.S.C. § 1517(a)(2). See DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 3, para. 031403.
2. Informal subdivisions: Agencies may subdivide funds at lower levels, *e.g.*, within an installation, without creating an absolute limitation on obligational authority. These subdivisions are considered funding targets. These limits are **not** formal subdivisions of funds.
 - a. Targets are referred to as “allowances.”

- b. Incurring obligations in excess of an allowance is not necessarily an ADA violation. If a formal subdivision is breached, however, an ADA violation may occur, and the person responsible for exceeding the target may be held liable for the violation.
DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 3, para. 031402. For this reason, Army policy requires reporting such overobligations. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 4, para. 040204.L.

B. Accounting Classifications. See DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 5, para. 050102.

- 1. Accounting classifications are codes used to manage appropriations. They are used to implement the administrative fund control system and to help ensure funds are used correctly.
- 2. An accounting classification is commonly referred to as a **fund cite**. DFAS-IN 37-100-XX, The Army Mgmt. Structure, provides a detailed breakdown of Army accounting classifications. The XX, in DFAS-IN 37-100-XX, stands for the last two digits of the fiscal year, *e.g.*, DFAS-IN 37-100-00 is the source for accounting classification data for FY 2000 for the Department of the Army. DFAS-IN 37-100-XX is published annually. Go to <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasin/library/3710000>.

C. Understanding an Accounting Classification.

1. The following is a sample fund cite:

	21	0	2020	67	1234	P720000	2610	S18001	
AGENCY	└─┬─┘		└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	
FISCAL YEAR	└─┬─┘								
TYPE OF APPROPRIATION			└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	
OPERATING AGENCY CODE			└─┬─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	
ALLOTMENT NUMBER			└─┬─┬─┬─┘			└─┘	└─┘	└─┘	
PROGRAM ELEMENT			└─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┘				└─┘	└─┘	
ELEMENT OF EXPENSE			└─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┘					└─┘	
FISCAL STATION NUMBER			└─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┬─┘						└─┘

a. The first two digits represent the military department. The “21” in the example shown denotes the Department of the Army.

b. Other Department codes are:

(1) 17 - Navy

(2) 57 - Air Force

(3) 97 - Department of Defense

- c. The third digit shows the Fiscal Year/Availability of the appropriation. The “0” in the example shown indicates Fiscal Year (FY) 2000 funds.
- (1) Annual appropriations are used frequently in installation contracting.
 - (2) Other fiscal year designators encountered in installation contracting, less frequently, include:
 - (a) Third Digit = X = No Year appropriation, which is available for obligation indefinitely.
 - (b) Third Digit = 6/0 = Multi-Year appropriation, in this example, funds appropriated in FY 1996 and available for obligation until FY 2000.
- d. The next four digits reveal the type of the appropriation. The following designators are used within DOD fund citations:

	<u>ARMY</u>	<u>NAVY/MC</u>	<u>AIR FORCE</u>	<u>OSD</u>
Military Personnel	2010	1453/1105	3500	N/A
Reserve Personnel	2070	1405/1108	3700	N/A
National Guard Personnel	2060	N/A	3850	N/A
O&M*	2020	1804/1106	3400	0100
O&M, Reserve	2080	1806/1107	3740	N/A
O&M, National Guard	2065	N/A	3840	N/A
Procurement (Aircraft)	2031	1506	3010	N/A
Procurement (Missiles)	2032	N/A	3020	N/A
Procurement (Weapons & Tracked Vehicles)	2033	1507	N/A	N/A
Procurement (Ammunition)	2034	1508	3011	N/A
Shipbuilding & Conversion	N/A	1611	N/A	N/A
Other Procurement	2035	1810/1109	3080	0300
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E)	2040	1319	3600	0400
Military Construction	2050	1205	3300	0500
Family Housing Constr.	0702	0703	7040	0706
Reserve Construction	2086	1235	3730	N/A
National Guard Constr.	2085	N/A	3830	N/A
Environmental Restoration	0810	0810	0810	0810
Wildlife Conservation	5095	5095	5095	N/A

*Operation and Maintenance: This appropriation provides funding for the operation and maintenance of most Army activities and facilities to include training and the purchase of supplies and some equipment.

IV. LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS.

A. General Limitations on Authority.

1. The authority of executive agencies to spend appropriated funds is limited.
2. An agency may obligate and expend appropriations only for a proper **purpose**.
3. An agency may obligate only within the **time** limits applicable to the appropriation (*e.g.*, O&M funds are available for obligation for one fiscal year).
4. An agency must obligate funds within the **amounts** appropriated by Congress and formally distributed to or by the agency.

B. Limitations -- Purpose.

1. The "Purpose Statute" requires agencies to apply appropriations only to the objects for which the appropriations were made, except as otherwise provided by law. See 31 U.S.C. § 1301; see also DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 8, para. 0803.
2. Three-Part Test for a Proper Purpose. Secretary of Interior, B-120676, 34 Comp. Gen. 195 (1954).
 - a. Expenditure of appropriations must be for a specified purpose, or **necessary and incident** to the proper execution of the general purpose of the appropriation;
 - b. The expenditure must not be prohibited by law; and
 - c. The expenditure must not be otherwise provided for, *i.e.*, it must not fall within the scope of another appropriation.

3. Appropriations Acts. DOD has nearly one hundred separate appropriations available to it for different purposes.
 - a. Appropriations are differentiated by service (Army, Navy, etc.) and component (Active, Reserve, etc.), as well as purpose (Procurement, Research and Development, etc.). The major DOD appropriations provided in the annual Appropriations Act are:
 - (1) Operation & Maintenance -- used for the day-to-day expenses of training exercises, deployments, operating and maintaining installations, etc.;
 - (2) Personnel -- used for military pay and allowances, permanent change of station travel, etc.;
 - (3) Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) -- used for expenses necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test, and evaluation, including maintenance and operation of facilities and equipment; and
 - (4) Procurement -- used for production and modification of aircraft, missiles, weapons, tracked vehicles, ammunition, shipbuilding and conversion, and “other procurement.”
 - b. DOD also receives smaller appropriations for other specific purposes (*e.g.*, Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid (OHDACA), Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, etc.).
 - c. Congress appropriates funds separately for military construction.
4. Authorization Acts.
 - a. Annual authorization acts generally precede DOD’s appropriations acts.

- b. The authorization act may clarify the intended purposes of a specific appropriation or contain restrictions on the use of the appropriated funds.

C. Limitations -- Time.

- 1. Appropriations are available for limited periods. An agency must incur a legal obligation to pay money within an appropriation's period of availability. If an agency fails to obligate funds before they expire, they are no longer available for new obligations.
 - a. Expired funds retain their "fiscal year identity" for five years after the end of the period of availability. During this time, the funds are available to adjust existing obligations or to liquidate prior valid obligations. Again, however, expired funds are not available for new obligations.
 - b. There are exceptions to this general prohibition against obligating funds for new work following the period of availability.
- 2. Appropriations are available only for the bona fide need of an appropriation's period of availability. 31 U.S.C. § 1502(a). See Magnavox -- Use of Contract Underrun Funds, B-207453, Sept. 16, 1983, 83-2 CPD ¶ 401; To the Secretary of the Army, B-115736, 33 Comp. Gen. 57 (1953).

D. Limitations -- Amount.

- 1. The Antideficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341-42, 1511-19, prohibits any government officer or employee from:
 - a. Making or authorizing an expenditure or obligation in excess of the amount available in an appropriation. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(A).
 - b. Making or authorizing expenditures or incurring obligations in excess of formal subdivisions of funds; or in excess of amounts permitted by regulations prescribed under 31 U.S.C. § 1514(a). See 31 U.S.C. § 1517(a)(2).

- c. Incurring an obligation in advance of an appropriation, unless authorized by law. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(B).
 - d. Accepting voluntary services, unless otherwise authorized by law. 31 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 2. Investigating violations. If an apparent violation is discovered, the agency must report and investigate. Violations could result in administrative and/or criminal sanctions. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, ch. 4, para. 040204; AFI 65-608, ch. 3, para. 3.1.
 - a. The commander must issue a flash report within 15 working days of discovery of the violation. Air Force commanders must submit flash reports within 10 working days.
 - b. The MACOM commander must appoint a “team of experts,” including members from the financial management and legal communities, to conduct a preliminary investigation.
 - c. If the preliminary report concludes a violation occurred, the MACOM commander will appoint an investigative team to determine the cause of the violation and the responsible parties. Investigations are conducted pursuant to AR 15-6, Procedure for Investigating Officers and Boards of Officers.
 - d. The head of the agency (e.g., SECDEF, for the DOD) must report to the President and Congress whenever a violation of 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341(a), 1342, or 1517 is discovered. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 32.2; DOD Directive 7200.1, Administrative Control of Appropriations (4 May 1995), Encl. 5, para. R.
- 3. Individuals responsible for Antideficiency Act violations shall receive disciplinary action commensurate with the circumstances and the severity of the violation. DOD Directive 7200.1, para. D.5. See 31 U.S.C. §§ 1349(a), 1518.

V. FISCAL LAW RESEARCH MATERIALS.

A. Legislation.

1. Titles 10 and 31, United States Code.
2. Annual Authorization and Appropriations Acts.

B. Legislative History.

1. Legislative history is the record of congressional deliberations that precede the passage of a statute. It is not legislation. See Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill, 437 U.S. 153 (1978).
2. The legislative history is not binding upon the Executive Branch. If Congress provides a lump sum appropriation without restricting what may be done with the funds, a clear inference is that it did not intend to impose legal restrictions. See SeaBeam Instruments, Inc., B-247853.2, July 20, 1992, 92-2 CPD ¶ 30; LTV Aerospace Corp., B-183851, Oct. 1, 1975, 75-2 CPD ¶ 203.

C. Decisions.

1. The Comptroller General issues opinions concerning the propriety of appropriated fund obligations or expenditures, except for those described in paragraph 3, below. See 31 U.S.C. § 3529. Activities must request these opinions through finance officer channels in advance of an obligation or expenditure. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1 para. 010403.B.2.
2. The fiscal law decisions of the Comptroller General appear in the Decisions of the Comptroller General of the United States, published by the Government Printing Office. Comptroller General opinions also are available at the General Accounting Office (GAO) website (<http://www.gao.gov>), through commercial legal research services (*e.g.*, LEXIS, WESTLAW), and in the Comptroller General Procurement Decisions (CPD) reporter.

3. Agency Advance Decisions. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1, para. 010403.B.2 and vol. 5, app. E. Per the General Accounting Office Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-316, § 204, 110 Stat. 3826 (1996) (codified at 31 U.S.C. § 3529)) and, as delegated by the Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the following issue advance decisions for designated categories:
 - a. DOD: uniformed service member pay, allowances, travel, transportation, and survivor benefits.
 - b. Office of Personnel Management (OPM): civilian pay and leave.
 - c. General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals (GSBCA): civilian employee travel, transportation, and relocation.

D. Regulations.

1. DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14-R (15 Volumes). Go to <http://www.dtic.mil/comptroller/fmr>.
2. Army: DFAS-IN 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation. Go to <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasin/library/ar37-1>.
3. Navy: Navy Comptroller Manual.
4. Air Force: [Interim Guidance on Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations and Funds Made Available to the Department of the Air Force \(formerly DFAS-DE 7200.1-R and AFR 177-16\)](#); AFI 65-608 (Antideficiency Act Violations); Interim Guidance on Accounting for Obligations (formerly DFAS-DE 7000.4-R and AFR 170-8); Interim Guidance on Accounting for Commitments (formerly DFAS-DE 7000.5-R and AFR 170-13); DFAS-DE 7010.1-R (General Accounting and Finance Systems at Base Level); DFAS-DE 7010.2-R (Commercial Transactions at Base Level); DFAS-DE 7010.3-R (Travel Transactions at Base Level). Go to <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasde/denvercenter/regulations.htm> and for AFI 65-608 go to <http://afpubs.hq.af.mil/pubfiles/af/65/afi65-608/afi65-608.pdf>.

E. Treatises.

1. General Accounting Office, Principles of Federal Appropriations Law, 2d ed., GAO/OGC 91-5 (July 1991).
2. General Accounting Office, Accounting Guide, GAO/AFMD--PPM-2.1 (September 1990); Policies and Procedures Manual For Guidance of Federal Agencies, Title 7 (February 1990).
3. General Accounting Office, A Glossary of Terms Used in the Budget Process, GAO/AFMD-2.1.1 (July 1993).

F. Internet Services.

1. Defense Finance and Accounting Service. <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil>.
2. Other Government Agency Home Pages, *e.g.*, <http://www.asafm.army.mil/>.

VI. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 2

AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS AS TO PURPOSE

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK.....	1
A. The Purpose Statute.....	1
B. Defense Appropriations.....	2
C. Implementing the Annual Appropriations—Regulatory Guidance.	3
III. DETERMINING THE PROPER PURPOSE OF AN APPROPRIATION.....	3
A. Three Part Test for a Proper Purpose.....	3
B. Determining the Purpose of a Specific Appropriation.....	4
C. The Necessary Expense Rule	7
D. Expenditure Is Not Otherwise Prohibited by Law.	18
E. Expenditure Is Not Otherwise Provided for in a Separate Appropriation.....	19
IV. AUGMENTATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.	20
A. General Rule	20
B. Receipts of Funds Authorized by Statutes	22
C. Other Authorized Retention of Receipts and Use of Appropriations.....	24
V. SPECIAL PROBLEMS	26
A. Investment/Expense Threshold.	26
B. Representation Funds.....	28
C. Minor Construction.	34
D. Deployments.....	35

VI. CONCLUSION.	35

CHAPTER 2

AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS AS TO PURPOSE

I. INTRODUCTION.

“It is difficult to see how a legislative prohibition could be expressed in stronger terms. The law is plain, and any disbursing officer disregards it at his peril.” 4 Comp. Dec. 569, 570 (1898) (Comptroller of the Treasury discussing the Purpose Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a)).

II. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK.

A. The Purpose Statute.

1. 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a) provides:

Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law.

2. Congress initially enacted this statutory control in the Act of March 3, 1809, 2 Stat. 535. This act, generally referred to as the “Purpose Statute,” was passed as part of a reorganization of the War, Navy, and Treasury Departments to limit the discretion of the Executive Branch in spending appropriations.

B. Defense Appropriations.

1. Appropriations Acts. The Department of Defense (DoD) has nearly one hundred separate appropriations available to it for different purposes.
2. Appropriations are differentiated by service (Army, Navy, etc.) and component (Active, Reserve, etc.), as well as purpose (Procurement, Research and Development, etc.).
 - a. The major DoD appropriations provided in the annual Appropriations Act are:
 - (1) Personnel—used for pay and allowances, permanent change of station travel, etc.;
 - (2) Operation & Maintenance (O&M) — used for the day-to-day expenses of training exercises, deployments, operating and maintaining installations, etc.;
 - (3) Procurement—used for production and modification of aircraft, missiles, weapons, tracked vehicles, ammunition, shipbuilding and conversion, and “other procurement;” and
 - (4) Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) — used for expenses necessary for basic and applied scientific research, development, test, and evaluation, including maintenance and operation of facilities and equipment.
 - b. DoD also receives smaller appropriations for other specific purposes (e.g., Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid, Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, etc.).
 - c. Congress appropriates funds for military construction separately.

C. Implementing the Annual Appropriations—Regulatory Guidance.

1. Accounting Guidance. The various federal agencies implement appropriations acts through funding guidance provided to subordinate activities. Service regulations assign codes for specific purposes. These codes track the various appropriations provided to DoD. The regulations and instructions also provide guidance regarding what types of expenses should be charged to each appropriation.
2. Programs. The accounting regulations of each agency further classify their accounts into programs, budget activities, and program elements for management purposes. Different program elements may or may not represent different appropriations or Congressionally “earmarked” funds within an appropriation. Therefore, charging one program element instead of another may or may not violate the Purpose Statute.

III. DETERMINING THE PROPER PURPOSE OF AN APPROPRIATION.

A. Three-Part Test for a Proper Purpose. Secretary of Interior, B-120676, 34 Comp. Gen. 195 (1954).

1. The expenditure of an appropriation must be for a particular statutory purpose, or **necessary and incident** to the proper execution of the general purpose of the appropriation.
2. The expenditure must not be prohibited by law.
3. The expenditure must not be otherwise provided for; it must not fall within the scope of some other appropriation.

B. Determining the Purpose of a Specific Appropriation.

1. Legislation.

- a. Organic Legislation. Organic legislation is legislation that creates an agency, establishes a program or prescribes a function. Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2d ed., vol. I, ch. 2, 2-33, GAO/OGC 91-5 (July 1995). For example, the Secretary of Defense has a statutory mission to “identify, treat, and rehabilitate members of the armed forces who are dependent on drugs or alcohol.” 10 U.S.C. § 1090. (Note: Organic legislation rarely provides any money for the program or activity it prescribes).
- b. Appropriations Acts. Examine the language of the appropriations act for the year in question.
 - (1) When the language of the statute is clear, there is no need to resort to legislative history to determine congressional intent. United States v. Ron Pair Enters., Inc., 489 U.S. 235 (1989) (“plain meaning” rule); Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill, 437 U.S. 153 (1978); LTV Aerospace Corp., B-183851, Oct. 1, 1975, 55 Comp. Gen. 307, 317, 75-2 CPD ¶ 203.
 - (2) If the statutory language is unclear, or will lead to an absurd result, then consult the statute’s legislative history to determine congressional intent. See Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296 (1989); Federal Aviation Admin.—Permanent Improvements to a Leasehold, B-239520, 69 Comp. Gen. 673 (1990) (conference report clearly indicated that \$5.7 million were available for a permanent improvement to a leasehold).

c. Authorization Acts.

- (1) Annual authorization acts generally accompany DoD's appropriation acts. Other federal agencies usually, but not always, have annual authorization acts. The authorization act may clarify the intended purposes of a specific appropriation, or contain restrictions on the use of the appropriated funds.
- (2) Authorizations are not appropriations, and do not provide funding to the agency.
- (3) Congress has prohibited the appropriation, obligation, or expenditure of funds for certain purposes (procurement, RDT&E, military construction) without separate statutory authorization. 10 U.S.C. § 114(a).
- (4) Frequently, Congress appropriates funds that have not been authorized, or in excess of the amount authorized. Unless otherwise prohibited, agencies may spend such funds. See Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2d ed., vol. I, ch. 2, GAO/OGC 91-5 (July 1991); Civil Rights Comm'n, B-246541, 71 Comp. Gen. 378 (1992). But see 10 U.S.C. § 114(a).

2. Legislative History.

- a. Legislative history is the record of congressional deliberations that precede the passage of a statute. It is not legislation. Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill, 437 U.S. 153 (1978).
- b. Generally, the pertinent legislative history will consist of:
 - (1) Text of the bill;

- (2) Reports of the House Armed Services Committee, the Senate Armed Services Committee, the House Appropriations Committee, and the Senate Appropriations Committee;
 - (3) Conference reports;
 - (4) Floor debates reported in the Congressional Record; and
 - (5) Hearings.
 - c. The reports include P-1 and R-1 documents which may shed light on lawful uses of appropriations. ANGUS Chemical Co., B-227033, Aug. 4, 1987, 87-2 CPD ¶ 127. P-1 and R-1 documents are exhibits to DoD's budget submission which provide programmatic details to support the budget request. See generally DoD Fin. Mgmt. Reg., DOD 7000.14-R.
 - d. The legislative history is not necessarily binding upon the Executive Branch. If Congress provides a lump sum appropriation without statutorily restricting what can be done with the funds, a clear inference is that it did not intend to impose legally binding restrictions. SeaBeam Instruments, Inc., B-247853.2, July 20, 1992, 92-2 CPD ¶ 30; LTV Aerospace Corp., B-183851, Oct. 1, 1975, 55 Comp. Gen. 307, 75-2 CPD ¶ 203.
 - e. Informal approval by Congress does not justify an otherwise improper expenditure. Alberto Mora, Gen. Counsel, U.S. Info. Agency, B-248284.2, Sept. 1, 1992 (unpub.).
3. The President's Budget. The President's budget contains a detailed description of the purpose proposed for the requested appropriations. An agency may reasonably assume that appropriations are available for the specific purpose requested, unless otherwise prohibited. The budget contains P-1 and R-1 documents and Congressional Data Sheets.

C. The Necessary Expense Rule.

1. 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a) does not require Congress to specify every item of expenditure in an appropriations act. Agencies have reasonable discretion to determine how to accomplish the purposes of appropriations. See HUD Gun Buyback Initiative, B-285066, May 19, 2000. See also Department of Labor—Interagency Agreement between Employment and Training Admin. and Bureau of Int’l Affairs, B-245541, 71 Comp. Gen. 402 (1992); Department of the Army—Purchase of Commercial Calendars, B-211477, 62 Comp. Gen. 566 (1984).
2. An appropriation for a specific purpose is available to pay expenses necessarily incident to accomplishing that purpose. Secretary of State, B-150074, 42 Comp. Gen. 226, 228 (1962); Major General Anton Stephan, A-17673, 6 Comp. Gen. 619 (1927).
3. In some instances, Congress has specifically authorized expenditures as “necessary expenses” of an existing appropriation. See Air Force Purchase of Belt Buckles as Awards for Participants in a Competition, B-247687, 71 Comp. Gen. 346 (1992) (10 U.S.C. § 1125 authorizes the Secretary of Defense to purchase and award medals, trophies, etc. to members of armed forces for excellence in activities relating to the armed forces); 5 U.S.C. §§ 4501-4507 (Government Employees Incentive Awards Act).
4. Necessary Expense Defined.
 - a. “[A]n expenditure is permissible if it is **reasonably necessary** in carrying out an authorized function or will **contribute materially** to the effective accomplishment of that function. . .” Internal Revenue Serv. Fed. Credit Union—Provision of Automatic Teller Machine, B-226065, 66 Comp. Gen. 356, 359 (1987)(emphasis added).
 - b. A necessary expense does not have to be the only way, or even the best way, to accomplish the object of an appropriation. Secretary of the Interior, B-123514, 34 Comp. Gen. 599 (1955). However, a necessary expense must be more than merely desirable. Utility Costs under Work-at-Home Programs, B-225159, 68 Comp. Gen. 505 (1989).

5. Determinations are fact/agency/purpose specific. See Federal Executive Board—Appropriations—Employee Tax Returns—Electronic Filing, B-259947, Nov. 28, 1995, 96-1 CPD ¶ 130; Use of Appropriated Funds for an Employee Tax Return Program, B-239510, 71 Comp. Gen. 28 (1991).

6. Typical Questionable Expenses. Agencies may have specific guidance about “questionable” expenditures. See, e.g., AFI 65-601, vol. I., ch. 4, §§ K-O.
 - a. Clothing. Clothing is generally a personal expense. IRS Purchase of T-Shirts, B-240001, 70 Comp. Gen. 248 (1991) (Combined Federal Campaign T-shirts for employees who donated five dollars or more per pay period not authorized). But see 5 U.S.C. § 7903 (authorizes purchase of special clothing, for government benefit, which protects against hazards); White House Communications Agency—Purchase or Rental of Formal Wear, B-247683, 71 Comp. Gen. 447 (1992) (tuxedo rental or purchase for employees authorized); Internal Revenue Serv.—Purchase of Safety Shoes, B-229085, 67 Comp. Gen. 104 (1987) (safety shoes authorized).

 - b. Food.
 - (1) Generally, appropriated funds are not available to pay for government employees’ food or refreshments within their official duty stations. Department of The Army—Claim of the Hyatt Regency Hotel, B-230382, Dec. 22, 1989 (unpub.) (coffee and donuts unauthorized entertainment expense). However, agencies may pay, under **limited circumstances**, a facility rental fee that includes the cost of food. See Payment of a Non-Negotiable, Non-Separable Facility Rental Fee that Covered the Cost of Food Service at NRC Workshops, B-281063, Dec. 1, 1999, (unpub.) (payment of fee was proper because fee was all-inclusive, not negotiable, and competitively priced to those that did not include food).

(2) Exceptions.

(a) “Light Refreshments.”

- (i) The agency may pay for “light refreshments” at government-sponsored conferences. See Federal Travel Regulation, Part 301-74. See also Joint Federal Travel Regulation (JFTR), Part G: Conference Planning, ¶ 2550; Joint Travel Regulation (JTR), Part S: Conference Planning, ¶ 4950.
- (ii) The conference must involve attendee travel. JFTR, ¶ U2550, D; JTR ¶4950, D. A “conference” is defined as a “meeting, retreat, seminar, symposium or . . . training activities that are conferences . . .” Id.

(b) Formal Meetings and Conferences. 5 U.S.C. § 4110.

- (i) The government may pay for meals while government employees are attending meetings or conferences if: 1) the meals are incidental to the meeting; 2) attendance of the employees at the meals is necessary for full participation in the meeting; and 3) the employees are not free to take meals elsewhere without being absent from the essential business of the meeting.
- (ii) However, this exception does **not apply to purely internal business meetings or conferences sponsored by government agencies.** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp.—Provision of Food to Employees, B-270199, Aug. 6, 1996 (unpub); Meals for Attendees at Internal Government Meetings, B-230576, 68 Comp. Gen. 604 (1989).

(iii) **NOTE:** This provision applies only to civilian employees. There is no corresponding provision for military members in Title 10 of the U.S. Code. But see ¶ 4510 of the Joint Federal Travel Regulation, that authorizes military members to be reimbursed for occasional meals within the **local area** of their Permanent Duty Station (PDS) when the military member is required to procure meals at personal expense outside limits of the PDS.

(c) Training. 5 U.S.C. § 4109; 10 U.S.C. §4301.

(i) The government may provide meals if necessary to achieve the objectives of a training program. Coast Guard—Meals at Training Conference, B-244473, Jan. 13, 1992 (unpub.).

(ii) However, an agency’s characterization of a meeting as “training” is not controlling. Corps of Engineers—Use of Appropriated Funds to Pay for Meals, B-249795, May 12, 1993 (unpub.) (quarterly managers meetings of the Corps do not constitute “training”); See also Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp.—Provision of Food to Employees, supra. (food not proper training expense if unnecessary for employee to obtain full benefit of training).

- (d) Award Ceremonies. 5 U.S.C. § 4503 (civilian incentive awards); 10 U.S.C. § 1124 (military **cash** awards).
 - (i) Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service Award Ceremonies, B-270327, March 12, 1997 (agency may spend \$20.00 per person for luncheons provided at awards ceremonies pursuant to the Government Employees Incentive Awards Act); Refreshments at Awards Ceremony, B-223319, 65 Comp. Gen. 738 (1986) (agencies may use appropriated funds to pay for refreshments incident to employee awards ceremonies [applies to both 5 U.S.C. § 4503 and 10 U.S.C. § 1124 which expressly permit agency to “incur necessary expense for the honorary recognition. . .”]).
 - (ii) **NOTE: 10 U.S.C. § 1125 governs Secretary of Defense’s (SECDEF) authority to award medals, trophies, badges, etc. to members/units of armed forces for accomplishments. This statute does not have the express “incur necessary expense” language of 5 U.S.C. § 4503 or 10 U.S.C. § 1124.**

c. Entertainment.

- (1) Appropriated funds generally are not available to pay for entertainment. See HUD Gifts, Meals, and Entertainment Expenses, B-231627, 68 Comp. Gen. 226 (1989); Navy Fireworks Display, B-202518, Jan. 8, 1982, 82-2 CPD ¶ 1 (fireworks unauthorized entertainment); See also To the Honorable Michael Rhode, Jr., B-250884, March 18, 1993 (unpub.) (interagency working meetings, even if held at restaurants, are not automatically social or quasi-social events chargeable to the official reception and representation funds).

- (2) Agencies may use appropriated funds to pay for entertainment (including food) in furtherance of equal opportunity training programs. Internal Revenue Serv.—Live Entertainment and Lunch Expense of Nat'l Black History Month, B-200017, 60 Comp. Gen. 303 (1981) (live musical performance generally entertainment; exception for agency EEO cultural and ethnic programs)
 - (3) It is permissible to expend appropriated funds for entertainment if authorized by statute,. Golden Spike Nat'l Historic Site, B-234298, 68 Comp. Gen. 544 (1989) (statutory authority to conduct “interpretive demonstrations” includes authority to pay for musical entertainment at the 1988 Annual Golden Spike Railroader’s Festival); Claim of Karl Pusch, B-182357, Dec. 9, 1975 (unpub.) (Foreign Assistance Act authorized reimbursement of expenses incurred by Navy escort who took foreign naval officers to Boston Playboy Club—twice).
- d. Decorations. Agencies may purchase decorative items if consistent with work-related objectives and not for personal convenience. Department of State & Gen. Serv. Admin.—Seasonal Decorations, B-226011, 67 Comp. Gen. 87 (1987) (purchase of decorations proper); The Honorable Fortney H. Stark, B-217555, 64 Comp. Gen. 382 (1985) (personal Christmas cards not proper expenditure); Purchase of Decorative Items for Individual Offices at the United States Tax Court, B-217869, 64 Comp. Gen. 796 (1985) (expenditure on art work consistent with work-related objectives and not primarily for the personal convenience or personal satisfaction of a government employee). **NOTE: Practitioners should consider also the constitutional issues involved in using federal funds to purchase and display religious decorations (e.g., Christmas, Hanukkah, etc.)**
 - e. Business Cards. An agency may use appropriated funds to purchase business cards for its employees. See Letter to Mr. Jerome J. Markiewicz, Fort Sam Houston, B-280759, Nov. 5, 1998 (purchase of business cards with appropriated funds for government employees who regularly deal with public or outside organizations is a proper “necessary expense” of the Army O&M account).

- (1) This case “overturned” a long history of Comptroller General’s decisions holding that business cards were generally a personal expense. See Forest Service – Purchase of Info. Cards, B-231830, 68 Comp. Gen. 467 (1989).
- (2) Military departments have implemented individual policies on use of appropriated funds for business cards. See AR 25-30, paragraph 11.11, (21 June 1999); AFIC 99-1 to DODD 5330.3/AFSUP; Department of the Navy memorandum, dated 9 March 1999.

f. Telephones. 31 U.S.C. § 1348.

- (1) Generally, appropriations are not available to install telephones in private residences or for tolls or other charges for telephone service from private residences. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-Use of Appropriated Funds to Install Telephone Lines in Private Residence, B-262013, Apr. 8, 1996, 96-1 CPD ¶ 180 (appropriated funds may not be used to install telephone lines in Director’s residence); Use of Appropriated Funds to Pay Long Distance Tel. Charges Incurred by a Computer Hacker, B-240276, 70 Comp. Gen. 643 (1991) (agency may not use appropriated funds to pay the phone charges, but may use appropriated funds to investigate); Timothy R. Manns—Installation of Tel. Equip. in Employee Residence, B-227727, 68 Comp. Gen. 307 (1989) (telephone in temporary quarters allowed).
- (2) But see Federal Communications Commission – Installation of Integrated Services Digital Network, B-280698, Jan. 12, 1999 (agency may use appropriated funds to pay for installation of dedicated Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) lines to transmit data from computers in private residences of agency’s commissioners to agency’s local area network).

- (3) **NOTE:** DoD has statutory authority to use appropriated funds to install, repair, and maintain telephone lines in residences owned or leased by the U.S. Government and, if necessary for national defense purposes, in other private residences. 31 U.S.C. § 1348(c). Additionally, DoD may install telephone lines in certain volunteers' residences. Such volunteers are those who provide medical, dental, nursing, or other health-care related services; volunteer services for museum or natural resources program; or programs that support service members and their families. National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-65, § 371, 113 Stat. 512 (1999).

g. Fines and Penalties.

- (1) Agencies generally may not use appropriated funds to pay fines and penalties incurred by employees. To The Honorable Ralph Regula, B-250880, Nov. 3, 1992 (military recruiter is personally liable for parking meter fines imposed for parking meter violations); Military members and employees may be reimbursed for paying a fine when the action for which the fine is imposed is a necessary part of the member's or employee's official duties.; To the Acting Attorney Gen., B-47769, 44 Comp. Gen. 313 (1964) (payment of contempt fine proper when incurred by employee pursuant to agency regulations and instructions).
- (2) Agencies may pay fines imposed on the **federal government** when Congress waives sovereign immunity. See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. § 2703(f) (Defense Environmental Restoration Account); 31 U.S.C. § 3902 (interest penalty).

h. Licenses and Certificates.

- (1) Agencies generally may not use appropriated funds to pay employees' fees incident to obtaining licenses or certificates. A. N. Ross, B-29948, 22 Comp. Gen. 460 (1942) (fee for admission to Court of Appeals).

- (2) Exception—When the license is primarily for the benefit of the government and not to qualify the employee for his position. National Sec. Agency—Request for Advance Dec., B-257895, Oct. 28, 1994 (unpub.) (drivers’ licenses for scientists and engineers to perform security testing at remote sites); Air Force—Appropriations—Reimbursement for Costs of Licenses or Certificates, B-252467, June 3, 1994, (unpub.) (license necessary to comply with state-established environmental standards).

i. Unit or Regimental Coins and Similar Devices.

- (1) Generally, agencies may not use appropriated funds to purchase “mementos” such as regimental coins or similar devices for distribution. Such mementos are unauthorized personal gifts unless there is a direct link between the distribution of the items and the purpose of the appropriation. See EPA Purchase of Buttons and Magnets, B-247686, Dec. 30, 1992.
- (2) Congress has provided specific statutory authority for SECDEF to “award medals, trophies, badges, and similar devices” for “excellence in accomplishments or competitions.” 10 U.S.C. § 1125.
 - (a) For example, the Army has implemented this statute in AR 600-8-22, para. 11-1, which allows the presentation of awards for “excellence in accomplishments and competitions which clearly contribute to the increased effectiveness or efficiency of the military unit, that is, tank gunnery, weapons competition, military aerial competition.”

- (b) Army Regulation 600-8-22, ¶ 11-2 provides:
“[h]owever, awards may be made on a one time basis where the achievement is unique and clearly contributes to increased effectiveness.” These awards could be made in the form of a coin, trophy, plaque or other similar device. The MACOM commander or head of the principal HQDA agency must approve the purchase of coins for distribution as awards. AR 600-8-22, para. 1-7d.
- (c) The Air Force and Navy/Marine Corps have similar awards guidance. See generally AFPD 36-28 (1 Aug 97); SECNAVINST 3590.4A (28 Jan 78).
- (3) Unit or Regimental Coins. For a detailed discussion of the fiscal issues related to commanders’ coins, see Major Kathryn R. Sommercamp, *Commanders’ Coins: Worth Their Weight in Gold?*, ARMY LAW., Oct. 1997, at 6.
- (4) Similar Devices. See Air Force Purchase of Belt Buckles as Awards for Participants in a Competition, B-247687, 71 Comp. Gen. 346 (1992) (appropriated funds may be used to purchase belt buckles as awards for the annual “Peacekeeper Challenge”).
- (5) Comptroller General opinions come to different conclusions concerning awards to civilian employees, awards to military members, and incentive awards, because each has a separate statutory basis. See Air Force Purchase of Belt Buckles as Awards for Participants in a Competition, B-247687, 71 Comp. Gen. 346 (1992) (appropriated funds may be used to purchase belt buckles as awards for the annual “Peacekeeper Challenge”); Awarding of Desk Medallion by Naval Sea Systems Command, B-184306, Aug. 27, 1980 (unpub.) (desk medallions may be given to both civilian and military as awards for suggestions, inventions, or improvements).

- j. Use of Office Equipment. Use of Office Equipment in Support of Reserves and National Guard, B-277678, Jan. 4, 1999 (agency may authorize use of telephone and/or facsimile machine to respond to reserve unit recall notification as all government agencies have some interest in furthering the governmental purpose of, and national interest in, the Guard and Reserves). See Office of Personnel Management memorandum, dated 3 June 1999, which provides general guidance to assist federal agencies in determining under what circumstances employee time and agency equipment may be used to carry out limited National Guard or Reserve functions.

7. Miscellaneous Personal Expenses.

- a. Use of Appropriated Funds to Provide Fin. Incentives to Employees for Commuting by Means other than Single-Occupant Vehicle, B-250400, May 28, 1993 (unpub.) (if local air pollution control regulations require air pollution abatement plans, agencies may use appropriated funds for plan which subsidizes employees' costs for using other forms of commuting).
- b. Smithsonian Institution Use of Appropriated Funds for Legal Representation of Officers and Employees, B-241970.2, 70 Comp. Gen. 647 (1991) (payment of attorney fees improper).
- c. Defense Mapping Agency—Use of Imprest Funds for a Dremel Shoe Polisher, 1991 U.S. Comp. Gen. LEXIS 976, July 30, 1991 (unpub.) (shoe polisher not authorized).
- d. Department of the Navy—Purchase of Employee Identification Tags, B-237236, 69 Comp. Gen. 82 (1989) (name tags an authorized purchase).
- e. Office of Personnel Mgt.—Purchase of Air Purifiers, B-215108, July 23, 1984, 84-2 CPD ¶ 194 (purchase of air purifiers for common areas allowed).

- f. Expenditures by Dept. of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, B-247563.3, Apr. 5, 1996, 1996 U.S. Comp. Gen. LEXIS 414 (appropriated funds unavailable for employee participation in local sporting events).
 - g. Federal Executive Board - - Appropriations - - Employee Tax Returns - Electronic Filing, B-259947, Nov. 28, 1995, 96-1 CPD ¶ 129 (electronic filing of tax returns is a personal expense).
8. Expenditures for new or additional duties imposed by legislation or executive order with no additional appropriations provided.
- a. May current appropriations be charged?
 - b. Test: Are the new duties sufficiently related to the purpose of a previously enacted appropriation? The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984); Director, Nat'l Science Foundation, B-158371, 46 Comp. Gen. 604 (1967).

D. Expenditure Is Not Otherwise Prohibited by Law.

- 1. Constitutional Prohibitions.
- 2. Permanent Legislation Prohibitions. See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. § 2246 (prohibition on using appropriated funds to equip, operate, or maintain a DoD golf courses in CONUS unless designated remote and isolated).
- 3. Annual Appropriation Act or Authorization Act Prohibitions. See, e.g., DOD Appropriations Act, 2000, § 8149 (restriction on use of appropriated funds to pay fines or penalties imposed on DoD or military department arising from environmental violation at a military installation or facility unless payment is specifically authorized by law).

4. Agencies may presume that restrictions in an appropriation act are effective only for the fiscal year covered by the act. This presumption may be overcome if the restriction uses language indicating futurity, or if the legislation clearly indicates its permanent character. See Permanency of Weapon Testing Moratorium Contained in Fiscal Year 1986 Appropriations Act, B-222097, 65 Comp. Gen. 588 (1986) (restriction applicable to “this Act or any other Act” does not indicate futurity).

E. Expenditure Is Not Otherwise Provided For in a Separate Appropriation.

1. If there is another, more specific appropriation available, it must be used in preference to the more general appropriation. The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984) (may not use O&M funds when foreign assistance funds available).
 - a. That a specific appropriation is exhausted is immaterial. Secretary of Commerce, B-129401, 36 Comp. Gen. 386 (1956).
 - b. General appropriations may not be used as a back-up for a more specific appropriation. Secretary of the Navy, B-13468, 20 Comp. Gen. 272 (1940).
 - c. Limitation applies even if specific appropriation is included in the more general appropriation. Secretary of the Interior, B-14967, 20 Comp. Gen. 739 (1941).
2. If there are two appropriations equally available for expenditures not specifically mentioned in either appropriation:
 - a. The agency may choose either appropriation. Payment of SES Performance Awards of the R.R. Retirement Board's Office of Inspector Gen., B-231445, 68 Comp. Gen. 337 (1989). Agency discretion is not questioned generally. Secretary of Agriculture, A-96689, 18 Comp. Gen. 285, 292 (1938).

- b. **BUT**, once the election is made, the agency must continue to use the selected appropriation to the exclusion of any other, during the current fiscal year. See Funding for Army Repair Projects, B-272191, Nov. 4, 1997. The election is binding even after the chosen appropriation is exhausted. Honorable Clarence Cannon, B-139510, May 13, 1959 (unpub.) (Rivers and Harbors Appropriation exhausted; Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, unavailable to dredge channel to shipyard).
- c. If Congress authorizes specifically the use of two accounts for the same purpose, the agency is not required to make an election between the two and is free to use both appropriations for the same purpose. See Funding for Army Repair Projects, *supra*. See also 10 U.S.C. § 166a (CINC Initiative Funds are in addition to amounts otherwise available for an activity).

IV. AUGMENTATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

A. General Rule - Augmentation of Appropriations Is Not Permitted.

- 1. Augmentation is action by an agency that increases the effective amount of funds available in an agency's appropriation. Generally, this results in expenditures by the agency in excess of the amount appropriated by Congress originally.
- 2. Basis for the Augmentation Rule. An augmentation normally violates one or more of the following provisions:
 - a. Article I, Section 9, Clause 7, of United States Constitution:

No money shall be drawn from the treasury except in consequence of appropriations made by law.
 - b. 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a) (Purpose Statute):

Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law.

c. 31 U.S.C. § 3302(b) (Miscellaneous Receipts Statute):

- (1) Except as . . . [otherwise provided] . . . an official or agent of the government receiving money for the government from any source shall deposit the money in the Treasury as soon as practical without any deduction for any charge or claim.
- (2) See Scheduled Airlines Traffic Offices, Inc. v. Dept. of Defense, 87 F3d. 1356 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (Contract for official and unofficial travel, which provided for concession fees to be paid to the local morale, welfare, and recreation account, violates Miscellaneous Receipts Statute). (**Note:** In the FY 1999 Defense Authorization Act, Congress enacted statutory language that permits commissions or fees in travel contracts to be paid to morale, welfare, and recreation accounts. See 10 U.S.C. § 2646.)

3. Examples of Augmentation.

- a. Augmenting by using one appropriation to pay costs associated with the purposes of another appropriation. This violates the Purpose Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a). Reimbursement of Registration Fees for Fed. Executive Board Training Seminar, B-245330, 71 Comp. Gen. 120 (1991); Nonreimbursable Transfer of Admin. Law Judges, B-221585, 65 Comp. Gen. 635 (1986); Department of Health and Human Servs.—Detail of Office of Community Servs. Employees, B-211373, 64 Comp. Gen. 370 (1984).

- b. Augmenting an appropriation by retaining government funds received from another source. This violates the Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302(b). See Interest Earned on Unauthorized Loans of Fed. Grant Funds, B-246502, 71 Comp. Gen. 387 (1992). When the retained funds are expended, this generally violates the constitutional requirement for an appropriation. See Use of Appropriated Funds by Air Force to Provide Support for Child Care Centers for Children of Civilian Employees, B-222989, 67 Comp. Gen. 443 (1988); But see Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms—Augmentation of Appropriations—Replacement of Autos by Negligent Third Parties, B-226004, 67 Comp. Gen. 510 (1988) (31 U.S.C. § 3302 only applies to **monies** received, not to other property or services).

B. Receipts of Funds Authorized by Statutes. Many statutes authorize agencies to retain funds received from sources other than Congress. Some examples include:

- 1. Economy Act. 31 U.S.C. § 1535 authorizes interagency orders. The ordering agency must reimburse the performing agency for the costs of supplying the goods or services. See also 41 U.S.C. § 23 (project orders).
- 2. Foreign Assistance Act. 22 U.S.C. § 2392 authorizes the President to transfer State Department funds to other agencies, including DoD, to carry out the purpose of the Foreign Assistance Act.
- 3. Revolving Funds. Revolving funds are management tools that provide working capital for the operation of certain activities. The receiving activity must reimburse the funds for the costs of goods or services when provided. See 10 U.S.C. § 2208; National Technical Info. Serv., B-243710, 71 Comp. Gen. 224 (1992); Administrator, Veterans Admin., B-116651, 40 Comp. Gen. 356 (1960).
- 4. Proceeds received from bond forfeitures, but only to the extent necessary to cover the costs of the United States. 16 U.S.C. § 579c; USDA Forest Serv.—Authority to Reimburse Gen. Appropriations with the Proceeds of Forfeited Performance Bond Guarantees, B-226132, 67 Comp. Gen. 276 (1988); National Park Serv.—Disposition of Performance Bond Forfeited to Gov't by Defaulting Contractor, B-216688, 64 Comp. Gen. 625 (1985) (forfeited bond proceeds to fund replacement contract).

5. Defense Gifts. 10 U.S.C. § 2608. The Secretary of Defense may accept monetary gifts and intangible personal property for defense purposes. However, these defense gifts may not be expended until appropriated by Congress.
6. Health Care Recoveries. 10 U.S.C. § 1095(g). Amounts collected from third-party payers for health care services provided by a military medical facility may be credited to the appropriation supporting the maintenance and operation of the facility.
7. Recovery of Military Pay and Allowances. Statutory authority allows the government to collect damages from third parties to compensate for the pay and allowances of soldiers who are unable to perform military duties as a result of injury or illness resulting from a tort. These amounts “shall be credited to the appropriation that supports the operation of the command, activity, or other unit to which the member was assigned.” 42 U.S.C. § 2651. The U.S. Army Claims Service has taken the position that such recoveries should be credited to the installation’s operation and maintenance account. *See* Affirmative Claims Note, *Lost Wages under the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act*, ARMY LAW., Dec, 1996, at 38.
8. Military Leases of Real or Personal Property. 10 U.S.C. § 2667(d)(1). Rentals received pursuant to leases entered into by a military department may be deposited in special accounts for the military department and used for facility maintenance, repair, or environmental restoration.
9. Damage to Real Property. 10 U.S.C. § 2782. Amounts recovered for damage to real property may be credited to the account available for repair or replacement of the real property at the time of recovery.
10. Proceeds from the sale of lost, abandoned, or unclaimed personal property found on an installation. 10 U.S.C. § 2575. Proceeds are credited to operation and maintenance account and used to pay for collecting, storing, and disposing of the property. Remaining funds may be used for morale, welfare, and recreation activities.
11. Host nation contributions to relocated armed forces within a host country. 10 U.S.C. § 2350k.

C. Other Authorized Retention of Receipts and Use of Appropriations. In addition to the statutory authorities detailed above, the Comptroller General recognizes other exceptions to the Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, including:

1. Replacement Contracts. An agency may retain recovered excess procurement costs to fund replacement contracts. Bureau of Prisons—Disposition of Funds Paid in Settlement of Breach of Contract Action, B-210160, Sept. 28, 1983, 84-1 CPD ¶ 91.
 - a. This rule applies regardless of whether the government terminates for default or simply claims for damages due to defective workmanship.
 - b. The replacement contract must be coextensive with the original contract, i.e., the agency may reprocore only those goods and services which would have been provided under the original contract.
 - c. Amounts recovered that exceed the actual costs of the replacement contract must be deposited as miscellaneous receipts.
2. Refunds.
 - a. Refunds for erroneous payments, overpayments, or advance payments may be credited to agency appropriations. Department of Justice—Deposit of Amounts Received from Third Parties, B-205508, 61 Comp. Gen. 537 (1982) (agency may retain funds received from carriers/insurers for damage to employee's property for which agency has paid employee's claim); International Natural Rubber Org.—Return of United States Contribution, B-207994, 62 Comp. Gen. 70 (1983).
 - b. Amounts that exceed the actual refund must be deposited as miscellaneous receipts. Federal Emergency Mgmt. Agency—Disposition of Monetary Award Under False Claims Act, B-230250, 69 Comp. Gen. 260 (1990) (agency may retain reimbursement for false claims, interest, and administrative expenses in revolving fund; treble damages and penalties must be deposited as miscellaneous receipts).

- c. Funds recovered by an agency for damage to government property, unrelated to performance required by the contract, must be deposited as miscellaneous receipts. Defense Logistics Agency—Disposition of Funds Paid in Settlement of Contract Action, B-226553, 67 Comp. Gen. 129 (1987) (negligent installation of power supply system caused damage to computer software and equipment; insurance company payment to settle government's claim for damages must be deposited as miscellaneous receipts).
 - d. Refunds must be credited to the appropriation charged initially with the related expenditure, whether current or expired. Accounting for Rebates from Travel Mgmt. Center Contractors, B-217913.3, June 24, 1994 (unpub.); To the Secretary of War, B-40355, 23 Comp. Gen. 648 (1944). This rule applies to refunds in the form of a credit. See Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law (2d ed.), Vol. II, p. 6-111, GAO/OGC 92-13 (1992), Appropriation Accounting—Refunds and Uncollectibles, B-257905, Dec. 26, 1995, 96-1 CPD ¶ 130 (recoveries under fraudulent contracts are refunds, which should be credited to the original appropriation, unless the account is closed; if debts are written off as uncollectible, a charge must be made to an account that is current at the time of the write off).
3. Receipt of property other than cash. When the government receives a replacement for property damaged by a third party in lieu of cash, the agency may retain the property. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms—Augmentation of Appropriations—Replacement of Autos by Negligent Third Parties, B-226004, 67 Comp. Gen. 510 (1988) (replacement by repair of damaged vehicles).
4. Funds held in trust for third parties. When the government receives custody of cash or negotiable instruments that it intends to deliver to the rightful owner, it need not deposit the funds into the treasury as a miscellaneous receipt. The Honorable John D. Dingell, B-200170, 60 Comp. Gen. 15 (1980) (money received by Department of Energy for oil company overcharges to their customers may be held in trust for specific victims).

5. Nonreimbursable Details.

- a. The Comptroller General has held that nonreimbursable agency details of personnel to other agencies are generally unallowable. Department of Health and Human Servs.—Detail of Office of Community Servs. Employees, B-211373, 64 Comp. Gen. 370 (1985).
- b. Exceptions.
 - (1) A law authorizes nonreimbursable details. See, e.g., 3 U.S.C. § 112 (nonreimbursable details to White House); The Honorable William D. Ford, Chairman, Comm’n on Post Office and Civil Serv., House of Representatives, B-224033, Jan. 30, 1987 (unpub.).
 - (2) The detail involves a matter similar or related to matters ordinarily handled by the detailing agency and will aid the detailing agency’s mission. Details to Congressional Comms., B-230960, Apr. 11, 1988 (unpub.).
 - (3) The detail is for a brief period, entails minimal cost, and the agency cannot obtain the service by other means. Department of Health and Human Servs. Detail of Office of Community Servs. Employees, B-211373, 64 Comp. Gen. 370 (1985).

V. SPECIAL PROBLEMS.

A. Investment/Expense Threshold.

- 1. Expenses are costs of resources consumed in operating and maintaining DoD, and are normally financed with O&M appropriations. See DoD 7000.14-R, Fin. Mgmt. Reg., vol. 2A, ch 1. Expenses generally include:
 - a. Labor of civilian, military, or contractor personnel;

- b. Rental charges for equipment and facilities;
 - c. Food, clothing, and fuel;
 - d. Maintenance, repair, overhaul, and rework of equipment;
 - e. Real property maintenance, repair, and O&M funded minor construction projects; and
 - f. Assemblies, spare and repair parts, and other items of equipment not designated for centralized management and costing **less than \$100,000**. The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8046, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999).
2. Investments are the acquisition costs of DoD capital assets, and are normally financed with procurement appropriations. These costs benefit future periods and tend to have a long-term character. Investments generally include:
- a. All equipment items having a system unit cost **equal to or greater than \$100,000**; and
 - b. Construction, including equipment installed and made an integral part of the facilities.
3. Various audits have revealed a systemic problem of local activities using O&M appropriations to acquire computer systems costing more than the investment/expense threshold (currently \$100,000). This constitutes a violation of the Purpose Statute, and may result in a violation of the Antideficiency Act.
- a. Agencies must consider the “system” concept when evaluating the procurement of Information Mission Area (IMA) end items. The determination of what constitutes a “system” must be based on the **primary function** of the hardware and software to be acquired, as stated in the approved requirements document.

- b. A system exists if a number of components are designed primarily to function within the context of a whole and will be interconnected to satisfy an approved requirement.
 - c. Agencies may purchase multiple end items of equipment (e.g., computers), and treat each end item as a separate “system” for funding purposes, if the primary function of the end item is to operate independently.
 - d. Include standard off-the-shelf software as part of the total system cost when purchased as part of initial acquisition of equipment.
 - e. Fragmented or piecemeal acquisition of a documented requirement may not be used to circumvent the “system” concept.
4. **EXAMPLE**—Acquisition of 100 stand-alone computers and software at \$2,000 each, purchased primarily to operate as independent workstations. Agencies may use O&M funds for this acquisition.

B. Official Representation Funds.

- 1. Definition. Representation funds are appropriations made available to the executive branch that may be expended without the normal statutory controls and are appropriated for "emergency and extraordinary expenses." Congress has provided representation funds throughout our history for use by the President and other senior agency officials. See Act of March 3, 1795, 1 Stat. 438. Representation funds have strict regulatory controls because of their limited availability and potential for abuse.
 - a. Controls.
 - (1) Statutory limitations.
 - (a) 10 U.S.C. § 127. Emergency and extraordinary expenses.

- (i) Authorizes the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of a military department to spend representation funds on "any purpose he determines to be proper, and such a determination is final and conclusive upon the accounting officers. . ."
- (ii) Requires a quarterly report of such expenditures to the Congress.
- (iii) Congressional notice requirement. Pub. L. No. 104-106, § 915, 110 Stat 186, 413 (amending 10 U.S.C. § 127).

- (a) Amounts in excess of \$1 million -- 15 days advance notice prior to obligation or expenditure.

- (b) \$500 000 - \$1 million -- 5 days advance notice.

- (c) Exception to notification requirement if Secretary of Defense determines that national security objectives will be compromised.

- (b) Other executive agencies may have similar controls. See, e.g., 22 U.S.C. § 2671 (authorizes State Department to pay for "unforeseen emergencies").

b. Appropriations language.

- (1) For DoD, Congress provides representation funds as a separate item in the operation and maintenance appropriation. Obligation in excess of the limitation is a violation of 31 U.S.C. § 1341.

- (2) Not all agencies receive representation funds. Other appropriations may not be used for representational purposes. See HUD Gifts, Meals, and Entertainment Expenses, B-231627, 68 Comp. Gen. 226 (1989); United States Embassy London — Use of Representational Funds for Reimbursement of Rental of Ceremonial Dress, B-235916, 68 Comp. Gen. 638 (1989) (State Department may use its representation allowances to pay the expenses of the Department in providing proper representation of the United States and its interests).

c. Internal agency guidance.

- (1) DoD Dir. 7250.13, Official Representation Funds (23 February 1989).
- (2) AR 37-47, Representation Funds of the Secretary of the Army (31 May 1996); AFI 65-603, Official Representation Funds: Guidance and Procedures (1 Nov 1997); SECNAV 7042.7, Guidelines for Use of Official Representation Funds (5 Dec 1998).

d. Procedures for Use of Representation Funds.

- (1) Administrative categories of representation funds.
- (2) Limitation .0012--Miscellaneous Expenses, Category A (Official Representational Funds). AR 37-47, para. 1-5a. These funds are available to extend official courtesies to dignitaries, officials, and foreign governments. AR 37-47, para. 2-1a.
- (3) Limitation .0014--Miscellaneous Expenses, Category B (other than official representation, such as Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals witness fees and settlements of claims). AR 37-47, para. 1-5b. Other examples include:

- (a) Acquisition of weapons from Panamanian civilians. (currently considered to be a proper expenditure of operation and maintenance funds);
 - (b) Reward for search teams at the Gander air crash; and
 - (c) Mitigation of erroneous tax withholding of soldiers' pay.
- (4) Limitation .0015--Criminal Investigation Activities (AR 195-4, CID investigation support). AR 37-47, para. 1-5c. See AFI 71-101, para. 1.12 (use of representation funds to support Air Force OSI operations).
- (5) Limitation .0017--Intelligence Contingency Funds (AR 381-141(C)). AR 37-47, para. 1-5d. See AFI 71-101, para. 1.12 (Air Force counterintelligence representation funds).
- e. Official courtesies. Official representation funds are primarily used for extending official courtesies to authorized guests. AR 37-47, para. 2-1. See AFI 65-603, para.1; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para. 6. Official courtesies are subject to required ratios of authorized guests to DoD personnel. See, e.g., AR 37-47, paras 2-1b and 2-5. Courtesies are defined as:
 - (1) Hosting of authorized guests to maintain the standing and prestige of the United States;
 - (2) Luncheons, dinners, and receptions at DoD events in honor of authorized guests;
 - (3) Entertainment of local authorized guests for civic or community relations;
 - (4) New commander receptions;

- (5) Entertainment of authorized guests incident to visits by U.S. vessels to foreign ports and foreign vessels to U.S. ports;
 - (6) Official functions in observance of foreign national holidays and similar occasions in foreign countries; and
 - (7) Dedication of facilities.
- f. Gifts. Official representation funds may be used to purchase, gifts, mementos, or tokens for authorized guests.
 - (1) No more than \$260.00. See AR 37-47, para. 2-9a(1). See also AFI 65-603, para. 4; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para. 6c(1).
 - (2) No gifts to DoD personnel. AR 37-47, para. 2-9c. See also AFI 65-603, para. 4; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para. 6c(1).
- g. Levels of expenditures. Levels of expenditures are to be “modest.” AR 37-47, para. 2-2a; AFI 65-603, para. 1-2 (\$10,000 per event threshold - AR 37-47, para. 2-2b).
- h. Prohibitions on Using Representational Funds. AR 37-47, para. 2-10; AFI 65-603, para. 5; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para. 6d.
 - (1) Any use not specifically authorized by regulation requires an exception to policy. AR 37-47, para. 2-10; AFI 65-603, para. 10.
 - (2) In accordance with AR 37-47, para. 2-10 (see AFI 65-603, para. 5), exceptions will not be granted for the following:
 - (a) Classified projects and intelligence projects;

- (b) Entertainment of DoD personnel, except as specifically authorized by regulation;
 - (c) Membership fees and dues;
 - (d) Personal expenses (i.e., Christmas cards, calling cards, clothing, birthday gifts, etc.);
 - (e) Gifts and mementos an authorized guest wishes to present to another;
 - (f) Personal items (clothing, cigarettes, souvenirs);
 - (g) Guest telephone bills;
 - (h) Any portion of an event eligible for NAF funding, except for expenses of authorized guests; and
 - (i) Repair, maintenance, and renovation of DoD facilities.
- (3) Retirements and change of command ceremonies, unless approved in advance by the Secretary of the Army (SA). AR 37-47, para. 2-4g. See AFI 65-603, para. 5.1; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para. 6d(10); United States Army School of the Americas--Use of Official Representation Funds, B-236816, 69 Comp. Gen. 242 (1990) (new commander reception distinguished from change of command ceremony).
- i. Approval and accounting procedures. AR 37-47, Chapter 3. See AFI 65-603, para 4; SECNAVINST 7042.7J, para 8.
- (1) Fiscal year letters of authority. AR 37-47, para. 3-1b.
 - (2) Written appointment of certifying and approving officer.

- (3) Written appointment of representation fund custodian.
 - (4) Funds must be requested and made available before obligation. Requests for retroactive approval must be forwarded to the SA or his designee. AR 37-47, para. 3-1d.
 - (5) Legal review. AR 37-47, para. 3-1f(2).
- 2. Community Relations and Public Affairs Funds. AR 360-61, Community Relations (15 Jan. 1987).
 - a. Public affairs funds are not a separate subdivision of the operation and maintenance account.
 - b. Do not use public affairs funds to supplement official representation funds. Doing so violates 31 U.S.C. § 1301.

C. Minor Construction.

- 1. Generally, agencies may not erect, repair, or improve a public building absent a specific appropriation from Congress. 41 U.S.C. § 12; The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984).
- 2. Congress has given DoD specific statutory authority to use **up to \$500,000 in operation and maintenance funds** for unspecified minor construction projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c).
- 3. The \$500,000 limitation applies to each project. A “project” includes all work necessary to produce a complete and usable facility or a complete and usable improvement to an existing facility. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(b). See The Honorable Michael B. Donley, B-234326.15, Dec. 24, 1991 (unpub.) (Air Force improperly split project involving a group of twelve related buildings into multiple projects).

D. Deployments. See The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984).

1. Construction.
2. Foreign Humanitarian Assistance.
3. Foreign Military Assistance.
4. U.S. Military Training.

VI. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 3

AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS AS TO TIME

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	KEY DEFINITIONS.....	1
III.	LIMITATIONS BASED UPON THE TYPE OF APPROPRIATION.....	2
	A. General.....	2
	B. Period of Availability for Various Appropriations	3
	C. Types of Appropriations Described by Period of Availability.....	4
IV.	LIMITATIONS BASED UPON THE BONA FIDE NEEDS RULE.....	5
	A. Statutory Basis.....	5
	B. General.....	5
	C. Practical Considerations	6
	D. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Supply Contracts.....	6
	E. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Service Contracts.....	9
	F. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Training Contracts	11
	G. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Construction Contracts.....	11
	H. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Multiple Year Appropriations	12
V.	FUNDING REPLACEMENT CONTRACTS AND MODIFICATIONS	12
	A. General.....	12
	B. Bid Protests.....	12
	C. Terminations for Default	13

D.	Terminations for Convenience of the Government	13
E.	Contract Modifications Affecting Price	14
VI.	USE OF EXPIRED/CLOSED APPROPRIATIONS	15
A.	Definitions.....	15
B.	Expired Appropriations	16
C.	Closed Appropriations	17
VII.	MULTIPLE YEAR FUNDS	18
A.	References.....	18
B.	Introduction.....	18
C.	Basic Concepts.....	18
D.	Statutory Controls.....	19
E.	Administrative Controls: Program Objectives.....	19
F.	Full Funding Policy.....	20
G.	Incremental Funding Policy.....	21
VIII.	CONTRACT FORMATION AND TIME LIMITATIONS.....	23
A.	References.....	23
B.	Options	23
C.	Requirements or Indefinite Quantity Contracts	24
D.	Contracts on the Fiscal Year Cycle	25
IX.	CONCLUSION	25

CHAPTER 3

AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATIONS AS TO TIME

I. INTRODUCTION. Following this instruction, the student will understand:

- A. The various time limits on availability of appropriated funds;
- B. The Bona Fide Needs Rule and some common exceptions to that rule;
- C. The rules concerning availability of funds for funding replacement contracts; and
- D. The general rules concerning use of expired appropriations.


II. KEY DEFINITIONS.

- A. **Appropriations Act.** An appropriations act is the most common form of budget authority. It is a statutory authorization by an Act of Congress to incur obligations and make payments out of the U.S. Treasury for specified purposes.
- B. **Authorization Act.** An authorization act is a statute, passed by Congress, that authorizes the appropriation of funds for programs and activities. An authorization act does not provide budget authority. That authority stems only from the appropriations act.
- C. **Period of Availability.** The period of time for which appropriations are available for obligation. If activities do not obligate the funds during the period of availability, then the funds expire and are generally unavailable for further obligation.

- D. **Bona Fide Needs.** The balance of an appropriation is available only for payment of expenses properly incurred during the period of availability, or to complete contracts properly made during the period of availability. 31 U.S.C. § 1502(a).
- E. **Expired Appropriations.** Appropriations whose availability for new obligations has expired, but which retain their fiscal identity and are available to adjust and liquidate previous obligations. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(a).
- F. **Closed Appropriations.** Appropriations that are no longer available for any purpose. An appropriation becomes “closed” five years after the end of its period of availability as defined by the applicable appropriations act. 31 U.S.C. § 1552(a).

III. LIMITATIONS BASED UPON THE TYPE OF APPROPRIATION.

A. General.



1. The Time Rule: An appropriation is available for obligation for a definite period of time. Agencies must obligate appropriations during this period of availability, or the authority to obligate expires. 31 U.S.C. § 1552.
2. Government agencies may not obligate funds prior to signature of the appropriations act **and** receipt of the funds from the Office of Management and Budget through higher headquarters. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(B). But see Cessna Aircraft, Co. v. Dalton, 126 F.3d 1442 (Fed. Cir. 1997) aff'g Cessna Aircraft Co., ASBCA No. 43196, 93-3 BCA ¶ 25,912 (holding that option exercised after Presidential signature of appropriations act but before OMB apportionment was proper.) Agencies must avoid situations that require "coercive deficiency" appropriations. Project Stormfury - Australia - Indemnification of Damages, B-198206, 59 Comp. Gen. 369 (1980).

3. Generally, the time limitations apply to the obligation of funds, not the disbursement of them. Secretary of Commerce, B-136383, 37 Comp. Gen. 861, 863 (1958).
4. Absent express statutory authority in the appropriations act itself, agencies may not obligate funds after their period of availability expires. 31 U.S.C. §1502; National Endowment for the Arts-Time Availability for Appropriations, B-244241, 71 Comp. Gen. 39 (1991).

B. Period of Availability for Various Appropriations.

1. Funds are presumed to be available for obligation only during the fiscal year in which they are appropriated. 31 U.S.C. § 1502; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 080302; DFAS-DE/Air Force Interim Guidance on Accounting for Obligations (hereinafter DFAS-DE Interim Guidance), Section 2.D.
2. The annual DOD Appropriations Act typically contains the following provision:

No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year, unless expressly so provided herein. See, e.g., DOD Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8003, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999).
3. The appropriations act language controls other general statutory provisions. National Endowment for the Arts-Time Availability for Appropriations, B-244241, 71 Comp. Gen. 39 (1991) (holding that general statutory language making funds available until expended is subordinate to appropriations act language stating that funds are available until a date certain).
4. Multiple year appropriations expressly provide that they remain available for obligation for a definite period in excess of one fiscal year. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-34, Instructions on Budget Execution, § 21.1 (August 1985). See Section VII, infra.

C. Types of Appropriations Described by Period of Availability.

1. The largest annual DOD appropriations are:
 - a. Operations & Maintenance (O&M); and
 - b. Personnel.
2. The major multiple year appropriations usually provided to the Department of Defense, and their periods of availability, are:
 - a. Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Appropriations - 2 years;
 - b. Procurement Appropriations - 3 years;
 - c. Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy - 5 years, except that the Navy may incur certain obligations over longer periods;
 - d. Military Construction Appropriations - 5 years;
 - e. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense - various periods.
 - f. Multiple Year - Varies up to five years depending on the program. DOD receives a variety of special purpose appropriations, some of which are available for more than one year. For example, DOD has a two-year appropriation for overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid.

- g. Stock and Industrial Funds (Working Capital Funds) - indefinite (No Year).
- 3. The language typically used by the Congress is "[T]o remain available for obligation until September 30, XXXX." Defense Technical Information Center-Availability of Two Year Appropriations, B-232024, 68 Comp. Gen. 170 (1989).

IV. LIMITATIONS BASED UPON THE BONA FIDE NEEDS RULE.



- A. Statutory Basis. The Bona Fide Needs Rules states:

The balance of an appropriation or fund limited for obligation to a definite period is available only for payment of expenses properly incurred during the period of availability, or to complete contracts properly made within that period of availability and obligated consistent with section 1501 of this title. However, the appropriation or fund is not available for expenditure for a period beyond the period otherwise authorized by law.

31 U.S.C. § 1502(a).

- B. General.

- 1. Agencies may obligate appropriated funds only for properly incurred expenses of the period of availability of the appropriation. That is, the requirement must represent bona fide needs of the requiring activity arising during the period of availability of the funds proposed to be used for the acquisition. Modification to Contract Involving Cost Underrun, B-257617, 1995 U.S. Comp. Gen. LEXIS 258 (April 18, 1995); Magnavox—Use of Contract Underrun Funds, B-207453, Sept. 16, 1983, 83-2 CPD ¶ 401; To the Secretary of the Army,


B-115736, 33 Comp. Gen. 57 (1953); DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080302; DFAS-DE/ DFAS-DE/Air Force Interim Guidance on Accounting for Obligations,

2. The Bona Fide Needs Rule applies only to appropriations with limited periods of availability for obligation.

C. Practical Considerations.

1. The term "bona fide needs" has meaning only in the context of a fiscal law analysis. A bona fide needs analysis is separate and distinct from an analysis of contract specifications and whether they are a legitimate expression of the government's minimum requirements (needs).
2. A bona fide needs inquiry focuses on the timing of the obligation of funds and whether that obligation is for a current need of the government. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 070501.
3. The government must intend that the contractor start work promptly and perform in accordance with the contract terms. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, vol. 3, para. 080303; DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 7c.
4. The requirements of the government and the nature of a product or service determine when bona fide needs arise.
5. Determining the bona fide needs for an acquisition requires the exercise of judgment.


D. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Supply Contracts.



**1
Oct**

**30
Sept**

1. Generally, bona fide needs are determined by when the government actually requires (will be able to use or consume) the supplies being acquired.
2. Accordingly, agencies generally must obligate for the fiscal year in which the supplies will be used. Betty F. Leatherman, Dep't of Commerce, B-156161, 44 Comp. Gen. 695 (1965); To Administrator, Small Business Admin., B-155876, 44 Comp. Gen. 399 (1965); Chairman, United States Atomic Energy Commission, B-130815, 37 Comp. Gen. 155 (1957).
3. Supply needs of a future fiscal year are the bona fide needs of the subsequent fiscal year, unless an exception applies. Two recognized exceptions are the lead-time exception and the stock-level exception. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, para. 080303; DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 4c(1).
4. *Lead-Time Exception to the Bona Fide Needs Rule.* There are two variants that comprise the lead time exception.




- a. Delivery Time. This aspect of the exception recognizes that the agency has a need for, but cannot obtain the item, in the current FY. In this situation, if an agency cannot obtain materials in the same FY in which they are needed and contracted for, delivery in the next FY does not violate the Bona Fide Needs Rule. However, the time between contracting and delivery must not be excessive and the procurement must not be for standard, commercial items readily available from other sources. Administrator, General Services Agency, B-138574, 38 Comp. Gen. 628, 630 (1959); DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 4c(1).

b. Production Lead-Time. This aspect of the exception permits the agency to consider the normal production lead-time in determining the bona fide needs for an acquisition. Thus, an agency may contract in one FY for delivery in the second FY if the material contracted for will not be obtained on the open market at the time needed for use, so long as the intervening period is necessary for the production. Chairman, Atomic Energy Commission, B-130815, 37 Comp. Gen. 155, 159 (1957).

(1) For example, if the normal lead-time between order and delivery of an item is 45 days, an obligation of FY 2000 funds is appropriate for a delivery on or before a required delivery date of 14 November 2000. (Remember 1 October 2000 is the beginning of FY 2001). This represents the bona fide needs of FY 2000. However, if the government directs the contractor to withhold delivery until after 14 November 2000, there is not a bona fide need for the item in FY 2000 because the necessary lead-time prior to delivery permits the government to order and deliver the item in FY 2001.

(2) If the government establishes a delivery date for an item that is beyond the normal lead-time and in the next fiscal year, then the government must use funds for the next fiscal year. In the example above, if the government does not require until after 14 November 2000, then the government must use FY 2001 funds.

5. *Stock-Level Exception to the Bona Fide Needs Rule*. The stock level exception permits agencies to purchase sufficient supplies to maintain adequate and normal stock levels. DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 4c(1).




-
- a. The government may use current year funds to replace stock consumed in the current fiscal year, even though the government will not use the replacement stock until the following fiscal year.
 - b. For example, the government may award a contract to maintain the normal, authorized stock levels of repair parts in August 2000 and may require delivery in September 2000, using FY 2000 funds, even if the government knows that the government will not use the repair parts until early October 2000 (i.e., FY 2001).
 - c. Fiscal year-end stockpiling of supplies in excess of normal usage requirements is prohibited. Mr. H. V. Higley, B-134277, Dec. 18, 1957 (unpub.).


E. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Service Contracts.

- 1. *General Rule:* Bona fide needs for services do not arise until the services are rendered. Theodor Arndt GmbH & Co., B-237180, Jan. 17, 1990, 90-1 CPD ¶ 64; EPA Level of Effort Contracts, B-214597, 65 Comp. Gen. 154 (1985).
- 2. *Types:* For purposes of the Bona Fide Needs Rule, services fall into two broad categories:
 - a. Nonseverable service contracts; and
 - b. Severable service contracts.
- 3. *Nonseverable Services:* A service is nonseverable if the service produces a single or unified outcome, product, or report that cannot be subdivided for

separate performance in different fiscal years. Thus, the government must fund the entire effort with dollars available for obligation at the time the contract is executed, and the contract performance may cross fiscal years. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1; DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 4c(2); Incremental Funding of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Research Work Orders, B-240264, 73 Comp. Gen. 77 (1994); Proper Appropriation to Charge Expenses Relating to Nonseverable Training Course, B-238940, 70 Comp. Gen. 296 (1991); Proper Fiscal Year Appropriation to Charge for Contract and Contract Increases, B-219829, 65 Comp. Gen. 741 (1986); Comptroller General to W.B. Herms, Department of Agriculture, B-37929, 23 Comp. Gen. 370 (1943).



4. *Severable Services*: A service is severable if it can be separated into components that independently meet a separate need of the government. As a general rule, severable services are the bona fide needs of the fiscal year in which performed. Matter of Incremental Funding of Multiyear Contracts, B-241415, 71 Comp. Gen. 428 (1992); EPA Level of Effort Contracts, 65 Comp. Gen. 154 (1985). Thus, funding of severable service contracts generally may not cross fiscal years, and agencies must fund severable service contracts with dollars available for obligation on the date the contractor performs the services. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080603, tbl. 9-1; DFAS-DE Interim Guidance, Section 2.D.5.



5. *Statutory Exception.* DOD agencies (and the Coast Guard) may obligate funds current at the time of contract award to finance any severable service contract with a period of performance that does not exceed one year. 10 U.S.C. § 2410a. Similar authority exists for non-DOD agencies. 41 U.S.C. § 253l. In essence, this authority allows an agency to fund severable service contracts that cross fiscal years with funds current at the time of award. Funding of Maintenance Contract Extending Beyond Fiscal Year, B-259274, May 22, 1996, 96-1 CPD ¶ 247 (the “Kelly Air Force Base” case).
- F. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Training Contracts. Training courses that begin on or about 1 October may constitute a bona fide need of the prior year if the scheduling of the course is beyond the control of the agency and the time between award of the contract and performance is not excessive. DFAS-IN 37-1. tbl. 8-1; DFAS-DE Interim Guidance, Section 2.D.5.c.; Proper Appropriation to Charge for Expenses Relating to Nonseverable Training Course, B-238940, 70 Comp. Gen. 296 (1991); Proper Appropriation to Charge for Expenses Relating to Nonseverable Training Course, B-277886.2, Aug. 3, 1989 (unpub.).
- G. Bona Fide Needs Rule Applied to Construction Contracts.
 1. Contracts for construction must fulfill a bona fide need arising within the funds’ period of availability. A determination of what constitutes a bona fide need of a particular year depends upon the facts and circumstances of a particular year. Associate General Counsel Kepplinger, B-235086, Apr. 24, 1991 (unpub). Construction contracts may constitute a bona fide need of the fiscal year in which the contract is awarded even though performance is not completed until the following fiscal year.
 2. In analyzing bona fide needs for construction contracts, the agency should consider the following factors:
 - a. Normal weather conditions. A project that cannot reasonably be expected to commence on-site performance before the onset of winter weather is not the bona fide need of the prior fiscal year.

- b. The required delivery date.
- c. When the government intends to make facilities, sites, or tools available to the contractor for construction work.
- d. The degree of actual control the government has over when the contractor may begin work. For example, suppose a barracks will not be available for renovation until 27 December 2000 because a brigade is deploying on 20 December and cannot be disrupted between 1 October and 20 December. If the normal lead-time for starting a renovation project of this type is 15 days, then the renovation is a bona fide need of FY 2001 and the contract should be awarded in FY 2001. Accordingly, use of FY 2000 funds under these facts violates the Bona Fide Needs Rule.

H. Multiple Year Appropriations.

- 1. The Bona Fide Needs Rule applies to multiple year appropriations. Defense Technical Information Center-Availability of Two Year Appropriations, B-232024, 68 Comp. Gen. 170 (1989); Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, B-132900, 55 Comp. Gen. 768 (1976).
- 2. Administrative controls, including regulations, may impose independent restrictions on the use of multi-year funds. See, e.g., DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, vol. 2A, Budget Formulation and Presentation; DFAS-DE Interim Guidance, para. 8; AR 70-6.

V. FUNDING REPLACEMENT CONTRACTS/CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS.

- A. General. There are four important exceptions to the general prohibition on obligating funds after the period of availability:

1. Bid Protests;
2. Terminations for Default;
3. Terminations for Convenience; and
4. Contract Modifications.

B. Bid Protests. Funds available for obligation on a contract at the time a protest is filed shall remain available for obligation for 100 calendar days after the date on which the final ruling is made on the protest. This authority applies to protests filed with the agency, at the General Accounting Office (GAO), or in a federal court. 31 U.S.C. § 1558; FAR 33.102(c); DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080608.

C. Terminations for Default.

1. If a contract or order is terminated for default, and bona fide needs still exist for the supplies or services, then the originally-obligated funds remain available for obligation for a reprocurement, even if they otherwise would have expired. The agency must award the reprocurement contract for substantially the same item or service without undue delay. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080607; Lawrence W. Rosine Co., B-185405, 55 Comp. Gen. 1351 (1976).
2. If additional funds are required for the replacement contract, and the funds have otherwise expired, then the original year's funds may be used to fund the additional cost (and if insufficient or unavailable, then current funds may be used). See DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-7.

D. Terminations for Convenience of the Government.

1. *General Rule:* A termination for the convenience of the government generally extinguishes the availability of prior year funds remaining on the contract. In most instances, such funds are not available to fund a replacement contract in a subsequent year. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080606.

2. *Exceptions.*


- a. Funds originally obligated may be used in a subsequent fiscal year to fund a replacement contract if the original contract is terminated for convenience pursuant to a court order or to a determination by the GAO or other competent authority that the award was improper. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080606, tbl. 8-7; Funding of Replacement Contracts, B-232616, 68 Comp. Gen. 158 (1988).
 - b. This exception includes terminations for convenience resulting from a contracting officer's determination that the award was clearly erroneous. Navy, Replacement Contract, B-238548, Feb. 5, 1991, 91-1 CPD ¶ 117; DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080606.
3. If the original award was improper and the contract is terminated for convenience, either by the contracting officer or by judicial order, then the funds originally obligated remain available in a subsequent fiscal year to fund a replacement contract, subject to the following conditions:
- a. The original award was made in good faith;
 - b. The agency has continuing bona fide needs for the goods or services involved;
 - c. The replacement contract is of the same size and scope as the original contract;
 - d. The contracting officer executes the replacement contract without undue delay after the original contract is terminated for convenience. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080606, tbl. 8-7.

E. Contract Modifications Affecting Price.

1. General. Contract performance often extends over several fiscal years, and modifications to the contract occur for a variety of reasons. If a contract modification results in an increase in contract price, and the modification occurs after the original funds' period of availability has expired, then proper funding of the modification is subject to the bona fide needs rule.
2. When a contract modification does not represent a new requirement or liability, but instead only modifies the amount of the government's pre-existing liability, then such a price adjustment is a bona fide need of the same year in which funds were obligated for the original contract. When a price adjustment is attributable to "antecedent liability," then original funds are available for obligation for the modification. Recording Obligations Under EPA cost-plus-fixed-fee Contract, B-195732, 59 Comp. Gen. 518 (1980); Obligations and Charges Under Small Business Administration Service Contracts, B-198574, 60 Comp. Gen. 219 (1981).
3. If a modification exceeds the scope of the original contract (e.g., changes to the quantity of the item being delivered), then the original funds are not available for obligation. Such a modification amounts to a new obligation, and is chargeable to funds current at the time the modification is made. Modification to Contract Involving Cost Underrun, B-257617, 1995 U.S. Comp. Gen. LEXIS 258 (April 18, 1995); Magnavox—Use of Contract Underrun Funds, B-207433, 83-2 CPD ¶ 401 (1983).

VI. USE OF EXPIRED/CLOSED APPROPRIATIONS.

A. Definitions.



1. **Current Appropriations.** Appropriations whose availability for new obligations has not expired under the terms of the applicable appropriations act.
2. **Expired Appropriations.** Appropriations whose availability for new obligations has expired, but which retain their fiscal identity and are available to adjust and liquidate previous obligations. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(a).
3. **Closed Appropriations.** Appropriations that are no longer available for any purpose. An appropriation becomes "closed" five years after the end of its period of availability as defined by the applicable appropriations act. 31 U.S.C. § 1552(a).

B. Expired Appropriations.

1. Some adjustments are possible after the end of the period of availability, but before an account closes. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(a); AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 6.4.
 - a. Appropriations retain their complete accounting classification identifiers throughout the entire five year period;
 - b. Appropriations remain available for recording, adjusting, and liquidating prior obligations properly chargeable to the account. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(a).
2. If the appropriation has expired and if an obligation of funds from that appropriation is required to provide funds for a program, project, or activity to cover a contract change:
 - a. The head of the agency must approve all changes in excess of \$4 million.
 - a. For all changes exceeding \$25 million, the head of the agency must take the following actions: notify Congress of an intent to obligate funds and wait 30 days before obligating the funds. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(c); DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, paras. 100204-05.
3. For purposes of the notice requirements discussed in the preceding paragraph, a "contract change" is defined as a change to a contract that requires the contractor to perform additional work. The definition specifically excludes adjustments necessary to pay claims or increases in contract price due to the operation of an escalation clause in the contract. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(c)(3).

4. The heads of the defense agencies are required to submit annual reports on the impact of these revisions to the procedures for accounting for expired funds and for closing accounts.
5. For DOD, there are additional restrictions on using pre-FY 1992 expired appropriations. See National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Pub. L. No. 102-484, § 1004, 106 Stat. 2481 (1992).

C. Closed Appropriations.

1. On 30 September of the fifth year after the period of availability of a fixed appropriation ends:
 - a. the account is closed;
 - b. all remaining obligated and unobligated balances in the account are canceled; and
 - c. no funds from the closed account are available thereafter for obligation or expenditure for any purpose. 31 U.S.C. § 1552.
2. Agencies will deposit collections authorized or required to be credited to an account, but received after an account is closed, in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts. 31 U.S.C. § 1552(d); Appropriation Accounting - Refunds and Uncollectibles, B-257905, Dec. 26, 1995, 96-1 CPD ¶ 130.
3. After an account is closed, agencies may charge obligations (and adjustments to obligations) formerly chargeable to the closed account and not otherwise chargeable to another current agency appropriation to any current agency account available for the same general purpose. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(b).
4. Charges shall be limited to the lesser of:

- (1) the unobligated expired balance of the original appropriation available for the same purpose; or
- (2) one percent (1%) of the current appropriation available for the same purpose. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(b)(2).

VII. MULTIPLE YEAR FUNDS AND MULTIPLE YEAR CONTRACTS.

A. References.

1. AR 70-6.
2. AFI 65-601.
3. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, vol. 2A, Budget Formulation and Presentation.

B. Introduction.

1. There is a clear distinction between fiscal law and contract law regarding multiple year appropriations. Proper analysis requires consideration of fiscal law issues independently from the type of contract used.
2. We will not consider the contract law issues concerning multi-year contracting and contracts containing options in this course.

C. Basic Concepts.

1. Multiple year appropriations are those appropriations that expressly provide that they remain available for obligation for a definite period in excess of one fiscal year. OMB Circular A-34, Instructions on Budget Execution (Aug. 1985).

2. The multiple year appropriations usually provided to the Department of Defense include the following:
 - a. Overseas, Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid: 2 years.
 - b. Procurement: 3 years.
 - c. Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy: 5 years, except that certain obligations may be incurred for longer periods.
 - d. Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E): 2 years.
 - e. Military Construction: 5 years.

D. Statutory Controls.

1. The Bona Fide Needs Rule applies to multiple year appropriations. Defense Technical Information Center-Availability of Two Year Appropriations, B-232024, 68 Comp. Gen. 170 (1989). A multiple year appropriation may only be expended for obligations properly incurred during the period of availability. Therefore, the FY 1999 RDT&E Army Appropriation, which is available for obligation until 30 September 2000, may be obligated for the needs of FY 1999 and 2000; it is not available for the needs of FY 2001.
2. The exceptions to the Bona Fide Needs Rule relating to acquisitions to maintain stock levels and lead time for special goods also apply. This statutory rule is limited by administrative policies, discussed later.

E. Administrative Controls: Program Objectives.

1. *Procurement Appropriations*: Program managers using procurement appropriations want to have all the necessary funding in hand before they

obligate funds on a procurement contract. Having all of the funds helps to ensure stable production runs and lower costs. This policy is referred to as "full funding." DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010202.

2. *RDT&E Appropriations:* Program managers using RDT&E may prefer to dribble out funding among various programs, giving more to those programs showing progress and withholding from other programs.
3. The managers of procurement appropriations and RDT&E appropriations have diametrically opposing outlooks on funding programs within their purview. As a result, two different funding policies exist.

F. Full Funding Policy.

1. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010202.C.6. describes the full funding policy as follows:

At the time of contract award, funds are available to cover the total estimated cost to deliver the contract quantity of complete, militarily usable end items. If a future-year appropriation is required for delivery of the end items, then the end items are not fully funded.

2. The purpose of the full funding policy is to ensure that the amount requested each year will buy a specific quantity of end items. Absent this policy, agencies might conceal the total cost of an end item by splitting the costs among fiscal years or by budgeting for end items piecemeal.
3. Full Funding and Contract Terms.
 - a. Full funding is primarily a budgeting concept. An agency may initiate an acquisition for a procurement item only if the funds for the total estimated cost of the contract quantity are available for obligation.

- b. The acquisition need not be for the total quantity or for usable end items. For example, the government may divide the annual procurement quantity among several contracts. Similarly, the government may award several contracts for both component parts and for the ultimate end items, and then furnish as government-furnished property (GFP) the component parts to the producers of the ultimate end items. A program is not "fully funded" only if delivery of usable end items requires a future-year appropriation.
- 4. Efficiency dictates two general exceptions to the full funding policy. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010202.B.
 - a. Advance procurement for long lead-time items allows acquisition of components, material, parts, and effort in an earlier fiscal year than the year the government acquires the related end item.
 - b. To be eligible for advance procurement, long lead-time items must have a significantly longer lead time than other items. The cost of the advanced procurement items must be relatively small when compared to the remaining costs of the end item. An annual budget request must include at least the estimated termination liability for long lead-time item procurements. The advanced procurement is for one fiscal year's program increment. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010202.B.3.
 - c. Advance economic order quantity (EOQ) procurement for multi-year procurement allows the agency to acquire components, materials, and parts for up to five fiscal-year program increments to obtain the economic advantage of multi-year procurements. The advance procurement may obligate the termination costs, or, if cheaper, the entire cost. The government may also include EOQ costs in an unfunded cancellation clause. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010202.B.4.

- d. The DOD full funding policy is not statutory. Violations of the full funding policy do not necessarily violate the Antideficiency Act. Newport News Shipbld'g and Drydock Co., B-184830, Feb. 27, 1976, 76-1 CPD ¶ 136 (holding option exercise valid, despite violation of full funding policy, because obligation did not exceed available appropriation).

G. Incremental Funding Policy.

1. The government executes the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Program by incremental funding of contracts and other obligations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2A, para. 010211; DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 8; AR 70-6, para. 2-2.
2. The incremental funding policy budgets an amount for each fiscal year sufficient to cover the obligations expected during that fiscal year. Each contract awarded limits the government's obligation to the costs estimated to be incurred during the fiscal year. The government obligates funds for succeeding years during later years. Through the incremental funding policy, the government maintains very close control over R&D programs by limiting their funding.
3. Contract Provisions.
 - a. An incrementally-funded cost-reimbursement contract contains FAR 52.232-22, Limitation of Funds. This provision limits the government's obligation to pay for performance under the contract to the funds allotted to the contract. The contract also contains a schedule for providing funding. Typically, the contractor promises to manage its costs and to perform the contract until the government provides the next increment.
 - b. Incrementally funded fixed-price contracts contain a similar clause, Limitation of Government's Obligation, pursuant to a DFARS interim rule. See DFARS Part 232.7 and DFARS 252.232-7007.

- c. The government allots funds to the contract by an administrative modification identifying the funds.
 - d. To prevent funding gaps associated with late appropriations, the contracting officer may use current funds research and development funds to fund contract performance for 90 days into the next fiscal year. AR 70-6, para. 2-2c.(4).
- 4. Incremental funding transforms two-year RDT&E appropriations into one-year funds. However, the government may obligate RDT&E funds during their second year of availability. Frequently, agencies receive permission from the appropriation manager to obligate funds during the second year where problems prevent obligating an annual increment during the first year. Defense Technical Information Center--Availability of Two Year Appropriations, B-232024, 68 Comp. Gen. 170 (1989).

VIII. CONTRACT FORMATION AND TIME LIMITATIONS

A. References.

- 1. FAR Subpart 17.2.
- 2. FAR Subpart 32.7.
- 3. FAR Subpart 37.1.

B. Options.

- 1. Contracts with options are one means of ensuring continuity of a contractual relationship for services from fiscal year to fiscal year. The contract continues to exist, but performance must be subject to the availability of funds. Contel Page Servs., Inc., ASBCA No. 32100, 87-1 BCA ¶ 19,450; Holly Corp., ASBCA No. 24795, 83-1 BCA ¶ 16,327.

2. There are restrictions on the use and exercise of options. FAR Subpart 17.2.
 - a. The government must have synopsisized the contract with the option(s) in the Commerce Business Daily, and must have priced and evaluated the option at the time of contract award. FAR 17.207(f). If the government did not evaluate the option at the time of the award, or if the option is unpriced, then the government must justify the exercise of the option IAW FAR Part 6 (the contracting activity must obtain approval for other than full and open competition through the justification and approval (J&A) process).
 - b. The government cannot exercise the option automatically.
 - c. The government must determine that the option is the most advantageous means of filling a requirement.
 - d. The government must have funds available.
 - e. The contract must contain the Availability of Funds clause. FAR 32.703-2. Cf. Blackhawk Heating, Inc. v. United States, 622 F.2d 539 (Ct. Cl. 1980).
 - f. The government must obligate funds for each option period when proper funds become available. After it exercises the option, the government may fund the option period incrementally, i.e., during continuing resolution (CR) periods, the government may provide funding for the period of the CR. United Food Servs., Inc., ASBCA No. 43711, 93-1 BCA ¶ 25,462 (holding that if the original contract contains the Availability of Funds clause and the government exercises the option properly, funding the option period in multiple increments does not void the option).

- g. The government must obligate funds consistent with all normal limitations on the obligation of appropriated funds, e.g., Bona Fide Needs Rule, period of availability, type of funds.

C. Requirements or Indefinite Quantity Contracts.

1. Requirement contracts and indefinite quantity contracts also allow the contractual relationship to cross fiscal years. FAR Subpart 16.5.
2. Use of the "availability of funds" clause is mandatory. FAR 32.705-1.
3. The government obligates funds for each delivery order using funds available for obligation at the time the government issues the order.

D. Contracts on the Fiscal Year Cycle.

1. The government may award contracts with terms that coincide with the fiscal year, i.e., from 1 October to 30 September.
2. This technique burdens contracting offices and invites fiscal problems when Congress delays passing appropriations acts and when CR periods or funding gaps occur.
3. Within DOD, consider using the authority of 10 U.S.C. § 2410a to award severable service contracts that cross fiscal years. Civilian agencies should consider using 41 U.S.C. § 253l for the same purpose. The Coast Guard, of course, may rely on 10 U.S.C. § 2410a(b).

IX. CONCLUSION

A. Basic Rules Relating to Time.

1. Agencies may not obligate funds before they are appropriated.
2. Agencies may not incur new obligations after the period of availability ends.
3. Appropriations are presumed to be one-year funds, unless expressly stated otherwise.
4. Different appropriations have different periods of availability.

B. Bona Fide Needs Rule.

1. Agencies may obligate funds only for the bona fide needs of the period of availability of the appropriation.
2. "Supply" exceptions to the Bona Fide Needs Rule (lead time and stock level) authorize obligation during the period of availability and delivery of the supplies in a subsequent fiscal year.
3. Severable services are the bona fide need of the year in which performed (though 10 U.S.C. § 2410a permits the award of contracts for such services across fiscal years). Contracts for nonseverable services may obligate current funds for performance to be completed in a subsequent fiscal year.

C. Multiple-Year Funds.

1. Appropriations are presumed to be annual. Multiple-year appropriations are identified specifically as such in appropriations acts.
2. The Bona Fide Needs Rule applies to multiple-year appropriations.
3. Administrative regulations may impose strict controls on the use of multiple year appropriations in the "out years." These restrictions may be more stringent than those imposed by statute.

D. Rules Governing Expired and Closed Appropriations.

1. Agencies may use expired funds only to liquidate or adjust prior obligations.
2. Fixed appropriations are canceled for all purposes five years after the period of availability ends.

CHAPTER 4

OBLIGATING APPROPRIATED FUNDS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	REFERENCES.....	1
III.	ACCOUNTING FOR COMMITMENTS.....	2
A.	Definitions.....	2
B.	Rules Governing Commitments.....	3
C.	Determining the Amounts of Commitments.....	4
IV.	OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.....	7
A.	Definitions.....	7
B.	General Rules.	8
V.	AMOUNTS TO OBLIGATE.....	10
A.	General.....	10
B.	Contract Types.....	11
VI.	ADJUSTING OBLIGATIONS.....	22
A.	Adjusting Obligation Records.....	22
B.	Contract Changes	22
C.	Limitations on use of Expired or Current Funds to adjust obligations.....	23
VII.	RULES OF OBLIGATION FOR TERMINATED CONTRACTS.....	25
A.	Termination for Convenience.....	25
B.	Termination for Default.....	26

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES OF OBLIGATION.....	26
A. Bid Protests or other challenge.	26
B. Ratification of Unauthorized Commitments.....	27
C. Liquidated Damages.	28
D. Litigation.....	28
IX. CONCLUSION.	28
A. APPENDIX 4-A	
B. APPENDIX 4-B	
C. APPENDIX 4-C	

CHAPTER 4

OBLIGATING APPROPRIATED FUNDS

I. INTRODUCTION. Following this block of instruction, students will understand:

- A. The importance of accounting for commitments and obligations;
- B. Amounts to commit and obligate for various types of contract actions.
- C. Obligation rules for bid protests, contract changes, contract terminations, litigation, and miscellaneous other circumstances.

II. REFERENCES.

- A. 31 U.S.C. § 1501, Documentary evidence requirement for Government obligations.
- B. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-34, para. 11.11, Obligations (1999) [hereinafter OMB Cir. A-34].
- C. General Accounting Office, Office of General Counsel, Principles of Federal Appropriations Law, ch. 7, Obligation of Appropriations (2d ed. 1992).
- D. DOD Directive 7200.1, Administrative Control of Appropriations (May 1995); DOD Instruction 7000.14, DOD Financial Management Policy and Procedures (Nov. 1992); DOD 7000.14-R, DOD Financial Management Regulation, Vol. 3, Budget Execution – Availability and Use of Budgetary Resources (Sep. 2000) [hereinafter DOD 7000.14-R].

MAJ Jon Guden
58th Fiscal Law Course
30 October – 3 November 2000

- E. Defense Finance and Accounting Service--Indianapolis Regulation 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation, ch. 7 (Commitments) & ch. 8 (Obligation Management) (Jan. 2000) [hereinafter DFAS-IN 37-1].
- F. Defense Finance and Accounting Service--Denver/Air Force Interim Guidance, Procedures For Administrative Control of Appropriations and Funds Made Available to the Department of the Air Force (Sep. 1999) [hereinafter DFAS-DE, Procedures for Administrative Control]; Defense Finance and Accounting Service--Denver/Air Force Interim Guidance, Accounting For Commitments (April 2000)[hereinafter DFAS-DE, Commitments]; and Defense Finance and Accounting Service--Denver/Air Force Interim Guidance, Accounting For Obligations (April 2000) [hereinafter DFAS-DE, Obligations].

III. ACCOUNTING FOR COMMITMENTS.

A. Definitions.

- 1. Certifying Officer. An individual authorized to certify the availability of funds on any documents or vouchers submitted for payment and/or indicates payment is proper. DFAS-IN 37-1, Glossary.
- 2. Fund Managers. Individuals who manage financial resources to include major activity, sub-activity directors, and their representatives who are delegated fund certification responsibility. DFAS-IN 37-1, Glossary.
- 3. Certification of Fund Availability. A certification by a funds-certifying official that funds are available in the proper subdivision of funds to cover the obligation to be incurred. This certification authorizes the obligating official to make the desired obligation. DFAS-DE, Procedures for Administrative Control, Definitions, p. X.
- 4. Commitment. An administrative reservation of funds based upon firm procurement requests, orders, directives, and equivalent instruments. An obligation equal to or less than the commitment may be incurred without further approval of a certifying official. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15, para. 150202A.

5. Initiation. Synonyms may be used for this term, which allows for the preliminary negotiation of procurement actions; however, one must obtain certification of fund availability before making a commitment or incurring an obligation. Initiations are entered into memorandum accounts to ensure that precommitment actions, such as approved procurement programs and procurement orders, are maintained within the available subdivision of funds. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15 para. 150202.

B. Rules Governing Commitments.

1. When used. DOD activities must use commitment accounting procedures for military construction; research, development, test, and evaluation; and procurement appropriations. Commitments need not be recorded for small purchases if, in the aggregate, they are insignificant in the management of funds. Commitment accounting is not required for other accounts, but may be used if cost effective. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15, para. 150202A5.
 - a. Army. The Army requires certification of fund availability for unexpired and expired appropriations. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 3, para. 031701.
 - b. Air Force. Commitment accounting is prescribed for all Air Force appropriations, apportioned stock fund divisions, management funds, contract authorizations, administrative and direct cite foreign military sales (FMS) trust fund, and special fund appropriations. DFAS-DE, Accounting for Commitments, p. 3-1.
2. Who. The official responsible for administrative control of funds for the affected subdivision of the appropriation shall sign the commitment document.¹ DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15, para. 150202A1.

¹ A commitment document is an order form used to ensure that funds are available prior to incurring an obligation. Commitments in the Army may be accomplished using DA Form 3953 (Purchase Request and Commitment) or similar documents having the effect of a firm order or authorization to enter into an obligation. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 7, para. 070601. The Air Force uses AF Form 9 as a fund cite authorization document. See Appendices 4-B and 4-C to view these forms.

- a. Army. Serviced activities or fund managers will maintain commitment registers, and are responsible for processing, recording, and performing the oversight function for commitment accounting. Fund control responsibilities may be delegated, in writing, to the Director of Resource Management (DRM)/ Comptroller or other appropriate official(s) IAW regulation. Designated officials will perform commitment accounting as required in Chapter 7. DFAS-IN 37-1, paras. 0703, 030209.
 - b. Air Force. Financial Service Office(r) will certify fund availability before obligations are authorized or incurred against funding documents. DFAS-DE, Procedures for Administrative Control, p. 1-7.
3. Why. Commitment accounting helps ensure that the subsequent entry of an undelivered order or accrued expenditure will not exceed available funds. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15, para. 150202A1. Issuing a commitment authorizing obligations in excess of an appropriation or formal subdivision of funds could result in an antideficiency violation of 31 U.S.C. § 1341 or 31 U.S.C. § 1517.
4. What. Activities may commit funds only to acquire goods, supplies, and services that meet the bona-fide needs of the period for which Congress appropriated funds, or to replace stock used during that period. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 070501.
5. Agencies cancel outstanding commitments when the committed funds expire for obligation. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 15, para. 150202A6;
- C. Determining the Amounts of Commitments. Agencies commit funds according to the following rules:
 1. General. Record as a commitment the cost estimate set forth in the commitment document. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080201.

2. Contingent liabilities. As a budgetary term, a contingent liability represents a variable that cannot be recorded as a valid obligation. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 1, Definitions. Commit an amount that is conservatively estimated to be sufficient to cover the additional obligations that probably will materialize, based upon judgment and experience. Allowances may be made for the possibilities of downward price revisions and quantity underruns. The contingent liability shall be supported by sufficient detail to facilitate audit. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080202A. Examples of contract actions requiring a contingent liability commitment include:
- a. Fixed-price contracts with price escalation, price redetermination, or incentive clauses.
 - b. Contracts authorizing variations in quantities to be delivered.
 - c. Contracts where allowable interest may become payable on a contractor claim supported by a written appeal pursuant to the “Disputes” clause of the contract.
 - d. Cost-reimbursable and time-and-material contracts.
 - (1) Commitment amounts relating to cost-plus-fixed-fee, cost-sharing, cost-plus-incentive-fee, time-and-material, and labor-hour contracts should include the fixed-fee in the cost-plus-fixed-fee and the target fee in the cost-plus-incentive-fee. DFAS-DE Accounting for Commitments, p. 4-4.
 - (2) Cost-plus-award-fee contracts. Commit the estimated cost, the base fee, and an estimated amount based on judgment and experience for the award fee. DFAS-DE Accounting for Commitments, p. 4-4.

3. Letter contracts and letters of intent. Commit funds to cover the difference between the maximum legal liability of the government under the interim agreement and the maximum estimated cost of the definitized contract. An exception is a letter providing that award of the definitive contract is dependent upon a congressional appropriation, in which case no funds are available for commitment. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080202B.
4. Open-end contracts and option agreements. Commit funds only when the amount estimated is reasonably firm. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch.8, para. 080202C.
5. Contract Amendments or Engineering Changes. Commit an amount upon the basis of a stated cost limitation. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080202D.
6. Intra-Governmental Requisitions and Orders (such as a DD Form 448, “Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request”). Commit the amount of the order until validly obligated under the guidelines of the DOD FMR. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080202E.
7. Imprest Funds.² Record as a commitment before funds are advanced to the imprest fund cashier. DFAS-DE, Accounting For Commitments, p. 4-2; see also Appropriations Accounting for Imprest Fund Advances Issued to Cashiers, B-240238, 70 Comp. Gen. 481, (May 8, 1991).
8. Advanced Acquisition Planning for the Next FY Funding. In some instances, qualified statements are used to provide authority to contracting officers to proceed with advance contracting actions before actual receipt of funds. DFAS-DE, Accounting For Commitments, p. 3-4.

² An imprest fund is a “cash fund of a fixed amount established by an advance of funds, without charge to an appropriation, from an agency finance or disbursing officer to a duly appointed cashier, for disbursement as needed from time to time in making payment in cash for relatively small amounts.” FAR 13.001.

- a. Air Force. Instead of a certification of fund availability, the following statement must be placed upon the request for purchase and signed by budget office personnel at the time approval is obtained: "This requirement is included or provided for in the installation or major command financial plan for FY____. The accounting classification shall be ____." See DFAS DE 177-102.
 - b. Army. The comptroller or designee shall sign the following statement on the purchase request: "This requirement is included or provided for in the financial plan for FY____. The accounting classification will be ____." This statement is not a commitment of funds." See AFARS 1.602-2.
9. Commit no funds for--
- a. Potential termination charges on multi-year contracts that provide for cancellation charges if the government must cancel the contract for reasons other than contractor liability. DOD 7000.14-R, para. 080202F.
 - b. Blanket Purchase Agreements. DFAS-DE, Accounting For Commitments, p. 4-2.

IV. OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.

A. Definitions.

- 1. Obligations. Amounts of orders placed, contracts awarded, services received, and similar transactions that will require payments during the same or a future period. The legal requirement for recording obligations is 31 U.S.C. § 1501. OMB Cir. No. A-34, para. 11.11.
- 2. Current Appropriation. An appropriation whose availability for new obligations has not expired under the terms of the applicable appropriations act.

3. Expired Appropriation. An appropriation whose availability for new obligations has expired, but which retains its fiscal identity and is available for recording, adjusting, and liquidating obligations properly chargeable to that appropriation. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(a).
4. Closed Appropriation. An appropriation that is no longer available for any purpose. An appropriation becomes "closed" five years after the end of its period of availability as defined by the applicable appropriations act. 31 U.S.C. § 1552(a).

B. General Rules.

1. Obligate funds only for the purposes for which they were appropriated. 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a).
2. Obligate funds only to satisfy the bona fide needs of the current fiscal year. 31 U.S.C. § 1502(a); OMB Cir. A-34, para. 11.11d; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080303A.
3. Obligate funds only if there is a genuine intent to allow the contractor to start work promptly and to proceed without unnecessary delay. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080303B.
4. Generally, obligate current funds when the government incurs an obligation (incurs a liability). DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080302. Some exceptions include:
 - a. Contract award following a bid protest when authorized by 31 U.S.C. § 1558, Availability of funds following resolution of a formal protest or other challenge.

- (1) Section 1558 provides that funds available to an agency for obligation for a contract at the time of a protest to the General Accounting Office (GAO), or at the time an action is commenced under administrative procedures or for a judicial remedy, shall remain available for obligation for 100 days after the date on which the final ruling is made on the protest or other action, if—
 - (a) the action involves a challenge to a solicitation for a contract; a proposed award of a contract; an award of a contract; or the eligibility of an offeror or potential offeror for a contract or of the contractor awarded the contract, and
 - (b) commencement of the action delays or prevents an executive agency from making an award of a contract or proceeding with a procurement.
 - (2) A ruling is considered final on the date on which the time allowed for filing an appeal or request for reconsideration has expired, or the date on which a decision is rendered on such an appeal or request, whichever is later.
 - b. Replacement contracts for contracts that have been terminated for default. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080303D; Lawrence W. Rosine Co., B-185405, August 13, 1976, 55 Comp. Gen. 1351, 76-2 CPD ¶ 159; and
 - e. “In-scope” contract changes. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080304B; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-7, para. 1a.
5. Do not obligate funds in excess of (or in advance of) an appropriation, or in excess of an apportionment or a formal subdivision of funds. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1517.

6. Subject to the Availability of Funds. Execute contracts “subject to the availability of funds” (SAF) if administrative lead-time requires contract award prior to the receipt of funds to ensure timely delivery of the goods or services. If a SAF clause is used, the Government shall not accept supplies or services until the contracting officer has given the contractor written notice that funds are available. FAR 32.703-2.
 - a. FAR 52.232-18, Availability of Funds, may be used only for operation and maintenance and continuing services (e.g., rentals, utilities, and supply items not financed by stock funds)
 - (1) necessary for normal operations and (2) for which Congress previously had consistently appropriated funds, unless specific statutory authority exists permitting applicability to other requirements. FAR 32.703-2 (a).
 - b. FAR 52.232-19, Availability of Funds for the Next Fiscal Year, is used for one-year indefinite-quantity or requirements contracts for services that are funded by annual appropriations that extend beyond the fiscal year in which they begin, provided any specified minimum quantities are certain to be ordered in the initial fiscal year. FAR 32.703-2 (b).

V. AMOUNTS TO OBLIGATE.

A. General.

1. Recording obligations.
 - a. Obtain documentary evidence of the transaction before recording an obligation. 31 U.S.C. § 1501; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch.8, para. 080302; DFAS-DE 7000-4, para. 3; DFAS-IN 37-1, chapter 8.
 - b. Contracts, purchase orders, rental agreements, travel orders, bills of lading, civilian payrolls, and interdepartmental requisitions are common contractual documents supporting obligations. DFAS-IN 37-1, chapter 8.

2. Generally, the type of contract involved determines the specific rules governing the amount of an obligation and when to record it.

B. Contract Types.

1. Firm-Fixed Price Contracts. FAR 16.202.

- a. Description. Provides for a price that is not subject to any adjustment on the basis of the contractor's cost experience in performing the contract.
- b. Amount to Obligate. Record total amount stated in the contract. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080501.

2. Fixed-Price contracts with escalation, price redeterminations, and incentive provisions.

- a. Fixed-Price Contract with Economic Price Adjustment (FP w/EPA). FAR 16.203.
 - (1) The EPA clause, FAR 52.216-2, provides that the government assumes a portion of the cost risk of certain unforeseeable price fluctuations, such as material or wage increases. The EPA provision permits contractors to eliminate contingencies for these potential costs.
 - (2) The contract price will be adjusted later if the contingencies occur.
- b. Fixed-Price Contracts with Prospective Price Redetermination (FP-R). FAR 16.205 and 16.206.
 - (1) Prospective. Price is fixed for initial quantities, but is adjusted periodically for future quantities based upon the contractor's cost experience. This type is useful on initial production contracts.

- (2) Retroactive. Price for work already performed is subject to redetermination based upon the contractor's actual cost experience. This type of contract is useful on small R&D contracts and other contracts where unresolved disagreements over cost accounting issues may affect price significantly.
- c. Fixed-Price-Incentive Contract (FPI). FAR 16.403.
 - (1) A FPI contract provides for adjusting profit and establishing the final contract price by application of a formula based on the relationship of the total final negotiated cost to the total target cost. The final price is subject to a price ceiling, negotiated at the outset.
 - (2) The contractor bears all costs above the fixed ceiling price.
- d. Fixed-Price-Award-Fee Contracts. FAR 16.404.
 - (1) Contractor receives a negotiated fixed price (which includes normal profit), with an opportunity to receive additional award fee based upon the quality of its performance.
 - (2) Award-fee provisions may be used when the Government wishes to motivate a contractor and other incentives cannot be used because contractor performance cannot be measured objectively.
- e. Amount to Obligate. Obligate the fixed price stated in the contract, or the target or billing price in the case of a contract with an incentive clause. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 11-11d.

3. Cost-Reimbursement Contracts. FAR Subpart 16.3.

- a. Description. These contract types provide for payment of allowable incurred costs to the extent prescribed in the contract. These contracts establish an estimate of total cost for the purpose of obligating funds and establishing a ceiling that the contractor may not exceed—except at its own risk—without the approval of the contracting officer. FAR 16.301-1.
- b. Cost ceilings. Ceilings are imposed through the Limitation of Cost clause, FAR 52.232-20, or the Limitation of Funds clause, FAR 52.232-22. The contractor may not recover costs above the ceiling unless the contracting officer authorizes the contractor to exceed the ceiling. RMI, Inc. v. United States, 800 F.2d 246 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- c. Fee. In Government contracting, fee is a term of art for the profit the Government agrees to pay on some cost-reimbursement contracts.
- d. Types of Cost-Reimbursement Contracts.
 - (1) Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (CPFF) Contract. FAR 16.306.
 - (a) The contract price is the contractor's allowable costs, plus a fixed fee, which is negotiated and set prior to award.
 - (b) Obligate the full amount of the contract, including the fixed fee. DFAS-IN 37-1, Table 8-1.
 - (2) Cost-Plus-Incentive-Fee Contract (CPIF). FAR 16.304, FAR 16.405-1.
 - (a) This type specifies a target cost, a target fee, minimum and maximum fees, and a fee adjustment formula. After contract performance, the fee payable to the contractor is determined in accordance with the formula.

- (b) Obligate the total estimated payment, including the target fee. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080503.
- (3) Cost-Plus-Award-Fee (CPAF) Contract. FAR 16.305 and 16.405-2.
 - (a) The contractor receives its costs; a base fee³ that is fixed at award; and, possibly, an additional award fee based upon the quality of the contractor's performance.
 - (b) Award fee is determined unilaterally by the contracting officer or Award Fee Determining Official.
 - (c) Obligate the total estimated payment, including the base fee. Do not include the award amount. Obligate award fee when determined that award will be paid. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080503; DFAS-IN 37-1, Table 8-1.
- (4) Cost Contract. FAR 16.302.
 - (a) The contractor receives its allowable costs but no fee.
 - (b) Obligate total estimated payment of the contract. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080503; DFAS-IN 37-1, Table 8-1.
- (5) Cost-Sharing Contract. FAR 16.303.
 - (a) The contractor receives no fee and an agreed-upon portion of its allowable costs.

³ For DOD contracts, base fees are limited to 3% of the estimated cost at time of award. DFARS 216.405-2(c)(ii)(2)(b).

- (b) Obligate based on total estimated cost of contract. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 11.11.
- (6) Time-and-Materials (T&M), FAR 16.601, and Labor-Hour (L-H) Contracts, FAR 16.602.
 - (a) T&M contracts and LH contracts are used when it is impossible at the outset to estimate accurately the extent or duration of the work. The work being acquired is defined as a specified number of hours effort by an individual of a certain skill level.
 - (b) The contract is priced at a specified firm-fixed-price per labor hour for each skill level. In a T&M contract, materials are priced at cost plus material overhead.
 - (c) Amount to obligate. Obligate the minimum liability exclusive of permitted variations. Obligate additional funds for each delivery order when order is placed. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080503; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1.

4. Indefinite Delivery Contracts.

a. Variable Quantity Contracts.

- (1) Indefinite-Quantity/Indefinite-Delivery Contracts (also called Minimum Quantity). FAR 16.504.
 - (a) The government must buy the minimum quantity, but may purchase up to the maximum quantity. The government issues delivery orders as needs arise.

- (b) Amount to obligate. Obligate the amount of the stated minimum quantity at the time of contract award, exclusive of the permitted variation. Once the stated minimum is ordered, obligate funds for each additional order at the time the order is issued. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080505; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1;
- (2) Indefinite delivery-definite quantity contracts. FAR 16.502.
 - (a) The quantity and price are fixed. The government issues delivery orders to specify the delivery date and location.
 - (b) Amount to obligate. Obligate the full amount of the definite quantity (for the quantity required in the current year) at the time of contract award. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1.
- (3) Requirements Contracts. FAR 16.503.
 - (a) The government fills all actual purchase requirements of designated Government activities for supplies or services during a specified contract period, with deliveries or performance to be scheduled by placing orders with the contractor.
 - (b) Amount to obligate. Obligate no funds at contract award. Record each order, when issued, as a separate obligation. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1.

5. Letter Contracts or Letters of Intent.

- a. Defined. Letter contracts are used to expedite performance in exigent or emergency circumstances.

- b. Definitization. The parties must reduce the contract terms to writing within 180 days after issuance. FAR 16.603-2c; DFARS 217.7404-3. Until the contract terms are definitized, the government may not pay the contractor more than 50% of the NTE price. 10 U.S.C. § 2326; FAR 16.603-2(d).
- c. Amount to obligate. Obligate current funds in the amount of the maximum liability authorized. When the contract is definitized, adjust the obligation to equal the final amount. In adjusting the balance, use funds currently available for obligation.
DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080507; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1; Obligating Letter Contracts, B-197274, Sept. 23, 1983, 84-1 CPD ¶ 90.

6. Purchase Orders.

- a. A purchase order creates an obligation when issued in the amount stated, if the purchase order represents acceptance of a binding written offer of a vendor to sell specific goods or furnish specific services at a specific price, or the purchase order was prepared and issued in accordance with small purchase or other simplified acquisition procedures. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080510A.
- b. A purchase order requiring acceptance by the vendor before a firm commitment is reached must be recorded as an obligation in the amount specified in the order at the time of acceptance. If written acceptance is not received, delivery under the purchase order is evidence of acceptance to the extent of delivery. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080510B.

7. Service Contracts.

- a. General rule. Services are the *bona fide* need of the fiscal year in which performed. Matter of Incremental Funding of Multiyear Contracts, B-241415, 71 Comp. Gen. 428 (1992); EPA Level of Effort Contracts, B-214597, December 24, 1985, 65 Comp. Gen. 154, 86-1 CPD ¶ 216. Thus, service contracts generally may not cross fiscal years, and agencies must fund service contracts with dollars available for obligation on the date the contractor performs the services. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080603.
- b. Nonseverable services exception. If the services produce a single or unified outcome, product, or report, the services are nonseverable. If so, the government must fund the entire effort with dollars available for obligation at the time the contract is awarded, and the contract performance may cross fiscal years. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-1; Incremental Funding of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Research Work Orders, B-240264, 73 Comp. Gen. 77 (1994); Proper Appropriation to Charge Expenses Relating to Nonseverable Training Course, B-238940, 70 Comp. Gen. 296 (1991); Proper Fiscal Year Appropriation to Charge for Contracts and Contract Increases, B-219829, 65 Comp. Gen. 741 (1986).
- c. Statutory exceptions. DOD agencies may obligate funds current at the time of award to finance any severable service contract with a period of performance that does not exceed one year. See 10 U.S.C. § 2410a (this authority also covers the Coast Guard). Similar authority exists for non-DOD agencies. See 41 U.S.C. § 253l.

8. Options.

- a. Defined. An option is an offer that is irrevocable for a fixed period. An option gives the government the unilateral right, for a specified time, to order additional supplies or services, or to extend the term of the contract, at a specified price. FAR 17.201.
- b. Amount to Obligate. Obligate funds for each option period after funds become available. Obligations must be consistent with all normal limitations on the obligation of appropriated funds, e.g., *bona fide* needs rule, period of availability, and type of funds.

- c. For severable service contracts, option years are treated as new contracts. Therefore, when the severable service contract has renewal options, obligate funds for the basic period and any penalty charges for failure to exercise options. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 8, para. 080603(B).
- 9. Rental Agreements and Leases of Real or Personal Property. Generally, obligate for one month at a time throughout the term of the rental agreement. Determine the amount of the obligation by analyzing the government's rights to terminate the rental agreement or lease. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 0806.
- a. If the government may terminate a rental agreement without notice and without obligation for any termination costs, obligate the monthly amount of the rent on a monthly basis. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080602.
 - b. If the government may terminate a rental agreement without cost upon giving a specified number of days notice, obligate the monthly amount of the rent. Additionally, obligate for the number of days notice the government is required to give. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080603.
 - c. If the rental agreement provides for a specified payment in the event of termination, obligate the monthly rental amount plus the amount of the termination payment. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080604.
 - d. If a domestic or foreign rental agreement has no termination provision and is financed with an annual appropriation, obligate the full amount of the rental agreement (up to 12 months), even if the rental agreement extends into the next fiscal year. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080605.

- e. The government may enter into leases of structures and real property in foreign countries for periods up to 5 years (10 years for military family housing). 10 U.S.C. § 2675. Obligations for such leases may not exceed the period of availability of the funds with which the lease is financed. A lease for more than 12 months, or one which crosses fiscal years, requires obligation of funds in the full amount of the lease, limited by the period of availability of the funds being used, i.e., obligation of funds for the total number of months remaining in the period of availability is required. Obligate for the months after the end of the period of availability in subsequent fiscal years. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080605.

10. Reimbursable Orders Placed With DOD Components or Other U.S. Government Agencies.

- a. Reimbursable orders. The requiring agency records an obligation when the procuring agency accepts the order in writing. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080701; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-2.
- b. Direct citation method. Record the obligation when the requiring agency is notified, in writing, that the acquiring agency's contract, project order, purchase order, etc., has been executed, or when the requiring agency receives copies of the obligating documents (contract, delivery order, etc.) from the procuring agency. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080702. For the Army, DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-2, provides that the requiring agency may record the obligation only upon receipt of the obligating documents from the acquiring agency.
- c. Orders required by law to be placed with another U.S. governmental agency, such as the Federal Prison Industries (18 U.S.C. § 4124), or the Government Printing Office (44 U.S.C. § 111). Record as an obligation by the requiring agency in the amount stated in the order when the order is issued. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080704; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-2.
- d. Orders placed with DOD components under the Project Order Law (41 U.S.C. § 23), or with other U.S. governmental agencies under the Economy Act (31 U.S.C. § 1535). DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080703.

- (1) Project orders. When the performing activity accepts the order in writing, obligate funds in the amount stated in the order. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080603A; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-2.
 - (2) Economy Act orders. Obligate funds when the performing activity accepts the order in writing. Adjust undelivered Economy Act orders issued against annual or multiple year appropriations downward at the end of the period of availability of the funds. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080703B; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-2.
- 11. Stock Fund Orders. Record as an obligation when the order is placed. If the item does not have a stock number, record at the time the stock fund accepts the order. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080801.
 - a. Adjust obligations for undelivered stock fund orders when a change notice affecting price, quantity, or an acceptable substitution is received. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080802A.
 - b. Cancel a stock fund obligation when notice is received of: (a) unacceptable substitution; (b) transfer of a stock-funded item to funding by a centrally managed procurement appropriation within a DOD component; or (c) advice that the stock fund is unable to perform under the terms of the order. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080802A.
 - c. When the customer's financing appropriation expires, an undelivered order for a nonstock-numbered item for which the stock fund has not executed a procurement action (incurred an obligation) also expires. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080803.

VI. ADJUSTING OBLIGATIONS.

- A. Adjusting Obligation Records. For five years after the time an appropriation expires for incurring new obligations, both the obligated and unobligated balances of that appropriation shall be available for recording, adjusting, and liquidating obligations properly chargeable to that account. 31 U.S.C. §1553(a); DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 10, para. 100201A.
- B. Contract Changes. A contract change is one that requires the contractor to perform additional work. Identity of the appropriate fund for obligation purposes is dependent on whether the change is “in-scope” or “out-of-scope.” The contracting officer is primarily responsible for determining whether a change is within the scope of a contract. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080304, Specific Guidelines for Determining Scope of Work Changes.
 - 1. In-scope change. Charge the appropriation initially used to fund the contract.
 - a. Relation-Back Theory. The “relation-back theory” is based upon the rationale “that the Government’s obligation under the subsequent price adjustment is to fulfill a bona fide need of the original fiscal year and therefore may be considered as within the obligation which was created by the original contract award.” See Environmental Protection Agency - Request for Clarification, B-195732, Sept. 23, 1982, 61 Comp. Gen. 609, 611, 82-2 CPD ¶ 491; See also The Honorable Andy Ireland, House of Representatives, B-245856.7, 71 Comp. Gen. 502 (1992):

[A] contract change authorized by and enforceable under the provisions of the original contract, commonly referred to as a within-the-scope change, is considered an antecedent liability. In other words, the original contract makes the government liable for a price increase under specified conditions and the subsequent contract change makes that liability fixed and certain. Therefore, the liability relates back to the original contract and the price increase to pay the liability is charged to

the appropriation initially obligated by the contract.

- b. Increase of ceiling price under Cost-reimbursement contract. For an increase in ceiling price with no antecedent liability (i.e., discretionary increase), obligate funds from fiscal year cited in original contract if available, then current funds. DFAS-IN 37-1, table 8-7, note 4. See also Proper Fiscal Year Appropriation to Charge for Contract and Contract Increases, B-219829, 65 Comp. Gen. 741 (1986) (finding proper the use of current funds to fund increase to CPFF contract).
 - 2. Change outside the scope of the contract. Treat as a new obligation and use funds current when the contracting officer approves the change. Environmental Protection Agency-Request for Clarification, B-195732, Sept. 23, 1982, 61 Comp. Gen. 609, 82-2 CPD ¶ 491.
- C. Limitations on use of Expired or Current Funds to adjust obligations. 31 U.S.C. § 1553(c); DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 8 and 10; DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 0815.
- 1. Expired Accounts.
 - a. Upward obligation adjustments in excess of \$1 Million. No upward obligation adjustment in excess of \$1 Million that involves any individual action or contract shall be accomplished to expired appropriation accounts without prior submission to, and approval by, the Head of the DOD Component. The prior approval authority may be delegated, but a report must be provided annually to the Component Head. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 0813.
 - b. Contract change in Excess of \$4 Million. Approval by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is required when the amount of an obligation would cause the total amount of charges in any fiscal year for a single program, project, or activity to exceed \$4 million and the account being used to fund the obligations is no longer available for new obligation. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 10, para. 100204.

- c. Contract change of \$25 Million or More. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) must submit a notice of intention to make the obligation, along with the legal basis and policy reasons for obligation, to the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of the Senate and the House. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 10, para. 100205.
 - (1) After 30 days have elapsed following submission of the notice, the proposed obligation may be recorded unless any congressional committee notifies the USD(C) of its disapproval.
 - (2) DOD components are required to submit to DOD documentation that explains the circumstances, contingencies, or management practices which caused the need for the adjustment, to include letters to the appropriate congressional committees for the signature of the USD(C).
- 2. Current Funds otherwise chargeable to Cancelled Account. When a currently available appropriation is used to pay an obligation, which otherwise would have been properly chargeable both as to purpose and amount to a cancelled appropriation, the total of all such payments by that current appropriation may not exceed the lesser of:
 - a. The unexpended balance of the canceled appropriation; or
 - b. The unexpired unobligated balance of the currently available appropriation; or
 - c. One percent of the total original amount appropriated to the current appropriation being charged.
 - (1) For annual accounts, the 1 percent limitation is of the annual appropriation for the applicable account—not total budgetary resources (e.g. reimbursable authority).
 - (2) For multi-year accounts, the 1 percent limitation applies to the total amount of the appropriation.

- (3) For contract changes, charges made to currently available appropriations will have no impact on the 1 percent limitation rule. The 1 percent amount will not be decreased by the charges made to current appropriations for contract changes.

VII. RULES OF OBLIGATION FOR TERMINATED CONTRACTS.

A. Termination for Convenience.

1. When a contract is terminated for the convenience of the government, the contractor is entitled to a settlement that typically includes payment for costs incurred, a reasonable profit (unless the contractor is in a loss status at time of termination), and reasonable costs of settlement of the terminated work. See e.g., FAR 52.249-1, Termination for the Convenience of the Government (Fixed-Price).
2. The contracting officer is responsible for deobligating all funds in excess of the estimated termination settlement costs. FAR 49.101(f); DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080512.
3. If a contract is terminated for convenience pursuant to a court order or determination by other competent authority (board of contract appeals, General Accounting Office, or contracting officer) that the original award was improper, the appropriation originally cited may be used in a subsequent fiscal year to fund a replacement contract if the following criteria are met:
 - (a) the original contract is made in good faith;
 - (b) the agency has a continuing bona fide need for the goods or services involved;
 - (c) the replacement contract is of the same size and scope as the original contract; and

- (d) the replacement contract is executed without undue delay after the original contract is terminated for convenience. See Navy, Replacement Contract, B-238548, Feb. 5, 1991, 70 Comp. Gen. 230, 91-1 CPD ¶ 117 (holding that funds are available after contracting officer's determination that original award was improper); DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080606.

B. Termination for Default. After a contract is terminated for default, the government may still have a bona fide need for the supply or service. In such a case, the originally obligated funds remain available for obligation for a reprocurement contract, notwithstanding the expiration of the normal period of availability, if:

1. The replacement contract is awarded without undue delay after the original contract is terminated for default;
2. its purpose is to fulfill a bona fide need that has continued from the original contract; and
3. the replacement contract is awarded on the same basis and is similar substantially in scope and size as the original contract. See Funding of Replacement Contracts, B-198074, July 15, 1981, 60 Comp. Gen. 591, 81-2 CPD ¶ 33; DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080607.

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS RULES OF OBLIGATION.

A. Bid Protests or other challenge. 31 U.S.C. § 1558; DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080608.

1. Funds available at the time of protest or other action filed in connection with a solicitation for, proposed award of, or award of such contract, remain available for obligation for 100 days after the date on which the final ruling is made on the protest or other action.
2. A protest or other action consists of a protest filed with the General Accounting Office, or an action commenced under administrative procedures or for a judicial remedy if:

- a. The action involves a challenge to—
 - (1) a solicitation for a contract;
 - (2) a proposed award for a contract;
 - (3) an award of a contract; or
 - (4) the eligibility of an offeror or potential offeror for a contract or of the contractor awarded the contract; and
- b. commencement of the action delays or prevents an executive agency from making an award of a contract or proceeding with a procurement. 31 U.S.C. § 1558.

3. A ruling is considered final on the date on which the time allowed for filing an appeal or request for reconsideration has expired, or the date on which a decision is rendered on such an appeal or request, whichever is later. 31 U.S.C. § 1558.

- a. A request for reconsideration of a GAO protest must be made within ten days after the basis for reconsideration is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier. 4 C.F.R. § 21.14(b).
- b. The appeal of a protest decision of a district court or the Court of Federal Claims must be filed with the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit within 60 days after the judgment or order appealed from is entered. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B).


B. Ratification of Unauthorized Commitments. Charge against the funds that would have been charged had the obligation been valid from its inception. FAR 1.602-3; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-6, para. 12; Fish & Wildlife Serv.-Fiscal Year Chargeable on Ratification of Contract, B-208730, Jan. 6, 1983, 83-1 CPD ¶ 75 (ratification relates back to the time of the initial agreement, which is when the services were needed and the work was performed).

- C. Liquidated Damages. Recover the amount of liquidated damages deducted and withheld from the contractor. If the contractor objects to the assessment of liquidated damages, treat the amount as a contingent liability. Reestablish an obligation only when a formal contractor claim is “approved,” i.e., sustained by government admission or by a judgment. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-7.
- D. Litigation.
1. General. As a general rule, the amount of liability expected to result from pending litigation shall be recorded as an obligation in cases where the government definitely is liable for the payment of money from available appropriations, and the pending litigation is for determining the amount of the government’s liability. In other cases, an obligation shall not be recorded until the litigation has been concluded or the government’s liability finally is determined. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 081203.
 2. Settlement of a claim. Obligate funds using the same obligation rules that would be used for normal contracts. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080304E; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-6, para. 14.
 3. Judgments or monetary awards. Initially, the government may pay judgments from a permanent appropriation called the Permanent Judgment Appropriation (Judgment Fund). 31 U.S.C. § 1304. The Contract Disputes Act (CDA) requires agencies to reimburse the Judgment Fund for CDA judgments. 41 U.S.C. § 612(c). Agencies make reimbursements from funds available for obligation when the judgment is entered. Expired funds that were current at the time of the judgment may also be used. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 8, para. 080304F1; DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-6, para. 15; Bureau of Land Mgt. - Reimbursement of CDA Payments, B-211229, 63 Comp. Gen. 308 (1984).
 4. Attorney fees and other expenses. These costs are not payable by the Judgment Fund. Record obligations against current funds at the time the awards are made by the deciding official or by the court. DFAS-IN 37-1, tbl. 8-6.

IX. CONCLUSION.

A. APPENDIX 4-A

1. Fund Distribution Process



B. APPENDIX 4-B

DA Form 3953 (Purchase Request and Commitment)

C. APPENDIX 4-C

AF Form 9 (Request for Purchase)

CHAPTER 5

CONSTRUCTION FUNDING

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REFERENCES.	1
III. BACKGROUND.....	3
A. Congressional Oversight of the Military Construction Program.	3
B. The Military Construction Codification Act (MCCA).....	3
IV. DEFINITIONS.....	4
V. SOURCES OF MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDING.....	4
A. Military Construction Appropriations Act.	4
B. Department of Defense Appropriations Act.	5
VI. SOURCES OF MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDING AUTHORITY.	5
A. “Specified” Military Construction Projects.....	5
B. “Unspecified” Minor Military Construction (UMMC) Projects.....	6
C. UMMC Projects Financed by Operation & Maintenance (O&M) Funds.	7
D. Exercise-Related UMMC Projects.....	8
E. Emergency Construction Projects.....	11
F. Contingency Construction Projects.....	13
G. Reserve Component Construction Authorities.....	16
H. Projects Resulting from a Declaration of War or National Emergency.	17
I. Environmental Response Actions.....	18

J. The Restoration or Replacement of Damaged or Destroyed Facilities.	19
VII. METHODOLOGY FOR REVIEWING CONSTRUCTION ACQUISITIONS.	23
VIII. DEFINING THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.	23
A. Project splitting	23
B. Guidance and Restrictions.	24
IX. CLASSIFYING THE WORK.	31
A. Construction.	31
B. Maintenance and Repair.....	32
X. DETERMINING THE FUNDED/UNFUNDED COSTS OF THE PROJECT.	44
A. Applicability of Project Limits.....	44
B. Funded Costs	44
C. Unfunded Costs.....	45
XI. SELECTING THE PROPER APPROPRIATION.	48
A. Statutory Thresholds.	48
B. Exceeding a Statutory Threshold.	48
C. Authorized Variations.....	49
XII. VERIFYING THE IDENTITY OF THE PROPER APPROVAL AUTHORITY.....	50
A. Approval of Construction Projects.	50
B. Approval of Maintenance and Repair Projects.....	52
XIII. CONCLUSION.	53

CHAPTER 5

CONSTRUCTION FUNDING

I. INTRODUCTION.

- A. Objectives. Following this block of instruction, students will:
 - 1. Understand the statutes and regulations governing the fiscal aspects of military construction.
 - 2. Understand how to apply construction funding rules to routine problems.
- B. Practitioners must stay current since new developments frequently occur in this area of the law.

II. REFERENCES.

- A. Military Construction Codification Act, Pub. L. No. 97-214, 96 Stat. 153 (1982) (codified as amended at 10 U.S.C. §§ 2801-2885).
- B. 41 U.S.C. § 12.
- C. DOD Dir. 4270.36, DOD Emergency, Contingency, and Other Unprogrammed Construction Projects (17 May 1997) [hereinafter DOD Dir. 4270.36].
- D. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, DOD Financial Management Regulation, vol. 2B, Budget Formulation and Presentation, ch. 6, Military Construction/Family Housing Appropriations (June 2000) [hereinafter DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 6].
- E. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, DOD Financial Management Regulation, vol. 2B, Budget Formulation and Presentation, ch. 8, Real Property Maintenance/Minor Construction (June 2000) [hereinafter DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 8].

MAJ Kevin M. Walker
58th Fiscal Law Course
30 October – 3 November 2000

- F. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, DOD Financial Management Regulation, vol. 3, Budget Execution – Availability and Use of Budgetary Resources, ch. 17, Accounting Requirements for Military Construction projects (Dec. 1996) [hereinafter DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17].
- G. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation (Jan. 2000) [hereinafter DFAS-IN 37-1].
- H. AR 415-15, Army Military Construction Program Development and Execution (4 Sep. 1998) [hereinafter AR 415-15].
- I. AR 415-32, Engineer Troop Unit Construction in Connection with Training Activities (15 Apr. 1998) [hereinafter AR 415-32].
- J. AR 420-10, Management of Installation Directorates of Public Works (15 Apr. 1997) [hereinafter AR 420-10].
- K. DA Pam 415-15, Army Military Construction Program Development and Execution (25 Oct. 1999) [hereinafter DA Pam 415-15].
- L. DA Pam 420-11, Project Definition and Work Classification (7 October 1994) [hereinafter DA Pam 420-11].
- M. AFI 32-1021, Planning and Programming of Facility Construction Projects (12 May 1994) [hereinafter AFI 32-1021].
- N. AFI 32-1031, Operations Management (1 July 1997) [hereinafter AFI 32-1031].
- O. AFI 32-1032, Planning and Programming Appropriated Funded Maintenance, Repair, and Construction Projects (1 Sep. 1999) [hereinafter AFI 32-1032].
- P. AFI 65-601, vol. 1, Budget Guidance and Procedures, ch. 9, Military Construction Appropriations (21 Oct. 1994) [hereinafter AFI 65-601, vol. 1, ch. 9].

- Q. AFI 65-601, vol. 1, Budget Guidance and Procedures, ch 21, Military Family Housing (MFH) Appropriations (21 Oct. 1994) [hereinafter AFI 65-601, vol. 1, ch. 21].
- R. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, Facilities Projects Manual (7 June 1996) [hereinafter OPNAVINST 11010.20F].
- S. Major Earle D. Munns, *An Analysis of the Military Construction Codification Act*, ARMY LAW., Nov. 1987, at 19.
- T. M. Warner Meadows, *Has DOD “Repaired” a Component of the Construction Funding Analysis?* ARMY LAW., Mar. 1998, at 15.
- U. M. Warner Meadows, *Military Construction Funding, Variation in Cost Rules*, ARMY LAW., Aug. 1998, at 20.

III. BACKGROUND.

- A. Congressional Oversight of the Military Construction Program.
 - 1. Congressional oversight is pervasive and extensive.
 - 2. Military departments may only accomplish minor military construction projects (i.e., projects with an approved cost of \$1.5 million or less) without prior Congressional approval, and military departments must still notify Congress of minor military construction projects with an approved cost of \$500,000 or more.
- B. The Military Construction Codification Act (MCCA). The purpose of the MCCA was to revise and codify recurring provisions of annual legislation relating to military construction and family housing. H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982).

IV. DEFINITIONS.

- A. Military Construction. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(a). The term “military construction” includes “any construction development, conversion, or extension of any kind carried out with respect to a military installation.”
- B. Military Construction Project. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(b). The term “military construction project” includes “all military construction work . . . necessary to produce a complete and usable facility or a complete and usable improvement to an existing facility”
- C. Facility. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(c)(1). The term “facility” means “a building, structure, or other improvement to real property.”
- D. Military Installation. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(c)(2). The term “military installation” means “a base, camp, post, station, yard, center, or other activity under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of a military department or, in the case of an activity in a foreign country, under the operational control of the Secretary of a military department or the Secretary of Defense.”
- E. Appropriate Committees of Congress. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(c)(4). The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means “the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.”

V. SOURCES OF MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDING.

- A. Military Construction Appropriations Act. See, e.g., Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, 113 Stat. 259 (1999).
 - 1. Provides funds for the DOD’s specified and unspecified military construction programs.
 - 2. Funds are generally available for 5 years.

- B. Department of Defense Appropriations Act. See, e.g., Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999).
 - 1. Provides miscellaneous “pots” of money for military construction projects.
 - 2. Funds are generally available for 1 year. But see Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999) (providing funds for quality of life enhancements “which shall remain available for obligation until September 30, 2001”).

VI. SOURCES OF MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDING AUTHORITY.

- A. “Specified” Military Construction Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2802. The Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) and the Secretaries of the military departments may carry out military construction projects authorized by law.
 - 1. Source of Funding. See, e.g., Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, 113 Stat. 259 (1999); H.R. REP. NO. 106-266 (1999). Congress provides annual funding and approval for “specified” military construction projects in the Military Construction Appropriations Act.
 - a. Congress funds the entire military construction program with lump sum appropriations. The Army’s principle appropriations are the “Military Construction, Army” (MCA) appropriation, and the “Family Housing, Army” (FHA) appropriation.¹
 - b. The conference report that accompanies the Military Construction Appropriations Act breaks down the lump sum appropriations by project.

¹ The statutory requirements for the construction and improvement of military family housing are at 10 U.S.C. §§ 2821-2837.

2. Authorized Use.

- a. Congress normally “specifies” military construction projects expected to exceed \$1.5 million.²
- b. A military department may not carry out military construction projects expected to exceed \$1.5 million without specific Congressional authorization and approval.

B. “Unspecified” Minor Military Construction (UMMC) Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(a).

- 1. Source of Funding. See, e.g., Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, 113 Stat. 259 (1999); H.R. REP. NO. 106-266 (1999). Congress provides annual funding and approval for UMMC projects in the Military Construction Appropriations Act.
 - a. Congress appropriates “Unspecified Minor Construction” funds to each military department in the conference report that accompanies the Military Construction Appropriations Act; however, the conference report does not break down these appropriations any further (e.g., by project).
 - b. The Army refers to its “unspecified” appropriation as “Unspecified Minor Military Construction, Army” (UMMCA). See AR 415-15, para. 1-1a(1); Glossary, sec. I.
- 2. Authorized Use. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(a). See AR 415-15, para. 1-6b(1) and app. B; AFI 32-1021, ch. 4; AFI 32-32-1032, para. 3.3.3; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.12.6; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.4. The Secretary concerned may use these funds to carry out UMMC projects not otherwise authorized by law.

² Congress may also specify projects under \$1.5 million. See, e.g., Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, 113 Stat. 259 (1999); H.R. REP. NO. 106-266 (1999).

- a. An UMMC project is defined as a military construction project with an approved cost of \$1.5 million or less.
 - b. However, an UMMC project can have an approved cost up to \$3 million if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety.
- 3. Requirements for Use. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(b)(2).
 - a. Before beginning an UMMC project with an approved cost greater than \$500,000, the Secretary concerned must approve the project.
 - b. In addition, the Secretary concerned must:
 - (1) Notify the appropriate committees of Congress;³ and
 - (2) Wait 21 days.⁴

C. UMMC Projects Financed by Operation & Maintenance (O&M) Funds.

- 1. General Prohibition on the Use of O&M Funds. 41 U.S.C. § 12.
 - a. Most installations use O&M funds to finance routine operations; however, 41 U.S.C. § 12 prohibits a federal agency from entering into a public contract to build, repair, or improve a public building that binds the government to pay a sum that exceeds the amount Congress specifically appropriated for that purpose.

³ The Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of the justification and current cost estimate for the project. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(b)(2). See AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2 (detailing the information MAJCOMS must submit to HQ, USAF/CEC); see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.E.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests).

⁴ The Air Force imposes a 30-day waiting period. AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2.

b. In The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422, 433 (1984), the General Accounting Office (GAO) interpreted 41 U.S.C § 12 to:

- (1) Require specific Congressional authorization for military construction projects; and
- (2) Prohibit the use of other, more general appropriations for military construction projects.

2. Statutory Exception for UMMC Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c). See AR 415-15, para. 1-6c(1); AR 420-10, para. 4-1c; AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.1.1.f. The Secretary of a military department may use O&M funds to finance UMMC projects costing less than:

- a. \$1 million if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety.
- b. \$500,000 if the project is intended for any other purpose.⁵

D. Exercise-Related UMMC Projects.

1. All Exercise-Related Projects. See Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, § 113, 113 Stat. 259 (1999); see also AR 415-32, para. 3-11d.

- a. If a military department expects to spend more than \$100,000 for temporary or permanent construction during a proposed exercise involving U.S. personnel, the SECDEF must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of the plans and scope of the exercise.

⁵ AR 420-10, para. 4-1c, requires Army activities to use O&M funds for construction projects that cost less than the statutory thresholds. In fact, AR 420-10, para. 4-1c, requires Army activities to obtain prior approval from HQDA if they want to use UMMCA funds for construction projects costing \$500,000 or less.

- b. The SECDEF must provide this notice 30 days before the start of the exercise.
- 2. Exercise-Related UMMC Projects Coordinated⁶ or Directed⁷ by the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) Outside the U.S.⁸
 - a. O&M Funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c)(2). See AR 415-32, para. 3-5.
 - (1) General Rule. The Secretary of a military department may not use O&M funds to finance exercise-related UMMC projects coordinated or directed by the JCS outside the U.S. [NOTE: Congress passed 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c)(2) in response to The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984)].
 - (2) Exception.

⁶ JCS-coordinated exercises are minor exercises that require JCS coordination because they involve the units or forces of more than one military department. AR 415-32, para. 3-3, and Glossary, sec. II.

⁷ JCS-directed exercises are exercises that are of interest to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, but directed by a strategic mobility or major commander-in-chief. AR 415-32, para. 3-3.

⁸ JCS coordinated or directed exercises include: (1) joint training exercises such as Atlantic Resolve, AHUAS TARA, and BRIGHT/STAR; and (2) combined training exercises such as FUERTES CAMINOS. AR 415-32, para. 3-3, and Glossary, sec. II.

- (a) The Secretary of a military department may arguably use O&M funds to finance minor and/or temporary structures (e.g., tent platforms, field latrines, shelters, range targets, installed relocatable structures)⁹ or any structures which are completely removed at the end of an exercise. See The Honorable Bill Alexander, supra (noting that the “temporary structure” exception is extremely limited in scope). But cf. AR 415-32, para. 3-5c. (stating that “the Army may use [O&M] funds, except when the exercise-related construction is JCS directed or coordinated outside the United States”).
 - (b) Compare combat and contingency operations. See Memorandum, Deputy General Counsel (Ethics & Fiscal), Office of the General Counsel, Department of the Army, Subject: Construction of Contingency Facility Requirements (22 Feb. 2000) (stating that the Army should use O&M funds to build structures during combat and contingency operations if the structures “are clearly intended to meet a temporary operational requirement to facilitate combat or contingency operations”); see also Office of the General Counsel, Fiscal Law Outline, Section P: Current Issues, <<http://www.hqda.army.mil/ogc/eandfoutline-secp.htm>>.
- b. UMMC Funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2805(a)(2). See AR 415-32, para. 3-5d. The statute states that the Secretary of a military department may not use more than \$5 million of its UMMC funds to finance exercise-related UMMC projects coordinated or directed by the JCS outside the U.S. during any fiscal year. In practice, exercise related construction is funded with funds specifically identified by Congress for this purpose. These funds are administered by the Joint Staff.

⁹ To determine whether a facility is “temporary,” you need to focus on the duration and purpose of the facility’s use rather than the materials used. AR 415-32, para. 3-5c.

E. Emergency Construction Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2803. See DOD Dir. 4270.36; AR 415-15, paras. 1-6b(2) and 5-19, app. C; AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.1; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.12.3; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.2; see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 7 and 17.

1. Authorized Use. The Secretary of a military department may use this authority to carry out emergency construction projects not otherwise authorized by law.

2. Requirements for Use.

a. Before using this authority, the Secretary concerned must determine that:

(1) The project is vital to:

(a) National security; or

(b) The protection of health, safety, or the quality of the environment; and

(2) The project is so urgent that deferral until the next Military Construction Appropriations Act would be inconsistent with:

(a) National security; or

(b) The protection of health, safety, or the quality of the environment.

b. In addition, the Secretary concerned must:

(1) Notify the appropriate committees of Congress;¹⁰ and

(2) Wait 21 days.

3. Source of Funding.

a. The Secretary concerned must use unobligated military construction funds to finance these projects.¹¹

(1) Congress must normally approve a reprogramming request for the project.¹²

(2) If Congress fails to approve the reprogramming request, the Secretary concerned may not carry out the project.

b. The Secretary concerned may not obligate more than \$30 million per fiscal year for emergency construction.

4. Limitations.

a. Legislative History. H.R. Rep. No. 97-612 (1982).

¹⁰ The Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the justification and current cost estimate for the project; (2) the justification for carrying out the project under this section; and (3) the source of funds for the project. 10 U.S.C. § 2803(b).

¹¹ According to the legislative history of the MCCA: “The use of this authority is dependent upon the availability of savings of appropriations from other military construction projects or through funding obtained by deferring or canceling other military construction projects.” H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982). See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.E.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests).

¹² The Secretary concerned must submit a reprogramming request to the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller). DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 3.2. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.E.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests); see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 7, para. 070302.B.5 (requiring prior congressional notification and approval for reprogramming action); AR 415-15, app. C., para. C-4 (noting that Congress will probably not approve a reprogramming request unless there is truly a dire need for the project).

- (1) The legislative history of the MCCA indicates that the Secretaries of the military departments should rarely use this authority.¹³
 - (2) In addition, the legislative history of the MCCA indicates that the Secretaries of the military departments may not use this authority for projects denied authorization in previous Military Construction Appropriations Acts. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 7, para. 070303.B.; AR 415-15, app. C, para. C-2a.
- b. DOD Limitations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170302.E.4, states that: “Actual construction shall not commence prior to the receipt of appropriate DOD and congressional approval [of the reprogramming request].” See AR 415-15, para. 5-19.
- c. Army Limitations. AR 415-15, app. C, para. C-3.
- (1) Although 10 U.S.C. § 2803, technically covers both military construction and family housing projects, the Army only uses this authority for military construction projects.
 - (2) AR 415-15, app. C, para. C-3, indicates that the Army should execute emergency construction projects under its UMMC program, if possible.
- F. Contingency Construction Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2804. See DOD Dir. 4270.36; AR 415-15, para. 1-6b(6); AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.3; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.12.4; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.5; see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 7 and 17.

¹³ In 1985, the House Appropriations Committee stated that: “This authority was provided to give the Department and Congress flexibility in dire situations. A true emergency project should be confined to facilities without which a critical weapon system or mission could not function.” H.R. REP. NO. 99-275, at 23 (1985).

1. Authorized Use. The SECDEF may use this authority—or permit the Secretary of a military department to use this authority—to carry out contingency construction projects not otherwise authorized by law.¹⁴
2. Requirements for Use.
 - a. Before using this authority, the SECDEF must determine that deferral of the project until the next Military Construction Appropriations Act would be inconsistent with:
 - (1) National security; or
 - (2) National interest.
 - b. In addition, the SECDEF must:
 - (1) Notify the appropriate committees of Congress;¹⁵ and
 - (2) Wait 21 days.¹⁶
3. Source of Funding. The SECDEF must use funds specifically appropriated for contingency construction to finance these projects.¹⁷

¹⁴ The Secretary of a military department must forward contingency construction requests to the SECDEF through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology (USD(A&T)). DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 4.2.2.

¹⁵ The SECDEF must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the justification and current cost estimate for the project; and (2) the justification for carrying out the project under this section. 10 U.S.C. § 2804(b). See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.F.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests). But see DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 3.2 (stating that reprogramming is not necessary for these projects).

¹⁶ DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, para. 170102.F.1, indicates that Secretary concerned may not obligate any funds for the project until the end of the 21-day waiting period.

¹⁷ Congress provides an annual appropriation for contingency construction projects. See, e.g., H.R. REP. NO. 106-266 (1999) (authorizing \$938,000 for contingency construction for FY 00).

4. Limitations.

a. Legislative History. H.R. Rep. No. 97-612 (1982).

- (1) The legislative history of the MCCA indicates that the Secretaries of the military departments should use this authority only for extraordinary projects that develop unexpectedly.
- (2) In addition, the legislative history of the MCCA indicates that the Secretaries of the military departments may not use this authority for projects denied authorization in previous Military Construction Appropriations Acts. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 7, para. 070303.B.

b. DOD Limitations.

- (1) DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 3.4, requires the Heads of DOD Components to consider using other available authorities to fund military construction projects before they consider using SECDEF authorities.
- (2) DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170302.F.4, states that: “Actual construction shall not commence prior to the receipt of appropriate DOD and congressional approval [of the reprogramming request].”

c. Army Limitations. AR 415-15, para. 1-6b(6).

- (1) The Army generally reserves this authority for projects that support multi-service requirements.
- (2) Commanders should normally process urgent projects that support only one service under 10 U.S.C. § 2803.

d. Air Force Limitations. AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.3.1.

(1) The use of this authority is rare.

(2) The Air Force must consider using its 10 U.S.C. § 2803 authority first.

G. Reserve Component Construction Authorities.

1. Specified Military Construction Projects. 10 U.S.C. § 18233. The Secretary of Defense may carry out military construction projects authorized by law.

a. Includes authority to acquire, lease, or transfer, and construct, expand, rehabilitate, or convert and equip such facilities as necessary to meet the missions of the reserve components.

b. Allows the SECDEF to contribute amounts to any state (including the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the territories and possessions of the United States, (10 U.S.C. § 18232(1)) for the acquisition, conversion, expansion, rehabilitation of facilities for specified purposes. 10 U.S.C. § 18233(a) (2) through (6).

c. Authorizes the transfer of title to property acquired under the statute to any state, so long as the transfer does not create a state enclave within a federal installation. 10 U.S.C. § 18233(b).

2. Military Construction Funded with Operation & Maintenance accounts. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a. AR 140-483, Ch. 7.

a. Unspecified Minor Military Construction. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(a)(1). Unlike Active Duty, RC UMMC projects are funded with O&M appropriations. Similar funding limits apply.

- (1) Expenditure or contributions in excess of \$1.5 million may not be made until the SECDEF has notified the appropriate committees of Congress of the location, nature, and estimated cost of the project, and waited 21 days after notification.
- (2) This limitation does not apply to facilities acquired by lease, or to projects specifically approved by Congress. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(a)(2)(A) & (B).
- (3) Projects intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health or safety may have an approved cost of up to \$3 million. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(a)(2)(C).

b. Minor construction projects. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(b).

- (1) Projects intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health or safety may have an approved cost of up to \$1 million. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(b)(1).
- (2) For any other project, the limit is \$500,000. 10 U.S.C. § 18233a(b)(2).

H. Projects Resulting from a Declaration of War or National Emergency. 10 U.S.C. § 2808. See DOD Dir. 4270.36; AR 415-15, para. 1-6b(7) and app. D, para. D-2; AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.4; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.12.5; OPNAVINST 11010.20F; see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 7 and 17.

1. Authorized Use. The SECDEF may use this authority—or permit the Secretary of a military department to use this authority—to carry out military construction projects that are necessary to support the use of the armed forces in the event of:
 - a. A declaration of war; or

- b. A Presidential declaration of a national emergency.¹⁸
- 2. Requirements for Use. The SECDEF must notify the appropriate committees of Congress;¹⁹ however, there is no waiting period associated with the use of this authority.
- 3. Source of Funding. The SECDEF must use unobligated military construction funds, including funds appropriated for family housing, to finance these projects.
- 4. On November 14, 1990, President Bush invoked this authority to support Operation Desert Shield. See Executive Order No. 12734, 55 Fed. Reg. 48,099 (1990), reprinted in 10 U.S.C. § 2808.
- I. Environmental Response Actions. 10 U.S.C. § 2810. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 7 and 17.
 - 1. Authorized Use. The SECDEF may use this authority—or permit the Secretary of a military department to use this authority—to carry out military construction projects for environmental response actions.
 - 2. Requirements for Use.
 - a. Before using this authority, the SECDEF must determine that the project is necessary to carry out an environmental response action under:
 - (1) The Defense Environmental Restoration Program, 10 U.S.C. §§ 2701-2708; or

¹⁸ The Secretary of a military department must forward construction requests to the SECDEF through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology (USD(A&T)). DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 4.2.3. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.H.

¹⁹ The SECDEF must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the decision to use this authority; and (2) the estimated cost of the construction projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2808(b).

- (2) The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675.

b. In addition, the SECDEF must:

- (1) Notify the appropriate committees of Congress;²⁰ and
- (2) Wait 21 days.²¹

3. Source of Funding. The SECDEF must use funds specifically appropriated for environmental restoration to finance these projects.²²

J. The Restoration or Replacement of Damaged or Destroyed Facilities. 10 U.S.C. § 2854. See DOD Dir. 4270.36; AR 415-15, para. 1-6b(3) and app. D, para. D-1; AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.2; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.12.7; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.3; see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, chs. 7 and 17.

1. Authorized Use. The Secretary of a military department may use this authority to repair, restore, or replace a facility that has been damaged or destroyed.²³

²⁰ The SECDEF must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the justification and current cost estimate for the project; and (2) the justification for carrying out the project under this section. 10 U.S.C. § 2810(b).

²¹ DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, para. 170102.G.1, indicates that Secretary concerned may not obligate any funds for the project until the end of the 21-day waiting period.

²² Congress provides annual appropriations for environmental restoration projects. See, e.g., Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999). See DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 3.2 (stating that reprogramming is not necessary for these projects). But see DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.G.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests).

²³ The intent of this section is to permit military departments and defense agencies to respond to natural disasters, acts of arson, and acts of terrorism promptly to restore mission effectiveness and preclude further deterioration of the damaged facility. H.R. REP. NO. 97-612.

2. Requirements for Use. If the estimated cost of the project exceeds the UMMC threshold (i.e., \$1.5 million), the Secretary concerned must:
 - a. Notify the appropriate committees of Congress;²⁴ and
 - b. Wait 21 days.
3. Source of Funding.
 - a. O&M Funds. See H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982); see also AR 415-15, app. D, para. D-1c(3) and fig. D-1; AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.2.2.
 - (1) The Secretary concerned may use O&M funds if the cost of the project is \$500,000 or less.
 - (2) The Secretary concerned may also use O&M funds to repair or restore a facility temporarily to:
 - (a) Prevent additional significant deterioration;
 - (b) Mitigate a serious life or safety hazard; or
 - (c) Avoid severe degradation of a critical mission.

²⁴ The Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the justification and current cost estimate for the project; (2) the justification for carrying out the project under this section; and (3) the source of funds for the project. 10 U.S.C. § 2854(b). See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.J.2 (detailing the requirements for reprogramming requests); AR 420-10, para. 4-8 (providing for expedited project approval and execution procedures).

- b. Military Construction (MILCON) Funds.²⁵ See H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982).
 - (1) The Secretary concerned may use MILCON funds to construct a replacement facility if an economic analysis of life-cycle costs shows that replacement is more cost effective than repair.²⁶
 - (a) Congress must normally approve a reprogramming request for the project.²⁷
 - (b) If Congress fails to approve the reprogramming request, the Secretary concerned may not carry out the project.
 - (2) If the Secretary concerned intends to use UMMC funds to construct a replacement facility, the Secretary concerned must comply with 10 U.S.C. § 2805 and any applicable regulations.

4. Limitations.

- a. DOD Limitations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170302.J.5, states that: “Actual construction shall not commence prior to the receipt of appropriate DOD and congressional approval [of the reprogramming request].”
- b. Army Limitations. AR 415-15, app. D, para. D-1c(2) restricts the use of this authority for family housing projects.

²⁵ MILCON funds are the funds Congress appropriates under the Military Construction Appropriations Act. They include both “specified” funds and UMMC funds.

²⁶ The Secretary concerned may use current design and material criteria for the replacement facility. In addition, the Secretary concerned may increase the size of the replacement facility to meet current mission and functional requirements. See H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982); see also AR 415-15, app. D, para. D-1c(4).

²⁷ The Secretary concerned must submit reprogramming requests to the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller). DOD Dir. 4270.36, para. 3.2; AR 415-15, app. D, para. D-1d. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 7, para. 070302.B.6 (requiring prior congressional notification and approval for reprogramming action).

- c. Air Force Limitations. AFI 32-1021, para. 5.2.2.1, provides additional criteria for repairing damaged Air Force facilities.
 - (1) The damaged or destroyed facility must have been in use (or planned for use) at the time it was damaged or destroyed.
 - (2) The new or repaired facility must normally be the same size as the damaged or destroyed facility; however, the MAJCOM may approve limited increases to achieve economy of design or compliance with new criteria. But see H.R. Rep. No. 97-612 (1982) (stating that “any replacement facility [may] use current design and material criteria and may be increased in size to meet current mission and functional requirements”).
 - (3) A MAJCOM may not use this authority to correct space deficiencies.
- d. Navy Limitations. Unless a shore activity must restore or replace a facility immediately to prevent an undue impact on mission accomplishment, the shore activity should include the restoration or replacement project in its annual budget program. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.3 (noting that “[t]he Secretary of Defense has restricted the use of this authority to complete replacement or ‘major restoration’ of a facility which is urgently required”).²⁸

²⁸ OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.4.3, defines “major restoration” as “a restoration costing in excess of 50 percent of the cost of completely replacing the facility.”

VII. METHODOLOGY FOR REVIEWING CONSTRUCTION ACQUISITIONS.

- A. Define the Scope of the Project.
- B. Classify the Work.
- C. Determine the Funded and Unfunded Costs of the Project.
- D. Select the Proper Appropriation.
- E. Verify the Identity of the Proper Approval Authority for the Project.

VIII. DEFINING THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.

- A. Project splitting (a.k.a. incrementation)²⁹ is prohibited!! See AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II; AR 420-10, para. 4-1b; DA Pam 420-11, Glossary, sec. II; AFI 32-1021, para 4.2; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.1.

²⁹ AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II, defines “incrementation” as: “The splitting of a project into separate parts where:

- a. It is done solely to reduce costs below an approved threshold or minor construction ceiling.
- b. Each part is in itself complete and usable.
- c. The total project is not complete until all parts are complete.
- d. In order to determine what constitutes a stand alone project, i.e., a complete and usable facility, a comparison of interdependence as opposed to facility interrelations should be made”

See DA Pam 420-11, Glossary, sec. II; see also AR 415-15, Glossary, sec. II (distinguishing between the phasing of construction and incremental construction).

1. A “military construction project” includes all work necessary to produce a complete and usable facility, or a complete and usable improvement to an existing facility. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(b). See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170102.L; AR 415-15, para. 2-3a; AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II; AR 420-10, para. 4-1c; AFI 32-1021, para. 3.2.1; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.1.1.f; see also The Honorable Michael B. Donley, B-234326, Dec. 24, 1991 (unpub.) (concluding that the Air Force improperly split a project involving 12 related trailers into 12 separate projects).
2. An agency may not treat “clearly interrelated”³⁰ construction activities as separate projects. The Honorable Michael B. Donley, B-234326, Dec. 24, 1991 (unpub.); The Honorable Bill Alexander, House of Representatives, B-213137, Jan. 30, 1986 (unpub.).

B. Guidance and Restrictions.

1. Legislative History. H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982).
 - a. The conference report that accompanied the MCCA specifically prohibited:
 - (1) Splitting a project into increments to avoid:
 - (a) An approval threshold; or
 - (b) The UMMC cost ceiling;
 - (2) Incrementing a project if the resulting sacrifice of economy of scale increases the cost of the construction; and

³⁰ AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II, defines “interrelated facilities” differently (i.e., as “facilities which have a common support purpose but are not mutually dependent and are therefore funded as separate projects, for example, billets are constructed to house soldiers with the subsequent construction of recreation facilities”). In contrast, AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II, defines “interdependent facilities” like the GAO did in the Donley case (i.e., as “facilities which are mutually dependent in supporting the function(s) for which they were constructed and therefore must be costed as a single project, for example, a new airfield on which the runways, taxiways, ramp space and lighting are mutually dependent to accomplish the intent of the construction project”).

- (3) Engaging in concurrent work to reduce the cost of a construction project below a cost variation notification level.
 - b. However, the conference report indicated that a military department could carry out an UMMC construction project before or after another military construction project under certain circumstances.³¹
 - (1) A military department could carry out an UMMC construction project before another military construction project if:
 - (a) The UMMC construction project satisfied a new mission requirement; and
 - (b) The UMMC construction project would provide a complete and usable facility that would meet a specific need during a specific period of time.
 - (2) A military department could carry out an UMMC construction project after another military construction project to satisfy a new mission requirement that arose after the completion of the other project.
- 2. DOD Guidance and Restrictions. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, paras. 170102.I and 170102.L.
 - a. As a general rule, DOD Components may not engage in incremental construction (i.e., the planned acquisition or improvement of a facility through a series of minor construction projects).

³¹ The conference report indicated that a military department should rarely use these exceptions. H.R. REP. NO. 97-612 (1982).

- b. DOD Components must:
 - (1) Identify the required end result of a minor construction project and its correlation with the installation master plan; and
 - (2) Comply with the intent of 10 U.S.C. § 2805.
- c. Multi-use Facilities.
 - (1) DOD Components may divide construction work in a multi-use facility into separate projects if each project is:
 - (a) Clearly defined; and
 - (b) Results in a complete and usable facility.
 - (2) DOD Components must nevertheless treat the following construction work in a multi-use facility as one project:
 - (a) All construction work for the same or related functional purposes;
 - (b) All concurrent construction work in contiguous (e.g., touching) areas; and
 - (c) All construction work in common areas.

3. Army Guidance and Restrictions. AR 420-10, para. 4-4; DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-7n.
 - a. AR 420-10, para. 4-4a, specifically prohibits the following practices:
 - (1) The acquisition or improvement of a facility through a series of minor military construction projects;
 - (2) The subdivision, splitting, or incrementing of a project to avoid:
 - (a) A statutory cost limitation; or
 - (b) An approval or contracting threshold; and
 - (3) The development of a minor military construction project solely to avoid the need to report a cost variation on an active military construction project to Congress.
 - b. In addition, AR 420-10, para. 4-4b, prohibits the Army from using its UMMC funds to begin or complete a “specified” military construction project.
4. Air Force Guidance and Restrictions.
 - a. AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2, specifically prohibits:
 - (1) Undertaking an UMMC project at the same time as a “specified” military construction project.

- (2) Splitting a project into increments to avoid:
 - (a) An approval threshold; or
 - (b) The UMMC cost ceiling; and
 - (3) Incrementing a project if the resulting sacrifice of economy of scale increases the cost of the construction.
- b. However, AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2, permits an installation to undertake an UMMC project before a “specified” military construction project to satisfy a new mission requirement if the UMMC project will provide a complete and usable facility that meets a specific need during a specific period of time.
- c. In addition, AFI 32-1021, para. 4.2, permits an installation to undertake an UMMC project after a “specified” military construction project to satisfy a new mission requirement that arises after the completion of the “specified” project.
- d. AFI 32-1032, para. 3.4.2, generally prohibits:
- (1) Modifying a newly constructed facility within 12 months of the beneficial occupancy date (BOD) unless an unforeseeable mission or equipment change causes the modification(s); and
 - (2) Using O&M funds to correct deficiencies in projects funded by MILCON funds.
- e. AFI 32-1032, para. 5.3.1, requires the Air Force to include all of the known UMMC work required in a facility during the next 24 months in a single project.

f. AFI 32-1032, para. 5.3.2, only permits multiple minor construction projects in a single building within a 24 month period if:

- (1) The Air Force could not have reasonably anticipated the requirement for the additional project when it initiated the previous project;
- (2) The requirement for the additional project is for a distinctly different purpose or function; and
- (3) Each project results in a complete and usable facility or improvement.

5. Navy Guidance and Restrictions.

a. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 2.2.5, generally requires shore activities to incorporate all work required to meet a requirement in a single facility in a single project.

b. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.1., specifically prohibits:

- (1) Acquiring a facility—or an improvement to a facility—through a series of minor construction projects;
- (2) Splitting a project solely to:
 - (a) Avoid an approval requirement; or
 - (b) Circumvent a statutory funding limitation;
- (3) Splitting a project if the resulting sacrifice of economy of scale increases the cost of the construction (e.g., building several small buildings instead of one large building); and

- (4) Undertaking concurrent work to avoid the MILCON reprogramming approval procedures (e.g., using O&M funds to augment a construction project).
- c. However, OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.1.b, permits a shore activity to undertake an UMMC project before a “specified” military construction project to satisfy an urgent requirement if the UMMC project will provide a complete and usable facility during a specific period of time.
- d. In addition, OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.1.b, permits a shore activity to undertake an UMMC project after a “specified” military construction project to satisfy a new mission requirement that develops after the BOD of the “specified” project.
- e. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.3, only permits multiple minor construction projects in a single facility if:³²
 - (1) They satisfy unrelated/dissimilar purposes;
 - (2) They are not dependent on each other;
 - (3) They are not contiguous; and
 - (4) Each project will result in a complete and usable improvement to the facility.

³² Cf. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 6.2.1.a (imposing similar requirements for construction work involving multiple facilities).

IX. CLASSIFYING THE WORK.

A. Construction.

1. Statutory Definition. 10 U.S.C. § 2801(a). Military construction includes any construction, development, conversion, or extension carried out with respect to a military installation.
2. Regulatory Definition. See AR 415-15, para. 2-3a, and Glossary, sec. II; AR 415-32, Glossary, sec. II; AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II; DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-6c; AFI 32-1021, paras. 3.2. and 4.2; AFI 32-1032, para. 5.1.1; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, attch 1; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, ch. 6, para. 6.1.1. Construction includes:
 - a. The erection, installation, or assembly of a new facility.
 - b. The addition, expansion, extension, alteration, conversion, or replacement of an existing facility.
 - (1) An addition, expansion, or extension is a change that increases the overall physical dimensions of the facility.
 - (2) An alteration is a change to the interior or exterior arrangements of a facility that improves its use for its current purpose. But see “New” DOD Definition, para. IX.B.2.b.(2), below.
 - (3) A conversion is a change to the interior or exterior arrangements of a facility that permits its use for a new purpose.
 - (4) A replacement is the complete reconstruction of a facility that has been damaged or destroyed beyond economical repair.

- c. The relocation of a facility from one site to another.³³
 - (1) A facility may be moved intact, or disassembled and later reassembled.
 - (2) Work includes the connection of new utility lines, but excludes the relocation of roads, pavements, or airstrips.
 - (3) Relocation of two or more facilities into a single facility is a single project.
- d. Installed equipment made part of the facility. Examples include built-in furniture, cabinets, shelving, venetian blinds, screens, elevators, telephones, fire alarms, heating and air conditioning equipment, waste disposals, dishwashers, and theater seats.
- e. Related site preparation, excavation, filling, landscaping, or other land improvements.³⁴

B. Maintenance and Repair.

³³ The Secretary of a military department must notify the appropriate committees of Congress before using UMMC funds to transfer or relocate any activity from one base or installation to another. Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-52, § 107, 113 Stat. 259 (1999).

³⁴ This includes the foundation, site work, and utility work associated with the setup of a relocatable building. DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-6c(6).

1. Maintenance and repair projects are not construction. AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II; AFI 32-1032, para. 1.3.2; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, ch. 3, para. 3.1.1, and ch. 4, para 4.1.1. Therefore, maintenance and repair projects are not subject to the \$500,000 O&M limitation on construction.³⁵ See 10 U.S.C. § 2811(a) (specifically permitting the Secretary of a military department to use O&M funds to carry out repair projects for “an entire single-purpose facility or one or more functional areas of a multipurpose facility”).
2. Definitions.
 - a. Maintenance.
 - (1) AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II, defines maintenance as the “work required to preserve or maintain a facility in such condition that it may be used effectively for its designated purpose.” It includes work required to prevent damage and sustain components (e.g., replacing disposable filters; painting; caulking; refastening loose siding; and sealing bituminous pavements). See DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-6a.
 - (2) AFI 32-1032, para. 4.1.1, defines maintenance as “work required to preserve real property and real property systems or components and prevent premature failure or wearing out of the same.” It includes: (a) work required to prevent and arrest component deterioration; and (b) landscaping or planting work that is not capitalized. See AFI 65-601, vol. 1, attch 1.
 - (3) OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 4.1.1, defines maintenance as “the day-to-day, periodic, or scheduled work required to preserve or return a real property facility to such a condition that it may be used for its designated purpose.”

³⁵ But see 10 U.S.C. § 2811. If the estimated cost of a repair project exceeds \$5 million, the Secretary concerned must approve the project in advance. 10 U.S.C. § 2811(b). If the estimated cost of a repair project exceeds \$10 million, the Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of: (1) the justification and current cost estimate for the project; and (2) the justification for carrying out the project under this section. 10 U.S.C. § 2811(d).

- (a) The term “maintenance” includes work undertaken to prevent damage to a facility that would be more costly to repair (e.g., waterproofing and painting interior and exterior walls; seal-coating asphalt pavement; resealing joints in runway concrete pavement; dredging to previously established depths; and cleaning storage tanks).
- (b) Maintenance differs from repair in that maintenance does not involve the replacement of major component parts of a facility. It is the work done to:
 - (i) Minimize or correct wear; and
 - (ii) Ensure the maximum reliability and useful life of the facility or component.

b. Repair.

- (1) Statutory Definition. 10 U.S.C. § 2811(e). A “repair project” is defined as a project to restore a real property facility, system, or component to such a condition that the military department or agency may use it effectively for its designated functional purpose.
- (2) “New” DOD Definition. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 8, para. 080105. See Memorandum, Deputy Comptroller, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Program/Budget), subject: Definition for Maintenance and Repair (2 July 1997) [hereinafter DOD Repair Memorandum]. The term “repair” means to restore a real property facility, system, or component to such a condition that the military department or agency may use it effectively for its designated functional purpose.

- (a) When repairing a facility, the military department or agency may:
 - (i) Repair components of the facility by replacement; and
 - (ii) Use replacements that meet current building standards or code requirements.³⁶
- (b) The term “repair” includes:
 - (i) Interior rearrangements that do not affect load-bearing walls; and
 - (ii) The restoration of an existing facility to:
 - (a) allow for the effective use of existing space; or
 - (b) meet current building standards or code requirements (e.g., accessibility, health, safety, or environmental).
- (c) The term “repair” does not include additions, new facilities, and functional conversions. See 10 U.S.C. § 2811(c).

³⁶ DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 8, para. 080105, and AR 415-15, para. 2-3b, provide the same example. Both state that “heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment can be repaired by replacement, can be state-of-the-art, and can provide for more capacity than the original unit due to increased demands and standards.” See DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-7h (stating that the Army should use energy and water saving materials whenever feasible).

- (3) Army Definition. AR 415-15, para. 2-3b; AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II; DA Pam 420-11, paras. 1-6 and 107. See Memorandum, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, subject: New Definition of “Repair” (4 Aug. 1997) [hereinafter DA Repair Memorandum]. The term “repair” means to restore a facility or a facility component to such a condition that the Army may use it effectively for its designated functional purpose.
- (a) The DA Repair Memorandum states that: “The new definition is more liberal and expands [the Army’s] ability to provide adequate facilities for [its] soldiers and civilians;” however, the DA Repair Memorandum also states that: “A facility must exist and be in a failed or failing condition in order to be considered for a repair project.” See DA Pam 420-11, para. 1-7e (stating that “[r]epair means that the facility or facility component has failed, or is in the incipient stages of failing, or is no longer performing the functions for which it was designated”).
- (b) The term “repair” includes:
- (i) Overhauling, reprocessing, or replacing deteriorated components, parts, or materials;
- (ii) Correcting deficiencies in failed or failing components to meet current building standards or code requirements if the Army can perform the work more economically by performing it concurrently with the restoration of other failed or failing components.³⁷

³⁷ The DA Repair Memorandum indicates that the Army can add a sprinkler system or air conditioning to bring a facility up to applicable standards or codes, provided the facility is in a failed or failing condition.

- (iii) Relocating or reconfiguring components (e.g., partitions, windows, and doors) during a major repair project if they are replacements for existing components;³⁸
 - (iv) Relocating or reconfiguring utility systems during a major repair project to meet current building standards or code requirements if the total area or population served by the utility system remains the same; and
 - (v) Incorporating additional components during a major repair project if: (a) the system is in a failed or failing condition;³⁹ and (b) incorporating the additional components makes the replacement system safer and more efficient.
- (c) The term “repair” does not include:
- (i) Bringing a facility or facility component up to applicable building standards or code requirements when it is not in need of repair;
 - (ii) Increasing the quantities of components for functional reasons;
 - (iii) Extending utilities or protective systems to areas not previously served;
 - (iv) Increasing exterior building dimensions; or
 - (v) Completely replacing a facility.

³⁸ A major repair project would include gutting the interior of a building.

³⁹ Under certain circumstances, the Army may classify a utility system or component as “failing” if it is energy inefficient or technologically obsolete. See AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II.

(4) Air Force Definition. AFI 32-1032, paras. 4.1.2 and 5.1.2. See AFI 65-601, vol. 1, attch 1. The term “repair” means to restore real property, real property systems, and real property components to such a condition that the Air Force may use it effectively for its designated functional purpose. However, AFI 32-1032, para. 4.1.2, specifically states that real property, real property systems, and real property components “need not have failed to permit a repair project.” (emphasis added).

(a) The term “repair” includes:

- (i) Replacing existing heating, ventilation, and air conditioning equipment with “functionally sized,” state-of-the-art equipment;
- (ii) Rearranging or restoring the interior of a facility to: (a) allow for the effective use of existing space; or (b) meet current building standards or code requirements (e.g., accessibility, health, safety, seismic, security, or fire);⁴⁰
- (iii) Removing or treating hazardous substances for environmental restoration purposes unless the work supports a construction project;
- (iv) Replacing one type of roofing system with a more reliable or economical type of roofing system;
- (v) Installing exterior appurtenances (e.g., fire escapes, elevators, ramps, etc.) to meet current building standards, code requirements, and/or access laws; and

⁴⁰ Moving load-bearing walls is construction. AFI 32-1032, para. 4.1.2.1.2.

- (vi) Installing force protection measures outside the footprint of the facility.
- (b) The term “repair” does not include:
 - (i) Expanding a facility’s foundation beyond its current footprint;
 - (ii) Elevating or expanding the “functional space” of a facility;
 - (iii) Increasing the “total volume” of a facility;
 - (iv) Installing previously uninstalled equipment unless required to comply with accessibility, health, safety, seismic, security, or fire standards and codes;
 - (v) Relocating a facility;
 - (vi) Upgrading unpaved surfaces;
 - (vii) Increasing the dimensions of paved surfaces unless required to comply with Air Force standards or applicable code requirements;
 - (viii) Changing the permanent route of real property transportation systems;
 - (ix) Installing walkways, roadway curbs, gutters, underground storm sewers, bicycle paths, jogging paths, etc;

- (x) Completely replacing the vertical section of a facility and a substantial portion of its foundation;
 - (xi) Completely replacing a facility;
 - (xii) Converting a facility or portion of a facility from one functional purpose to another;⁴¹ or
 - (xiii) Repairing a facility if the repair work exceeds 70% of the facility's replacement cost.⁴²
- c. Navy Definition. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 3.1.1.⁴³ The term “repair” refers to “the return of a real property facility to such condition that it may be effectively utilized for its designated purposes, by overhaul, reconstruction, or replacement of constituent parts or materials which are damaged or deteriorated to the point where they may not be economically maintained.”
 - (1) The term “repair” includes:⁴⁴
 - (a) The modification or addition of building or facility components or materials to meet current safety, building, or environmental codes (e.g., correcting seismic or life safety deficiencies; installing fire protection; and removing asbestos containing materials);

⁴¹ Repair work required regardless of a functional conversion may still be repair work. AFI 32-1032, para. 5.1.2.3.2.

⁴² This limit does not apply to facilities on a national or state historic register. In addition, the SAF/MII can waive it under appropriate circumstances. AFI 32-1032, para. 5.1.2.3.3.


⁴³ This regulatory provision pre-dates the DOD's new definition of repair. See DOD Repair Memorandum.

⁴⁴ OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 3.1.3, contains several additional examples of repair projects.

- (b) Minor additions to components in existing facilities to return the facilities to their customary state of operating efficiency (e.g., installing additional partitions while repairing deteriorated partitions);
 - (c) The replacement of components with higher quality or more durable components if the replacement does not substantially increase the capacity or change the function of the component;
 - (d) The replacement of energy consuming equipment with more efficient equipment if:
 - (i) The shore activity can recover the additional cost through cost savings within 10 years;
 - (ii) The replacement does not substantially increase the capacity of the equipment; and
 - (iii) The new equipment provides the same end product (e.g., heating, cooling, lighting, etc.).
- (2) The term “repair” does not include:
- (a) Additions, expansions, alterations, or modifications required solely to meet new purposes or missions;
 - (b) The extension of facility systems or components to areas the shore activity is not repairing and/or areas not previously served;
 - (c) Increases to exterior facility dimensions or utility plant capacity; and

- (d) Alterations to quarters to meet current DOD or Navy design standards.

3. Analysis.



4. Concurrent Work. AR 420-10, para. 4-6a; AFI 32-1032, paras. 3.4.3 and 4.1.2.2.5.

- a. A military department or agency can normally do construction, maintenance, and repair projects simultaneously as long as each project is complete and usable.
- b. A military department must treat all the work as a single construction project if:
 - (1) The work is so integrated that the department or agency may not separate the construction work from the maintenance and repair work; or

- (2) The work is so integrated that each project is not complete and useable by itself.

X. DETERMINING THE FUNDED/UNFUNDED COSTS OF THE PROJECT.

- A. Applicability of Project Limits. AR 420-10, Glossary, sec. II; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.13.3; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, ch. 2, para. 2.1.1. Project limits only apply to funded costs.
- B. Funded Costs. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170203; AR 415-32, para. 2-5a; AR 420-10, para. 4-6c, and Glossary, sec. II; DA Pam 420-11, Glossary, sec. II; AFI 32-1021, attch 1; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.13.3; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 2.1.1.e.
 1. Funded costs are costs chargeable to the appropriation designated to pay for the project.
 2. Funded costs include, but are not necessarily limited to:
 - a. Materials, supplies, and services applicable to the project;⁴⁵
 - b. Installed capital equipment;⁴⁶
 - c. Transportation costs for materials, supplies, and unit equipment;⁴⁷

⁴⁵ AR 420-10, para. 4-6c, specifically includes government-owned materials, supplies, and services as funded costs. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 070102.I.6 (prohibiting DOD components from using materials, supplies, or items of installed equipment on their own minor construction projects on a non-reimbursable basis); AR 415-32, para. 2-5a(1) (including materials, supplies, and services furnished on a non-reimbursable basis by other military departments and defense agencies); DA Pam 420-11, Glossary, sec. II (stating that “Army owned materials, supplies, or items of installed capital-type equipment must be charged to construction projects as funded project costs”).

⁴⁶ Items of equipment that are “movable in nature and not affixed as an integral part of a facility” or “detachable without damage to the building or equipment” are unfunded costs because they are funded from O&M, RDT&E, or procurement appropriations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, paras. 170304 and 170305.

- d. Civilian labor costs;
 - e. Overhead and support costs (e.g., leasing and storing equipment);
 - f. Supervision, inspection, and overhead costs charged when the Corps of Engineers, the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, or the Air Force serves as the design or construction agent;
 - g. Travel and per diem costs for military and civilian personnel;⁴⁸
 - h. Operation and maintenance costs for government-owned equipment (e.g., fuel and repair parts); and
 - i. Demolition and site preparation costs.
- C. Unfunded Costs. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 170301; AR 415-32, para. 2-5b; AR 420-10, para. 4-6f, and Glossary, sec. II; AFI 32-1021, attach 1; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.14; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 2.1.1.f.
- 1. Unfunded costs are costs that:
 - a. Contribute to the military construction project;
 - b. Are chargeable to appropriations other than those available to fund the project; and
 - c. Are not reimbursed by appropriations available to fund the project.

⁴⁷ The cost of transporting unit equipment is a funded cost if the equipment is being transported solely for the construction project; otherwise, it is an unfunded cost (i.e., where the construction project is part of a larger activity, such as an annual training exercise). AR 415-32, para. 2-5a(9) and b(1).

⁴⁸ Travel and per diem costs for military personnel are funded costs if these costs are incurred solely for the construction project; otherwise, they are unfunded costs (i.e., where the construction project is part of a larger activity, such as an annual training exercise). AR 415-32, para. 2-5a(10) and b(2).

2. Unfunded costs include, but are not necessarily limited to:
 - a. Military and civilian prisoner labor;
 - b. Depreciation of government-owned equipment;⁴⁹
 - c. Materials, supplies, and equipment obtained for the project on a non-reimbursable basis as excess distributions from another military department or federal agency.⁵⁰
 - d. Licenses, permits, and other fees chargeable under:
 - (1) A State or local statute; or
 - (2) A status of forces agreement (SOFA);
 - e. Unfunded civilian fringe benefits;
 - f. Gifts from private parties;⁵¹
 - g. Contract or in-house planning and design costs;⁵² and
 - h. Donated labor and material.⁵³

⁴⁹ Equipment maintenance and operation costs are funded costs.

⁵⁰ Transportation costs are funded costs.

⁵¹ The acceptance of monetary gifts may violate the Miscellaneous Receipts Statute. 31 U.S.C. § 3302(b).

⁵² See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 17, para. 070102.I.4 (stating that planning and design costs are excluded from the cost determination for purposes of determining compliance with 10 U.S.C. § 2805). But see OPNAVINST 11010.20F, para. 2.1.1.f. (stating that planning and design costs are funded costs in design-build contracts).

⁵³ The acceptance of donated labor may violate the prohibition against accepting voluntary services. 31 U.S.C. § 1342.

3. Report unfunded costs to higher headquarters even though they do not apply toward the military construction appropriation limitations.

XI. SELECTING THE PROPER APPROPRIATION.

A. Statutory Thresholds.

1. If the approved cost of the project is \$500,000 or less (\$1 million if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety), use O&M funds.
2. If the approved cost of the project is between \$500,000 and \$1.5 million (\$3 million if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety), use UMMC funds.
3. If the approved cost of the project is greater than \$1.5 million, use “specified” MILCON funds.

B. Exceeding a Statutory Threshold. AR 415-15, app. B, para. B-4a; AFI 32-1021, para. 4.7.

1. Exceeding a statutory threshold violates the Purpose Statute and may result in a violation of the Antideficiency Act. See AR 415-15, app. B., para. B-4a.
2. When a project exceeds—or is expected to exceed a statutory threshold—the department or agency must:
 - a. Stop all work immediately;
 - b. Review the scope of the project and verify the work classification; and

c. Consider deleting unnecessary work.⁵⁴

C. Authorized Variations.⁵⁵ 10 U.S.C. § 2853; AR 415-15, paras. 5-16 and 5-17; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, para. 9.4.3; AFI 32-1021, para. 4.6.5; OPNAVINST 11010.20F.

1. Cost Increases.

a. The Secretary of a military department may increase the cost of a “specified” military construction project by the lesser of:

(1) 25% of the appropriated amount; or

(2) 200% of the UMMC ceiling (i.e., \$3 million).

b. However, the Secretary concerned must first determine that:

(1) The increase is required solely to meet unusual variations in cost; and

(2) The military department could not have reasonably anticipated the cost variation at the time Congress originally approved the project.

2. Scope Decreases. The Secretary of a military department may also reduce the scope of a “specified” military construction project by not more than 25% of the amount approved for the project.

⁵⁴ The department or agency must avoid project splitting. Therefore, the department or agency should only delete truly unnecessary work. AR 415-15, app. B, para. B-4b(3).

⁵⁵ These authorized variations apply only to “specified” military construction projects. 10 U.S.C. § 2853. They do not generally apply to UMMC projects. However, 10 U.S.C. § 2805(a)(1) permits the Secretaries of the military departments to carry out UMMC projects “within an amount equal to 125 percent of the amount authorized by law for such purpose.” In addition, 10 U.S.C. § 2863 permits the SECDEF and the Secretaries of the military departments to use unobligated funds appropriated to the department and available for military construction or family housing construction to pay meritorious contractor claims arising under military construction contracts or family housing contracts “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of law.”

3. Notification Requirements. The Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress of any cost increases or scope reductions that exceed the authorized variations.

XII. VERIFYING THE IDENTITY OF THE PROPER APPROVAL AUTHORITY.

A. Approval of Construction Projects.

1. Army. AR 415-15, app. B; AR 420-10, para. 4-3a.
 - a. MACOM commanders may approve – or delegate approval authority for – UMMC projects costing \$500,000 or less (\$1 million or less if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety).⁵⁶
 - b. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installation and Housing (DASA(IH)) approves UMMC projects costing between \$500,000 and \$1.5 million. AR 415-15, app. B.
2. Air Force. AFI 32-1032, paras. 1.4 and tbl 1.1; AFI 65-601, vol. 1, tbl 9.1.⁵⁷
 - a. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Installations) (SAF/MII) has delegated approval authority for UMMC projects costing \$500,000 or less to the Civil Engineer (AF/ILE).⁵⁸
 - b. The SAF/MII approves UMMC projects costing between \$500,000 and \$1.5 million.

⁵⁶ MACOM commanders may delegate their approval authority to MACOM staff or subordinate commanders, who may then redelegate the authority. AR 420-10, para. 4-3a.

⁵⁷ These regulatory provisions pre-date the amendment that increased the statutory threshold for O&M projects to \$500,000.

⁵⁸ The AF/ILE may further delegate this authority. AFI 32-1032, para. 1.4.

3. Navy. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, app. B, tbl 1.
 - a. The Commanding Officer (C.O.) or Major Claimant approves projects costing \$300,000 or less (\$1 million or less if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety).
 - b. The Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) approves projects costing between \$300,000 and \$500,000.
 - c. The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations & Environment) (ASN(I&E)) approves projects costing between \$500,000 and \$1.5 million (\$3 million if the project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that threatens life, health, or safety).
4. Congressional notification and approval is required for projects expected to exceed \$1.5 million. AR 415-15, app. B, para. B-2f; AFI 32-1032, para. 3.5.4; OPNAVINST 11010.20F, tbl 1.

B. Approval of Maintenance and Repair Projects.⁵⁹

1. Army. AR 420-10, para. 4-5.
 - a. MACOM commanders may normally approve – or delegate approval authority – for maintenance and repair projects costing \$2 million or less.⁶⁰
 - b. HQDA approves maintenance and repair projects costing \$2 million or more.

⁵⁹ Before a military department can carry out a repair project that costs more than \$5 million, the Secretary concerned must approve the project. 10 U.S.C. § 2811(b). In addition, if the project costs more than \$10 million, the Secretary concerned must notify the appropriate committees of Congress in writing. 10 U.S.C. § 2811(d).

⁶⁰ MACOM commanders may delegate their approval authority to MACOM staff, subordinate commanders, or installation commanders, who may then redelegate the authority. AR 420-10, para. 4-3a.

2. Air Force. AFI 32-1032, paras. 3.7 and 4.4.1.
 - a. Installation commanders have unlimited approval authority for maintenance projects.
 - b. The AF/ILE may approve – or delegate approval authority for – repair projects costing \$5 million or less.
 - c. The SAF/MII approves repair projects costing more than \$5 million.
3. Navy. OPNAVINST 11010.20F, app. B, tbl. 1.
 - a. The C.O. approves recurring maintenance projects, specific maintenance projects costing \$1 million or less, and general repair projects costing \$1 million or less.
 - b. The Major Claimant approves specific maintenance projects costing \$1 million or more and general repair projects costing between \$1 million and \$5 million.
 - c. The ASN(I&E) approves general repair projects costing \$5 million or more.

XIII. CONCLUSION.

- A. Use a structured methodology to analyze construction funding issues.
- B. Document rationale for funding decisions.
- C. Different rules may apply during overseas exercises and contingency operations.

CHAPTER 6

THE ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REFERENCES.	1
III. FISCAL CONTROLS AT THE APPROPRIATION LEVEL.	2
A. In Excess of.	2
B. In Advance of.....	2
C. Sequestered Funds	2
D. Exceptions	2
E. Contracts Conditioned Upon the Availability of Funds	3
F. Variable Quantity Contracts.....	4
IV. APPORTIONMENT	4
A. Requirement	4
B. Definition.....	4
C. Purpose of Apportionment.....	4
D. Prohibitions.....	5
V. ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION OF APPORTIONMENTS.....	5
A. Administrative Fiscal Controls	5
B. Administrative Subdivisions of Funds.....	6

VI. ANTIDEFICIENCY ISSUES.....	7
A. Purpose Statute	7
B. “Bona Fide Needs Rule”	7
C. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Funds	8
D. Indemnification Provisions	9
E. Judgments.....	9
F. Option Penalties.....	9
G. Augmentation.....	9
H. Unauthorized Commitments.....	9
VII. LIMITATION ON VOLUNTARY SERVICES	10
A. Voluntary Services.....	10
B. Examples of Voluntary Services Authorized by Law	10
C. Application of the Emergency Exception.....	11
D. Gratuitous Services Distinguished	11
VIII. VOLUNTARY CREDITOR RULE	12
A. Definition.....	12
B. Reimbursement	12
C. Claims Recovery.....	13
IX. PASSENGER CARRIER USE.	13
A. Prohibition.....	13
B. Exceptions.....	14

C. Penalties	14
X. SANCTIONS FOR ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT VIOLATIONS	15
A. Adverse Personnel Actions	15
B. Criminal Penalties.....	15
XI. REPORTING AND INVESTIGATING VIOLATIONS.....	16
A. Flash Report.....	16
B. Investigations	16
C. Establishing Responsibility.....	17
D. Reports to the President and Congress	17
XII. CONTRACTOR RECOVERY WHEN THE ACT IS VIOLATED	19
A. Recovery Under the Contract.....	19
B. Quasi-Contractual Recovery	19
C. Referral of Claims to Congress.....	18
XIII. CONCLUSION	20

CHAPTER 6

THE ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT

I. INTRODUCTION.

II. REFERENCES.

- A. 31 U.S.C. § 1341 (prohibiting obligations or expenditures in excess of appropriations and contracting in advance of an appropriation).
- B. 31 U.S.C. § 1342 (prohibiting government employees from accepting voluntary services).
- C. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1511-1517 (requiring apportionment/administrative subdivision of funds and prohibiting obligations or expenditures in excess of apportionment or administrative subdivision of funds).
- D. 31 U.S.C. § 1344 (prohibiting the unofficial use of passenger carriers).
- E. OMB Cir. A-34, Instructions on Budget Execution (Dec. 1995).
- F. DOD Regulation 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, vol. 14 (Aug. 1995) [hereinafter DOD 7000.14-R]. Go to <http://www.dtic.mil/comptroller/fmr>.
- G. Air Force Instr. (AFI) 65-608, Antideficiency Act Violations (May 1998).
- H. Interim Guidance on Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations and Funds Made Available to the Department of the Air Force (October 1999) [hereinafter Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations] available at <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasde/denvercenter/regulations.htm>.
- I. Defense Finance and Accounting Service--Indianapolis Reg. (DFAS-IN) 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation. Go to <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasin/library/ar37-1>.
- J. Hopkins and Nutt, The Anti-Deficiency Act (Revised Statute 3679) and Funding Federal Contracts: An Analysis, 80 Mil. L. Rev. 51 (1978).

III. FISCAL CONTROLS AT THE APPROPRIATION LEVEL. THE FIRST LEVEL -- 31 U.S.C. § 1341.

- A. In Excess of. An officer or employee may not make or authorize an obligation or expenditure that exceeds an amount available in an appropriation or fund. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(A). Department of Labor-Interagency Agreement Between Employment and Training Admin. and Bureau of Int'l Labor Affairs, B-245541, 71 Comp. Gen. 402 (1992); To Glenn English, B-223857, Feb. 27, 1987 (unpub.).
1. The scope of this statute is broader than that of the apportionment statutes. It includes appropriations not subject to apportionment, e.g., expired appropriations. Matter of Adjustment of Expired and Closed Accounts, B-253623, Sept. 28, 1994 (unpub.); The Honorable Andy Ireland, House of Representatives, B-245856.7, 71 Comp. Gen. 502 (1992).
 2. The GAO has opined that this statute prohibits obligations in excess of appropriated or authorized amounts and obligations that violate specific statutory restrictions on obligations or spending. Reconsideration of B-214172, B-214172, 64 Comp. Gen. 282 (1985); Customs Serv. Payment of Overtime Pay in Excess of Limit in Appropriation Act, B-201260, 60 Comp. Gen. 440 (1981).
- B. In Advance of. An officer or employee may not involve the government in a contract or obligation for the payment of money before an appropriation is made unless authorized by law. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(B); Propriety of Continuing Payments under Licensing Agreement, B-225039, 66 Comp. Gen. 556 (1987) (20-year agreement violated this provision because the agency had only a one-year appropriation); To the Secretary of the Air Force, B-144641, 42 Comp. Gen. 272 (1962).
- C. Sequestered Funds. An officer or employee may not make or authorize an expenditure or obligation, or involve the government in a contract for the payment of money required by law to be sequestered. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(c) and (d).
- D. Exceptions. A contracting officer may obligate in excess of, or contract in advance of, an appropriation if authorized by law.

1. The statute specifically must authorize entering into a contract in advance of or in excess of an appropriation. The Army Corps of Eng'rs' Continuing Contracts, B-187278, 56 Comp. Gen. 437 (1977); To the Secretary of the Air Force, B-144641, 42 Comp. Gen. 272 (1962).
 - a. Example: 41 U.S.C. § 11 permits the DOD and the Coast Guard to contract without an appropriation for clothing, subsistence, forage, fuel, quarters, transportation, or medical and hospital supplies for the current fiscal year (FY). Report use of this authority to the next higher level of command. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 12, para. 120207; DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 8, para. 0818 (requiring local commanders to forward reports through command channels).
 - b. The authority conferred by 41 U.S.C. § 11 is “contract” authority, and does not authorize disbursements. See Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 4, para. E.
 2. Certain statutes authorize the execution of multiyear contracts. See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. §§ 2306(g), 2306b, 2829; 41 U.S.C. § 254c. See also FAR 17.104; DFARS 217.170; DLA Multiyear Contract for Storage and Rotation of Sulfadiazine Silver Cream, B-224081, 67 Comp. Gen. 190 (1988) (DLA lacked authority to execute multiyear contract).
- E. Contracts Conditioned Upon the Availability of Funds. See FAR 32.703-2; To the Secretary of the Interior, B-140850, 39 Comp. Gen. 340 (1959); To the Postmaster Gen., B-20670, 21 Comp. Gen. 864 (1942).
1. Activities may initiate certain contracting actions prior to an appropriation if the solicitation and contract include the clause, FAR 52.232-18, Availability of Funds. See To Charles R. Hartgraves, B-235086, Apr. 24, 1991 (unpub.) (award without clause violated the ADA).
 2. The government may not accept supplies or services under these contracts until the contracting officer has given notice that funds are available.

- F. Variable Quantity Contracts. Requirements or indefinite quantity contracts for services funded by annual appropriations may extend into the next fiscal year if the agency will order specified minimum quantities in the initial fiscal year. The contract also must incorporate FAR 52.232-19, Availability of Funds for the Next Fiscal Year. See FAR 32.703-2(b).

IV. APPORTIONMENT. THE SECOND LEVEL -- 31 U.S.C. §§ 1512 - 1513; 1517(A)(1).

- A. Requirement. 31 U.S.C. § 1512 requires apportionment of appropriations. 31 U.S.C. § 1513(b) requires the President to apportion Executive Branch appropriations. The President has delegated this authority to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).
- B. Definition. An apportionment is a distribution by the OMB of amounts available in an appropriation into amounts available for specified time periods, activities, projects, or objects. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 21.1.1.
- C. Purpose of Apportionment. The OMB apportions funds to prevent obligation at a rate that would create a need for a deficiency or supplemental appropriation. As a general rule, an agency may not request an apportionment that will create a need for a deficiency or supplemental appropriation. 31 U.S.C. § 1512.
 - 1. Apportionment at a rate that would create a need for a deficiency or supplemental appropriation is permitted by 31 U.S.C. § 1515 for:
 - a. Military and civilian pay increases;
 - b. Laws enacted after budget submission which require additional expenditures; or
 - c. Emergencies involving life or property.

2. An agency violates the apportionment statute if it must curtail its activity drastically to enable it to complete the fiscal year without exhausting its appropriation. To John D. Dingell, B-218800, 64 Comp. Gen. 728 (1985); To the Postmaster Gen., B-131361, 36 Comp. Gen. 699 (1957).

D. Prohibitions.

1. An officer or employee of the United States may not make or authorize an obligation or expenditure that exceeds an apportionment. 31 U.S.C. § 1517 (a)(1).
2. The statute does not prohibit obligating **in advance of** an apportionment. See Cessna Aircraft Co. v. Dalton, 126 F.3d 1442 (Fed. Cir. 1997); but see Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 2, para. B.1 (providing that activities may not incur obligations until appropriations are apportioned).

V. **ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION OF APPORTIONMENTS. THE THIRD LEVEL.** 31 U.S.C. § 1514.

A. Administrative Fiscal Controls. 31 U.S.C. § 1514 requires agency heads to establish administrative controls that: (1) restrict obligations or expenditures to the amount of apportionments; and (2) enable the agency to fix responsibility for exceeding an apportionment. These regulations include:

1. OMB Cir. A-34, Instructions on Budget Execution, para. 31.5. This circular applies to all agencies and requires OMB approval of fund control systems.
2. DOD Dir. 7200.1, Administrative Control of Appropriations; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, app. A.
3. DFAS-IN 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations (superseding DFAS-DE 7200.1-R); NAVCOMPT 7300.101; MCOs P4200.15 and P7300.8.

- B. Administrative Subdivisions of Funds. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 21.1; DOD 7000.14-R, vol.14, app. A.
1. Allocations and Allotments. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 3, paras. 0312, 0314; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 5, para. B. These are formal subdivisions prescribed generally by 31 U.S.C. § 1514. The Army transmits these funds on a computer generated form (DA Form 1323) called a Fund Authorization Document or FAD. The Air Force uses AF Form 401, Budget Authority/Allotment; AF Form 402, Obligation Authority/Suballotment; and AF Form 1449, Operating Budget Authority (for O&M funds).
 2. Allowance/Target/Advisory Guide. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 3, para. 031402; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 6 para. B. These distributions do not create formal administrative subdivisions. The Army also uses DA Form 1323 to distribute an allowance, but the form is called a Fund Allowance System (FAS) document for this type of distribution.
 3. An officer or employee may not make or authorize an obligation or expenditure that exceeds a formal subdivision established by regulations. See 31 U.S.C. §1517(a)(2).

Discussion Problem: On 30 August, Fort Tiefert had \$170,000 remaining in its O&M allowance. On 2 September, the contracting officer awarded a contract for \$170,000 using these funds, but the Defense Accounting Office recorded this obligation as \$120,000. As a result, the Directorate of Resource Management believed erroneously that the Fort still had \$50,000 left in the O&M allowance. In order to avoid losing this money, the contracting officer awarded a contract on 20 September obligating \$50,000 in O&M. Is there an ADA violation?

VI. ANTIDEFICIENCY ISSUES.

A. Purpose Statute. 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a). A violation of the Purpose Statute also may lead to a violation of 31 U.S.C. § 1341 or 31 U.S.C. § 1517. Department of Labor-Interagency Agreement Between Employment and Training Admin. and Bureau of Int'l Labor Affairs, B-245541, 71 Comp. Gen. 402 (1992); Funding for Army Repair Projects, B-272191, 97-2 CPD ¶ 141; To the Hon. Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984). Officials **may** be able to avoid an antideficiency violation if:

1. Proper funds were available at the time of the erroneous obligation;
2. Proper funds were available continuously from the time of the erroneous obligation; and
3. Proper funds were available for the agency to correct the erroneous obligation.

See Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 10, para. F.4. (providing that a reportable ADA violation may be avoidable if proper funds were available at the time of the original, valid obligation)

Discussion Problem: The Adjutant General (AG) of the State of Minnesota asked his best fishing buddy, the CG at Ft. Tiefert, for some help. The AG was planning a big bash to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the Minnesota National Guard. As part of this effort, the AG needed his public affairs office (PAO) personnel to perform a lot of work publicizing the occasion. Unfortunately, he did not have enough funds left in his budget to fund the extra drill periods required. After pondering the problem, the CG hit upon the ultimate solution. He arranged to have the National Guard PAO personnel brought on active duty for two weeks at Ft. Tiefert. When they reported for duty, the CG sent them to the AG's office to work on the birthday party. These personnel spent the entire two-week active duty period working on this project. Any ADA problems here?

B. "Bona Fide Needs Rule."

1. A violation of the Bona Fide Needs Rule, i.e., 31 U.S.C. § 1502, also may result in a violation of 31 U.S.C. § 1341 or 31 U.S.C. § 1517. See DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 8, para. 0803; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 10, para. G.
 2. To determine whether a Bona Fide Needs Rule violation is correctable, follow the same analytical process used for correcting a purpose violation.
- C. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Funds.
1. There is a limitation of \$500,000 on the use of O&M funds for construction. This is a “per project” limit. See 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c). Exceeding this threshold may be a reportable ADA violation. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 10; cf. Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 6, para. C.6 (“Noncompliance with a statutory restriction on the use of an appropriation is a reportable violation.”). See also The Honorable Bill Alexander, B-213137, 63 Comp. Gen. 422 (1984) (holding that where purpose violations are correctable, ADA violations are avoidable).
 2. DOD activities may use O&M funds for purchase of investment items costing less than \$100,000. See Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8046, 113 Stat. 1240 (1999). Use of O&M in excess of this threshold is a purpose violation and may trigger an antideficiency violation. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 10; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 6, para. C.2.

Discussion Problems:

--- On 3 August 1999, the Fort Tiefert contracting officer awarded a contract for 50 off-the-shelf computers for a total of \$110,000. The computers were to be used in a warehouse complex that would be completed (i.e., ready for installation of the computers) sometime in November 1999. Any fiscal issues here?

--- On 1 July 1999, the Fort Tiefert contracting officer awarded a \$435,000 contract for the construction of a storage facility. The contract was funded with FY 1999 O&M funds. Things went smoothly until 8 October 1999 when the contracting officer issued what she thought was an in-scope contract modification increasing the contract price by \$50,000. The contracting officer cited FY 1999 O&M funds on the modification. On

28 October, the Army Audit Agency (AAA) conducted a random audit of the Fort's contracting process and determined that the 8 October modification was outside the scope of the original contract. Any fiscal issues here?

- D. Indemnification Provisions. Open-ended indemnification provisions violate 31 U.S.C. § 1341. See United States Park Police Indemnification Agreement, B-242146, Aug. 16, 1991 (unpub.); Project Stormfury, B-198206, 59 Comp. Gen. 369 (1980). To Howard Metzenbaum, B-174839.2, 63 Comp. Gen. 145 (1984); Assumption by Gov't of Contractor Liability to Third Persons, B-201072, 62 Comp. Gen. 361 (1983); Reimbursement of the State of New York Under Support Contract, B-202518, Jan. 8, 1982 (unpub.). There are statutory exceptions to this rule. See, e.g., 10 U.S.C. § 2354 (research/development contracts may contain indemnity provisions for unusually hazardous risks); 50 U.S.C. § 1431 (President may exempt certain defense-related agreements from Antideficiency Act); 42 U.S.C. § 2210(j) (NRC and DOE may initiate indemnification agreements).
- E. Judgments. A court or board of contract appeals may order a judgment in excess of an amount available in an appropriation or a subdivision of funds. This is not a violation. Bureau of Land Management, Reimbursement of Contract Disputes Act Payments, B-211229, 63 Comp. Gen. 308 (1984); Availability of Funds for Payment of Intervenor Attorney Fees, B-208637, 62 Comp. Gen. 692 (1983).
- F. Option Penalties. Contracts that include separate charges for failure to exercise options violate the Antideficiency Act. Honeywell Info. Sys., Inc., B-186940, 56 Comp. Gen. 167 (1976); Burroughs Corp., B-186313, 56 Comp. Gen. 142 (1976).
- G. Augmentation. An ADA violation may arise if an agency retains and spends funds received from outside sources, absent statutory authority. Unauthorized Use of Interest Earned on Appropriated Funds, B-283834, Feb. 24, 2000 (unpub.).
- H. Unauthorized Commitments. Would an unauthorized commitment trigger an antideficiency violation? See DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 9, para. 090211; Air Force Procedures for Administrative Control of Appropriations, § 10, para. E.

Discussion Problem: SGT Jones, who has no authority to make purchases on behalf of the government, goes to the local parts store and charges a new diesel engine to the government. Is this a problem?

VII. LIMITATION ON VOLUNTARY SERVICES. 31 U.S.C. § 1342.

- A. Voluntary Services. An officer or employee may not accept voluntary services or employ personal services exceeding those authorized by law, except for emergencies involving the safety of human life or the protection of property. To Glenn English, B-223857, Feb. 27, 1987 (unpub.).
1. Voluntary services are those services rendered without a prior contract for compensation, or without an advance agreement that the services will be gratuitous. Army's Authority to Accept Servs. from the Am. Assoc. of Retired Persons/Nat'l Retired Teachers Assoc., B-204326, July 26, 1982 (unpub.).
 2. Acceptance of voluntary services does not create a legal obligation. Richard C. Hagan v. United States, 229 Ct. Cl. 423, 671 F.2d 1302 (1982); T. Head & Co., B-238112, July 30, 1990 (unpub.); Nathaniel C. Elie, B-218705, 65 Comp. Gen. 21 (1985). Cf. T. Head & Co. v. Dep't of Educ., GSBCA No. 10828-ED, 93-1 BCA ¶ 25,241.
- B. Examples of Voluntary Services Authorized by Law.
1. 5 U.S.C. § 593 (agency may accept voluntary services in support of alternative dispute resolution).
 2. 5 U.S.C. § 3111 (student intern programs).
 3. 10 U.S.C. § 1588 (military departments may accept voluntary services for medical care, museums, natural resources programs, or family support activities).
 4. 10 U.S.C. § 2602 (President may accept assistance from Red Cross).

5. 10 U.S.C. § 10212 (SECDEF or Secretary of military department may accept services of reserve officers as consultants or in furtherance of enrollment, organization, or training of reserve components).
 6. 33 U.S.C. § 569c (Corps of Engineers may accept voluntary services on civil works projects).
- C. Application of the Emergency Exception. This exception is limited to situations where immediate danger exists. Voluntary Servs. -- Towing of Disabled Navy Airplane, A-341142, 10 Comp. Gen. 248 (1930) (exception not applied); Voluntary Servs. in Emergencies, 2 Comp. Gen. 799 (1923). This exception does not include “ongoing, regular functions of government the suspension of which would not imminently threaten the safety of human life or the protection of property.” 31 U.S.C. § 1342.
- D. Gratuitous Services Distinguished.
1. It is not a violation of the Antideficiency Act to accept free services from a person who agrees, in writing, to waive entitlement to compensation. Army’s Authority to Accept Servs. From the Am. Assoc. of Retired Persons/Nat’l Retired Teachers Assoc., B-204326, July 26, 1982 (unpub.); To the Adm’r of Veterans’ Affairs, B-44829, 24 Comp. Gen. 314 (1944); To the Chairman of the Fed. Trade Comm’n, A-23262, 7 Comp. Gen. 810 (1928).
 2. An employee may not waive compensation if a statute establishes entitlement, unless another statute permits waiver. To Tom Tauke, B-206396, Nov. 15, 1988 (unpub.); The Agency for Int’l Dev. -- Waiver of Compensation Fixed by or Pursuant to Statute, B-190466, 57 Comp. Gen. 423 (1978) (AID employees could not waive salaries); In the Matter of Waiver of Compensation, Gen. Servs. Admin., B-181229, 54 Comp. Gen. 393 (1974); To the Director, Bureau of the Budget, B-69907, 27 Comp. Gen. 194 (1947) (expert or consultant salary waivable); To the President, United States Civil Serv. Comm’n, B-66664, 26 Comp. Gen. 956 (1947).

3. Acceptance of gratuitous services may be an improper augmentation of an appropriation if federal employees normally would perform the work, unless a statute authorizes gratuitous services. Compare Community Work Experience Program -- State Gen. Assistance Recipients at Fed. Work Sites, B-211079.2, Jan. 2, 1987 (unpub.) (augmentation would occur) with Senior Community Serv. Employment Program, B-222248, Mar. 13, 1987 (unpub.) (augmentation would not occur). Cf. Federal Communications Comm'n, B-210620, 63 Comp. Gen. 459 (1984) (noting that augmentation entails receipt of funds).

Discussion Problem: For the last year, Ft. Tiefert's MACOM (Major Command) has been pushing subordinate commands to implement the MACOM Voluntary Services Program (VSP). Authority for the VSP flows from 10 U.S.C. § 1588, which permits the Secretary of the Army to accept voluntary services for programs that support members of the armed forces and their families (such as family support, child development and youth services, and employment assistance for spouses). The VSP has worked so well at Ft. Tiefert that the CG there decided to expand the program. Under Ft. Tiefert's Improved VSP (IVSP), volunteers have painted offices, straightened out the post HQ's filing system, and refurbished a dilapidated old building completely (to include putting on a new roof) using materials donated by local merchants. Any ADA issues?

VIII. VOLUNTARY CREDITOR RULE.

- A. Definition. A voluntary creditor is one who uses personal funds to pay what is perceived to be a government obligation.
- B. Reimbursement. Generally, an agency may not reimburse a voluntary creditor. Specific procedures and mechanisms exist to ensure that the government satisfies its valid obligations. Permitting a volunteer to intervene in this process interferes with the government's interest in ensuring its procedures are followed. Bank of Bethesda, B-215145, 64 Comp. Gen. 467 (1985).

C. Claims Recovery. U.S. International Trade Commission – Cultural Awareness, B-278805, July 21, 1999 (unpub.) (noting that agencies, not the GAO, now must render decisions on such claims); Lieutenant Colonel Tommy B. Tompkins, B-236330, Aug. 14, 1989 (unpub.); Claim of Bradley G. Baxter, B-232686, Dec. 7, 1988 (unpub.); Irving M. Miller, B-210986, May 21, 1984 (unpub.); Grover L. Miller, B-206236, 62 Comp. Gen. 419 (1983); Reimbursement of Personal Expenditures by Military Member for Authorized Purchases, B-195002, May 27, 1980, 80-2 CPD ¶ 242. See Reimbursement of Selective Serv. Employee for Payment of Fine, B-239511, 70 Comp. Gen. 153 (1990) (returning request for decision to agency so it could determine who was responsible for paying fine). Cf. Use of Imprest Fund to Reimburse Employee for Small Purchase, B-242412, July 22, 1991 (unpub.). See DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 9, para. 092037. Claims are recoverable if:

1. The underlying expenditure is authorized;
2. The claimant shows a public necessity;
3. The agency could have ratified the transaction if the voluntary creditor had not made the payment.

IX. PASSENGER CARRIER USE. 31 U.S.C. § 1344; 41 C.F.R. Subparts 101-6.4 and 101-38.3.

A. Prohibition. An agency may expend funds for the maintenance, operation, and repair of passenger carriers only to the extent that the use of passenger carriers is for official purposes. Federal Energy Regulatory Comm’n’s Use of Gov’t Motor Vehicles and Printing Plant Facilities for Partnership in Educ. Program, B-243862, 71 Comp. Gen. 469 (1992); Use of Gov’t Vehicles for Transp. Between Home and Work, B-210555, 62 Comp. Gen. 438 (1983). Violations of this statute are not antideficiency violations, but significant sanctions do exist. See Felton v. Equal Employment Opportunity Comm’n, 820 F.2d 391 (Fed. Cir. 1987); Campbell v. Department of Health and Human Servs., 40 M.S.P.R. 525 (1989); Gotshall v. Department of Air Force, 37 M.S.P.R. 27 (1988); Lynch v. Department of Justice, 32 M.S.P.R. 33 (1986).

B. Exceptions.

1. Generally, the statute prohibits domicile-to-duty transportation of appropriated and nonappropriated fund personnel.
 - a. The agency head may determine that domicile-to-duty transportation is necessary in light of a clear and present danger, emergency condition, or compelling operational necessity. 31 U.S.C. § 1344(b)(8).
 - b. The statute authorizes domicile-to-duty transportation if it is necessary for fieldwork, or is essential to safe and efficient performance of intelligence, law enforcement, or protective service duties. 31 U.S.C. § 1344(a)(2).
2. Overseas, military personnel, federal civilian employees, and family members may use government transportation when public transportation is unsafe or unavailable. 10 U.S.C. § 2637.
3. This statute does not apply to the use of government vehicles (leased or owned) when employees are in a temporary duty status. See Home-to-Airport Transp., B-210555.44, 70 Comp. Gen. 196 (1991) (use of government vehicle for transportation between home and common carrier authorized in conjunction with official travel); Home-to-Work Transp. for Ambassador Donald Rumsfeld, B-210555.5, Dec. 8, 1983 (unpub.).

C. Penalties.

1. Administrative Sanctions. Commanders shall suspend without pay for at least one month any officer or employee who willfully uses or authorizes the use of a government passenger carrier for unofficial purposes or otherwise violates 31 U.S.C. § 1344. Commanders also may remove violators from their jobs summarily. 31 U.S.C. § 1349(b).

2. Criminal Penalties. Title 31 does not prescribe criminal penalties for unauthorized passenger carrier use. But see UCMJ art. 121 [10 U.S.C. § 921] (misappropriation of government vehicle; maximum sentence is a dishonorable discharge, total forfeiture of pay and allowances, and 2 years confinement); 18 U.S.C. § 641 (conversion of public property; maximum punishment is 10 years confinement and a \$10,000 fine).

X. SANCTIONS FOR ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT VIOLATIONS.

- A. Adverse Personnel Actions. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1349(a), 1518; AFI 65-608, para. 4.11.
 1. Officers or employees who authorize or make prohibited obligations or expenditures are subject to administrative discipline, including suspension without pay and removal from office. DOD Dir. 7200.1; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 9; Memorandum, Comptroller, Dep't of Defense, subject: Violations of the Antideficiency Act (19 Dec. 1994).
 2. Good faith or mistake of fact does not relieve an individual from responsibility for a violation. Factors such as “a heavy workload at year end” or an employee’s “past exemplary record” generally are relevant only to determine the appropriate level of discipline, not to determine whether the commander should impose discipline.
- B. Criminal Penalties. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1350, 1519. A knowing and willful violation of the Antideficiency Act is a Class E felony. See 18 U.S.C. § 3559(a)(5). Punishment may include a \$5,000 fine, confinement for two years, or both. Knowing and willful concealment of a violation is a felony. 18 U.S.C. § 4.

XI. REPORTING AND INVESTIGATING VIOLATIONS. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1351, 1517; OMB Cir. A-34, para. 32.1, DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, chs. 4-7; Memorandum, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller), subject: Supplemental Guidance to AR 37-1 for Reporting and Processing Reports of Potential Violations of Antideficiency Act Violations [sic] (Aug. 17, 1995) [hereinafter Supplemental Guidance]; DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 4, para. 040204; AFI 65-608, chs. 3,4.

A. Flash Report. The commander of an Army activity at which a suspected violation occurs must send a flash report through command channels to DA within 15 days of discovery. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 4, para. 040204.B. For the Air Force, suspected violations must be reported within 10 working days to the cognizant MAJCOM, Field Operating Activity (FOA), or Direct Reporting Unit (DRU) Financial Management organization. See AFI 65-608, para. 3.3.

B. Investigations.

1. The first step is a preliminary review. The MACOM Commander (or commander at next higher level above the activity where the violation occurred) must appoint a team of experts consisting of an individual with resource management experience, an attorney, and an individual with expertise in the functional area in which the violation occurred. This team must conduct the preliminary review to determine whether an Antideficiency Act violation has occurred. For Army activities, the results of the preliminary review must reach DA not later than 90 days from the date of discovery of the potential violation. See Supplemental Guidance. For the Air Force, the review must be completed and reported to SAF/FMFP no later than 90 days from the review start date.
2. If the preliminary review determines that a violation occurred, the appointing authority must appoint an investigative team to conduct a formal investigation. In the Army, formal investigations are conducted under the provisions of AR 15-6. See Supplemental Guidance; see also DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, chs. 4-6. Air Force investigators follow the guidance in AFI 90-301. A final report on the violation must reach the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) within 9 months of the date of discovery of the violation. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 5.

3. If the investigating officer believes criminal issues may be involved, he should suspend the investigation immediately and consult with legal counsel to determine whether the matter should be referred to the appropriate criminal investigators for resolution. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 5.

C. Establishing Responsibility.

1. Responsibility for a violation is fixed at the moment the improper activity occurs, e.g., overobligation, overexpenditure, etc.
2. A responsible party is the person who has authorized or created the overdistribution, obligation, commitment, or expenditure in question. Reports may name commanders, budget officers, or finance officers because of their positions if they failed to exercise their responsibilities properly. "However, the investigation shall attempt to discover the specific act -- or failure to take an action -- that caused the violation and who was responsible for that act or failure to take an action." DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 14, ch. 5, para. I.
 - a. Generally, the responsible party will be the highest ranking official in the decision making process who had actual or constructive knowledge of precisely what actions were taken and the impropriety or questionable nature of such actions. Cf. To Dennis P. McAuliffe, B-222048, Feb. 10, 1987 (unpub.).
 - b. There often will be officials who had knowledge of either factor. The person in the best position to prevent the ultimate error, however, is the highest ranking official who was aware of both factors.

D. Reports to the President and Congress.

1. The Secretary of Defense must report violations to the President and Congress. OMB Cir. A-34, para. 32.2; DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 14, Ch. 7, para. E.
2. Contents of the report.
 - a. Administrative information;

- b. Nature of the violation;
- c. Identification of the responsible individual;
- d. Cause and circumstances of the violation;
- e. Administrative discipline imposed;
- f. Actions taken to correct the violation; and
- g. Statement of the responsible individual.

XII. CONTRACTOR RECOVERY WHEN THE ACT IS VIOLATED.

A. Recovery Under the Contract.

1. A contract may be null and void if the contractor knew, or should have known, of a specific spending prohibition. Hooe v. United States, 218 U.S. 322 (1910) (contract funded with specific appropriation). Cf. American Tel. and Tel. Co. v. United States, 177 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1999).
2. Where contractors have not been responsible for exceeding a statutory funding limitation, the courts have declined to penalize them. See, e.g., Ross Constr. v. United States, 392 F.2d 984 (1968); Anthony P. Miller, Inc. v. United States, 348 F.2d 475 (1965).
3. The exercise of an option may be inoperative if the government violates a funding limitation. The contractor may be entitled to an equitable adjustment for performing under the “invalid” option. See Holly Corp., ASBCA No. 24975, 83-1 BCA ¶ 16,327.

B. Quasi-Contractual Recovery. Even if a contract is unenforceable or void, a contractor may be entitled to compensation under the equitable theories of quantum meruit (for services) or quantum valebant (for goods). 31 U.S.C. § 3702; Prestex Inc. v. United States, 320 F.2d 367 (Ct. Cl. 1963); Claim of Manchester Airport Auth. for Reimbursement of Oil Spill Clean-up Expenses, B-221604, Mar. 16, 1987, 87-1 CPD ¶ 287; Department of Labor--Request for Advance Decision, B-211213, 62 Comp. Gen. 337 (1983).

C. Referral of Claims to Congress. The GAO may refer non-payable claims to Congress. 31 U.S.C. § 3702(d); Campanella Constr. Co., B-194135, Nov. 19, 1979, 79-2 CPD ¶ 361.

Final Discussion Problem: For years, the Army owned an administrative office building adjacent to Fort Mojave. Several months ago, the MACOM Facilities Inspection Team directed the Commander of Fort Mojave to make several upgrades to the building. Fort Mojave's Engineer obtained funds for the project and forwarded a purchase request to the contracting officer. This document certified that \$70,000 O&M was available for the project. Two months later, the contracting officer awarded an \$82,000 contract to Constructors, Limited. To date, the contractor has received \$40,000 in progress payments. Yesterday, the Engineer learned that, in keeping with the installation closure plan, the Corps of Engineers had conveyed the building to the State one month before the award of the renovation contract. Any problems here?

XIII. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 7

NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	What are Nonappropriated funds (NAFs)?	1
B.	Subject to controlled use.....	1
C.	Audited	1
II.	REFERENCES.....	2
III.	DEFINITIONS.....	4
A.	Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality (NAFI).....	4
B.	Morale, Welfare and Recreation Program (MWR)	4
C.	Army MWR Fund (AMWRF).....	4
D.	Installation MWR Fund (IMWRF).....	4
E.	Chaplain's Fund	5
F.	Unit Funds.....	5
IV.	CASH MANAGEMENT, BUDGETING, AND SOURCES OF NAFI REVENUE.....	5
A.	NAFI Cash Management Standard	5
B.	NAFI Budgeting	5
C.	NAFI Revenue Sources.....	6

V. MANAGEMENT OF MWR ACTIVITIES.	7
A. Army.....	7
B. Air Force.....	10
C. Navy.....	11
D. Marine Corps.	11
VI. FUNDING SUPPORT OF MWR ACTIVITIES.	11
A. Funding Standards	11
B. APF Support of MWR.....	12
VII. USE OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS	16
A. The use of NAFs is limited	16
B. NAFs may not be used for certain things	16
VIII. FUNDING PROGRAMS FOR CONSTRUCTION.....	17
A. Determined by category	17
B. Sometimes jointly funded.....	17
IX. NAF FISCAL ISSUES.....	18
A. DOD MWR Funding Policy.....	18
B. Use of NAF employees to perform APF functions.....	19
C. Use of APF employees to perform NAF functions beyond those authorized	19

D. Contracting with NAFIs.....	19
E. 10 U.S.C. § 2424.....	19
F. Golf Courses	19
G. MWR patronage eligibility.....	19
H. Billeting Operations.....	20
I. MWR during mobilization, contingency, and wartime operations.....	20
J. Public Private Ventures (PPV)	20
K. Advertising	20
L. Commercial Sponsorship	20
M. Army 10 Miler	20
X. MWR INFORMATION RESOURCES, ...	21
A. Army.....	21
B. Air Force.....	21
C. Navy.....	21
D. Marine Corps.	22
XI. CONCLUSION, ...	22
APPENDIX A. 10 USC § 2783.....	22

CHAPTER 7

NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS

I. INTRODUCTION.

- A. What are Nonappropriated funds (NAFs)? NAFs are monies which are not appropriated by the Congress of the United States. These funds are separate and apart from funds that are recorded in the books of the U.S. Treasury. Within the Department of Defense (DOD), NAFs come primarily from the sale of goods and services to military and civilian personnel and their family members, and are used to support Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR), billeting, and certain religious and educational programs. NAFs are government funds used for the collective benefit of military personnel, their family members, and authorized civilians. DOD 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, Volume 13, Chapter 1, para. 010101.
- B. NAFs are Government funds subject to controlled use. All DOD personnel have a fiduciary responsibility to use NAFs properly and prevent waste, loss, mismanagement, or unauthorized use. Violators are subject to administrative and criminal sanctions. See the NAF Act, 10 U.S.C. § 2783. (Appendix A to this outline).
- C. NAFs are audited.
 - 1. Comptroller General. The Comptroller General has statutory authority to audit the operations and accounts of each nonappropriated fund and related activities authorized or operated by the head of an executive agency to sell goods or services to United States government personnel and their dependents. 31 U.S.C. § 3525. For example, see Nonappropriated Funds, Opportunities to Improve DOD's Concessions Committee, GAO/NSIADF/AIMD-98-119, April 30, 1998.
 - 2. Agency Inspector Generals. The DOD IG has issued reports on Navy Ship Stores Operations (Report No. 96-123, May 17, 1996), and the Armed Forces Recreation Center - Orlando (Report No. 95-308, September 21, 1995).

II. REFERENCES.

- A. 10 U.S.C. § 2783, Nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: financial management and use of nonappropriated funds.
- B. DOD Directive (DODD) 1015.1, Establishment, Management, and Control of Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities, August 19, 1981.
- C. DODD 1015.2, Military Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR), June 14, 1995.
- D. DODD 1015.6, Funding of Morale, Welfare and Recreation Programs, August 3, 1984.
- E. DODD 1015.11, Lodging Resource Policy, December 9, 1996.
- F. DODD 1330.9, Armed Services Exchange Regulations, December 15, 1986.
- G. DOD 7000.14-R, DOD Financial Management Regulation, Volume 13, Nonappropriated Funds Policy and Procedures.
- H. DODI 1000.15, Private Organizations on DOD Installations, October 23, 1997.
- I. DODI 1015.10, Programs for Military Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR), November 3, 1995.
- J. DODI 1015.12, Lodging Program Resource Management, October 30, 1996.
- K. DODI 1015.13, Department of Defense Procedures for Implementing Public-Private Ventures (PPVs) for Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) Category C Revenue-Generating Activities, June 17, 1998.
- L. DODI 7000.12, Financial Management of Morale, Welfare and Recreational Activities, May 27, 1987.

- M. Assistant Secretary of Defense (Force Management Policy) Memorandum, Subject: DOD Morale, Welfare and Recreation Utilization, Support and Accountability (DOD MWR USA) Practice, July 23, 1997.
- N. Army Regulation (AR) 165-1 Chaplain Activities in the United States Army, February 27, 1998.
- O. AR 215-1, Morale, Welfare and Recreation Activities and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities, 25 October 1998.
- P. Army MWR Academy Senior Managers' Handbook (obtained at www.armymwr.com under "MWR Academy; Publications").
- Q. AR 60-10/AFR 147-7, Army and Air Force Exchange Service General Policies, 17 June 1988.
- R. AR 60-20/AFR 147-14, Army and Air Force Exchange Service Operating Policies, 15 December 1992.
- S. Air Force Instruction (AFI) 34-201, Use of Nonappropriated Funds, 25 July 1994.
- T. AFI 34-262, Services Programs and Use Eligibility, 1 March 1999.
- U. AFI 65-106, Appropriated Fund Support of MWR and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities, 28 October 1994.
- V. SECNAVINST 1700.12, Operation of Morale, Welfare and Recreation Activities, 18 September 1997.

III. DEFINITIONS.

- A. Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality (NAFI).¹
1. An integral organization and fiscal entity that performs an essential Government function. It acts in its own name to provide or assist other DOD organizations in providing MWR programs for military personnel, their families, and authorized civilians. It is established and maintained individually or jointly by two or more DOD components. As a fiscal entity, it maintains custody and control over its NAFs, equipment, facilities, land, and other assets. It enjoys the legal status of an instrumentality of the United States. DODD 1015.1, Enclosure 2, Definitions; AR 215-1, Glossary.
 2. In Standard Oil Co. of California v. Johnson, 316 U.S. 481 (1942), the Supreme Court concluded that post exchanges were an integral part of the War Department and enjoyed whatever immunities the Constitution and federal statutes provided the Federal Government.
- B. Morale, Welfare and Recreation Program (MWR). Those military programs (exclusive of private organizations) on military installations or on property controlled (by lease or other means) by a Military Department or furnished by a DOD contractor that provide for the esprit de corps, comfort, pleasure, contentment, as well as mental and physical productivity of authorized DOD personnel. They include recreational and leisure-time programs, self-development programs, resale merchandise and services, or general welfare programs. AR 215-1, Glossary.
- C. Army MWR Fund (AMWRF). Army central NAFI managed by the U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center (USACFSC) that provides up to 90% of funds for approved NAF major construction and supports other Army-wide MWR programs.
- D. Installation MWR Fund (IMWRF). A NAFI established for the purpose of providing installation/community MWR activities, including food and beverage, retail recreation, lodging, and community support services.

¹ The Department of Defense is not the only agency which has NAFIs. For example, the Department of Agriculture's Graduate School receives no APF support. The school's only source of income is the tuition and fees it charges its students. Congress authorizes the Graduate School to function as a NAFI under 7 U.S.C. § 2279b.

- E. Chaplain's Fund. Every Chaplain's Fund is legally constituted as an instrumentality of the United States. The funds are NAFs that provide supplemental support for the religious practices and requirements of DOD personnel and family members. AR 165-1, para. 14-2.
- F. Unit Funds. Separate funds established, managed, and administered at the unit level for isolated active duty units or reserve component units or personnel performing annual training. NAF support is provided by the coordinating installation, usually the one nearest the supported unit. AR 215-1, Chapter 5, section IV; DOD 7000.14-R, Volume 13, Chapter 9.

IV. CASH MANAGEMENT, BUDGETING, AND SOURCES OF NAFI REVENUE.

- A. NAFI Cash Management Standard. AR 215-1, para. 11-5.
 - 1. All NAFIs are required to generate sufficient cash and a positive net income before depreciation which, when coupled with existing funds, will permit the NAFI to fund all of its operating and capital requirements, with the exception of major construction, which is funded by the AMWRF.
 - 2. Each NAFI must produce adequate revenues to cover operating and capital requirements while maintaining a cash to debt ratio between 1:1 and 2:1 (total cash divided by current liabilities).²
- B. NAFI Budgeting.
 - 1. Each IMWRF budget is submitted to its MACOM, and will include:
 - a. a commander's narrative;
 - b. annual operating budget;

² Navy regulations require that NAFIs "break even," achieved when net income equals net expenses, including depreciation expense. SECNA VINST 1700.12, para. 5.

- c. five-year financial plan;
 - d. monthly and five-year cash projections; and
 - e. construction, capital purchase, and maintenance and repair budget and schedule.
- 2. MACOMs will review and approve installation and community budgets unless authority is deferred to USACFSC. Consolidated MACOM budgets are submitted to USACFSC by 1 September.

C. NAFI Revenue Sources.

- 1. Funding of IMWRFs.
 - a. Commonly known as the single fund, an IMWRF is the NAFI under which most installation MWR activities are organized and NAFs administered.³
 - b. Some MWR activities, such as exchange activities, Civilian Post Restaurant Funds, Civilian Welfare Funds, and the Stars and Stripes newspaper, support the installation; however, their management structure is not within the purview of the Installation Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Fund (IMWRF). AR 215-1, para. 1-6; AFI 34-201, para. 1.5.
 - c. NAFs generated by each MWR activity are pooled into the IMWRF and allocated to MWR activities based on installation priorities and the provisions of AR 215-1. AR 215-1, para. 4-6.

³ AR 215-1, para. 5-2, requires the consolidation of separate NAFIs into the IMWRF unless there is no IMWRF or when impractical or prohibited. Consolidation minimizes the number of NAFIs and reduces overhead expenses.

- d. IMWRFs are sustained with locally generated NAFs and authorized APF support. The revenue comes from local sales of goods and services and user fees and charges. For example, the IMWRF receives locally generated income from AAFES package stores and telephone contract profits as earned, plus a percentage of AAFES revenue generated on the installation. AR 215-1, para. 11-6.
- e. MACOMs may subsidize unprofitable IMWRFs with surplus NAFs available from other MACOM IMWRFs. AR 215-1, para. 4-6b(1).

2. Funding of the AMWRF. AR 215-1, para. 11-8.

- a. Resources for the AMWRF are primarily obtained from recreation machine revenue, and from AAFES dividends and interest from the temporary investment of funds that have been programmed but not yet spent.
- b. Monthly Capital Reinvestment Assessment (CRA) is a percentage of total revenue from installation and MACOM NAFIs, as determined by the MWR Board of Directors (BOD) and published annually in the NAF budget letter of instruction. This amount is transferred to the AMWRF to assist in funding Army priorities.⁴
- c. AMWRF resources are devoted primarily to funding NAF major construction and other program investments. AR 215-1, para. 11-11.

V. MANAGEMENT OF MWR ACTIVITIES.

A. Army. AR 215-1, Chapter 2.

⁴ 10 U.S.C. § 2219 limits the amount of NAFs that an IMWRF can retain to that which is necessary to meet installation cash requirements. Amounts in excess of that amount shall be transferred to a single nonappropriated MWR account.

1. The Secretary of the Army establishes, maintains and disestablishes joint NAFIs, and coordinates joint Service matters with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. An example is the joint Army and Air Force regulation covering the Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES).
2. The Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management (ACSIM) is the Army staff proponent and focal point for all MWRs and NAFIs. The ACSIM exercises supervision over the U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center (USACFSC), a field operating agency of the Army.
3. The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Financial Management (ASA(FM)) provides financial oversight of appropriated funds (APFs) and nonappropriated funds (NAFs).
4. The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs (ASA(M&RA)) is responsible for MWR, NAFIs, and personnel. ASA(M&RA) serves on the MWR Board of Directors, along with six four-star commanders and the SGM of the Army.
5. The Commander, U.S. Army Community and Family Support Center (USACFSC):
 - a. Recommends MWR and NAF policy to the ACSIM;
 - b. Issues MWR NAF budget guidance, provides input to APF budget guidance, provides NAF financial oversight, and prescribes and uses effective management controls; and
 - c. Provides custody over all central NAFs maintained at USACFSC, and acts as administrator of the Army MWR fund (AMWRF). Examples of NAFIs that USACFSC centrally manages:
 - (1) Army Morale Welfare and Recreation Fund (AMWRF);
 - (2) Army Billeting Fund;

- (3) Army Recreation Machine Fund;
 - (4) Army Banking and Industrial Fund (ABIF);
 - (5) Army Central Insurance Fund;
 - (6) Hale Koa Armed Forces Recreation Center;
 - (7) Armed Forces Recreation Center-Orlando (Shades of Green);
 - (8) Armed Forces Recreation Center - Europe, Garmisch and Chiemsee, Germany;
 - (9) Dragon Hill Lodge, Seoul, Korea.
6. Commanders of major Army commands (MACOMs) exercise budget oversight of MWR activities and NAFIs operated by installations and unit funds, and ensure APFs and NAFs are used as authorized.
7. Installation Commanders:
- a. plan, manage, and operate MWR programs;
 - b. plan, manage, and operate the installation MWR fund (IMWRF), and other installation NAFIs based on mission requirements, community needs, and DA requirements; and
 - c. Appoint NAFI fund managers⁵ and councils.⁶

⁵ Duties of fund managers are detailed in AR 215-1, para. 5-6.

⁶ NAFI council guidelines are at AR 215-1, paras. 5-8 through 5-10.

8. The Director of Personnel and Community Activities (DPCA or DCA):
 - a. serves as the installation fund manager;
 - b. advises the command on MWR activities;
 - c. plans, develops and implements through subordinates all aspects of the MWR; and
 - d. ensures program operations maintain the fiscal integrity of the fund.

B. Air Force. AFI 34-201, Chapter 2.

1. The Secretary of the Air Force gives the authority to administer NAFs and NAFIs to the Air Force Chief of Staff.
2. Major Commander (MAJCOM):
 - a. Approves the establishment of base and isolated unit NAFIs;
 - b. Supervises all NAFIs within the command and administers command-level NAFIs; and
 - c. Appoints a NAF council and finance and audit committee to help administer and supervise command-level NAFs.
3. Installation Commander (wing commander or equivalent):
 - a. Requests MAJCOM approval to establish base-level NAFIs; and
 - b. Appoints a custodian for each NAFI and appoints a NAF council.

4. At base level, the resource management flight chief (RMFC) acts as single custodian of all NAFIs serviced by the NAF accounting office.

C. Navy.

1. The Navy has a Morale, Welfare and Recreation Division (PERS-65), composed of nine branches, located in Millington, TN.
2. Chaired by the Vice Chief of Naval Operations, a MWR/Navy Exchange (NEX) Board of Directors (BOD) makes major policy and business decisions for both programs.
3. The Navy is regionalizing its MWR NAF activities.

D. Marine Corps.

1. The Personal and Family Readiness Division, under the staff cognizance of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, is responsible for providing Service policy and resources to support commanders in executing quality Personal and Family programs.
2. The MWR Policy Review Board makes recommendations on major MWR policy matters to the Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps. Marine Corps Order 1700.26C.

VI. **FUNDING SUPPORT OF MWR ACTIVITIES.**

- A. Funding Standards. MWR programs are dual funded and rely on a mix of appropriated (APF) and nonappropriated (NAF) funds. The DOD basic standard, regardless of category, is to use APFs to fund 100 percent of costs for which MWR activities are authorized.⁷ AR 215-1, para. 11-4a. NAFs are used to supplement APF shortfalls or fund activities not authorized APF support. See generally AR 215-1, para. 4-11.

⁷ The DOD goal is to obtain 100% APF for Category A and 65% for Category B activities.

1. NAFs are generated primarily by sales, fees, and charges to authorized patrons.
 2. APFs are provided primarily through operations and maintenance and military construction appropriations.
- B. APF Support of MWR. Appendix D to AR 215-1 contains the specific areas of support that Army commanders may fund with APFs. Attachment 1 to AFI 65-106 contains similar guidance for Air Force Management and Funding.
1. APF support can be direct, indirect, or common.
 - a. Direct APF Support. Generally limited to Category A and B MWR activities. Includes support or expenses incurred in the management, administration, and operation of MWR activities or common support functions. It includes those costs that directly relate to, or are incurred by, the operation of the MWR facilities.
 - b. Indirect APF Support. All MWR activities receive and are authorized indirect APF support which is historically provided to all installation facilities and functions. Such support mutually benefits MWR and non-MWR. E.g., health, safety (police and fire), security, grounds and facility maintenance and repair.
 - c. Common MWR Support. APF support to fund the management, administration, and operation of more than one MWR program or category, where such support is not easily or readily identifiable to a specific MWR program or to solely Category C MWR activities. E.g., central accounting office, civilian personnel office, central procurement.
 - d. Support Agreements. NAFIs and installation support elements will enter into agreements on the type of support required and resources to be expended. When the service is not authorized APFs, but the support element provides the service, the NAFI reimburses the Government for the service based upon the support agreement. AR 215-1, para. 4-2e.

2. MWR Categories. Fund support for MWR activities depends on the funding category of the activity, which is based on the relationship of the activity to readiness factors and the ability of the activity to generate revenue. There are three primary funding categories of MWR activities. They are:
 - a. Category A - Mission Sustaining Activities. Commanders fund these activities almost entirely with APFs. The use of NAFs is limited to:
 - (1) Specific expenses for which APFs are not authorized; or
 - (2) When such use is not otherwise prohibited and it has been certified in writing that APF support is not available. AR 215-1, para. 4-1a; See also AFI 65-106, para. 2.1.1.
 - (3) Examples of Category A activities:
 - (a) Libraries and Information Services;
 - (b) Recreation Centers;
 - (c) Gymnasiums, fieldhouses, pools for aquatic training, and other physical fitness facilities/training programs; and
 - (d) Armed Forces Professional Entertainment Program Overseas.
 - b. Category B - Community Support Activities. These activities provide community support systems that help to make military bases temporary hometowns for a mobile military population. They receive a substantial amount of APF support, but can generate NAF revenue. AR 215-1, para. 4-1b; AFI 65-106, para. 2.1.2.
 - (1) Examples:

- (a) Arts and Crafts;
 - (b) Bowling centers (12 lanes or less);
 - (c) Child development services;
 - (d) Information, ticketing, and registration services;
 - (e) Outdoor recreation programs, such as archery ranges, beach facilities, garden plots, hunting/fishing areas, marinas without retail sales or private boat berthing, outdoor recreation checkout centers; and
 - (f) Stars and Stripes newspaper.
- c. Category C - Revenue-Generating Activities. These activities have less impact on readiness, and are capable of generating enough income to cover most of their operating expenses. They receive very limited APF support. AR 215-1, para. 4-1c; AFI 65-106, para. 2.1.3.
 - (1) Remote or isolated sites approved by Congress.
 - (a) Category C MWR activities at sites designated as remote or isolated to receive APFs on the same basis as Category B MWR activities. APFs are also authorized for use to equip, operate, or maintain golf courses at remote/isolated sites and at sites located OCONUS. AR 215-1, para. 4-4.

- (b) AF regulations generally authorize Category B-level APF support for Category C activities at approved remote and isolated locations, except for AAFES equipment and supplies, or equipment used for generating revenue, or for providing a paid service (such as point of sales systems, bowling center pinsetters, golf carts, slot machines). AFI 65-106, para. 3.1.

(2) Examples of Category C Revenue-Generating Activities:

- (a) Armed Forces Recreation Centers;
- (b) Bingo;
- (c) Bowling centers (over 12 lanes);
- (d) Outdoor recreation, including cabin/cottage operations, rod and gun activities, skiing operations, stables, flying activities; and
- (e) Military clubs.

d. Supplemental Mission NAF Accounts do not support and are not part of the MWR program, but are established to provide a NAF adjunct to APF mission activities. AR 215-1, para. 4-7 and 4-8; AFI 65-106, para. 2.2. Examples include:

- (1) Army Community Services (ACS);
- (2) Veterinary services;
- (3) Fisher House funds;

- (4) Vehicle registration funds;
- (5) Fort Leavenworth U.S. Disciplinary Barracks funds; and
- (6) USMA funds.

VII. USE OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUNDS.

A. The use of NAFs is limited. AR 215-1, para. 4-11.

- 1. In all cases, NAFs are used judiciously and not as a matter of convenience.
- 2. NAFs are returned to authorized patrons by providing needed MWR services and capital improvements. AR 215-1, para. 1-8; AFI 34-201, para. 4.1.
- 3. Prices, user fees, and charges are structured to meet cash management goals for sustainment of a NAFI and its operations, to cover capital requirements and overhead expenses, and to satisfy budget requirements for support of other MWR activities dependent upon the NAFI.
AR 215-1, para. 7-5.
- 4. Funds from supplemental NAFIs support only the requirements for which they were established. Aaron v. United States, 27 Fed. Cl. 295 (1992) (class action suit challenging excess vehicle registration fees used to fund MWR activities); GAO Report to the Chairman, Subcommittee on Defense, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives, B-238071, Army Housing Overcharges and Inefficient Use of On-Base Lodging Divert Training Funds, Sep. 1990 (finding improper the Army's use of profits from housing TDY soldiers for the benefit of MWR activities).

B. NAFs may not be used to :

- 1. Accomplish any purpose that cannot withstand the test of public scrutiny or which could be considered a waste of soldier's dollars. AR 215-1, para. 4-12a.

2. Pay costs of items or services authorized to be paid from APFs when APFs are available. AR 215-1, para. 4-12b. Exceptions to this policy include:
 - a. when the appropriate official certifies in writing that APFs cannot satisfy the requirement;
 - b. when functions, programs, and activities to be funded with NAFs are integral to the functions for which the NAFI was established; and
 - c. when the DOD MWR Utilization, Support and Accountability (USA) policy applies.
3. Support private organizations. AR 215-1, para. 4-12c; AFI 34-201, para. 4.2.22.
4. Contract with Government personnel, military or civilian, except as authorized in AR 215-4. AR 215-1, para. 4-12n.
5. Support non-MWR functions. Army regulations specifically prohibit use of NAFs for any expense for a retirement ceremony, command representation, or other specific benefit for select individuals or groups. AR 215-1, para. 4-12i. However, the Air Force allows the use of NAFs to fund change of command ceremonies on a “modest” basis, as established by MAJCOM commanders. AFI 34-201, para. 12.4.8.
6. Purchase personal items such as memo pads or greeting cards, including personalized memo pads to be used at work. AR 215-1, para. 4-12k. See also AFI 34-201, para. 4.2.17.

VIII. FUNDING PROGRAMS FOR CONSTRUCTION.

- A. APF support for construction of MWR facilities is generally determined by the category of the MWR activity. AR 215-1, para. 10-4b.

- B. Some MWR construction projects are jointly funded by APFs and NAFs. AR 215-1, para. 10-4c.

IX. NAF FISCAL ISSUES.

- A. DOD MWR Funding Policy. DOD is currently assessing the Uniform Resource Demonstration (URD) and the MWR Utilization, Support and Accountability (USA) Practice, the results of which will form the basis for DOD MWR funding policy. Both practices are designed to give MWR managers funding flexibility.
 - 1. The URD was created by the FY 1996 Defense Authorization Act. Pub. L. No. 104-106, § 335, 110 Stat. 186, 262 (1996).
 - a. The URD concept was tested at six installations. Fort Campbell and White Sands Missile Range represented the Army.
 - b. Participating installations used NAF laws and regulations to spend APFs authorized for MWR programs.
 - c. The URD has expired. However, the Army has proposed legislation making the program permanent.
 - 2. DOD established the USA Practice in 1997 through a directive-type memorandum. Memorandum from Assistant Secretary of Defense (Force Management and Policy), dated 23 July 1997, subject: DOD Morale, Welfare and Recreation Utilization, Support and Accountability Practice.
 - a. Commanders and APF and NAF resource managers execute a Memorandum of Agreement to use NAFs to provide APF authorized services in support of MWR programs.
 - b. Authorized services include personnel services, supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment, routine maintenance, and other operating expenses for specified MWR programs.

- c. The NAFI receives APF payment for these services from operating accounts that support the installation's base operations.
 - d. NAFIs must keep an accounting of the funds. If the NAFI will not obligate the funds before they expire, the NAFI must return the funds for obligation elsewhere.
- B. Use of NAF employees to perform APF functions. An example is using a NAF contracting officer to perform APF contract actions. This constitutes augmentation of appropriations and violates the Antideficiency Act.
- C. Use of APF employees to perform NAF functions beyond those which are authorized. This violates the Purpose Statute and the Antideficiency Act.
- D. Contracting with NAFIs. 10 U.S.C. § 2482a. This statute states that a DOD agency or instrumentality that supports the operation of the DOD exchange or MWR system may enter into a contract or other agreement with another element of DOD or with another Federal department, agency, or instrumentality to provide or obtain goods and services beneficial to the exchange or MWR system.
- E. 10 U.S.C. § 2424. The DOD can contract noncompetitively with overseas exchanges in an amount not exceeding \$50,000.
- F. Golf Courses. Unless the DOD golf course is located outside the United States or designated as a remote and isolated location, APFs may not be used to equip, operate, or maintain it. 10 U.S.C. § 2246. See also Prohibition on Use of APF for Defense Golf Courses, B-277905, Mar. 17, 1998 (APFs cannot be used to install or maintain "greywater" pipelines on an Army golf course).
- G. MWR patronage eligibility. See DODI 1015.10, Encl. 3. Programs are established primarily for active duty, but 26 categories of authorized patrons are listed in Table 6-1 of AR 215-1, Chapter 6. Before expanding the patron base for MWR usage, consider such things as congressional and regulatory requirements, and affect on customer service. With ASA(MRA) approval, Category C activities can be opened up to the general public.

- H. Billeting Operations. Effective 1 October 1999, the Army consolidated its Temporary Duty and Guest house billeting operations under the Billeting fund.
 - 1. The AMWRF will reimburse the IMWRFs for un-depreciated value of guest houses.
 - 2. IMWRFs will no longer receive the revenues from its guest house (MWR Category C) operations.
- I. MWR during mobilization, contingency, and wartime operations.
AR 215-1, Chapter 8, Section IV.
- J. Public Private Ventures (PPV). See DODI 1015.13, Jun. 17, 1998, and AR 215-1, para. 10-12. Private sector built/operated facilities or services on installations in exchange for discounted fees and a return to the installation MWR fund. USACFSC is the sole Army agency authorized to award MWR PPV contracts.
- K. Advertising. DOD INSTR. 1015.10, ENCL. 10; AR 215-1, para. 7-44. NAFIs (excluding exchanges) may advertise in civilian media, and may also sell space for advertising by civilian companies in their MWR media.
- L. Commercial Sponsorship. DOD INSTR. 1015.10, ENCL. 9; AR 215-1, para. 7-47. Commercial sponsorship is a contractual agreement between the military and the sponsor. The military provides access to its advertising market, and the sponsor provides support to an event.
- M. Army 10 Miler. Installations may not use APFs to fund travel to the Army 10 Miler. They may, however, use NAFs when the installation fund manager approves such funds, and if the opportunity to use such funds is open to everyone. Memorandum, Army Deputy General Counsel (Ethics and Fiscal), to Command Judge Advocate, Army Community and Family Support Center, subject: Use of Appropriated Funds for Travel to Army 10-Miler Race (20 Mar. 2000).

X. MWR INFORMATION RESOURCES.

A. Army.

1. Newsletter, ***MWR Feedback***, publishes information about Army MWR programs, funding, and other miscellaneous issues.
2. Website at <www.armymwr.com> contains a wealth of user-friendly Army MWR information, including official information such as MWR annual reports, AR 215-1 on-line, and NAF construction, and “unofficial” information about MWR sports, youth, and other programs.

B. Air Force.

1. Newsletter, ***USAF Services News & Views***, publishes information about Air Force MWR programs.
2. Website at <<http://www.afsv.af.mil>> contains information about Air Force MWR programs such as NAF purchasing, marketing and sponsorship, as well as information on child development centers, youth programs, outdoor recreation, food services, sports and fitness, lodging, and a wealth of other MWR services.

C. Navy.

1. Newsletter, ***Undercurrents***, includes information about MWR business activities and programs.
2. Website at <<http://www.mwr.navy.mil>> includes information about Navy MWR programs and policies, as well as a Headquarters Phone Book and a link to employment opportunities.
3. Navy Guidebook, ***APF Helpful Hints***, is published to assist in evaluating appropriate APF support for specific elements within an MWR operation. It is available at <<http://www.mwr.navy.mil/subpages/mwrnews.htm>>

D. Marine Corps.

1. The Marine Corps Community Service (MCCS) website at <www.usmc-mccs.org> includes information regarding USMC MWR programs, personnel, and policy.
2. The MCCS Headquarters has begun publishing a quarterly newsletter called *MCCS Vision*. It is available at the MCCS website.

XI. CONCLUSION.

APPENDIX A

10 U.S.C. §2783

Nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: financial management and use of nonappropriated funds

(a) Regulation of management and use of nonappropriated funds. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations governing--

(1) the purposes for which nonappropriated funds of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the United States within the Department of Defense may be expended; and

(2) the financial management of such funds to prevent waste, loss, or unauthorized use.

(b) Penalties for violations.

(1) A civilian employee of the Department of Defense who is paid from nonappropriated funds and who commits a substantial violation of the regulations prescribed under subsection (a) shall be subject to the same penalties as are provided by law for misuse of appropriations by a civilian employee of the Department of Defense paid from appropriated funds. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this paragraph.

(2) The Secretary shall provide in regulations that a violation of the regulations prescribed under subsection (a) by a person subject to chapter 47 of this title [10 USC § 801 et seq.] (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is punishable as a violation of section 892 of this title (article 92 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(c) Notification of violations.

(1) A civilian employee of the Department of Defense (whether paid from nonappropriated funds or from appropriated funds), and a member of the armed forces, whose duties include the obligation of nonappropriated funds, shall notify the Secretary of Defense of information which the person reasonably believes evidences--

(A) a violation by another person of any law, rule, or regulation regarding the management of such funds; or

(B) other mismanagement or gross waste of such funds.

(2) The Secretary of Defense shall designate civilian employees of the Department of Defense or members of the armed forces to receive a notification described in paragraph (1) and ensure the prompt investigation of the validity of information provided in the notification.

(3) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to protect the confidentiality of a person making a notification under paragraph (1).

CHAPTER 8

REVOLVING FUNDS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Objectives.....	1
B.	Background.....	1
II.	STATUTORY BASIS AND REQUIREMENTS	2
A.	Working-Capital Funds	2
B.	Management Funds	6
C.	Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF)	6
III.	GENERAL CONCEPT OF A REVOLVING FUND.....	7
IV.	DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	8
A.	Types of Funds.....	8
B.	The Charter.....	10
C.	Policy.....	10
D.	Apportionments and Budgetary Resources	13
V.	GENERAL FISCAL PRINCIPLES RELATED TO REVOLVING FUNDS	13
A.	The Miscellaneous Receipts Statute.....	13
B.	The Purpose Statute	15
C.	The Bona Fide Needs Rule	16
VI.	REVOLVING FUNDS AND THE RULES OF OBLIGATION	17
A.	Customer Orders.....	17
B.	Obligations of Revolving Funds.....	18

VII. VIOLATIONS OF THE ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT	18
A. Types of Violations.....	18
B. Application of the Antideficiency Act to Reimbursable Orders	19
C. Other Possible Antideficiency Act Violations	20
VIII. CONCLUSION	20
APPENDIX A REVOLVING FUND CYCLE.....	21

CHAPTER 8

REVOLVING FUNDS

I. INTRODUCTION.

A. Objectives. Following this block of instruction, students will:

1. Understand the statutes and regulations governing revolving funds.
2. Understand how cash flows into and out of revolving funds.

B. Background. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090102; OGC Fiscal Law Outline, Section Q (last updated Dec. 2, 1998) <http://www.hqda.army.mil/ogc>.

1. Revolving funds satisfy the DOD's recurring requirements using a business-like buyer-and-seller approach.
 - a. The revolving fund structure creates a customer-provider relationship between military operating units and their support organizations.
 - b. The intent of this structure is to make decision-makers at all levels more aware of the costs of goods and services by making military operating units pay for the support they receive.
2. Revolving funds are not profit-oriented entities.
 - a. The goal of a revolving fund is to break even over the long term.
 - b. Revolving funds stabilize or fix their selling prices to protect customers from unforeseen fluctuations.

3. In the past, there were two distinct types of revolving funds.
 - a. The DOD used stock funds to procure material in bulk from commercial sources, hold it in inventory, and sell it to authorized customers.
 - b. The DOD used industrial funds to provide industrial and commercial goods and services (e.g., depot maintenance, transportation, and research and development) to authorized customers.
4. In 1991, Congress established the Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF). The DBOF combined the DOD's existing stock and industrial funds into a single revolving fund.
5. In 1996, the DOD Comptroller reorganized the DBOF and created several working capital funds including an Army Working Capital Fund, a Navy Working Capital Fund, an Air Force Working Capital Fund, and a Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund.¹
6. In 1998, Congress repealed the statutory authority for the DBOF.

II. STATUTORY BASIS AND REQUIREMENTS.

- A. Working-Capital Funds. 10 U.S.C. §§ 2208(a)-(b). The Secretary of Defense may request the Secretary of the Treasury to establish working-capital funds.
 1. Purpose. 10 U.S.C. § 2208(a). The DOD uses working-capital funds to:
 - a. Finance inventories of supplies;
 - b. Provide working capital for industrial-type activities; and

¹ In 1997, the DOD Comptroller established a separate working-capital fund for the Defense Commissary Agency. This working-capital fund took effect in FY 1999.

- c. Provide working capital for commercial-type activities that provide common services within or among the DOD's various departments and agencies.
- 2. Goal. 10 U.S.C. §§ 2208(a), (e). The DOD's goal is to account for and control program costs and work as economically and efficiently as possible.
- 3. Operating Expenses. 10 U.S.C. §§ 2208(c)-(d), (g)-(h). Working-capital funds pay for their own operations.
 - a. Congress normally appropriates funds to capitalize working-capital funds initially; however, the Secretary of Defense may also provide capitalizing inventories.
 - b. Working-capital funds pay the cost of:
 - (1) Supplies acquired, manufactured, repaired, issued, or used;
 - (2) Services or work performed; and
 - (3) Applicable administrative expenses.
 - c. Customers then reimburse working-capital funds from:
 - (1) Available appropriations; or
 - (2) Funds otherwise credited for those costs.²

² Requisitioning agencies may not incur costs for goods or services that exceed the amount of their appropriations or other available funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2208(f).

4. Contracting in Advance of the Availability of Funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2208(k). Working-capital funds may contract in advance of the availability of funds for:
 - a. Unspecified minor military construction projects costing more than \$100,000;
 - b. Automatic data processing equipment or software costing more than \$100,000;
 - c. Other equipment costing more than \$100,000; and
 - d. Other capital improvements costing more than \$100,000.
5. Advance Billing. 10 U.S.C. § 2208(l). The Secretary of a military department may bill a customer before it delivers the goods or services.³
 - a. The Secretary concerned must notify Congress of the advanced billing within 30 days of the end of the month in which it made the advanced billing.⁴
 - b. The notification must include:
 - (1) The reason(s) for the advance billing;
 - (2) An analysis of the effects of the advance billing on military readiness; and
 - (3) An analysis of the effects of the advance billing on the customer.

³ But see National Technical Information Service – Use of Customer Advance Deposits for Operating Expenses, B-243710, 71 Comp. Gen. 224, 226 (1992) (concluding that NTIS had no authority to use customer advances that were not directly related to a firm order to pay its operating expenses).

⁴ The Secretary of Defense may waive the notification requirement during a war, national emergency, or contingency operation. 10 U.S.C. 2208(l)(2).

6. 10 U.S.C. § 2208(l). Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999, Pub.L. No. 105-261, §§ 1007-1008, 112 Stat. 1921, 2114 (1998).
 - a. The DOD may not impose advance billings totaling more than \$1 billion per year.
 - b. The Secretary of Defense must account for, report, and audit the funds and activities managed through working-capital funds separately.
 - c. Charges for the goods and services provided through a working-capital fund must include amounts necessary to recover:
 - (1) The full costs of the goods and services provided; and
 - (2) The depreciation of the fund's capital assets.
 - d. Charges for the goods and services provided through a working-capital fund may not include amounts necessary to recover:
 - (1) The costs of military construction projects other than minor military construction projects financed under 10 U.S.C. § 2805(c)(1);
 - (2) The costs incurred to close or realign military installations; or
 - (3) The costs associated with mission critical functions.
 - e. The Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments must establish billing procedures to ensure that the balance in their working-capital fund does not exceed the amount necessary to operate the fund.

B. Management Funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2209.

1. The DOD uses management funds to conduct operations:
 - a. Financed by at least two appropriations;
 - b. Whose costs may not be distributed and charged to those appropriations immediately.
2. There is an Army Management Fund, a Navy Management Fund, and an Air Force Management Fund.
3. Each fund consists of:
 - a. A corpus of \$1 million; and
 - b. Any additional funds appropriated to the fund.
4. A military department may use a management fund to procure goods and services; however, the military department responsible for the procurement must have appropriations available to reimburse the fund immediately.

C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF). 10 U.S.C. § 2216a.

1. Background.
 - a. Congress established the DBOF as a revolving fund in 1991 and authorized its indefinite continuation in 1994. National Defense Authorization Act, 1995, Pub. L. No. 103-337, § 311, 108 Stat. 2663, 2708-09 (1994).

b. The DBOF consolidated:

(1) Nine stock and industrial funds; and

(2) Five defense business functions.

2. Current Status. The DBOF is **DEAD**. Congress **REPEALED** 10 U.S.C. § 2216a in October 1998. See Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999, Pub.L. No. 105-261, § 1008(b), 112 Stat. 1921, 2114 (1998).

III. GENERAL CONCEPT OF A REVOLVING (OR WORKING CAPITAL) FUND. See Appendix A.

- A. Definition. “Accounts authorized by specific provisions of law to finance a continuing cycle of business-type operations, and which are authorized to incur obligations and expenditures that generate receipts.” DoD Financial Management Reg., DoD Reg. 7000.14-R, Definitions, www.dtic/comptroller/fmr/01/index.html (visited 21 Sep 2000).
- B. Revolving funds are designed to give management personnel the financial authority and flexibility necessary to adjust their operations.
1. Funding is not tied to a particular fiscal year.
2. Revolving funds operate under a buyer/seller or provider/customer relationship concept.
- C. Revolving funds derive their name from the cyclic nature of their cash flow. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 19, para. 1902; see also DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090102.
1. Congress normally provides appropriations to start, increase, or restore a revolving fund.

- a. Working capital and assets may also be transferred from existing appropriations and fund accounts.
 - b. These resources are commonly referred to as “the corpus” of the fund.
- 2. Customer orders provide the budgetary resources necessary to finance the revolving fund’s continued operations.
 - a. The fund sells inventory and/or services to authorized customers.
 - b. The fund then deposits the proceeds of the sales back into the fund to pay for the resources required to operate the fund.

IV. DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.

A. Types of Funds. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9.

- 1. Supply Management Activity Groups.⁵
 - a. Supply management activity groups provide a means of accounting for and financing the purchase, storage, and sale of common use items and depot level repair assemblies.
 - b. Each supply management activity group acquires materials and supplies with its appropriations and other cash accounts. These transactions increase the activity group’s inventory and decrease its cash.
 - (1) The materials and supplies are held in inventory until the activity group issues (sells) them to authorized customers.

⁵ These types of funds were previously known as Supply Management Business Areas or Stock Funds.

- (2) Examples of the types of materials and supplies that supply management activity groups typically acquire include:
 - (a) Nonstandard end items of equipment that are not centrally managed and have a standard unit price of less than \$25,000;
 - (b) Assemblies, spares, and repair parts that are reparable at the depot level or below;
 - (c) Spares and repair parts which are not reparable; and
 - (d) Food, clothing, and petroleum products.
- c. When the supply management activity group issues (sells) supplies to authorized customers, the activity group charges the supplies to the customers' account. These transactions increase the activity group's cash and decrease its inventory.

2. Non-Supply Management Activity Groups.⁶

- a. Non-supply management activity groups finance the operating costs of major service units, such as arsenals, depots, and shipyards.
- b. Non-supply management activity groups provide services on a reimbursable basis to authorized customers.
 - (1) Non-supply management activity groups do not maintain inventories of finished products.
 - (2) Non-supply management activity groups generate work by accepting customer orders.

⁶ These types of funds were previously known as Depot Maintenance Business Areas or Industrial Funds.

B. The Charter. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090102.B.

1. The Secretary or Assistant Secretary of the Military Department (or the Director of the Defense Agency) must prepare and sign a charter that details the scope of the potential activity group.
2. The Military Department (or Defense Agency) must submit the charter to the DOD Comptroller for approval.
3. The DOD Comptroller will evaluate the potential activity group based on the following criteria:
 - a. The products or services the potential activity group will provide to its customers;
 - b. The potential activity group's ability to establish a cost accounting system to collect its costs;
 - c. The potential customer base;⁷ and
 - d. Any buyer-seller advantages and disadvantages (e.g., the customers' ability to influence cost by changing demand).

C. Policy. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103.

1. Cash Management. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103A. See Memorandum, DOD Comptroller, subject: Working Capital Funds Cash Management Responsibility (5 Jan. 95).
 - a. Military Departments (and Defense Agencies) are responsible for the cash management of their working-capital funds.

⁷ The DOD Comptroller's goal is to align resources with requirements.

- b. Working-capital funds should maintain the minimum cash balance necessary to meet their operational (7-10 days) and disbursement (6 months) requirements.
 - c. Working-capital funds should strive to eliminate the need to use advance billings to maintain their cash solvency. See para. II.A.5, above.
- 2. Capital Investments. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103C.
 - a. Working-capital funds must finance the acquisition of most of their capital assets through the fund.⁸
 - b. Capital assets include depreciable property, plant, equipment, and software that:
 - (1) Costs \$100,000 or more; and
 - (2) Has a useful life of 2 years or more.
- 3. Construction. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103E.
 - a. Working-capital funds must finance minor construction projects costing more than \$500,000 through the annual Military Construction Appropriations Act.⁹
 - b. Working-capital funds may finance project planning and design costs through the fund.

⁸ This requirement does not apply to construction or the capital assets listed in DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103D (e.g., Major Range and Test Facility Activities Items). DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103C.

⁹ Projects costing more than \$500,000 must be approved by the DOD Comptroller and identified on the annual operating budget (AOB) prior to execution to avoid an Antideficiency Act violation. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103E.

4. The Full Recovery of Costs and the Setting of Prices. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103. See DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090104A.
 - a. Managers of activity groups must set their prices to recover their full costs over the long run (i.e., they must set their prices to recoup actual/projected losses or return actual/projected gains in the budget year).
 - (1) Supply management activity groups establish customer rates by applying a surcharge to the commodity costs.
 - (2) Non-supply management activity groups establish unit cost rates based on identified output measures or representative outputs (e.g., cost per direct labor hour, cost per product, cost per item received, cost per item shipped, etc.).
 - b. Prices normally remain fixed during the budget year.¹⁰
 - (1) This is known as the stabilized rate policy.¹¹
 - (2) This policy protects customers from unforeseen inflationary increases and other cost uncertainties.

¹⁰ This provision does not apply to depot maintenance activity groups. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103H.2. A depot maintenance activity group must recoup operating losses or return operating gains of \$10 million or more in the current fiscal year (or the 1st quarter of the next fiscal year in the case of 4th quarter gains or losses). DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103H.4.

¹¹ The “stabilized rate” is defined as “the cost per direct labor hour (or other output measure) customers are charged for the products and services provided by the depot or activity group . . . [It] is determined by taking the approved Direct Labor Hour rate (or other cost per output measure) for the budget year and adjusting it for both inter-Fund transactions (adjustments to reflect changes in the costs of purchases between activity groups within the Fund), and for the impact of prior year gains or losses as reflected by the [Accumulating Operating Result].” DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090104B.

5. Revenue Recognition. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103O.

- a. Working-capital funds must recognize revenue and associated costs in the same accounting period.
- b. Beginning in FY 2000, non-supply management activity groups must use the “Percentage of Completion Method” of recognizing revenue.
- c. Working-capital funds may not recognize an amount of revenue that exceeds the amount specified in the order.

D. Apportionments and Budgetary Resources.¹² DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 19, paras. 1902 and 1903.

- 1. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) apportions appropriations and contract authority to working-capital funds by means of a DD Form 1105.
- 2. Working-capital funds may not incur obligations in excess of its apportioned budgetary resources or total approved operating costs.
- 3. Working-capital funds may not obligate the difference between the fund’s total budgetary resources and the amount of contract authority the OMB has apportioned to it.
- 4. Working-capital funds must maintain a positive budgetary balance.

V. GENERAL FISCAL PRINCIPLES RELATED TO REVOLVING FUNDS.

A. The Miscellaneous Receipts Statute. 31 U.S.C. § 3302(b). The statute requires an official or agent of the Government to deposit money received from any source in the Treasury without deduction for any charge or claim.

¹² The following budgetary resources are available for apportionment: (1) appropriations; (2) unobligated balances available at the beginning of the FY; (3) reimbursements and other income; (4) recoveries of prior year obligations; (5) restorations; and (6) anticipated contract authority. Other assets (e.g., inventories and capital assets) are not budgetary resources. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3, ch. 19, paras. 190203 and 190205.

1. General Rule.
 - a. Income generated by a revolving fund represents money collected for the use of the United States.
 - b. A revolving fund may only withdraw or expend this income in consequence of an appropriation made by law.
 - c. Absent specific statutory authority, a revolving fund must deposit money received as a result of the fund's operation in the Treasury. Comptroller Gen. Warren to the Sec'y of the Interior, B-8434, 23 Comp. Gen. 986, 989 (1944). See Army Corps of Engineers – Disposition of Fees Received from Private Sector Participants in Training Courses, B-271894, 1997 WL 413163 (C.G. July 24, 1997) (unpub.) (stating that the Corps of Engineers must deposit fees received from private sector employees in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts); Walter L. Jordan, Finance Division, Dep't of the Treasury, Financial Management Service, B-241269, 1991 WL 71648 (C.G. Feb. 28, 1991) (unpub.) (stating that the FMS must deposit fees received from private sector participants in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts); see also Army Corps Of Engineers – Disposition of Funds Collected in Settlement of Faulty Design Dispute, 65 Comp Gen. 838 (1986) (concluding that the Corps of Engineers must deposit damages collected from an architect-engineer firm in excess of its 5.5% flat rate for supervision and administration (S&A) in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts); cf. Army Corps of Engineers – Propriety of Depositing Liquidated Damages in Supervision and Administration Account, B-237421, 1991 WL 202598 (C.G. Sep. 11, 1991) (unpub.) (permitting the Corps of Engineers to use the portion of liquidated damages attributable to increased S&A expenses to reimburse its S&A revolving fund).
2. Statutory Authorization. See 10 U.S.C. §§ 2208, 2210; see also 31 U.S.C. § 322(d) (“The fund shall be reimbursed . . . from amounts available to the Department or from other sources, for supplies and services at rates that will equal [its] expenses of operation . . . Amounts the Secretary decides are in excess of the needs of the fund shall be deposited . . . in the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.”).

B. The Purpose Statute. 31 U.S.C. § 1301. The statute requires federal agencies to apply appropriations only to the objects for which Congress made the appropriations.

1. Restrictions on the Use of Revolving Funds.

- a. Federal agencies may only use revolving funds for expenditures that are reasonably connected to the authorized activities of the fund. See The Honorable Robert W. Kastenmeier, B-230304, 1988 WL 27283 (C.G. Mar. 18, 1988) (unpub.) (concluding that Federal Prison Industries, Inc., could use its revolving fund to construct industrial facilities and secure camps to house prisoners engaged in public works, but not general penal facilities or places of confinement); see also GSA – Working Capital Fund, B-208697, 1983 WL 27433 (C.G. Sep. 28, 1983) (unpub.) (concluding that GSA could not use its working-capital fund for its mail room, library, and travel services because these items were not specifically authorized); To the Administrator, Veterans Admin., B-116651, 40 Comp. Gen. 356, 358 (1960) (concluding that a proposal to finance and operate a centralized silver reclamation program was not the type of operation the VA’s supply fund authorized).
- b. A revolving fund must deposit any money generated by using the fund for an unauthorized purpose in the Treasury as a miscellaneous receipt. See To the Administrator, Veterans Admin., B-116651, 40 Comp. Gen. 356, 358 (1960) (stating that the VA must deposit collections from the sale of reclaimed silver and scrap gold must be deposited in the Treasury).

2. Restrictions on the Use of Customer Appropriations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 11B, ch. 50.

a. A revolving fund customer may not use its appropriated funds to do indirectly what it may not do directly.

b. Appropriated funds cited on reimbursable orders:

(1) Are only available for purposes permissible under the source appropriation; and

(2) Remain subject to restrictions applicable to the source appropriation.

C. The Bona Fide Needs Rule. 31 U.S.C. § 1502(a). The statute states that an appropriation limited to a definite period is only available for the payment of expenses properly incurred during that period.

1. Restrictions on the Use of Revolving Funds.

a. 10 U.S.C. § 2210 states that: “Obligations may, without regard to fiscal year limitations, be incurred against anticipated reimbursements to stock funds in such amounts and for such period as the Secretary of Defense . . . may determine to be necessary to maintain stock levels consistently with planned operations for the next fiscal year.”

(1) Revolving funds are “no year” funds. See, e.g., Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999).

(2) Revolving funds are not dependent upon annual appropriations.

b. The Bona Fide Needs Rule does not normally apply to the use of revolving funds. But see 10 U.S.C. § 2213(a) (limiting the acquisition of any supply item to 2 years of operating stock).

2. Restrictions on the Use of Customer Appropriations. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 11B, ch. 50.
 - a. A revolving fund customer may not use its appropriated funds to do indirectly what it may not do directly.
 - b. The Bona Fide Needs Rule does apply to the use of customer appropriations.

VI. REVOLVING FUNDS AND THE RULES OF OBLIGATION.

A. Customer Orders.

1. Supply Management Activity Groups. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3.
 - a. If the obligation is for a project order under 41 U.S.C. § 23, an Economy Act order under 31 U.S.C. § 1535, or a reimbursable procurement order to another military department, obligate the amount of the order using funds current when the revolving fund accepts the order.
 - b. If the obligation is for a direct citation procurement order to another military department, obligate the amount of the order using funds current when the parties sign the contract (or other obligating document).
 - c. If the obligation is for an order issued to another government agency as required or authorized by law, obligate the amount of the order using funds current when the customer places the order.
2. Non-Supply Management Activity Groups. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3.
 - a. If the obligation is for an order placed with an industrially funded activity, obligate the amount of the order using funds current when the revolving fund accepts the order.
 - b. An order may not be accepted unless the revolving fund may:

- (1) Begin work within 90 days; and
 - (2) Complete work within the projected period.
 - c. Start of work is defined as:
 - (1) Cost incurred; or
 - (2) Other action that the revolving fund may not legally perform without an accepted order.
 - d. These steps do not qualify as a valid start of work if the revolving fund takes them earlier than necessary to support the completion of the work.

B. Obligations of Revolving Funds.

- 1. The requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 1501 apply to revolving funds. U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers Civil Works Revolving Fund, B-242974.8, Dec. 11, 1992, 72 Comp. Gen. 59, 61 (concluding that the legislative mandate to operate a revolving fund “within its own resources” does not relieve the Corps of Engineers of requirement to recognize and record obligations IAW 31 U.S.C. § 1501).
- 2. Revolving funds should generally recognize and record obligations at the time the parties sign the contract (or other obligating document).

VII. VIOLATIONS OF THE ANTIDEFICIENCY ACT.

- A. Types of Violations. 10 U.S.C. §§ 1341-1342; DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 3B, ch. 19. An Antideficiency Act violation occurs when a revolving fund:

1. Obligates funds in excess of an appropriation or apportionment. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(A). See U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers Civil Works Revolving Fund, B-242974.8, Dec. 11, 1992, 72 Comp. Gen. 59, 61 (stating that the Antideficiency Act prohibits the Corps of Engineers from overobligating the available budget authority in its Civil Works Revolving Fund); National Technical Information Service – Use of Customer Advance Deposits for Operating Expenses, B-243710, 71 Comp. Gen. 224, 227 (1992) (concluding that NTIS violated the Antideficiency Act to the extent it used monies that were not available to it to pay its operating expenses and no other funds were available to cover the obligations).
2. Obligates funds in advance of an appropriation required to support that obligation, absent a specific exception. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(B).
3. Has an appropriation level deficit cash balance with the U.S. Treasury. 31 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1)(A).
4. Accepts voluntary services. 31 U.S.C. § 1342.

B. Application of the Antideficiency Act to Reimbursable Orders.

1. A reimbursable order is an agreement to provide goods or services to certain activities, tenant activities, or individuals where the support is:
 - a. Initially provided using mission funds; and
 - b. Reimbursed through a billing procedure.
2. Reimbursable orders will not be administered or accounted for as separate subdivisions of funds like allotments.
 - a. The ordering activity will perform appropriation-type accounting for the order as if it were a contract.

- b. A revolving fund will not necessarily violate 31 U.S.C. § 1517 if it incurs obligations, costs, or expenditures that exceed the amount of a single reimbursable order.
 - c. However, the revolving fund may not exceed its own total obligation authority, or the total obligation authority of the ordering activity.
- 3. Reimbursable orders for work or services done on a cost-reimbursable basis will contain a cost ceiling for billing purposes. This limits an ordering activity's liability if a revolving fund incurs costs that exceed the ceiling.

C. Other Possible Antideficiency Act Violations.

- 1. Construction. DOD Reg. 7000.14-R, vol. 2B, ch. 9, para. 090103E. Activities financed by working capital funds may only use \$500,000 of these funds to finance construction projects.
- 2. Specific Statutory Limitations. See, e.g., National Defense Authorization Act, 1995, Pub. L. No. 103-337, § 314, 108 Stat. 2663 (1994) (imposing a limit of 65% of sales on the obligational authority of stock funds during FY 1995 to further the drawdown of defense stocks).¹³

VIII. CONCLUSION.

¹³ This is merely an illustrative example. This limitation no longer applies. See, e.g., Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999, Pub.L. No. 105-261, 112 Stat. 1920 (1998). Practitioners dealing with working capital funds should review the yearly DoD authorization and appropriation acts to determine whether Congress has imposed any such limitations.

APPENDIX A

REVOLVING FUND CYCLE

CHAPTER 9

INTRAGOVERNMENTAL ACQUISITIONS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	ECONOMY ACT.....	1
A.	General.....	1
B.	Statutory Provisions	1
C.	FAR Requirements	4
D.	DOD Requirements	6
E.	Additional Regulatory Guidance	7
F.	Probmen Areas.....	9
G.	Other Economy Act Applications.....	11
III.	PROJECT ORDERS.....	12
A.	General.....	12
B.	Statutory Provisions	13
C.	General Regulatory Guidance	13
D.	Ordering Procedures.....	14
E.	Acceptance and Performance.....	15
F.	Judicial Review	15
IV.	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES TRAINING ACT (GETA).....	15
A.	General.....	15
B.	Statutory Provisions	15

C.	Regulatory Guidance.....	15
V.	THE CLINGER-COHEN ACT OF 1996.....	16
A.	General.....	16
B.	Implementation.....	16
VI.	REQUIRED SOURCES.....	17
A.	Source Priorities	17
B.	Federal Prison Industries, Inc.	19
C.	Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind Or Severely Disabled.....	20
D.	DOD Coordinated Acquisition.....	21
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	24
APPENDIX A: DD Form 448, Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR).....		23
APPENDIX B: DD Form 448-2, Acceptance of MIPR.....		25
APPENDIX C: DOD Guidance on Economy Act “Offloading” Outside DOD.....		31
APPENDIX D: Army Memo on Contract Offloading.....		23

CHAPTER 9

INTRAGOVERNMENTAL ACQUISITIONS

I. INTRODUCTION. Following this block of instruction, students will understand:

- A. The various statutory authorities that permit federal agencies to purchase goods and services from each other.
- B. The obligation requirements associated with various intragovernmental acquisitions.
- C. The authority for intragovernmental employee training.
- D. The required sources for certain government acquisitions.

II. ECONOMY ACT.

- A. General. The Economy Act provides authority for federal agencies to order goods and services from other federal agencies, and to pay the actual costs of those goods and services. Congress passed the Act in 1932 to obtain economies of scale and eliminate overlapping activities of the federal government.
- B. Statutory Provisions. 31 U.S.C. § 1535.
 - 1. The Act permits the head of an agency to place an order for goods or services with another agency, or with a major organizational unit within the same agency, if:
 - a. Funds are available;
 - b. The head of the agency decides the order is in the best interests of the government;

MAJ Louis A. Chiarella
58th Fiscal Law Course
30 October-3 November 2000

- c. The agency or unit filling the order can provide or get by contract the goods or services; and
- d. The head of the agency decides that the ordered goods or services cannot be provided as conveniently or cheaply by a commercial enterprise. 31 U.S.C. §1535(a). See USA Info. Sys., Inc., and Dataware Techs., Inc. v. Government Printing Office, GSBCA Nos. 13535-P, 13560-P, 96-2 BCA ¶ 28,315; Dictaphone Corp., B-244691.2, Nov. 25, 1992, 92-2 CPD ¶ 380.

2. Applicability.

- a. Economy Act acquisitions include orders placed between military departments. See FAR 2.101 (defining executive agencies to include military departments); DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120701; AFI 65-601, vol. I, para. 7.23; Valenzuela Eng'g, Inc., B-277979, Jan 26, 1998, 98-1 CPD ¶ 51; Obligation of Funds under Military Interdepartmental Purchase Requests, B-196404, 59 Comp. Gen. 563 (1980).
- b. The Economy Act applies only in the absence of a more specific interagency acquisition authority. FAR 17.500(b); An Interagency Agreement--Admin. Office of the U.S. Courts, B-186535, 55 Comp. Gen. 1497 (1976).

3. Actual Costs.

- a. The ordering agency must pay the performing agency the actual costs of the goods or services provided. See 31 U.S.C. § 1535(b); Use of Agencies' Appropriations to Purchase Computer Hardware for Dep't of Labor's Executive Computer Network, B-238024, 70 Comp. Gen. 592 (1991). Cf. DOD 7000.14-R (DOD Financial Management Regulation), Vol. 11A, Chap. 4; AFI 65-601, vol. I, paras. 7.17, 7.23; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120706.

- b. Actual costs include:
- (1) All direct costs attributable to providing the goods or services, regardless of whether the performing agency's expenditures are increased. Washington Nat'l Airport; Fed. Aviation Admin., B-136318, 57 Comp. Gen. 674 (1978). See GSA Recovery of SLUC Costs for Storage of IRS Records, B-211953, Dec. 7, 1984 (unpub.) (standard storage costs); David P. Holmes, B-250377, Jan. 28, 1993 (unpub.) (standard inventory, transportation, and labor costs); Economy Act Payments After Obligated Account Is Closed, B-260993, June 26, 1996, 96-1 CPD ¶ 287 (ordering activity required to use current funds to pay ten-year old obligation).
 - (2) Indirect costs, to the extent they are funded out of currently available appropriations, bear a significant relationship to providing the goods or services, and benefit the ordering agency. See Washington Nat'l Airport, *supra* (depreciation and interest); Obligation of Funds Under Mil. Interdep'tal Purchase Requests, B-196404, 59 Comp. Gen. 563 (1980) (supervisory and administrative expenses).
- c. DOD activities not funded by working capital funds normally do not charge indirect costs to other DOD activities. DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, para. 030601; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120706. Similarly, such activities generally do not charge indirect costs under interservice and intragovernmental support agreements. See DOD Instruction 4000.19, Interservice and Intragovernmental Support, para. D.6 (Aug. 9, 1995)(hereinafter DODI 4000.19).
- d. When "contracting out" for goods or services, the servicing agency may not require payment of a fee or charge which exceeds the actual cost of entering into and administering the contract. FAR 17.505(d); DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, para. 030601.

4. Obligation of Funds.

- a. The ordering agency obligates funds current when the performing activity accepts the reimbursable order and records the obligation upon receipt of written acceptance. 31 U.S.C. §§ 1501(a)(1), 1535(d); DFARS 208.7004-2(c); DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, para. 030404; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, table 8-2, paras. 120505, 120703.
- b. If the performing activity has not incurred obligations to fill an order before the end of the period of fund availability, then the ordering activity must deobligate (recover) the funds. 31 U.S.C. § 1535(d); DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, para. 030404; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120703; The Honorable William F. Ford, B-223833, Nov. 5, 1987 (unpub.).

5. Compliance with CICA. The ordering agency may not procure from a performing agency that fails to comply with the Competition in Contracting Act (CICA) when contracting for a requirement. 10 U.S.C. § 2304(f)(5)(B); 41 U.S.C. § 253(f)(5)(B); Valenzuela Eng'g, Inc., B-277979, Jan 26, 1998, 98-1 CPD ¶ 51.

C. FAR Requirements. FAR Subpart 17.5; Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-355, § 1074, 108 Stat. 3243, 3271.

1. Determination & Finding (D&F) Requirement.

- a. Interagency Economy Act orders must be supported by a D&F stating that:
 - (1) Use of an interagency acquisition is in the best interest of the government; and
 - (2) The supplies or services cannot be obtained as conveniently or economically by contracting directly with a private source. FAR 17.503(a).

- b. Economy Act orders requiring contract action by the performing agency also must include a statement on the D&F that:
 - (1) The acquisition will appropriately be made under an existing contract of the performing agency, entered into before placement of the order, to meet the requirements of the performing agency for the same or similar supplies or services;
 - (2) The performing agency has the capability/expertise to contract for the supplies or services, which capability is not available within the requesting agency; or
 - (3) The performing agency is specifically authorized by law or regulation to purchase such supplies or services on behalf of other agencies. FAR 17.503(b).
- c. Army policy is that Economy Act D&Fs are not required for transactions within DOD. See Memorandum, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Procurement), for Acquisition Community, Subject: Contract Offloading Clarification (18 March 1996) (Appendix D). See also AFARS 17.503(a). Similarly, the Air Force addresses D&Fs only in the context of orders issued outside DOD. See AFFARS 5317.503-90.

2. Approval Authorities. FAR 17.503(c); DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120706.

- a. The requesting agency's contracting officer with authority to contract for the supplies or services to be ordered (or other person designated by the agency head) must approve the D&F.
- b. The Senior Procurement Executive of the ordering agency must approve the D&F if the performing agency is not covered by the FAR.

D. DOD Requirements.

1. SECDEF Memo. Memorandum, Secretary of Defense, to Secretaries of the Military Departments, Subject: Use of Orders Under the Economy Act (8 Feb 94)(Appendix C); DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120705. As a result of DOD abuses of Economy Act transactions, the Secretary of Defense has ordered that, before issuing an Economy Act order for contract action outside of DOD, the head of the agency or designee shall determine that:
 - a. The ordered supplies or services cannot be provided as conveniently and cheaply by contracting directly with a private source;
 - b. The servicing agency has unique expertise or ability not available within DOD; and
 - c. The supplies or services are clearly within the scope of activities of the servicing agency and that agency normally contracts for those supplies or services for itself.
2. Implementation of the SECDEF Memo. Both the Army and Air Force have directed that before Economy Act orders are released outside of DOD for contract action, the requiring activity must prepare a D&F addressing the elements in the SECDEF memo. See AFARS 17.503(a) and 53.9008 (providing D&F format); AFFARS 5317.503-90. See also DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120705.
 - a. Within the Army, the D&F must be reviewed by counsel and coordinated with the requiring activity's supporting contracting officer. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120704.
 - b. The Air Force requires review of the D&F by a contracting officer as a "business advisor" to the approval authority. AFFARS 5317.503-90(b).

3. Delegation of Authority. Pursuant to the SECDEF memo, the Army and Air Force have delegated their authority to approve Economy Act determinations for orders to non-DOD agencies. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120705; AL 94-5; AFFARS 5317.503-90.
 - a. A SES or General Officer commander/director of the requesting activity must approve the written determination if the performing agency is required to comply with the FAR.
 - b. The Senior Procurement Executive of the requesting activity must approve the written determination if the performing agency is not required to comply with the FAR. See AFFARS 5317.590 (list of agencies not covered by FAR).
 4. Scope of Applicability. The procedures of FAR Subpart 17.5, DFARS Subpart 217.5, and DODI 4000.19 apply to all purchases, except micro-purchases, made for DOD by another agency (unless more specific statutory authority exists). This includes orders under a task or delivery order contract entered into by the other agency. DFARS 217.500. See also Pub. L. No. 105-261 § 814, 112 Stat. 1920, 2087-88 (1998).
- E. Additional Regulatory Guidance. FAR Subpart 17.5; DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, para. 0304; DODI 4000.19; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, paras. 120501-120706; AFFARS Subpart 5317.5; AFI 65-601, vol. I, paras. 7.19 to 7.25.
1. Ordering Procedures.
 - a. Orders must include all supporting data necessary to prepare the required contract documentation, including a description of the requirement, delivery terms, fund citation, payment provisions, and required determinations.
 - b. Orders must be specific, definite, and certain both as to the work encompassed by the order and the terms of the order itself.

- c. Economy Act orders citing an annual or multiyear appropriations must serve a bona fide need arising, or existing, in the fiscal year(s) for which the appropriation is available for obligation.
- d. As the work to be performed under Economy Act orders shall be expected to begin within a reasonable time after its acceptance by the servicing activity, the requesting activity should ensure in advance of placing an order that such capability exists.
- e. Normally, DOD ordering activities issue Economy Act orders using DD Form 448, Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) (Appendix A).

2. Acceptance.

- a. The accepting officer must be a duly authorized employee of the performing activity.
- b. If the ordering activity uses a MIPR, the performing activity accepts the order by issuing a DD Form 448-2, Acceptance of MIPR (Appendix B). Otherwise, the terms of the interagency agreement will determine the method of acceptance.
- c. If the activity issues a MIPR on a reimbursable basis, acceptance establishes fund obligation authority in the performing activity account, and the activity may incur costs in accordance with the terms of the order.
- d. Acceptance must indicate whether reimbursement will be on a "fixed-price" or "cost-incurred" basis. Acceptance on a fixed-price basis is required if:
 - (1) Billable unfunded costs will be included on the accepted price of the order;
 - (2) Each item or service ordered is priced separately;

- (3) The price does not include substantial contingencies;
- (4) The cost estimate included consideration of expected variances;
- (5) Neither activity expects many change orders; and
- (6) The requirement is of the type for which a fixed-price basis is practicable.

3. Payment and Billing.

- a. The performing activity may require advance payment for all or part of the estimated cost of the supplies or services. See AFI 65-601, vol. I, para. 7.25.3 (list of agencies requiring advance payment).
- b. Bills or requests for advance payment are not subject to audit before payment.
- c. The performing activity cannot exceed the amount of the order or direct fund cite. It must curtail or cease performance to avoid exceeding the estimated cost, and notify the ordering activity immediately.

4. Disputes.

- a. No formal method for dispute resolution exists for Economy Act transactions.
- b. The ordering and performing agencies "should agree" to procedures for the resolution of disagreements that may arise under interagency acquisitions, including the use of a third party forum. FAR 17.504(c).

F. Problem Areas. See DOD Inspector General Audit Report Nos. 94-008 (Oct. 20, 1993), 93-068 (Mar. 18, 1993), 93-042 (Jan. 21, 1993), 92-069 (Apr. 3, 1992).

1. Failing to obtain proper approval. See FAR 17.503(c) (requiring contracting officer, or another person designated by the agency head, to approve Economy Act determination); DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120704 (requiring "coordination" with ordering office's contracting officer and legal counsel).
2. Issuing orders to the Department of Energy (DOE) Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) for common supplies and services, the acquisition of which do not require the special expertise of DOE/TVA management and operating contractors.
3. Using the intragovernmental purchase process to avoid competition requirements, or to "dump" year-end funds.
4. Failing to determine whether an intragovernmental acquisition is the most economical and efficient method to obtain goods and services.
5. Citing Operations and Maintenance (O&M) funds on an order for investment/capital end items.
6. Improperly classifying an Economy Act order as a project order to avoid the deobligation (recovery) requirements.
7. Paying more than the "actual cost" of the goods or services provided. See 31 U.S.C. § 1535(b); Use of Agencies' Appropriations to Purchase Computer Hardware for Dep't of Labor's Executive Computer Network, B-238024, June 28, 1991, 70 Comp. Gen. 592 (1991).
8. Ordering in excess of the maximum quantities specified in a performing activity's requirements contract. Liebert Corp., B-232234, Apr. 29, 1991, 91-1 CPD ¶ 413.

9. Ordering improperly from nonappropriated fund instrumentalities. Compare 10 U.S.C. § 2482a (authorizing contracts or other agreements between service exchanges/MWR activities and federal departments) and 10 U.S.C. § 2424 (authorizing contracts using noncompetitive procedures between DOD and service exchange stores outside the United States for supplies and services up to \$50,000) with Dep't. of Agriculture Graduate Sch.--Interagency Orders for Training, B-214810, 64 Comp. Gen. 110 (1984) and Obtaining Goods and Servs. from Nonappropriated Fund Activities through Intra-Departmental Procedures, B-148581, 58 Comp. Gen. 94 (1978).

Discussion Problem: The Fort Swampy Contracting Officer (KO) needs to procure plenty of supply items for a newly completed barracks complex, and believes that intragovernmental acquisitions are an integral part of acquisition planning.

- a. The Air Force has a great requirements contract in place for mattresses. The KO's planned order, pursuant to the Project Order MIPR, would exceed the stated maximum quantity of the Air Force contract. What do you think?
- b. The KO has heard that the Veteran's Administration has a great ID/IQ contract in place that could cover the procurement of beds. The VA hospital in San Antonio had developed last year an ID/IQ procurement for furniture at government facilities. The original Request for Proposals (RFP) identified no specific facilities, or geographic locations, or even specific types of furniture. The KO wants to MIPR the money pronto. Any problems here?
- c. The KO now needs to buy plenty of blinds and drapes. The KO learns that the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) has an ID/IQ contract in place for such supplies. In fact, the DLA contract prices are 20% lower than the lowest commercial quote that the KO received. DLA is willing to accept an Economy Act MIPR for the requirement, but wants to charge a 15% mark-up handling fee. Even so, it's still the best price around, and it will be more convenient as well. What do you think?
- d. The KO, after shopping around for competitive prices, wants to purchase \$25,000 worth of cheap art from the PX to hang in the barracks common areas. The KO wants to just MIPR the money to the PX. Is this OK?

G. Other Economy Act Applications.

1. Interagency details.

- a. The Economy Act authorizes interagency details of employees. The Honorable Robert W. Houk, B-247348, June 22, 1992 (unpub.) (unauthorized detail caused Antideficiency Act violation); Nonreimbursable Transfer of Admin. Law Judges, B-221585, 65 Comp. Gen. 635 (1986). See Federal Personnel Manual, ch. 300, subch. 8. See also 5 U.S.C. § 3341 (authority for intra-agency details). Cf. 10 U.S.C. § 374 (military personnel support to law enforcement agencies).
 - b. Details must be on a reimbursable basis unless: a law specifically authorizes nonreimbursable details; the detail involves a matter similar or related to matters ordinarily handled by the detailing agency, and will aid the detailing agency's mission; or the detail is for a brief period and entails minimal cost. See Department of Health & Human Servs. Detail of Office of Community Servs. Employees, B-211373, 64 Comp. Gen. 370 (1985); The Honorable William D. Ford, B-224033, Jan. 30, 1987 (unpub.); Details to Congressional Comms., B-230960, Apr. 11, 1988 (unpub.).
- 2. Interservice Support Agreements. From a fiscal standpoint, the Economy Act may form the basis for interservice agreements that involve recurring support between military departments, or between a military department and another federal agency. See DOD Instruction (DODI) 4000.19, Interservice and Intragovernmental Support (9 Aug. 1995); AFI 65-601, vol. I, ch. 7 (Oct. 94).
 - a. Memorialize support agreements with DD Form 1144, Support Agreement, or similar format that contains all the information required on the form. See DODI 4000.19, para. D.5.
 - b. Support is reimbursable to the extent that it increases the support supplier's direct costs. Costs associated with common use infrastructure are non-reimbursable, unless provided solely for the use of one or more tenants. DODI 4000.19, para. D.6.

III. PROJECT ORDERS.

- A. General. The Project Order Statute provides DOD with interdepartmental authority to order goods and services, separate and distinct from the Economy Act.

B. Statutory Provisions. 41 U.S.C. § 23 (DOD); 14 U.S.C. § 151 (Coast Guard).

1. The statute applies to transactions between military departments and DOD government-owned, government-operated (GOGO) establishments for work related to military projects. Matter of John J. Kominski, B-246773, (May 5, 1993), 72 Comp. Gen. 172 (the Economy Act, not the Project Order Statute, applies to DOD orders to non-DOD agencies).
2. Orders placed with government-owned establishments shall be treated as if placed with commercial activities.
3. Appropriations shall remain available for the payment of the obligations, as if the obligations arose under a contract with a commercial activity.
4. The statute does not require special determinations, as with Economy Act orders.

C. General Regulatory Guidance. DOD 7000.14-R (Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation) Vol. 11A, Ch. 2; AFI 65-601, vol. I, para. 7.16.5; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 1208.

1. A project order is an order for specific types of goods or services. A project order may remain open until the work is done.
2. Activities may issue project orders only to GOGO facilities within DOD. GOGO facilities include shipyards, arsenals, ordnance plants, manufacturing or processing plants or shops, equipment overhaul or maintenance shops, research and development laboratories, testing facilities, and proving grounds which are owned and operated within DOD. DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, Ch. 2, para. 020303. See Matter of John J. Kominski, supra.
3. Activities may issue project orders only for the following types of goods and services:
 - a. Production, maintenance, or overhaul of:

- (1) Missiles and other weapons;
 - (2) Vehicles;
 - (3) Ammunition, clothing, and machinery;
 - (4) Other military supplies or equipment; and
 - (5) Component and spare parts for the above.
- b. Research, development, test, and evaluation.
- c. Minor construction or maintenance of real property.
4. Activities shall not issue project orders for:
 - a. Major construction;
 - b. Education, training, subsistence, storage, printing, laundry, welfare, transportation, travel, or communications; or
 - c. Any requirement where a contractual relationship cannot exist.

D. Ordering Procedures.

1. Project orders require no specific form, but DOD activities often use MIPRs. The order must be specific, definite, and certain. But see DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120803 (requiring use of MIPRs for project orders).
2. Activities issue only reimbursable orders.
3. The order must indicate whether it will be performed on a cost basis or fixed-price basis. Follow the guidance set forth above for Economy Act orders to determine whether a fixed-price basis is required. See Sec. II.E.2.d, supra.

E. Acceptance and Performance.

1. Acceptance must be in writing. If the ordering activity issues a MIPR, the performing activity accepts on a MIPR.
2. At the time of acceptance, there must be evidence that the work will commence within a reasonable time. Recently, DOD adopted the Army's standard of 90 days. DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, ch. 2, para. 020510; DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 120803.
 - a. Project orders must serve a bona fide need existing in the fiscal year in which issued; otherwise, a valid obligation is not accomplished.
 - b. Agencies may not issue project orders for the primary purpose of continuing the availability of appropriations.
3. A GOGO facility must be "substantially in a position" to meet the ordering activity's requirement. Regulations require that the project order recipient incur costs "of not less than 51 percent of the total costs attributable to rendering the work or services ordered." DOD 7000.14-R, Vol. 11A, ch. 2, para. 020515.

F. GAO Review. Generally, the GAO does not review agency decisions to perform in-house, rather than contract out, as they regard such decisions as matters of executive branch policy. SRM Mfg. Co., B-277416, Aug. 4, 1997, 97-2 CPD ¶ 40; Boulder Scientific Co., B-225644, Mar. 20, 1987, 87-1 CPD ¶ 323.

IV. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES TRAINING ACT (GETA).

- A. General. GETA provides guidance and specific authority for intragovernmental training of employees.
- B. Statutory Provisions. 5 U.S.C. § 4104.

1. Federal agencies must provide for training, insofar as practicable, by, in, and through government facilities under the jurisdiction or control of the particular agency.
 2. When internal training is not possible, GETA authorizes interagency training on either a reimbursable or non-reimbursable basis. As GETA provides independent fund transfer authority, the requirements and restrictions of the Economy Act are inapplicable. Army Corps of Engineers - Disposition of Fees Received from Private Sector Participants in Training Courses, B-271894, July 24, 1997 (unpub.); To Walter L. Jordan, B-241269, Feb. 28, 1991 (unpub.).
- C. Regulatory Guidance. Federal Personnel Manual (FPM), Chap. 410, Subch. 4-3 (Oct. 22, 1981) (cancelled by the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) as of 31 December 1994, but still relied upon as federal personnel guidance).
1. An agency that operates an interagency training facility may accept funds from other agencies for part or all of the costs of training their employees through reimbursements or other cost-sharing arrangements.
 2. An agency may not obtain reimbursement for training if funds are already provided for interagency training in its appropriation.

Discussion Problem: The TJAGSA Commandant, in a response to constant dissatisfaction, has finally decided that it's time to fix the heating and air conditioning in the main classrooms. He learns quickly that the TJAGSA budget does not support this big-time repair effort, and no additional funds are on the horizon. Then management hits upon a great idea: they plan to start charging non-DOD students who attend short courses here at TJAGSA. After all, those agencies shouldn't benefit from free training when an equivalent course put on by groups like the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Attorneys (SPCA) would cost hundreds or thousands of dollars. Besides, providing such training for free amounts to an impermissible augmentation of another federal agency. What do you think?

V. THE CLINGER-COHEN ACT OF 1996.

- A. General. Section 5112(e) of the FY 1996 National Defense Authorization Act (Pub. L. No. 104-106) (permanently codified at 40 U.S.C. § 1412(e)) instructed the Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), to designate as considered appropriate, one or more heads of executive agencies as executive agent for Government-wide acquisitions of information technology.
- B. Implementation.
 - 1. OMB has designated the General Services Administration (GSA) as the executive agent for certain government-wide acquisitions of information technology (IT).
 - 2. The scope of the designation is limited to programs that are funded on a reimbursable basis through the Information Technology Fund established by 40 U.S.C. § 757. These programs include the Federal Systems Integration and Management Center (FEDSIM) and Federal Computer Acquisition Center (FEDCAC), as well as other existing government-wide IT acquisition programs.
 - 3. The OMB designation, in combination with 40 U.S.C. § 757, provides separate authority for acquisition from these GSA programs. Current issues with FEDSIM and other GSA programs involve the expense of the programs and the requirement that DOD orders represent bona fide needs of the underlying DOD appropriation. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1, para. 080609.

VI. REQUIRED SOURCES.

- A. Source Priorities. 41 C.F.R. § 101-26.107; FAR 8.001. Generally, agencies shall adhere to the following orders of precedence when obtaining supplies or services:
 - 1. Supplies.
 - a. Agency inventory;

- b. Excess from other agencies;
- c. Federal Prison Industries, Inc.;
- d. Committee for Purchase from People Who are Blind or Severely Disabled;
- e. Wholesale supply sources;
- f. Federal supply schedules; and
- g. Commercial sources.

2. Services.

- a. Committee for Purchase from People Who are Blind or Severely Disabled;
- b. Federal supply schedules; and
- c. Federal Prison Industries, Inc., or commercial sources.

- B. Federal Prison Industries, Inc. (FPI or UNICOR). 18 U.S.C. §§ 4121-4128; 28 C.F.R. §§ 301-345; FAR Subpart 8.6; <www.unicor.gov>.
1. All federal agencies and institutions shall purchase FPI products that meet their requirements and are available. 18 U.S.C. § 4124. See Battery Assemblers, Inc., B-260043, May 23, 1995, 95-1 CPD ¶ 254 (agency reasonably determined UNICOR's price did not exceed "current market price"); Hiltronics Corp., B-238142, Apr. 11, 1990, 90-1 CPD ¶ 384; Forest Serv.-- Requirement to Procure from Fed. Prison Indus., Inc., A-67190, 62 Comp. Gen. 617 (1983); Minx Prods., Inc., B-175249, Apr. 11, 1972 (unpub.).
 2. FPI lists its products and services in the "Schedule of Products made in Federal Penal and Correctional Institutions" (Schedule).
 3. An activity must obtain clearance from FPI to acquire Schedule supplies from other sources, except when:
 - a. Exigent circumstances arise;
 - b. Used or excess supplies are available;
 - c. Purchases are made from GSA of less-than-carload lots of common-use items stocked by GSA;
 - d. Goods are acquired and used outside the United States;
 - e. Orders total \$25 or less (for DOD, \$250 or less) and the activity needs them within 10 days. FAR 8.606(e); Memorandum, Federal Bureau of Prisons, to DOD Procurement Personnel, subject: Raising the Threshold for FPI Waiver Exceptions (24 Jan. 00); or
 - f. Dorm and Quarters Furniture are purchased before the end of Fiscal Year 2005. Agreement Concerning Voluntary Waiver of Mandatory Source for Dormitory & Quarters Furniture—Pilot Project (January 1999).

4. FPI will not issue a clearance merely because the contracting officer obtains a lower price from an alternative source. FAR 8.605(b).
5. Disputes regarding price, quality, and suitability of supplies are subject to arbitration. FAR 8.605(c).

Discussion Problem: The KO needs to buy 200 6-drawer dressers, Federal Supply Classification Code (FSC) 7105 (about \$80,000) for on-post family housing. The independent government estimate is \$400 per dresser. The KO issues a request for quotations (RFQ), and receives unit quotes ranging from \$360-\$425. The KO plans to issue a delivery order to the low offeror, when some Contract Specialist says that Federal Prison Industries (FPI) sells this item for \$385 each. Is this a cause for concern?

C. Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind Or Severely Disabled (Committee). 41 U.S.C. §§ 46-48c; 41 C.F.R. Part 51; FAR Subpart 8.7.

1. Like FPI, the Committee publishes a "Procurement List" of supplies and services. These products and services are available from nonprofit agencies for the blind or severely disabled. The Committee may request that a contracting activity assist in determining whether a workshop has the capability to perform a requirement. See FAR 9.107. An agency must consider acquiring services from a workshop only if the agency otherwise intends to contract for them. See Rappahannock Rehab. Facility, Inc., B-222961, Sept. 10, 1986, 86-2 CPD ¶ 280; Kings Point Mfg. Co., B-185802, Mar. 11, 1977, 77-1 CPD ¶ 184.
2. Activities must purchase listed supply requirements from applicable nonprofit agencies (workshops) at prices established by the Committee, unless the supply is available from FPI. The Committee, however, has priority over FPI for listed services. See Western States Mgmt. Servs., Inc., B-233576, Dec. 8, 1988, 88-2 CPD ¶ 575; Abel Converting Inc., B-229581, Mar. 4, 1988, 88-1 CPD ¶ 233.

3. Agencies may obtain requirements from commercial sources only if specifically authorized by the applicable central nonprofit agency or the Committee. The central nonprofit agency must grant an exception if:
 - a. The workshops cannot perform timely, and the commercial sources can; or
 - b. The workshops cannot produce the quantities required economically.
4. Activities place orders for supplies with the GSA, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), or the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). In some cases, an activity may order directly from a nonprofit agency/workshop. The governing central nonprofit agency must authorize a direct purchase.
5. Activities may address complaints about the quality of supplies distributed by GSA or DLA to the pertinent agency. For supplies or services obtained directly from a workshop, activities may address complaints to the workshop, with a copy to the central nonprofit agency.
6. Workshops may compete against commercial sources on acquisitions for supplies or services not included in the Procurement List.
7. The GAO will not review an agency's decision to purchase goods or services from workshops. Microform Inc., B-246253, Nov. 13, 1991, 91-2 CPD ¶ 460.

D. DOD Coordinated Acquisition. 10 U.S.C. § 2309; DFARS Subpart 208.70; DOD Dir. 4140.26, Integrated Materiel Management of Consumable Items.

1. DOD agencies may obligate funds for the acquisition of supplies only under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. 10 U.S.C. § 2202(a).
2. Under coordinated acquisition procedures, a DOD component ("requiring activity") may be required to obtain commodities through another DOD component or GSA ("acquiring activity"). DFARS 208.7003-2. See Tracor, Inc., B-195736, Jan. 24, 1980, 80-1 CPD ¶ 69.

3. Assignments under the Integrated Materiel Management (IMM) Program. DFARS 208.7003-1; DOD 4140.26M. Activities must obtain assigned items from the IMM manager unless:
 - a. There is an unusual and compelling urgency;
 - b. The IMM manager codes an item for local purchase;
 - c. Purchase by the requiring activity is in the best interest of the government. This exception does not apply to:
 - (1) Items critical to the safe operation of a weapon system;
 - (2) Items with special security characteristics;
 - (3) Dangerous items (e.g., explosives, munitions).
4. Assignments under the Coordinated Acquisition Program. DFARS 208.7003-2. Activities must submit all contracting requirements for assigned items to the acquiring activity, unless:
 - a. The activity must obtain the item from a FAR 8.001 required source;
 - b. The activity obtains the item from the IMM manager;
 - c. The requirement does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, and direct contracting is in the activity's interest;
 - d. The activity needs the item in an emergency;
 - e. The acquiring activity delegates authority to the requiring activity;

- f. The item is part of a research and development stage (generally, this exception applies only when RDT&E funds are used);
 - g. National security requires limitation of sources;
 - h. The supplies are available only from the original source for a follow-on contract;
 - i. The item is directly related to a major system and is design-controlled by and acquired by the manufacturer;
 - j. The item is subject to rapid design changes which require continual contact between industry and the requiring activity to ensure the item meets requirements; or
 - k. The item is noncataloged and represents a nonrecurring requirement (i.e., a "one-time buy").
5. Normally, under the Coordinated Acquisition Program, requiring activities use MIPRs to place orders. DFARS 208.7004-1.
- a. The acquiring activity determines whether the order will be on a reimbursable (category I) or direct citation (category II) basis. DFARS 208.7004-2(b).
 - b. The acquiring activity may use a reimbursable order if delivery is from existing inventories or by diversion from existing contracts of the acquiring activity; production or assembly is at government-owned plants; the requirement involves assembly of end items by the acquiring department; or the acquiring activity will make contract payments without reference to deliveries of end items. DFARS 208.7004-2(b).
 - c. If a direct citation MIPR cites funds that will expire after 30 September, the acquiring activity must receive the MIPR by 31 May. DFARS 208.7004-4(a).

- d. The acquiring activity must accept MIPRs within 30 days. DFARS 208.7004-2(a).

VII. CONCLUSION.

APPENDIX A: DD Form 448, Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR)

APPENDIX B

DD Form 448-2 Acceptance of MIPR

APPENDIX C

DOD Guidance on Economy Act “Offloading” Outside of DOD

APPENDIX D

Army Memorandum on Contract Offloading

CHAPTER 10

PAYMENT AND COLLECTION

I. INTRODUCTION.	1
A. Objectives	1
B. Perspective	1
II. REFERENCES.	1
III. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.	2
A. FAR Part 32	2
B. Disbursing Authority.	2
C. Contract Payments	2
D. Advances.....	3
E. Invoice Payments vs. Financing Payments.....	3
F. Order of Preference	4
G. Payment Requirements.	4
H. Invoice Payment Due Date	4
I. Financing Payment Due Date	4
IV. CONTRACT PAYMENT METHODS	5
A. Definitions.	5
B. Non-Commercial Contract Payments	5
C. Commercial Item Purchase Payments.	12
D. Progress Payments on Construction Contracts	15

V. THE PROMPT PAYMENT ACT	15
A. Applicability of the Prompt Payment Act (PPA).	15
B. Invoice Payment Procedures.....	18
C. Fixed-Price Construction Contracts.....	22
D. Fixed-Price Architect-Engineer Contracts.....	23
E. Prompt Payment Discounts.....	23
F. Waiver.....	24
VI. ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFERS (EFT)	24
A. Mandatory Use.....	24
B. Specified Payment Date.....	26
C. Assignment of Claims.....	26
D. DOD’s Central Contractor Registration (CCR).....	26
E. Incorrect EFT Information.....	27
F. Payment by Government Purchase Card.	27
G. FAR Clauses.....	27
H. Liability for Erroneous Transfers.....	27
VII. ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS	28
A. General Rule.	28
B. Protection for the Assignee.....	29
VIII. DEBT DETERMINATION AND COLLECTION PROCEDURES.	30
A. Debts Covered by Contract Collection Procedures	30
B. Determination of Contractor Debt.	31
C. Enforcing Government Claims-Collecting the Debt.	33

D. Compromise Actions	34
E. Funds Received from the Contractor.	35
IX. CONCLUSION.....	35

CHAPTER 10

PAYMENT AND COLLECTION

I. INTRODUCTION.

A. Objectives. Following this block of instruction, students should understand these concepts:

1. The various methods used by the Government to pay contractors.
2. The methods, and order of preference, for financing Government contracts.
3. The application of “The Prompt Payment Act.”
4. The Government’s policies and procedures for identifying and collecting contract debts.

B. Perspective. “The Department [of Defense] continues to experience an unacceptable number of contract payment problems. These problems are caused by a number of factors including systems deficiencies and contract structure.”¹

II. REFERENCES.

- A. 10 U.S.C. § 2307, Contract Financing.
- B. 31 U.S.C. § 3901, Prompt Payment.
- C. 31 U.S.C. § 3701, Claims.

¹ Memorandum, The Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition and Technology, to Assistant Secretaries of the Military Departments, subject: Reducing Contract Fund Citations (30 Apr 99).

Major Karen White, USAF
58th Fiscal Law Course
30 October – 3 November 2000

- D. 31 U.S.C. § 3727 and 41 U.S.C. § 15, Assignment of Claims Act of 1940.
- E. 41 U.S.C. § 255, Advance or other payments.
- F. Federal Acquisition Regulation, Part 32, Contract Financing.
- G. DoD Financial Management Regulation (DoD 7000.14-R), Volume 10, Contract Payment Policy and Procedures.
- H. 5 CFR Part 1315, "Prompt Payment."

III. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.

- A. FAR Part 32. This Part prescribes policies and procedures for contract financing and other payment matters.
- B. Disbursing Authority.
 - 1. The Financial Management Service (FMS), a bureau of the U.S. Department of the Treasury, is the principle disbursing agent of the Federal government, accounting for approximately 85% of all Federal payments.
 - 2. The Department of Defense, the United States Marshal's Office, and the Department of Transportation (with respect to public money available for the Coast Guard's expenditure when it is not operating as a service in the Navy) have statutory authority to disburse public money. 31 U.S.C. § 3321.
- C. Contract Payments. All solicitations and contracts shall specify the payment procedures, payment due dates, and interest penalties for late invoice payment. FAR 32.903(a). There are two major types of government contract payments:
 - 1. Payment of the contract price for completed work.
 - 2. Payment in advance of work performance.

D. Advances. An advance of public money may be made only if authorized by Congress or the President. 31 U.S.C. § 3324(b).

E. Invoice Payments vs. Financing Payments. FAR Subpart 32.9.

1. Invoice payments are payments made upon delivery of goods or performance of services and acceptance by the government. Invoice payments include:

- a. Final payments of the contract price, costs, or fee in accordance with the contract or as settled by the government and the contractor.
- b. Payments for partial deliveries or partial performance under fixed-price contracts.
- c. Progress payments:
 - (1) Construction contracts.
 - (2) Architect/Engineer contracts.

2. Financing payments are made to a contractor before acceptance of goods or services by the government. Such payments include:

- a. Advance payments.
- b. Progress payments based on costs.
- c. Progress payments based on a percentage or stage of completion.²
- d. Interim payments on cost-type contracts.

² Progress payments under fixed-price construction and fixed-price architect-engineer contracts are treated as invoice payments under the Prompt Payment Act.

- F. Order of Preference. FAR 32.106 provides the following order of preference when a contractor requests contract financing, unless an exception would be in the Government's interest in a specific case:
1. Private financing without Government guarantee (note, however, that the intent is not to require private financing at unreasonable terms or from other agencies);
 2. Customary contract financing (see FAR 32.113);
 3. Loan guarantees;
 4. Unusual contract financing (see FAR 32.114); and
 5. Advance payments (see exceptions at FAR 32.402(b)).
- G. Payment Requirements. Payments are based on receipt of a proper invoice or contract financing request, and satisfactory contract performance. FAR 32.903(c).
- H. Invoice Payment Due Date. The due date for making an invoice payment is prescribed in FAR 32.905. Government acceptance of supplies or services or receipt by the designated billing office of a proper invoice, whichever is later, triggers the time period for calculation of prompt payment. Failure of the Government to pay the contractor by the due date results in payment of interest.
- I. Financing Payment Due Date. The due date for making a contract financing payment is prescribed in FAR 32.906. Generally, the due date for contract financing payments is 30 days from date of receipt by the designated billing office of a proper payment request. Failure of the Government to make a contract financing payment by the due date does not entitle the contractor to interest. However, late payment can be a defense to a default termination. However, see Jones Oil Company, ASBCA No. 42651, 98-1 BCA ¶ 29,691 (contractor will succeed in appealing a default termination of a contract only if the late payment rendered appellant financially incapable of continuing performance, was the primary or controlling cause of the default, or was a material rather than insubstantial or immaterial breach).

IV. CONTRACT PAYMENT METHODS. 41 U.S.C. § 255; 10 U.S.C. § 2307; FAR Part 32. FAR Part 32 draws a distinction between contract payments for commercial items and noncommercial items.

A. Definitions.

1. Commercial items are defined at FAR 2.101. For example, a computer qualifies as a commercial item because it is sold to the general public.
2. A non-commercial item is a supply or service that is not available for sale to the public, such as a major weapon system.

B. Non-Commercial Contract Payments. Payment methods for non-commercial item supplies or services include partial payments, advance payments, progress payments, loan guarantees, provisional delivery payments, and performance-based payments.

1. Partial Payments.

- a. Partial payments are payments made under fixed-price contracts for supplies or services that are accepted by the government but are only part of the contract requirements. FAR 32.102(d).
- b. Although partial payments are generally treated as a method of payment and not as a method of contract financing, using partial payments can help contractors participate in government contracts without, or with minimal, contract financing. When appropriate, agencies shall use this payment method. FAR 32.102(d).
- c. FAR 52.232-1 provides that unless otherwise specified in the contract, the government must make payment under fixed-price contracts when it accepts partial deliveries if:
 - (1) The amount due on the deliveries warrants it; or
 - (2) The contractor requests payment and the amount due on partial deliveries is at least \$1,000 or 50% of the total contract price.

2. Advance Payments. FAR Subpart 32.4; FAR 52.232-12, Advance Payments.

- a. Advance payments are advances of money by the government to a prime contractor before, in anticipation of, and for the purpose of complete performance under one or more contracts. They are expected to be liquidated from payments due to the contractor incident to performance of the contract. Advance payments may be made to a prime contractor for the purpose of making advances to subcontractors.
- b. This is the least preferred method of contract financing.
- c. Requirements. FAR 32.402(c).
 - (1) The contractor must give adequate security.
 - (2) Advance payments cannot exceed the unpaid contract price.
 - (3) The agency head or designee must determine that advance payment is in the public interest or facilitates the national defense.
- d. According to FAR 32.402(c)(2), the agency head or designee³ must make written findings that:
 - (1) Advance payment will not exceed the contractor's interim cash needs.
 - (2) Advance payment is necessary to supplement other funds or credit available to a contractor.
 - (3) The recipient is otherwise qualified as a responsible contractor.

³ For the Army, the designee is the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management), *see* AFARS 32.402. The Air Force designee is the Assistant for Accounting and Banking, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Financial Management and Comptroller) (SAF/FMPB), *see* AFFARS 5332.409.

- (4) The government will benefit.
 - (5) The case fits one or more of the categories described in FAR 32.403.
 - e. Advance payments can be authorized in addition to progress or partial payments on the same contract.
 - f. Advance payments may be appropriate for the following (FAR 32.403):
 - (1) Contracts for experimental, research or development projects with nonprofit education or research institutions.
 - (2) Contracts solely for management and operation of Government-owned plants.
 - (3) Contracts of such highly classified nature that assignment of claim undesirable for national security reasons.
 - (4) Contracts with financially weak contractors with essential technical ability. In such a case, contractor performance shall be closely monitored to reduce Government's financial risk.
 - (5) Contracts for which a loan by a private financial institution is not practicable.
 - (6) Contracts with small business concerns.
 - (7) Contracts where exceptional circumstances make advance payments the most advantageous contract financing method for both the contractor and the Government.
3. Progress Payments. There are two types of progress payments: those based on costs incurred and those based on the stage of completion of the contracted work.

- a. Costs Incurred. Progress payments can be made on the basis of costs incurred by the contractor as work progresses under the contract. FAR Subpart 32.5; FAR 52.232-16, Progress Payments.
- (1) Unless otherwise provided for in agency regulations, the contracting officer shall not provide for progress payments to a large business if the contract amount is less than \$2 million or to a small business if the contract amount is less than the simplified acquisition threshold (currently \$100,000). FAR 32.104(d)(2)-(3).
 - (2) Subject to the dollar thresholds, a contracting officer may provide for progress payments if the contractor must expend money during the predelivery period that will have a “significant impact” on its working capital, and there is a substantial time from contract inception to delivery (six months for a large business and four months for a small business). FAR 32.104(d)(1).
 - (3) As part of a request for progress payments, a contractor may include the full amount paid to subcontractors as progress payments under the contract and subcontracts. FAR 32.504(b).
 - (4) Progress payments made under indefinite-delivery contracts should be administered under each individual order as if the order constituted a separate contract, unless agency procedures provide otherwise. FAR 32.503-5(c) (as amended by FAC 97-16). But see Aydin Corp. v. Widnall, 61 F.3d 1571 (Fed. Cir. 1995) (contractor entitled to administrative and production costs incurred to implement cost segregation requirements imposed by the contracting officer, where DFARS clause provided for progress payments based on cumulative total costs of the contract).
 - (5) Progress payments can be added to the contract after award by contract modification, but the contractor must provide adequate consideration. FAR 32.005.
 - (6) Customary progress payments. FAR 32.501-1 and FAR 32.502-1.

- (a) The FAR provides that the customary amount is 80% for large businesses and 85% for small businesses. FAR 32.501-1(a).
 - (b) DFARS provides for a customary uniform progress payment rate of 75% for large business, 90% for small business, and 95% for small, disadvantaged businesses. DFARS 232.501-1(a)(i).
- (7) Unusual progress payments. Unusual contract financing is financing with additional approval requirements. FAR 32.001.
 - (a) Contracting officer may provide unusual progress payments only if (FAR 52.501-2):
 - (i) Contract necessitates predelivery expenditures that are large in relation to the contractor's working capital and credit;
 - (ii) Contractor fully documents an actual need to supplement private financing available;
 - (iii) Contractor's request is approved by the head of the contracting activity or designee.
 - (b) DoD requires advance approval of the USD(A&T)DP for any "unusual" progress payment requests. DFARS 232.501-2.
- b. Percentage or Stage of Contract Completion. Progress payments also can be based on a percentage or stage of contract completion, if authorized by agency procedures. Use of this type of progress payment is subject to the following restrictions:
 - (1) DFARS 232.102 provides that these types of progress payments are only authorized for construction contracts, shipbuilding, and ship conversion, alteration or repair.

- (2) The agency must ensure that payments are commensurate with the work accomplished. Greenhut Constr. Co., ASBCA No. 41777, 93-1 BCA ¶ 25,374 (after hurricane damaged previously completed construction work, Navy was entitled to review the work and pay only the amount representing satisfactorily completed work).
- (3) Under undefinitized contract actions, such payments cannot exceed 80% of the eligible costs of work accomplished.

4. Loan Guarantees.

- a. FAR Subpart 32.3 prescribes policies and procedures for designated agencies' guarantees of loans made by private financial institutions to borrowers performing contracts related to national defense.
- b. The use of guaranteed loans requires the availability of certain congressional authority. DoD has not requested authority in recent years, and none is now available. DFARS 232.302.

5. Provisional Delivery Payments. DFARS 232.102-70.

- a. The contracting officer may establish provisional delivery payments to pay contractors for the costs of supplies and services delivered to and accepted by the government under the following contract actions, if undefinitized:
 - (1) Letter contracts contemplating a fixed-price contract,
 - (2) Orders under basic ordering agreements,
 - (3) Unpriced equitable adjustments on fixed-price contracts, and
 - (4) Orders under indefinite delivery contracts.

- b. Provisional delivery payments shall be used sparingly, priced conservatively, and reduced by liquidating previous progress payments in accordance with the Progress Payments Clause.
- c. Provisional delivery payments shall not include profit, exceed funds obligated for the undefinitized contract action, or influence the definitized contract price.

6. Performance-Based Payments.⁴ Performance-based payments are the preferred financing method when the contracting officer finds its use practical and the contractor agrees to its use. FAR 32.1001(a).

- a. Performance-based payments may be made either on a whole contract or on a deliverable item basis, unless otherwise prescribed by agency regulations. FAR 32.1004.
 - (1) Financing payments made on a whole contract basis apply to the entire contract.
 - (2) Financing payments made on a deliverable item basis apply to a specific deliverable item.
- b. Performance-based payments may not exceed 90 percent of the contract price if on a whole contract basis, or 90 percent of the delivery item price if on a delivery item basis. FAR 32.1004(b)(2).
- c. The payments may be made on any of the following bases (FAR 32.1002):
 - (1) Performance measured by objective, quantifiable methods;
 - (2) Accomplishment of defined events; or
 - (3) Other quantifiable measures of results.

⁴ The Defense Contract Management Agency website at www.dcmc.hq.dla.mil provides guidance on the use and administration of performance-based payments (PBPs).

- d. The contracting officer may use performance-based payments only when the contracting officer and the offeror agree on the performance-based payment terms, the contract is a definitized fixed-price type contract, and the contract does not provide for other methods of contract financing, except for advance payments or loan guarantees. FAR 32.1003.
- e. FAR 32.1000 provides that performance-based payments are not used in the following instances:
 - (1) Payments under cost-reimbursement contracts.
 - (2) Contracts for architect-engineer services or construction, or for shipbuilding or ship conversion, alteration, or repair, when the contracts provide for progress payments based on a percentage or stage of completion.
 - (3) Contracts for research and development.
 - (4) Contracts awarded through sealed bid or competitive negotiation procedures.

C. Commercial Item Purchase Payments. 10 U.S.C. § 2307(f); 41 U.S.C. § 255(f); FAR 32.2.

- 1. General Rule. Although financing of the contract is normally the contractor's responsibility, in some markets, the provision of financing by the buyer is a commercial practice. The contracting officer may include appropriate financing terms in contracts for commercial purchases when it is in the best interests of the government.
- 2. Types of Payments. FAR 32.202-2:
 - a. Commercial advance payment.
 - (1) Payments made before any performance of work.

- (2) Limited to 15% of contract price.
- (3) This is contract financing not subject to Prompt Payment Act interest.
- (5) Payment is made on contract specified date, or 30 days after receipt by the designated billing office of a proper request for payment, whichever is later. DFARS 232.206(f)(i).

b. Commercial interim payment.

- (1) Not commercial advance payment or delivery payment.
- (2) Payments made after some work has been done.
- (3) Late payment is not subject to Prompt Payment Act interest penalty.
- (4) Payment is made on entitlement date specified in the contract, or 14 days from the receipt by the designated billing office of a proper request for payment, whichever is later. DFARS 232.206(f)(ii).

c. Delivery payment.

- (1) Payment for accepted supplies or services.
- (2) Includes partial deliveries.
- (3) Considered an invoice payment subject to Prompt Payment Act interest.
- (4) The prompt payment standards for commercial delivery payments are the same as specified in FAR Subpart 32.9.

- d. Installment payment financing for commercial items shall not be used for defense contracts unless market research has established that this form of contract financing is both appropriate and customary in the marketplace. DFARS 232.206(g).

3. Prerequisites. FAR 32.202-1. Commercial item purchase financing, consisting of either interim payments or advance payments, may be made under the following circumstances:

- a. The item financed is a commercial supply or service.
- b. The contract price exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold.
- c. The contracting officer determines that it is appropriate/customary in the commercial marketplace to make financing payments for the item.
- d. This form of contract financing is in the best interest of the government. To help make this determination, the FAR authorizes agencies to establish standards, such as type of procurement, type of item, or dollar level. FAR 32.202-1(e).
- e. Adequate security is obtained from the contractor. FAR 32.202-4.
 - (1) Subject to agency regulations, the contracting officer may determine the offeror's financial condition to be adequate security provided the offeror agrees to provide additional security should that financial condition become inadequate as security. DFARS 232.202-4 states that an offeror's financial condition may be sufficient to make the contractor responsible for award purposes, but not be adequate security for commercial contract financing.
 - (2) Types of Security.
 - (a) Paramount lien.
 - (b) Irrevocable letters of credit.

- (c) Surety bond.
- (d) Guarantee of repayment from a person or corporation of demonstrated liquid net worth connected by significant ownership to the contractor.
- (e) Title to identified contractor assets of adequate worth.

- (3) The value of the security must be at least equal to the maximum unliquidated amount of contract financing payments to be made to the contractor. The value of security may be adjusted during contract performance as long as it is always equal to or greater than the amount of unliquidated financing. FAR 32.202-4(a)(3).

D. Progress Payments on Construction Contracts. FAR 32.103; FAR 52.232-5, Payments Under Fixed-Price Construction Contracts.

1. When a construction contract provides for progress payments and the contractor fails to achieve satisfactory performance for a period for which a progress payment is to be paid, the government may retain a percentage of the progress payment. The retainage shall not exceed 10 percent of the progress payment.

2. Entitlement to progress payments requires compliance with the contract and relevant regulations. The Davis Group, Inc., ASBCA No. 48431, 95-2 BCA ¶ 27,702.

V. **THE PROMPT PAYMENT ACT.** 31 U.S.C. § 3901-3907; 5 C.F.R. 1315⁵; FAR Subpart 32.9.

A. Applicability of the Prompt Payment Act (PPA).

1. Background.

⁵ OMB Circular A-125 has been rescinded and replaced by the Prompt Pay regulations at 5 CFR Part 1315.

- a. Prior to enactment of the Prompt Payment Act of 1982, the Federal government did not have uniform criteria for establishing due dates for payments to contractors.
- b. Many invoices were paid too early or too late. The General Accounting Office estimated that contractors were losing at least \$150 million annually due to late payments, and the Federal Government could save at least \$900 million annually if all early payments were instead paid when due.⁶
- c. To address these concerns, the PPA and implementing guidance and regulations issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provided for payment due dates and interest penalties for late payments.
- d. The PPA provides that interest begins when the government fails to make timely payments to the contractor after receipt of a proper invoice from the contractor.

2. Coverage.

- a. The PPA applies to all government contracts except for contracts where payment terms and late payment penalties have been established by other governmental authority (e.g., tariffs).
- b. The PPA applies to all government agencies.
- c. There are no geographical limitations to applicability of the PPA's procedural requirements. FAR 32.901. Ingenieurgesellschaft Fuer Technische Dienste, ASBCA No. 42029, 42030, 94-1 BCA ¶ 26,569.

3. In analyzing whether the contractor is entitled to PPA interest, the government must determine that: (FAR 32.90)

⁶ Actions to Improve Timeliness of Bill Paying by the Federal Government Could Save Hundreds of Millions of Dollars, (AFMD-82-1, Oct. 1, 1981).

- a. the PPA applies to the payment,
- b. the invoice is proper,
- c. the government has accepted the supplies or services, and
- d. the government has paid the invoice late.

4. Applicability to Types of Payments. The PPA applies to invoice payments i.e., payments made for supplies or services accepted by the government. For purposes of applying the PPA, invoice payments include (FAR 32.902):

- a. Payment for supplies or services accepted by the Government.
- b. Payments for partial deliveries accepted by the Government under fixed-price contracts.
- c. Final cost or fee payments where the Government and the contractor have settled the amounts owed.
- d. Progress payments under fixed-price architect-engineer contracts.
- e. Progress payments under fixed-price construction contracts.

5. The PPA does not apply to contract financing payments made prior to acceptance of supplies or services. FAR 32.902. For purposes of applying the PPA, contract financing payments include (FAR 32.902):

- a. Advance payments.
- b. Progress payments based on cost.
- c. Progress payments based on percentage or stage of completion (except for those made under the fixed-price construction and fixed-price architect-engineer payments clauses noted above).

B. Invoice Payment Procedures.

1. Proper invoice required. The contractor must submit a proper invoice to trigger the PPA. FAR 32.903(h). Invoice means a contractor's bill or written request for payment under the contract for supplies delivered or services performed. FAR 32.902.

a. Under FAR 32.905(e), a proper invoice must include:

- (1) Name and address of contractor.
- (2) Invoice date.
- (3) Contract number or other authorization.
- (4) Description, quantity, unit of measure, and cost of supplies delivered or services performed.
- (5) Shipping and payment terms.
- (6) Name and address of contractor official to whom payment is to be sent.
- (7) Name, telephone number, and mailing address of person to notify if the invoice is defective.
- (8) Any other information or documentation required by the contract, such as evidence of shipment.
- (9) Though not required, contractors are strongly encouraged to assign an identification number to each invoice.

- b. Notice of defective invoice. The government must notify the contractor of any defective invoice within 7 days (3 days for meat, meat food products, and fish; 5 days for perishable agricultural commodities, dairy, and edible fats or oils) after receipt of the invoice at the designated payment office. The notice should include a statement identifying the defect in the invoice. FAR 32.905(e).
 - (1) If such notice is not timely, an adjusted due date for purposes of determining an interest penalty will be established in accordance with FAR 32.907-1(b).
 - (2) FAR 32.907-1(b)(2) provides that the due date on the corrected invoice will be adjusted by subtracting from it the number of days taken beyond the prescribed notification of defects period.
 - (3) The contractor will not be entitled to PPA interest for late payment, despite the agency's failure to notify the contractor of a defective invoice, if the contractor knew that its invoice was defective. Masco, Inc., HUDBCA No. 95-G-147-C16, 96-2 BCA ¶ 28364 (contractor knew that invoiced work had not yet been completed).
- c. Supporting documentation is required. FAR 32.905(f).
 - (1) A receiving report or some other government document authorizing payment must support all invoice payments. A receiving report is evidence that the government accepted the supplies delivered or services performed by the contractor.
 - (2) The agency receiving official must forward supporting documentation by the 5th working day after government acceptance or approval, unless the parties have made other arrangements. This period of time does not extend the payment due date.

2. Payment due date. FAR 32.905(a) provides the payment due date for invoice payments, not including architect-engineer, construction, or food and specified item contracts, is the later of:

- a. The 30th day after the designated billing office receives a proper invoice; or
- b. The 30th day after government acceptance of supplies delivered or services performed by the contractor.
 - (1) On a final invoice where the payment amount is subject to contract settlement actions, acceptance occurs on the effective date of the settlement.
 - (2) For the sole purpose of computing an interest penalty, government acceptance occurs constructively on the 7th day after the contractor has delivered the supplies or performed the services, unless there is a disagreement over quantity, quality, or contractor compliance with a contract requirement.
 - (3) Except for commercial items as defined in FAR 2.101, the contracting officer may specify a longer period for constructive acceptance. This is normally to afford the government a reasonable opportunity to inspect and test the supplies furnished or to evaluate the services performed, but cannot be used as a routine agency practice. The contract file must indicate the justification for extending the constructive acceptance period beyond 7 days.
- c. Special payment periods. The payment due date on contracts for perishable agricultural commodities is shorter. (meat, 7 days; fish, 7 days; perishable agricultural commodities, 10 days; dairy, 10 days; etc.) FAR 32.905(d).
- d. It is DOD policy to assist small disadvantaged businesses by paying them as quickly as possible after receipt of a proper invoice, and before normal payment due dates in the contract. This policy does not alter the payment due date for purposes of the Prompt Payment Act. DFARS 232.903.

3. Interest penalty for late payment. The government incurs an interest penalty for late invoice payment, including late payment of progress payments under fixed-price architect-engineering contracts and fixed-price construction contracts. FAR 32.907-1.

- a. Accrual. The interest penalty accrues when the government pays the contractor after the contract payment due date. Interest penalties will not accrue for more than one year. FAR 32.207-1(e).
- b. Automatic payment. The interest penalty accrues automatically and must be paid by the government without request by the contractor. The government must pay any interest penalty of \$1 or more.⁷ FAR 32.907-1(e).
- c. The interest penalty is not excused by temporary unavailability of funds. FAR 32.903(h).
- d. Late payment penalty upon interest penalty.

(1) The contractor is entitled to a penalty payment if the contractor is owed an interest penalty of \$1 or more, the agency fails to make a required interest penalty payment within 10 days after the date the invoice amount is paid, and the contractor makes a written demand for the penalty within 40 days after the payment. FAR 32.907-1(g)(1).

(2) The penalty upon penalty amount is 100% of the interest penalty owed the contractor, not to exceed \$5,000, nor be less than \$25. FAR 32.907-1(g)(3).

4. Contract Disputes Act Interest Distinguished from Prompt Payment Act Interest.

⁷ The Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) has expressed concern that the costs of making such small payments may not justify the payments. In FY 1996, DFAS Columbus made 10,789 interest payments—about one quarter of all interest payments—totaling \$28,701. DFAS regulations require documentation of the reason for the late payment, and in one case a \$1.05 payment was supported with nine pages of documentation. Financial Management: The Prompt Payment Act and DOD Problem Disbursements (GAO/AIMD-97-71, May 23, 1997).

- a. Under the CDA, the government pays interest on amounts found to be due to a contractor on claims submitted to the contracting officer. Such CDA interest accrues from the date the contracting officer receives a proper claim until payment of the amount due on the claim. 41 U.S.C. § 611. See Paragon Energy Corp., ENG BCA No. 5302, 91-3 BCA ¶ 24,349 (payment of CDA claim presumed to include interest).
- b. PPA and CDA interest is based on the rate established by the Secretary of the Treasury and published in the Federal Register. 31 U.S.C. § 3902 and 41 U.S.C. § 611.⁸ Under the CDA, the government pays simple interest and adjusts the rate every six months in accordance with the current Treasury rate. In contrast, PPA interest is compounded and is not adjusted during the one year accrual period.
- c. If a contractor files a claim under the CDA for PPA interest, interest will run under the PPA until government receipt of the claim, after which CDA interest will apply. Technocratica, ASBCA No. 44444, 94-1 BCA ¶ 26,584.

C. Fixed-Price Construction Contracts.

1. The government must pay interest on approved construction contract progress payments that remain unpaid for more than 14 days after the designated billing office receives a proper payment request. FAR 32.905(c)(i).
2. Similarly, the contractor must pay interest on unearned progress payments, e.g., when the contractor's performance for which progress payments are made does not conform to contract terms. FAR 52.232-5(d), Payments under Fixed-Price Construction Contracts. The government must demand payment of the underlying debt in a sum certain. Electronic & Space Corp., ASBCA No. 47539, 95-2 BCA ¶ 27,768 (the government's letter which simply stated "it appears" progress payments were overpaid was ruled to be an improper demand letter).

⁸ Information concerning the interest rate can be obtained through the Federal Register or from the Department of the Treasury, Financial Management Service (FMS), Washington, DC 20227 (202) 874-6995. The rate applicable through December 31, 2000 is 7.25%. The FMS website is <www.fms.treas.gov>.

3. The government must pay interest on any retained amount that is approved for release if the government does not pay the retained amount to the contractor by the 30th day (unless specified otherwise in contract) after release. FAR 32.905(c)(ii).

4. Interest penalties are not required on payment delays due to disagreement between the parties over the payment amount or other issues involving contract compliance. Claims involving disputes and any interest thereon will be resolved in accordance with the Disputes clause. FAR 52.232-27 (a)(4)(iv).

D. Fixed-Price Architect-Engineer Contracts. The government must pay interest penalties on approved contract progress payments that remain unpaid for more than 30 days after government approval of contractor estimates of work or services accomplished. FAR 52.232-10, Payments Under Fixed-Price Architect-Engineer Contracts; FAR 52.232-26, Prompt Payment for Fixed-Price Architect-Engineer Contracts.

E. Prompt Payment Discounts.

1. Discount for prompt payment means an invoice payment reduction voluntarily offered by the contractor, in conjunction with the clause at FAR 52.232-8, Discounts for Prompt Payment, if payment is made by the government prior to the due date. The due date is calculated from the date of the contractor's invoice. If the contractor has not placed a date on the invoice, the due date is calculated from the date the designated billing office receives a proper invoice, provided the agency annotates such invoice with the date of receipt at the time of receipt. When the discount date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday when federal government offices are closed and government business is not expected to be conducted, payment may be made on the following business day and a discount may be taken.

2. The government may take prompt payment discounts offered by a contractor only when it makes payment within the specified discount period.⁹

⁹ For a discussion on the propriety of taking a prompt payment discount for progress payments made in the normal course of contract administration, See Prompt Payment Discounts Based on Progress Payments, ARMY LAW., August 1994, at 54.

3. The PPA imposes an interest penalty on improperly taken discounts, and the agency must pay the penalty without request by the contractor. FAR 32.907-1(c).

4. The government policy provisions at FAR 32.903 state that the government shall not make invoice and contract financing payments earlier than 7 days prior to the dates specified in the contract unless the agency head, or designee, determines to make earlier payment on a case-by-case basis.

F. Waiver. A contractor may waive an interest penalty payment issued to it under the PPA either by an express written statement or by acts and conduct which indicate an intent to waive. Central Intelligence Agency - Waiver of Interest Under Prompt Payment Act, 62 Comp. Gen. 673 (1983) (contractor refused to accept interest check prepared by agency).

VI. ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFERS (EFT). FAR SUBPART 32.11.

A. Mandatory Use. Payment by EFT is the mandatory method of contract payment¹⁰ in normal contracting situations except for the following situations listed in FAR 32.1103:

1. The office making payment under a contract requiring EFT loses the ability to release payment by EFT. In such a case, the paying office shall make all the necessary payments by check or some other mutually acceptable method of payment. FAR 32.1103(a).
2. The payment will be received by or on behalf of a contractor outside the United States and Puerto Rico. FAR 32.1103(b). However the agency head may authorize EFT for a non-domestic transaction if the political, financial, and communications infrastructure in the foreign country supports EFT payment. FAR 32.1106(b)(1).
3. The payment will be paid in other than US currency. FAR 32.1103(c). However, the agency head may authorize EFT is such a transaction may be made safely. FAR 32.1106(b)(2).

¹⁰ 31 USC §3332 requires use of EFT in all situations except when recipients certify in writing that they do not have an account with a financial institution.

4. Classified contracts, where EFT payments could compromise the safeguarding of classified information or national security, or where arrangements for appropriate EFT payments would be impractical due to security considerations. FAR 32.1103(d).
5. Contracts executed by deployed contracting officers in the course of military operations, including but not limited to, contingency operations as defined in 10 U.S.C. § 101(a)(13), or a contract awarded during emergency operations, such as natural disasters or national or civil emergencies.
6. The agency does not expect to make more than one payment to the same recipient within a one year period. FAR 32.1103(f).
7. The agency's need for supplies and services is of such unusual and compelling urgency that the government would be seriously injured unless payment is by a method other than EFT. FAR 32.1103(g).
8. There is only one source for supplies and services and the government would be seriously injured unless payment is by a method other than EFT. FAR 32.1103(h).
9. Payment by a method other than EFT is otherwise authorized by the Department of Treasury Regulations at 31 CFR 208. FAR 32.1103(i).

- B. Specified Payment Date. FAR 32.902.
1. The date on which the funds are to be transferred to the contractor's account by the financial agent according to agency's EFT payment transaction instruction given to the Federal Reserve System.
 2. If no date has been specified in the instruction, the specified payment date is 3 business days after the payment office releases the EFT payment transaction instruction.
- C. Assignment of Claims. Using EFT payment methods is not a substitute for a properly executed assignment of claims. EFT information showing the ultimate recipient of the transfer to be other than the contractor, in the absence of a proper assignment of claims, is considered to be incorrect EFT information. FAR 32.1105.
- D. DOD's Central Contractor Registration (CCR).
1. Contractors provide EFT data to DOD by registering in the CCR. Registration is mandatory prior to award of a contract, basic agreement, basic ordering agreement, or blanket purchase agreement.
 2. Exceptions to this policy: DFARS 204-7302.
 - a. Purchases made with the Government-wide commercial purchase card,
 - b. Awards made to foreign vendors for work performed outside the United States,
 - c. Classified contracts or purchases,
 - d. Contracts awarded by deployed contracting officers, and
 - e. Purchases to support unusual or compelling needs.

- E. Incorrect EFT Information. If the contractor's EFT information is incorrect, the Government need not make payment until the contractor supplies the correct information. Any invoice submitted under the contract is deemed not to be a proper invoice for purposes of prompt payment. FAR 52.232-33(d); FAR 52.232-34(c).
- F. Payment by Government Purchase Card.¹¹ The financial institution that issued the government credit card may make immediate payment to the contractor. The government will reimburse the financial institution. FAR 32.1108.¹²
- G. FAR Clauses: Unless payment will be made exclusively through the government purchase card, other third party arrangement, or pursuant to an exception in FAR 32.1103, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at FAR 52.232-33, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer-Central Contractor Registration, in all solicitations where the paying office uses the Central Contractor Registration database as its source of EFT information. In contracts where clause 52.232-33 is not inserted, the contracting officer will insert the clause at FAR 52.232-34, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer-Other than Central Contractor Information.
- H. Liability for Erroneous Transfer
 - 1. If an uncompleted or erroneous transfer occurs because the government failed to use the contractor provided EFT information in the correct manner, the government remains responsible for making a correct payment, paying any prompt penalty due, and recovering any erroneously directed funds. FAR 52.232-33(f)(1).

¹¹ DOD requires use of the purchase card as payment for any purchase at or below the micro-purchase threshold (\$2,500). A written determination by a Senior Executive Service member, Flag Officer, or General Officer is required in certain instances where the card is not used. Memorandum, The Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition and Technology, to Secretaries of the Military Departments, subject: Streamlined Payment Practices for Awards/Orders Valued at or below the Micro-Purchase Threshold (2 Oct 98).

¹² Written contracts to be paid by purchase card should include the clause at 52.232-36, Payment by Third Party, as prescribed by FAR 32.1110(d). However, payment by a purchase card also may be made under a contract that does not contain the clause if the contractor agrees to accept the card as a method of payment. FAR 32.1108(b).

2. If an uncompleted or erroneous transfer occurs because the contractor provided incorrect EFT information, and if the funds are no longer in the control of the payment office, the government is deemed to have made payment and the contractor is solely responsible for recovery of any of the erroneously directed funds. If the funds remain under the control of the payment office, the government retains the right to either make payment by mail or suspend the payment. FAR 52.232-33(f)(2).
3. Prompt Payment Act. A payment shall be deemed to have been made in a timely manner if the EFT payment transaction instructions given to the Federal Reserve System specifies the date for settlement of the payment on or before the prompt payment due date, whether or not the Federal Reserve System actually makes the payment by that date. FAR 32.903(k).

VII. ASSIGNMENT OF CLAIMS.

- A. General Rule. A contractor may assign its right to be paid by the government for contract performance. FAR 32.802.

1. Under the Assignment of Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3727) and Assignment of Contracts Act (41 U.S.C. § 15), a contractor may assign monies due or to become due under a contract if all of the following conditions are met:

- a. The contract specifies payments aggregating \$1,000 or more.
- b. The contractor makes the assignment to a bank, trust company, or other financing institution, including any federal lending agency.
- c. The contract does not prohibit the assignment.
- d. Unless the contract expressly permits otherwise, the assignment:
 - (1) Covers all unpaid amounts payable under the contract;
 - (2) Is made only to one party; and
 - (3) Is not subject to further assignment.

e. The assignee sends a written notice of assignment together with a true copy of the assignment instrument to the:

(1) Contracting officer or agency head,

(2) Surety on any bond applicable to the contract; and

(3) Disbursing officer designated in the contract to make payment.

2. The provisions of the Assignment of Claims Act are construed strictly. See Summerfield Housing Limited Partnership v. United States, 42 Fed. Cl. 160 (1998).

B. Protection for the Assignee. 41 U.S.C. § 15; FAR 32.804.

1. Once the assignee notifies the government of the assignment, the government must pay the assignee. Payment to the contractor will not discharge the government's obligation to pay the assignee. Tuftco Corp. v. United States, 222 Ct. Cl. 277 (1980).

2. The government cannot recover payments made to the assignee based on the contractor's liability to the government. FAR 32.804.

3. DOD may include a "no-setoff" provision in its contracts upon a determination of need by the President published in the Federal Register. 41 U.S.C. § 15(e). Formerly, agencies could only use a "no-setoff" provision upon a Presidential proclamation of war or national emergency. This authority has been delegated to the Head of the Agency after such determination has been published in the Federal Register. Use of the "no-setoff" provision may be appropriate to facilitate the national defense, in the event of a national emergency or natural disaster, or when the use of a "no-setoff" provision may facilitate private financing of contract performance. If the offeror is significantly indebted to the Government, this information should be used in the determination. FAR 32.803(d).

4. If the contract contains a no-setoff commitment clause (FAR 52.232-23, Alt I), the assignee will receive contract payments free of reduction or setoff for:

- a. Any liability of the contractor arising independent of the contract. FAR 32.804(b)(1). See Bank of Amer. Nat. Trust and Sav. Ass'n v. United States, 23 F.3d 380 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (SBA loans to fund contract performance are “independent” of the contract and not subject to set-off). See also Applied Companies v. United States, 37 Fed. Cl. 749 (1997) (discussing use of no-setoff provision by assignor).
- b. Certain liabilities arising under the same contract, such as fines, penalties, and withheld taxes (FAR 32.804(b)(2)).

VIII. DEBT DETERMINATION AND COLLECTION PROCEDURES.

A. Debts Covered by Contract Collection Procedures. FAR 32.602.

1. Damages or excess costs arising from a contractor’s default in performance.
2. Breaches of contract obligations by the contractor concerning progress payments, advance payments, or government-furnished property or material.
3. Expenses incurred by the government in correcting defects.
4. Government overpayment to contractors due to billing errors, such as stating an incorrect quantity, or deficiencies in quality or erroneous payments made through EFT.¹³
3. Retroactive price reductions resulting from contract terms for price redetermination or for determination of prices under incentive-type contracts.

¹³ The General Accounting Office has issued numerous reports highlighting DOD’s problems concerning overpayments to contractors. In fiscal years 1994 through 1998, defense contractors returned \$4.6 billion to the Defense Finance and Accounting Center in Columbus, Ohio, due to overpayments resulting from contract administration actions and payment processing errors. See DOD Procurement: Funds Returned by Defense Contractors (GAO/NSIAD-98-46R, October 28, 1997), and DOD Procurement: Millions in Overpayments Returned by DOD Contractors (GAO/NSIAD-94-106, March 14, 1994).

4. Delinquency in contractor payments due to the government under agreements for deferral or postponement of collections.
5. Reimbursement of costs as provided in FAR 33.102(b) and 33.104(h)(1), paid by the Government where a postaward protest is sustained as a result of an awardee's misstatement, misrepresentation, or miscertification.

B. Determination of Contractor Debt.

1. Overpayment problem. Contractor reconciliation of its billings to government accounting and payment data is a key procedure for identifying government overpayments.¹⁴
2. Cooperation among government officials. The FAR requires contracting officers, contract financing offices, disbursing officials, and auditors to cooperate fully with each other to properly identify and promptly collect contract debts. FAR 32.605(a).
3. Responsibility.
 - a. Normally, the contracting officer has primary responsibility for determining the amount of a debt and for collecting it. FAR 32.605(b).
 - b. For DOD agencies, the disbursing officer is responsible for determining the amount and collecting contract debts whenever the government makes overpayment or erroneous payments. DFARS 232.605(b).

¹⁴ See DOD Contract Management: Greater Attention Needed to Identify and Recover Overpayments (GAO/NSIAD-99-131, July 19, 1997). On March 8, 2000 the House of Representatives passed a bill (HR 1827) aimed at helping federal agencies recover overpayments to contractors. The bill would mandate audits of certain accounts, and allow for recovered money to be split between the federal treasury and the agency. The Senate's version (S 3030) would require recovery auditing to help agencies identify discrepancies between the amount owed and the actual amount paid. Both bills are awaiting final action.

4. Procedures.

- a. The responsible official determines the substantive basis for the government's entitlement. FAR 32.606.
 - (1) Contractual. Identify the specific contract provision(s) upon which the government's claim is based. Common bases include:
 - (a) Defective Pricing. See FAR 15.407-1, Defective Cost or Pricing Data.
 - (b) Excess Costs of Reprocurement. See FAR 49.402-6, Repurchase Against Contractor's Account.
 - (c) Recovery of Unliquidated Progress Payments. See FAR 52.232-16(h).
 - (d) Recovery of Unliquidated Advance Payments. See FAR 52.232-12; Do-Well Machine Shop Inc., ASBCA Nos. 34565, 40895, 99-1 BCA ¶ 30,320 (SBA entitled to unliquidated advance payment following default termination of 8(a) contractor); Johnson Mgmt. Group CFC Inc., HUDBCA Nos. 96-C-132-C15, 97-C-109-C2, 1999 HUD BCA LEXIS 7 (HUD had paramount lien on start-up equipment purchased with advance payments).
 - (2) Other bases for government entitlement include common law (e.g., breach of contract, consequential damages) and debts from other contracts.
- b. The responsible official must issue a demand letter notifying the contractor of the debt as soon as the responsible official has computed the amount of refund due. FAR 32.610.

C. Enforcing Government Claims-Collecting the Debt.

1. Collection methods.

- a. Voluntary Payment by the Contractor. After receiving the demand letter, the contractor may pay, arrange to defer payment, or arrange to make installment payments.
- b. Administrative Set-Off. If the disbursing officer is responsible for collection of a contract debt or is notified of the debt by the responsible official, and if the disbursing officer has contractor invoices on hand for payment by the government, the disbursing official shall make an appropriate set-off in the payment to the contractor. FAR 32.611.
- c. Withholding. If the contractor fails to make payment within 30 days of a demand, and has failed to request deferment, the government shall immediately initiate withholding of principal and interest. FAR 32.612.
- d. Tax Refund Offsets. 31 U.S.C. § 3720A authorizes the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to collect certain past due and legally enforceable debts by offset against tax refunds. The DFAS-Denver Center is the single DOD manager and contact point for the IRS-DOD agreement for implementing and administering tax refund offsets. DOD Dir. 7000.14-R (Financial Management Regulation), Volume 10, paragraph 180403B.

2. Deferment of Collection. FAR 32.613.

- a. If the contractor is not appealing the debt, the government and the contractor may agree to a debt deferment or installment payments if the contractor is unable to pay in full at once or if the contractor's operations under national defense contracts would be seriously impaired. FAR 32.613(f).
- b. If the contractor is appealing the debt, suspension or delay of the collection action is not required. However, the responsible official shall consider whether deferment of the debt is advisable to avoid possible overcollection. FAR 32.613(d).

- c. Deferment pending disposition of appeal may be granted when the contractor is a small business concern or is financially weak. FAR 32.613(e).
- d. The government grants deferments pursuant to a written agreement. FAR 32.613(h) specifies the necessary terms. According to FAR 32.613(i), if the contractor's appeal of the debt determination is pending when it requests deferment, any deferment/installment agreement must provide that the contractor will:
 - (1) prosecute the appeal diligently; and
 - (2) pay the debt in full when the appeal is decided or the parties agree on the debt amount.
- e. The filing of an action under the contract's Disputes clause shall not suspend or delay collection of government claims. To obtain deferment of a debt determination that has been appealed under the Disputes clause, the contractor must present a bond or other collateral in the amount of the claim to the government. FAR 32.613(l).

D. Compromise Actions.

- 1. For debts under \$100,000 (excluding interest), if further collection is not practicable or would cost more than the amount of the recovery, the agency may compromise the debt or terminate or suspend further collection action. FAR 32.616.
- 2. For debts over \$100,000, DFAS must forward the debt to the Department of Justice (DOJ) for further action. If DOJ determines that the debt is uncollectible, it must notify DFAS that the debt should be written off. DOD Dir. 7000.14-R (Financial Management Regulation), Volume 10, paragraph 180201C.

E. Funds Received from the Contractor.

1. Miscellaneous Receipts Statute (MRS). 31 U.S.C. § 3302(b). Most funds received from a source outside the appropriations process must be deposited in the general fund of the United States Treasury.
2. Exceptions. Exceptions to the MRS are scattered throughout the United States Code and public law.
3. For more on the MRS and its exceptions, see General Accounting Office, Principles of Federal Appropriations Law ch. 6, § E (2d Ed. 1992); Major Timothy D. Matheny, Go On, Take the Money and Run: Understanding the Miscellaneous Receipts Statute and Its Exceptions, ARMY LAW., Sep. 1997, at 31.

IX. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 11

CONTINUING RESOLUTION AUTHORITY AND FUNDING GAPS

I.	INTRODUCTION.	1
II.	DEFINITIONS.....	1
A.	Continuing Resolution.	1
B.	Funding Gap	1
III.	GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS DURING FUNDING GAPS.	1
A.	Continued Operations - Potential Antideficiency Act Violations.	1
B.	Continued Operations - Permissible Activities.....	2
IV.	CONTINUING RESOLUTIONS.....	7
A.	Legal Implications of Continuing Resolutions.	7
B.	Comparison of Continuing Resolutions with Appropriations Acts.	9
C.	Governmental Operations During the Life of a Continuing Resolution.	10
D.	What Happens When Congress Decides to Reduce Government Operations -- The FY 1996 Continuing Resolution.	13
E.	Determining Purpose Under a Continuing Resolution.	16
F.	Relationship of a Continuing Resolution to Other Legislation.	16
V.	CONCLUSION.....	17
	Appendix A: Attorney General Opinion, April 25, 1980	
	Appendix B: The Dellinger Memo	
	Appendix C: 1990 Amendment of 31 U.S.C. § 1342.	
	Appendix D: DOD and DA 1995 Guidance	
	Appendix E: FY 2000 CR and OMB Apportionment Bulletin	

CHAPTER 11

CONTINUING RESOLUTION AUTHORITY AND FUNDING GAPS

I. INTRODUCTION.

II. DEFINITIONS.

A. Continuing Resolution.

1. The Congressional resolution, in the absence of an appropriation act, providing authority for Agencies to continue current operations. Such continuing resolutions are subject to OMB apportionment in the same manner as appropriations. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 1, Definitions.
2. [A]n interim appropriation to be used until permanent appropriations are enacted. It authorizes continuation of normal operations while preserving Congressional and Presidential prerogatives before enactment of permanent appropriations. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 080401.

B. Funding Gap. A funding gap occurs when previous budget authority expires and there exists no regular appropriations act or continuing resolution.

III. GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS DURING FUNDING GAPS.

A. Continued Operations - Potential Antideficiency Act Violations.

1. The Comptroller General has opined that permitting federal employees to work after the end of one fiscal year and before the enactment of a new appropriations act or a Continuing Resolution violates the Antideficiency Act (ADA). 31 U.S.C. §§ 1341-1342. Representative Gladys Noon Spellman, B-197841, March 3, 1980 (unpub.).
2. The Attorney General has opined that absent an appropriations act or a Continuing Resolution, executive agencies must take immediate steps to cease normal operations. Opinion of the Attorney General, Apr. 25, 1980 (Appendix A).
3. In anticipation of a potential funding gap, the Clinton Administration requested updated guidance on the scope of permissible government activity. In response, the Department of Justice issued what is known as the "Dellinger Memo," which reemphasized the restricted level of allowable government activity. The Memo also noted, however, that a lapse in appropriations will not result in a total "government shut-down." DOJ Memorandum for Alice Rivlin, Office of Management and Budget, Aug. 16, 1995 (Appendix B).

B. Continued Operations - Permissible Activities.

1. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issues guidance concerning actions to be taken by agencies during funding gaps.
 - a. Agencies must develop contingency plans to conduct an orderly shutdown of operations.
 - b. During a funding gap, agencies may continue:
 - (1) Activities otherwise authorized by law, e.g., activities funded with multi-year or no-year appropriations;
 - (2) Activities authorized through extraordinary contract authority. See, e.g., 41 U.S.C § 11 (Feed and Forage Act).

- (3) Activities that protect life and property. See, e.g., 31 U.S.C. § 1342.
 - (4) Activities necessary to begin phase-down of other activities. See Attorney General Opinion, Apr. 25, 1980 (Appendix A).
- 2. In 1990, Congress amended the ADA, 31 U.S.C. § 1342, to restrict the authority of agencies to cite the protection of life and property as the basis for continuing operations. Congress excluded "ongoing regular functions of government the suspension of which would not imminently threaten the safety of human life or the protection of property" from the scope of permissible activities that may be continued during a funding gap. (Appendix C).
 - a. Examples of Permissible Activities:
 - (1) National security activities.
 - (2) Payments and the performance of contract obligations under no-year and multi-year authority or expenditures from other funds still available for those purposes.
 - (3) Activities considered essential to the protection of life and property. 31 U.S.C. § 1342. These activities include:
 - (a) Medical care of inpatients and emergency outpatient care;
 - (b) Activities essential to ensuring continued public health and safety, including safe use of food, drugs, and hazardous materials;
 - (c) Border and coastal protection and surveillance;

- (d) Protection of federal lands, buildings, waterways, equipment, and other government property;
 - (e) Care of prisoners and other persons in the custody of the United States;
 - (f) Law enforcement and criminal investigations;
 - (g) Emergency and disaster assistance;
 - (h) Activities essential to the preservation of the essential elements of the money and banking system of the United States, including borrowing and tax collection activities of the Treasury;
 - (i) Activities that ensure production of power and maintenance of the power distribution system; and
 - (j) Activities necessary to maintain government-owned research property.
- b. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 0805 provides the following guidance concerning operations during a funding gap:
 - (1) Obligations may continue in the new fiscal year for minimum mission essential business.
 - (2) Neither prior year unexpired funds of multi-year appropriations nor revolving funds are impacted by the absence of a new appropriation or a CRA.
- c. DFAS-IN 37-1, para. 1604 provides additional details concerning disbursements permitted during funding gaps. Such disbursements may be made:

- (1) To liquidate prior-year obligations;
 - (2) To liquidate new obligations for unexpired multi-year appropriations;
 - (3) To liquidate obligations for revolving funds and trust funds (no year) while cash balances exist;
 - (4) To liquidate obligations made during a previous CRA.
3. In September 1995, DOD issued detailed guidance addressing what activities the military departments and other DOD agencies could perform during the absence of appropriations (i.e., a funding gap) (See Appendix D).
 - a. Among the activities exempt from a government suspension or shut-down are:
 - (1) Units and the administrative, logistical, and maintenance functions required in support of major contingency tasking;
 - (2) Units and personnel supporting ongoing international treaties, commitments, essential peacetime engagement and counterdrug operations;
 - (3) Units and personnel preparing for or participating in operational exercises;
 - (4) Functions or activities necessary to protect life and property or to respond to emergencies;¹
 - (5) Educational activities deemed necessary for immediate support of permissible activities;

¹ Among the activities exempted from the "shut-down" include: fire protection, physical security, law enforcement, air traffic control and harbor control, utilities, housing and food services for military personnel, trash removal, and veterinary services in support of exempt functions (i.e., food supply and service inspections).

- (6) Educational activities not otherwise allowed if undertaken by active duty military personnel for other active military personnel only;
- (7) Negotiation, preparation, execution, and administration of new/existing contracts for permissible activities/functions;²
- (8) Litigation activities associated with imminent legal action, only so long as courts and administrative boards remain in session;
- (9) Legal support for any permitted activities;
- (10) MWR activities to the extent operated by NAF personnel; and
- (11) Child care activities.

b. Activities which must be suspended during a funding gap:

- (1) Basic, skill, and qualification training which will obligate current FY funds;
- (2) Military Personnel Selection Boards and Administrative Boards;
- (3) Routine medical procedures (including vaccinations) in DOD medical facilities for non-active duty personnel;
- (4) Department of Defense Dependents Schools;

² For contract actions not within the scope of the original contract and that are in direct support of permissible activities, the contracting officer will cite one of the following three authorities in support of the new obligation: (1) the Constitution as interpreted by Attorney General opinions for general support of National Security operations, (2) 41 U.S.C. § 11 for obligations covered by the Food and Forage Act, and (3) 31 U.S.C. § 1342 for obligations for protection of life and property against imminent danger.

- (5) PCS moves and TDY travel for active duty, reserve, and civilian personnel engaged in otherwise non-exempt activities using current FY funding;

4. Unresolved Problems.

- a. Agencies generally cannot predict whether a funding gap will occur or estimate its duration. Consequently, it is difficult for agencies to plan for such gaps.
- b. What does Congress really expect agencies to do? See, e.g., Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1993, § 9049, Pub. L. No. 102-396, 106 Stat. 1876 ("All obligations incurred in anticipation of this Act are hereby ratified and confirmed if otherwise in accordance with this Act.").
- c. Personnel disruption varies from agency to agency, but, at a minimum, there is lost efficiency due to the need to publish shutdown instructions.
- d. Overall lack of guidance.
- e. Dependence on Washington for information, e.g., congressional language in conference reports or lack of availability of Continuing Resolution statutory language.
- f. Efficient operation of government is clearly compromised. See General Accounting Office, Government Shutdown: Permanent Funding Lapse Legislation Needed, GAO/GGD-91-76, B-241730, June 6, 1991; General Accounting Office, Funding Gaps Jeopardize Federal Government Operations, No. PAD-81-31, Mar. 3, 1981.

IV. CONTINUING RESOLUTIONS.

- A. Legal Implications of Continuing Resolutions.

1. If Congress fails to pass, or the President fails to sign, an appropriation act before 1 October, a funding gap occurs unless Congress passes, and the President signs, interim legislation authorizing executive agencies to continue incurring obligations. This legislation is referred to as a Continuing Resolution. It is a statute that has the force and effect of law. See Oklahoma v. Weinberger, 360 F. Supp. 724 (W.D. Okla. 1973). The first Continuing Resolution for FY 2000 began as follows:

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
That the following sums are hereby appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, and out of applicable corporate or other revenues, receipts, and funds, for the several departments, agencies, corporations, and other organizational units of Government for the fiscal year 2000, and for other purposes, namely:

Sec. 101. (a) Such amounts as may be necessary under the authority and conditions provided in the applicable appropriations Act for the fiscal year 1999 for continuing projects or activities including the costs of direct loans and loan guarantees (not otherwise specifically provided for in this joint resolution) which were conducted in the fiscal year 1999 and for which appropriations, funds, or other authority would be available in the following appropriations Acts:

* * *

The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, notwithstanding section 504(a)(1) of the National Security Act of 1947;

* * *

H.J. Res. 68 (hereinafter referred to as the FY 2000 Continuing

Resolution)
added).

2. A Continuing Resolution provides budget authority:
 - a. Until a fixed cut-off date specified in the Continuing Resolution;
 - b. Until an appropriations act replaces it; or
 - c. For an entire fiscal year, if no appropriations act is passed:

Sec. 106. Unless otherwise provided for in this joint resolution or in the applicable appropriations Act, appropriations and funds made available and authority granted pursuant to this joint resolution shall be available until

(a) enactment into law of an appropriation for any project or activity provided for in this joint resolution;

(b) the enactment into law of the applicable appropriations Act by both Houses without any provision for such project or activity; or

(c) October 21, 1999, whichever first occurs. FY 2000 Continuing Resolution.

B. Comparison of Continuing Resolutions with Appropriations Acts.

1. Appropriations acts appropriate specified sums of money.

2. Continuing Resolutions normally appropriate "such amounts as may be necessary" for continuing projects or activities at a certain "rate for operations."

C. Governmental Operations During the Life of a Continuing Resolution.

1. New Starts. Continuing Resolutions generally do not allow agencies to initiate new programs, or expand the scope of existing programs, projects, and activities. For example, the FY 2000 Continuing Resolution provided, in part:

Sec. 102. No appropriation or funds made available or authority granted pursuant to section 101 for the Department of Defense shall be used for new production of items not funded for production in fiscal year 1999 or prior years, for the increase in production rates above those sustained with fiscal year 1999 funds, or to initiate, resume, or continue any project, activity, operation, or organization . . . for which appropriations, funds, or other authority were not available during the fiscal year 1999. . . .

2. Current rate. Continuing Resolutions frequently authorize operations at the "current rate." This represents an attempt by Congress to maintain the "status quo" of existing programs and rates of operation/production during the time frame between Appropriation Acts.
 - a. Continuing Resolutions use the "current rate" to establish the upper limit at which agencies may continue to fund a project or activity. For example, the FY 2000 Continuing Resolution contained the following language:

Sec. 101(a). [W]henver the amount which would be made available or the authority which would be granted in these Acts is

greater than that which would be available or granted under current operations, the pertinent project or activity shall be continued at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate. FY 2000 Continuing Resolution.

b. Comptroller General Interpretations.

- (1) One-year appropriation. When the program in question was funded by a one-year appropriation in the prior year, the current rate equaled the total funds appropriated for the program for the previous fiscal year. To the Hon. Don Edwards, House of Representatives, B-214633, 64 Comp. Gen. 21 (1984); In the Matter of CETA Appropriations Under 1979 Continuing Resolution Authority, B-194063, 58 Comp. Gen. 530 (1979).
- (2) Multi-year appropriations. When the unobligated balance can be carried over from the prior fiscal year (e.g., under a multi-year appropriation), the amount available under the Continuing Resolution equaled the amount available for obligation in the prior fiscal year (i.e., the "current rate") less any unobligated balance carried over into the present year. National Comm. for Student Financial Assistance-Fiscal Year 1982 Funding Level, B-206571, 61 Comp. Gen. 473 (1982).

3. Apportionment. OMB apportions the funds appropriated by Continuing Resolutions. 31 U.S.C. § 1512. Congress often includes language in a Continuing Resolution, such as that used in the FY 2000 CR, to ease the normal apportionment requirements:

Sec. 110. Appropriations and funds made available by or authority granted pursuant to this joint resolution *may be used without regard to the time limitations for submission and approval of*

apportionments set forth in section 1513 of title 31, United States Code, but nothing herein shall be construed to waive any other provision of law governing the apportionment of funds. FY 2000 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

4. High Initial Rates of Operation. Congress often prohibits agencies with high rates of operation early in the fiscal year from engaging in similar conduct during the life of the Continuing Resolution.

Sec. 112. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, except section 106, for those programs that had high initial rates of operation or complete distribution of fiscal year 1999 appropriations at the beginning of that fiscal year because of distributions of funding to States, foreign countries, grantees or others, *similar distributions of funds for fiscal year 2000 shall not be made* and no grants shall be awarded for such programs funded by this resolution *that would impinge on final funding prerogatives*. FY 2000 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

5. When the applicable appropriations act becomes law, expenditures made pursuant to the Continuing Resolution must be charged against the appropriations act:

Sec. 108. Expenditures made pursuant to this joint resolution shall be charged to the applicable appropriation, fund, or authorization whenever a bill in which such applicable appropriation, fund, or authorization is contained is enacted into law. FY 2000 Continuing Resolution.

6. Obligations incurred under Continuing Resolutions remain valid even if the appropriations finally passed by Congress are less than the amounts authorized by the Continuing Resolution. Treasury Withdrawal of Appropriation Warrants for Programs Operating Under Continuing Resolution, B-200923, 62 Comp. Gen. 9 (1982); Staff Sergeant Frank D. Carr, USMC-Transferred Service Member-Dislocation Allowance, B-226452, 67 Comp. Gen. 474 (1988).

D. What Happens When Congress Decides to Reduce Government Operations -- The FY 1996 Continuing Resolution.

1. More Austere Conditions. In FY 1996 Congress required agencies to operate under more austere budgetary constraints during the Continuing Resolution period.
2. The Average of the Two Rates. The FY 1996 Continuing Resolution addressed the situation where the House version of an Act funded a project or activity at a different rate than the Senate version:

[Sec. 101](b) Whenever the amount which would be made available or the authority which would be granted under an Act listed in this section as passed by the House as of October 1, 1995, *is different* from that which would be available or granted under such Act as passed by the Senate as of October 1, 1995, the pertinent project or activity shall be continued at a rate for operations *not exceeding the average of the rates permitted by the action of the House or the Senate* under the authority and conditions provided in the applicable appropriations Act for the fiscal year 1995 FY 1996 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

3. The Average Rate Less Five Percent. During the life of the 1996 Continuing Resolution, agencies were required to reduce the rate of some operations by five percent.

Sec. 115. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, except section 106, the rates for operation for any continuing project or activity provided by section 101 that have not been increased by the provisions of section 111 or section 112 *shall be reduced by 5 percent but shall not be reduced below the minimal level defined in section 111 or below the level that would result in a furlough.* FY 1996 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

4. Only One Version. Congress also provided fiscally restrictive language when addressing those situations where only one House of Congress had passed its version of an appropriations act as of 1 October 1995.

[Sec. 101](c) Whenever an Act listed in this section has been passed by only the House or only the Senate as of October 1, 1995, the pertinent project or activity shall be continued under the appropriation, fund, or authority granted by the one House *at a rate for operations not exceeding the current rate or the rate permitted by the action of the one House, whichever is lower,* and under the authority and conditions provided in applicable appropriations Acts for the fiscal year 1995. FY 1996 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

5. Minimal Level. The 104th Congress provided agencies funding so that they could continue certain FY 1995 activities at a "minimal level," that is, at 90% of the "current rate" for FY 1995.

Sec. 111. Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, except section 106, whenever an Act listed in section 101 as *passed by both the House and Senate as of October 1, 1995, does not include funding* for an ongoing project or activity for which there is a budget request, or whenever an Act listed in section 101 has been passed by only the House or only the Senate as of October 1, 1995, and an item funded in fiscal year 1995 is not included in the version passed by the one House, . . . the pertinent project or activity may be continued under the authority and conditions provided in the applicable appropriations Act for the fiscal year 1995 by increasing the rate for operations provided by section 101 to *a rate for operations not to exceed one that provides the minimal level* that would enable existing activities to continue. . . . For the purposes of the Act, the *minimal level means a rate for operations that is reduced from the current rate by 10 percent.*³ FY 1996 Continuing Resolution

6. Furloughs. The initial 1996 Continuing Resolution offered agencies some relief from the "minimal level" rule. An agency could sustain operations at that rate of operations necessary to avoid furloughing Government employees, even if that rate exceeded the minimum level or rate otherwise required by the Continuing Resolution.

Sec. 112. *Notwithstanding any other provision of this joint resolution, except section 106, whenever the rate for operations for any continuing project or activity provided by section 101 or section 111 for which*

³ The second Continuing Resolution passed by the 104th Congress increased this decrement to 25 percent.

there is a budget request would result in a furlough of Government employees, that *rate for operations may be increased to a level that would enable the furlough to be avoided*. . . . FY 1996 Continuing Resolution (emphasis added).

E. Determining Purpose Under a Continuing Resolution.

1. Continuing Resolutions provide interim funding for projects or activities conducted in the prior fiscal year. In determining whether a given program or activity is covered by the Continuing Resolution, the Comptroller General may look to the total funds available for obligation in the prior year and the level of funding provided in the prior year's appropriation. Special Defense Acquisition Fund, B-214236, 66 Comp. Gen. 484 (1987). Generally, the scope of a Continuing Resolution's applicability is quite broad:

Sec. 107. Appropriations made and authority granted pursuant to this joint resolution shall cover all obligations or expenditures incurred for any program, project, or activity during the period for which funds are available under this joint resolution.
FY 2000 Continuing Resolution.

2. Alternatively, a Continuing Resolution may cover a specific activity. The Comptroller General has looked to whether the activity was authorized or carried out in the previous year. Chairman, Nat'l Advisory Council on Extension and Continuing Educ., B-169472, 52 Comp. Gen. 270 (1972).

F. Relationship of a Continuing Resolution to Other Legislation.

1. A Continuing Resolution statute may refer to budget estimates or other legislation. Conflicts between the Continuing Resolution and these other documents should be resolved in favor of the Continuing Resolution because it is a later expression of Congressional intent.
2. Specific inclusion of a program in a Continuing Resolution provides authorization and funding to continue the program despite expiration of authorizing legislation. Authority to Continue Domestic Food Programs Under Continuing Resolution, B-176994, 55 Comp. Gen. 289 (1975).

V. CONCLUSION.

APPENDIX A: OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL

APRIL 25, 1980

MY DEAR MR. PRESIDENT:

You have requested my opinion whether an agency can lawfully permit its employees to continue work after the expiration of the agency's appropriation for the prior fiscal year and prior to any appropriation for the current fiscal year. The Comptroller General, in a March 3, 1980 opinion, concluded that, under the so-called Antideficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 665(a), any supervisory officer or employee, including the head of an agency, who directs or permits agency employees to work during any period for which Congress has not enacted an appropriation for the pay of those employees violates the Antideficiency Act. Notwithstanding that conclusion, the Comptroller General also took the position that Congress, in enacting the Antideficiency Act, did not intend federal agencies to be closed during periods of lapsed appropriations. In my view, these conclusions are inconsistent. It is my opinion that, during periods of "lapsed appropriations," no funds may be expended except as necessary to bring about the orderly termination of an agency's functions, and that the obligation or expenditure of funds for any purpose not otherwise authorized by law would be a violation of the Antideficiency Act.

Section 665(a) of Title 31 forbids any officer or employee of the United States to:

involve the Government in any contract or other obligation, for the payment of money for any purpose, in advance of appropriations made for such purpose, unless such contract or obligation is authorized by law.

Because no statute permits federal agencies to incur obligations to pay employees without an appropriation for that purpose, the "authorized by law" exception to the otherwise blanket prohibition of § 665(a) would not apply to such obligations.¹ On its face, the plain and unambiguous language of the Antideficiency Act prohibits an agency from incurring pay obligations once its authority to expend appropriations lapses.

The legislative history of the Antideficiency Act is fully consistent with its language. Since Congress, in 1870, first enacted a statutory prohibition against agencies incurring obligations in excess of appropriations, it has amended the Antideficiency Act seven times.² On each occasion, it has left the original prohibition untouched or

¹An example of a statute that would permit the incurring of obligations in excess of appropriations is 41 U.S.C. § 11, permitting such contracts for "clothing, subsistence, forage, fuel, quarters, transportation, or medical and hospital supplies" for the Armed Forces. See 15 Op. A.G. 209. See also 25 U.S.C. § 99 and 31 U.S.C. § 668.

²Act of March 3, 1905, Ch. 1484, § 4, 33 Stat. 1257; Act of Feb. 27, 1906, Ch. 510, § 3, 34 Stat. 48; Act of Sept. 6, 1950, Ch. 896, §1211, 64 Stat. 765; Pub. L. 85-170, § 1401, 71 Stat. 440 (1957); Pub. L. 93-198, § 421, 87 Stat. 789 (1973); Pub. L. 93-344, § 1002, 88 Stat. 332 (1974); Pub. L. 93-618, § 175(a)(2), 88 Stat. 2011

reenacted the prohibition in substantially the same language. With each amendment, Congress has tried more effectively to prohibit deficiency spending by requiring, and then requiring more stringently, that agencies apportion their spending throughout the fiscal year. Significantly, although though Congress, from 1905 to 1950, permitted agency heads to waive their agencies' apportionments administratively, Congress never permitted an administrative waiver of the prohibition against incurring obligations in excess or advance of appropriations. Nothing in the debates concerning any of the amendments to or reenactments of the original prohibition has ever suggested an implicit exception to its terms.³

The apparent mandate of the Antideficiency Act notwithstanding, at least some federal agencies, on seven occasions during the last 30 years, have faced a period of lapsed appropriations. Three such lapses occurred in 1952, 1954, and 1956.⁴ On two of these occasions, Congress subsequently enacted provisions ratifying interim obligations incurred during the lapse.⁵ However, the legislative history of these provisions does not explain Congress' understanding of the effect of the Antideficiency Act on the agencies that lacked timely appropriations.⁶ Neither are we aware that the Executive branch formally addressed the Antideficiency Act problem on any of these occasions.

The four more recent lapses include each of the last four fiscal years, from fiscal year 1977 to fiscal year 1980. Since Congress adopted a fiscal year calendar running from October 1 to September 30 of the following year, it has never enacted continuing appropriations for all agencies on or before October 1 of the new fiscal year.⁷ Various

(1975).

³The prohibition against incurring obligations in excess of appropriations was enacted in 1870, amended slightly in 1905 and 1906, and reenacted in its modern version in 1950. The relevant legislative debates occur at Cong. Globe, 41st Cong., 2d Sess. 1553, 3331 (1870); 39 Cong. Rec. 3687-692, 3780-783 (1905); 40 Cong. Rec. 1272-298, 1623-624 (1906); 96 Cong. Rec. 6725-731, 6835-837, 11369-370 (1950).

⁴In 1954 and 1956, Congress enacted temporary appropriations measures later than July 1, the start of fiscal years 1955 and 1957. Act of July 6, 1954, ch. 460, 68 Stat. 448; Act of July 3, 1956, ch. 516, 70 Stat. 496. In 1952, Congress enacted, two weeks late, supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 1953 without having previously enacted a temporary appropriations measure. Act of July 15, 1952, ch. 758, 66 Stat. 637.

⁵Act of July 15, 1952, ch. 758, §1414, 66 Stat. 661; Act of Aug. 26, 1954, ch. 935, § 1313, 68 Stat. 831.

⁶In 1952, no temporary appropriations were enacted for fiscal year 1953. The supplemental appropriations measure enacted on July 15, 1952 did, however, include a provision ratifying obligations incurred on or since July 1, 1952. Act of July 15, 1952, ch. 758, § 1414, 66 Stat. 661. The ratification was included, without elaboration, in the House Committee-reported bill, H. Rep. No. 2316, 82d Cong., 2d Sess. 69 (1952), and was not debated on the floor. In 1954, a temporary appropriations measure for fiscal year 1955 was presented to the President on July 2 and signed on July 6. Act of July 6, 1954, ch. 460, 68 Stat. 448. The Senate Committee on Appropriations subsequently introduced a floor amendment to the eventual supplemental appropriations measure that ratified obligations incurred on or after July 1, 1954, and was accepted without debate. Act of Aug. 26, 1954, ch. 935, § 1313, 68 Stat. 831. 100 Cong. Rec. 13065 (1954). In 1956, Congress's temporary appropriations measure was passed on July 2 and approved on July 3. Act of July 3, 1956, ch. 516, 70 Stat. 496. No ratification measure for post-July 1 obligations was enacted.

⁷Pub. L. 94-473, 90 Stat. 2065 (Oct. 11, 1976); Pub. L. 95-130, 91 Stat. 1153 (Oct. 13, 1977); Pub. L. 95-482, 92 Stat. 1603 (Oct. 18, 1978); Pub. L. 96-86, 93 Stat. 656 (Oct. 12, 1979).

agencies of the Executive branch and the General Accounting Office have internally considered the resulting problems within the context of their budgeting and accounting functions. Your request for my opinion, however, apparently represents the first instance in which this Department has been asked formally to address the problem as a matter of law.

I understand that, for the last several years, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the General Accounting Office (GAO) have adopted essentially similar approaches to the administrative problems posed by the Antideficiency Act. During lapses in appropriations during this Administration, OMB has advised affected agencies that they may not incur any "controllable obligations" or make expenditures against appropriations for the following fiscal year until such appropriations are enacted by Congress. Agencies have thus been advised to avoid hiring, grant-making, nonemergency travel, and other nonessential obligations.

When the General Accounting Office suffered a lapse in its own appropriations last October, the Director of General Services and Controller issued a memorandum, referred to in the Comptroller General's opinion,⁸ indicating that GAO would need "to restrain our FY 1980 obligations to only those essential to maintain day-to-day operations." Employees could continue to work, however, because of the Director's determination that it was not "the intent of Congress that GAO close down."

In my view, these approaches are legally insupportable. My judgment is based chiefly on three considerations.

First, as a matter of logic, any "rule of thumb" excepting employee pay obligations from the Antideficiency Act would have to rest on a conclusion, like that of the Comptroller General, that such obligations are unlawful, but also authorized. I believe, however, that legal authority for continued operations either exists or it does not. If an agency may infer, as a matter of law, that Congress has authorized it to operate in the absence of appropriations, then in permitting the agency to operate, the agency's supervisory personnel cannot be deemed to violate the Antideficiency Act. Conversely, if the Antideficiency Act makes it unlawful for federal agencies to permit their employees to work during periods of lapsed appropriations, then no legislative authority to keep agencies open in such cases can be inferred, at least from the Antideficiency Act.

Second, as I have already stated, there is nothing in the language of the Antideficiency Act or in its long history from which any exception to its terms during a period of lapsed appropriations may be inferred. Faithful execution of the laws cannot rest on mere speculation that Congress does not want the Executive branch to carry out Congress' unambiguous mandates. It has been suggested, in this regard, that legislative

⁸The entire memorandum appears at 125 Cong. Rec. S13784 (daily ed. Oct. 1, 1979) [remarks of Sen. Magnuson].

intent may be inferred from Congress' practice in each of the last four years of eventually ratifying obligations incurred during periods of lapsed appropriations if otherwise consistent with the eventually appropriations.⁹ Putting aside the obvious difficulty of inferring legal authority from expectations as to Congress' future acts, it appears to me that Congress' practice suggests an understanding of the Antideficiency Act consistent with the interpretation I have outlined. If legal authority exists for an agency to incur obligations during periods of lapsed appropriations, Congress would not need to confirm or ratify such obligations. Ratification is not necessary to protect private parties who deal with the Government. So long as Congress has waived sovereign immunity with respect to damage claims in contract, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346, 1491, the apparent authority alone of government officers to incur agency obligations would likely be sufficient to create obligations that private parties could enforce in court. The effect of the ratifying provisions seems thus to be limited to providing legal authority where there was none before, implying Congress' understanding that agencies are not otherwise empowered to incur obligations in advance of appropriations.

Third, and of equal importance, any implied exception to the plain mandate of the Antideficiency Act would have to rest on a rationale that would undermine the statute. The manifest purpose of the Antideficiency Act is to insure that Congress will determine for what purposes the Government's money is to be spent and how much for each purpose. This goal is so elementary to a proper distribution of governmental powers that when the original statutory prohibition against obligations in excess of appropriations was introduced in 1870, the only responsive comment on the floor of the House was, "I believe that is the law of the land now." Cong. Globe, 41st Cong., 2d Sess. 1553 (1870) [remarks of Rep. Dawes].

Having interpreted the Antideficiency Act, I would like to outline briefly the legal ramifications of my interpretation. It follows first of all that, on a lapse in appropriations, federal agencies may incur no obligations that cannot lawfully be funded from prior appropriations unless such obligations are otherwise authorized by law. There are no exceptions to this rule under current law, even where obligations incurred earlier would avoid greater costs to the agencies should appropriations later be enacted.¹⁰

Second, the Department of Justice will take actions to enforce the criminal provisions of the Act in appropriate cases in the future when violations of the Antideficiency Act are alleged. This does not mean that departments and agencies, upon a lapse in appropriations, will be unable logistically to terminate functions in an orderly way. Because it would be impossible in fact for agency heads to terminate all agency functions without incurring any obligations whatsoever in advance of appropriations, and because statutes that impose duties on government officers implicitly authorize those steps necessary and proper for the performance of those duties, authority may be inferred from the Antideficiency Act itself for federal officers to incur those minimal obligations

⁹Pub. L. 94-473, § 108, 90 Stat. 2066 (1976); Pub. L. 95-130, § 108, 91 Stat. 1154 (1977); Pub. L. 95-482, § 108, 92 Stat. 1605 (1978); Pub. L. 96-86, § 117, 93 Stat. 662 (1979).

¹⁰See 21 Op. A.G. 288.

necessary to closing their agencies. Such limited obligations would fall within the "authorized by law" exception to the terms of § 665(a).

This Department will not undertake investigations and prosecutions of officials who, in the past, may have kept their agencies open in advance of appropriations. Because of the uncertainty among budget and accounting officers as to the proper interpretation of the Act and Congress' subsequent ratifications of past obligations incurred during periods of lapsed appropriations, criminal sanctions would be inappropriate for those actions.

Respectfully,

BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI

43 U.S. Op. Atty. Gen. No., 43 U.S. Op. Atty. Gen. No. 24, 4A U.S. Op. Off. Legal Counsel 16.

APPENDIX B

THE DELLINGER MEMO

APPENDIX C

1990 OMNIBUS BUDGET RECONCILIATION ACT AMENDMENT OF 31 U.S.C. § 1342.

31 U.S.C. § 1342. Limitations on Voluntary Services.

An officer or employee of the United States Government or of the District of Columbia government may not accept voluntary services for either government or employ personal services exceeding that authorized by law except for emergencies involving the safety of human life or the protection of property. This section does not apply to a corporation getting amounts to make loans (except paid in capital amounts) without legal liability of the United States Government. *As used in this section, the term "emergencies involving the safety of human life or the protection of property" does not include ongoing, regular functions of the government the suspension of which would not imminently threaten the safety of human life or the protection of property.*

(emphasis added).

APPENDIX D

DOD GUIDANCE

AND

DA MEMO

SUBJECT: SHUTTING DOWN THE GOVERNMENT - NOVEMBER 14, 1995

APPENDIX E

FY 2000 CONTINUING RESOLUTION TEXT AND
OMB APPORTIONMENT BULLETIN

CHAPTER 12

REPROGRAMMING

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REFERENCES.	1
III. DEFINITIONS.....	2
A. Reprogramming	2
B. Transfer.....	2
IV. TRANSFERS DISTINGUISHED FROM Reprogramming.....	2
A. Transfers	2
B. Reprogrammings	4
V. REPROGRAMMING TYPES	5
A. Reprogramming Actions Requiring Prior Congressional Approval.....	5
B. Reprogramming Actions That Require Congressional Notification.....	5
C. “Internal” Reprogrammings.....	6
D. Below Threshold Reprogrammings	7
E. Letter Notifications	7
F. Intelligence Related Reprogrammings.....	7
VI. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION REPROGRAMMING.....	8
A. General.....	8
B. Authority	9
C. Restrictions on Reprogrammings.....	10

VII. CONCLUSION.	10

CHAPTER 12

REPROGRAMMING

I. INTRODUCTION. Upon completing this instruction, the student will understand:

- A. The difference between reprogramming and transferring funds.
- B. The procedural rules involved in reprogramming funds.
- C. The special rules involved in reprogramming for military construction purposes.

II. REFERENCES.

- A. Department of Defense Appropriations Act (Annually).
- B. DOD 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulations (hereinafter “DOD FMR”).
- C. DFAS-IN 37-1, ch. 3, para. 0306 (Jan. 2000).
- D. Department of Air Force, Instr. 65-601, Volume I, ch. 2, para. 2.3, USAF Budget Policies and Procedures (Oct. 1994)[hereinafter AFI 65-601, vol. I].
- E. GAO, Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2-25 to 2-28 (2d ed., vol. I, 1992).

III. DEFINITIONS.

- A. Reprogramming. Reprogramming is the use of funds in an appropriations account for purposes other than those contemplated by the agency at the time of the appropriation. DOD FMR, vol. 2A, ch. 1 (July 1998).
- B. Transfer. A transfer of budget authority from one appropriation or fund account to another. DOD FMR, vol. 2A, ch. 1 (July 1998).

IV. TRANSFERS DISTINGUISHED FROM REPROGRAMMING.

- A. Transfers. GAO, Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2-20 (2d ed., vol. 1, 1992).
 - 1. Transfers shift money between appropriations accounts.
 - 2. There are three types of transfers.
 - a. Transfers between accounts within the same agency, e.g., Operation and Maintenance account to Military Personnel account.
 - b. Transfers between agencies, e.g., Department of Defense to Department of State.
 - c. Transfers within accounts, e.g., when Congress has enacted legal subdivisions of funds (line items) within an account. Matter of John D. Webster, B-278121, 98-1 CPD ¶ 19.
 - 3. Transfers require statutory authority. 31 U.S.C. § 1532; The Honorable Peter Hoekstra, B-279886, 1998 WL 229292 (C.G.).
 - a. 31 U.S.C. § 1532 prohibits transfers without statutory authority.
 - b. There are generally two types of transfer authority: general and specific.

- (1) General Transfer Authority. General Transfer Authority is provided in either appropriations acts or in permanent legislation.
 - (a) Congress provides general transfer authority to DOD in each of its appropriations acts. See e.g., Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8005, 113 Stat. 1231 (1999).
 - (b) Permanent Legislation.
- (2) Specific Transfer Authority. Congress authorizes or directs the movement of funds between specific programs. E.g., see Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund, Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2001, Pub. L. No. 106-259, Title II, 113 Stat. ____ (2000). See also id. at § 8082, 113 Stat. ____ (authorizing various specific types of transfers within Navy's Shipbuilding and Conversion accounts).
- c. The statutory prohibition applies even though the transfer is intended as a temporary expedient and the agency contemplates reimbursement. To the Secretary of Commerce, B-129401, 36 Comp. Gen. 386 (1956).
- d. An unauthorized transfer violates the purpose statute, 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a), and constitutes an unauthorized augmentation of the receiving appropriation.
- e. Exception. 31 U.S.C. § 1534 authorizes an agency to charge one appropriation for expenditure benefiting another appropriation of the same agency. See Use of Agencies' Appropriations to Purchase Computer Hardware for Department of Labor's Executive Computer Network, 70 Comp. Gen. 592 (1991).

- B. Reprogrammings. GAO, Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, p. 2-25 (2d ed., vol. 1, July 1992).
1. Reprogramming shifts money within an appropriations account.
 - a. There is no change in the total amount available in the appropriations account.
 - b. Reprogramming is not a request for additional funds; rather, it is a reapplication of funds.
 2. When Congress appropriates lump-sum amounts without statutorily restricting what can be done with those funds, a clear inference arises that it does not intend to impose legally binding restrictions on the expenditure of the funds. LTV Aerospace Corp., B-183851, Oct. 1, 1975, 55 Comp. Gen. 307, 75-2 CPD ¶ 203.
 3. Subdivisions of an appropriation contained in the agency's budget request or in committee reports are not legally binding upon the department or agency concerned unless they are specified in the appropriations act itself. Newport News Shipbldg. and Dry Dock Co., B-184830, 55 Comp. Gen. 812 (1976).
 4. Reprogramming is an informal process.
 - a. Agencies must comply with the requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 1301.
 - b. Agencies must check appropriations acts for statutory prohibitions to proposed reprogramming.
 5. Items eligible for reprogramming. Agencies may submit actions only for higher priority items, based on unforeseen military requirements, than those for which the funds were originally appropriated. See Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2001, Public Law No. 106-259, § 8005, 113 Stat. ____ (2000).

6. Items ineligible for reprogramming. Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2001, Public Law No. 106-259, § 8005, 113 Stat. ____ (2000).

V. REPROGRAMMING TYPES.

- A. Reprogramming Actions Requiring Prior Congressional Approval. DOD FMR vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 060401 (December 1996).
 1. Most shifting of funds that makes use of general transfer authority. See DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 060401.A.3., for exceptions.
 2. Any reprogramming that involves an item designated as a Congressional interest item.
 3. Any increase in the procurement quantity of a major item, such as an individual aircraft, missile naval vessel, tracked combat vehicle, and other weapon or torpedo and related support equipment. 10 U.S.C. § 114(a).
- B. Reprogramming Actions That Require Congressional Notification. DOD FMR vol 3, ch 6, para. 060401 (December 1996). Any reprogramming that involves moving funds within an appropriation above the thresholds listed below requires Congressional notification.
 1. Military Personnel or Operations & Maintenance: cumulative increases in a budget activity¹ of \$10 million or more (Military Personnel) or cumulative increases in a budget activity of \$20 million or more (Operations & Maintenance) (e.g., moving funds out of the permanent change of station account into the pay account).

¹Budget activities are defined as categories within each appropriation and fund account which identify the purposes, projects, or types of activities financed by the appropriation or fund. DOD FMR, vol. 2A, ch. 1 (July 1998).

2. Procurement: cumulative increase of \$10 million in a procurement line item; addition to the procurement line item data base of a procurement line item of \$2 million or more; or creation of a new program² with a cost of at least \$10 million over its first three years (e.g., increasing the funding level for the Aircraft Carrier Replacement Program).
 3. Cumulative increase of \$4 million in an RDT&E program, or creation of a new RDT&E program with an annual cost of at least \$2 million, or with a cost of at least \$10 million over its first three years, e.g., increases in the Warfighter Advanced Technology Program.
- C. “Internal” Reprogrammings. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 060402 (December 1996).
1. Internal reprogrammings are actions involving a reclassification or realignment of funds within budget activities or within budget line items/program elements.
 2. Funding changes within program elements are not regarded as “reprogramming.” The Honorable Roy Dyson, House of Representatives, B-220113, 65 Comp. Gen. 360 (1986).
 3. Internal reprogrammings are not subject to dollar thresholds.
 4. Internal reprogrammings do not require prior congressional approval or notification, but are approved by the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense, Comptroller.

²A new program is defined as any new program which the agency has not justified previously to Congress. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 060903 (December 1996).

- D. Below Threshold Reprogrammings. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 0608 (December 1996).
1. Below threshold reprogrammings are those reprogrammings that do not exceed the thresholds identified above, in paragraph V.B., individually or when combined with other below-threshold reprogrammings.
 2. Congress performs oversight through the DOD's semiannual submission of its DD 1416, Report of Programs.
- E. Letter Notifications. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 060905 (December 1996).
1. Letter notifications apply to below threshold reprogramming for new programs or line items not otherwise requiring prior approval or notification.
 2. The comptroller or budget officer of the component notifies the appropriate House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.
- F. Intelligence Related Reprogrammings. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 6, para. 0606 (December 1996).
1. Generally, the same rules apply as for other reprogramming actions.
 2. Some special rules do apply:
 - a. The Intelligence Program Support Group (ISG), Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (OASD C3I), must be made aware of all adjustments to intelligence programs, to include below-threshold actions, prior to initiation.
 - b. The agency must obtain prior approval for:
 - (1) any action that is an item of special congressional interest;

- (2) any proposed action that has not been specifically authorized;
 - (3) any action which could have significant international implications; and
 - (4) any proposed action that transfers funds between appropriations.
- 3. Congressional notification is required for actions that exceed established thresholds, or represent new starts that exceed thresholds.

VI. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION REPROGRAMMING. DOD FMR, vol. 3, ch. 7 (December 1996).

- A. General. The congressional subcommittees concerned with the appropriation and authorization of military construction and family housing funds have agreed that, in executing approved programs, some flexibility is required in adjusting approved funding levels to comply with new conditions and to effectively plan programs to support assigned mission. Departmental adjustments or reprogrammings may be required for a number of reasons including:
 - 1. Responding to emergencies;
 - 2. Restoring or replacing damaged or destroyed facilities;
 - 3. Accommodating unexpected price increases; and
 - 4. Implementing specific program provisions provided for by congressional committees.

B. Authority.

1. 10 U.S.C. § 2853. Authorizes a reprogramming request when the cost of an approved project must be increased over certain thresholds, or in certain circumstances.
2. 10 U.S.C. § 2803. Provides permanent authority to obligate and reprogram up to \$30 million annually for emergency construction if a project is:
 - a. Not otherwise authorized by law;
 - b. Vital to national security; and
 - c. So urgent that waiting until the next budget submission would be inconsistent with national security.
3. 10 U.S.C. § 2854. Provides permanent authorization for the restoration or replacement of facilities due to natural disasters.
4. 10 U.S.C. § 2672a. Provides permanent authority to acquire interest in land that:
 - a. Is needed in the interest of national defense;
 - b. Is required to maintain the operational integrity of military installations; and
 - c. Urgency does not permit the time necessary to include the required acquisition in an annual Military Construction Authorization Act.
5. 10 U.S.C. § 2827. Provides permanent authority to relocate existing military family housing units from any location where the number of such units exceeds requirements for military family housing to any military installation where there is a housing shortage.

C. Restrictions on Reprogrammings.

1. DOD will not submit a request for reprogramming:
 - a. For any project or effort that has not been authorized;
 - b. For any project or effort that has been denied specifically by Congress;
or
 - c. To initiate programs of major scope or base realignment actions, when Congress has not authorized such efforts.
2. MILCON reprogrammings are sent to the House and Senate Armed Services Committees and the House and Senate Appropriations Committees for approval.
 - a. Generally, committee review process is non-statutory.
 - b. An agency generally will observe committee review and approval procedures as part of its informal arrangements with the various committees, although they are not legally binding. GAO, Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, p. 2-25 (2d ed., vol. I, 1992)

VII. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 13

LIABILITY OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS

I. REFERENCES	1
II. TYPES OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS	1
A. Definitions	1
B. Certifying Officers and Other Accountable Officers Distinguished	2
III. LIABILITY OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS	4
A. Certifying Officers	4
B. Disbursing Officers.....	4
C. DOD Accountable Officials	5
D. “Possessory” Accountable Officers	5
E. The Nature of Accountable Officer Liability	5
IV. PROTECTION AND RELIEF FROM LIABILITY	6
A. Advance Decisions	6
B. Advance Agency Decisions	7
C. Relief of Non-DOD Certifying Officers	7
D. Relief of DOD Certifying Officers	8
E. Relief of Other Non-DOD Accountable Officers	8
F. Relief of DOD Disbursing Officers for Physical Losses	9
G. Relief of DOD Disbursing Officers for Illegal, Incorrect, or Improper Payments	10
H. Relief of Non-DOD Disbursing Officers for Illegal, Improper, or Incorrect Payments.....	11
I. Relief of DOD Accountable Officials	11
J. Judicial Relief.....	11
K. Legislative Relief.....	11
V. ESTABLISHING LIABILITY	12
A. Required Action.....	12

B. Statute of Limitations	12
VI. MATTERS OF PROOF	13
A. Evidentiary Showing	13
B. The “Reasonable Care” Standard	13
C. Proximate Cause	14
VII. DEBT COLLECTION	14
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	15

CHAPTER 13

LIABILITY OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS

I. REFERENCES.

- A. 31 U.S.C. § 3325 (requiring certifying officers within DOD).
- B. 31 U.S.C. § 3528 (specifying responsibilities and relief from liability of certifying officers).
- C. 31 U.S.C. § 3527 (relief of accountable officers other than certifying officers).
- D. Dep't of Defense Reg. 7000.14-R, Financial Management Regulation, Volume 5, Disbursing Policies and Procedures [hereinafter DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5]. Go to <http://www.dtic.mil/comptroller/fmr>.
- E. DFAS-IN (Defense Finance and Accounting Service-Indianapolis) Reg. 37-1, Finance and Accounting Policy Implementation (Jan. 2000). Go to <http://dfas4dod.dfas.mil/centers/dfasin/library/ar37-1>.
- F. DFAS-DE (Defense Finance and Accounting Service-Denver) 7010.1-R, General Accounting and Finance Systems at Base Level (15 Feb. 1991), ch. 11. Go to <http://info-de.dfas.mil>.

II. TYPES OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS.

- A. Definitions.
 - 1. An accountable officer is any government employee who is responsible for or has custody of government funds. See Lieutenant Commander Michael S. Schwartz, USN, B-245773, May 14, 1992 (unpub.); Mr. Charles R. Hartgraves, B-234242, Feb. 6, 1990 (unpub.). The DOD refers to this broad universe of persons as “accountable individuals.” DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, Definitions.

Major Karen S. White, USAF
Fiscal Law Course
30 October – 3 November 2000

2. Any government officer or employee, military or civilian, who handles government funds physically, even if only once or occasionally, is “accountable” for those funds while they are in his custody. Mr. Melvin L. Hines, B-247708, 72 Comp. Gen. 49 (1992); Finality of Immigration and Naturalization Service’s Decision on Responsibility of Accountable Officer for Physical Losses of Funds, B-195227, 59 Comp. Gen. 113 (1979).
3. Absent statutory authority, agency officials who are not designated “certifying official” are not personally liable for illegal, improper, or incorrect payments. Department of Defense—Authority to Impose Pecuniary Liability by Regulation, B-280764, May 4, 2000 (unpub.)

B. Certifying Officers and Other Accountable Officers Distinguished.

1. Certifying Officer. One who certifies that payment vouchers are correct and ready for payment. Certifying officers do not “hold” public funds. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, Definitions, p. xxv; vol. 5, ch. 33, para. 331007.
2. Other Accountable Officers. Any other officer or employee, including one not involved directly in government fiscal operations, who has custody or control of federal funds.
 - a. Disbursing Officer. One who disburses funds and renders accounts in accordance with laws and regulations governing disbursement of public funds. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, Definitions, p. xxix. See generally 31 U.S.C. § 3325.
 - b. Cashier. One appointed to perform limited cash-disbursing functions or other cash-handling operations to assist a finance officer or other subordinate/assistant of the finance officer. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 2, para. 020603.B. See Mr. David J. Bechtol, B-272615, May 19, 1997 (unpub.) (disbursing officer and his subordinate cashiers are jointly and severally liable for loss of funds and must separately petition for relief).

- c. Other agents and custodians.
 - (1) Paying agents are appointed only when adequate payment, currency conversion, or check cashing services cannot otherwise be provided. Paying agents cannot act as purchasing officers. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch.2, para. 020604.
 - (2) Collection agents receive funds generated from activities such as hospitalization fees and other medical facility charges, rentals, and other charges associated with housing, reproduction fees, and other similar functions. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 2, para. 020701.
 - (3) Imprest fund cashiers make authorized cash payments for purchases of materials and non-personal services, maintain custody of funds, and account for and replenish the imprest fund as necessary. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 2, para. 020905.A.
- 3. Accountable Officials. In DOD, the term “accountable official” has a special meaning. An accountable official is any person, not otherwise accountable under applicable law, who provides information, data, or services to a certifying or disbursing officer in support of the payment process. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33, paras. 330302 and 331001. An accountable official now includes certain persons involved with, *e.g.*,
 - a. Purchase card program;
 - b. Temporary duty (TDY) travel authorizations;
 - c. Contract and vendor payments;
 - d. Military and civilian payments; and

- e. Permanent changes of station (PCS).

III. LIABILITY OF ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS.

A. Certifying Officers. 31 U.S.C. § 3528; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33.

- 1. A certifying officer:
 - a. Is responsible for the correctness of the facts recited in the certificate, or otherwise stated on the voucher or supporting papers;
 - b. Is responsible for the legality of the proposed payment under the appropriation or fund involved; and
 - c. Is accountable for the amount of any illegal, improper, or incorrect payment resulting from any false, inaccurate, or misleading certification.
- 2. Certifying officers must ensure vouchers are computed correctly.
- 3. Liability attaches when an official makes an erroneous payment based on an improperly certified voucher. In the Matter of Responsibilities and Liabilities of Certifying Officers, B-184145, 55 Comp. Gen. 297 (1975).

B. Disbursing Officers. 31 U.S.C. § 3325; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1, para. 0104.

- 1. Disbursing officers are:
 - a. Responsible for examining vouchers as necessary to ensure that they are in the proper form, duly certified and approved, and computed correctly on the basis of the facts certified.
 - b. Responsible for disbursing funds only upon, and in strict accordance with, duly certified vouchers.

- c. Not liable for losses due to improperly calculated vouchers.
 - 2. Generally, disbursing officers are accountable for illegal, improper, or incorrect payments, as well as account errors, even though they relied on other persons, and those persons actually caused the error. Mr. David L. Gagermeier, B-274364, Apr. 23, 1997 (unpub.). See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1, para. 010501.C.
 - 3. DOD disbursing officers, however, are not liable for payments made in reliance upon properly certified vouchers. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1, para. 010501.C.
- C. DOD Accountable Officials. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33, para. 330701. Although DOD imposed pecuniary liability on “accountable officials” in DOD 7000.14-R, GAO held that such an administrative extension of pecuniary liability was improper and that agencies may impose pecuniary liability only with a statutory basis. See Department of Defense—Authority to Impose Pecuniary Liability by Regulation, B-280764, May 4, 2000.
- D. “Possessory” Accountable Officers. Those entrusted with funds are liable for any and all losses. There is no liability limitation for these accountable officers. Sergeant Charles E. North--Relief of an Accountable Officer, B-238362, 69 Comp. Gen. 586 (1990).
- E. The Nature of Accountable Officer Liability.
- 1. Accountable officers are strictly liable for losses or erroneous payments of public funds. They are “insurers” of public funds in their custody, or for which they are otherwise responsible. Liability does not attach for losses due to acts of God or acts of the public enemy. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C, para. D. See United States v. Prescott, 44 U.S. 578 (1845); Serrano v. United States, 612 F.2d 525 (Ct. Cl. 1979); Personal Accountability of Accountable Officers, B-161457, 54 Comp. Gen. 112 (1974).

2. Lack of fault or negligence, however, may provide a basis for relief from the obligation to repay the loss. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C, para. G. See Mr. David J. Bechtol, B-271608, June 21, 1996 (unpub.); Department of the Navy, B-238123, 70 Comp. Gen. 298 (1991); State Department, B-238898, 70 Comp. Gen. 389 (1991); Sergeant Charles E. North--Relief of an Accountable Officer, B-238362, 69 Comp. Gen. 586 (1990); Personal Accountability of Accountable Officers, B-161457, 54 Comp. Gen. 112 (1974).
3. DOD “accountable officials” are not strictly liable; no presumption of negligence applies. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33, para. 3309 and app. C., para. G.

IV. PROTECTION AND RELIEF FROM LIABILITY.

A. Advance Decisions from the Comptroller General.

1. A certifying officer, disbursing officer, or head of an agency may request an opinion concerning the propriety of a certification or disbursement. 31 U.S.C. § 3529; DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, para. 250102; DFAS-DE 7010.1-R, ch. 11, para. 11-9.
2. For DOD, the Comptroller General issues advance decisions on the use of appropriated funds, except in those instances set forth in paragraph B, below. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 25, para. 250102.C and ch. 25, app. E.
 - a. If a claim is \$100 or less, the GAO has delegated authority to agencies to issue binding opinions. GAO, Policy and Procedures Manual for Guidance of Federal Agencies, Title 7, § 8.3. For DOD, the Secretary of Defense has delegated to the heads of DFAS Centers authority to render conclusive opinions concerning the propriety of a disbursement. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, para. 250103.
 - b. If the claim exceeds \$100, DFAS may render an advisory opinion. Only a Comptroller General opinion, however, will shield a disbursing officer from liability. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 25, para. 250103.

3. Upon request, the Comptroller General will decide any question involving:
 - a. A payment the disbursing official or the head of the agency proposes to make; or
 - b. A voucher presented to a certifying official for certification.
- B. Agency Advance Decisions. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 25, app. E. Per the General Accounting Office Act of 1996, Pub. L. 104-316, § 204, 110 Stat. 3826, 3845-46, the following are authorized to issue advance decisions for designated claims categories:
 1. DOD (DOD General Counsel): military member pay, allowances, travel, transportation costs; survivor benefits; and retired pay.
 2. Office of Personnel Management (OPM): civilian compensation and leave.
 3. General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals (GSBCA): civilian employee travel, transportation, and relocation allowances.
- C. Relief of Non-DOD Certifying Officers. 31 U.S.C. § 3528(b).
 1. The Comptroller General may relieve a certifying officer from liability if:
 - a. The officer based the improper certification on official records and the officer did not know, or reasonably could not have known, that the information was incorrect;

OR

- b. The obligation was in good faith, no law specifically prohibited the payment, and the government received some benefit. 31 U.S.C. § 3528(b)(1)(B). See Environmental Protection Agency, B-262110, Mar. 19, 1997, 97-1 CPD & 131 (certifying officials not required to second-guess discretionary decisions of senior agency officials); Ms. Trudy Huskamp Peterson, B-257893 June 1, 1995 (unpub.).
 2. The Comptroller General will deny relief if the agency did not attempt diligently to collect an erroneous payment.
- D. Relief of DOD Certifying Officers. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, para. 060903. Cf. 31 U.S.C. § 3528.
1. The DOD Financial Management Regulation, as amended in August 1999, authorizes Directors of the DFAS Centers to grant or deny certifying officers relief for illegal, incorrect, or improper payments.
 2. Directors must adhere to the same criteria the Comptroller General would consider in reviewing certifying officer cases. See para. C., above.
- E. Relief of Other Non-DOD Accountable Officers. 31 U.S.C. § 3527(a).
1. Applicability. The Comptroller General may relieve an accountable officer from liability for the physical loss or deficiency of public money, vouchers, checks, securities, or records when:
 - a. The agency head finds that:
 - (1) The officer or agent was carrying out official duties when the loss or deficiency occurred or the loss or deficiency occurred because of an act or failure to act by a subordinate of the officer or agent; and
 - (2) The loss or deficiency was not the result of fault or negligence of the officer or agent. See Mr. Melvin L. Hines, B-247708, 72 Comp. Gen. 49 (1992).

- b. The loss or deficiency was not the result of an illegal or incorrect payment; and
 - c. The Comptroller General agrees with the decision of the head of the agency.
 - 2. The Comptroller General has delegated to agency heads the authority to resolve irregularities when a loss is less than \$3,000. See GAO, Policy and Procedures Manual for Guidance of Federal Agencies, Title 7, § 8.9.C; Mr. Frank Palmer, B-252809, Apr. 7, 1993 (unpub.); Mr. Thomas M. Vapniarek, B-249796, Feb. 9, 1993 (unpub.); Mr. Melvin L. Hines, B-247708, 72 Comp. Gen. 49 (1992).
 - 3. Alternatively, the Comptroller General may authorize reimbursement of amounts paid by the responsible official as restitution.
- F. Relief of DOD Disbursing Officers for Physical Losses.
31 U.S.C. § 3527(b)(1)(A); DOD 7000.14-R, ch. 6, para. 060902.
- 1. The Comptroller General shall relieve a disbursing official of the armed forces who is responsible for the physical loss or deficiency of public money, vouchers, or records when:
 - a. The Secretary of Defense determines that the officer was carrying out official duties when the loss or deficiency occurred;
 - b. The loss or deficiency was not the result of fault or negligence by the official; and
 - c. The loss or deficiency was not the result of an illegal or incorrect payment.
 - 2. Under the statute, the SECDEF's finding binds the Comptroller General. For this reason, the Comptroller General does not require military departments to forward these relief determinations for approval. GAO Policy and Procedures Manual for Guidance of Federal Agencies, Title 7, § 8.10; Mr. William Duff, B-271859, Sep. 26, 1996 (unpub.).

3. The SECDEF has delegated authority to certain DFAS officials (usually the directors of DFAS Centers). DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, para. 060902.
4. Disbursing officials include: deputy disbursing officers, disbursing agents, cashiers, agent cashiers, collection agents, paying agents, imprest fund cashiers, and change fund custodians. DOD 7000.14-R, ch. 6, para. 060902.

G. Relief of DOD Disbursing Officers for Illegal, Incorrect, or Improper Payments. 31 U.S.C. § 3527(b)(1)(B); DOD 7000.14-R, ch. 6, para. 060903.A. See generally Mr. David J. Bechtol, B-272615, May 19, 1997 (unpub.).

1. Per the statute, the Comptroller General shall relieve an accountable officer of the armed forces who makes an improper, illegal, or incorrect payment, if the Secretary of Defense finds that:
 - a. The payment was based on official records and the disbursing officer could not have known the information was incorrect;
- OR**
- b. The payment was not made in bad faith.
 2. Diligent collection action is required.
 3. The DOD FMR doesn't include the first prong of the disbursing officer relief statute apparently because DOD has decided that disbursing officers who rely on certifications aren't liable. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 1, para. 010502.B.2.
 4. DFAS Center Directors grant or deny relief.

- H. Relief of Non-DOD Disbursing Officers for Illegal, Improper, or Incorrect Payments. 31 U.S.C. 3527(c).
1. The Comptroller General may, on his own initiative, or on the written recommendation of the head of an agency, relieve a disbursing official responsible for a deficiency in an account because of an illegal, improper, or incorrect payment when the Comptroller General decides that the payment was not made as a result of bad faith or lack of reasonable care by the official.
 2. The Comptroller General may deny relief if the agency did not pursue collection action diligently.
- I. Relief of DOD Accountable Officials. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33, para. 3309.
1. Accountable officials may seek relief from liability by submitting a request through their commander/director to DFAS headquarters.
 2. The accountable official must show how the evidence fails to show negligence on the official's part (or how the negligence was not the cause of the loss).
- J. Judicial Relief--U.S. Court of Federal Claims.
1. Disbursing officers. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1496, the court has jurisdiction to review disbursing officer cases. Whenever the court finds that a loss by a disbursing officer of the United States was without his fault or negligence, it shall render a judgment setting forth the amount. The General Accounting Office shall allow the officer such amount in settlement of his accounts. See 28 U.S.C. § 2512.
 2. Any individual. If an agency withholds the pay of any individual, that person may request that the General Accounting Office report the balance due to the Attorney General. The Attorney General shall then initiate a suit against the individual. See 5 U.S.C. § 5512(b).
- K. Legislative Relief. Private and collective relief legislation.

V. ESTABLISHING LIABILITY.

A. Required Action. Department of the Air Force, B-239483.2, 70 Comp. Gen. 616, 622 (1991).

1. Before initiating collection for a loss, the appropriate agency must establish the accountable officer's liability "permanently." DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C.
2. Permanently establish means that the officer has agreed to repay the loss or the appropriate authority has denied relief.
3. DOD 7000.14-R requires a formal investigation for physical losses of \$750 or more or erroneous payments induced by fraud. The commander may investigate other losses formally as well. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, paras. 060301.C and 060503. See also DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, sec. 0607 (investigation requirements and procedures).

B. Statute of Limitations. 31 U.S.C. § 3526(c)(1).

1. The statute of limitations for settling accounts of an accountable officer is three years after agency accounts are substantially complete. See Department of the Air Force, B-239483.2, 70 Comp. Gen. 616 (1991); Department of the Air Force, B-239483, 70 Comp. Gen. 420 (1991). After this period, the account is settled by operation of law, and an accountable officer has no personal financial liability for the loss in question. Mr. John S. Nabil, B-258735, Dec. 15, 1994 (unpub.).
2. "Substantially complete" means the time when, absent fraud by the officer, the agency can audit the paperwork upon which the officer based his action. Relief of Anna L. Pescod, B-251994, Sept. 24, 1993 (unpub.). DOD 7000.14-R includes detailed examples of when the three-year period begins. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, para. 060802.
3. If the loss is due to embezzlement, fraud, or other criminal activity, the three-year statute of limitations is not triggered until the loss has been discovered and reported. Steve E. Turner, B-270442.2, Feb. 12, 1996 (unpub.) DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 6, para. 060801.

4. The statute of limitations does not apply if a loss is due to fraud or other criminal acts of an accountable officer. 31 U.S.C. § 3526(c)(2).

VI. MATTERS OF PROOF.

- A. Evidentiary Showing. To qualify for relief from liability for a loss or deficiency under the statutes, an accountable officer generally must prove that he was acting in an official capacity and was not negligent, or that any negligence did not cause the loss. 31 U.S.C. § 3527. Mr. S.M. Helmrich, B-26586, Nov. 9, 1995 (unpub.). See also DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C, para. G.
- B. The “Reasonable Care” Standard.
 1. In determining whether an officer was negligent, the Comptroller General applies a “reasonable care” standard. In the Matter of Personal Accountability of Accountable Officers, B-161457, Aug. 1, 1969 (unpub.).
 - a. Liability results when an accountable officer’s conduct constitutes simple or ordinary negligence. Gross negligence is not required.
 - b. The standard is whether the accountable officer did what a reasonably prudent and careful person would have done to safeguard his/her own property under similar circumstances.
 - c. This is an “objective” standard. It does not vary with such factors as the level of experience or the age of the particular accountable officer concerned. Mr. Frank D. Derville, B-241478, Apr. 5, 1991 (unpub.).
 - d. Failure to follow regulations is negligence. Hence, accountable officers must familiarize themselves with applicable regulations. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch.1, para. 010501.B.

2. That a loss or deficiency has occurred creates a rebuttable presumption of negligence on the part of the accountable officer. This presumption arises from the accountable officer's strict liability for any loss or deficiency. The accountable officer can rebut this presumption of negligence by presenting affirmative evidence that he exercised due care. Serrano v. United States, 612 F.2d 525 (Ct. Cl. 1979); Darold D. Foxworthy, B-258357, Jan. 3, 1996 (unpub.) (loss of vouchers and receipts by imprest fund cashier); Mr. Gerald Murphy, B-249742.2, Nov. 24, 1993 (unpub.); Melvin L. Hines, B-243685, July 1, 1991 (unpub.); To the Postmaster General, B-166174, 48 Comp. Gen. 566 (1969). See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C, para. G.
 3. A presumption of negligence does not apply to acts of DOD "accountable officials." See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, ch. 33, para. 3309; vol. 5, app. C, para. E.4.
- C. Proximate Cause. If the accountable officer was negligent, the Comptroller General will consider whether the negligence was the proximate cause of the loss or deficiency.
1. If negligence occurred and it was the proximate cause of the loss or deficiency, the Comptroller General may not grant relief from liability. 31 U.S.C. § 3527(a).
 2. If an accountable officer was negligent, but the negligence was not the proximate cause of the loss or deficiency, the Comptroller General may grant relief under the statute. DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, app. C, para. C108. See Department of the Navy, B-238123, 70 Comp. Gen. 298 (1991).

VII. DEBT COLLECTION.

- A. Collection is pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-11 (Debt Collection Act) and 5 U.S.C. § 5512(a) (allowing offset against government employee or retiree pay). See 5 U.S.C. § 5514 (allowing payment by installment and limiting amount per period to 15%); see also 37 U.S.C. § 1007(a) (governing withholding of military officer pay); 10 U.S.C. § 9837(d) (remission of indebtedness); 10 U.S.C. § 1552 (correction of records).

- B. DOD has published detailed collection procedures. See DOD 7000.14-R, vol. 5, chs. 28-32.

VIII. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 14

ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING ISSUES

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. COST ISSUES IN ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACTING.	1
A. Overview.....	1
B. Reasonableness and Allocability Generally.....	2
C. Environmental Costs.	3
D. Allowability of a Contractor’s Environmental Compliance Costs.....	3
E. Allowability of a Contractor’s Environmental Cleanup Costs.	4
F. Guidance.	4
G. Fines and Penalties.....	5
H. Effects of Reimbursement.....	6
I. Specifically Allowable Costs.	6
J. DOD Reporting Requirement.	6
III. FUNDING ISSUES.....	6
A. General Sources of Funding	6
B. Environmental Restoration Accounts.....	7
C. Paying Environmental Judgments	8
D. Specific Statutory Spending Authority.....	8
E. Specific Funding Limitations.	9
F. Funding Requirements Unique to Federal Agencies.....	10
G. Fines and Penalties.....	10

IV. CONCLUSION.....	11

CHAPTER 14

ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING ISSUES

I. INTRODUCTION.

- A. Cost Issues. Cost issues involve the “allowability” of a contractor’s environmental costs. The government reimburses contractors for “allowable” costs but does not reimburse contractors for “unallowable” costs.
- B. Funding Issues. Funding issues involve the means by which a federal agency will pay its environmental costs. These issues raise various fiscal law questions, such as whether an appropriation is being used for a proper purpose to satisfy a bona fide need of its period of availability.

II. COST ISSUES IN ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRACTING.

- A. Overview. Department of Defense (DOD) contractors annually spend millions of dollars to comply with Federal and State environmental laws, and these costs are likely to increase. Contractors will often attempt to charge these costs to their government contracts. This section will focus on the allowability of contractors’ environmental costs.
 - 1. Indirect Costs.
 - a. Costs not directly identified with any particular contract but instead included in the contractor’s overhead or general and administrative (G & A) pools will often be charged to government contracts as indirect costs. FAR 31.203. Cleanup costs will generally be treated as indirect costs.
 - b. To be allocable to a government contract, indirect environmental cleanup costs must either benefit that contract and other contracts or must be necessary to the overall operation of the contractor’s business. FAR 31.201-4.

- c. Remediation of environmental problems created under prior contracts generally will not confer any benefit on current contracts and would, therefore, only be allocable if necessary to “the overall operation” of the contractor’s business. FAR 31.201-4.
 - d. Costs incurred in one accounting period are not allocable to contracts in a different accounting period. Thus, contractors cannot allocate their environmental cleanup costs to government contracts in the current accounting period if those costs were incurred in a prior accounting period. Cost Accounting Standard (CAS) 410.40(b)(1).
 - 2. Direct Costs. Costs (allowable and reasonable) arising under a government contract may be charged completely to that contract. If the costs of compliance, or pollution avoidance, relate only to the requirements of one contract, those costs will generally be charged directly to the contract under which the costs arose.
- B. Reasonableness and Allocability Generally. An incurred cost, either direct or indirect, must be reasonable, allocable to the contract, measured in accordance with accounting standards, and not specifically disallowed by the contract or the FAR.
 - 1. Reasonable Costs. FAR 31.201-3. A cost is reasonable if, in its nature and amount, it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person in the conduct of a competitive business. FAR 31.201-3. The mere fact that a contractor incurs a cost does not create a presumption of reasonableness. FAR 31.201-3(a). But see Bruce Constr. Corp. v. United States, 324 F.2d 516 (Ct.Cl. 1963).
 - a. Factors affecting reasonableness.
 - (1) Generally recognized as ordinary and necessary.
 - (2) Generally accepted sound business practices, arms length bargaining, Federal, State, and local regulations.
 - (3) The contractor’s responsibilities to the government, its other customers, its owners, and the public.

(4) Significant deviations from established practices.

2. Allocable To The Contract.

- a. A cost is allocable if incurred specifically for the contract; or
- b. The cost benefits both the contract and other work, and can be distributed to them in reasonable proportion to the benefits received; or
- c. Is necessary for the overall operation of the business.

3. Proper Accounting Standards. Contractors must measure their costs by any generally accepted cost accounting method that is equitably and consistently applied, FAR 31.201-1, and in accordance with the CAS (if applicable). For example, treat costs of a “similar” nature in the same manner. CAS 401; CAS 402; FAR 31.202; FMC v. United States, 853 F.2d 882 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

4. Contract or regulation must not specifically disallow the cost. FAR 31.205 sets forth the cost principles applicable to government contracts.

C. Environmental Costs. There are essentially two types of environmental costs that a contractor may incur: compliance costs and cleanup costs. Compliance costs are costs incurred to avoid harm to the environment and comply with environmental statutes and regulations. Cleanup costs are costs incurred to remedy past environmental contamination.

D. Allowability of a Contractor’s Environmental Compliance Costs. The contractor’s costs of complying with environmental laws in its current operations, if reasonable, will generally be allowable, either as direct or indirect costs.

E. Allowability of a Contractor's Environmental Cleanup Costs.

1. Contractors may incur cleanup costs in response to an environmental agency's determination that the contractor's operations have violated Federal or State environmental laws or as the result of an independent management decision to investigate and correct environmental problems to forestall an agency finding of non-compliance.
2. Determining allowability of these costs is complicated but cleanup costs will generally be unallowable if contractor wrongdoing resulted in the contamination requiring cleanup.
3. Since a contractor's cleanup obligations may be based on strict liability, e.g. 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), a contractor may be responsible for remediation costs as a principally responsible party (PRP) and yet still be entitled to reimbursement for these costs if such costs are otherwise reasonable.
4. Waiver. If a contracting officer knows that the contractor is incurring environmental cleanup costs, the contracting officer should ensure that the contractor is not led to believe that the agency considers these costs allowable. Generally, the government cannot retroactively disallow costs that the government acquiesced in or approved. General Dynamics Corp., ASBCA No. 31359, 92-1 BCA ¶ 24,698; Litton Sys., Inc. v. United States, 449 F.2d 392 (Ct.Cl. 1971).

F. Guidance.

1. On 14 October 1992, the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) issued guidance that states "environmental costs are normal costs of doing business and are generally allowable if reasonable and allocable." DCAA Letter, "*Audit Guidance on the Allowability of Environmental Costs*," (14 October 1992).
 - a. The DCAA Guidance provides for the disallowance of "unreasonable" costs and explains that environmental costs are unreasonable if the contractor could have avoided the contamination that is generating the costs.

- b. In order to be allowable under the Guidance, the contamination must have occurred despite the contractor's due care to avoid the contamination, and despite the contractor's compliance with applicable law.
 - c. Since it is unreasonable for a contractor to allow contamination to continue once it becomes aware of the problem, increased costs due to contractor delay in taking action after discovery of the contamination are not allowable.
 - 2. On 13 April 1994, the DCAA and the Defense Contract Management Command (DCMC) jointly addressed questions arising from guidance issued by DCAA on 14 October 1992. The DCAA/DCMC Guidance states, *inter alia*, that:
 - a. An environmental violation (which would render associated costs unallowable), may be established without a formal citation by a government agency.
 - b. Contractors should "expense" costs to remediate property which was not contaminated when acquired by the contractor, but costs to remediate property that was contaminated when acquired by the contractor should be capitalized as an improvement, rather than expensed in a single accounting period. Applying the guidance provided by CAS 404, environmental cleanup costs that increase the value of the contractor's real property should be capitalized and should not be directly charged to one contract.
 - c. If a contractor incurs costs as a PRP and cannot collect from another PRP because that PRP no longer exists, such costs are not "bad debts," and therefore allowable under FAR 32.205-3.
- G. Fines and Penalties. Fines and penalties resulting from violations of, or failure of the contractor to comply with federal, state, local, or foreign laws and regulations, are unallowable except when incurred as a result of compliance with specific terms and conditions of the contract or the written instructions of the contracting officer. 10 U.S.C. § 2324(e); FAR 31.205-15.

- H. Effects of Reimbursement. Government reimbursement of a contractor's cleanup costs has several undesirable effects:
1. Cleanup obligations imposed by one government agency (e.g. the EPA) upon a private concern (the contractor) are, at least, partially paid for by another government agency (the contracting agency);
 2. The contracting agency will generally pay the contractor a profit on its cleanup costs since these costs are a type of indirect costs on which the government pays a profit or fee;
 3. After the government has subsidized at least part of the contractor's cleanup effort, the contractor may sell its environmentally sound property at a profit with no obligation to repay the government for its contribution to the cleanup effort.
- I. Specifically Allowable Costs. Independent research and development (IR&D) and bid and proposal (B&P) costs are allowable to the extent they are incurred for "projects that are of potential interest to DOD." DFARS 231.205-18. This includes IR&D/B&P costs incurred to develop efficient technologies for environmental data gathering, environmental cleanup and restoration, pollution reduction in manufacturing, environmental conservation, and environmentally safe management of facilities. DFARS 231.205-18.
- J. DOD Reporting Requirement. The Secretary of Defense must submit a report to Congress each year, not later than 30 days after the date on which the President submits the budget to Congress summarizing the payments made to DOD contractors for the costs of environmental response actions. 10 U.S.C. § 2706.

III. FUNDING ISSUES.

- A. General Sources of Funding. Compliance with mandated environmental standards is integral to the operation and maintenance of military installations. Consequently, an installation will use Operations and Maintenance (O&M) funds to dispose of and treat wastes generated by the installation. AR 200-1, para. 6-15; AFI 32-7001

B. Environmental Restoration Accounts.

1. Generally. In FY 1984, Congress established the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA) by consolidating funds from various military service accounts into one DOD account. The DERA fund manager transferred funds from the central DOD account to any appropriations account, e.g., O&M, Procurement, MILCON, or RDT&E. Once transferred to a particular appropriation, the funds were merged with the receiving account and were available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the receiving account. 10 U.S.C. § 2703(b); AFI 32-7001, para. 2.2.4.; DFAS-IN 37-1. On 23 September 1996, Congress abolished DERA and reestablished individual accounts for the Army, Navy, Air Force, and DOD.¹
2. Each year Congress appropriates an amount to each environmental restoration account.
3. Funds transferred from the individual environmental restoration accounts may only be used for environmental restoration. Use of these funds for an unauthorized purpose violates the “purpose statute,” 31 U.S.C. § 1301.
4. These funds are available for a variety of restoration projects and are available until expended (see 10 U.S.C. § 2703(b)).
5. Amounts Credited to the Account. Certain funds may be credited to the appropriate environmental restoration account:
 - a. Amounts recovered under CERCLA² for response actions.
 - b. Any other amounts recovered from a contractor, insurer, surety, or other person to reimburse DOD or military department for any expenditure for environmental response activities.

¹ National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997, Pub. L. No. 104-201, §322, 110 Stat. 2422 (1996)(amending 10 U.S.C. § 2703; any reference to DERA in any Federal law, Executive Order, regulation, delegation of authority, or document shall be deemed to refer to the appropriate (military service or DOD) environmental restoration account).

² Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 to 9675.

6. None of the funds appropriated to DERA for FYs 1995 - 1999 or to any individual restoration account for FY 1997 - 1999 may be used for the payment of a fine or penalty imposed against DOD, unless the act or omission for which the fine or penalty is imposed arises out of activities funded by the account. 10 U.S.C. § 2703(e).
- C. Paying Environmental Judgments. The permanent indefinite Judgment Fund may be used to pay “litigative” awards obtained against federal agencies to reimburse claimants for the agencies’ share of response costs and natural resource damages paid or payable by CERCLA claimants. Matter of the Judgment Fund, B-253179, 73 Comp. Gen. 46 (1993). Before an agency may use this fund to pay an award, GAO must certify:
1. The award is final;
 2. The award provides monetary instead of injunctive relief;
 3. The award is made under one of the authorities specified in 31 U.S.C. § 1304(a)(3) (e.g. judgments of district courts and the Court of Federal Claims, and compromise settlements made by DOJ); and
 4. Payment of the award is not otherwise provided for.
- D. Specific Statutory Spending Authority. Congress will often fund environmental initiatives with specific sums.
1. To implement the requirements of the Noise Control Act of 1972, 42 U.S.C. § 4901, Congress funded the additional cost of low-noise products through supplemental appropriations until the end of fiscal year 1977.
 2. The obligation to purchase alternative fueled vehicles is subject to the availability of funds and life-cycle cost considerations. Exec. Order 12844, sec. 2. However, the Order also requires the Secretary of Energy to provide assistance to other agencies that acquire alternative fueled vehicles, including payment of the incremental cost of acquiring such vehicles and the incremental costs associated with their acquisition and disposal. Exec. Order 12844, sec. 3.

3. DOD installations with qualifying recycling programs may retain a share of the proceeds from the sale of materials recovered through recycling or waste prevention programs. Exec. Order 12873, sec. 703; AR 200-1, para. 6-14.

E. Specific Funding Limitations.

1. Each agency must implement paper conservation techniques so that total annual expenditures for recycled content printing and writing paper do not exceed current annual budgets for paper products. Exec. Order 12873, sec. 504(2).
2. The FY 1995 DOD MILCON Appropriations Act prohibits expenditure of MILCON funds under cost plus fixed fee (CPFF) construction contracts over \$25,000 without “the specific approval in writing of the Secretary of Defense.” Pub. L. 103-307, § 101, 108 Stat. 1659 (1994).³ Although the BRAC account is under the MILCON Appropriations Act, agencies were using CPFF contracts for BRAC contracts without obtaining the required approval. The DOD Principal Deputy Comptroller considers this practice a violation of the Antideficiency Act. Memorandum, Principal Deputy Comptroller, Dept of Defense, subject: Cost-Plus-A-Fixed-Fee (CPFF) Contracts Funded With BRAC Appropriations (Apr. 7, 1994).
 - a. The Secretary of the Army has delegated the authority to approve MILCON funded BRAC contracts to Heads of Contracting Activities. Secretary of the Army Letter, SARDA 94-5, subject: Delegation of Authority to Approve Certain Cost Contracts Funded With Military Construction Appropriations (June 30, 1994). This authority may be redelegated to a level no lower than the chief of the contracting office.
 - b. The Secretary of the Air Force has granted blanket authority to obligate and expend BRAC appropriations through CPFF contracts awarded to support Air Force environmental and compliance actions. Secretary of the Air Force Letter, subject: Expenditure of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Funds Under Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) Contracts for Environmental Remediation Projects (May 26, 1994).

³ Prior MILCON Acts included substantially the same restriction. See, e.g., Military Construction Appropriations Act of 1994, Pub. L. 103-110, § 101, 107 Stat. 1037, 1041 (1993).

- c. On 8 January 1997, DFARS 216.306 was amended to implement Section 101 of Fiscal Year 1997 Military Construction Appropriations Act (Public Law 104-196). Section 101 continues to restrict the use of CPFF contracts for military construction, but provides an exception for contracts for environmental restoration at installations that are being closed or realigned where payments are made from a BRAC Account.
- F. Funding Requirements Unique to Federal Agencies. The House Report preceding the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990-1991 stated:
 - 1. The statutory enforcement strategy does not take into account the national security mission of the DOD installation being regulated. Attempting to treat a major military installation without considering its missions and mode of operation could result in regulatory decisions that are not in the national interest.
 - 2. A cost-is-no-object criterion may make sense for private parties that generally operate in one state or region, but is unrealistic for an agency that operates in every state and depends entirely on federal funding. H.R. 2461, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., p. 863 (1989).
- G. Fines and Penalties.
 - 1. Operation and Maintenance Funds.
 - 2. Judgment Fund.
 - 3. ERA Accounts.
 - 4. FY 2000 Defense Appropriations Act, § 8149. places limits on using appropriated funds to pay fines or penalties:

- a. None of the funds appropriated in the Act may be used for the payment of a fine or penalty that is imposed against the Department of Defense or a military department arising from an environmental violation at a military installation or facility unless the payment of the fine or penalty has been specifically authorized by law. For purposes of this section, expenditures of funds to carry out a supplemental environmental project that is required to be carried out as part of such a penalty shall be considered to be a payment of the penalty.⁴
- b. To date, this prohibition only applies to funds appropriated during FY 2000

IV. CONCLUSION.

⁴ Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2000. Pub. L. No. 106-70, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999).

Chapter 15

FISCAL LAW RESEARCH

I.	ROLE OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (GAO).	1
II.	PUBLICATIONS.	1
A.	Decisions of the Comptroller General of the United States (Comp. Gen.).	1
B.	Comptroller General's Procurement Decisions (CPD).	2
C.	Principles of Federal Appropriations Law (a.k.a. "The Red Book").	2
III.	ELECTRONIC RESEARCH.	3
A.	LEXIS.	3
B.	WESTLAW	3
C.	Internet - GAO Homepage	4
IV.	STATUTES.	4
A.	Authorization Acts.	4
B.	Appropriations Acts.	4
C.	Other statutes.	4
V.	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE GUIDANCE	5
A.	DoD Directives.	5
B.	Chairman of the Joint Chief's of Staff Instructions.	5
C.	Joint Publications.	6
VI.	REGULATIONS.	6
A.	DoD 7000.14-R, DoD Financial Management Regulation.	6
B.	DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1.	7

C.	Other regulations.....	8
VII.	CONCLUSION.	8

APPENDIX: CONTRACT & FISCAL LAW	
WEBSITES.....	9

Chapter 15

FISCAL LAW RESEARCH

I. ROLE OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (GAO).

- A. The Budget and Accounting Act of 1921 established the GAO. The Comptroller General issues legal opinions to agencies concerning the availability and use of appropriated funds. 31 U.S.C. § 702.
- B. Disbursing officials, certifying officials, and agency heads are entitled to advance decisions. 31 U.S.C. § 3529.
- C. GAO has discretionary authority to render opinions to other individuals or organizations.

II. PUBLICATIONS.

- A. Decisions of the Comptroller General of the United States (Comp. Gen.).
 - 1. Published by the Government Printing Office.
 - 2. Hardbound volumes updated in temporary paperback editions.
 - 3. Separate topical indices & Digests from 1894 to the present. Current volumes are three to six years behind.
 - 4. Contains only 10% of total decisions.
 - 5. No legal distinction between published and unpublished decisions.

6. Example of citation:

Department of the Army - - Purchase of Commercial Calendars, B-211477, 62
Comp. Gen. 48 (1983)

B. Comptroller General's Procurement Decisions (CPD).

1. Published by Federal Publications.
2. Contains every government bid protest decision.
3. Loose-leaf reporter updated continuously.
4. Three indices.
 - a. B-Number Index.
 - b. Government Volume Index.
 - c. Subject matter Index.

5. Example of citation:

Matter of Prohibition on Use of Appropriated Funds for Defense Golf Courses,
B-277905, Mar. 17, 1998, 98-1 CPD ¶ 135

C. Principles of Federal Appropriations Law (a.k.a. "The Red Book").

1. Published in 1991 by the General Accounting Office, Office of General Counsel.
2. Incomplete reference. Volume IV still pending publication.
3. No updates.

4. No index, but comprehensive table of contents.

5. Example of citation:

Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2d ed., vol. I, ch. 2, 2-33, GAO/OGC
91-5 (July 1995)

6. Electronic versions of the Redbook are available. (Electronic versions are text searchable.)

a. Online: <http://www.gao.gov>.

b. CD-ROM versions:

(1) CLAMO: Deployed Judge Advocate Resource Library.

(2) LAAWS CD-ROM, Release 7 – September 1997.

III. ELECTRONIC RESEARCH.

A. LEXIS.

1. Library = “GENFED.” File = “COMGEN.”

2. Contains “unpublished” opinions.

B. WESTLAW

1. Database identifier = “CG.”

2. Contains “unpublished” opinions.

3. FLITE.
 - a. Air Force is DoD Executive Agent.
 - b. Password required.
- C. Internet - GAO Homepage: <http://www.gao.gov>. This website contains GAO opinions issued within the past 60 days.
 1. Updated daily.
 2. Search list of opinions.
 3. Topic search.
 4. Principles of Federal Appropriations Law , Volumes I – III (a.k.a. “The Red Book”)
 5. NOTE: Practitioners may request unpublished opinions not otherwise available. Contact GAO through the website and request a copy of the unpublished opinion by citing the B number. (Only use as a resource of last resort.)

IV. STATUTES.

- A. Authorization Acts.
- B. Appropriations Acts.
- C. Other statutes.

V. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE GUIDANCE

A. DoD Directives.

1. Issued by the Secretary of Defense.
2. Examples:
 - a. DoDD 1348.19, Award of Trophies and Similar Devices in Recognition of Accomplishments.
 - b. DoDD 7280.4, Commander in Chief's (CINC's) Initiative Funds (CIF).

B. Chairman of the Joint Chief's of Staff Instructions.

1. Issued by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs. Provides administrative policy and guidance.
2. Examples:
 - a. CJCSI 1100.01A, Award of Trophies and Similar Devices in Recognition of Accomplishments.
 - b. CJCSI 7401.01A, Commander in Chief's (CINC's) Initiative Funds (CIF).
 - c. CJCSI 7201.01, Combatant Commander's Official Representation Funds (ORF).
 - d. CJCSI 5261.01A, Combating Terrorism Readiness Initiatives Funds.

C. Joint Publications.

1. Joint Doctrine and procedures for the employment of forces in joint operations.
2. Examples.
 - a. Joint Pub. 1-06. Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Financial Management During Joint Operations, 22 Dec 1999.
 - b. Joint Pub. 3-07.3. Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Peace Operations, 12 Feb 1999

VI. REGULATIONS.

A. DoD 7000.14-R, DoD Financial Management Regulation.

1. An incomplete 15 volume set. (All Volumes have been published, but not all Chapters.)
2. Much of this regulation deals with accounting practices, but also contains some fiscal policies. For example:
 - a. Volume 10, Contract Payment Policy and Procedures.
 - b. Volume 11B, Reimbursable Operations, Policy and Procedures -- Defense Business Operations Fund.
 - c. Volume 13, Nonappropriated Funds Policy and Procedures.
 - d. Volume 14, Administrative Control of Funds and Antideficiency Act Violations.
 - e. Volume 15, Security Assistance Policy and Procedures.

B. DFAS-IN Reg. 37-1.

1. Replaced AR 37-1, Army Accounting and Fund Control.
2. Much of this regulation deals with accounting practices, but also contains some fiscal policies. For example:
 - a. Chapter 35, U.S. Army Security Assistance Programs.
 - b. Chapter 36, Enemy Prisoners of War.
 - c. Chapter 37.
 - (1) Sec. III, Economy Act Sales to Other DoD Components, Other Federal Agencies, and to Private Parties.
 - (2) Sec. IV, Support to the International Narcotics Control Program.
 - (3) Sec. VI, Specialized or Technical Services to State and Local Governments.
 - d. Chapter 40.
 - (1) Sec. VIII, Cashiers.
 - (2) Sec. IX. Certifying Officers.
 - (3) Sec. X, Keeping and Safeguarding Public Funds.
 - (4) Sec. XXII, Contingency Funds of the Secretary of the Army.

- C. Other regulations. Agency regulations dealing with specific issues should be consulted also.
1. Examples of regulations which deal with fiscal issues include:
 - a. AR 37-47, Representation Funds of the Secretary of the Army.
 - b. AR 215-1, Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities and Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Activities.
 - c. AR 415-15, Military Construction, Army (MCA) Program Development; AFI 32-1021, Planning and Programming of Facility Construction Projects.
 - d. AR 165-1, Chaplain Activities in the United States Army.
 2. Regulations that do not deal directly with fiscal law issues should not be overlooked. They may indicate agency policy on the use of government money or assets.
 3. DoD 5500.7-R, The DoD Joint Ethics Regulation, may be helpful in determining the appropriateness of some proposed expenditures.

VII. CONCLUSION.

APPENDIX

CONTRACT & FISCAL LAW WEBSITES

CONTENT	ADDRESS

A

ABA LawLink Legal Research Jumpstation	http://www.abanet.org/lawlink/home.html
ABA Network	http://www.abanet.org/
ABA Public Contract Law Section (Agency Level Bid Protests)	http://www.abanet.org/contract/federal/bidpro/agen_bid.html
Acquisition Reform	http://tecnet0.jcte.jcs.mil:9000/htdocs/teinfo/acqreform.html
Acquisition Reform Network	http://www.arnet.gov
ACQWeb - Office of Undersecretary of Defense for Acquisition & Technology	http://www.acq.osd.mil
Agency for International Development	http://www.info.usaid.gov
Air Force Acquisition Reform	http://www.safaq.hq.af
Air Force Electronic Commerce Home Page	http://www.afca.scott.af.mil/ecommerce/index.htm
Air Force FAR Supplement	http://www.hq.af.mil/SAFAQ/contracting/far/affars/html
Air Force Home Page	http://www.af.mil/
Air Force Materiel Command Web Page	http://www.afmc.wpafb.af.mil
Air Force Materiel Command SJA Web Page	http://afmc.mil.wpafb.af.mil/HQ-AFMC/JA/
Air Force Publications	http://afpubs.hq.af.mil/orgs.asp?type=pubs
Air Force Site, FAR, DFARS, Fed. Reg.	http://farsite.hill.af.mil
Army Acquisition Website	http://acqnet.sarda.army.mil/
Army Corps of Engineers Home Page	http://www.usace.army.mil/
Army Electronic Commerce Home Page	http://www.armyec.sra.com/
Army Home Page	http://www.dtic.mil/armylink
Army Financial Management Home Page	http://www.asafm.army.mil/homepg.htm
Army Materiel Command Web Page	http://www.amc.army.mil/
Army Portal	http://www.us.army.mil/
Army Single Face to Industry (ASFI) Acquisition Web Site	http://acquisition.army.mil/default.htm

Army STRICOM (Simulation, Training, and Instrumentation Command) Home Page	http://www.stricom.army.mil/

C

CAGE Code Assignment Also Search/Contractor Registration (CCR)	http://www.disc.dla.mil
CASCOM Home Page	http://www.cascom.army.mil/
CECOM	http://www.monmouth.army.mil/cecom/cecom.html
Code of Federal Regulations	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-table-search.html
Coast Guard Home Page	http://www.dot.gov/dotinfo/uscg
Central Contractor Registration (DOD)	http://www.ccr2000.com/index.cfm
Commerce Business Daily (CBD)	http://cbdnet.access.gpo.gov/index.html
Comptroller General Decisions	http://www.gao.gov/decisions/decison.htm
Congress on the Net-Legislative Info	Http://thomas.loc.gov/
Contract Pricing Guides (address)	http://www.gsa.gov/staff/v/guides/instructions.htm
Contract Pricing Reference Guides	http://www.gsa.gov/staff/v/guides/volumes.htm
Cost Accounting Standards	http://www.fedmarket.com/cas/casindex.html

D

DCAA Web Page	http://www.dtic.mil/dcaa *Before you can access this site, must register at http://www.govcon.com
DCAA - Electronic Audit Reports	http://www.abm.rda.hq.navy.mil/branch111.html
Debarred List	http://www.arnet.gov/epls/
Defense Acquisition Deskbook	http://www.deskbook.osd.mil
Defense Acquisition University	http://www.acq.osd.mil/dau/
Defense Logistics Agency Electronic Commerce Home Page	http://www.supply.dla.mil/
Defense Tech. Info. Ctr. Home Page (use jumper Defenselink and other sites)	http://www.dtic.mil
Department of Justice (jumpers to other Federal Agencies and Criminal Justice)	http://www.usdoj.gov
Department of Veterans Affairs Web Page	http://www.va.gov
DFARS Web Page (Searchable)	http://www.dtic.mil/dfars
DFAS	http://www.dfas.mil/
DFAS Electronic Commerce Home Page	http://www.dfas.mil/ecedi/
DIOR Home Page - Procurement Coding	http://web1.whs.osd.mil/diorhome.htm

Manual/FIPS/CIN	
DOD Claimant Program Number (procurement Coding Manual)	http://web1.whs.osd.mil/diorhome.htm
DOD Contracting Regulations	http://www.dtic.mil/contracts
DOD Home Page	http://www.dtic.mil/defenseink
DOD Instructions and Directives	http://web7.whs.osd.mil/corres.htm
DOD SOCO Web Page	http://www.dtic.mil/defenseink/dodgc/ defense_ethics
DOL Wage Determinations	http://www.ceals.usace.army.mil/netahtml/srvc. html

F

FAC (Federal Register Pages only)	http://www.gsa.gov:80/far/FAC/FACs.html
FAR (GSA)	http://www.arnet.gov/far/
Federal Acquisition Jumpstation	http://procure.msfc.nasa.gov/fedproc/home.html
Federal Acquisition Virtual Library (FAR/DFARS, CBD, Debarred list, SIC)	http://159.142.1.210/References/References.html
Federal Register	http://law.house.gov/7.htm
FFRDC - Federally Funded R&D Centers	http://web1.whs.osd.mil/diorhome.htm
Financial Management Regulations	http://www.dtic.mil/comptroller/fmr/
Financial Operations (Jumpsites)	http://www.asafm.army.mil

G

GAO Documents Online Order	http://gao.gov/cgi-bin/ordtab.pl
GAO Home Page	http://www.gao.gov
GAO Comptroller General Decisions (Allows Westlaw/Lexis like searches)	http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/aces/ aces170.shtml?desc017.html
GovBot Database of Government Web sites	http://www.business.gov
GovCon - Contract Glossary	http://www.govcon.com/information/gcterms.html
Gov't. Information Locator Services Index U.S. Army Publications	http://www-usappc.hoffman.army.mil/gils/gils.html
GSA Advantage	www.fss.gsa.gov
GSA Legal Web Page	http://www.legal.gsa.gov

J

Joint Electronic Commerce Program Office	http://www.acq.osd.mil/ec/
Joint Publications	http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine
Joint Travel Regulations (JTR)	http://www.dtic.mil/perdiem/jtr.html

JWOD (Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act)	www.jwod.gov

L

Laws, Regulations, Executive Orders, & Policy	http://159.142.1.210/References/References.html#policy, etc
Library (jumpers to various contract law sites - FAR/FAC/DFARS/AFARS)	http://acqnet.sarda.army.mil/library/default.htm
Library of Congress Web Page	http://lcweb.loc.gov

M

Marine Corps Home Page	http://www.usmc.mil
MWR (Army) Home Page	http://trol.redstone.army.mil/mwr/index.html

N

NAF Financial (MWR)	http://www.asafm.army.mil/fo/naf/naf.htm
National Performance Review Library	http://www.npr.gov/library/index.html
National Industries for the Blind	www.nib.org
NISH	www.nish.org
NAVSUP Home Page	http://www.navsup.navy.mil/NAVSUP/home.htm
Navy Acquisition Reform	http://www.acq-ref.navy.mil/
Navy Electronic Commerce On-line	http://ecic.abm.rda.hq.navy.mil/
Navy Home Page	http://www.navy.mil

O

OGC Contract Law Division	http://www.ogc.doc.gov/OGC/CLD.HTML
OGE Ethics Advisory Opinions	http://fedbbs.access.gpo.gov/lib/oge_opin.html
OGE Web Page (Ethics training materials and opinions)	http://www.access.gpo.gov/usoge
Office of Acquisition Policy	http://www.gsa.gov/staff/ap.htm
Office of Deputy ASA (Financial Ops) Information on ADA violations/NAF Links/Army Pubs/and Various other sites	http://www.asafm.army.mil/financial.htm
Office of Management and Budget (OMB)	http://www.access.gpo.gov/su_docs/budget/index/html
Office of Management and Budget Circulars	http://www.whitehouse.gov/WH/EOP/omb/html
OFPP (Guidelines for Oral Presentations)	http://www.doe.gov/html/procure/oral.html
OFPP (Best Practices Guides)	http://www.arnet.gov/BestP/BestP.html

P

Policy Works - Per Diem Tables	http://www.policyworks.gov/org/main/mt/homepage/mtt/perdiem/perd97.htm
Producer Price Index	http://www.bis.gov/ppihome.htm
Purchase Card Program	http://purchasecard.dfas.mil

S

SBA Government Contracting Home Page	http://www.sbaonline.sba.gov/GC/
Service Contract Act Directory of Occupations	http://www.dol.gov//dol/esa/public/regs/compliance/whd/wage/main.htm
SIC	http://spider.osha.gov/oshstats/sicser.html

T

Taxes/Insurance	http://www.payroll-taxes.com
Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) Acquisition Center	http://www.tac.eustis.army.mil

U

U.S. Congress on the Net-Legislative Info	Http://thomas.loc.gov/
U.S. Code	http://law.house.gov/usc.htm
UNICOR (Federal Prison Industries, Inc.)	www.unicor.gov



LTC Kelly D. Wheaton
Deputy Legal Counsel
to the Chairman
Joint Chiefs of Staff
(703) 697-1137
1 November 2000

FUNDING U.S. MILITARY OPERATIONS

Table of Contents

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. CONSTITUTIONAL PREDICATE	1
A. President's Power	1
B. Congress' Power	1
III. THE NEED FOR EXPRESS LEGAL AUTHORITY	2
A. General	2
B. "Article II Operations": Inherent Authority?	2
IV. SUPPORTING MULTILATERAL PEACE & HUMANITARIAN OPERATIONS	2
A. Policy - PDD 25	2
1. General	2
2. Funding Provisions	3
B. Authorities	3

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. UN Participation Act, 22 U.S.C. § 287d-1	3
2. Drawdowns	4
a. FAA § 506(a)(1)	4
b. FAA § 506(a)(2)	5
c. FAA § 552(c)(2)	5
3. Details of Personnel	5
a. FAA § 627	5
b. FAA § 628	5
c. 22 U.S.C. § 1451	6
d. 10 U.S.C. § 712	6
4. Excess Defense Articles	6
a. FAA § 516	6
b. Amount	6
c. Transportation	6
5. Reimbursable Support	7
a. FAA § 607	7
b. FAA § 632	7
c. Economy Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1535	7
d. FMS, AECA §§ 21-22	7
e. Leases, AECA §§ 61-62	7

SUBJECT**PAGE**

f. ACSAs, 10 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2350

7

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
V. DOD HUMANITARIAN & DISASTER RELIEF OPERATIONS	8
A. Appropriations	8
B. Humanitarian & Civic Assistance (HCA), 10 U.S.C. § 401	8
1. Need for Express Authority	8
2. Scope of Authority	8
3. Limits	8
4. Definition	9
5. Exercise-Related Construction Distinguished	9
6. Appropriations	9
C. Transportation of Humanitarian Relief Supplies for NGOs, 10 U.S.C. § 402	10
1. Scope of Authority	10
2. Preconditions	10
3. Limits	10
D. Foreign Disaster Assistance, 10 U.S.C. § 404	10
1. Scope of Authority	10
2. Types of Assistance	11
3. Notice to Congress	11
4. Appropriations	11
E. Excess Nonlethal Supplies for Humanitarian Relief, 10 U.S.C. § 2547	11

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. Scope of Authority	11
2. Limits	11
3. Definition	11
F. Humanitarian Assistance, 10 U.S.C. § 2551	12
1. Scope	12
2. Reports	12
3. Appropriations	12
4. §2551 / §401 Distinguished	12
VI. CONTACTS AND EXERCISES WITH FOREIGN MILITARIES	12
A. Bilateral & Multilateral Conferences, Seminars, & Meetings	12
1. The Need for Express Authority	12
2. Authorities	13
a. U.S. Civilian Employees & Military Personnel	13
b. Individuals Performing Direct Services for the Government	13
c. Latin American Cooperation (LATAM COOP), 10 U.S.C. § 1050	13
d. Bilateral or Regional Cooperation Programs, 10 U.S.C. § 1051	13
(1) Scope	13
(2) Limits	14

SUBJECT

PAGE

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
B. Bilateral & Multilateral Exercise Programs	14
1. Developing Countries Exercise Program (DCCEP), 10 U.S.C. § 2010	14
a. Scope	14
b. Definition	15
2. Special Operations Forces (SOF) Training, 10 U.S.C. § 2011	15
a. Scope	15
b. Definitions	15
C. Regional Cooperation Programs	16
1. Partnership for Peace (PFP) Program	16
2. Cooperative Threat Reduction with States of the Former Soviet Union (“Nunn-Lugar”)	16
D. Military-to-Military Contact Program, 10 U.S.C. § 168	16
E. International Military Education & Training (IMET), FAA §§ 541-545	16
VII. SPECIAL AUTHORITIES	17
A. CINC Initiative Funds (CIF), 10 U.S.C. § 166a	17
1. Scope	17
2. Priorities	17
3. Relationship to Other Funding	17

SUBJECT**PAGE**

4. Limits

18

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
B. Emergency & Extraordinary (E&E) Expenses, 10 U.S.C. § 127	18
1. General	18
2. Congressional Notification	18
3. Appropriations	18
C. Contingency Operations Funding Authority, 10 U.S.C. § 127a	19
1. Applicability	19
2. Consequences	19
3. Congressional Notification & GAO Compliance Reviews	19
4. Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	19
VIII. SECTION 8074 NOTIFICATION	20
A. General	20
B. Notice Requirement	20
C. Congress' Intent	20
D. President's Interpretation	20
E. Scope	21
1. Included Activities	21
2. Excluded Activities	22
F. Compliance	22

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
IX. DOMESTIC OPERATIONS	23
A. Stafford Disaster Relief & Emergency Assistance Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. §§ 5121-5204c	23
B. DoD Directive 3025.1	23
X. CONCLUSION	23

FUNDING U.S. MILITARY OPERATIONS

I. INTRODUCTION.

II. CONSTITUTIONAL PREDICATE.

A. President's Power.

1. "The President shall be the Commander in Chief of the Army and Navy of the United States " U.S. Const. Art. II, § 2, cl. 1.
2. "He shall have Power, by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, to make Treaties, provided two thirds of the Senators present concur; and he shall nominate, and by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate, shall appoint Ambassadors, other public Ministers and Consuls " U.S. Const. Art. II, § 2, cl. 2.
3. "[H]e shall receive Ambassadors and other public Ministers " U.S. Const. Art. II, § 3.

B. Congress' Power.

1. "No money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by law" U.S. Const. Art. I, § 9, cl. 7.
2. "The Congress shall have the Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the United States" U.S. Const. Art. IV, § 3, cl 2.

"An effective foreign policy requires more than ideas and pronouncements. It requires institutions, agencies, people and money, and Congress controls them all. Through the authorization and appropriation process, Congress sets the terms of commerce; it provides military forces and intelligence capabilities; and it establishes the conditions for development assistance, security support programs and U.S. participation in international organizations. . . . Hardly any important executive branch decision is taken without consideration of the reaction in Congress."

III. THE NEED FOR EXPRESS LEGAL AUTHORITY.

A. General.

“The established rule is that the expenditure of public funds is proper only when authorized by Congress, not that public funds may be expended unless prohibited by Congress.”

United States v. MacCollom, 426 U.S. 317 (1976).

- B. **“Article II Operations”: Inherent Authority?** *See, e.g.*, R. Rosen, Funding Non-Traditional Military Operations: The Alluring Myth of a Presidential Power of the Purse, 155 MIL. L. REV. 1 (1998) and W. Banks & P. Raven-Hansen, NATIONAL SECURITY LAW & THE POWER OF THE PURSE 166 (1994).

Traditional vs. Non-Traditional Missions

IV. SUPPORTING MULTILATERAL PEACE & HUMANITARIAN OPERATIONS.

- A. **Policy.** Presidential Decision Directive (PDD) 25 (May 3, 1994).

1. **General.** PDD 25 addresses the following areas:
 - a. Choosing which operations to support.
 - b. Defining U.S. policy regarding command and control. *See also* H.R. 1530, § 1301, 104th Cong., 1st Sess. (1995); 31 Pres. Doc. 2234 (Dec. 28, 1995) (Presidential veto of Defense Authorization Bill prevented additional Congressional restrictions on C2 policy in UN operations.)
 - c. Reducing U.S. costs for UN peace operations.
 - d. Reforming/improving UN management of peace operations.

- e. Improving U.S. management and funding of peace operations.
- f. Creating better cooperation between the Executive & Legislative branches.

2. ***Funding Provisions.***

- a. *Reimbursement.* U.S. will generally seek either direct reimbursement for provision of goods and services or credit against UN assessment. In rare circumstances, U.S. may contribute goods, services, and funds on a voluntary basis. *But see*, paragraph B.1.b., *infra*.
- b. *Oversight & Management.*
 - (1) Department of State has responsibility for oversight and management of Chapter VI peace operations in which U.S. combat units are not participating.
 - (2) Department of Defense has responsibility for oversight and management of Chapter VI operations in which U.S. forces are participating and for all Chapter VII operations.
- c. *UN Assessments.* No DoD funds may be expended, directly or indirectly, to make a financial contribution to the UN for the cost of a UN peacekeeping activity or for payment of U.S. arrearages to the UN. 10 U.S.C. § 405.

B. **Authorities.**

1. ***UN Participation Act (UNPA) § 7, 22 U.S.C. § 287d-1.***

- a. *Scope.* Upon UN's request, President may authorize the following support specifically directed to the peaceful settlement of disputes and not involving employment of the armed forces under Chapter VII of the UN Charter --
 - (1) Details of Personnel. Up to 1,000 military personnel as observers, guards, or any non-combatant capacity.

(2) Supplies, Services, & Equipment. Furnishing of facilities, services, or other assistance, and the loan of the U.S.'s fair share of supplies and equipment.

- b. *Delegation of Authority.* The President has delegated authority to direct support to the Secretary of State (SecState). Executive Order 10206, ¶ 1, 16 Fed. Reg. 529 (1951). He has delegated the authority to waive (in national interest) reimbursement to SecState, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense (SecDef). *Id.* ¶ 2.
- c. *Reimbursement.* Section 723 of the FY 00-01 Foreign Relations Authorization Act (as enacted in Pub. L. No. 106-113) amended the UNPA to add a new Section 10. Section 10 requires the United States to obtain reimbursement from the UN for DoD assistance that is provided to or for an assessed UN peacekeeping operation, or to facilitate or assist the participation of another country in such an operation. The statute provides for several exemptions and grounds for waiver. **This requirement to receive reimbursement is not limited to assistance provided under the UNPA, but applies to any authority under which assistance may be provided to an assessed peacekeeping operation.**

2. ***Drawdowns.***

- a. *Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) § 506(a)(1), 22 U.S.C. § 2318(a)(1)* - Authorizes the President to direct the drawdown of defense articles and services having an aggregate value of up to \$100,000,000 in any fiscal year for unforeseen emergencies requiring immediate military assistance to a foreign country or international organization. *See* Defense and Security Assistance Improvements Act, Pub. L. 104-164 (1996) (increase from \$75M to \$100M).

- b. *FAA § 506(a)(2), 22 U.S.C. § 2318(a)(2)* - Authorizes the President to direct the drawdown of articles and services having an aggregate value of up to \$150,000,000 from any agency of the U.S. in any fiscal year for (among other things) counterdrug activities, disaster relief, and migrant and refugee assistance. Of that amount, not more than \$75M may come from DoD resources; not more than \$75M may be provided for counternarcotics; and not more than \$15M to Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos for POW accounting. Drawdowns supporting counternarcotics and refugee or migration assistance require 15 days notice to Congress. *See Defense and Security Assistance Improvements Act, Pub. L. 104-164 (1996).*
- c. *FAA § 552(c)(2), 22 U.S.C. § 2348a(c)(2)* - Authorizes the President to direct the drawdown of up to \$25,000,000 in any fiscal year of commodities and services from *any* federal agency for unforeseen emergencies related to peacekeeping operations and other programs in the interest of national security.

3. ***Details of Personnel.***

- a. *FAA § 627, 22 U.S.C. § 2387.* When the President determines it furthers the FAA's purposes, statute permits a federal agency head to detail officers or employees to foreign governments or foreign government agencies, where the detail does not entail an oath of allegiance to or compensation from the foreign countries. Details may be with or without reimbursement. *FAA § 630, 22 U.S.C. § 2390.*
- b. *FAA § 628, 22 U.S.C. § 2388.* When the President determines it furthers the FAA's purposes, statute permits federal agency heads to detail, assign, or otherwise make their officers and employees available to serve with international organizations, or serve as members of the international staff of such organizations, or to render any technical, scientific, or professional advice or service to the organizations. May be with or without reimbursement. *FAA § 630, 22 U.S.C. § 2390.*

- c. 22 U.S.C. § 1451. Authorizes the Director, USIA, to assign U.S. employees to provide scientific, technical, or professional advice to other countries. Details may be on reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis. Does not authorize details related to the organization, training, operation, development, or combat equipment of a country's armed forces.
 - d. 10 U.S.C. § 712. Authorizes President to detail members of the armed forces to assist in military matters in any republic in North, Central, or South America; the Republics of Cuba, Haiti, or Santo Domingo; or -- during a war or a declared national emergency -- in any other country. Details may be on a reimbursable or nonreimbursable basis.
4. **Excess Defense Articles (EDA).** Defense articles no longer needed by the U.S. may be made available on a grant basis.
- a. FAA § 516, 22 U.S.C. § 2321j. Authorizes both lethal and nonlethal EDA (including Coast Guard equipment) support to any country for which receipt was justified in the annual Congressional Presentation Document (CPD). It continues to accord priority of delivery to NATO and non-NATO Southern-flank allies, as well as continuing the 7:10 EDA grant split between Greece & Turkey. See Defense and Security Assistance Improvements Act, Pub. L. 104-164 (1996) (consolidation of EDA authorities into §516 and repeal of §§ 518- 520); Security Assistance Act of 1999, Pub. L. 106-113, § 1211(b) (1999)(extending legislation four years).
 - b. Amount - An aggregate ceiling of \$350M per year. Cost is determined using the depreciated value of the article.
 - c. *Transportation:* No-cost space available transportation is authorized for countries receiving less than \$10M FMF or IMET in any FY if a determination is made that it is in the national interest of the United States to do so.

5. ***Reimbursable Support.***

- a. *FAA § 607, 22 U.S.C. § 2357* - Authorizes any federal agency to furnish commodities and services to friendly countries and international organizations on an advance-of-funds or reimbursable basis.
- b. *FAA § 632, 22 U.S.C. 2392* - Authorizes the State Department to use its funds to obtain DoD's support under the FAA or Title 10 authorities.
- c. *Economy Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1535* - Authorizes the provision of defense articles and services *indirectly* to third countries, the UN, and international organizations on a *reimbursable* basis for another federal agency (*e.g.*, Department of State).
- d. *Foreign Military Sales (FMS) - Arms Export Control Act (AECA) §§ 21-22, 22 U.S.C. 2761-62* - Third countries and the UN may enter standard FMS contracts with DoD for the sale of defense articles and services.
- e. *Leases - AECA §§ 61-62, 22 U.S.C. § 2796-2796a* - Authorizes leases of Defense articles to foreign countries or international organizations, generally on a reimbursable basis.
- f. *Acquisition & Cross-Servicing Agreements (ACSA) - 10 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2350* - DoD authority to acquire logistic support without resort to commercial contracting procedures and to transfer support outside of the AECA. Under the statutes, after consulting with the State Department, DoD may enter into agreements with NATO countries, NATO subsidiary bodies, other eligible countries, the UN, and international regional organizations of which the U.S. is a member for the reciprocal provision of logistic support, supplies, and services. Acquisitions and transfers are on a cash reimbursement or replacement-in-kind or exchange of equal value basis.

V. DOD HUMANITARIAN & DISASTER RELIEF OPERATIONS.

A. **Appropriations.** \$55.9M in FY 2001 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid (OHDACA) programs of the Department of Defense under §§401 [only for humanitarian demining], 402, 404, 2547, and 2551 of Title 10.

B. **Humanitarian & Civic Assistance (HCA) - 10 U.S.C. § 401**

1. ***Need for Express Authority.***

- a. 41 U.S.C. § 12: “No contract shall be entered into for the erection, repair, or furnishing of any public building, or for any public improvement which shall bind the Government to pay a larger sum of money than the amount in the Treasury *appropriated for the specific purpose.*
- b. 63 *Comp. Gen.* 422 (1984): “[I]t is our conclusion that DoD’s use of O&M funds to finance civic / humanitarian activities during combined exercises in Honduras, in the absence of an interagency order or agreement under the Economy Act, was an improper use of funds, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 1301(a).”

2. ***Scope of Authority.*** Secretary concerned may carry out HCA in conjunction with authorized military operations of the armed forces in a country if the Secretary determines the activities will promote -

- a. the security interests of the U.S. and the country where the activities will be carried out; and
- b. the specific operational readiness skills of the servicemembers who will participate in the activities.

3. ***Limits.***

- a. May not duplicate other forms of U.S. economic assistance.

- b. May not be provided (directly or indirectly) to any individual, group, or organization engaged in military or paramilitary activities.
 - c. SecState must specifically approve assistance.
 - d. Must be paid out of funds appropriated for HCA.
 - e. U.S. personnel may not engage in the physical detection, lifting, or destroying of landmines (except concurrent with U.S. military operation), or provide such assistance as part of a military operation not involving U.S. forces.
4. **Definition.** HCA means --
- a. medical, dental, veterinary care in rural areas;
 - b. construction of rudimentary surface transportation systems;
 - c. well drilling and construction of rudimentary sanitation facilities;
 - d. rudimentary construction and repair of public facilities; and
 - e. detection and clearance of landmines, including education, training, and technical assistance.
5. **Exercise-Related Construction (ERC) distinguished.** 10 U.S.C. § 2805(a)(2).
- “[F]unds from this account may only support construction activities necessary for the conduct of U.S. military exercises. *The account is not a foreign assistance program.*”
- S. Rep. 355, 102d Cong., 2d Sess. 10 (1992) (emphasis added).
6. **Appropriations** Specifically fenced O&M for HCA. Demining, however, uses OHDACA.

C. Transportation of Humanitarian Relief Supplies for NGOs - 10 U.S.C. § 402.

1. **Scope of Authority.** SecDef may transport to any country, *without charge*, supplies furnished by NGOs intended for humanitarian assistance. Transport permitted only on a *space-available* basis. Supplies may be distributed by U.S. agencies, foreign governments, international organizations, or non-profit relief organizations.
2. **Preconditions.** Before transporting supplies, SecDef must determine --
 - a. the transportation of the supplies is consistent with U.S. foreign policy;
 - b. the supplies to be transported are suitable for humanitarian purposes and are in usable condition;
 - c. a legitimate humanitarian need exists for the supplies by the people for whom the supplies are intended;
 - d. the supplies will, in fact, be used for humanitarian purposes; and
 - e. adequate arrangements have been made for the distribution of the supplies in the destination country.
3. **Limits.** Supplies transported may not be distributed (directly or indirectly) to any individual, group, or organization engaged in military or paramilitary activities.

D. Foreign Disaster Assistance - 10 U.S.C. § 404.

1. **Scope of Authority.**
 - a. *General.* President may direct SecDef to provide disaster assistance outside the U.S. to respond to manmade or natural disasters when necessary to prevent the loss of life. Amounts appropriated to

DoD for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid (OHDACA) are available for organizing general policies and programs for disaster relief programs.

- b. *Delegation of Authority.* President delegated to SecDef authority to provide disaster relief with SecState's concurrence and in emergencies when insufficient time to seek SecState concurrence (provided SecDef seeks SecState concurrence as soon as practicable thereafter). Executive Order 12966, 60 Fed. Reg. 36949 (July 14, 1995).

2. ***Types of Assistance.*** Transportation, supplies, services, and equipment.
3. ***Notice to Congress.*** Within 48 hours of commencing relief activities, President must transmit a report to Congress.
4. ***Appropriations.*** Funded from the OHDACA appropriation.

E. Excess Nonlethal Supplies for Humanitarian Relief - 10 U.S.C. § 2547.

1. ***Scope of Authority.*** SecDef may make available for humanitarian relief purposes any DoD nonlethal excess supplies. Excess supplies furnished under statute transferred to DoS, which is responsible for the distribution of the supplies.
2. ***Limits.*** Statute does not constitute authority to conduct any activity that, if carried out as a DoD intelligence activity, would require notice to the intelligence committees under 50 U.S.C. §§ 413 et seq.
3. ***Definition.*** "Nonlethal excess supplies" means property that is excess under DoD regulations and is not a weapon, ammunition, or other equipment or material designed to inflict serious bodily harm or death.

F. Humanitarian Assistance - 10 U.S.C. § 2551.

1. Scope.

- a. *General.* To the extent provided in authorization acts, funds appropriated to DoD for humanitarian assistance shall be used for providing transportation of humanitarian relief and **other humanitarian purposes worldwide.**
- b. *Availability of Funds.* To the extent provided in the appropriations acts, funds appropriated for humanitarian assistance remain available until expended.

2. Reports. Statute contains detailed annual reporting requirements.

3. Appropriations. Funded from the OHDACA appropriation.

4. §2551/401 Distinguished. If it fits 401 in each and every particular, it's 401 HCA. If not (but humanitarian purpose) it's 2551 HA.

VI. CONTACTS AND EXERCISES WITH FOREIGN MILITARIES.

A. Bilateral & Multilateral Conferences, Seminars, & Meetings.

1. The Need for Express Authority.

- a. 31 U.S.C. § 1345: "Except as specifically provided by law, an appropriation may not be used for travel, transportation, and subsistence expenses for a meeting."
- b. 62 Comp. Gen. 531 (1983): "[T]here is a statutory prohibition against paying the travel, transportation, and subsistence expenses of non-Government attendees at a meeting. . . . By using the word 'specifically' Congress indicated that authority to pay travel and lodging expenses of non-Government

employees should not be inferred but rather that there should be a definite indication in the enactment that the payment of such expenses was contemplated.” See also B-251921 (April 14, 1993); 55 Comp. Gen. 750 (1976).

2. **Authorities.**

- a. *U.S. Civilian Employees & Military Personnel.* See, e.g., 5 U.S.C. §§ 4109-4110; 31 U.S.C. § 1345(1); 37 U.S.C. § 412.
- b. *Individuals Performing Direct Services for the Government.* GAO, I Principals of Federal Appropriations Law 4-40 to 4-42 (2d ed. 1991); see also B-242880 (March 27, 1991); 8 Comp. Gen. 465 (1929); Joint Travel Regulations ¶ C.6000.3.
- c. *Latin American Cooperation (LATAM COOP) - 10 U.S.C. § 1050.* Authorizes the service secretaries to pay the travel, subsistence, and special compensation of officers and students of Latin American countries and other expenses the secretaries consider necessary for Latin American cooperation.
- d. *Bilateral or Regional Cooperation Programs - 10 U.S.C. § 1051.*

(1) Scope.

- (a) *Travel Expenses.* SecDef may pay travel, subsistence, and similar personal expenses of defense personnel of developing countries in connection with attendance at bilateral or regional conferences, seminars, or similar meetings if SecDef deems attendance in U.S. national security interest.
- (b) *Other Expenses.* SecDef may pay such other expenses in connection with the

conference, seminar, or meeting as he considers in the national interest.

- (c) *Additional Funding Authority.* The authority to pay expenses under section 1051 is in addition to the authority under LATAM COOP, 10 U.S.C. § 1050. See DoD Authorization Act for FY 97, Pub. L. 104-201 §1065 (1996) (10 U.S.C. § 113 note) for Marshall Center Participants.
 - (d) *Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.* SecDef may waive reimbursement of the cost of conferences, seminars, courses of instruction, or similar educational activities of APC for foreign military officers and civilian officials if in US national security interest. See § 8080 of the DoD Appropriations Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. 106-259 (2000). See § 1306 of the FY 95 NDAA for similar authority to waive costs for participation of personnel from PfP and EAPC countries in activities of the George C. Marshall European Center for Security Studies.
- (2) Limits. Payments under section 1051 are limited to travel within the combatant commander's AOR in which the developing country is located or in connection with travel to Canada or Mexico, but when the combatant command headquarters is in the U.S., expenses may be paid for travel to the U.S.

B. Bilateral & Multilateral Exercise Programs.

1. ***Developing Countries Combined Exercise Program (DCCEP) - 10 U.S.C. § 2010.***
 - a. *Scope.* After consulting with SecState, SecDef may pay the incremental expenses of a developing country incurred by the country's participation in a bilateral or multilateral exercise, if --

- (1) the exercise is undertaken primarily to enhance U.S. security interests; and
- (2) SecDef determines the participation of the participating country is necessary to achieve the “fundamental objectives of the exercise and those objectives cannot be achieved unless the U.S. pays the incremental expenses”

b. *Definition.* “Incremental expenses” are reasonable and proper cost of goods and services consumed by a developing country as a direct result of the country’s participation in exercises, including rations, fuel, training, ammunition, and transportation. The term does *not* include pay, allowances, and other normal costs of the country’s personnel.

2. ***Special Operations Force (SOF) Training - 10 U.S.C. § 2011.***

a. *Scope.* CINCSOCOM and the commander of any other combatant command may pay any of the following expenses relating to the training of SOF of the combatant command --

- (1) Expenses of training the SOF assigned to the command in conjunction with training with the armed forces and other security forces of a friendly foreign country.
- (2) Expenses of deploying SOF for the training.
- (3) The incremental expenses incurred by the friendly foreign country incurred as the result of the training.

b. *Definitions.*

- (1) SOF. Includes civil affairs and psychological operations forces.
- (2) Incremental Expenses. The reasonable and proper cost of goods and services consumed by a developing country as a direct result of

the country's participation in a bilateral or multilateral exercise, including rations, fuel, training ammunition, and transportation. The term does *not* include pay, allowances, and other normal costs of the country's personnel.

C. **Regional Cooperation Programs.**

1. ***Partnership for Peace (PFP) - DoD Authorization Act for FY 1995, Pub. L. No. 103-337, § 1307, 108 Stat. 2893 (1994)*** (See also H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 747, 103d Cong., 2d Sess. 63 (1994); S. Rep. No. 321, 103d Cong., 2d Sess. 42 (1994).) \$30 million appropriated in FY 1995 to Joint Staff to “use existing authorities to the greatest extent possible” to provide assistance to and cooperate with PFP countries. \$40 million in FY 96 & again in FY97. \$44 million appropriated in FY 1998, but to OSD, not Joint Staff.
2. ***Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) with States of Former Soviet Union (FSU) (“Nunn-Lugar”) - DoD Authorization Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. No. 106-? §§ 1301-1311 (2000).*** (See also DoD Authorization Act for FY 1997, Pub. L. No. 104-201, 110 Stat. 2731(1996) (50 U.S.C. § 2362 note) (specifies activities that make up the CTR program). \$400 million of “no-year” money provided for FY 1994 and FY 1995 for various programs to dismantle FSU's arsenal of weapons of mass destruction. \$300 million appropriated in FY 1996. \$327.9M appropriated in FY 97. \$440.4M of “three-year” money for FY 1999, \$460.5M of “three-year” money for FY 2000, and \$443.4M of “three-year” money for FY 2001. §§ 1303-1308 of the DoD Authorization Act for FY 2000 retain various limitations including reporting requirements and prohibitions against the use of the funds for elimination of conventional weapons, limitations on use of funds for warhead dismantling, construction of fossil-fuel energy plants.

- D. **Military-to-Military Contact Program - 10 U.S.C. § 168.** Authorizes SecDef to conduct military-to-military contacts and comparable

activities that are designed to encourage democratic orientation of defense establishments and military forces of other countries.

- E. **International Military Education & Training (IMET) - FAA §§ 541-545 (22 U.S.C. §§ 2347-2347d).** Security assistance program to provide training to foreign militaries, including the proper role of the military in civilian-led democratic governments and human rights.

VII. SPECIAL AUTHORITIES.

- A. **CinC Initiative Funds (CIF) - 10 U.S.C. § 166a.** *See* DoD Appropriations Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. 106-259 (2000) (\$25M for CIF in FY 2001 in Defense-wide O&M).
1. **Scope.** CJCS may provide to CinCs (including NORAD) sums appropriated for the following activities --
 - a. Force training.
 - b. Contingencies.
 - c. Selected operations.
 - d. Command and control.
 - e. Joint exercises (including the participating expenses of foreign countries).
 - f. HCA.
 - g. Military education and training to military and related civilian personnel of foreign countries (including transportation, translation, and administrative expenses).
 - h. Personnel expenses of defense personnel for bilateral or regional cooperation programs.
 - i. Force protection.

2. **Priorities.** CJCS should give priority consideration to requests for funds that would (1) enhance warfighting capability, readiness, and sustainability of forces assigned to the commander requesting the funds; (2) be used for activities in a CinC's AOR that would reduce threats to, or enhance, U.S. national security.
3. **Relationship to Other Funding.** Any amount provided as CinC initiatives funds for an authorized activity are "in addition to amounts otherwise available for that activity during the fiscal year."
4. **Limits.** Of funds made available --
 - a. No more than \$7 million may be used to buy end items with a cost greater than \$15,000;
 - b. No more than \$1 million may be used to pay the expenses of foreign countries participating in joint exercises;
 - c. No more than \$2 million may be used for education and training to military and related civilian personnel of foreign countries; and
 - d. No funds may be used for any activity for which Congress has denied authorization.

B. **Emergency & Extraordinary (E&E) Expenses - 10 U.S.C. § 127.**

1. **General.** Within appropriations made for this purpose, SecDef may pay for any emergency or extraordinary expenses that cannot be anticipated or classified. SecDef may spend the funds appropriated for such purposes as deemed proper; and such determination is final and conclusive upon the accounting officers of the U.S. This authority may be delegated (and redelegated).
2. **Congressional Notification.** DoD Authorization Act for FY 1996 revised § 127 to require that SecDef give congressional defense and appropriations committees 15 days advance notice before expending or obligating funds in excess of \$1

million and five days advance notice for expenditures or obligations between \$500,000 and \$1 million. Pub. L. No. 104-106, § 915, 110 Stat. 413 (1996).

3. ***Appropriations.*** \$10.616M for Army; \$5.146M for Navy and Marine Corps; \$7.878M for Air Force; and \$30M for SecDef. DoD Appropriations Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. No. 106-259 (2000).

C. **Contingency Operations Funding Authority. 10 U.S.C. § 127a**

(amended by DoD Authorization Act for FY 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-106, § 1003, 110 Stat. 415 (1996))

1. ***Applicability.*** Deployments (other than for training) and humanitarian assistance, disaster relief, or support to law enforcement operations (including immigration control) for which funds have not been provided, which are expected to exceed \$50 million, or the incremental costs of which, when added to other operations currently ongoing, are expected to result in a cumulative incremental cost in excess of \$100 million. Does *not* apply to operations with incremental costs not expected to exceed \$10 million.
2. ***Consequences.***
 - a. *Waiver of Working Capital Fund (WCF) Reimbursement.* Units participating in applicable operations receiving services from WCF activities may not be required to reimburse for the incremental costs incurred in providing such services. Statute restricts SecDef authority to reimburse WCF activities from O&M accounts. (In addition, if an activity director determines that absorbing these costs could cause an Anti-Deficiency Act violation, reimbursement is required.)
 - b. *Transfer Authority.* Authorizes SecDef to transfer up to \$200 million in any fiscal year to reimburse accounts used to fund operation for incremental expenses incurred.
3. ***Congressional Notification & GAO Compliance Reviews.*** Statute contains provisions for both.
4. ***Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund.*** DoD Appropriations Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. No. 106-259 (2000). Appropriates \$3.94B of “no-year” funds “for expenses directly relating to Overseas Contingency Operations by United States military forces.” None of the funds appropriated to this account may be obligated for DoD expenses not directly related to the conduct of overseas contingencies. The Conference Report accompanying the Appropriations Act (H.R. 106-754) states this

amount covers the estimated costs of continuing operations in Bosnia, Kosovo and Southwest Asia.

VIII. SECTION 8070 NOTIFICATION. DoD Appropriations Act for FY 2001, Pub. L. No. 106-259, § 8070, (2000).

- A. **General.** Requires DoD to notify the congressional appropriations, defense, and international relations committees 15 days *before* transferring to another nation or international organization any defense articles or services (other than intelligence services) in connection with (a) peace operations under chapters VI or VII of the UN charter or (b) any other international peacekeeping, peace-enforcement, or humanitarian assistance operation. See also DoD Appropriations Act for FY 96, Pub. L. 104-61 §8117 (1995).
- B. **Notice Requirement.** The notice required includes:
1. A description of the articles or services to be transferred;
 2. The value of the equipment, supplies, or services; and
 3. With respect to a proposed transfer of supplies and equipment, a statement of
 - a. whether the inventory requirements of all elements of the armed forces (including the Reserve Components) for the types of articles and supplies to be transferred have been met; and
 - b. whether the items to be provided will have to be replaced and how the President proposes to pay for such replacement.
- C. **Congress' Intent.** Section 8117 of the DoD Appropriations Act for FY 1996 was originally part of the House DoD Appropriations Bill (H.R. 2126), which was adopted in the first Conference without comment. The House Appropriations Committee expressed concern about *the diversion of DoD resources to non-traditional operations*, such as Haiti, Guantanamo, Rwanda, and the former Yugoslavia. The Committee stated that Congress must be kept fully aware of the use and involvement of defense assets in

“essentially non-defense activities in support of foreign policy.”
H.R. Rep. No. 208, 104th Cong., 1st Sess. 12 (1995).

D. President’s Interpretation. In “acquiescing” in Appropriations Act, President expressed concern about section 8117 and pledged to interpret it consistent with constitutional authority to conduct foreign relations and as Commander in Chief. Statement by the President (Nov. 30, 1995).

E. Scope.

- 1. Included Activities.** Section 8070 affects DoD’s use of any statutory authority to furnish articles and services to other countries and international organizations during peace, humanitarian, and disaster relief operations. Examples include --
 - a. *Acquisition & cross-servicing agreements* during peace and humanitarian assistance operations (10 U.S.C. §§ 2341-2350).
 - b. *Drawdowns* for peace and humanitarian assistance operations (Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) §§ 506, 552).
 - c. *Humanitarian & Civic Assistance (HCA)* (10 U.S.C. §§ 166a(b)(6), 401).
 - d. *Humanitarian Assistance* to the extent the assistance is provided to another nation or an international organization (10 U.S.C. § 2551).
 - e. *Excess nonlethal supplies* for humanitarian relief (10 U.S.C. § 2547).
 - f. *Reimbursable support* to other nations and international organizations in connection with peace and humanitarian assistance operations (FAA § 607; UNPA § 7), and reimbursable support to other federal agencies for peace and humanitarian assistance operations to the extent that the transfer results in DoD transferring articles or services to another nation or international organization (31 U.S.C. § 1535; FAA § 632).

- g. Landmine clearance activities (FY 1995 DoD Authorization Act, Pub. L. 103-337, §1413 (1994)).

2. *Excluded Activities.* Section 8070 does not affect all DoD activities with other countries and international organizations. Examples of excluded activities include --

- a. *Exercises* in which the DoD pays the incremental expenses of participating developing countries -- including Partnership for Peace (PFP) exercises (10 U.S.C. § 2010).
- b. *SOF training* (10 U.S.C. § 2011).
- c. *Bilateral/regional conferences* and seminars unconnected with peace and humanitarian assistance operations (10 U.S.C. § 1051).
- d. *LATAM Coop* unconnected with peace and humanitarian assistance operations (10 U.S.C. § 1050).
- e. *Military-to-military contacts* (10 U.S.C. § 168).
- f. *EDA authorities* (FAA §§ 516), which already have congressional notice requirements equal to or in excess of 15 days.
- g. Support for other nations and international organizations in operations *unrelated* to peacekeeping, peace enforcement and humanitarian assistance (*e.g.*, coalition operations in time of war).

F. *Compliance.* DoD complies with section 8070 by --

- 1. Notifying Congress before DoD transfers supplies or services in connection with peace or humanitarian assistance operations; or
- 2. Transferring supplies and services in such operations without congressional notification when --
 - a. Providing disaster relief;

- b. Providing support *without* using funds appropriated to DoD (*e.g.*, “advance-of-funds” basis); or
- c. Providing support under an FMS case.

IX. DOMESTIC OPERATIONS.

A. Stafford Disaster Relief & Emergency Assistance Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. §§ 5121-5204c.

- 1. Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has lead. Executive Order 12673, 54 Fed. Reg. 12573 (March 23, 1989).
- 2. State Governor must request assistance to trigger Act. 42 U.S.C. § 5191.
- 3. DoD *may* receive reimbursement for assistance provided. 42 U.S.C. §§ 5147-5192(a)(1).
- 4. DoD may give emergency aid to preserve life and property. 42 U.S.C. § 5170b(c).

B. DoD Directive 3025.1.

- 1. Currently, Secretary of the Army is SecDef’s executive agent for managing and executing DoD’s response. *Id.* ¶ D.3.a.
- 2. The Secretary of Defense must approve the deployment and employment of any combatant command forces. DoD Directive 3025.15, February 1997.
- 3. The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Directorate of Military Support (DOMS), manages responses. *Id.* ¶ E.7.b.
- 4. USJFCOM and PACOM are the “DoD Planning Agents.” *Id.* ¶ D.3.c.(3).
- 5. Responsibilities are currently under review and modification.

X. CONCLUSION.

CHAPTER 17

HUMANITARIAN AND CIVIC ASSISTANCE SEMINAR

NOTES

FACTS

I. Adventures in Central Europe.

You are the Chief of Administrative and Civil Law, Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, for an Army division. You deploy with the division to Bosnia-Herzegovina as part of a short-lived stabilization force (a contingency operation). Shortly after your arrival, a subordinate captain comes to you for help. Among his many duties, he has the task of providing contract advice (he apparently saw a contract once before the unit's deployment). He tells you that the command has now inundated him with requests for opinions on a variety of issues that he has never seen before. Not only that, even matters that would be routine in a garrison environment become difficult because he's not sure how much the rules change when a unit deploys. He asks for your help with several issues.

a. Upon its arrival, the division headquarters moved onto a base occupied for the past year by another U.S. division. The G-1 wants to convert a multi-story building on the base, which the previous division had used as a barracks, to an administrative facility. The division engineer (DIVENG) advises that the work will include: (a) the replacement of the roof, the flooring, and some interior walls; (b) the repair of other failing components of the building; (c) the installation of new HVAC equipment; and (d) the construction of new walls to accommodate the new configuration. The engineer proposes classifying the project as mostly repair, with a small amount as construction work. He estimates the cost of all work at \$1.8 million. Because the construction work will only cost \$457,000, the division engineer contends that the entire project can be funded with O&M funds. Is the division engineer right?

NOTES

FACTS

b. The JTF Commander is concerned about the farmers in the local area. Many members of local militia groups on both sides of the conflict are farmers. There is no diesel fuel available on the local economy to run farm equipment to collect the harvest for the coming winter. The farmers are idle and the Commander wants to keep the farmers busy and reduce the need for relief supplies. The JTF Commander wants to conduct refueling missions and provide 10 gallons of diesel fuel per week to each farmer. The commander intends to send HEMMT tankers into the countryside to set up temporary “gas stations.” Can we give fuel to the farmers? How?

c. The G-4 wants to build (or procure) several storage facilities to ease the space crunch the division is facing. Lumber and other construction materials are difficult to come by locally. The division engineer assets could construct the facilities, but the raw materials would cost an estimated \$275,000. As an alternative solution, the G-4 is considering purchasing three portable, prefabricated storage facilities from an American manufacturer at a cost of \$65,000 each. He is inclined to go this route because he could move the prefab buildings and reuse them later on other missions. The G-4 has about \$400,000 in O&M funds available for this effort. What do you advise?

d. A brigade supply officer was swamped with requests for blankets when the weather turned unseasonably cold. He located a blanket factory with 1500 blankets in stock, and arranged delivery after agreeing that the U.S. Government would pay the wholesale price of \$12 per blanket. What should the division finance officer do when the factory owner shows up with an invoice for \$18,000 worth of blankets and asks to be paid?

II. Adventures in Haiti.

As the newly assigned Staff Judge Advocate for the Joint Logistics Support Element, you have just deployed to Haiti in support of a United Nations (UN)-authorized peacekeeping operation. Although one of your primary missions is to advise the command on procurement law issues, you haven't been too worried about that since we have a Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) contract to take care of our support requirements. Shortly after your arrival in country, however, you find yourself grappling with the following issues:

NOTES

FACTS

a. Your JTF is the U.S. component of the United Nations force in Haiti. As part of their responsibilities for the U.N. Force, the U-4 provides Class I (Subsistence) items to the member components of the force. Your J-4 comes to you with the following problem. "Judge, the U-4 has given us 10,000 of frozen beef to feed our troops. The Preventative Medicine (PVNTMED) detachment has conducted their normal food checks and determined the meat is unsuitable for consumption by U.S. personnel, but the meat is fit for human consumption and is not a danger. The U.N. will not take it back and I need to get it out of the freezer to make room for incoming food. The Commander has accepted the PVNTMED section's recommendation not to feed this meat to our troops. Can I give it to the Haitians to feed their people." As your career flashes before your eyes, you think quick and say?

b. The J-3 asked for your opinion on spending appropriated funds for MWR activities. She advises you that soldiers are generally restricted to the confines of the military facilities unless on a mission, are not authorized to wear civilian clothes, and have very limited MWR activities available within the military facilities. Due to the hardships of the operation, she would like to transport soldiers to secure recreational sites. What is your answer?

c. The Chief of Staff wants to know whether we can provide supplies and equipment free of charge to the Department of Justice (DOJ) in support of their training of the Haitian police force. If we can't provide the supplies for free, is there a legal way that DOJ can buy them from us?

NOTES

FACTS

d. You are still assigned to the U.S. component of the United Nations force in Haiti. The JTF Surgeon comes to you one day with the following concern.

As you know, we are in the process of rehabilitating the University Hospital as part of an approved HCA project. Our assigned RED HORSE engineer flight is working very hard, under my office's supervision, to get the hospital back into a useable shape. I'm very concerned about the working conditions in the hospital. My engineers and my medical personnel must work directly across the hall from the morgue. As you know, there is a moratorium on burials in Port-au-Prince. The bodies are literally stacking up in the morgue and I am concerned with the physical and mental well being of the troops working in the hospital. Can we give the Haitians 400 body-bag liners? Judge, they're only \$1.78 a piece, but they would help prevent the spread of disease and would make sure our troops don't have to see the bodies every day. What do you say judge? Can we also build a door on the morgue?

III. Adventures in Washington, D.C.

Your adroit legal opinions have resulted in your assignment to the Office of Legal Counsel to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff. You now receive a tasker from the Director of the Joint Staff to review a request from the Commander, Joint Forces Command regarding HCA activities in Haiti. Attached is a copy of the CINC's request. How do you respond?



COMMANDER IN CHIEF
U.S. JOINT FORCES COMMAND

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

Sir, as you know we are in the process of deploying Air Force engineers to Haiti to renovate and repair several schools and the University Hospital. While they definitely provide great training opportunities for our engineers and will improve the life of some of the Haitian people, we need to do something that will make a difference for a larger part of the population. The infrastructure projects we originally proposed to do would make a significant impact on the general population.

I understand the legal implications associated with the infrastructure projects but I would propose that we need to revisit the issue and try to do some of the infrastructure projects we previously forwarded, especially the road and water repair projects. Several of the roadways are major military supply routes in Haiti for U.S. and UN forces, such as National Route 1 and Delmas Road, which could be repaired for \$660,000 and \$200,000 respectively. For the Air Force engineers, the repair and overlay of the roadway is rudimentary construction and good training for their mission tasking. The repair of these MSR's would greatly assist and benefit the mobility and sustainment of our forces in Haiti, and I believe should be done if possible. A scaled down repair project to supply water to City Soleil, which meets rudimentary construction standards, should also be considered. There would also be an ancillary benefit to the Government of Haiti, since this work would dramatically improve the lives of many Haitian people.

In lieu of using scarce DOD O&M funding, we are continuing to pursue other possible sources of funding in Haiti, such as USAID, Inter-American Development Bank, the Haitian Development Fund and the Central Implementation Unit (CIU). Any assistance you can provide in freeing DOS/AID money or facilitating international donor support would be appreciated.

Request your support in having your legal and fiscal offices quickly review the options available in supporting the use of U.S. Military engineers and material in the reconstruction of these vital roads and waterworks projects before we run out of time while these projects are being staffed to death.

J. J. SHEEHAN
General, U.S. Marine Corps

CHAPTER 17

HUMANITARIAN AND SECURITY ASSISTANCE SEMINAR

ANSWERS

I. Adventures in Central Europe.

a. Conversion of the Barracks Building.

As a first step, you must determine the scope of the project, or projects, involved. To aid in ascertaining the scope of work, you must ask at what point the work provides a “complete and usable facility.” When improving an existing facility, the command frequently starts with a “complete and usable facility.” Thus, it is possible to perform individual improvements, and have the facility be “complete and usable” upon completion of each improvement. When converting an existing facility, however, the point at which the work provides a “complete and usable facility” is when the conversion is complete. This will include all of the construction work performed on the barracks building to convert it to an administrative building. Despite the division engineer's representations, you must still analyze the project to determine how much of the work is "construction" versus "maintenance and/or repair."

Before beginning this analysis, you must determine the kind of operation with which you are involved. Significantly, during combat or contingency operations, as defined in 10 U.S.C. §101(a)(13), the Army may use Operation and Maintenance (O&M) funds for the construction project (acquisition of materials and/or cost of erection of structures)--even if the funded costs exceed \$500,000--if the project meets the following test:

- (1) The construction is clearly intended to meet a temporary operational requirement;
- (2) Forces intend to use such construction to facilitate combat or contingency operations; and
- (3) The structure will not be used for the purpose of satisfying requirements of a permanent nature at the conclusion of combat or contingency operations (i.e., follow-on operations, future exercises). (Be sure to seek guidance from your service headquarters before using O&M funds under these circumstances.)

Memorandum from Mr. Matt Reres, Deputy General Counsel (Ethics & Fiscal), Office of General Counsel, to Assistance Secretary (Financial Management & Comptroller), subject: Construction of Contingency Facility Requirements (22 Feb. 00) (copy attached).

If you are not part of a combat or contingency operation, or if you cannot meet the aforementioned “Combat/Contingency O&M test,” then analyze the various portions of the project as follows:

(1) Replacement of the Roof, Flooring, and Interior Walls.

Facts provided later in the problem (specifically part (2) below) suggest that these components were already in place and have subsequently deteriorated. When the work is designed to restore a real property facility for its designated purpose by replacing parts or materials that have deteriorated by action of the elements or by normal wear and tear, that work is considered "repair," so long as the work is necessary to restore the building to its intended purpose and not merely to facilitate the conversion to an administrative facility. Therefore, the division engineer properly categorized this portion of the work as "repair." Consequently, the command should use O&M funds to perform this portion of the work.

(2) Repair of Other Failing Components of the Building.

Hopefully, the word "failing" should have given you a clue that the same analysis you used in part (1) above applies here as well. Since the facts suggest that this work is also designed to bring the building back to its previous (i.e., nondeteriorated) state, this also is "repair" work that the command should fund with O&M funds.

(3) Installation of New Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Equipment.

This analysis is more difficult than that used in parts (1) and (2) above. Assuming that the equipment being replaced in the building was not in need of repair, (i.e., the heating system was not failing), then the work would be considered "construction" because the new system does not constitute repair by replacement (specifically, improvements to the purpose of the building are alterations, which are a form of construction; see AR 415-15, sec. II, Glossary). By contrast, replacement of a failing heating system with a new HVAC system would constitute repair. If this work is construction, then the funding source depends upon the funded cost of the construction. If the cost is \$500,000 or less (when combined with other construction costs discussed below), then the command may use O&M funds. Otherwise, the command must use minor military construction funds. 10 U.S.C. § 2805 (a), (c).

(4) Construction of New Walls to Accommodate the New Configuration.

The key to analyzing this part of the problem is the phrase "to accommodate the new configuration." Because the command is building the walls in order to change the interior arrangements of the facility to accommodate a new purpose, this work would be considered "conversion," which is a form of construction. AR 415-15, sec. II, Glossary. (It should be noted, however, that not all new walls constitute construction. DA Memorandum, 4 Aug 97, Subject: New Definition of Repair, provides that once a facility is in need of repair, interior rearrangements (except for load-bearing walls) and restorations to allow for effective use of existing space or to meet building code requirements may

be included as repair.) Again, the command must examine the total anticipated cost of all construction work to determine the proper type of funding (see part (3) above).

Bottom Line—if the operation is a combat or contingency operation, and if the project meets the test discussed above, then O&M funds may be used for the entire project without further analysis. If the operation is not a combat or contingency operation, or the project does not pass requisite “temporary operational” O&M test, then use a traditional construction funding analysis. If the division engineer's calculations are correct, he also is correct in concluding O&M funds may be used for the entire project.

b. Fuel for the Farmers.

Normally we cannot provide DoD supplies directly to the local populace. Approval of such a project is above the JTF level. You could request OHDCA funding under 10 U.S.C. § 2551. In the request, include the scheme of maneuver for distributing the fuel to the local populace, the measures to be taken to minimize black marketing and to ensure both factions receive a fair share of the fuel. If approved, you could use the funding to purchase the fuel and deliver it to the temporary “gas stations.” (Note: Pursuant to Specific approval from OSD, Task Force Falcon provided up to \$50K in diesel fuel to farmers in Kosovo in the fall of 1999.)

c. To Build or To Buy--That is the Question.

As stated above, during declared contingency operations, or humanitarian or peacekeeping operations, the simplified acquisition threshold increases to \$200,000. If the G-4's cost estimate is accurate, then contracting officers could acquire the materials using simplified acquisition procedures (i.e., a purchase order or using a government credit card). However, there may be an issue concerning proper funds.

In addition to the contracting issue noted above, there is a fiscal law issue with the G-4's preferred course of action of purchasing prefab storage facilities that must be considered. Since the prefabricated facilities are portable, they would normally be considered "end items" of personal property and **not** real property fixtures. See AR 415-15, App. H-3; AR 420-18, ch. 5; App. B. Therefore, they would be subject to the current investment/expense threshold of \$100,000 per item. See DOD Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8046, 113 Stat. 1212 (1999); see also DOD Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-61, § 8065, 109 Stat. 636 (1995) (first DOD appropriation increasing the investment item unit cost threshold from \$50,000 to \$100,000). Since the estimated cost is \$65,000 per facility, the G-4 could properly use O&M funds to purchase the prefab facilities. Note, however, that purchase of relocatable buildings requires approval from HQDA. AR 420-18, para. 5-3c.

If the G-4 decides not to purchase storage facilities, he must focus on the proper funding for a construction project to build the facilities. Again, if this is a combat or contingency operation and the project meets the "Combat/Contingency O&M" test, the G-4 may use O&M funds. If not, or the project does not pass the test, normal construction funding rules apply. As you know, DOD may generally use O&M funds for construction valued up to \$500,000 per project (except during JCS-directed exercises outside the United States). 10 U.S.C. § 2805. Since the cost of the materials is only \$275,000 and organic engineer assets will construct the facilities, the funded costs should be less than \$500,000 and the unit may use O&M funds.

d. The \$18,000 Blanket Bill.

This is a classic case of someone attempting to accomplish a mission while forgetting one minor detail--authority to contract. Since the supply officer did not have the legal authority to bind the government, the command now has an unauthorized commitment on its hands.

However, the government can ratify the unauthorized commitment and allow the payment under FAR 1.602-3. This provision permits the ratification of an unauthorized commitment if:

- (1) the government has received the goods or services;
- (2) the person authorized to ratify unauthorized commitments (ratifying official) has the authority to contractually obligate the government and had that authority at the time of the unauthorized commitment;
- (3) the resulting contract would otherwise be proper (i.e., not prohibited by law, proper funds, etc.); and
- (4) the price is fair and reasonable.

Agencies may also establish additional ratification requirements. See AFARS 1.602-3-90 (requires written statement from offending individual as to reasons why procurement procedures were not followed and written statement from offending individual's commander or supervisor stating actions taken to prevent recurrence).

The identity of the ratifying official depends upon the value of the unauthorized commitment. For the Army, contracting officers may ratify unauthorized commitments up to \$10,000. For unauthorized commitments between \$10,000 and \$100,000, the Principal Assistant Responsible for Contracting (PARC) may ratify the action (if the HCA delegated such authority). For unauthorized commitments greater than \$100,000, the HCA must ratify the action. Other services have different ratification approval levels. See AFFARS 5301.602-3; NAPS 5201.602-3.

In this case, the appropriate ratifying official is the PARC, because the value of the unauthorized commitment is \$18,000. Therefore, the PARC may ratify the purchase if the procedures described above are followed. If the PARC cannot ratify (for example, due to a lack of funds), then the vendor may pursue an extraordinary contractual action under FAR Part 50 or pursue a claim to the General Accounting Office. 31 U.S.C. § 3702(a); 4 C.F.R. Part 30.

II. Adventures in Haiti.

a. Where's the beef?.

There is no authority to provide a large stock of U.S. Government supplies to a foreign country. While the U.S. did not purchase the meat using U.S. funds, we have taken possession and the meat is now the property of the U.S. government. The JTF may not provide U.S. goods to the Haitians without specific authority. The quantity is too large to fall under the *de minimis* HCA exception. See 10 U.S.C. § 401(c)(4). Since the commander has determined that the force cannot use the meat, he could declare the meat excess. Once the item is declared excess, the commander will have to transfer ownership from the JTF to the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service office in Haiti (DRMO-Haiti). Another U.S. Government agency could then draw the meat from DRMO to use for its purposes. (USAID for instance.) The commander could request permission under 10 U.S.C. § 2547, Excess Nonlethal Supplies for Humanitarian Relief, to provide the meat directly to the people of Haiti (by way of relief organizations). If the SECDEF approves, the commander could provide the meat directly to humanitarian organizations (NGO's/PVO's).

b. Appropriated Funds for MWR Activities.

Transporting soldiers to secure recreational sites is an appropriate use of O&M funds. Generally, appropriated funds are not available for "entertainment" unless Congress provides a specific statutory exception. The GAO has recognized exceptions to this principle, however, for provision of morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR) to government employees located at remote sites. GAO/OGC, Principles of Fed. Appropriations Law, 2d ed., Vol. I, p. 4-104. Additionally, DOD has permanent statutory authority to use O&M funds for "welfare and recreation." 10 U. S.C. § 2241. In accordance with Army Regulation, MWR activities that promote the physical and mental well being of soldiers, including mobile recreation center programs, are authorized full appropriated fund support.

c. Can We Equip DOJ?

When confronting an issue concerning the provision of supplies or services to another agency, the first question one should ask is, "What is DOD's authority to do this?" Without statutory authority, provision of support to another agency will generally constitute an augmentation of appropriations.

[Note that 10 U.S.C. § 2571 provides specific statutory authority to provide supplies and services to other DOD components without reimbursement.]

One authority available in this case is the President's drawdown authority under 22 U.S.C § 2318(a)(1). Under this authority, if the President determines and notifies Congress, in advance, that it is in the United States' national interest, he may authorize the drawdown of defense articles or services from DOD stocks for unforeseen emergencies requiring immediate military assistance to a foreign country or international organization. The aggregate value of such supplies or services may not exceed \$100 million per fiscal year. There is no requirement for reimbursement. However, before DOD can use this authority, or any other authority permitting the transfer of defense articles or services from DOD stocks to another nation or an international organization, it must notify Congress 15 days in advance of the transfer. See Pub. L. No. 106-79, § 8074 (1999). This notification requirement applies to any international peacekeeping, peace-enforcement, or humanitarian assistance operation.

In the absence of any other authority, the Economy Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1535, provides general authority for agencies to transfer goods and services to other agencies. Under the Economy Act, full reimbursement to the providing agency is required, including indirect costs incurred in providing the service or supplies.

Although 10 U.S.C. § 372 allows the SECDEF to provide military equipment and facilities to federal, state, or local civilian law enforcement agencies (as an exception to the Posse Comitatus Act), this authority is not independent of the Economy Act, because 10 U.S.C. § 377 specifically requires reimbursement to the extent otherwise required under the Economy Act. The only exception to the requirement for reimbursement is if the support is provided in the normal course of military training or operations or results in a benefit to DOD substantially equivalent to that which would otherwise be obtained from military operations or training. Id.

d. The Morgue: Can we provide body bag liners and build the door?

There are two separate issues contained in this problem, (1) can we provide the body bag liners, and (2) can we build a door to the morgue? We must analyze each issue separately.

1. Body Bag Liners: The critical issue here is the reason for providing the body bag liners to the Haitian government. The medical folks are concerned about the physical and mental health of the personnel working at the university hospital. Document the concerns of the Doctors, and the combat stress team, and use a force protection/soldier health & safety argument. If the primary benefit is for the U.S. personnel and not the Haitian authorities, the force protection rationale may be appropriate.

If the primary reason is to protect the physical and mental well being of the U.S. personnel, then the JTF may use O&M funds to purchase the liners and provide them to the Haitian authorities. You could argue that this is a *de minimis* HCA issue. While the small dollar value item (\$712.00 for the

body bag liners), seems to indicate the requirement may fall into the *de minimis* HCA arena, the more appropriate justification is the protection of the U.S. Force.

2. Morgue Door: This does appear to be a question of *de minimis* HCA. See 10 U.S.C. § 401(c)(4). Our engineers are already on-site. They have the equipment and materials to build the door. We can reasonable anticipate small dollar value item (likely less than hundred dollars worth of materials) and several hours worth of labor. For *de minimis* HCA projects, keep in mind a standard of a few dollars, a few hours, and a few soldiers is usually a good rule of thumb.

III. Adventures in Washington, D.C.

General Sheehan's memorandum essentially requests approval of two projects: roadway repairs and repair of the City of Soleil water supply system. We will address each in turn.

Whether DOD can use its regular appropriations (i.e., O&M or construction) for road repairs depends on the primary purpose for the repairs. General Sheehan notes that two of the roadways are major military supply routes in Haiti for United States and United Nations forces. However, the funding analysis for this type of project must take into account the status of the operation. If, at the time General Sheehan proposed the project, the repairs were needed to ensure the ability to supply and reinforce US forces facing potential hostilities, or to support a temporary contingency operation, then the use of DOD funds for the project might be appropriate (i.e., the project might pass the "Combat/Contingency O&M" test). However, when General Sheehan made his request, the situation in Haiti was stable and not truly temporary. In fact, DOD had begun the initial phases of passing control to the follow-on UN operation. Thus, it does not appear that one can make a valid argument in support of completely using DOD O&M appropriations.

The water supply project clearly benefits the Haitians as opposed to United States forces. Therefore, DOD's regular appropriations would not be available for this project either.

Although DOD O&M or construction funds are not available, DOD might still be able to fund the projects. DOD has statutory authority under 10 U.S.C. § 401 to use specifically earmarked O&M funds for humanitarian and civic assistance (HCA) projects. This statute authorizes the provision of HCA if it will promote:

- (1) the security interests of the United States and the country in which the HCA will be carried out; and
- (2) the specific operational readiness skills of the members of the armed forces participating in the HCA.

The statute defines "humanitarian and civic assistance" as:

- (1) medical, dental, and veterinary care provided in rural areas of a country;
- (2) construction of rudimentary surface transportation systems;
- (3) well drilling and construction of basic sanitation facilities;
- (4) rudimentary construction and repair of public facilities; and
- (5) education, training, technical assistance, and related activities for landmine detection and clearance.

Additional guidance on the provision of HCA can be found in DOD Directive 2205.2, Humanitarian and Civic Assistance (HCA) Provided in Conjunction with Military Operations, and DOD Instruction 2205.3, Implementing Procedures for the Humanitarian and Civic Assistance (HCA) Program. Included with this seminar answer is the 12 May 1995 memorandum from the Office of the Legal Counsel to the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, Subject: Scope of Permissible Road Construction Under Humanitarian and Civic Assistance (HCA). This memorandum considered whether 10 U.S.C. § 401 authorized the use of DOD funds for the projects requested by General Sheehan; i.e., whether these projects fell within the scope of HCA authorized by the statute. The memorandum concluded they did not. This conclusion was based, in both cases, on the fact that the projects exceeded the "rudimentary" restriction imposed by the statute. Note that the memorandum points out that other funding sources, such as an Economy Act transfer from the State Department or Foreign Assistance Act funds from the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), may be available for these projects. In addition, the "other humanitarian purposes worldwide" authority in 10 U.S.C. § 2551 could be used for these efforts, assuming there were sufficient funds remaining in the OHDACA appropriation. See the discussion in part II.a, supra.