

AD _____

Award Number: DAMD17-98-1-8580

TITLE: Chemoprevention Trial of Selenium and Prostate Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: James R. Marshall, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85716

REPORT DATE: May 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20010724 040

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGEForm Approved
OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)**2. REPORT DATE**
May 2001**3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED**
Annual (1 Oct 99 - 30 Apr 01)**4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE**

Chemoprevention Trial of Selenium and Prostate Cancer

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

DAMD17-98-1-8580

6. AUTHOR(S)

James R. Marshall, Ph.D.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85716**E-MAIL:**

jrmmarshall@azcc.arizona.edu

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER**9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012**10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER****11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES****12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE**13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)**

The principal purpose of this trial is to assess the potential for the essential nutrient **selenium** (Se) to modulate biomarkers of prostate cancer between initial diagnostic biopsy and radical prostatectomy. The scope of work is to randomize at least 110 participants to either a placebo or Se dosages of 200 µg, or 400 µg/day. Recruitment is continuing as a result of a one-year no-cost extension. A total of 46 subjects have been randomized. Of these, 43 have completed the study, 2 dropped before completing the study, and one is in the process of completing the study.

14. SUBJECT TERMS

Prostate Cancer

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

8

16. PRICE CODE**17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT**

Unclassified

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Unclassified

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT

Unclassified

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Unlimited

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18
298-102

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

N/A In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

N/A In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

N/A In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

N/A In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.


PI - Signature

5/24/01
Date

Table of Contents

Front Cover	1
Standard Form (SF) 298, Report document Page.....	2
Foreword	3
Table of Contents.....	4
Introduction	5
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	7
Reportable Outcomes	8
Conclusions.....	8
References.....	8

INTRODUCTION

The principal purpose of this trial is to assess the potential for the essential nutrient selenium (Se) to modulate biomarkers of prostate cancer. The rationale for this trial is based on the results of the Nutritional Prevention of Cancer (NPC) Trial. In that study, a double-blind, randomized clinical trial, a 63% reduction in prostate cancer incidence was observed during the initial 10 years of follow-up in participants receiving 200 µg of Se compared to those receiving a placebo (JAMA 276:1957-63 (1996)). **Objective:** The primary endpoint for this trial consists of biomarkers of tissue changes between initial diagnostic biopsy and radical prostatectomy. **Relevance:** This study has the potential to provide direct evidence for the activity of selenium in prostate tissue. **Methods:** A study population of prostate cancer subjects scheduled for prostatectomy was selected so that prostate tissue can be examined for biomarker changes before and after supplementation with selenium. This trial will randomize participants to either a placebo or one of two Se dosages: 200 µg, or 400 µg/day. The trial will randomize at least 110 patients, in order to have 80% power to detect an effect size of 0.66 standard deviations. **Progress:** A total of 46 subjects have been randomized. Of these, 43 have completed the study, 2 dropped before completing the study, and one is in the process of completing the study.

PROGRESS

Task 1: Training and Preparation for Trial (Months - Ongoing)

- A database has been created for this study and staff at the Tucson Coordinating Center (TCC) have been trained in its use. Routine reports are available to assist staff in tracking subjects from initial referral through randomization.
- Staff at TCC and study sites have been trained to explain the study requirements to subjects and to inquire about adverse effects. TCC laboratory staff have established routines to ensure that the proper blood kits for the various tests performed after each visit are used.
- Randomization codes have been prepared and appropriate staff have been blinded to blood tests results that might reveal the subject's treatment.
- Pills are dispensed according to randomization codes by staff blinded to treatment status.
- An "Initial Questionnaire", "Follow-up Study Visit" questionnaire, and "Urological Symptoms Questionnaire" have been developed. A food frequency questionnaire developed by the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center in 1992 is also being administered to study subjects.
- All appropriate laboratory materials to obtain, handle, store, and prepare blood and tissue samples for analyses have been obtained.
- Training has been ongoing as new sites are added to the study.

Task 2: Subject Recruitment, Enrollment (Months 3-34)

Recruitment for this study has been slow despite frequent contact with physician offices. It now appears that participating physicians overestimated the number of eligible patients they can provide. In addition, we initially imposed a recruitment requirement for frozen tissue that led many urologists to withdraw from the study. Since we eliminated this requirement, we have been able to reestablish participation from many of the urologists who originally agreed to refer patients. Still, actual referrals have been far lower than original estimates. Further, only 55 percent of those referred by physician offices have been randomized. Factors which have contributed to the slow pace of recruitment include:

- *Time for Patient Recruitment.* The window of opportunity for enrolling subjects to this study – the three to six week period between diagnosis and surgery – limits the type of

recruitment methods available. These subjects must be identified as soon as possible after diagnosis during a time when they are struggling with the emotional impact of their diagnosis. Advertisements and health fairs, which have yielded some subjects for our other selenium and prostate cancer studies, have been ineffective for this study.

- Inadequate Number of Referring Physicians. During the early stages of the study, the primary focus was on Tucson urologists. In fact, Dr. Bruce Dalkin, the Co-Principal Investigator, has been the greatest source of subjects for this study. During the last year, urologists at remote sites, especially Dr. Martha Terris at the Palo Alto VA, have made significant contributions to this study. We have recruited additional remote sites including:
 - Urological Associates of Central California, a group practice that performs about 1,000 biopsies annually,
 - Drs. Johann Berkel and Dennis Venable at LSU,
 - Dr. Graham Greene at the Arkansas Cancer Research Center, and
 - Dr. Anthony Smith at the University of New Mexico.

In addition, the University of Arizona Cancer Center has recently established a clinic in Scottsdale overseen by Dr. Michael Gordon and we are hopeful that this will allow us to recruit subjects from the entire Phoenix area.

Some of these sites are still in the process of acquiring IRB approval and all of them will require approval by the Army.

- Protocol Changes. Protocol changes were made in March and July 2000. The first change eliminated the requirement for frozen tissue samples and appears to have had a positive effect on recruitment. The protocol changes made in July 2000 significantly slowed the rate of physician referrals due to delays in securing IRB approval for these complex changes. These changes eliminated the follow-up portion of this study and made the changes in tissue biomarkers the primary endpoint.

Of the 46 randomized subjects, there are 2 Hispanics and one Asian. However, LSU serves a largely minority population and our discussions with the Arkansas Cancer Research Center lead us to hope for better recruitment from the African-American population there.

Task 3: Baseline Data Collection (Months 3-34)

At time of enrollment, all participants are presented with a standard set of questionnaires and forms. This set includes an informed consent form, and a baseline questionnaire that asks detailed information about previous and current illnesses, medications (including OTC and herbal supplements or vitamins), family history of cancer, and lifestyle. In addition, dietary information is gathered using a well validated Food Frequency Questionnaire. The TCC collects biopsy tissue, medical records, a registration form, and a blood sample. The following table summarizes data collected to-date:

Data Type	Baseline	Follow-up
Baseline questionnaire	43	--
Follow-up Questionnaire	--	51
FFQ	41	--
Registration Form	46	--
Blood sample	46	34
Urological Symptoms Questionnaire	20*	27*
Pathology Reports	39	28
Tissue sample	16	28

*Discontinued under revised protocol

Task 4: Randomization (Months 4-34)

There is no run-in period for this study. Subjects are randomized at the time of enrollment. Due to the short time subjects are required to participate in the study, randomization will continue throughout the study period.

Task 5: Follow-Up (Months 4-36)

Although the original statement of work calls for selenium supplementation and follow-up through the end of the grant period, we have limited supplementation and follow-up to the completion of prostate surgery in accordance with the revised study objectives. Under the revised study design, participants have their blood drawn and complete a follow-up questionnaire just prior to their prostate surgery. The follow-up questionnaire is designed to document pill compliance and possible adverse events.

Task 6: Laboratory Analyses (Months 3-30)

The following table describes the schedule for blood collection and analyses.

	Initial	Pre-Surgery
CMP	X	
Selenium	X	X
Lycopene	X	
Alpha Tocopherol (Vitamin E)	X	
Other Carotenoids	X	

We have 104 selenium blood level reports and CMPs for 46 randomized subjects.

We have begun immunohistochemical tissue analysis, evaluating the first 8 baseline biopsy samples for MIB-I, Bcl-2, p53

Task 7: Data Entry (Months 3-36)

All forms, questionnaires, and laboratory results are being entered into the database by the trained coordinators and laboratory assistants as they are received. Data are checked semi-annually during Quality Control reviews.

Task 8: Data Analyses and Report Writing (Months 28-36)

During the last months of the funding period, analyses of the collected data will be completed and reports and manuscripts for publication will be prepared and submitted.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Tissue samples for both initial biopsy and prostatectomy have been obtained for 60 percent of all randomized subjects. Analyses are being performed on both frozen and paraffin-embedded samples when both are available. This will enable us to analyze the comparability of assays performed with different forms of the same tissue for this and future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

This innovative Phase II clinical trial, the *Chemoprevention Trial of Selenium and Prostate Cancer*, will provide new important information on biological endpoints in a population of ethnically diverse men with localized PCa prior to the initiation of other therapy. The effect of selenium supplementation on study participants who have undergone surgical resection of the prostate will provide insight into the possible effect of selenium supplementation on biomarkers for PCa and potential mechanisms of Se action. This research, critical in establishing the biological plausibility of selenium as a preventive agent for prostate cancer, can provide direct evidence for the effects of selenium on prostate tissue by examining this tissue before and after selenium supplementation.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

We have insufficient data at this time to report any preliminary outcomes with any confidence. We are re-evaluating our use of Bcl-2, and are inclined not to use it further. Although it may well predict PCa susceptibility, its expression is so uncommon in tumor tissue as to limit its usefulness in this research. In addition, we are continually re-evaluating the best assays to perform for this study based on the most recent scientific evidence and may very well substitute assays not initially envisioned, for example, cyclooxygenase-2. Since we submitted the original version of this proposal, additional research has focused on the importance of the cyclooxygenase-2 system in prostate cancer. One of our collaborators-Dr. Koki- has shown a substantial increase in cyclooxygenase-2 expression in prostate cancer. It is also known that Cyclooxygenase-2 is associated with decreased apoptosis, with increased proliferation, and with increased angiogenesis. In experiments in our laboratories, we have shown that in cell culture selenomethionine is associated with decreased cell growth, decreased cox-2 protein in RNA, and decreased PGE-2 expression. There is additional external evidence that selenium compounds decrease angiogenesis in vivo – these results coming from a breast cancer mouse model. These stated together lead us to strongly suspect that Cyclooxygenase-2 expression could be highly relevant to the impact of selenium on the risk of prostate cancer.

REFERENCES

1. Clark LC, Combs GF Jr, Turnbull BW, et al. Effects of selenium supplementation for cancer prevention in patients with carcinoma of the skin. A randomized controlled trial. Nutritional Prevention of Cancer Study Group [see comments] [published erratum appears in JAMA 1997 May 21; 277(19):1520]. *JAMA*. 1996; 276:1957-63. COMMENTS: Comment in: *JAMA* 1996 Dec 25; 276(24):1984-5, Comment in: *JAMA* 1997 Mar 19;277(11):880; discussion 881, Comment in: *JAMA* 1997 Mar 19;277(11):880-1; discussion 881.