

# “Application of Optic Flow to Missiles”

by

**Captain Ryan Pendleton**

Air Force Research Laboratory, Munitions Directorate  
Eglin AFB, FL

for the

**Precision Guidance of Small Diameter Missiles Workshop**

(Including High Accuracy Non-GPS Guidance)

Bob Jones Auditorium, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

25 April 2001

**APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE**

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No.  
0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 25-04-2001	2. REPORT TYPE Workshop Papers and Presentations	3. DATES COVERED (FROM - TO) 25-04-2001 to 26-04-2001
---	---	--

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Application of Optic Flow to Missiles Unclassified	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S) Pendleton, Ryan ;	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Research Laboratory Munitions Directorate Eglin AFB, FLxxxxx	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
---	--

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command ATTN: AMSAM-RD-MG Redstone Arsenal, AL35898-5000	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  
A PUBLIC RELEASE

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  
See Also ADM201318, Workshop on Precision Guidance of Small Diameter Missiles, April 25 & 26, 2001, held at Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

14. ABSTRACT  
? Background - Why investigate optic flow? ? Optic Flow (OF) definition ? Review of current OF efforts ? Specific challenges for missile application ? Goals for AFRL/MN effort

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 16	19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Fenster, Lynn lfenster@dtic.mil
---------------------------------	--	---------------------------	--

a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER International Area Code Area Code Telephone Number 703767-9007 DSN 427-9007
---------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	--

# Overview

- Background - Why investigate optic flow?
- Optic Flow (OF) definition
- Review of current OF efforts
- Specific challenges for missile application
- Goals for AFRL/MN effort

# Background

Modern smart munitions typically have two sensors used for guidance:

- IMU (Inertial Measurement Unit)
- Seeker

To make these affordable while still meeting required levels of performance, some tricks are used e.g. low quality IMU can perform adequately if INS solution uncertainty can be bounded. One way to do this is by coupling INS with GPS receiver. However, we are now looking to other sensors to accomplish this same goal.

# Why investigate Optic Flow?

- Growing need to mitigate effects of GPS jamming
- OF could be used to bound errors on INS
- OF could be used for target detection
- Part of a larger program (FUSN) seeking to achieve Full Use of Sensors in Navigation

# FUSN

(Full Use of Sensors in Navigation)


Improving performance by **fully integrating all components** (seekers, airframe, propulsion, autopilot design, fuzing, warhead, etc.) and **fully exploiting all information** obtained by each.

## Typical Component Use

**Navigation:**  
INS, GPS

**Guidance:**  
INS, GPS, Seeker

**Control:**  
INS, Airframe



## FUSN Integration

**Navigation:**  
INS, GPS, Seeker,  
Airframe

**Guidance:**  
INS, GPS, Seeker,  
Propulsion, Airframe,  
Fuzing

**Control:**  
INS, Airframe, Seeker

# Defining Optic Flow

a.k.a. structure from motion, visual flow, kinetic depth

What is optic flow?


What is egomotion?

Required assumptions

How can we use optic flow to augment guidance and autopilot functions?

“Opening the eyes of the weapon.” The 2 dimensional flow field generated by calculating the velocity field properties (gradient, curl, divergence) of objects/features moving across an image plane.

Egomotion is the motion of the observer (camera). It can be extracted from optic flow data when the appropriate conditions & assumptions are satisfied.



# OPTIC FLOW

## RELATIVE MOTION FROM THE SEEKER








Figure 3-55. Gibson's example of flow induced by motion. The arrows represent angular velocities, which are zero directly ahead and behind. (Reprinted from J. J. Gibson, *The Senses Considered as Perceptual Systems*, Houghton Mifflin, Boston, 1966, fig. 9.3. Copyright © 1966 Houghton Mifflin Company. Used by permission.)

# Current Optic Flow Technology





- There are many algorithms available to calculate OF yet very few to turn OF into egomotion
- Roboticians usually solve a 2D egomotion problem... we need the full 3D solution
- Most algorithms use a single forward looking sensor
- Very few demonstrations of useful OF in aircraft/missile applications

# Specific Challenges for Missile Application

- Need basic research to address:
  - Optimal number of sensors
  - Optimal look directions of sensors
  - Optimal resolution, sampling rate, field of view, wavelength, etc.
- Issues associated with:
  - Use of OF data within the GNC loops
  - Closed loop stability... what are the requirements on the stability of the OF and egomotion solutions?
  - Frame rates
  - Nonlinearities



# Translation and Rotation Can Be Indistinguishable for Sufficiently Small Local Fields




# OPTIC FLOW

## CURRENT SEEKER OPTIONS

TECHNOLOGY	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LADAR (SCANNING)</li> <li>• FLASH LADAR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HIGH SPATIAL RES, 3 D</li> <li>• HIGH SPATIAL RES, 3 D</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WEATHER, SCAN TIME</li> <li>• WEATHER PENETRATION</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PASSIVE MMW IMAGING</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WEATHER PENETRATION</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LOW SPATIAL RESOLUTION, SCAN TIME,COST</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SAR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WEATHER PENETRATION</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RELATIVELY LOW SPATIAL RESOLUTION, REQUIRED PROCESING LOAD, SCAN TIME, COST</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IMAGING IR</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HIGH SPATIAL RESOLUTION, MULTISPECTRAL</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WEATHER PENETRATION</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• VISIBLE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HIGH SPATIAL RESOL, COTS, LOW COST, MULTISPECTRAL</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WEATHER PENETRATION, ONLY WORKS IN DAYTIME</li> </ul>

# Goals for the AFRL/MN Research

- Build a testbed to address specific challenges
  - Simulation, Hardware-in-the-loop, flight vehicle
- What is the best way to use the data?
  - Benefit for GPS anti-jam?
  - Lower cost IMUs?
  - Targets in clutter?



## Goals for the AFRL/MN Research

- Build a testbed to address specific challenges
  - Simulation, Hardware-in-the-loop, flight vehicle
- What is the best way to use the data?
- Also seeking to demo current “best capability”
  - Applied Research...where are the existing bottlenecks?




# Optic Flow Initiative AFRL/MNG

Engineering

Bio-neuro

Bio-behavior

Support



# Goals for the AFRL/MN Research

- Build a testbed to address specific challenges
  - Simulation, Hardware-in-the-loop, flight vehicle
- What is the best way to use the data?
- Also seeking to demo current “best capability”
  - Applied Research...where are the existing bottlenecks?
- Investigate cooperative benefits of combining MEMS IMUs with near term OF rotational data i.e. MEMS IMUs have a weakness that could be compensated by OF

*Current Focus: Looking for technology to mitigate effects of GPS jamming and increase the overall robustness of the GNC system.*



Questions?