

AD

AD-E402 950

Contractor Report ARWEC-CR-02002

**ANALYSIS OF SGF2 SMOKE POT OIL AND
RECOMMENDATION FOR REUSE**

Thomas P. DeAngelis
Geo-Centers, Inc.
7 Wells Avenue
Newton Center, MA 02159
New Jersey Operations

Patrick Lai
Project Engineers
ARDEC

March 2002



**U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND
ENGINEERING CENTER**

Warheads, Energetics & Combat-support Armament Center

Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

20020517 145

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy this report when no longer needed by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document. Do not return to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-01-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) March 2002		2. REPORT TYPE Contract Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE ANALYSIS OF SGF2 SMOKE POT OIL AND RECOMMENDATION FOR REUSE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER DAAE30-00-D-1009	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHORS Thomas P. DeAngelis, Geo-Centers Patrick Lai, ARDEC				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Geo-Centers, Inc. ARDEC, WECAC 7 Wells Avenue Armament Systems Process Division Newton Center, MA 02159 (AMSTA-AR-WEA) New Jersey Operations Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER GC-PR-3408-029	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) ARDEC, WECAC Information Research Center (AMSTA-AR-WEL-T) Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TECOM-ARDEC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Contract Report ARWEC-CR-02002	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT As part of the Army's on-going effort to implement resource recovery and reuse (R ³) during the demilitarization of obsolete and unserviceable conventional ammunition, an effort was undertaken to characterize and recommend uses for SGF2 oil currently in storage at various locations, either in smoke pot munitions or in separate containers. Samples of oil were extracted from smoke pot munitions and containers stored at three Army Depots. The oil was then subjected to a battery of tests in order to characterize it, determine its type and quality, and, based on this information, ascertain potential uses for it as a recycled material. Twenty-three oil samples were obtained, which formed the basis for this study: 22 from various smoke pot munitions and one from a 55-gal drum of bulk material. Tests performed included density, kinematic viscosity, percentage water, water and sediment, heat of combustion, distillation range, flash point, trace metals, and ash. The oil was found to be highly refined, clean, and consistent, and similar to Number 4 boiler oil in weight and other physical properties, although it was recommended that the oil be filtered to remove water and sediment prior to reuse. Removal of the oil from the larger of the two smoke pot munition types sampled (AN-M7) and the 55-gal drum was easy. Removal of the oil from the smaller grenade-like munition (M6) was extremely difficult. A cost effective, efficient process for removal of oil from each munition/container type must be developed.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS SGF2 oil, AN-M7 smoke pot munition, M6 smoke post munition, Demilitarization, Recycle, Reuse					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Patrick Lai
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	SAR	20	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) (973) 724-6511

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work documented in this report was accomplished under the U.S. Army Demilitarization Technology Research and Development Program for Conventional Ammunition, which is coordinated and integrated through the U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center. The authors wish to thank Mr. James Q. Wheeler for providing the necessary funding and resources to conduct the characterization and evaluation study.

The authors acknowledge the technical expertise provided by various personnel from Sierra Army Depot, Hawthorne Army Depot and Crane Army Ammunition Activity.

The authors also acknowledge the technical expertise provided by Diana-Lynn Herbst at U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM)-U.S. Army Armament Research Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey and her team who collected the samples from the different sites for characterization.

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Project Scope	1
Plan	1
Field Sampling Results and Observations	2
Sample Selection	2
Sample	3
Physical Description of Oil	4
Analytical Results	5
Discussion	8
Conclusions and Recommendations	9
Distribution List	15

FIGURES

1	Drawing of AN-M7 munition	11
2	Drawing of M6 munition	12
3	Photographs of smoke pot munitions/containers sampled	13
4	Photograph of AN-M7 munition being siphoned	14
5	Photograph of inner container removed from M6 munition	14

TABLES

1	Sample selection	3
2	Physical description of oil samples	5
3	Viscosity, water, sediment, heat of combustion, flash point	6
4	Distillation range	7
5	Trace metals and ash	8

INTRODUCTION

The Army has the primary responsibility to demilitarize obsolete and unserviceable conventional munitions and energetic items currently in storage. Traditional methods of demilitarization include disassembly with simple recovery of materials, open burning (OB), open detonation, (OD) and conventional incineration. At present, these methods are still in use and account for most of the demilitarization of conventional ammunition that is accomplished. However, in the immediate future, because of environmental concerns, OB/OD may no longer be a viable option and conventional incineration, while available, will be constrained by permitting problems, limited applicability, and the fact that incineration ash is a toxic waste that must be buried in a hazardous landfill. In order to insure the continued ability to reduce the existing obsolete munitions stockpile, respond to future stockpile generation, and maximize resource recovery and reuse (CR³), new, safe, cost effective, environmentally sound technologies are required. The recycling and reuse of obsolete and unserviceable munitions and energetic items allows for a more environmentally friendly method of demilitarizing these items, while at the same time identifying products that can be produced utilizing components/materials from obsolete munitions.

The Armaments Systems Processing Division (ASPD) at U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM)-U.S. Army Armament Research Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC), Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey has the responsibility for developing demilitarization and recycling processes for DoD munitions. For this effort GEO-CENTERS was tasked under contract DAAE30-00-D-1009, Delivery Order 29, by ASPD to characterize and recommend uses for SGF2 Oil, currently in storage at various locations, either in smoke pot munitions or in separate containers. In order to do this, it was required to characterize the oil in order to determine its type and quality, and then based on this information, ascertain the potential uses for the oil for recycling (e.g. boiler fuel, etc.).

PROJECT SCOPE

The scope of this project is to

- Sample oil from major storage sites for analysis
- Determine the physical and chemical properties of the oil
- Determine the relative consistency of the oil samples from location to location and lot to lot
- Recommend potential uses for the oil based on measured properties

PLAN

It was determined that three storage sites would be visited to collect and photograph a broad range of samples. Due to cost constraints, the sampling could not be "statistical"; however, every attempt was made to acquire a broad range of samples, which would be representative of the entire stockpile. In addition, duplicate samples would be collected at selected sites, as well as samples from identical lots stored at different storage sites.

An analytical testing firm specializing in the analysis and characterization of oil and lubricants would be consulted for guidance on the tests that would properly characterize this oil for potential reuse. The lab is

Analytical Testing Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 61
191 Howard Street
Franklin, PA 16323
Telephone: 814-432-7214
FAX: 814-432-9424

The lab recommended the following tests:

<u>ASTM TEST</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
ASTM D445	Kinematic Viscosity at 40°C
ASTM D2270	Viscosity Index
ASTM D95	Water in Petroleum Products by Distillation
ASTM D96	Water and Sediment in Crude Oil By Centrifuge Method
ASTM D240	Heat of Combustion of Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels by Bomb Calorimeter
ASTM D93	Flash-Point by Pensky-Martens Closed Cup Tester, PM
ASTM D86	Atmospheric Distillation of Petroleum Products
ASTM D5185	Elemental Analysis by ICP
ASTM D189	Conradson Carbon Residue
ASTM D482	Ash Content

Those tests highlighted in **bold - blue** were considered essential.

Not all tests would be done on all samples. A list of the testing performed and the rationale for the samples selected is given in the next section.

After testing, the data would be collected and analyzed. Of particular interest is the quality of the oil and its consistency from lot to lot. The testing lab would be consulted to help evaluate the type and quality of the oil and recommend potential uses based on the results obtained.

FIELD SAMPLING RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Sample Selection

Personnel from TACOM-ARDEC searched the database of smoke pot oil munitions lots in the Demil account. Lots for sampling were chosen based on the quantity of oil available, the storage site of the oil, the munition (i.e., the container in which the oil was stored), and whether duplicate samples were available. Three storage sites were chosen for sampling as follows: Hawthorne Army Depot (HWAD), Crane Army Ammunition Activity (Crane), and Sierra Army Depot (SIAD). To insure

that sample integrity would be assured, sampling was done by the author (GEO-CENTERS) and Diana-Lynn Herbst (TACOM-ARDEC). A list of the lot numbers and locations for samples taken is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sample selection

Test Lab ID	Sample Number	Sample Site	Container *	Comments
8217	EAXO-85481-1-31-2	HWAD	Large	
8218	GL-3-HAW-63	HWAD	Large	Dupl. at different site
8219	EAXO-35481-56-8-6-53	HWAD	Large	
8220	EA-35481-40-9	HWAD	Large	
8221	EAXO-35481-48-13-6-53	HWAD	Large	
8222	EA-55600-311-7-3 /55	HWAD	Large	
8223	EA-1-14	HWAD	Large	
8224	EA-1-15	HWAD	Large	
8225	EA-1-16	HWAD	Large	
8226	EA-1-17	HWAD	Large	
8227	EA-1-13	HWAD	Large	Dupl. at different site
8228	EA-1-13	SIAD	Large	Dupl. at different site
8229	EA-55600-184-3	SIAD	Large	
8230	BATCH 3053 55 GAL	SIAD	Large	55 Gallon drum
8231	OPI-1-4	SIAD	Small	Small containers
8232	OPI-1-5	SIAD	Small	Small containers
8233	2011-41-15	CRANE	Small	Small containers
8234	2012-81-1009	CRANE	Small	Small containers
8235	GL-3-HAW-63 #1	CRANE	Large	Duplicate at same site
8236	GL-3-HAW-63 #2	CRANE	Large	Duplicate at same site
8237	GL-4-HAW-63 #1	CRANE	Large	Duplicate at same site
8238	GL-4-HAW-63 #2	CRANE	Large	Duplicate at same site
8239	Mix 1 (from Large)			

* Large = Floating Smoke Pot, SGF2, AN-M7; Small = Smoke Pot Oil, SGF2, M6

Samples were taken from three sites. Note that duplicate samples of the same lot were taken at Crane. Also, this same lot was sampled at Hawthorne (GL-3-HAW-63). In addition, EA-1-13 was sampled at Hawthorne and Sierra.

Sampling

Most of the samples were taken from smoke pots as described in SW050-AB-MMA-010 (Pot, Smoke, Floating, SGF2, AN-M7). In the tables, this munition (AN-M7) is described as a "large". A few of the samples were taken from smaller, "grenade like" munitions (Smoke Pot, Oil, SGF2, M6). These (M6) are referred to herein as "small". One sample was taken from a 55-gallon drum (designated Batch 3053 55 gal).

The AN-M7 smoke pot munition is about 12 inches in diameter and 13 inches high. There is a sealed fuel chamber crimped to the bottom of the pot. The fuel chamber (containing ammonium nitrate) is completely surrounded by oil. Burning of the fuel provides heat to vaporize the oil. A fuze embedded in the top of the fuel block is used to ignite the starter mixture. Ventholes in the top of the pot provide outlets for the smoke. The AN-M7 Smoke Pot Munition contains approximately 13.5 Lbs of SGF2 oil. Figure 1 shows a drawing of the AN-M7.

The M6 munition is grenade like. It is about 2.5 inches in diameter and 6 inches high. A tube runs down the center of the munition, which contains the fuel. This tube is surrounded by oil. A spring-loaded fuze ignites the fuel, which provides heat to vaporize the oil. The smoke exits through two holes in the top of the munition. These holes are sealed by pressure sensitive tape. Internal pressure dislodges the tape on ignition. The M6 Smoke Pot Munition contains approximately 110 grams of SGF2 oil. Figure 2 shows a drawing of the M6.

Photographs of the munitions sampled are shown in Figure 3.

Sampling of the large containers was relatively easy. The pots that were sampled, and other large pots, which were observed, were found to be in good condition. The pot had a removable port on its side. The pot was placed on its side with the port facing up. The plug in the port was unscrewed and about 1 liter of oil siphoned from the pot. See Figure 4. The plug was replaced. A production process for draining all the oil from the pot could be as simple as (1) placing the pot on its side, (2) punching a hole on the topside of the drum, and (3) punching another hole on the bottom side of the drum from which the oil is drained. This would leave the ammonium nitrate fuel block in the center of the pot and the fuse in the top of the pot undisturbed.

Sampling of the 55 gal drum was equally uncomplicated. The plug in the top of the drum was unscrewed and oil siphoned from the drum.

The small "grenade like" munitions were generally found to be in poor condition, showing large sections of rust. Sampling of the small containers was extremely difficult. First, the fuse was removed from the top of the case. The "grenade" actually is a metal container within another metal container. The outside metal container is tightly attached to the inner container, which contains the oil and the ammonium nitrate. The outer metal container was "peeled" away with much effort. This exposed the inner container. See Figure 5. The drain plug was removed and a small hole punched in the other side of the container and the oil drained into the sample vial. Removal of the fuse often left residual black powder in the hole, which contaminated the oil when poured into the vial. Not much oil is found in these containers.

In a production Demil process, all of the recoverable oil would likely be mixed and filtered into large batches or lots. To simulate this, a mixture of all of the lots sampled was made and designated Mix 1.

Physical Description of Oil

A physical description of each oil sample is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Physical description of oil samples

Test Lab ID	Sample Number	Sample Site	Physical Description
8217	EAXO-85481-1-31-2	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8218	GL-3-HAW-63	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8219	EAXO-35481-56-8-6-53	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8220	EA-35481-40-9	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8221	EAXO-35481-48-13-6-53	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8222	EA-55600-311-7-3 /55	HWAD	Golden Yellow
8223	EA-1-14	HWAD	Light Brown
8224	EA-1-15	HWAD	Light Brown
8225	EA-1-16	HWAD	Light Brown
8226	EA-1-17	HWAD	Light Brown
8227	EA-1-13	HWAD	Caramel
8228	EA-1-13	SIAD	Caramel
8229	EA-55600-184-3	SIAD	Light Brown
8230	BATCH 3053 55 GAL	SIAD	Golden Yellow
8231	OPI-1-4	SIAD	Yellow, Black Specs
8232	OPI-1-5	SIAD	Yellow
8233	2011-41-15	CRANE	Yellow
8234	2012-81-1009	CRANE	Green, Milky
8235	GL-3-HAW-63 #1	CRANE	Yellow
8236	GL-3-HAW-63 #2	CRANE	Yellow
8237	GL-4-HAW-63 #1	CRANE	Yellow
8238	GL-4-HAW-63 #2	CRANE	Yellow
8239	Mix 1 (from Large)		

Generally, the oil from the large containers ranged from yellow to light brown and was free of sediment and other obvious contaminants. The oil in one of the small containers was light green in color and milky and another sample contained small black flecks.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Tests performed include density, kinematic viscosity, percentage water, water and sediment, heat of combustion, distillation range, flash point, trace metals and ash. Limited number of analyses could be done on samples taken from the small containers due to limited amount of sample available. The data provided by these tests best define the type and quality of the oil.

The density of the oil was determined by pynchnometry and was found to be 0.9061 g/cc with a standard deviation of 0.0071.

Tables 3, 4, and 5 show the results for the other tests performed.

Table 3. Viscosity, Water, Sediment, Heat of Combustion, Flash Point

Test Lab ID	Sample	Kinematic	Viscosity	Water, %	Water &	BTU/lb *	Flash
	Number	Viscosity, F	Index, F		Sediment		Point, C
8217	EAXO-85481-1-31-2	21.07		Nil	0%	20975	
8218	GL-3-HAW-63	19.66		Nil	0%	21755	174
8219	EAXO-35481-56-8-6-53	20.13		Nil			170
8220	EA-35481-40-9	20.33		Nil			172
8221	EAXO-35481-48-13-6-53	19.08		Nil			168
8222	EA-55600-311-7-3/55	20.18		Nil			166
8223	EA-1-14	27.80		Nil	0%	21504	170
8224	EA-1-15	28.86		Nil			168
8225	EA-1-16	22.85		Nil			166
8226	EA-1-17	22.81		Nil			164
8227	EA-1-13	26.11		Nil	0%	21750	174
8228	EA-1-13	24.77		Nil	0%	20627	164
8229	EA-55600-184-3	19.30		Nil			166
8230	BATCH 3053 55 GAL	19.95		Nil	0.1%	20558	168
8231	OPI-1-4			Nil	0%		
8232	OPI-1-5			Nil	0%	18380	184
8233	2011-41-15			Nil	0%	21284	182
8234	2012-81-1009			Nil	0%		178
8235	GL-3-HAW-63 #1	19.73		Nil	0%	21762	174
8236	GL-3-HAW-63 #2	19.87		Nil	0%	21450	168
8237	GL-4-HAW-63 #1	19.77		Nil	0%	21793	178
8238	GL-4-HAW-63 #2						174
8239	Mix 1 (from Large)	3.71 / 21.47	15	Nil	0%	21629	

* Heat of Combustion.

Table 4. Distillation Range

Distillation Range												
Test Lab ID	Sample	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%
8217	EAXO-85481-1-31-2	574	607	644	668	689	708	728	750	779	826	867
8218	GL-3-HAW-63	582	609	643	667	688	707	726	747	775	829	880
8219	EAXO-35481-56-8-6-53	562	595	633	661	683	705	727	754	789	841	883
8220	EA-35481-40-9	564	596	635	661	683	705	728	755	789	841	882
8221	EAXO-35481-48-13-6-53	571	600	636	662	683	703	723	744	774	830	877
8222	EA-55600-311-7-3/55	562	595	633	660	682	704	726	753	787	839	879
8223	EA-1-14	552	595	646	682	713	742	772	801	834	877	915
8224	EA-1-15	555.3	598	647.5	682.6	713.7	742.9	771.9	801.3	833.2	873.1	907
8225	EA-1-16	564	600.2	641.5	669.2	692.6	713.7	734.8	757.2	785.2	827.4	864.6
8226	EA-1-17	564	599.8	641.1	339.2	692.3	713.4	734.1	756.5	783.7	825.5	862.4
8227	EA-1-13	553	593.9	641.1	674.6	703.8	731.8	760.7	791.1	824.4	866.9	902.6
8228	EA-1-13	553.8	593.5	638.4	670.7	698.4	725.6	753.8	784.5	818.2	861.6	896.7
8229	EA-55600-184-3	550.4	585.8	625.2	652.3	674.2	695	716.1	740.2	772.3	823	865.8
8230	BATCH 3053 55 GAL	569.3	600.2	633.6	655.3	673.4	690.3	707.6	726.8	749.5	781.5	807.5
8231	OPI-1-4											
8232	OPI-1-5											
8233	2011-41-15											
8234	2012-81-1009											
8235	GL-3-HAW-63 #1	575	601	635.2	659.9	680.3	699.2	718	737.9	765	816	862.4
8236	GL-3-HAW-63 #2	573.1	599.5	633.2	658.4	678.8	698	716.8	736.8	763.8	815.6	862.4
8237	GL-4-HAW-63 #1	573.9	600.2	634.4	659.2	679.6	398.8	717.2	737.5	765	816.3	863.1
8238	GL-4-HAW-63 #2											
8239	Mix 1 (from Large)	563.6	596.9	635.6	662.3	684.9	706.5	727.9	752.6	785.2	833.6	872.8

Table 5. Trace Metals and Ash

Test Lab ID	Sample	D5185 ICP, ppm													D482
		As	B	Ca	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mg	Na	P	Pb	V	Zn	Ash
8217	EAXO-85481-1-31-2	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	2.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
8218	GL-3-HAW-63	<0.1	0.6	<0.1	0.8	<0.1	0.8	1.7	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.4	
8219	EAXO-35481-56-8-6-53														
8220	EA-35481-40-9														
8221	EAXO-35481-48-13-6-53														
8222	EA-55600-311-7-3/55														
8223	EA-1-14	<0.1	0.8	<0.1	2.5	0.1	3.8	4.8	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
8224	EA-1-15														
8225	EA-1-16														
8226	EA-1-17														
8227	EA-1-13	<0.1	1.1	<0.1	2.1	0.1	2.9	5.6	0.3	<0.1	1.9	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
8228	EA-1-13	<0.1	1.3	<0.1	1.4	0.1	0.6	10.9	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.5	
8229	EA-55600-184-3														
8230	BATCH 3053 55 GAL	0.1	1.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
8231	OPI-1-4	<0.1	0.1	26.0	3.0	<0.1	17.8	0.9	0.4	2.4	19.9	30.0	<0.1	6.3	
8232	OPI-1-5	<0.1	0.1	30.8	4.0	<0.1	22.5	5	0.5	0.7	19.2	46.4	<0.1	6.6	
8233	2011-41-15	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	0.8	<0.1	0.5	1.4	0.3	0.1	2.0	0.8	<0.1	<0.1	
8234	2012-81-1009	0.3	0.1	0.1	1.1	<0.1	4.7	1.2	0.1	0.1	8.9	34.7	<0.1	0.3	
8235	GL-3-HAW-63 #1	4.3	0.6	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	4.5	1.3	0.2	0.6	<0.1	0.7	<0.1	0.9	
8236	GL-3-HAW-63 #2	0.4	0.5	<0.1	0.3	<0.1	2.2	12.8	0.2	0.3	10.1	0.5	<0.1	<0.1	
8237	GL-4-HAW-63 #1	0.4	0.5	<0.1	1.2	<0.1	1.1	1.4	0.2	0.1	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
8238	GL-4-HAW-63 #2														
8239	Mix 1 (from Large)	<0.1	0.6	13.3	2.1	<0.1	4.8	6.9	0.3	1.3	2.1	5.6	<0.1	1.6	0.01

DISCUSSION

Analysis of the data presented (in consultation with the Analytical Lab) in the tables above show the results to be very consistent across all of the lots tested, even for those samples that appeared to be contaminated. The oil is highly refined, as evidenced by the narrow distillation range, and clean, as shown by the general absence of sediment, water, and ash. There is little metal contamination, except in OPI-1-4, OPI-1-5, and 2012-81-1009. These were samples from the small containers that were contaminated with black powder while removing the oil. As might be expected, the results for Mix 1 are comparable to that of the individual lots.

The oil is closest in type to Number 4 boiler oil, which has a specification for maximum viscosity of 24, or alternatively, a light lubricating oil. In reality, the oil tested here is more refined and cleaner (hence higher quality) than typical Number 4 boiler oil. In addition to boiler oil, it could be considered for use as a light lubricating oil (sewing machine oil). Due to its cleanliness and consistency, it could be used as an emulsifier for herbicide applications. It could also be used as a roller lubricant (rolling oil), for example, in the hot processing of aluminum metal.

No matter what application is chosen, the oil should be filtered before use, to remove any residual water and sediment. This is standard practice in the oil industry.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. All of the objectives of this program were achieved.
2. SGF2 fog oil, found primarily in smoke pot munitions, was sampled at three storage sites and analyzed for type and quality, in order to be able to determine possible uses for the oil. The oil was found to be highly refined, clean, and consistent, and similar to Number 4 boiler oil in weight and other physical properties. Based on the broad range of samples taken here and the analytical results presented herein, it is concluded that oil can be drained from containers and mixed into larger batches (e.g. 55 gallon drums) without any detrimental effect on oil quality. Consistent properties, and hence performance, from batch to batch can be expected. The recovered oil must be filtered to remove water and sediment before use.
3. Removal of the oil from the large smoke pots and the 55-gallon drum was easy. Removal of the oil from the small, grenade like munitions was extremely difficult. A cost effective, efficient process for removal of oil from each munition/container type must be developed. This was outside of the scope of this task.
4. It is recommended that a recycler be contacted for disposition of the oil. The data collected here suggests that SGF2 oil could be used as Number 4 fuel oil, or light lubricating oil, machine oil, roller oil, or emulsifier for herbicide applications. The data collected here, when combined with the experience and knowledge of the recycler, will help determine the most appropriate application(s).






Figure 1
Drawing of AN-M7 munition



AN-M7



M6



55 Gallon Drum

Figure 3. Photographs of smoke pot munitions/containers sampled




Figure 4. Photograph of AN-M7 munition being siphoned




Figure 5. Photograph of inner container removed from M6 munition

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander
Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center
U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-WEL-T (2)
AMSTA-AR-WEA (6)
AMSTA-AR-WEE (2)
AMSTA-AR-GCL
AMSTA-AR-ESW-F(R)
Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Administrator
Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)
ATTN: Accessions Division (12)
8725 John J. Kingman Road, Ste 0944
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Director
U.S. Army Materiel Analysis Activity
ATTN: AMXSY-EI
392 Hopkins Road
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5071

Commander
Chemical/Biological Defense Agency
U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command
ATTN: AMSCB-CII, Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Director
U.S. Army Edgewood Research, Development and Engineering Center
ATTN: SCBRD-RTD (Aerodynamics Technology Team)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5423

Director
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRL-OP-CI-B, Technical Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

Chief
Benet Weapons Laboratory, CCAC
Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center
U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command
ATTN: AMSTA-AR-CCB-TL
Watervliet, NY 12189-5000

Director
U.S. Army TRADOC
Analysis Command-WSMR
ATTN: ATRC-WSS-R
White Sands Missile Rang, NM 88002

Director
U.S. Army Research Office
Chemical and Biological Sciences
ATTN: AMXRO-CB, R. Shaw
P.O. Box 1211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

Director
U.S. Army Defense Ammunition Center
ATTN: SMAAC-DO, J. Wheeler (6)
1 C Tree Road
McAlester, OK 74501-9053

Commander
Sierra Army Depot
ATTN: C. Walker (4)
Herlong, CA 96113-5000

Commander
Crane Army Ammunition Activity
Industrial Operations Directorate
ATTN: CNSOS-IOC, C. McDonald (4)
300 Highway 361
Crane, IN 47522-5099

Commander
Pine Bluff Arsenal
ATTN: Dr. David W. Smith (4)
Director, Conventional Demilitarization, Storage, and NBC Defense
10020 Kabrich Circle
Pine Bluff, AR 71602-9500

Hawthorne Army Depot
ATTN: SOS-HW, T. Cardenas (4)
1 South Maine Avenue
Hawthorne, NV 89415-9404

Commander
U.S. Army Material Command
ATTN: AMCAM-LG
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

Commander
U.S. Army Industrial Operations Command
Defense Ammunition Directorate
ATTN: AMSMC-DSM
AMSIO-EQM
Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Commander
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Construction Engineering Research Laboratory
ATTN: Environmental Division, H. H. Zaghloul
P.O. Box 9005
Champaign, IL 61826-9005

Commander
U.S. Naval Surface Warfare Center
Crane Division
Attn: Code 4073 (Mr. Dan Burch) (2)
300 Highway 361
Crane, IN 47522