



*Ninth Annual
State of Modeling & Simulation
Briefing to Government and Industry
May 23, 2000*

System of Systems Interoperability

- Evolving Capability to Support the Warfighter -

*Dr. Vitalij Garber
Director Interoperability
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense
OUSD(AT&L)*

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 23-05-2000		2. REPORT TYPE Briefing		3. DATES COVERED (FROM - TO) xx-xx-2000 to xx-xx-2000	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE System of Systems Interoperability - Evolving Capability to Support the Warfighter Unclassified			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Garber, Vitalij ;			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS OUSD(AT&L) xxxxxx, xxxxxxxx			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS United States Department of Defense Defense Modeling and Simulation Office 1901 N. Beauregard St., Suite 500 Alexandria, VA22311-1705			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT APUBLIC RELEASE					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT What is Interoperability? ?Ability of systems, units, or forces to provide services to and accept services from other systems, units, or forces and to use the services so exchanged to enable them to operate effectively together.?					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Public Release	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	19. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Fenster, Lynn lfenster@dtic.mil	
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER International Area Code Area Code Telephone Number 703767-9007 DSN 427-9007		
				Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39.18	

Office of Secretary of Defense

Secretary of Defense

Deputy Secretary of Defense

**Under Secretary of Defense
(Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)**

Dr. Jacques S. Gansler

**Principal Deputy
David R. Oliver, Jr**

**Director, Interoperability
Dr. V Garber**

What is Interoperability?

“Ability of systems, units, or forces to provide services to and accept services from other systems, units, or forces and to use the services so exchanged to enable them to operate effectively together.”

(JCS Pub 1)

- Interoperability today is the number one problem in joint force & combined operations -- CINC's top issue
- Getting worse, not better, as new coalition partners develop, complex systems are acquired, and “fixes” to past problems are applied in stove-piped fashion
- Joint Vision 2010 calls for increasingly network centric warfare, dependent upon fully interoperable systems

MISSION

Help enable the full range of joint and combined operations, through Interoperability and Coalition Warfare initiatives, providing an acquisition focus, and working with C³I, the Joint Staff, JFCOM/ CINCs, the test community, the Defense Agencies, the Services, and Coalition Partners.

Key Initiatives

- **Mission Capability Management (MCM)**
- **Family of Interoperable Operational Pictures (FIOP)**
- **Joint Distributed Engineering Plant (JDEP)**

Mission Capability Management (MCM)

The Need to Evolve to MCM


Gulf war, Kosovo, Bosnia, and Y2K all demonstrated the criticality of systems interoperating within a dynamic model

- Improve Interoperability
- Support CINCs Operations
- Improve Coalition Capability


“Key to capitalizing on these assets is interoperability among the Services” - Vice Adm. William J. Fallon, Commander of the U. S. Second Fleet and Striking Fleet Atlantic

Mission Capability Management (MCM) (Implementing System-of-Systems Capability)

PROCESS



Mission Capability Management (MCM) (Implementing System-of-Systems Capability) IMPLEMENTATION



* The Defense Acquisition Board is augmented as necessary by PSAs, Joint Staff, CINCs, and Service representatives to discuss the issues


Key Initiatives

- Mission Capability Management (MCM)
- Family of Interoperable Operational Pictures (FIOP)
- Joint Distributed Engineering Plant (JDEP)

The Problem (1 of 2):

No Coherent View of the Battlespace from CINC to Soldier/Sailor/Airman
Inability to Prosecute a Coordinated Strategy

USER CONCEPT CORRESPONDING SYSTEMS



- *The cause:* multiple systems, conceived and developed individually
- Compounding the problem: systems, TTP, missions are changing continuously, new coalition partners, and stovepiped intelligence dissemination

Non-interoperable operating pictures = fratricide & leakers

The Problem (2 of 2)

- Individual system requirements have been generated vice SoS required capabilities for the “family of pictures”
 - Ideally, required capabilities should drive system requirements
- No “big picture” assessment for this family of relevant operating pictures has been done in the Department
 - We need to call attention to it and move forward!

The Solution (1 of 2)


“A Family of Interoperable Operational Pictures” **(FIOP)**

- More than just situational awareness - includes battlespace management, fire support, logistics, intelligence, etc.
- Name is in line with a potential ministerial initiative, synonymous with the Common Relevant Operating Picture (CROP), JFCOM J9 initiative

The Solution (2 of 2)

How to Implement the FIOP Concept

Optimum horizontal and vertical system connectivity across Service lines and between echelons.



More tactical-level cross-Service comms


Should be based on required capabilities at each echelon

What does "glue" mean?

Key Initiatives


- Mission Capability Management (MCM)
- Family of Interoperable Operational Pictures (FIOP)
- Joint Distributed Engineering Plant (JDEP)

Distributed Engineering & Test Environments



JDEP CONCEPT

(Combat Systems/BMC4I Hardware in the Loop)



So, where does M&S come in?

- There is a “blurring of the lines” between real systems and simulations
- Simulation is the only feasible way to develop & test a system-of-systems
- M&S interoperability is fundamental to success
 - Simulation Based Acquisition (SBA) is the future for systems acquisition
 - Joint Distributed Engineering Plant (JDEP) is a primary contributor developing new systems and fixing legacy systems
 - Both of the above will improve systems interoperability
- Exploiting HLA will aide systems interoperability

-- Interoperability --


What Better Resource Than M&S?

Clearly, if we want to achieve 100% of warfighting capability -- we must develop, test, operate and sustain fully interoperable weapons systems.

Function

- Requirements Analysis
- System Design & Test
- Legacy System Fix
- Certification
- Training Exercises
- Contingency Planning
- Operations

Essential Tools



M&S
SBA
Collaborative Eng Env
JDEP
HLA

Summary

- Modeling and Simulation Indispensible
- “Coalition Friendly” Strategy
- Partnership with Industry

