AD_____

Award Number: DAMD17-99-1-9247

TITLE: Dietary Lipids, Cell Adhesion and Breast Cancer Metastasis

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Michal J. Toborek, M.D., Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Kentucky Research
                         Foundation
                         Lexington, Kentucky 40506

REPORT DATE: October 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
              Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
                        Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are
those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official
Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so
designated by other documentation.

20020717 030

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE October 2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Oct 00 – 30 Sep 01)

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Dietary Lipids, Cell Adhesion and Breast Cancer Metastasis

5. FUNDING NUMBERS
DAMD17-99-1-9247

6. AUTHOR(S)
Michal J. Toborek, M.D., Ph.D.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
University of Kentucky Research Foundation
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

E-Mail: ddavis@uky.edu

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER

9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Report contains color

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT *(Maximum 200 Words)*

Excessive consumption of dietary fat may enhance the rate of breast cancer metastasis. In addition, it is generally accepted that the upregulation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules is involved in the formation of blood-borne metastasis. Among different adhesion molecules, evidence indicates that intracellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) may play a critical role in breast cancer metastatic formation. Our studies have demonstrated that dietary fatty acids can exert highly specific effects on NF-κB activation and expression of adhesion molecules in human endothelial cells. In addition, we indicated that linoleic acid induces ICAM-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) expression through the activation of NF-κB. More importantly, because the ability of cancer cells to interact with the endothelium appears to be a prerequisite for the potential of distant metastasis and because ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are considered to be a crucial adhesion molecule in this process, the present study may have significant therapeutic implications in developing a novel strategy against cancer metastasis.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Dietary fatty acids, nutrition, endothelial cells, mice, adhesion molecules, signal transduction, tumor adhesion

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
92

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION

Excessive consumption of dietary fat may enhance the rate of breast cancer metastasis. In addition, it is generally accepted that the upregulation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules is involved in the formation of blood-borne metastasis. Such a process may initiate migration of tumor cells through the endothelium into underlying tissues and thus tumor cells cannot be destroyed by the immune system. Although several adhesion molecules may be involved in this process, it appears that the overexpression of ICAM-1 (intracellular adhesion molecule-1) may play a critical role in breast cancer metastatic formation.

In our research we are the first to propose that lipid-enhanced breast cancer metastasis may be connected to the overexpression of ICAM-1. The fact that a variety of fatty acids have different effects on ICAM-1 induction may explain different effects of dietary lipids on breast cancer metastasis. In the current grant application, we propose to study mechanisms of lipid-induced ICAM expression and breast tumor cell metastatic formation on molecular, cellular and whole animal levels.

Recent evidence indicates that also other inflammatory mediators, namely vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) can be involved in fatty acid-induced cancer metastasis. Therefore, our research has been extended to study effects of dietary lipids, primarily linoleic acid on the expression of VCAM-1 and MCP-1.

Our research in year 2 was solely based on endothelial cell culture model system and treatments including different dietary fatty acids.

2. BODY

a. Research accomplishments associated with Task 1.

Task 1. **To identify the specific phosphorylation mechanism involved in lipid-mediated induction of ICAM-1 expression.**

The results obtained as a result of this Task indicate that exposure to linoleic acid increases protein kinase C (PKC) and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase activities. In addition, inhibition of both PKC and MAP-kinase prevented linoleic acid-mediated activation of NF-κB. Endothelial cell exposure to linoleic acid also decreased cAMP levels, which indicates that c-AMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) is an unlikely participant in fatty acid-mediated activation of NF-κB. Thus, in this research we identified two specific signal transduction mechanisms responsible for fatty acid-mediated activation of NF-κB. Which of these two pathways plays more important role in fatty acid-mediated activation of NF-κB and ICAM-1 gene expression requires further studies. Such studies may involve transfections of endothelial cells with specific NF-κB as well as IκB reporter contracts. Because endothelial cells are well known to be difficult to transfect, we developed a special technique which allows us to achieve a high-efficiency transfection of human endothelial cells. This technique was recently published by our group (Kaiser and Toborek J. Vasc. Res. 38:133-143, 2001) and it constitutes another major accomplishment resulting from this grant proposal. We were the first to report that transfection of endothelial cells can achieve as high as 32% efficiency (Figure 1). This technique also was employed in our research on NF-κB-mediated induction of ICAM-1 gene, as well as other inflammatory genes in human endothelial cells (Toborek et al., Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 75, 119-125, 2002; Lee et al., J. Nutr. Biochem. 12, 648-654, 2001; Park at al., Nutr. Cancer, in press.).

Detailed descriptions of the obtained results are included in the appended publications:


Figure 1. Efficiency of liposome-mediated transfection of human endothelial cells. Cells were transfected for 1.5 h with the VR-3301 vector (5 µg/mL) complexed with 40 µg/mL of DMRIE-C or lipofectin or with 36 µg/mL of pFx-7. *Values marked with an asterisk are significantly higher as compared to the values for control cultures or cultures transfected with naked plasmid DNA. †Values in cultures transfected in the presence of pFx-7 are significantly higher than values in other experimental groups.

b. Research accomplishments associated with Task 2.

Task 2. **To test the hypothesis that induction of ICAM-1 expression mediated by polyunsaturated but not saturated fatty acids, is the critical factor in promoting adhesion of breast tumor cells to endothelial cells and their transendothelial migration.**

Extensive studies were performed in relationship to this Task. We indicated that dietary fatty acids can exert specific effects on ICAM-1 gene expression. Exposure to both linoleic acid and linolenic acid induced a dose dependent increase in ICAM-1 mRNA levels. In addition, these two fatty acids at the concentration of 90 µmol/L stimulated induction of the ICAM-1 gene to a similar extent, i.e., by approximately 30% as measured by the density of the appropriate fluorescent bands. In contrast, exposure of endothelial cells to oleic acid decreased ICAM-1 mRNA levels to approximately 50% of control values. The results of these experiments are shown in Figure 2. The full report on dietary fatty acid-mediated expression of inflammatory genes in human endothelial cells was recently accepted for publication in the American Journal of Clinical Nutrition (Toborek et al., Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 75, 119-125, 2002) and is also appended to this Progress Report.


Figure 2C. Effects of dietary fatty acids on intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) mRNA levels in human endothelial cells as measured by RT-PCR. Endothelial cells were exposed to specific fatty acids for 3 hours. β-Actin was determie to indicate that the same amount of RNA was used per sample.


Figure 2C. Effects of dietary fatty acids on vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) mRNA levels in human endothelial cells as measured by RT-PCR. Endothelial cells were exposed to specific fatty acids for 3 hours. β-Actin was determie to indicate that the same amount of RNA was used per sample.

It appears that not only ICAM-1 but also another adhesion molecule, namely vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), may play an important role in dietary fatty acid-mediated cancer metastasis. The effects of specific unsaturated fatty acids on VCAM-1 mRNA levels in HUVEC are indicated in Figure 3. The most significant induction of the VCAM-1 gene (by 38% as measured by the density of the fluorescent bands) was observed in cells treated with 90 μmol/L of linoleic acid. In addition, exposure to 90 μmol/L of linolenic acid resulted in a slight increase in VCAM-1 mRNA levels. Treatment with oleic acid had no effect on VCAM-1 gene induction as compared to control cultures.

Because of profound effects of linoleic acid on VCAM-1 gene expression, detailed studies were performed on the mechanisms of this process. We indicated that the NF-κB binding site plays the critical role in linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells. In addition, we indicated that common anti-inflammatory drugs, such as aspirin or sodium salicylate can inhibit linoleic acid-mediated activation of NF-κB (Figure 4) as well as linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression (Figure 5).



Figure 4. Pretreatment with aspirin, sodium salicylate or PDTC blocks linoleic acid (LA)-induced NF-κB DNA-binding activity in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) as measured by EMSA. HMEC-1 were pretreated for 1 h with indicated concentrations of (A) aspirin or (B) sodium salicylate before a 2 h treatment with 50 μM of linoleic acid (lanes 4-6). Lane 1, probe alone; lane 2, treatment with 50 μM linoleic acid alone; lane 7, competition study performed by the addition of excess unlabeled oligonucleotide using nuclear extract from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid.


Figure 5. Pretreatment with aspirin impedes the induction of VCAM-1 mRNA expression in linoleic acid-treated human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were pretreated for 1 h with indicated concentrations of aspirin for 30 min with PDTC, before a 4 h treatment with 50 μM of linoleic acid and assayed for VCAM-1 mRNA expression by RT-PCR. LPS (1 μg/mL) was used as positive control.

Detailed descriptions of the obtained results are included in the appended publications:

b. Research accomplishments associated with Task 3.

Task 3. **To test the hypothesis that diets enriched with polyunsaturated dietary fats but not saturated fats increase metastasis formation and breast tumor development in an animal model by induction of ICAM-1 expression.**

Animal studies will be performed in year 3 of this grant proposal.

3. KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS
- To identify two specific phosphorylation pathways which are induced by dietary fatty acids and participate in fatty acid-mediated activation of NF-κB.
- To establish a new transfection technique which allows the transfection of human endothelial cells with a high efficiency.
- To indicate that antioxidants and common anti-inflammatory drugs, such as aspirin, can inhibit dietary fatty acid-mediated activation of NF-κB and adhesion molecule expression in endothelial cells.
- To determine that the NF-κB binding site plays the critical role in linoleic acid-induced expression of adhesion molecules in human endothelial cells

4. REPORTABLE OUTCOMES
a. REFEREED ARTICLES
Park HJ, Lee YW, Hennig B, Toborek M: Linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression in human microvascular endothelial cells is mediated by the NF-κB-dependent pathway. Nutr. Cancer, in press.

Toborek M, Lee YW, Kaiser S, Hennig B: Inflammatory properties of fatty acids. Methods in Enzymology (CK Sen and L Packer; eds.) vol. 352, 198-219, 2002

Toborek M, Lee YW, Garrido R, Kaiser S, Hennig B: Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce an inflammatory environment in human endothelial cells. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 75, 119-125, 2002.

Lee YW, Park HJ, Hennig B, Toborek M: Linoleic acid induces MCP-1 gene expression in human microvascular endothelial cells through an oxidant mechanism. J. Nutr. Biochem. 12, 648-654, 2001.

Kaiser S, Toborek M: Liposome-mediated high-efficiency transfection of human endothelial cells. J. Vasc. Res. 38, 133-143, 2001.

Hennig B, Toborek M: Nutrition and endothelial cell function: implications in atherosclerosis. Nutr. Res. 21, 279-293, 2001.

Hennig B, Toborek M, McClain CJ: High-energy nutrients, fatty acids and endothelial cell function: implications in atherosclerosis. J. Am Coll. Nutr. 20, 97-105, 2001.

Hennig B, Meerarani P, Ramadass P, Watkins BA, Toborek M: Fatty acid-mediated activation of vascular endothelial cells. Metabolism 49, 1006-1013, 2000.

Hennig B, Toborek M, Boissonneault GA: Lipids, inflammatory cytokines, and endothelial cell Injury. In: Nutrition and Immunology: Principle and Practice. (ME Gershwin, B German, C Keen, editors), Humana Press, Inc., Totowa, NJ, 203-220, 2000.

b. PRESENTATIONS/ABSTRACTS

Durham CQ, Lee YW, Hennig B, Toborek M: Signaling mechanisms of linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells. FASEB J., in press.

Choi W, Lee YW, Hennig B, Robertson LW, Toborek M: PCB-induced inflammatory reactions in human endothelial cells: implications in cancer metastasis. FASEB J., in press.

Reiterer G, Sali A, Meerarani P, Sarasvathi V, Kelly L, Toborek M, Hennig B: The plant phenolics quercetin and resveratrol protect against linoleic acid-induced endothelial cell activation. FASEB J., in press.

Saraswathi V, Hammock BD, Meerarani P, Toborek M, Hennig B: Mechanisms of linoleic acid-induced endothelial activation: possible involvement of epoxide metabolites in mediating the inflammatory response. FASEB J., in press.

Kaiser S, Toborek M: High-efficiency transfection of human endothelial cells mediated by cationic lipids. Endothelium, in press.

Park H-J, Lee YW, Hennig B, Toborek M: Linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression in human microvascular endothelial cells is mediated by the NF-kB-dependent pathway. FASEB J. 15, A866, 2001.

Saraswathi V, Narayan P, Hammock BD, Meerarani P, Toborek M, Hennig B. Linoleic acid-derived epoxides alter calcium and nitric oxide metabolism in endothelial cells. FASEB J. 15, A190, 2001.

Slim RM, Hammock BD, Toborek M, Robertson LW, Watkins BA, Hennig B: The role of methyl linoleic acid epoxide and diol metabolites in the synergistic toxicity of linoleic acid and PCBs to vascular endothelial cells. Toxicol. Sci., 60, 13, 2001.

Hennig B, Saraswathi V, **Toborek M**, Hammock BD: Mechanisms of fatty acid-mediated endothelial cell activation. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 20, 574, 2001.

Hennig B, **Toborek M**: Fatty acid-induced endothelial cell activation: Implications in atherosclerosis. Pol. J. Pharmacol. 53, Suppl. 1, 38, 2001.

Hennig B, Toborek M: High-energy nutrients, fatty acids and endothelial cell function: implications in atherosclerosis. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 19, 678, 2000.

Toborek M, Lee YW, Garrido R, Kaiser S, Hennig B. Dietary fatty acid-induced oxidative and inflammatory environments in endothelial cells. J. Am. Coll. Nutr. 19, 684, 2000.

Hennig B, Meerarani P, Kaiser S, Toborek M: Inflammatory events and fatty acid-induced activation of vascular endothelial cells. FASEB J. 14, A199, 2000.

Meerarani P, Ramadass P, Toborek M, Keller J, Hennig B: The role of different fatty acids in oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells. FASEB J. 13, A1117, 1999.

Ramadass P, Meerarani P, Toborek M, Bauer HC, Bauer H, Probst G, McClain CJ, Hennig B: Protective effects of zinc against endothelial cell apoptosis induced by linoleic acid and/or TNF. FASEB J. 13, A832, 1999.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our studies have demonstrated that dietary fatty acids can exert highly specific effects on NF-κB activation and expression of adhesion molecules in human endothelial cells. In addition, we indicated that linoleic acid induces ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 expression through the activation of NF-κB. More importantly, because the ability of cancer cells to interact with the endothelium appears to be a prerequisite for the potential of distant metastasis and because ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 are considered to be a crucial adhesion molecule in this process, the present study may have significant therapeutic implications in developing a novel strategy against cancer metastasis. These studies also provide a mechanistic insight of the role of specific dietary lipids in metastasis. Therefore, data arising from this grant proposal may allow dietary and molecular intervention to protect against breast cancer metastasis.

LINOLEIC ACID-INDUCED VCAM-1 EXPRESSION IN HUMAN MICROVASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL CELLS IS MEDIATED BY THE NF-κB-DEPENDENT PATHWAY

Hyen Joo Park[1], Yong Woo Lee[1], Bernhard Hennig[2], Michal Toborek[1]*

Departments of [1]Surgery and [2]Animal Sciences, University of Kentucky Medical Center, Lexington, KY 40536.

Short title: Linoleic acid and VCAM-1 expression

*Send all correspondence to

    Michal Toborek, MD, PhD,

    Department of Surgery, Division of Neurosurgery,

    University of Kentucky Medical Center

    800 Rose Street

    Lexington, KY 40536

    Phone: (859) 323-4094

    Fax: (859) 257-3707

    e-mail: mjtobo00@pop.uky.edu

ABSTRACT

Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) has been reported to play an important role in cancer metastasis *via* the adhesive interaction between tumor cells and endothelial cells. In this study, we examined the effects of linoleic acid on VCAM-1 expression and its transcriptional regulatory mechanism in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Time- and dose-dependent increases of VCAM-1 mRNA levels were observed in linoleic acid-treated HMEC–1 as detected by RT-PCR. Flow cytometry analysis showed a significant and dose-dependent upregulation of VCAM-1 expression in HMEC-1 stimulated with linoleic acid as compared to controls. In order to clarify the transcriptional regulatory pathway, we investigated the role of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) in the expression of VCAM-1 by linoleic acid in HMEC-1. Nuclear extracts from HMEC-1 stimulated with linoleic acid showed a dose-dependent increase in binding activity to the NF-κB consensus sequences. These effects were preventable by co-treatment with inhibitors of NF-κB activity, such as sodium salicylate, aspirin or pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC). In addition, pretreatment with NF-κB inhibitors markedly suppressed the ability of linoleic acid to induce VCAM-1 gene expression. The role of NF-κB in linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression was confirmed by functional promoter studies in HMEC-1 transfected with reporter constructs of the VCAM-1 promoter with or without mutated NF-κB binding site. These results indicate that linoleic acid upregulates VCAM-1 expression in HMEC-1 through the NF-κB-dependent pathway.

Abbreviations: Electrophoretic mobility shift assay, EMSA; human microvascular endothelial cells, HMEC-1; interleukin, IL; lipopolysaccharide, LPS; nuclear factor-κB, NF-κB; polyunsaturated fatty acids, PUFAs; pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate, PDTC; tumor necrosis factor-α, TNF-α; vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, VCAM-1; very late antigen-4, VLA-4.

INTRODUCTION

Dietary fat is considered to be one of the main risk factors of carcinogenesis. For example, a positive correlation was reported between dietary fat intake and increased risks for the development of breast, colon and prostate cancers (1-3). However, it should be noted that the role of dietary fat in the development of human breast cancer has recently been questioned. Although data obtained from animal studies (reviewed in [4]), international correlation analyses (5,6), and meta-analysis of dietary fat intervention studies (7) strongly indicate the association between fat consumption and the development of breast cancer, data from prospective cohort studies on dietary fat and breast cancer (8-10) suggested that dietary fat might not be a risk factor for human breast cancer. Among different dietary fatty acids, it appears that linoleic acid (C18:2, ω-6) can promote carcinogenesis (11-14). In addition to its role in carcinogenesis, dietary linoleic acid can also enhance the metastatic formation of mammary tumors. For example, a linoleic acid-enriched diet increased the rate of metastasis of mammary cancer to the lung in rats (15).

The formation of blood-borne metastasis is a complex process which requires several steps. However, a growing body of evidence indicates that the direct adhesive interaction between tumor cells and endothelial cells is the critical event in metastasis formation (16,17). This process requires the binding of tumor cells to specific adhesion molecules on the surface of endothelial cells, followed by migration of tumor cells through the endothelium into underlying tissues (16). Evidence indicates that among several adhesion molecules which can be involved in this process, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) may play one of the most important roles. For example, it was demonstrated that VCAM-1 facilitated adhesion of metastatic breast tumor cells to endothelial cells stimulated by shear stress (8). In patients with breast cancer or gastric cancers, serum levels of soluble VCAM-1 were closely correlated with disease progression (19,20). Upregulation of VCAM-1 was also shown to be involved in adhesion of RAW117 lymphoma cells (21) or melanoma cells to hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells (22). In addition, evidence indicated the role of VCAM-1 in adhesion of B9/BM1 cells to bone marrow-derived endothelial cells (23), and adhesion of DU145 cells (the cell line derived from cerebral metastasis of prostate carcinoma) to human brain microvascular endothelial cells (24).

VCAM-1 is a 110 kDa member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily first described as a cytokine-inducible endothelial adhesion protein (25).   It facilitates tumor cell adhesion through binding of an integrin counter receptor, very late antigen-4 (VLA-4) (26).   Functional studies on the activity of the VCAM-1 gene promoter have shown that regulation of VCAM-1 gene expression in endothelial cells appears to be complex and related to the type of stimuli.   For example, VCAM-1 induction by inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-1β or tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), as well as by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) strongly relies on activation of nuclear transcription factor-κB (NF-κB) (27,28).   In contrast, recent evidence indicated that IL-4-induced VCAM-1 expression is independent of NF-κB activation (29,30).   Thus, the specific role of NF-κB in linoleic acid-induced overexpression of the VCAM-1 gene is uncertain and was chosen as the subject of the present study.

Because of the significance of dietary linoleic acid and VCAM-1 expression in cancer metastasis, the aim of the present study was to investigate the molecular signaling pathways involved in linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 upregulation in human microvascular endothelial cells.   We have determined that linoleic acid can induce VCAM-1 expression at the mRNA and protein levels.   Furthermore, we provide evidence that linoleic acid-stimulated expression of the VCAM-1 gene is mediated by activation of NF-κB.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) were a generous gift from Dr. Eric Smart (University of Kentucky Medical Center).   HMEC-1 were cultured in MCDB 131 media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1 μg/ml hydrocortisone and 0.01 μg/ml epidermal growth factor in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at 37 °C. Linoleic acid (>99% pure) was obtained from Nu-Chek Prep (Elysian, MN) and added to the medium as described previously (31).   In the present study, linoleic acid was used in the concentrations of up to 50 μM, i.e., at the levels which do not induce cytotoxic effects in vascular endothelial cells (32).

In selected experiments, HMEC-1 were pretreated for 1 h with salicylates (aspirin or sodium salicylate), or for 30 min with pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC). Salicylates were used at concentrations of up to 10 mM and PDTC was employed at levels of up to 25 μM.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Nuclear extracts from HMEC-1 were prepared according to the method of Beg *et al* (33). Binding reactions were performed in a 20 μl volume containing 4 ~ 10 μg of nuclear protein extracts, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 2 μg of poly[dI-dC] (nonspecific competitor) and 40,000 cpm of ^{32}P-labeled specific oligonucleotides that contained the consensus sequence for NF-κB site (5'-AGTTGAGGGGACTTTCCCAGG-3'). The resultant protein-DNA complexes were resolved on native 5% polyacrylamide gels using 0.25 × TBE buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 45 mM boric acid, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.4). Competition studies were performed by the addition of a molar excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide to the binding reaction. Rabbit polyclonal anti-p50 and anti-p65 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) were employed in supershift experiments.

Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted by the use of TRI reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and reverse-transcribed at 42 °C for 60 min in 20 μl of 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM dNTP, 1 unit/μl of recombinant RNasin ribonuclease inhibitor, 15 units/μg of AMV reverse transcriptase, and 0.5 μg of oligo(dT)$_{15}$ primer. For amplification of VCAM-1 and of β-actin (a housekeeping gene), the following primer combinations were used: 5'-ATGACATGCTTGAGCCAGG-3' and 5'-GTGTCTCCTTCTTTGACACT-3' (VCAM-1; expecting 260-bp fragment) (34) and 5'-AGCACAATGAAGATCAAGAT-3' and 5'-TGTAACGCAACTAAGTCATA-3' (β-actin; expecting 188-bp fragment) (35). The PCR mixture consisted of a Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 2 μl of the reverse transcriptase reaction, and 20 pmol of primer pairs in a total volume of 50 μl. Thermocycling was performed according to the following profile: 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, repeated 20 times. Amplification was linear within the range of 15-30 cycles. PCR

products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with SYBR® Green I (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and visualized using phosphoimaging technology (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CN).

Flow cytometry for VCAM-1 determination

VCAM-1 protein expression was determined by flow cytometry. Briefly, HMEC-1 were grown to confluence on six-well culture plates and treated with linoleic acid for 12 h. HMEC-1 were then washed with Hank's buffer and gently harvested by trypsin/EDTA. Cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated for 1 h on ice with saturating amounts of monoclonal mouse anti-human VCAM-1 antibody labeled with FITC (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). FITC-labeled mouse anti-human IgG1 was used as the isotype control (R&D Systems). After incubation with antibodies, samples were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and analyzed with 10,000 cells per sample in a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). Following correction for unspecific binding (isotype control), specific mean fluorescence intensity was expressed as the indicator of VCAM-1 protein expression.

Transient transfection and reporter gene assays

Transient transfections of HMEC-1 were performed using pFx-7 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as described earlier (36). Cells were transfected with 10 µg of the VCAM-1 promoter constructs with or without mutated NF-κB site (pF3-mNF-κB-CAT3 and pF3-CAT3, respectively) and co-transfected with 4 µg of the pGL3-Luc control vector (Promega, Madison, WI) to normalize transfection rates. The reporter constructs, pF3-mNF-κB-CAT3 and pF3-CAT3, were kind gifts from Dr. Andrew S. Neish (Emory University School of Medicine). Generation of these constructs was described and characterized earlier (27,37). Following transfection, cultures were maintained in normal growth medium for 24 h and then exposed to 50 µM of linoleic acid for an additional 24 h in MCDB131 medium enriched with 5% FBS. After treatment exposure, cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline and lysed in 100 µl of Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega). Chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) activity was determined using the method of Gorman *et al* (38). The cell lysates, normalized for protein levels, were incubated for 4 h at 37 °C with a reaction mixture composed of 125 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 0.83 mM acetyl coenzyme A, and 3 µl of [^{14}C]chloramphenicol (25 µCi/ml; Amersham

Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ).   Then, acetylated and nonacetylated forms of chloramphenicol were extracted with ethyl acetate and separated by thin-layer chromatography using the solvent system with chloroform:methanol (95:5, v/v). Following autoradiography, the zones corresponding to acetylated or non-acetylated chloramphenicol were cut from the plates and radioactivity was counted in a liquid scintillation counter for quantitation of CAT activity. The CAT activity was normalized according to luciferase activity, which was determined using Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Statistical analysis

Routine statistical analysis of data was completed using SYSTAT 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). One-way ANOVA was used to compare mean responses among the treatments. The treatment means were compared using Bonferroni least significant difference procedure. Statistical probability of $p<0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

Linoleic acid activates NF-κB in HMEC-1

To determine if linoleic acid can activate NF-κB in HMEC-1, cells were exposed to this fatty acid for 2 h and NF-κB binding was analyzed by EMSA, using nuclear extracts of the treated cells. Results of these experiments are shown in Figures 1A and 1B. Figure 1A depicts the effects of linoleic acid on the binding activity of NF-κB in HMEC-1. A slight endogenous activity of NF-κB was observed in control cultures (Figure 1A, lane 2). However, when the cells were stimulated with 50 µM linoleic acid, a marked increase in NF-κB binding activity was detected. This binding was specifically inhibited by an unlabeled competitor DNA containing the consensus NF-κB sequence (lane 7). In addition, the identity of NF-κB binding was confirmed by supershift experiments with antibodies against specific NF-κB subunits, p50 and p65 (Figure 1B, lanes 3 and 4).

To further study linoleic acid-induced activation of NF-κB, HMEC-1 were pretreated for 1 h with aspirin or sodium salicylate or for 30 min with PDTC before a co-exposure to linoleic acid for 2 h. Both salicylates and PDTC are widely used as inhibitors of NF-κB activation.

Indeed, as indicated in Figure 2, pretreatment with aspirin (Figure 2A), sodium salicylate (Figure 2B) or PDTC (Figure 2C) resulted in dose-dependent inhibitions of NF-κB activation in HMEC-1 exposed to linoleic acid.

Linoleic acid induces VCAM-1 expression in HMEC-1

To investigate whether exposure to linoleic acid can induce expression of VCAM-1 in microvascular endothelial cells, HMEC-1 were treated with 50 μM linoleic acid for increasing time periods and the VCAM-1 mRNA level was determined by semi-quantitative RT-PCR technique. As shown in Figure 3, low levels of constitutively expressed VCAM-1 mRNA were detected in control cells (no linoleic acid supplementation). On the other hand, mRNA transcripts for VCAM-1 were clearly increased in linoleic acid-treated cells. Upregulation of VCAM-1 expression was already observed after a 1 h exposure to linoleic acid, reaching maximal levels at 4 h (Figure 3A).

Figure 3B shows that a 4 h exposure of HMEC-1 to linoleic acid resulted in a dose-dependent increase in the VCAM-1 mRNA. The most marked VCAM-1 expression was observed in HMEC-1 cultures treated with linoleic acid at the dose of 50 μM. Additional increase in linoleic acid concentration did not further potentiate VCAM-1 expression (data not shown).

Figure 4 indicates the effects of increasing concentrations of linoleic acid treatment on VCAM-1 protein expression as measured by flow cytometry. In agreement with RT-PCR data, VCAM-1 protein was constitutively expressed in untreated HMEC-1. However, in cells treated with linoleic acid for 12 h, expression of this adhesion molecule was markedly upregulated in a dose-dependent manner.

Linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression in HMEC-1 is mediated by NF-κB

To determine if linoleic acid-mediated activation of NF-κB is involved in upregulation of VCAM-1, expression of the VCAM-1 gene was studied in HMEC-1 pretreated with different doses of NF-κB inhibitors and exposed to 50 μM linoleic acid for 4 h. Similar to our studies presented in Figure 2, aspirin, sodium salicylate, and PDTC were employed to inhibit NF-κB. Effects of these NF-κB inhibitors on linoleic acid-induced overexpression of the VCAM-1 gene are reflected in Figure 5. As indicated, a 1 h pretreatment with increasing doses of aspirin

(Figure 5A) or sodium salicylate (Figure 5B), as well as a 30 min pretreatment with PDTC (Figure 5C), markedly and in a dose-dependent manner decreased linoleic acid-mediated stimulation of the VCAM-1 gene.

To further determine that the NF-κB binding site plays the critical role in linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 gene expression in HMEC-1, cells were transfected with the construct of normal VCAM-1 promoter (pF3-CAT3) or with a similar construct that had a mutated NF-κB binding site (pF3-mNF-κB-CAT3). As indicated in Figure 6, exposure to linoleic acid induced CAT activity only in cells transfected with the pF3-CAT3 construct. In contrast, mutation of the NF-κB site completely inhibited linoleic acid-induced stimulation of CAT activity in HMEC-1 transfected with the pF3-mNF-κB-CAT3 construct.

DISCUSSION

Adhesive interactions between vascular endothelial cells and tumor cells play a critical role in the process of metastatic tumor dissemination. This process is mediated by adhesion molecules, which are expressed on the surface of endothelial cells, and specific integrin receptors, which are present on tumor cells. Following adhesion, tumor cells can migrate across the vascular endothelium and establish new metastatic colonies. In addition, this process protects tumor cells against destruction by cells of the immune system (17). Thus, although adhesion molecules do not directly influence carcinogenesis, they can markedly stimulate blood-borne tumor metastasis. It appears that among different adhesion molecules involved in endothelial cell-tumor cell interactions, VCAM-1 may play one of the most important roles (19-24). Furthermore, determination of VCAM-1 expression can serve as an important marker in cancer diagnosis. It is well known that angiogenesis, i.e., the formation of new capillaries from preexisting blood vessels, is essential for tumor growth and metastasis (39). Because adhesion molecules, including VCAM-1, are expressed on the surface of newly formed vascular endothelium, their elevated levels can indicate an active angiogenesis (19). Thus, overexpression of VCAM-1 can have two distinctive features in cancer biology and diagnosis: a) can stimulate metastasis through facilitation of tumor cell adherence to the vascular endothelium, and b) can serve as a marker of angiogenesis, which is associated with tumor growth.

A number of clinical and animal studies have implicated the intake and composition of dietary fats in expression of endothelial cell adhesion molecules, including upregulation of VCAM-1 (40-43). In the average American diet, fat accounts for 35-40% of energy (44), and such overconsumption of foods rich in fat may be a major risk both for cancer development and metastasis. Although the role of dietary fat in human breast cancer has been recently questioned (8-10), dietary factors, including excessive intake of fat, are considered to contribute to 35% of all cancers (1). Part of the carcinogenic and prometastatic effects of dietary fat can be related to modulation of the functions of the vascular endothelium. It appears that among different dietary fatty acids, linoleic acid can alter endothelial cell metabolism most significantly (45) and thus induce the development of cancer metastasis (12,13,15). Since it is an unsaturated fatty acid, linoleic acid can undergo peroxidative pathways initiated by hydrogen abstraction followed by oxygen attack on the generated lipid alkyl radical (46). In fact, several reports suggest that linoleic acid can act as a potent prooxidant in endothelial cells in culture. For example, linoleic acid can enhance radical adduct formation in endothelial cells exposed to iron-induced oxidative stress (47), decrease glutathione levels (31), and increase peroxisomal β-peroxidation (48), a pathway that leads to the production of hydrogen peroxide. Degradation of linoleic acid *via* peroxidative pathways also can lead to formation of highly cytotoxic products, such as linoleic acid hydroperoxides or 4-hydroxy-2-(E)-nonenal (49). Metabolism of polyunsaturated fatty acids through lipoxygenase-mediated processes also may play an important role in cancer biology. For example, it was shown that 12(S)-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (HETE), a metabolite of arachidonic acid generated in the reaction catalyzed by 12-lipoxygenase, can influence angiogenesis and formation of cancer metastasis (50). However, to date, endothelial cell effects of linoleic acid have been primarily studied in cells isolated from major vascular vessels, such as pulmonary artery (31,32,51) or umbilical veins (52). It is well known that the structure and functions of endothelial cells that originated from different tissues and vessels can differ markedly (53). Therefore, the present study focused on mechanistic effects of linoleic acid on induction of VCAM-1 in human microvascular endothelial cells, i.e., in the cell type which is most relevant to cancer metastasis.

In the present study we report that treatment of HMEC-1 with linoleic acid results in an increase of the steady state concentration of the VCAM-1 mRNA in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figure 3). In addition, flow cytometry analysis showed that linoleic acid-induced

upregulation of the VCAM-1 gene is correlated with a significant and dose-dependent overexpression of VCAM-1 protein in HMEC-1 (Figure 4). These results are in agreement with earlier reports which indicated upregulation of another adhesion molecule, such as intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), in endothelial cells treated with linoleic acid (52). Recent evidence also indicated that an oxidized derivative of linoleic acid, 13-hydroperoxy-octadecadienoic acid (13-HPODE), can induce VCAM-1 gene expression in endothelial cells (54). On the other hand, a 72 h pre-exposure of endothelial cells to selected n-3 or n-6 fatty acids, followed by a co-treatment with IL-1β or TNF-α for an additional 12 h, resulted in an inhibition of cytokine-induced VCAM-1 expression as compared to cells which were not pretreated with fatty acids (55). However, a very different experimental setting used in that study was, most likely, responsible for this discrepancy with our present results.

The current study also reveals that treatment of HMEC-1 with linoleic acid can activate NF-κB. These results are in agreement with earlier reports on NF-κB activation by linoleic acid in porcine pulmonary artery endothelial cells (51,56). It is possible that linoleic acid-mediated induction of oxidative stress (51), a decrease in cellular glutathione (31) and alterations of cellular redox status (31,51) are responsible for activation of NF-κB. To support the role of oxidative stress in linoleic acid-induced activation of NF-κB, this effect was attenuated by salicylates and PDTC (Figure 2). Aspirin and sodium salicylate have been previously shown to specifically inhibit the activation of NF-κB by preventing the degradation of IκB, a NF-κB inhibitory subunit, and blocking the translocation of NF-κB into the nuclear compartment (57,58). PDTC, the radical-scavenging thiol compound, is also widely used as an inhibitor of NF-κB activation (59,60).

NF-κB binding sites are located in the promoter regions of the genes encoding for adhesion molecules, including VCAM-1 (61). In fact, two adjacent κB sites located at the positions -77 and -63 relative to the transcription start site were identified in the VCAM-1 promoter (27,28). The role of these κB binding sites in the induction of the VCAM-1 gene is not fully understood and may depend on the type of stimulus. For example, NF-κB binding appears to be critical in TNF-α or LPS-induced VCAM-1 expression (27,28,61). In contrast, IL-4-mediated induction of the VCAM-1 gene is independent of NF-κB activation. This phenomenon was reported both in endothelial cells (29) and in other types of vascular cells (30). These

conflicting reports on the role of NF-κB activation in VCAM-1 gene expression prompted us to investigate the role of this transcription factor in linoleic acid-mediated stimulation of VCAM-1 in HMEC-1. In the present study, two different lines of experiments proved that linoleic acid-induced activation of NF-κB and induction of the VCAM-1 gene are interrelated. First, pretreatment of the HMEC-1 with inhibitors of NF-κB activation, such as salicylates or PDTC, completely inhibited linoleic acid-induced VCAM-1 expression (Figure 5). Second, reporter gene assays were performed using normal VCAM-1 promoter reporter construct as well as similar construct but with mutated NF-κB binding site. As indicated in Figure 6, mutation of the NF-κB binding site in the VCAM-1 promoter region completely abolished linoleic acid-induced expression of the reporter gene. These results specifically indicate the importance of NF-κB activation in linoleic acid-induced expression of the VCAM-1 gene. On the other hand, low doses of linoleic acid, such as 10 or 25 μM stimulated VCAM-1 expression (Figures 3 and 4) but were not sufficient to activate NF-κB. To explain this discrepancy, it should be pointed out that the promoter region of the VCAM-1 gene contains binding sites not only for NF-κB but also for several other transcription factors, such as AP-1, SP-1, GATA-1 or Ets (27,28). It is possible that in low concentrations of linoleic acid these other transcription factors may participate in induction of the VCAM-1 gene. In contrast, in higher concentrations of linoleic acid, such as 50 μM, it appears that activation of NF-κB is the critical factor in induction of VCAM-1 expression.

In conclusion, our studies have demonstrated that linoleic acid induces VCAM-1 expression in human microvascular endothelial cells through the activation of transcription factor NF-κB. More importantly, because the ability of cancer cells to interact with the endothelium appears to be a prerequisite for the potential of distant metastasis and because VCAM-1 is considered to be a crucial adhesion molecule in this process, the present study may have significant therapeutic implications in developing a novel strategy against cancer metastasis. Finally, these studies provide a mechanistic insight of the role of specific dietary lipids in metastasis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

1. Doll, R, and Peto, R: The causes of cancer: quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer in the United States today. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **66**, 1191-1308, 1981.

2. Key, T: Risk factors for prostate cancer. *Cancer Surv* **23**, 63-77, 1995.

3. Lipworth, L: Epidemiology of breast cancer. *J Cancer Prev* **4**, 7-30, 1995.

4. Lee, MM, and Lin, SS: Dietary fat and breast cancer. *Annu Rev Nutr* **20**, 221-248, 2000.

5. Prentice, RL: Measurement error and results from analytic epidemiology: dietary fat and breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **88**, 1738-1747, 1996.

6. Prentice, RL, Kakar, F, Hursting, S, Sheppard, L, Klein, R, et al.: Aspects of the rationale for the Women's Health Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **80**, 802-814, 1988.

7. Wu, AH, Pike, MC, and Stram, DO: Meta-analysis: dietary fat intake, serum estrogen levels, and the risk of breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **91**, 529-534, 1999.

8. Willett, WC, Hunter, DJ, Stampfer, MJ, Colditz, G, Manson, JE, et al.: Dietary fat and fiber in relation to risk of breast cancer. An 8-year follow-up. *JAMA* **268**, 2037-2044, 1992.

9. Hunter, DJ, Spiegelman, D, Adami, HO, Beeson, L, van den Brandt, PA, et al.: Cohort studies of fat intake and the risk of breast cancer: A pooled analysis. *N Engl J Med* **334**, 356-361, 1996.

10. Holmes, MD, Hunter, DJ, Colditz, GA, Stampfer, MJ, Hankinson, SE, et al.: Association of dietary intake of fat and fatty acids with risk of breast cancer. *JAMA* **281**, 914-920, 1999.

11. Cohen, LA: Dietary fat and mammary cancer. In: Reddy, BS and Cohen, LA (eds.), Diet, Nutrition, and Cancer: A Critical Evaluation, pp. 77-100. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, 1986.

12. Ip, C, Carter, CA, and Ip, MM: Requirement of essential fatty acid for mammary tumorigenesis in the rat. *Cancer Res* **45**, 1997-2001, 1985.

13. Ip, C: Fat and essential fatty acid in mammary carcinogenesis. *Am J Clin Nutr* **45**, 218-224, 1987.

14. Welsch, CW: Relationship between dietary fat and experimental mammary tumorigenesis: a review and critique. *Cancer Res* **52**, 2040s-2048s, 1992.

15. Hubbard, NE, and Erickson, KL: Role of dietary oleic acid in linoleic acid-enhanced metastasis of a mouse mammary tumor. *Cancer Lett* **56,** 165-171, 1991.

16. Maemura, M, and Dickson, RB: Are cellular adhesion molecules involved in the metastasis of breast cancer? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **32,** 239-260, 1994.

17. Saiki, I: Cell adhesion molecules and cancer metastasis. *Jpn J Pharmacol* **75,** 215-242, 1997.

18. Moss, MA, Zimmer, S, and Anderson, KW: Role of metastatic potential in the adhesion of human breast cancer cells to endothelial monolayers. *Anticancer Res* **20,** 1425-1433, 2000.

19. Byrne, GJ, Ghellal, A, Iddon, J, Blann, AD, Venizelos, V, et al.: Serum soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1: role as a surrogate marker of angiogenesis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **92,** 1329-1336, 2000.

20. Yoo, NC, Chung, HC, Chung, HC, Park, JO, Rha, SY, et al.: Synchronous elevation of soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) correlates with gastric cancer progression. *Yonsei Med J* **39,** 27-36, 1998.

21. Papadimitriou, MN, Menter, DG, Konstantopoulos, K, Nicolson, GL, and McIntire, LV: Integrin alpha4beta1/VCAM-1 pathway mediates primary adhesion of RAW117 lymphoma cells to hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells under flow. *Clin Exp Metastasis* **17,** 669-676, 1997.

22. Vidal-Vanaclocha, F, Fantuzzi, G, Mendoza, L, Fuentes, AM, Anasagasti, MJ, et al.: IL-18 regulates IL-1beta-dependent hepatic melanoma metastasis via vascular cell adhesion molecule-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97,** 734-739, 2000.

23. Okada, T, Hawley, RG, Kodaka, M, and Okuno, H: Significance of VLA-4-VCAM-1 interaction and CD44 for transendothelial invasion in a bone marrow metastatic myeloma model. *Clin Exp Metastasis* **17,** 623-629, 1999.

24. Brayton, J, Qing, Z, Hart, MN, VanGilder, JC, and Fabry, Z: Influence of adhesion molecule expression by human brain microvessel endothelium on cancer cell adhesion. *J Neuroimmunol* **89,** 104-112, 1998.

25. Osborn, L, Hession, C, Tizard, R, Vassallo, C, Luhowskyj, S, et al.: Direct expression cloning of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, a cytokine-induced endothelial protein that binds to lymphocytes. *Cell* **59,** 1203-1211, 1989.

26. Elices, MJ, Osborn, L, Takada, Y, Crouse, C, Luhowskyj, S, et al.: VCAM-1 on activated endothelium interacts with the leukocyte integrin VLA-4 at a site distinct from the VLA-4/fibronectin binding site. *Cell* **60,** 577-584, 1990.

27. Neish, AS, Williams, AJ, Palmer, HJ, Whitley, MZ, and Collins, T: Functional analysis of the human vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 promoter. *J Exp Med* **176,** 1583-1593, 1992.

28. Iademarco, MF, McQuillan, JJ, Rosen, GD, and Dean, DC: Characterization of the promoter. for vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1). *J Biol Chem* **267,** 16323-16329, 1992.

29. Lee, YW, Kuhn, H, Hennig, B, Neish, AS, and Toborek, M: IL-4-induced oxidative stress upregulates VCAM-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **33,** 83-94, 2001.

30. Lavie, J., Dandre, F., Louis, H., Lamaziere, J. M., and Bonnet, J. Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 gene expression during human smooth muscle cell differentiation is independent of NF-κB activation. *J Biol Chem* **274,** 2308-2314, 1999.

31. Toborek, M, and Hennig, B: Fatty acid-mediated effects on the glutathione redox cycle in cultured endothelial cells. *Am J Clin Nutr* **59,** 60-65, 1994.

32. Toborek, M, Blanc, E, Kaiser, S, Mattson, MP, and Hennig, B: Linoleic acid potentiates TNF-mediated oxidative stress, disruption of calcium homeostasis and apoptosis of cultured vascular endothelial cells. *J Lipid Res* **38,** 219-231, 1997.

33. Beg, AA, Finco, TS, Nantermet, PV, and Baldwin, AS: Tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-1 lead to phosphorylation and loss of IκB alpha: a mechanism for NF-κB activation. *Mol Cell Biol* **13,** 3301-3310, 1993.

34. Meagher, L, Mahiouz, D, Sugars, K, Burrows, N, Norris, P, et al.: Measurement of mRNA for E-selection, VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 by reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction. *J Immunol Meth* **175,** 237-246, 1994.

35. Ballester, A, Velasco, A, Tobena, R, and Alemany, S: Cot kinase activates tumor necrosis factor-α gene expression in a cyclosporin A-resistant manner. *J Biol Chem* **273,** 14099-14106, 1998.

36. Kaiser, S, and Toborek, M: Liposome-mediated high-efficiency transfection of human endothelial cells. *J Vasc Res* **38,** 133-143, 2001.

37.   Neish, AS, Khachigian, LM, Park, A, Baichwal, VR, and Collins, T: Sp1 is a component of the cytokine-inducible enhancer in the promoter of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1. *J Biol Chem* **270,** 28903-28909, 1995.

38.   Gorman, CM, Moffat, LF, and Howard, BH: Recombinant genomes which express chloramphenicol acetyltransferase in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **2,** 1044-1051, 1982.

39.   Cavallaro, U, and Christofori, G: Molecular mechanisms of tumor angiogenesis and tumor progression. *J Neurooncol* **50,** 63-70, 2000.

40.   Lee, TH, Hoover, RL, Williams, JD, Sperling, RI, Ravalese, J, et al.: Effect of dietary enrichment with eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids on in vitro neutrophil and monocyte leucotriene generation and neutrophil function. *N Engl J Med* **312,** 1217-1224, 1985.

41.   Li, H, Cybulsky, MI, Gimbrone, MA, and Libby, P: An atherogenic diet rapidly induces VCAM-1, a cytokine regulatable mononuclear leukocyte adhesion molecule, in rabbit aortic endothelium. *Arterioscler Thromb* **13,** 197-204, 1993.

43.   Twisk, AJ, Rutten, FA, Schomagel-Hoeben, K, and Kraal, G: The influence of dietary fat on the interaction of lymphocytes with high endothelial venules. *Immunology* **186,** 394-409, 1992.

44.   Bartsch, H, Nair, J, and Owen, RW: Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and cancers of the breast and colorectum: emerging evidence for their role as risk modifiers. *Carcinogenesis* **20,** 2209-2218, 1999.

45.   Toborek, M, and Hennig, B: The role of linoleic acid in endothelial cell gene expression. Relationship to atherosclerosis. *Subcell Biochem* **30,** 415-436, 1998.

46.   Cosgrove, JP, Church, DF, and Pryor, WA: The kinetics of the autoxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Lipids* **22,** 299-304, 1987.

47.   Alexander-North, LS, North, JA, Kiminyo, KP, Buettner, GR, and Spector, AA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids increase lipid radical formation induced by oxidant stress in endothelial cells. *J Lipid Res* **35,** 1773-1785, 1994.

48.   Hennig, B, Wang, Y, Boissonneault, GA, Alvarado, A, and Glauert, HP: Effects of fatty acids enrichment on the induction of peroxisomal enzymes in cultured porcine endothelial cells. *Biochem Arch* **6,** 141-146, 1990.

49. Tamura, H, and Shibamoto, T: Gas chromatographic analysis of malonaldehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-(E)-nonenal produced from arachidonic acid and linoleic acid in a lipid peroxidation model system. *Lipids* **26,** 170-173, 1991.

50. Tang, K, and Honn, KV: 12(S)-HETE in cancer metastasis. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **447,** 181-191, 1999.

51. Toborek, M, Barger, SW, Mattson, MP, Barve, S, McClain, CJ, et al.: Linoleic acid and TNF-α cross- amplify oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells. *J Lipid Res* **37,** 123-135, 1996.

52. Young, VM, Toborek, M, Yang, F, McClain, CJ, and Hennig, B: Effect of linoleic acid on endothelial cell inflammatory mediators. *Metabolism* **47,** 566-572, 1998.

53. Toborek, M, and Kaiser, S: Endothelial cell functions. Relationship to atherogenesis. *Basic Res Cardiol* **94,** 295-314, 1999.

54. Somers, PK, Medford, RM, and Saxena, U: Dithiocarbamates: Effects on lipid hydroperoxides and vascular inflammatory gene expression. *Free Rad Biol Med* **28,** 1532-1537, 2000.

55. De Caterina, R, Bernini, W, Carluccio, MA, Liao, JK, and Libby, P: Structural requirements for inhibition of cytokine-induced endothelial activation by unsaturated fatty acids. *J Lipid Res* **39,** 1062-1070, 1998.

56. Hennig, B, Toborek, M, Joshi-Barve, S, Barger, SW, Barve, S, et al.: Linoleic acid activates nuclear transcription factor-κB (NF-κB) and induces NF-κB-dependent transcription in cultured endothelial cells. *Am J Clin Nutr* **63,** 322-328, 1996.

57. Kopp, E, and Ghosh, S: Inhibition of NF-κB by sodium salicylate and aspirin. *Science* **265,** 956-959, 1994.

58. Takashiba, S, Van Dyke, TE, and Amar, S: Inhibition of nuclear factor kappa B subunit p65 mRNA accumulation in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated human monocytic cells treated with sodium salicylate. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* **11,** 420-424, 1996.

59. Marui, N, Offermann, MK, Swerlick, R, Kunsch, C, Rosen, CA, et al.: Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) gene transcription and expression are regulated through an antioxidant-sensitive mechanism in human vascular endothelial cells. *J Clin Invest* **92,** 1866-1874, 1993.

60. Weber, C, Erl, W, Pietsch, A, Strobel, M, Ziegler-Heitbrock, HW, et al.: Antioxidants

inhibit monocyte adhesion by suppressing nuclear factor-κB mobilization and induction of vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 in endothelial cells stimulated to generate radicals. *Arterioscler Thromb* **14,** 1665-1673, 1994.

61.   Collins, T, Read, MA, Neish, AS, Whitley, MZ, Thanos, D, et al.: Transcriptional regulation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules: NF-kappa B and cytokine-inducible enhancers. *FASEB J* **9,** 899-909, 1995.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1A. Treatment with 50 μM linoleic acid (LA) enhances NF-κB binding in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) as analyzed by EMSA. HMEC-1 were either untreated (lane 2) or treated for 2 h with increasing doses of linoleic acid (lanes 4-6). Competition study was performed by the addition of excess unlabeled oligonucleotide (lane 7) using nuclear extracts from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid. Lane 1, probe alone; lane 3, LPS (1 μg/mL, positive control).

Figure 1B. Supershift analysis of linoleic acid (LA)-induced NF-κB binding activity in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Nuclear extracts were prepared from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid for 2 h (lanes 2-4) and incubated with anti-p50 antibody (lane 3) or anti-p65 antibody (lane 4) for 25 min before the addition of ^{32}P-labeled probe. Lane 1, probe alone. SS indicate the bands shifted by specific antibodies.

Figure 2. Pretreatment with aspirin, sodium salicylate or PDTC blocks linoleic acid (LA)-induced NF-κB DNA-binding activity in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) as measured by EMSA. HMEC-1 were pretreated for 1 h with indicated concentrations of (A) aspirin, (B) sodium salicylate, or (C) for 30 min with PDTC, before a 2 h treatment with 50 μM of linoleic acid (lanes 4-6). Lane 1, probe alone; lane 2, treatment with 50 μM linoleic acid alone; lane 7, competition study performed by the addition of excess unlabeled oligonucleotide using nuclear extract from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid.

Figure 3. Time- and dose-dependent upregulation of the VCAM-1 mRNA expression in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) induced by linoleic acid as measured by RT-PCR. HMEC-1 were exposed to (A) 50 μM of linoleic acid for the indicated period of time, or (B) treated with increasing concentrations of linoleic acid for 4 h. PCR products were analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized using phosphoimaging. The predicted sizes of

RT-PCR products for VCAM-1 and β-actin are 260 bp and 188 bp, respectively. M, molecular weight markers (100-bp DNA ladder).

Figure 4. Linoleic acid increases VCAM-1 protein expression in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) as measured by flow cytometry. HMEC-1 were exposed to increasing concentrations of linoleic acid for 12 h. Data are mean ± SD, expressed as relative fluorescence intensity and corrected for unspecific binding. *Values in the group treated with linoleic acid are statistically significant as compared to the untreated control (P<0.05).

Figure 5. Pretreatment with aspirin, sodium salicylate or PDTC impedes the induction of VCAM-1 mRNA expression in linoleic acid-treated human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were pretreated for 1 h with indicated concentrations of (A) aspirin, (B) sodium salicylate, or (C) for 30 min with PDTC, before a 4 h treatment with 50 μM of linoleic acid and assayed for VCAM-1 mRNA expression by RT-PCR. LPS (1 μg/mL) was used as positive control.

Figure 6. Functional analysis of the NF-κB binding site of the human VCAM-1 promoter in linoleic acid-treated human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were transfected with the pF3-CAT3 or the pF3-mNF-κB-CAT3 construct and either untreated or treated with linoleic acid (50 μM) for 24 h. Mutation of the NF-κB site in the VCAM-1 promoter construct completely inhibited linoleic acid-induced CAT activity. *Values in the group treated with linoleic acid are statistically different as compared to the untreated control (P<0.05).

Probe
Control
LPS
LA, 10 μM
LA, 25 μM
LA, 50 μM
LA + Competitor

Probe
LA 50 μM
LA + anti-p50
LA + anti-p65

NF-κB →

← SS

Free
Probe →

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

1 2 3 4

(A)

(B)

NF-κB→

Free Probe→

Probe
Control
LA
LA + Aspirin, 2 mM
LA + Aspirin, 5 mM
LA + Aspirin, 10 mM
LA + Competitor

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

(A)

Probe
Control
LA
LA + Na-salicylate, 1 mM
LA + Na-salicylate, 5 mM
LA + Na-salicylate, 10 mM
LA + Competitor

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

(B)

Probe
Control
LA 50 µM
LA + PDTC, 1 µM
LA + PDTC, 10 µM
LA + PDTC, 25 µM
LA + Competitor

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

(C)

(A)

(B)

LPS   :   -   +   -   -   -   -
Aspirin   :   -   -   -   2   5   10   (mM)
Linoleic acid :   -   -   +   +   +   +

300 bp→   ←VCAM-1

                                                 ← β-Actin

100 bp→

(A)

LPS   :   -   +   -   -   -   -
Na-salicylate :   -   -   -   1   5   10   (mM)
Linoleic acid :   -   -   +   +   +   +

300 bp→   ←VCAM-1

                                                 ← β-Actin

100 bp→

(B)

PDTC   :   -   -   1   10   25   (μM)
Linoleic acid :   -   +   +   +   +

300 bp→   ← VCAM-1

100 bp→   ← β-Actin

(C)

ELSEVIER

Linoleic acid induces MCP-1 gene expression in human microvascular endothelial cells through an oxidative mechanism

Yong Woo Lee[a], Hyen Joo Park[a], Bernhard Hennig[b], Michal Toborek[a],*

[a]*Department of Surgery, Division of Neurosurgery, University of Kentucky Medical Center, 800 Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40536, USA*
[b]*Department of Animal Sciences, University of Kentucky 213 W. P. Garrigus Building, Lexington, KY 40546-0215, USA*

Abstract

Linoleic acid is a dietary fatty acid that appears to play an important role in activation of the vascular endothelium under a variety of pathological conditions, including development of atherosclerosis or cancer metastasis. Evidence indicates that inflammatory responses may be an underlying cause of endothelial cell pathology induced by linoleic acid. However, the profile of inflammatory mediators and the potential mechanisms involved in inflammatory reactions stimulated by the exposure to linoleic acid are not fully understood. The present study focused on the mechanisms of linoleic acid-induced expression of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) gene in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Treatment of HMEC-1 with increasing doses of linoleic acid markedly activated an oxidative stress-responsive transcription factor, nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB). In addition, exposure to linoleic acid induced a time- and concentration-dependent overexpression of the MCP-1 gene. Increased MCP-1 mRNA levels were observed in HMEC-1 treated with linoleic acid at doses as low as 10 μM. Linoleic acid-induced overexpression of the MCP-1 gene was associated with a significant elevation of MCP-1 protein levels. Most importantly, preexposure of HMEC-1 to antioxidants, such as pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC) or N-acetylcysteine (NAC), attenuated linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 mRNA expression. The obtained results indicate that linoleic acid triggers MCP-1 gene expression in human microvascular endothelial cells through oxidative stress/redox-related mechanisms. © 2001 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: dietary fatty acids; vascular endothelium; cancer metastasis; atherosclerosis; oxidative stress

1. Introduction

Induction of inflammatory genes plays an important role in the physiological and pathological functions of the vascular endothelium. For example, the overexpression of adhesion molecules on the surface of endothelial cells may stimulate adhesion and migration of both tumor cells or monocytes/macrophages across the vascular endothelium [1]. In addition, increased expression of chemokines, such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) may play a critical role in the biology of vascular dysfunction. A member of the CC chemokine family, human MCP-1 stimulates chemotaxis and transmigration of monocytes, lymphocytes, and granulocytes [2]. Increased production of MCP-1 may be involved in a variety of processes, including early phases of atherosclerosis [3,4] and cancer metastasis [5–7].

There are at least two distinct mechanisms by which MCP-1 may participate in cancer metastasis: MCP-1 may induce the unidirectorial migration of inflammatory cells [2]. MCP-1 may be chemotactic to tumor cells [5]. This latter effect was demonstrated using MCF-7 cells, a cell line obtained from human breast carcinoma [5]. The chemotactic influence of MCP-1 on tumor cells was shown to be mediated by a receptor-stimulated signaling pathway [8]. Thus, it appears that MCP-1 can directly attract tumor cells and induce tumor cell migration across the vascular endothelium with the subsequent generation of tumor metastasis. In addition to such direct effects, chemotactic properties of MCP-1 towards leukocytes may also indirectly affect tumor metastasis. Leukocytes attracted and activated by MCP-1 in the proximity of the endothelium can migrate across the endothelium and degrade extracellular matrix proteins, which separate the endothelium from the underlying layers of the vascular wall [9,10]. Such a process can markedly facilitate invasion of tumor cells, a process associated with the development of metastasis. To support the role of

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-859-323-4094; fax: +1- 859-323-2705
    E-mail address: mjtobo00@pop.uky.edu (M. Toborek).

MCP-1 in tumor metastasis, it was demonstrated that levels of this chemokine were elevated in serum of ovarian cancer patients [6] and in urine of patients with bladder cancer [7]. In fact, the urinary MCP-1 levels were strongly correlated with tumor stage, grade, and distant metastasis [7].

Selected dietary fatty acids can modulate inflammatory responses in numerous tissues, including the vascular endothelium [11]. However, it appears that the effects mediated by individual fatty acids are very specific, and are influenced by diet and types of dietary fat. Among different dietary fatty acids, linoleic acid may play one of the most critical roles in induction of alterations of endothelial cell metabolism [11,12]. It was reported that this fatty acid can disrupt endothelial cell integrity, alter functions of gap-junctional proteins [13], increase levels of intracellular calcium, and induce cellular oxidative stress [14]. In clinical studies, a positive correlation was found between linoleic acid levels in the phospholipid fractions of human coronary arteries and ischemic heart disease [15] as well as between concentrations of linoleic acid in adipose tissue and the degree of coronary artery disease [16]. Evidence also indicates that dietary linoleic acid also can promote carcinogenesis. In fact, it was demonstrated that when the dietary content of linoleic acid exceeded 4–5% of total calories, any additional fat linearly increased chemically-induced tumor incidence [17,18]. In addition to its role in carcinogenesis, dietary linoleic acid can also enhance the metastatic formation of mammary tumors. For example, a linoleic acid-enriched diet increased the rate of metastasis of mammary cancer to the lung in rats [19]. However, detailed mechanisms of linoleic acid-stimulated cancer metastasis are not fully understood, and we hypothesize that induction of vascular endothelial cell inflammatory genes, such as genes encoding for adhesion molecules or chemokines, including MCP-1, may markedly contribute to carcinogenesis and cancer metastasis induced by this fatty acid.

Because of the importance of MCP-1 induction in vascular biology, and because of the involvement of linoleic acid in the pathology of the vascular endothelium, the present study was designed to examine the regulatory mechanisms of linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 gene expression in microvascular endothelial cells. We demonstrate that linoleic acid can trigger overexpression of the MCP-1 gene, leading to increased MCP-1 production, through an oxidative stress-related mechanism.

2. Methods

2.1 Endothelial cell culture

Human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) were a generous gift from Dr. Eric Smart (University of Kentucky Medical Center). HMEC-1 were cultured in MCDB 131 media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1 μg/ml hydro-

cortisone and 0.01 μg/ml epidermal growth factor in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at 37°C. Linoleic acid (>99% pure) was obtained from Nu-Chek Prep (Elysian, MN). The medium was enriched with linoleic acid as described previously [20].

In selected experiments, HMEC-1 were pretreated for 30 min with pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at the levels of up to 25 μM or with N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at the levels of up to 50 mM.

2.2. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Nuclear extracts from HMEC-1 were prepared according to the method of Beg et al [21] as described earlier [22]. Binding reactions were performed in a 20 μl volume containing 6 μg of nuclear protein extracts, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 2 μg of poly[dI-dC] (nonspecific competitor) and 40,000 cpm of ^{32}P-labeled specific oligonucleotides that contained the NF-κB sequence specific for the NF-κB site binding site in the MCP-1 promoter (5′-AGA GTG GGA ATT TCC ACT CA-3′). The resultant protein-DNA complexes were resolved on native 5% polyacrylamide gels using 0.25 × TBE buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, 45 mM boric acid, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.4). Competition studies were performed by the addition of a molar excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide to the binding reaction. Rabbit polyclonal anti-p50 and anti-p65 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) were employed in supershift experiments.

2.3. Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted by the use of TRI reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and reverse-transcribed at 42°C for 60 min in 20 μl of 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM dNTP, 1 unit/μl of recombinant RNasin ribonuclease inhibitor, 15 units/μg of AMV reverse transcriptase, and 0.5 μg of oligo(dT)$_{15}$ primer [22]. For amplification of MCP-1 and of β-actin (a housekeeping gene), the following primer combinations were used: 5′-CAG CCA GAT GCA ATC AAT GC-3′ and 5′-GTG GTC CAT GGA ATC CTG AA-3′ (MCP-1; expecting 198-bp fragment; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and 5′-AGC ACA ATG AAG ATC AAG AT-3′ and 5′-TGT AAC GCA ACT AAG TCA TA-3′ (β-actin; expecting 188-bp fragment) [23]. The PCR mixture consisted of a Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 2 μl of the reverse transcriptase reaction, and 20 pmol of primer pairs in a total volume of 50 μl. Thermocycling was performed according to the following profile: 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min, repeated 20 times. Amplification was linear within the range of 15–25 cycles. PCR products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with SYBR® Green I (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and

visualized using phosphoimaging technology (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CN).

2.4. Measurement of MCP-1 production

MCP-1 concentrations in cell culture supernatants were determined using a Quantikine® Human MCP-1 Immunoassay kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. This assay employs the quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique using a murine monoclonal antibody against human MCP-1 and a polyclonal secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. The minimum detectable concentration of MCP-1 was less than 5.0 pg/ml.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Routine statistical analysis of data was completed using SYSTAT 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). One-way ANOVA was used to compare responses among the treatments. The treatment means were compared using Bonferroni least significant difference procedure. Statistical probability of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Linoleic acid activates NF-κB binding in microvascular endothelial cells

NF-κB is an oxidative stress-responsive transcription factor, which is involved in transcriptional regulation of a variety of inflammatory genes [24]. In addition, activation of NF-κB can serve as a sensitive marker of oxidative stress and alterations in cellular redox status. To determine if linoleic acid can activate NF-κB in HMEC-1, cells were exposed up to 50 μM of this fatty acid for 2 h and NF-κB binding was analyzed by EMSA, using nuclear extracts from the treated cells. As shown in Figure 1, a slight endogenous activity of NF-κB was observed in control cultures (lane 2). However, when the HMEC-1 were stimulated with linoleic acid, a marked increase in NF-κB binding activity was detected (lanes 3–5). This binding was completely inhibited by an unlabeled competitor DNA containing the consensus NF-κB sequence (lane 6). In addition, the identity of NF-κB binding was confirmed by experiments in which nuclear extracts isolated from linoleic acid-treated cultures were incubated with antibodies against specific NF-κB subunits prior to adding the radioactive NF- κB oligonucleotide probe. As indicated, incubation with both anti-NF-κB p50 or anti-NF-κB p65 antibody resulted in a marked decrease in intensity of the NF-κB band (lanes 7 and 8).


Fig. 1. Linoleic acid enhances NF-κB binding in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were either untreated (lane 2) or treated with increasing doses of linoleic acid (lanes 3–5) for 2 h. Nuclear extracts were analyzed by EMSA. Competition study and supershift analysis were performed by the addition of excess unlabeled oligonucleotide (lane 6) and anti-NF-κB antibody (anti-p50 and anti-p65, lanes 7 and 8, respectively), using nuclear extracts from HMEC-1 stimulated by 50 μM of linoleic acid for 2 h.

3.2. Linoleic acid stimulates MCP-1 gene expression and protein production in microvascular endothelial cells

Figure 2 indicates the effects of linoleic acid on MCP-1 mRNA expression in HMEC-1 using a semi-quantitative RT-PCR technique. As indicated, low levels of MCP-1 mRNA were observed in control cell cultures. In addition, treatment of HMEC-1 with 50 μM of linoleic acid markedly and in a time-dependent way increased accumulation of MCP-1 mRNA (Figure 2A). Upregulation of the MCP-1 mRNA expression was already detected 1 h after linoleic acid treatment and reached the maximum levels at 3 and 4 h. Figure 2B indicates that

Exposure time (hrs)

Fig. 2A. Time-dependent upregulation of MCP-1 mRNA expression by linoleic acid in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were exposed to 50 μM linoleic acid for up to 4 h. The levels of MCP-1 mRNA were determined by RT-PCR. PCR products were analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized using phosphoimaging. The predicted sizes of RT-PCR products for MCP-1 and β-actin (represented by arrows) are 198 bp and 188 bp, respectively. M, molecular weight markers (100-bp DNA ladder).

linoleic acid-induced stimulation of the MCP-1 mRNA is dose dependent. Maximal induction of the MCP-1 gene was detected in HMEC-1 exposed to linoleic acid at the dose of 50 μM.

The quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique was employed to determine whether linoleic acid-mediated induction of the MCP-1 gene is paralleled by a concomitant production of MCP-1 protein. Concentration of MCP-1 protein was determined in culture supernatants from HMEC-1 treated with different doses of linoleic acid for 16 h (Figure 3). Consistent with the data on MCP-1 gene expression, treatment with linoleic acid resulted in a dose-

Linoleic acid (μM)

Figure 2B. Dose-dependent upregulation of MCP-1 mRNA expression by linoleic acid in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of linoleic acid for 4 h. The levels of MCP-1 mRNA were determined as described in the legend to Figure 2A.


Fig. 3. Linoleic acid increases production of MCP-1 protein in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of linoleic acid for 16 h. Concentration of MCP-1 was measured by ELISA in the aliquots of culture media. Values represent mean ± SD. *Statistically significant compared to the control group (P <0.05).

dependent upregulation of MCP-1 protein levels. Significant elevations of MCP-1 levels were observed in cultures exposed to 10 and 50 μM of linoleic acid.

3.3. Antioxidants attenuate linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 gene expression

To determine whether linoleic acid-mediated MCP-1 gene expression is mediated by an oxidative stress-related mechanism, HMEC-1 were pretreated for 30 min either with pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC) or with N-acetylcysteine (NAC), followed by a 4 h treatment with 50 μM of linoleic acid. Both PDTC and NAC are widely used as antioxidant compounds to study redox regulation of intracellular signaling pathways and of cell function [25,26]. As shown in Figure 4A, PDTC attenuated linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 mRNA levels. Similar effects were observed when HMEC-1 were pretreated with NAC prior to exposure to linoleic acid (Figure 4B).

4. Discussion

Linoleic acid is the major dietary fatty acid present in high concentrations in corn, soy, sunflower, or safflower oils. It is estimated that it provides approximately 7–8% of the average dietary energy intake [27]. Such a high consumption of linoleic acid may markedly affect endothelial cell metabolism. It is widely recognized that the lipid composition of plasma lipoproteins is closely related to dietary fat intake [28]. In addition, it has been proposed that hydrolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins mediated by lipoprotein lipase, a key enzyme in lipoprotein metabolism

PDTC   :   -   -   1   10   25

Linoleic acid :   -   +   +   +   +

200 bp →   ← MCP-1

200 bp →   ← β-Actin

Fig. 4A. Pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate (PDTC) inhibits linoleic acid-induced induction of MCP-1 mRNA in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were pretreated with indicated amounts of PDTC for 30 min before a 4 h treatment with 50 μM linoleic acid and analyzed for MCP-1 mRNA by RT-PCR as described in the legend to Figure 2A.

that is associated with the luminal site of endothelial cells, may be an important source of high concentrations of fatty acid anions in the proximity to the endothelium [29,30]. Therefore, the fatty acids which are exposed to endothelial cells are correlated with the type of fat that is being consumed [28,31].

Linoleic acid may be one of the most important dietary factors which can activate the vascular endothelium, a process which is involved in a variety of pathological conditions, such as early atherosclerotic changes or induction of cancer metastasis. Research from our laboratories demonstrated that exposure of endothelial cells to this fatty acid can induce profound inflammatory responses, demonstrated by increased production of adhesion molecules and inflammatory cytokines [32–34]. In line with these earlier reports, the results of the present study indicate that exposure of

NAC   : -   -   1   25   50

Linoleic acid :   -   +   +   +   +

200 bp →   ← MCP-1

200 bp →   ← β-Actin

Figure 4B. N-Acetylcysteine (NAC) inhibits linoleic acid-induced induction of MCP-1 mRNA in human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). Cells were pretreated with indicated amounts of NAC for 30 min before a 4 h treatment with 50 μM linoleic acid and analyzed for MCP-1 mRNA by RT-PCR as described in the legend to Figure 2A.

HMEC-1 to linoleic acid can induce MCP-1 gene expression through an oxidative stress-related mechanism. We demonstrated that pretreatments of endothelial cells either with PDTC or with NAC attenuated linoleic acid-induced elevation of the MCP-1 mRNA levels (Figure 4). Several lines of evidence can explain this phenomenon. For example, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and in particular linoleic acid, are potent prooxidants. In fact, linoleic acid is considered to be the predominant substrate for lipid peroxidation processes both in lipoproteins, such as low-density lipoproteins (LDL), as well as in tissues [35]. Linoleic acid was demonstrated to a) enhance radical adduct formation in endothelial cells exposed to iron-induced oxidative stress [36], b) decrease glutathione levels [20], and c) increase peroxisomal β-peroxidation [37], a pathway that leads to the production of hydrogen peroxide. Degradation of linoleic acid *via* the cytochrome-P450 pathway also can lead to formation of highly prooxidative and proinflammatory derivatives, such as epoxides and diol metabolites [38]. In support of the hypothesis that expression of human MCP-1 might be regulated by oxidative stress-related mechanisms it was demonstrated that red wine with high antioxidant capacity can inhibit MCP-1 expression and reduce neointimal thickening after balloon injury of the aorta in cholesterol-fed rabbits [39].

Evidence indicates that not only linoleic acid but also a variety of its oxidative derivatives can induce profound proinflammatory responses [38,40]. However, the present study indicated that already a 2 h exposure to linoleic acid was sufficient to markedly elevate the MCP-1 mRNA levels in HMEC-1. Such a very short exposure time suggests that induction of the MCP-1 gene may be caused by a direct effect of linoleic acid rather than by its oxidative metabolites.

Transcriptional mechanisms of linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 gene expression are not fully understood; however, they may involve activation of transcription factors whose binding sites are present in the promoter region of the MCP-1 gene. Evidence indicates that putative binding sites for NF-κB, AP-1, SP-1 and GAS exist in the 5′-flanking region of the human MCP-1 gene [41]. Although activation of these transcription factors appears to be redox-responsive, they are regulated by different and specific mechanisms. It is generally accepted that activation of NF-κB is regulated by increased cellular oxidative stress and/or alterations of glutathione metabolism [42,43]. Evidence indicates that exposure of endothelial cells to linoleic acid can markedly affect glutathione levels. In fact, we observed a significant decrease in cellular glutathione content and increased ratio between oxidized and reduced glutathione in peripheral endothelial cells exposed to this fatty acid [20]. In addition to linoleic acid-induced activation of NF-κB, we have evidence that treatment with this fatty acid can markedly stimulate NF-κB-dependent transcription [14,44]. However, our earlier reports on vascular effects of linoleic acid have been based on cells isolated from major vascular vessels, such as pulmonary artery [14,20,33,38,44] or um-

bilical veins [22,32,34]. It is well known that endothelial cells from different tissues and vessels can differ markedly in their structure and functions [45]. In the present study, we report that linoleic acid can activate NF-κB in microvascular endothelial cells, i.e., the type of endothelial cells that provide a most relevant experimental model to study vascular mechanisms of cancer metastasis. In addition, it should be noted that in the present study linoleic acid-mediated NF-κB activation was detected using the NF- κB oligonucleotide probe specific for the NF-κB binding site of the human MCP-1 promoter region.

AP-1 is another transcription factor that is activated by alterations of cellular redox status. However, the specific mechanisms of such activation appear to be complex. AP-1 is composed of the Jun and Fos gene products, which can form heterodimers (Jun/Fos) or homodimers (Jun/Jun). It has been demonstrated that under specific experimental conditions, both oxidants and antioxidants can lead to activation of this transcription factor [46,47]. For example, oxidation of cysteine residues of c-Fos and c-Jun (Fos Cys-154 and Jun Cys-272, respectively) can convert the AP-1 subunits into inactive forms and inhibit binding activity of this transcription factor [48]. However, oxidative stress also can induce the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade which can lead to AP-1 activation [49]. Linoleic acid and its oxidative derivatives can stimulate both c-Fos and c-Jun mRNA expression, as well as activate MAPK in rat aortic smooth muscle cells [50]. In addition, in support of the possible involvement of NF-κB and AP-1 activation in linoleic acid-induced MCP-1 gene in HMEC-1, the critical role of these transcription factors in MCP-1 gene expression was demonstrated in cells stimulated with tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) [51,52].

The promoter region of the MCP-1 gene also contains GAS and SP-1 binding sites [41,53]. However, their possible involvement in linoleic acid-induced overexpression of the MCP-1 gene is not fully understood. It is known that the transcription factor STAT1α specifically interacts with GAS binding sites. Our unpublished observations indicate that activation of STAT1α can be regulated by cellular oxidative status. However, there is no existing evidence whether this transcription can be activated by linoleic acid treatment in cultured microvascular endothelial cells. In addition, evidence indicates that activation of the SP-1 transcription factor can be regulated by the cellular redox status and that it plays a critical role in interleukin-4-mediated induction of the vascular adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) gene expression [54]. However, at the present time it is unknown if a similar mechanism also is involved in linoleic acid-mediated overexpression of the MCP-1 gene in HMEC-1.

In conclusion, the present study provides compelling evidence that linoleic acid can induce MCP-1 expression in human microvascular endothelial cells, a cell model used for studying mechanisms of cancer metastasis. These data may contribute to a better understanding how dietary lipids can induce production of the inflammatory mediators in the microvasculature and contribute to a variety of pathological alterations, such as cancer metastasis.

References

[1] G.W. Sullivan, I.J. Sarembock, J. Linden, The role of inflammation in vascular diseases, J. Leukoc. Biol. 67 (2000) 591–602.

[2] N. Mukaida, A. Harada, K. Matsushima, Interleukin-8 (IL-8) and monocyte chemotactic and activating factor (MCAF/MCP-1), chemokines essentially involved in inflammatory and immune reactions, Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 9 (1998) 9–23.

[3] N.A. Nelken, S R. Coughlin, D. Gordon, J.N. Wilcox, Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 in human atheromatous plaques, J. Clin. Invest. 88 (1991) 1121–1127.

[4] L. Boring, J. Gosling, M. Cleary, I.F. Charo, Decreased lesion formation in CCR2$^{-/-}$ mice reveals a role for chemokines in the initiation of atherosclerosis, Nature. 394 (1998) 894–897.

[5] S.J. Youngs, S.A. Ali, D.D. Taub, R.C. Rees, Chemokines induce migrational responses in human breast carcinoma cell lines, Int. J. Cancer. 71 (1997) 257–266.

[6] L. Hefler, C. Tempfer, G. Heinze, K. Mayerhofer, G. Breitenecker, S. Leodolter, A. Reinthaller, C. Kainz, Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 serum levels in ovarian cancer patients, Br. J. Cancer. 81 (1999) 855–859.

[7] B. Amann, F.G. Perabo, A. Wirger, H. Hugenschmidt, W. Schultze-Seemann, Urinary levels of monocyte chemo-attractant protein-1 correlate with tumour stage and grade in patients with bladder cancer. Br. J. Urol. 82 (1998) 118–121.

[8] J.M. Wang, O. Chertov, P. Proost, J.J. Li, P. Menton, L. Xu, S. Sozzani, A. Mantovani, W. Gong, V. Schirrmacher, J. Van Damme, J.J. Oppenheim, Purification and identification of chemokines potentially involved in kidney-specific metastasis by a murine lymphoma variant: induction of migration and NFkappaB activation, Int J Cancer. 75 (1998) 900–907.

[9] G. Opdenakker, J. Van Damme, (1992). Chemotactic factors, passive invasion and metastasis of cancer cells, Immunol Today. 13 (1992) 463–464.

[10] G. Opdenakker, J. Van Damme, Cytokines and proteases in invasive processes: molecular similarities between inflammation and cancer, Cytokine, 4 (1992) 251–258.

[11] B. Hennig, M. Toborek, C.J. McClain, High-energy diets, fatty acids and endothelial cell function: implications for atherosclerosis, J Am Coll Nutr, 20 (2 Suppl) (2001) 97–105.

[12] M. Toborek, B. Hennig, The role of linoleic acid in endothelial cell gene expression. Relationship to atherosclerosis, Subcell Biochem, 30 (1998) 415–436.

[13] L.H. de Haan, L. Bosselaers, W.M. Jongen, R.M. Zwijsen, J.H. Koeman, Effect of lipids and aldehydes on gap-junctional intercellular communication between human smooth muscle cells, Carcinogenesis, 15 (1994) 253–256.

[14] M. Toborek, S.W. Barger, M.P. Mattson, S. Barve, C.J. McClain, B. Hennig, Linoleic acid and TNF-α cross-amplify oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells, J Lipid Res, 37 (1996) 123–135.

[15] R. Luostarinen, M. Boberg, T. Saldeen, Fatty acid composition in total phospholipids of human coronary arteries in sudden cardiac death, Atherosclerosis, 99 (1993) 187–193.

[16] J.M. Hodgson, M.L. Wahlqvist, J.A. Boxall, N.D. Balazs, Can linoleic acid contribute to coronary artery disease? Am J Clin Nutr, 58 (1993) 228–234.

[17] C. Ip, C.A. Carter, M.M. Ip, Requirement of essential fatty acid for mammary tumorigenesis in the rat, Cancer Res, 45 (1985) 1997–2001.

[18] C. Ip, Fat and essential fatty acid in mammary carcinogenesis, Am J Clin Nutr, 45 (1987) 218–224.

[19] N.E. Hubbard, K.L. Erickson, Role of dietary oleic acid in linoleic acid-enhanced metastasis of a mouse mammary tumor, Cancer Lett, 56 (1991) 165–171.

[20] M. Toborek, B. Hennig, Fatty acid-mediated effects on the glutathione redox cycle in cultured endothelial cells, Am J Clin Nutr, 59 (1994) 60–65.

[21] A.A. Beg, T.S. Finco, P.V. Nantermet, A.S. Baldwin, Tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-1 lead to phosphorylation and loss of I-κB alpha: a mechanism for NF-κB activation, Mol Cell Biol, 13 (1993) 3301–3310.

[22] M. Toborek, Y.W. Lee, S. Kaiser, B. Hennig, Inflammatory properties of fatty acids. Methods in Enzymology (CK Sen and L Packer; eds.), in press (2001).

[23] A.Ballester, A.Velasco, R. Tobena, S. Alemany, Cot kinase activates tumor necrosis factor-α gene expression in a cyclosporin A-resistant manner, J Biol Chem, 273 (1998) 14099–14106.

[24] R. De Martin, M. Hoeth, R. Hofer-Warbinek, J.A. Schmid, The transcription factor NF-kappa B and the regulation of vascular cell function, Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 20 (2000) E83–E88.

[25] A. Iseki, F. Kambe, K. Okumura, S. Niwata, R. Yamamoto, T. Hayakawa, H. Seo, Pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate inhibits TNF-α-dependent activation of NF-κB by increasing intracellular copper level in human aortic smooth muscle cells, Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 276 (2000) 88–92.

[26] R.M. Faruqi, E.J. Poptic, T.R. Faruqi, C. De La Motte, P.E. DiCorleto, (1997). Distinct mechanisms for N-acetylcysteine inhibition of cytokine-induced E-selection and VCAM-1 expression. Am J Physiol, 273 (1997) H817–H826.

[27] M.J. James, R.A. Gibson, L.G. Cleland, Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and inflammatory mediator production, J Clin Nutr, 71 (2000) (1 Suppl) 343S–348S.

[28] P. Ven, S. Parthasarathy, B.J. Grasse, E. Miller, D. Steinberg, J.L. Witztum, Effects of oleate-rich and linoleate-rich diets on the susceptibility of low density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects, J Clin Invest, 91 (1993) 668–676.

[29] D.B. Zilversmit, A proposal linking atherogenesis to the interaction of endothelial lipoprotein lipase with triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, Circ Res, 33 (1973) 633–638.

[30] D.B. Zilversmit, Atherogenesis: a postprandial phenomenon, Circulation, 60 (1979) 473–485.

[31] S. Tsimikas, A. Philis-Tsimikas, S. Alexopoulos, F. Sigari, C. Lee, P.D. Reaven, LDL isolated from Greek subjects on a typical diet or from American subjects on an oleate-supplemented diet induces less monocyte chemotaxis and adhesion when exposed to oxidative stress, Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 19 (1999) 122–130.

[32] V. Young, M. Toborek, F. Yang, C.J. McClain, B. Hennig, Effect of linoleic acid on endothelial cell inflammatory mediators, Metabolism, 47 (1998) 566–572.

[33] B. Hennig, P. Meerarani, R. Ramadass, B.A. Watkins, M. Toborek, Fatty acid-mediated activation of vascular endothelial cells, Metabolism, 49 (2000) 1006–1013.

[34] M. Toborek, Y.W. Lee, R. Garrido, S. Kaiser, B. Hennig, (2001), Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce an inflammatory environment in human endothelial cells. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., in press.

[35] Spiteller, G, Linoleic acid peroxidation-the dominant lipid peroxidation process in low density lipoprotein-and its relationship to chronic diseases, Chem Phys Lipids, 95 (1998) 105–162.

[36] L.S. Alexander-North, J.A. North, K.P. Kiminyo, G.R. Buettner, A.A. Spector, Polyunsaturated fatty acids increase lipid radical formation induced by oxidant stress in endothelial cells, J Lipid Res, 35 (1994) 1773–1785.

[37] B. Hennig, Y. Wang, G.A. Boissonneault, A. Alvarado, H.P. Glauert, Effects of fatty acids enrichment on the induction of peroxisomal enzymes in cultured porcine endothelial cells, Biochem Arch, 6 (1990) 141–146.

[38] R. Slim, B.D. Hammock, M. Toborek, L.W. Robertson, J.W. Newman, C.H. Morisseau, B.A. Watkins, V. Saraswathi, B. Hennig, The role of methyl-linoleic acid epoxide and diol metabolites in the amplified toxicity of linoleic acid and polychlorinated biphenyls to vascular endothelial cells, Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 171 (2001) 184–193.

[39] A.N. Feng, Y.L. Chen, Y.T. Chen, Y.Z. Ding, S.J. Lin, Red wine inhibits monocyte chemotactic protein-1 expression and modestly reduces neointimal hyperplasia after balloon injury in cholesterol-fed rabbits, Circulation, 100 (1999) 2254–2259.

[40] B. Friedrichs, M. Toborek, B. Hennig, L. Heinevetter, C. Müller, R. Brigelius-Flohé, 13-HPODE and 13-HODE modulate cytokine-induced expression of endothelial cell adhesion molecules differently, Biofactors, 9 (1999) 61–72.

[41] Y.J. Shyy, Y.S. Li, Y.S., P.E. Kolattukudy, Structure of human monocyte chemotactic protein gene and its regulation by TPA, Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 169 (1990) 346–351.

[42] R. Schreck, K. Albermann, P.A. Baeuerle, Nuclear factor kappa B: an oxidative stress-responsive transcription factor of eukaryotic cells, Free Rad Res Commun, 17 (1992) 221–237.

[43] W. Droge, K. Schulze-Osthoff, S. Mihm, D. Galter, Schenk, H., H.P. Eck, S. Roth, H. Gmunder, Functions of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in immunology and immunopathology, FASEB J, 8 (1994) 1131–1138.

[44] B. Hennig, M. Toborek, S. Joshi-Barve, S. Barve, M.P. Mattson, C.J. McClain, Linoleic acid activates NF-κB and induces NF-κB-dependent transcription in cultured endothelial cells, Am J Clin Nutr, 63 (1996) 322–328.

[45] M. Toborek, S. Kaiser, Endothelial cell functions. Relationship to atherogenesis, Basic Res Cardiol, 94 (1999) 295–314.

[46] D. Gius, A. Botero, S. Shah, H.A. Curry, Intracellular oxidation/reduction status in the regulation of transcription factors NF-kappaB and AP-1, Toxicol Lett, 106 (1999) 93–106.

[47] T.C. Hsu, M.R. Young, J. Cmarik, N.H. Colburn, Activator protein 1 (AP-1)- and nuclear factor kappaB (NF-kappaB)-dependent transcriptional events in carcinogenesis, Free Radic Biol Med, 28 (2000) 1338–1348.

[48] S. Xanthoudakis, G. Miao, F. Wang, Y.C. Pan, T. Curran, Redox activation of Fos-Jun DNA binding activity is mediated by a DNA repair enzyme, EMBO J, 11 (1992) 3323–3335.

[49] C.S. Hill, R. Treisman, Transcriptional regulation by extracellular signals: mechanisms and specificity, Cell, 80 (1995) 199–211.

[50] G.N. Rao, R.W. Alexander, M.S. Runge, Linoleic acid and its metabolites, hydroperoxyoctadecadienoic acids, stimulate c-Fos, c-Jun, and c-Myc mRNA expression, mitogen-activated protein kinase activation, and growth in rat aortic smooth muscle cells J Clin Invest, 96 (1995) 842–847.

[51] K.A. Roebuck, L.R. Carpenter, V. Lakshminarayanan, S.M. Page, J.N. Moy, L.L. Thomas, Stimulus-specific regulation of chemokine expression involves differential activation of the redox-responsive transcription factors AP-1 and NF-kappaB, J Leukoc Biol, 65 (1999) 291–298.

[52] T. Martin, P.M. Cardarelli, G.C. Parry, K.A. Felts, R.R. Cobb, Cytokine induction of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells depends on the cooperative action of NF-kappa B and AP-1, Eur J Immunol, 27 (1997) 1091–1097.

[53] Z-H.L. Zhou, P. Chaturvedi, Y. Han, S. Aras, Y. Li, P.E. Kolattukudy, D. Ping, J.M. Boss, R.M. Ransohoff, R.M, IFN-γ induction of the human monocyte chemoattractant protein (hMCP)-1 gene in astrocytoma cells: Functional interaction between an IFN-γ-activated site and a GC-rich element, J Immunol, 160 (1998) 3908–3916.

[54] Y.W. Lee, H. Kühn, B. Hennig, A.S. Neish, M. Toborek, IL-4-induced oxidative stress upregulates VCAM-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells, J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol, 33, 83–94, 2001.

Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce an inflammatory environment in human endothelial cells[1-3]

Michal Toborek, Yong Woo Lee, Rosario Garrido, Simone Kaiser, and Bernhard Hennig

ABSTRACT

Background: Activation of the vascular endothelium by dietary fatty acids may be among the most critical early events in the development of atherosclerosis. However, the specific effects of fatty acids on inflammatory responses in endothelial cells are not fully understood.

Objective: The present study focused on the induction of inflammatory genes in human endothelial cells exposed to individual dietary fatty acids. Because of the significance of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) and activator protein 1 (AP-1) in the regulation of inflammatory gene expression, we also determined the effects of fatty acids on NF-κB and AP-1 transcriptional activation.

Design: Human umbilical vein endothelial cells were exposed to dietary mono- and polyunsaturated 18-carbon fatty acids. Transcriptional activation of NF-κB and AP-1 was determined in human umbilical vein endothelial cells transfected with reporter constructs regulated by these transcription factors. Induction of the inflammatory genes was studied by use of reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction.

Results: Of the fatty acids studied, linoleic acid stimulated NF-κB and AP-1 transcriptional activation the most. In addition, treatment with this fatty acid markedly enhanced messenger RNA levels of tumor necrosis factor α, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1, and intercellular adhesion molecule 1. Treatment with linolenic acid stimulated only a moderate induction of the genes encoding for these inflammatory mediators, and exposure to oleic acid either had no effect or resulted in decreased inflammatory gene messenger RNA. In addition, exposure to both linoleic and linolenic acids strongly stimulated induction of the phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase gene.

Conclusion: Specific unsaturated dietary fatty acids, particularly linoleic acid, can selectively stimulate the development of a proinflammatory environment within the vascular endothelium. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75:119–25.

INTRODUCTION

Activation or dysfunction of the vascular endothelium is one of the first events in the development of atherosclerosis (1, 2), and

See corresponding editorial on page 4.

selected dietary fatty acids may be among the most critical factors that induce these processes. For example, lipids, including selective fatty acids, may cause injury to the endothelium (reviewed in reference 3). It has been proposed that hydrolysis of triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins mediated by lipoprotein lipase, a key enzyme in lipoprotein metabolism that is associated with the luminal site of endothelial cells, may be an important source of high concentrations of fatty acid anions near the endothelium (4, 5). In support of this notion, it was shown that lipoprotein lipase activity is increased in atherosclerotic lesions (5–7). Lipoprotein lipase–derived remnants of lipoproteins isolated from hypertriglyceridemic subjects as well as selective unsaturated fatty acids can disrupt endothelial integrity (8, 9). Because the lipid composition of plasma and tissues is closely related to dietary fat intake (10), exposure of endothelial cells to individual fatty acids can be directly influenced by the types of fatty acids consumed in the diet (10, 11).

Strong evidence indicates that exposure to selected dietary unsaturated 18-carbon fatty acids can directly affect endothelial cell metabolism. Significant amounts of data have been accumulated to show that linoleic acid (18:2n−6) can induce marked injury to endothelial cells. For example, it was reported that this fatty acid can disrupt endothelial cell integrity, alter functions of gap-junctional proteins (12), increase concentrations of intracellular calcium, and induce cellular oxidative stress (13). In addition, the treatment of endothelial cells with linoleic acid and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) can activate caspase 3 activity and induce apoptotic cell death (14, 15). The role of other dietary unsaturated 18-carbon fatty acids in endothelial cell metabolism is less well understood. However, evidence indicates that dietary oleic acid can protect endothelial cells against hydrogen peroxide–induced oxidative stress (16) and reduce the susceptibility of LDLs to oxidative modifications (17).

Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease of the vascular wall (18). Inflammatory reactions in endothelial cells are regulated primarily through the production of chemokines [eg, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1)], inflammatory cytokines (eg, TNF-α), and adhesion molecules [eg, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1)]. Expression of these inflammatory mediators and their effects are closely interrelated. In addition, overexpression of MCP-1 (19), TNF-α (20), and ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 (21) is a common feature of atherosclerotic processes.

Inflammatory genes, such as those encoding for MCP-1, TNF-α, ICAM-1, and VCAM-1, are regulated by a variety of transcription factors (2, 22). It appears that nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) and activator protein 1 (AP-1) play critical roles in these regulatory processes. The binding sites for these transcription factors were identified in the promoter regions of various inflammatory genes (22–25), and increased amounts of NF-κB were found in atherosclerotic vessels (26, 27). In addition, selected fatty acids, such as linoleic acid, can activate NF-κB in endothelial cells (13). Moreover, effects mediated by NF-κB and AP-1 appear to be interrelated. For example, it was shown that TNF-α–mediated induction of VCAM-1 expression requires both activated NF-κB and AP-1 (23).

Phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPx) is an antioxidant enzyme involved in detoxification of lipid hydroperoxides in cellular membranes and lipoproteins (28). Thus, this enzyme may play a critical role in antioxidant protection against oxidative stress induced by unsaturated fatty acids.

Although it is known that selected fatty acids can induce oxidative stress and activate transcription factors responsive to oxidative stress (13), the specific effects of unsaturated fatty acids on inflammatory responses in endothelial cells are not fully understood. Therefore, the focus of the present study was to examine the induction of the inflammatory genes in human endothelial cells exposed to specific 18-carbon, mono- and poly-unsaturated fatty acids. In addition, because of the significance of NF-κB and AP-1 in the regulation of the inflammatory genes, the effects of unsaturated fatty acids on the activity of these transcription factors were also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human umbilical vein endothelial cell cultures and fatty acid treatments

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated as described previously (29) and cultured in enriched M199 medium, which included 25 mmol HEPES/L, 54.3×10^3 U heparin/L, 2 mmol L-glutamine/L, 1 μmol sodium pyruvate/L, 200×10^3 U penicillin/L, 200 mg streptomycin/L, 0.25 mg amphotericin B/L (GibcoBRL, Grand Island, NY), 0.04 g endothelial cell growth supplement/L (Becton Dickinson, Bedford, MA), and 20% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT). Cells were determined to be endothelial in origin by their cobblestone morphology and uptake of fluorescently labeled acetylated LDL (1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). All experiments were performed on cells from passage 2. Confluent cell cultures were treated with ≤180 μmol/L of oleic acid (18:1n−9), linoleic acid, or linolenic acid (18:3n−3) (Nu-Chek Prep, Elysian, MN). Fatty acid–enriched experimental media were prepared as described earlier (9).

To study the dose-dependent effects of specific unsaturated fatty acids on messenger RNA (mRNA) levels of genes critical in the endothelial cell inflammatory response, HUVECs were exposed to 60, 90, and 180 μmol fatty acids/L. Preliminary experiments showed that fatty acids consistently exerted a maximum effect on inflammatory gene induction at the concentration of 90 μmol/L. Therefore, experiments with 180 μmol fatty acids/L were discontinued and data are presented only from studies in which HUVECs were exposed to 60 and 90 μmol unsaturated fatty acids/L.

Transfections and reporter gene assay

Transfections were performed as described earlier (30). Briefly, HUVECs were seeded in 12-well plates and grown to 50–60% confluency in normal growth medium. Then, aliquots of normal M199 medium were mixed with 36 mg/L of a liposome pFx-7 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and with 10 mg/L of NF-κB– or AP-1–responsive plasmids (pNFκB-Luc or pAP1-Luc, respectively) containing a luciferase reporter gene (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The transfection mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 30 min to allow DNA-lipid complexes to form. Endothelial cell cultures were washed with M199 medium to remove serum, and 1 mL transfection solution was added for 1.5 h to each well of the 12-well plate. After incubation, transfection solutions were aspirated and replaced with growth medium for 24 h. Then, transfected cultures were treated with specific unsaturated fatty acids for 24 h. Control groups consisted of transfected HUVEC cultures that were not exposed to fatty acids.

Luciferase activity was measured by use of the Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI). Briefly, culture media were removed and HUVECs were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and incubated with cell culture lysis reagent. Cell lysates were centrifuged ($12000 \times g$, 2 min, 4°C) to remove membrane debris, and 10 μL of the cell extracts was mixed with 100 μL luciferase assay reagent containing luciferin and ATP in a luminometer with automatic injection. Values are expressed in relative light units (RLU)/μg protein.

Transfection efficiency was monitored as described earlier (30) by transfection of endothelial cells with the VR-3301 vector, which contains human placental alkaline phosphatase as the reporter gene. Under the described conditions, transfection efficiency was determined to be 32% (30). All transfection studies were repeated 3 times by using 6 wells in 12-well plates per experimental group.

Reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction analyses

Reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed as described earlier (29, 31). Briefly, treated HUVECs were lysed and the total RNA was extracted with use of RNA STAT-60 (Tel-TEST, Inc, Friendswood, TX) according to the procedure supplied by the manufacturer. Isolated RNA was quantitated by measuring absorbance at 260 nm. A standard reverse transcription reaction was performed at 42°C for 60 min in 20 μL of 5 mmol $MgCl_2$/L; 10 mmol tris-Cl/L, pH 9.0; 50 mmol KCl/L; 0.1% Triton X-100; 1 mmol dNTP/L; 1×10^6 U recombinant RNasin ribonuclease inhibitor/L; 15×10^6 U AMV reverse transcriptase/L; and 0.5 μg oligo(dT)$_{15}$ primer (Promega). The sequences of the primer pairs used for PCR amplification of the studied genes are shown in **Table 1**. For quantitation, levels of mRNA of the studied inflammatory genes

TABLE 1
Sequences of the primer pairs used in the reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reactions[1]

Studied mediator	Sequence of the primer pairs (5'–3')
MCP-1[2]	
Forward	CAG CCA GAT GCA ATC AAT GC
Reverse	GTG GTC CAT GGA ATC CTG AA
TNF-α[2]	
Forward	GTG ACA AGC CTG TAG CCC A
Reverse	ACT CGG CAA AGT CGA GAT AG
ICAM-1	
Forward	GGT GAC GCT GAA TGG GGT TCC
Reverse	GTC CTC ATG GTG GGG CTA TGT CTC
VCAM-1[2]	
Forward	ATG ACA TGC TTG AGC CAG G
Reverse	GTG TCT CCT TCT TTG ACA CT
PHGPx	
Forward	TGT GCG CGC TCC ATG CAC GAG T
Reverse	AAA TAG TGG GGC AGG TCC TTC TCT
β-Actin	
Forward	AGC ACA ATG AAG ATC AAG AT
Reverse	TGT AAC GCA ACT AAG TCA TA

[1] ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule 1; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1; PHGPx, phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1.

[2] Primer pairs purchased from R&D Systems, Minneapolis.

and the gene encoding for PHGPx were related to β-actin mRNA. The PCR mixture consisted of 2 μL of a product of the reverse transcription reaction, a Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and 20 pmol of primer pairs in a total volume of 50 μL. For each individual gene, a linear range of PCR amplification was established and the induction of the target gene was studied within the range.

The following thermocycling conditions were used to determine the induction of the genes encoding for the studied inflammatory mediators:

MCP-1: 94°C for 4 min; followed by 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s (repeated 25 times); followed by an extension at 72°C for 10 min;

TNF-α: 94°C for 4 min; followed by 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s (repeated 28 times); followed by an extension at 72°C for 10 min;

ICAM-1: 94°C for 4 min; followed by 94°C for 45 s, 60°C for 45 s, 72°C for 60 s (repeated 28 times); followed by an extension at 72°C for 7 min;

VCAM-1: 94°C for 60 s, 55°C for 60 s, 72°C for 60 s (repeated 25 times); and

PHGPx: 94°C for 4 min; followed by 94°C for 40 s, 66°C for 60 s, 72°C for 2 min (repeated 20 times); followed by an extension at 72°C for 7 min.

Induction of the β-actin gene was determined by using the same number of cycles and thermocycling conditions as for the target genes. Under these RT-PCR conditions, the β-actin transcript increased linearly in the range of 15–40 PCR cycles.

PCR products were separated by 2%-agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes), and visualized by using phosphorimaging technology (FLA-2000; Fuji, Stamford, CT). The relative intensity of fluorescence (ratio of the intensity of the band corresponding to the target gene to

that corresponding to the β-actin gene) was quantified with IMAGE GAUGE 3.0 software (Fuji) and expressed as average pixel intensity. Experiments were repeated 4 times on different days, and the values of relative fluorescence from the 4 experiments were statistically analyzed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using SYSTAT 8.0 (SPPS Inc, Chicago). One-way analysis of variance was used to compare mean values among the treatments. When the overall F values were significant, analysis of variance was followed by post hoc Bonferroni tests to compare means from different treatments. A P value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce NF-κB and AP-1 transcriptional activation

The effects of specific unsaturated fatty acids on NF-κB transcriptional activation are shown in **Figure 1**A. Treatment of

FIGURE 1. Mean (±SEM) fatty acid–induced nuclear factor κB (NF-κB)–related (A) and activator protein 1 (AP-1)–related (B) transcription in human endothelial cells. Transcriptional activation was measured by luciferase activity in human umbilical vein endothelial cells transfected with an NF-κB–responsive or AP-1–responsive luciferase reporter construct and exposed to specific unsaturated fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 24 h. Tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) treatment was used as a positive control. RLU, relative light units. *Significantly different from control cultures, $P < 0.05$.

FIGURE 2. Effects of dietary fatty acids on monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) messenger RNA levels in human endothelial cells as measured by reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells were exposed to specific unsaturated fatty acids for 3 h. β-Actin was used to indicate that the same amount of RNA was used per sample. The amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on a 2%–tris-borate EDTA agarose gel, stained with SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) and visualized by using phosphorimaging technology (FLA-2000; Fuji, Stamford, CT). bp, base pair; M, marker; C, control.

endothelial cells with oleic acid did not significantly affect luciferase activity in cells transfected with pNFκB-Luc. Compared with control cultures, linolenic acid exerted only a moderate effect on NF-κB transcriptional activation; however, treatment of transfected endothelial cells with linoleic acid resulted in a pronounced increase in luciferase activity, indicating a marked increase in transcriptional activation of NF-κB.

Similar results were observed in endothelial cells transfected with pAP1-Luc (Figure 1B). Among the fatty acids tested, linoleic acid stimulated AP-1 transcriptional activation most markedly compared with control cultures. In contrast, linolenic acid exerted more moderate effects, and oleic acid did not significantly affect luciferase expression.

Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce the genes encoding for MCP-1 and TNF-α

The effects of treatment with selected unsaturated fatty acids on MCP-1 mRNA levels are shown in **Figure 2**. Among the tested fatty acids, linoleic acid at the concentration of 90 μmol/L stimulated the most pronounced induction of the MCP-1 gene (51 ± 1.97% above the control values as measured by the density of the fluorescent bands). Indeed, MCP-1 mRNA levels in endothelial cells treated with 90 μmol linoleic acid/L for 3 h

were in the range observed in cells exposed to 20 μg TNF-α/L, which was used as a positive control. MCP-1 mRNA levels also increased in endothelial cells treated with 60 and 90 μmol linolenic acid/L (by 24 ± 2.46% and 30 ± 5.25%, respectively). In contrast, induction of the MCP-1 gene in endothelial cells exposed to oleic acid was approximately at the range observed in unstimulated endothelial cells.

The effects of treatment with selected unsaturated fatty acids on TNF-α mRNA levels are also shown in Figure 2. Similarly to the results for MCP-1 gene induction, treatment of HUVECs with linoleic acid markedly induced TNF-α mRNA levels (21 ± 3.22% above control values). In addition, linolenic acid at the dose of 90 μmol/L stimulated similar induction of the TNF-α gene. Independent of the dose used, treatment with oleic acid did not significantly affect TNF-α mRNA levels in cultured HUVECs.

Unsaturated fatty acids selectively induce the genes encoding for adhesion molecules

The effects of treatment with selected unsaturated fatty acids on ICAM-1 mRNA levels is shown in Figure 2. Exposure to both linoleic acid and linolenic acid induced similar dose-dependent increases in ICAM-1 mRNA levels. Specifically, linoleic and linolenic acids at the concentration of 90 μmol/L stimulated

FIGURE 3. Effects of dietary fatty acids on phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (PHGPx) mRNA levels in human endothelial cells as measured by reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells were exposed to specific unsaturated fatty acids for 24 h. RT-PCR was performed as described in the legend to Figure 2. bp, base pair; M, marker; TNF, tumor necrosis factor α; C, control.

induction of the ICAM-1 gene by 32 ± 2.54% and 30 ± 3.34%, respectively. In contrast, exposure of HUVECs to oleic acid decreased ICAM-1 mRNA levels to ≈50% of control values.

The effects of specific unsaturated fatty acids on VCAM-1 mRNA levels in HUVEC are also shown in Figure 2. The most significant induction of the VCAM-1 gene (by 38 ± 2.20%) was observed in cells treated with 90 μmol linoleic acid/L. In addition, exposure to 90 μmol linolenic acid/L resulted in a slight increase in VCAM-1 mRNA levels (14 ± 1.88%). Treatment with oleic acid had no significant effect on VCAM-1 gene induction compared with control cultures.

Unsaturated fatty acids induce the gene encoding for PHGPx

The effects of selected fatty acids on PHGPx mRNA levels in HUVECs are shown in **Figure 3**. Compared with the control, treatment with oleic acid increased PHGPx mRNA levels by ≈30%. However, both linoleic and linolenic acids strongly, and dose dependently, stimulated induction of PHGPx gene in HUVECs. In fact, treatment with 60 and 90 μmol linoleic acid/L enhanced PHGPx mRNA levels by 60 ± 7.58% and 104 ± 5.04%, respectively. Furthermore, exposure to 60 and 90 μmol linolenic acid/L increased the induction of the PHGPx gene by 108 ± 6.48% and 121 ± 4.36%, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Mono- and polyunsaturated 18-carbon fatty acids provide a unique model for studying the cellular effects of fatty acids that differ in unsaturation independent of carbon length (9). In addition, the unsaturated fatty acids used in the present study are major dietary fatty acids. Endothelial cells were exposed to fatty acids at concentrations of 60 or 90 μmol/L, with an albumin concentration in the experimental media of 60 μmol/L. Normal plasma fatty acid concentrations can range from ≈90 to 1200 μmol/L; however, most fatty acids are bound to plasma components, mostly albumin (32, 33). In fact, the main factor in the availability of fatty acids for cellular uptake is determined by the ratio of fatty acids to albumin. Normally, this ratio can range from 0.15 to 4 under

various conditions, with an average of ≈1 (32, 33). Thus, the experimental conditions used in the present study, which resulted in a ratio of fatty acids to albumin of 1 or 1.5, were within the physiologic range.

One of the most important functions of the vascular endothelium is to regulate inflammatory reactions (1). The development of inflammatory reactions is a normal defense mechanism in response to injury or activation of the vessel wall. The physiologic significance of such reactions is to maintain and repair the normal structure and function of the vessel wall. However, excessive inflammatory reactions with the development of a positive feedback inflammatory cycle can lead to severe tissue damage and are associated with vascular pathology, including the development of atherosclerotic plaques (34).

Induction of genes encoding for mediators of the inflammatory response, ie, inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules, can initiate leukocyte infiltration of the vessel wall. These mediators of the inflammatory response interact closely with each other in vivo. For example, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 facilitate leukocyte adhesion to the vascular endothelium and both MCP-1 (35) and, to a lesser extent, TNF-α (36, 37) are potent chemoattractant factors that play a significant role in recruiting lymphocytes and monocytes into the vessel wall. In addition, TNF-α is a strong inducer of inflammatory reactions and can stimulate overexpression of MCP-1, inflammatory cytokines, and the adhesion molecules ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 (38). In fact, these strong proinflammatory properties of TNF-α were the reason that this cytokine was used as the positive control in our present study. In addition, the inflammatory genes examined in the present study, ie, those encoding for VCAM-1, ICAM-1, TNF-α, and MCP-1, are regulated by similar transcription factors, with dominant roles of NF-κB and AP-1 (22–25).

The importance of NF-κB and AP-1 in the induction of inflammatory reactions prompted us to study the effects of specific fatty acids on the transcriptional activity of these transcription factors in human endothelial cells. Among the unsaturated fatty acids studied, linoleic acid induced both NF-κB and AP-1 transcriptional activation most markedly. These data agree with our previous results in which our use of an electrophoretic mobility shift assay showed a marked activation of NF-κB (13) and AP-1 (39) in endothelial cells exposed to linoleic acid. It is possible that fatty acid–induced endothelial cell oxidative stress and disturbances in the glutathione redox status are responsible for the activation of these oxidative stress–responsive transcription factors. Intercellular glutathione is the major nonprotein thiol compound that regulates the cellular redox status. Depletion of glutathione concentrations and alterations in the equilibrium between the reduced and oxidized derivatives of glutathione can stimulate activation of NF-κB (40). To support this notion, we showed that exposure of endothelial cells to unsaturated fatty acids can result in a marked decrease in cellular glutathione concentrations and activation of NF-κB (9, 13). In addition, the glutathione precursor N-acetylcysteine prevented fatty acid–induced activation of NF-κB (41).

Glutathione peroxidases are a family of antioxidant enzymes that utilize glutathione in the reduction of hydrogen peroxide and alkyl hydroperoxides. Among the various glutathione peroxidases, PHGPx plays a unique role. In addition to reducing hydrogen peroxide and soluble hydroperoxides, PHGPx is the only antioxidant enzyme that can reduce hydroperoxy fatty acids that are integrated in cellular membranes (42) or lipoproteins (43).

PHGPx was also shown to be involved in silencing activities of cyclooxygenase or 5- and 15-lipoxygenases (44, 45), enzymes involved in the metabolism of unsaturated fatty acids. Results of the present study showed that exposure of endothelial cells to specific unsaturated fatty acids can markedly stimulate induction of PHGPx mRNA. In addition, the fatty acid–stimulated increases in PHGPx mRNA levels appeared to be correlated with the amount of unsaturated bonds in fatty acid molecules. For example, linolenic acid, followed by linoleic acid, enhanced induction of the PHGPx gene most markedly.

The present study provides compelling evidence that linoleic acid can induce profound inflammatory responses in cultured human endothelial cells. In fact, among all the unsaturated fatty acids studied, linoleic acid stimulated induction of inflammatory gene mRNA most markedly. Because expression of the inflammatory genes is regulated primarily by NF-κB and AP-1, a strong induction of NF-κB and AP-1 transcriptional activation by linoleic acid may explain the marked induction of the studied genes. In addition, not only linoleic acid but also specific oxidative products of this fatty acid can exert proinflammatory effects (46, 47). However, we observed that the lipoxygenase metabolites of linoleic acid, such as 13-hydroperoxyoctadecadienoic acid (13-HPODE) or 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid (13-HODE), induce a different pattern of inflammatory responses in endothelial cells than does free linoleic acid. Specifically, exposure of HUVECs to 13-HPODE or 13-HODE does not induce the expression of VCAM-1 or E-selectin (48). In addition, polyunsaturated fatty acids, such as linoleic acid, can be nonenzymatically converted to 4-hydroxynonenal. However, exposure of HUVECs to 4-hydroxynonenal markedly stimulates apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells but does not result in activation of NF-κB or induction of adhesion molecules (49). Thus, even though linoleic acid can be converted to oxidized metabolites, it appears unlikely that 13-HPODE, 13-HODE, or 4-hydroxynonenal can contribute significantly to inflammatory reactions induced by this fatty acid. On the other hand, the effects of other metabolites of polyunsaturated fatty acids, eg, derivatives of the cytochrome P450 pathway, on inflammatory reactions in human endothelial cells remain to be determined. Our recent data suggest that epoxide metabolites of linoleic acid may have proinflammatory properties (50).

Although our data clearly indicate that specific unsaturated fatty acids can induce proinflammatory effects in endothelial cells, opposite results were reported when cells were exposed to selected n−3 or n−6 fatty acids for ≤72 h and coexposed to inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 1β (IL-1β) or TNF-α, for an additional 12 h. When such experimental approaches were used, preexposure to fatty acids inhibited cytokine-induced expression of inflammatory mediators, such as VCAM-1, on the surface of endothelial cells (51). Similar inhibition of ICAM-1 expression was also observed in cells pretreated with 13-HPODE before stimulation with IL-1β. However, simultaneous administration of 13-HPODE with IL-1β or TNF-α resulted in additive effects on ICAM-1 production (48). We showed that preexposure of endothelial cells to linoleic acid can cross-amplify TNF-α–mediated induction of cellular oxidative stress and endothelial cell dysfunction (13) but does not potentiate or even inhibit NF-κB–dependent transcription (13, 41). To explain this phenomenon, it was proposed that fatty acid–induced activation of NF-κB could lead to increased numbers of NF-κB inhibitory subunits, which, in turn, could prevent further activation of this transcription factor in cells exposed to cytokines at later time points (13).

In contrast with linoleic and linolenic acids, which exerted strong or moderate proinflammatory responses, respectively, oleic acid diminished inflammatory gene mRNA levels in endothelial cells. These data agree with previous reports on antioxidant effects mediated by oleic acid. For example, a diet enriched in oleic acid markedly decreases LDL susceptibility to oxidation and LDL-protein modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic patients (10). Similar results were obtained in experimental animals fed a diet enriched in oleic acid (52). Extensive evidence also indicates the protective and antioxidant effects of oleic acid on endothelial cell activation. Cellular treatment with this fatty acid protects endothelial cells against cytokine-induced VCAM-1, ICAM-1, or E-selectin overexpression (53). In addition, supplementation with oleic acid protects endothelial cells against hydrogen peroxide–induced cytotoxicity (16) and against dysfunction of the endothelial barrier as mediated by oxidized LDL (54).

In conclusion, the present study showed that specific unsaturated dietary fatty acids can induce highly individual effects on endothelial cell activation and contribute differently to induction of the inflammatory genes in vascular endothelial cells. Among the fatty acids studied, linoleic acid stimulated inflammatory gene mRNA most markedly. In contrast, oleic acid appeared to silence the induction of various proinflammatory genes in endothelial cells. These results showed that specific unsaturated dietary fatty acids, such as linoleic acid and to a lesser extent linolenic acid, can stimulate the development of proinflammatory environments within the vascular endothelium.   ▓

REFERENCES

1. Toborek M, Kaiser S. Endothelial cell functions. Relationship to atherogenesis. Basic Res Cardiol 1999;94:295–314.
2. Gimbrone MA Jr, Topper JN, Nagel T, Anderson KR, Garcia-Cardena G. Endothelial dysfunction, hemodynamic forces, and atherogenesis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2000;902:230–9.
3. Toborek M, Hennig B. Role of linoleic acid in endothelial cell gene expression; relationship to atherosclerosis. In: Quinn PJ, Kagan VE, eds. Subcellular biochemistry. Biochemistry of lipid-soluble vitamins. Vol 30. Fat-soluble vitamins. New York: Plenum Press, 1998:415–36.
4. Zilversmit DB. A proposal linking atherogenesis to the interaction of endothelial lipoprotein lipase with triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Circ Res 1973;33:633–8.
5. Zilversmit DB. Atherogenesis: a postprandial phenomenon. Circulation 1979;60:473–85.
6. Ylä-Herttuala S, Lipton BA, Rosenfeld ME, Goldberg IJ, Steinberg D, Witztum JC. Macrophages and smooth muscle cells express lipoprotein lipase in human and rabbit atherosclerotic lesions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991;88:10143–7.
7. O'Brien KD, Deeb SS, Ferguson M, et al. Apolipoprotein E localization in human coronary atherosclerotic plaques by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry and comparison with lipoprotein lipase. Am J Pathol 1994;144:538–48.
8. Hennig B, Chung BH, Watkins BA, Alvarado A. Disruption of endothelial barrier function by lipolytic remnants of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Atherosclerosis 1992;95:235–47.
9. Toborek M, Hennig B. Fatty acid–mediated effects on the glutathione redox cycle in cultured endothelial cells. Am J Clin Nutr 1994;59:60–5.
10. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, Miller E, Steinberg D, Witztum JL. Effects of oleate-rich and linoleate-rich diets on the susceptibility of low density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects. J Clin Invest 1993;91:668–76.
11. Tsimikas S, Philis-Tsimikas A, Alexopoulos S, Sigari F, Lee C, Reaven PD. LDL isolated from Greek subjects on a typical diet or

from American subjects on an oleate-supplemented diet induces less monocyte chemotaxis and adhesion when exposed to oxidative stress. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 1999;19:122–30.

12. de Haan LH, Bosselaers I, Jongen WM, Zwijsen RM, Koeman JH. Effect of lipids and aldehydes on gap-junctional intercellular communication between human smooth muscle cells. Carcinogenesis 1994;15:253–6.

13. Toborek M, Barger SW, Mattson MP, Barve S, McClain CJ, Hennig B. Linoleic acid and TNF-α cross-amplify oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells. J Lipid Res 1996;37:123–35.

14. Toborek M, Blanc EM, Kaiser S, Mattson MP, Hennig B. Linoleic acid potentiates TNF-mediated oxidative stress, disruption of calcium homeostasis, and apoptosis of cultured vascular endothelial cells. J Lipid Res 1997;38:2155–67.

15. Meerarani P, Ramadass P, Toborek M, Bauer HC, Bauer H, Hennig B. Zinc protects against apoptosis of endothelial cells induced by linoleic acid and tumor necrosis factor α. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:81–7.

16. Hart CM, Gupta MP, Evanoff V. Oleic acid reduces oxidant stress in cultured pulmonary artery endothelial cells. Exp Lung Res 1997;23:405–25.

17. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, et al. Feasibility of using an oleate-rich diet to reduce the susceptibility of low-density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 1991;54:701–6.

18. Ross R. Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease. Am Heart J 1999;138:S419–20.

19. Reape TJ, Groot PH. Chemokines and atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 1999;147:213–25.

20. Rus HG, Niculescu F, Vlaicu R. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha in human arterial wall with atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 1991;89: 247–54.

21. Cotran RS, Mayadas-Norton T. Endothelial adhesion molecules in health and disease. Pathol Biol 1998;46:164–70.

22. Kunsch C, Medford RM. Oxidative stress as a regulator of gene expression in the vasculature. Circ Res 1999;85:753–66.

23. Ahmad M, Theofanidis P, Medford RM. Role of activating protein-1 in the regulation of the vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 gene expression by tumor necrosis factor-alpha. J Biol Chem 1998;273:4616–21.

24. Muller JM, Rupec RA, Baeuerle PA. Study of gene regulation by NF-kappa B and AP-1 in response to reactive oxygen intermediates. Methods 1997;11:301–12.

25. Collins T, Read MA, Neish AS, Whitley MZ, Thanos D, Maniatis T. Transcriptional regulation of endothelial cell adhesion molecules: NF-κB and cytokine-inducible enhancers. FASEB J 1995;9:899–909.

26. Brand K, Page S, Rogler G, et al. Activated transcription factor nuclear factor-kappa B is present in the atherosclerotic lesion. J Clin Invest 1996;97:1715–22.

27. Hajra L, Evans AI, Chen M, Hyduk SJ, Collins T, Cybulsky MI. The NF-kappa B signal transduction pathway in aortic endothelial cells is primed for activation in regions predisposed to atherosclerotic lesion formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97:9052–7.

28. Brigelius-Flohe R. Tissue-specific functions of individual glutathione peroxidases. Free Radic Biol Med 1999;27:951–65.

29. Toborek M, Lee YW, Kaiser S, Hennig B. Inflammatory properties of fatty acids. Methods Enzymol (in press).

30. Kaiser S, Toborek M. Liposome-mediated high-efficiency transfection of human endothelial cells. J Vasc Res 2001;38:133–43.

31. Lee YW, Kühn H, Hennig B, Toborek M. IL-4-induced oxidative stress upregulates VCAM-1 gene expression in human endothelial cells. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2001;33:83–94.

32. Spector AA. Fatty acid binding to plasma albumin. J Lipid Res 1975;16:165–79.

33. Potter B, Sorentino JD, Berk PD. Mechanisms of cellular uptake of free fatty acids. Annu Rev Nutr 1989;9:253–70.

34. Berliner JA, Navab M, Fogelman AM, et al. Atherosclerosis: basic mechanisms. Oxidation, inflammation, and genetics. Circulation 1995;91:2488–96.

35. Lukacs NW, Strieter RM, Elner V, Evanoff HL, Burdick MD, Kunkel SL. Production of chemokines, interleukin-8 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, during monocyte: endothelial cell interactions. Blood 1995;86:2767–73.

36. Strieter RM, Wiggins R, Phan SH, et al. Monocyte chemotactic protein gene expression by cytokine-treated human fibroblasts and endothelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1989;162:694–700.

37. Wenzel UO, Fouqueray B, Grandaliano G, et al. Thrombin regulates expression of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 in vascular smooth muscle cells. Circ Res 1995;77:503–9.

38. Pober JS. Activation and injury of endothelial cells by cytokines. Pathol Biol 1998;46:159–63.

39. Hennig B, Meerarani P, Toborek M, McClain CJ. Antioxidant-like properties of zinc in activated endothelial cells. J Am Coll Nutr 1999;18:152–8.

40. Droge W, Schulze-Osthoff K, Mihm S, et al. Functions of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in immunology and immunopathology. FASEB J 1994;8:1131–8.

41. Hennig B, Toborek M, Joshi-Barve S, et al. Linoleic acid activates nuclear transcription factor-κB (NF-κB) and induces NF-κB-dependent transcription in cultured endothelial cells. Am J Clin Nutr 1996;63:322–8.

42. Ursini F, Bindoli A. The role of selenium peroxidases in the protection against oxidative damage of membranes. Chem Phys Lipids 1987;44:255–76.

43. Sattler W, Maiorino M, Stocker R. Reduction of HDL- and LDL-associated cholesterylester and phospholipid hydroperoxides by phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase and Ebselen (PZ 51). Arch Biochem Biophys 1994;309:214–21.

44. Schnurr K, Borchert A, Kuhn H. Inverse regulation of lipid-peroxidizing and hydroperoxyl lipid-reducing enzymes by interleukins 4 and 13. FASEB J 1999;13:143–54.

45. Huang HS, Chen CJ, Suzuki H, Yamamoto S, Chang WC. Inhibitory effect of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase on the activity of lipoxygenases and cyclooxygenases. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat 1999;58:65–75.

46. Elekes E, Jakobs D, Schade FU. Suppression of endotoxin mitogenicity of spleen cells by lipoxygenase inhibitors and its reversal by 13-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 1993;6:13–20.

47. Ku G, Thomas CE, Akeson AL, Jackson RL. Induction of interleukin 1 beta expression from human peripheral blood monocyte-derived macrophages by 9-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid. J Biol Chem 1992;267:14183–8.

48. Friedrichs B, Toborek M, Hennig B, Heinevetter L, Muller C, Brigelius-Flohe R. 13-HPODE and 13-HODE modulate cytokine-induced expression of endothelial cell adhesion molecules differently. Biofactors 1999;9:61–72.

49. Herbst U, Toborek M, Kaiser S, Mattson MP, Hennig B. 4-Hydroxynonenal induces dysfunction and apoptosis of cultured endothelial cells. J Cell Physiol 1999;181:295–303.

50. Slim R, Hammock BD, Toborek M, et al. The role of methyl-linoleic acid epoxide and diol metabolites in the amplified toxicity of linoleic acid and polychlorinated biphenyls to vascular endothelial cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2001;171:184–93.

51. De Caterina R, Bernini W, Carluccio MA, Liao JK, Libby P. Structural requirements for inhibition of cytokine-induced endothelial activation by unsaturated fatty acids. J Lipid Res 1998;39:1062–70.

52. Parthasarathy S, Khoo JC, Miller E, Barnett J, Witztum JL, Steinberg D. Low density lipoprotein rich in oleic acid is protected against oxidative modification: implications for dietary prevention of atherosclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1990;87: 3894–8.

53. Carluccio MA, Massaro M, Bonfrate C, et al. Oleic acid inhibits endothelial activation: a direct vascular antiatherogenic mechanism of a nutritional component in the Mediterranean diet. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 1999;19:220–8.

54. Karman RJ, Garcia JG, Hart CM. Endothelial cell monolayer dysfunction caused by oxidized low density lipoprotein: attenuation by oleic acid. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids 1997;56: 345–53.

Research Paper

Liposome-Mediated High-Efficiency Transfection of Human Endothelial Cells

Simone Kaiser[a,b]   Michal Toborek[b]

[a]University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany and [b]Department of Surgery University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky., USA

Abstract

Liposome-mediated transfection of endothelial cells provides a valuable experimental technique to study cellular gene expression and may also be adapted for gene therapy studies. However, the widely recognized disadvantage of liposome-mediated transfection is low efficiency. Therefore, studies were performed to optimize transfection techniques in human endothelial cells. The majority of the experiments were performed with primary cultures of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). In addition, selected experiments were performed using human brain microvascular endothelial cells and human dermal microvascular endothelial cells. To study transfection rates, HUVEC were transfected with the pGL3 vector, containing the luciferase reporter gene, complexed with several currently available liposomes, such as different Perfect Lipid (pFx) mixtures, DMRIE-C, or lipofectin. The optimal transfection rate was achieved in HUVEC transfected for 1.5 h with 5 µg/ml of DNA plasmid in the presence of 36 µg/ml of pFx-7. In addition, transfection with the VR-3301 vector encoding for human placental alkaline phosphatase revealed that, under the described conditions, transfection efficiency in HUVEC was approximately 32%. Transfections mediated by other liposomes were less efficient. The usefulness of the optimized transfection technique was confirmed in HUVEC transfected with NF-κB or AP-1-responsive constructs and stimulated with TNF or LPS. We conclude that among several currently available liposomes, pFx-7 appears to be the most suitable for transfections of cultured human endothelial cells.

Copyright © 2001 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Cellular transfections (physical-chemical methods of introducing genes into cells) provide powerful experimental tools to study gene regulation in vivo and in vitro [1]. In addition, transfection techniques are used to deliver foreign DNA in gene therapy strategies [2, 3]. Stable transfections refer to the production of a population of cells in which the gene of interest is stably expressed in the cell. Thus, the gene is not only introduced into the cell but also is integrated into the host DNA and reproduced during cell cycles or cell division. The second general type of transfection is transient transfection, during which plasmid DNA is introduced into a cell population but no stable cell lines are isolated. Instead, gene expression is studied shortly after the transfection procedure, usually within 24–72 h [4]. The advantage of the second approach is the simplicity of the technique and the fact that the same

Michal Toborek, MD, PhD
Department of Surgery, Division of Neurosurgery
University of Kentucky Medical Center
800 Rose Street, Lexington, KY 40536 (USA)
Tel. +1 859 323 4094, Fax +1 859 323 1093, E-Mail mjtobo00@pop.uky.edu

preparation of DNA can be introduced into various cell types. Because cellular membranes create barriers for large and highly charged DNA molecules to enter cellular compartments, several techniques have been developed to facilitate cellular transfections. Transfection methods include calcium-phosphate precipitation, electroporation, detergent-DNA complexes, DNA-DEAE complexes, microinjection, virus-mediated transfection, introduction of DNA via particle bombardment and lipid-mediated transfection [2, 5]. In transfections performed in vitro in cultured cells, cationic lipids have become standard carriers of plasmid DNA [6].

Endothelial cells are a promising target in somatic gene therapy in cardiovascular disorders, ischemic disease [7] and cancer [8, 9], since the endothelium is involved in these pathological stages and endothelial cells are accessible for gene transfer via circulation [10]. Several experimental and clinical studies have demonstrated the therapeutic potential of somatic gene therapy in vascular diseases. For example, in the treatment of restenosis, positive results were obtained when animals were transfected with the genes encoding for vascular endothelial growth factor, nitric oxide synthase, thymidine kinase, retinoblastoma, growth arrest or antisense oligonucleotides against transcription factors [10, 11]. In atherosclerosis, gene therapy strategies have been used in the treatment of vascular proliferation, endothelial dysfunction, thrombosis, and ischemia as well as in modification of the blood/biomaterial interface [12]. It has also been reported that transfer of genes encoding for cyclooxygenase and endothelial nitric oxide synthase can protect against intimal hyperplasia in angioplasty-injured carotid arteries [13]. Clinical trials indicated that substantial therapeutic benefits could be obtained by intramuscular injections of naked DNA plasmid encoding for human vascular endothelial growth factor in patients with severe peripheral arterial disease [14].

The most efficient transgene expression can be achieved by using adenoviruses [15]. In fact, with adenovirus vector, recombinant genes can be delivered to approximately 100% of endothelial cells of normal human vessels in organ cultures [16]. However, adenoviral vectors can induce injury to the vessel wall. For example, in arteries transduced with replication-defective adenoviral vector AdRSVn-LacZ, a marked accumulation of macrophages and increased intimal cellularity were reported. In addition, in hypercholesterolemic cynomolgus monkeys, this vector caused an increase in vessel wall inflammation and progression of early atherosclerotic lesions [17]. Viral transduction can also induce changes in endothelial cell phenotype [18]. Therefore, nonviral transfections, including cationic liposomes, remain attractive carriers to facilitate the entry of foreign DNA into endothelial cells.

The aim of the present study was to optimize a transfection technique using different, currently available cationic liposomes in cultured human endothelial cells. Transfection rate was established using liposomes complexed with the pGL3 vector, driven by the simian virus 40 (SV40) promoter and containing the luciferase reporter gene. In addition, the efficiency of transfection was studied by employing the VR-3301 vector driven by the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter ligated to the human placental alkaline phosphatase reporter gene (hpAP). We found that cultured human endothelial cells can be efficiently transfected.

Materials and Methods

Endothelial Cell Cultures

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were isolated as described previously [19]. They were maintained in growth medium containing M199, 25 mM HEPES, 54.3 U/ml heparin, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 μM sodium pyruvate, 200 U/ml penicillin, 200 μg/ml streptomycin, 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B (all reagents from Gibco BRL, Grand Island, N.Y., USA), 40 μg/ml endothelial cell growth supplement (ECGS, Becton Dickinson, Bedford, Mass., USA), and 20% FBS (HyClone Laboratories, Inc., Logan, Utah, USA).

Cells were determined to be endothelial by their cobblestone morphology and uptake of fluorescent labeled acetylated LDL (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3'3'-tetramethyl-indocarbocyanine perchlorate; Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, Oreg., USA). All experiments were conducted with cells from passage two.

Selected experiments also were performed using human aortic endothelial cells (HAEC), immortalized human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMEC) and immortalized human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1). HAEC were purchased from Clonetics Corp., (Walkersville, Md., USA) and cultured in medium supplied by the manufacturer. HBMEC (a generous gift from Dr. M. Fiala, UCLA School of Medicine) were isolated from a brain biopsy of an adult female with epilepsy and immortalized by transfection with SV40 large-T antigen. They were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco BRL), supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone Laboratories), 10% NuSerum IV (Becton Dickinson), 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% vitamins, 5 U/ml heparin, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine (all reagents from Gibco BRL), and 30 μg/ml ECGS (Becton Dickinson) [20, 21].

HMEC-1 (a generous gift from Dr. E. Smart, University of Kentucky) were isolated from dermal microvessels and immortalized by transfection with SV40 large-T antigen. They were cultured in MCDB-131 medium (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone Laboratories), 200 U/ml penicillin, 200 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco BRL), 10 ng/ml endothelial growth factor (Calbiochem, San Diego, Calif., USA) and 1 mg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma).

Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate on at least four (and up to 12) independent cultures.

Liposome Carriers for Transient Transfection and Transfection Procedure

The PerFect Lipid Transfection kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif., USA), DMRIE-C, and lipofectin (Gibco BRL, Grand Island, N.Y., USA) were used for transfections of endothelial cells. The PerFect Lipid Transfection kit provides eight different compositions of lipids (pFx 1–8), and each of these lipids was employed in the present study. Molecular weights of different pFx mixtures vary from 847 (pFx-4) to 2,617 (pFx-8). The molecular weight of pFx-7, the liposome used in the majority of our experiments, is 1,011. DMRIE-C (molecular weight 646) was used because it resembles a lipid carrier which was previously used successfully for endothelial cell transfections [5]. Lipofectin (molecular weight 669.5), which was employed in our earlier study [22], is widely used in transfection of endothelial cells. Selected experiments also were performed using cytofectin GCV (Glen Research, Sterling, Va., USA), DAC-30 (Eurogentec, Sersing, Belgium), and SuperFect (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif., USA). These additional liposomes were selected based on a recent report which demonstrated that cytofectin GCV or SuperFect can mediate uptake of antisense oligonucleotides in cultured human iliac artery endothelial cells with high efficiency [23].

For transfection studies, endothelial cells were seeded in 12-well plates and grown to 50–60% confluency in normal growth medium. To perform transfections, aliquots of normal M199 were mixed with different concentrations of specific lipid carriers in polystyrene tubes, mixed with plasmid DNA and incubated at 37°C for 30 min to allow the formation of DNA-lipid complexes. Endothelial cell cultures were washed three times with M199 to remove serum, and 1 ml of transfection solution was added to each well of the 12 well plates. Controls consisted of endothelial cells incubated with plasmid DNA alone or liposomes complexed with a carrier plasmid. After incubation, transfection solutions were aspirated and replaced with growth medium. Cells were maintained in these conditions for 48 h before assays for reporter genes were performed.

pGL3 Vector and Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay

To monitor the transfection rate, endothelial cells were transfected with individual liposomes complexed with the pGL3 Luciferase Reporter Vector (Promega, Madison, Wisc., USA). This vector contains the SV40 promoter and enhancer sequence and firefly luciferase as a reporter gene. Following the transfection process, luciferase activity was measured by Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the instructions supplied by the manufacturer. Briefly, culture media were removed and cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated for 10 min with 60 µl of Cell Culture Lysis reagent. Attached cells were then scraped, centrifuged to remove membrane debris, transferred to new tubes, and stored at –80°C until analysis. For luciferase assay, 10 µl of the cell extracts were mixed with 100 µl of Luciferase Assay Reagent containing luciferin and ATP in a luminometer with automatic injection. Light emission was measured every 0.5 s, for 10 s. Values are expressed in RLU/µg protein. Cellular proteins were measured using Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif., USA).

VR-3301 Vector and Alkaline Phosphatase Reporter Gene Assay

To establish transfection efficiency, endothelial cells were transfected with VR-3301 vector (Vical Inc., San Diego Calif., USA) mixed with pFx-7, DMRIE-C or lipofectin. The VR-3301 vector contains CMV promoter/enhancer which regulates expression of the hpAP gene. Transfected endothelial cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h and then washed 3 times with PBS. Following heat inactivation of endogenous alkaline phosphate isoenzymes of nonplacental origin (30 min at 65°C), cells were stained for hpAP using an azo dye coupling technique [24]. Briefly, 0.2 ml of naphtol AS-MX phosphate (0.25% alkaline solution, Sigma) were mixed with 4.8 ml of 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer (pH 10.0) and 10 mg of fast red TR salt (Sigma). The stain mixture was filtered immediately before use, and cells were stained for the presence of hpAP for 15 min at room temperature. In independent sets of experiments, fluorescence of transfected cells was determined either by flow cytometry (in cell suspension) or fluorescent microscopy (in cells cultured on glass-bottom dishes) using rhodamine filter sets. Data are expressed as a percentage of cells in which activity of hpAP was detected.

Employment of the Optimized Transfection Conditions to Study Activation of Transcription Factors in Endothelial Cells

To determine whether the optimized transient transfection technique is useful in studies on transcription factor activation in endothelial cells, HUVEC were transfected for 1.5 h with 5 µg of NF-κB or AP-1 reporter plasmids (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif., USA) mixed with 36 µg/ml of pFx-7. NF-κB responsive plasmid contained five repeats of NF-κB enhancer elements, and AP-1-responsive plasmid contained seven repeats of AP-1 enhancer elements, linked to basic TATA element and the firefly luciferase reporter gene. Following transfection, cells were incubated in normal growth medium for 24 h. Then, HUVEC were treated with either TNF (10 ng/ml) or LPS (1 µg/ml) in a medium containing 10% FBS for 24 h. At the end of the incubation time, cells were washed with PBS, lysed, and measured for luciferase activity using Luciferase Assay Reagent (Promega).

Cell Proliferation (5-Bromo-2'-Deoxyuridine Incorporation Assay)

Endothelial cell proliferation was determined by the 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation assay according to the procedure supplied by the manufacturer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). This assay takes advantage of the incorporation of BrdU, instead of thymidine, into the DNA of proliferating cells. Briefly, immediately following transfections, endothelial cells were incubated for 12 h with 10 µM BrdU diluted in normal growth medium. Then, cultures were fixed and incubated with monoclonal anti-BrdU antibody labeled with peroxidase. Following a 30-min incubation, tetramethylbenzidine was added as a substrate for peroxidase and, after a 10-min interval time required for color development, absorbance was read at 370 nm. The results were expressed as percentage of control.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SYSTAT 8.0 (SPPS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). One-way or two-way ANOVA was used to compare the mean values among the treatments. Two-way ANOVA was employed in statistical analysis of all experiments which included at least two variables, such as time and different treatment factors. When the overall F values were significant, ANOVA was followed by a posthoc Bonferroni test to compare means from different treatments. Statistical probability of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Fig. 1. Comparison of transfection rates mediated by different pFx mixtures. Cells were transfected for 3 h with 5 μg/ml of the pGL3 vector complexed with 36 μg/ml of individual pFx lipids. Transfections were followed by a 48-hour recovery period in normal growth medium, after which the reporter gene assay was performed. Values are mean ± SEM. *Values in cultures transfected by pFx-7 are significantly higher than values from groups transfected with other pFx lipids.

Fig. 2. The effect of liposome concentrations on transfection rates in HUVEC. Cells were transfected for 1.5 h with 5 μg/ml of the pGL3 vector complexed with different concentrations of pFx-7, DMRIE-C or lipofectin. Values are mean ± SEM. *Values are statistically significant as compared to the values in the group transfected with the preceding concentration of a given liposome. †Values in cultures transfected in the presence of 36 μg/ml pFx-7 are significantly higher than transfection rates in other experimental groups.

Results

Transient Transfection Rates Mediated by Different pFx Liposomes

To determine the most effective pFx liposome as a mediator of transient transfection of endothelial cells, HUVEC were transfected with 5 μg/ml of the pGL3 vector complexed with 36 μg/ml of each liposome provided in the PerFect Lipid Transfection kit. Figure 1 indicates transfection rates, as determined by luciferase activity, mediated by individual pFx liposomes. Transfections were performed for 3 h, followed by a 48-hour recovery process. Only minimal transfection rates (range of 3–7 RLU/μg protein) were determined in HUVEC exposed to the pGL3 vector alone. Except for pFx-1 and pFx-4, all remaining pFx liposomes successfully mediated transfection of HUVEC. However, the most marked transfection rate was observed in cells transfected with pFx-7. Therefore, optimization of transfection conditions was performed with this liposome.

Comparison of Transfection Rates Mediated by DMRIE-C, Lipofectin, or pFx-7 and Optimization of Liposome Concentrations

DMRIE-C reagent and lipofectin are commercially available liposomes, widely used to initiate transient or stable transfections. To establish the most suitable liposome carrier and the optimal liposome concentration for transient transfection of endothelial cells, the pGL3 vector (5 μg/ml) was complexed with different concentrations of DMRIE-C, lipofectin or pFx-7. Transfections were performed for 1.5 h, followed by a 48-hour recovery period. Results of these experiments are reflected in figure 2. Liposomes at the concentrations of 20 μg/ml (or lower – data not shown) appeared to be ineffective in HUVEC transfection. However, an increase in liposome concentrations from 20 to 40 μg/ml for DMRIE-C or lipofectin and to 36 μg/ml for pFx-7 resulted in an increase of transfection rates, as measured by luciferase activity. In particular, a dramatic increase (approximately 250 times) in transfection rate was detected in HUVEC transfected with pGL3 complexed with pFx-7 at the concentration of 36 μg/ml. The rate of transfection mediated by this con-

centration of pFx-7 was approximately 8.5 times higher compared to transfection induced by 40 µg/ml lipofectin. In addition, the transfection rate achieved by pFx-7 exceeded that mediated by 40 µg/ml DMRIE-C by almost 5 times. Further increase in concentrations of DMRIE-C, lipofectin or pFx-7 decreased transfection rates. It appears that a marked cytotoxicity observed in endothelial cell cultures exposed to high doses of liposomes was responsible for this phenomenon.

In separate experiments, transfection rates mediated by pFx-7 at the dose of 36 µg/ml were compared to those mediated by cytofectin GCV (used at the concentration range of 1–40 µg/ml), DAC-30 (concentration range of 5–30 µg/ml), and SuperFect (concentration range of 20–80 µg/ml). Among these liposomes, transfection of HUVEC mediated by pFx-7 also resulted in the highest transfection rates (data not shown).

Liposome-Mediated Toxicity in Cultured Endothelial Cells

When introduced into cell cultures, liposomes can induce cytotoxic effects which depend on lipid concentration and transfection time. Therefore, their toxic effects were also measured in cultured endothelial cells. BrdU incorporation assay, which reflects cell proliferation, was used in these studies. As indicated in table 1, treatments with lipofectin appeared to be most toxic in cultured endothelial cells. Diminished incorporation of BrdU was observed in endothelial cells incubated with 40 or 60 µg/ml of lipofectin for as short as 1 h. In addition, when cells were treated with lipofectin for 3 h, even lower doses of this liposome decreased proliferation of endothelial cells.

Transfection mediated by pFx-7 resulted in a moderate toxicity. Endothelial cell proliferation was not statistically decreased when this liposome was used at the doses of up to 36 µg/ml for 1 or 1.5 h. However, a higher dose (i.e., 44 µg/ml) of pFx-7 as well as a 3 h incubation time markedly diminished incorporation of BrdU in transfected HUVEC (table 1). In general, the most marked cytotoxicity was observed when endothelial cells were exposed to high doses of liposomes for 3 h. Liposome-mediated toxic effects similar to those detected in HUVEC were observed in cultures of HAEC (data not shown).

Although 1.5-hour treatments with liposomes at concentrations which mediated the optimal transfection rates as reported in figure 2 did not affect BrdU incorporation, they resulted in morphological changes of cultured endothelial cells. Because the character of these changes was similar for all studied liposomes, they are documented

Table 1. Toxic effects of different transfection carriers as measured by the incorporation of BrdU assay

Transfection carrier	Exposure time		
	1 h	1.5 h	3 h
Lipofectin, µg/ml			
10	98.7 ± 3.94	94.1 ± 1.97	$69.9 \pm 0.82^{a,b}$
20	97.1 ± 4.70	91.7 ± 2.57	$59.4 \pm 4.75^{a,b}$
40	79.4 ± 8.49^{a}	$74.1 \pm 4.96^{a,c}$	$47.3 \pm 4.69^{a,b}$
60	60.9 ± 4.06^{a}	$54.3 \pm 0.33^{a,c}$	47.4 ± 5.47^{a}
DMRIE-C, µg/ml			
10	98.5 ± 6.37	90.5 ± 1.31	96.6 ± 2.10
20	105.2 ± 4.45	87.3 ± 7.32	$76.2 \pm 1.47^{a,c}$
40	101.7 ± 2.69	86.1 ± 1.38^{a}	$74.4 \pm 3.17^{a,b}$
60	102.4 ± 1.22	$81.2 \pm 2.01^{a,b}$	$64.7 \pm 2.55^{a,b}$
pFx-7, µg/ml			
12	98.4 ± 3.30	92.2 ± 3.07	93.5 ± 4.28
24	95.1 ± 2.63	86.9 ± 2.30	85.6 ± 3.22
36	88.7 ± 5.82	84.2 ± 4.79	$66.7 \pm 0.98^{a,c}$
44	$65.0 \pm 3.11^{a,c}$	$61.5 \pm 4.94^{a-c}$	$52.6 \pm 2.69^{a,c}$

Values are mean ± SEM and are expressed as percentage of control.
[a] Statistically different as compared to control, i.e., non-transfected cells.
[b] Statistically different as compared to the values in the group transfected with the same concentration of a given liposome for the preceding exposure time.
[c] Statistically different as compared to the values in the group transfected for the same exposure time with the preceding concentration of a given liposome.

only for pFx-7, the liposome which produced the highest transfection rates in HUVEC. Figure 3A reflects morphological alterations of HUVEC, as observed under a phase-contrast microscope, after a 1.5-hour incubation with 36 µg/ml pFx-7 complexed with 5 µg/ml of the pGL3 vector. Cytotoxic effects of this complex included cellular shrinkage and detachment. However, a 48-hour recovery period following transfection, during which cells were maintained in normal growth medium, allowed HUVEC to regain normal morphological features. This phenomenon is shown in figure 3B, a photograph of the same culture as depicted in figure 3A, but taken after the recovery period.

Fig. 3. The effect of pFx-7-mediated transfection on HUVEC morphology as observed under a phase-contrast microscope. Cells were transfected for 1.5 h with the pGL3 vector complexed with 36 μg/ml of pFx-7. **A** Cell morphology at the end of the 1.5-hour transfection period. **B** Cell morphology at the end of the 48 hour recovery period in which cells were maintained in normal medium.

Optimization of Plasmid DNA Concentration and Transfection Time for Transient Transfection of Endothelial Cells

Both the amount of plasmid DNA used for transfection and transfection time are important factors which can determine the transfection rate. Figure 4 shows transfection rates in HUVEC transfected with different amounts of the pGL3 vector complexed with pFx-7 at the concentration of 36 μg/ml as well as with DMRIE-C or lipofectin at the concentration of 40 μg/ml. Maximum transfection rate was observed in cells transfected with 5 μg/ml of plasmid DNA. In cells transfected with either 2 or 10 μg pGL3/ml, transfection rates were minimal as compared to 5 μg/ml of the pGL3 vector.

To determine the optimal transfection time, HUVEC were transfected for 1, 1.5 or 3 h, followed by a 48-hour recovery period. Time-dependent effects on liposome-mediated transfection are also shown in figure 4. As reflected in this figure, most successful HUVEC transfections resulted from 1.5-hour transfection time. Transfection rates in cells exposed to liposomes for that period of time were constantly higher than those in HUVEC transfected for 1 h. In addition, extension of transfection time to 3 h did not result in higher transfection rates. It appears that cytotoxicity of liposomes, as reported in table 1, could affect transfection rates in HUVEC transfected for 3 h.

Fig. 4. Comparison of transfection rates mediated by DMRIE-C, lipofectin, or pFx-7 under different concentrations of plasmid DNA and transfection times. HUVEC were transfected for 1, 1.5 or 3 h with different concentrations of the pGL3 vector complexed with DMRIE-C or lipofectin at the concentration of 40 μg/ml or with pFx-7 at the concentration of 36 μg/ml. Values are mean ± SEM. Luciferase activities in control (nontransfected) cells and in cells transfected with naked pGL3 were negligible and were not plotted. *Values in cultures transfected with 5 μg pGL3/ml are significantly higher than values from groups transfected with other amounts of plasmid DNA. †Values in cultures transfected for 1.5 or 3 h in the presence of 36 μg pFx-7/ml complexed with 5 μg pGL3/ml are significantly higher than transfection rates in other experimental groups.

Efficiency of Transient Transfection in Endothelial Cells

Previously described experiments allowed us to determine the optimal transfection conditions for HUVEC using individual liposomes, i.e., pFx-7 at the concentration of 36 μg/ml, DMRIE-C or lipofectin at the concentration of 40 μg/ml, a transfection time of 1.5 h, and plasmid DNA concentration of 5 μg/ml. Using these experimental settings, transfection efficiency was measured by determination of activity of human placental alkaline phosphatase (hpAP) in HUVEC transfected with the VR-3301 vector, encoding for hpAP, and complexed with pFx-7, DMRIE-C or lipofectin. A fluorescent marker of hpAP activity, the fast red TR salt, was employed in these studies, and fluorescence was measured by either flow cytometry (in cell suspension) or fluorescent microscopy. Figure 5A shows the results of the quantitative analysis of transfection efficiency performed by flow cytometry. Under the described conditions, transfection efficiency in HUVEC mediated by pFx-7 was determined to be 34.4%. In contrast, transfection efficiency in endothelial cells transfected with DMRIE-C or lipofectin was much lower, i.e. approximately 9.5 or 4.7%, respectively. In control cultures and in cultures exposed to the naked plasmid DNA, positive staining for hpAP was negligible. Figure 5B depicts HUVEC positively stained for the presence of alkaline phosphatase (arrows) as observed under the fluorescent microscope.

Effectiveness of the Optimized Transfection Technique to Study Activation of Transcription Factors in HUVEC and for Transient Transfection of Different Endothelial Cell Types

One of the major applications of transient transfections is to study activation of transcription factors and mechanisms of gene regulation. Therefore, our optimized transfection technique (i.e., pFx-7, 36 μg/ml; plasmid DNA concentration, 5 μg/ml; transfection time, 1.5 h followed by a 48-hour recovery period) was employed in such an experimental setting. HUVEC were transfected with NF-κB- or AP-1-responsive plasmids containing the firefly luciferase reporter gene, and luciferase activity was determined in cells stimulated with TNF (10 ng/ml) or LPS (1 μg/ml). The results of these experiments are shown in figure 6. Both TNF and LPS significantly increased luciferase activity in HUVEC transfected with NF-κB or AP-1-responsive plasmids. These data are consistent with TNF or LPS-induced activation of NF-κB or AP-1 in HUVEC, as determined by electrophoretic mobility shift assay (data not shown).

Fig. 5. A Efficiency of liposome-mediated transfection in HUVEC as measured by flow cytometry. Cells were transfected for 1.5 h with the VR-3301 vector (5 μg/ml) complexed with 40 μg/ml of DMRIE-C or lipofectin or with 36 μg/ml of pFx-7. *Values marked with an asterisk are significantly higher as compared to the values for control cultures or cultures transfected with naked plasmid DNA. †Values in cultures transfected in the presence of pFx-7 are significantly higher than values in other experimental groups. **B** An example of HUVEC positively stained for hpAP as observed under a fluorescent microscope (rhodamine filter). Transfection was mediated by pFx-7 under conditions as described in the legend to **A**.

Structure and functions of endothelial cells originated from different tissues differ markedly [25]. Therefore, experiments were performed in which the optimized transfection technique was employed to compare transfection rates in different types of endothelial cells, namely in HUVEC, HAEC, HBMEC, and HMEC-1. The optimized transfection conditions (i.e., pFx-7, 36 μg/ml; pGL3,

Fig. 6. The effectiveness of the optimized transfection conditions to study activation of transcription factors in HUVEC. Cells were transfected for 1.5 h with 5 µg/ml of the NF-κB or AP-1-responsive constructs complexed with 36 µg/ml of pFx-7. Transfections were followed by a 24-hour recovery period in normal growth medium, after which cells were treated either with TNF-α (10 ng/ml) or LPS (1 µg/ml) for 24. Values are mean ± SEM. *Values marked with an asterisk are significantly higher as compared to those of control cultures or cultures transfected with naked plasmid DNA.

Fig. 7. A comparison of transfection rates in different types of human endothelial cells. HUVEC, HAEC, HBMEC, and HMEC-1 were transfected for 1.5 h with 5 µg/ml of the pGL3 vector complexed with 36 µg/ml of pFx-7. Luciferase activities in control (non-transfected) cells and in cells transfected with naked pGL3 were negligible and were not plotted. Values are mean ± SEM. *Transfection rates in HBMEC and HMEC-1 are significantly higher than those in HUVEC. †Transfection rates in HMEC-1 are significantly higher than those in other experimental groups.

5 µg/ml; transfection time, 1.5 h followed by a 48-hour recovery period) were employed in these experiments. As depicted in figure 7, among studied endothelial cell types, pFx-7 mediated the highest transfection rates in the immortalized endothelial cell lines, in particular in HMEC-1. There were no differences in transfection rates between HUVEC and HAEC.

Discussion

Cationic liposomes are positively charged lipids which can be mixed with negatively charged DNA to form lipid-DNA complexes. The most important advantages of mediating transfection with liposomes are that they are easy to prepare, they can transfer genes of various sizes and they are not infectious [6]. The most recognized disadvantage of liposome-mediated transfection is low efficiency of transfection. However, it is possible that the development of new generations of cationic lipids and transfection methods may overcome this limitation. In addition, better understanding of the mechanisms of liposome-mediated transfection may also contribute to the develop-

ment of experimental methods which would allow for higher transfection efficiency [26].

Several factors can affect liposome-mediated transfection, including cell type, culture conditions, lipid composition of the liposomes, promoter type, reporter gene type, and amount of transfected plasmid DNA and DNA/lipid ratio. The dependency of transfection on the type of endothelial cells was observed in the present study. In the present study, we observed that transfection rates in HUVEC were approximately at the same rate as in HAEC but significantly lower as compared to immortalized endothelial cell lines (fig. 7). This is in agreement with a widely accepted phenomenon that cell lines are easier to transfect than primary cell cultures, such as HUVEC. However, it should be noted that endothelial cells, in general, are difficult to transfect. This may relate to the fact that endothelial cells represent a physiologic barrier against invasion of the vessels and underlying tissues by exogenous substances. During liposome-mediated transfection, lipids can fuse with cell membranes and thus deliver DNA into the cytoplasm. Liposome-mediated transfections are usually more efficient in dividing cells, because the nuclear membrane, which prevents DNA

from entering the nucleus, is not present during replication [6]. For this reason, transfections performed in the present study were initiated at approximately 55–65% confluency, i.e., in a state when cultured endothelial cells divide rapidly. However, it should be pointed out that liposomes can also transfect non-replicating cells [2].

Although liposome-delivered foreign DNA can enter the nucleus, it is not incorporated into the host genome. Therefore, liposome-mediated transfections are not mutagenic. The transfected plasmids remain as episomal nonreplicating minichromosomes and are gradually degraded [4]. In the present study, the reporter gene assays were performed 48 h following transfection, the standard interval for measuring reporter gene expression in cell cultures [4].

Because of the heterogeneity of cellular membranes, for optimal transfection, different types of cells require liposomes characterized by specific lipid profiles. In fact, lipid composition is the most critical factor determining the efficiency of liposome-mediated transfection. In the present study it was determined that among several commercially available liposomes pFx-7 is the most suitable lipid carrier for transfection of HUVEC. The optimal transfection rate was achieved when cells were incubated for 1.5 h with 36 μg pFx-7/ml complexed with 5 μg of plasmid DNA (fig. 2, 4). Although relatively high concentrations of pFx-7 induced cytotoxic effects in HUVEC, maintaining cells in normal growth medium for 48 h following transfection allowed for full recovery of morphological features (fig. 3). Among studied liposomes, incubation of endothelial cells with lipofectin resulted in most marked inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation (table 1). This is in agreement with an earlier report in which high toxicity of this liposome also was observed in cultured human endothelial cells [23].

In addition to comparing transfection efficiency in HUVEC mediated by different liposomes, transfections with the pGL3 vector alone were also included in the present study. It has been reported that injection with naked DNA plasmid encoding for VEGF into skeletal muscle was beneficial in patients with critical limb ischemia [14]. In addition, exposure of neurons to naked decoy κB DNA inhibited amyloid β-peptide-induced NF-κB activation [27]. However, in the present study transfection of HUVEC with naked DNA produced only a minimal effect. This is in agreement with the earlier report in which transfection efficiency with naked DNA plasmid was reported as low as approximately 0.08% [5].

Rates of liposome-mediated transfection are dependent on amounts of plasmid DNA and thus on the ratio of DNA/cationic lipids. Our studies revealed that the transfection rate of HUVEC can be enhanced with an increase in the amount of transfected DNA up to 5 μg DNA/ml (fig. 4). Further increases in the amount of plasmid DNA, and thus alteration of the DNA/liposome ratio, decreased efficiency of transfection. Similar results were obtained in the earlier studies [5]. Therefore, 5 μg DNA/ml was the standard amount of plasmid DNA used in the majority of the reported experiments.

The type of promoter which regulates the transgene expression can greatly influence transfection efficiency [28]. For example, using a plasmid regulated by the human β-actin promoter, it was reported that efficiency of transfection of HUVEC by electroporation was approximately 0.68%, by lipofectin approximately 0.45%, and by other transfection methods, including calcium phosphate and DEAE-dextran-mediated transfection, also below 1% [29]. In contrast, lipofectin-mediated transfection of HUVEC with a plasmid regulated by a strong respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) viral promoter resulted in transfection efficiency as high as 10–20% [30]. Highly efficient transfection of approximately 20% was also achieved in HUVEC transfected with a plasmid regulated by the CMV promoter, using γAP-DLRIE/DOPE liposomes [5]. Constructs employed in the present study also contained strong promoters. The pGL3 vector is regulated by the SV40 promoter and the VR-3301 vector contains the CMV promoter. Because these strong promoters use transcription factors which are present in host cells, they can be constitutively active in transfected cells. For example, the CMV promoter contains binding elements for common transcription factors, such as cyclic adenosine monophosphate and NF-κB [2]. These transcription factors remain active at the baseline level even in non-stimulated cells. In addition, one may suggest that cellular stress connected with transfection may further stimulate activation of these transcription factors. It should be noted that the CMV promoter can provide better transfection rates in HUVEC compared to the RSV promoter. This was demonstrated in experiments in which HUVEC were transfected with plasmids encoding for the same reporter gene (hpAP) but driven either by the CMV or the RSV promoter [5].

In the present study, transfection conditions were optimized using the pGL3 vector regulated by the SV40 promoter and encoding for firefly luciferase. Firefly luciferase has been recognized to be the reporter gene of choice for transfection studies in cells resistant to uptake of foreign DNA [31]. The transgene is simple to measure and has no background levels in animal tissues. In contrast,

our preliminary experiments with β-galactosidase revealed background activity of this enzyme in cultured HUVEC (data not shown). Determination of luciferase activity also has the advantage of being several orders of magnitude more sensitive than other common reporter gene assays, such as activities of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, β-galactosidase or alkaline phosphatase [4, 31]. However, to determine the efficiency of transfection, the vector encoding for hpAP was used. This experimental approach allowed us to stain and count the transfected cells. Transfection efficiency of 32% achieved in HUVEC in the present study is higher than in earlier studies which reported efficiencies of approximately 20% [5, 30]. However, it should be noted that much higher transfection efficiency can be achieved for liposome-mediated transfection of endothelial cells with antisense oligonucleotides. For example, it was reported that cytofectin GCV or SuperFect can mediate the uptake of antisense oligonucleotides to more than 95% of cultured human iliac artery endothelial cells [23]. In contrast, these liposomes appeared to be less effective in facilitation of transfection of plasmids, such as the pGL3 vector, into HUVEC (data not shown).

In the present study, a strong correlation between transfection rates and transfection efficiency was observed. The high transfection rates mediated by pFx-7 were associated with high transfection efficiency in endothelial cells transfected in the presence of this liposome. In contrast, transfections mediated by either DMRIE-C or lipofectin resulted in moderate transfection rates and efficiency.

In summary, efficient transfection conditions have been established for a transient transfection of human endothelial cells. The optimal transfection conditions, resulting in the transfection efficiency of approximately 32%, were achieved with cationic liposome pFx-7 used at the concentration of 36 µg/ml for 1.5 h. Although these transfection conditions were connected with some cytotoxicity, a 48-hour period of maintaining endothelial cells in normal growth medium allowed the cells to recover fully. We conclude that pFx-7 can be used as an efficient transfection agent to deliver foreign DNA into human endothelial cells.

Acknowledgements

References

1 Hodgson CP, Xu G, Solaiman F, Zink MA: Biosynthetic retrovectoring systems for gene therapy. J Mol Med 1997;75:249–258.
2 Weihl C, Macdonald RL, Stoodley M, Luders J, Lin G: Gene therapy for cerebrovascular disease. Neurosurgery 1999;44:239–252.
3 Fox JC: Cardiovascular gene therapy: Current concepts. Ther Drug Monit 1996;18:410–422.
4 Neville C, Rosenthal N, Hauschka S: DNA transfection of cultured muscle cells. Methods Cell Biol 1997;52:405–422.
5 Tanner FC, Carr DP, Nabel GJ, Nabel EG: Transfection of human endothelial cells. Cardiovasc Res 1997;35:522–528.
6 Felgner PL, Tsai YJ, Sukhu L, Wheeler CJ, Manthorpe M, Marshall J, Cheng SH: Improved cationic lipid formulations for in vivo gene therapy. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1995;772:126–139.
7 Safi J Jr, Gloe TR, Riccioni T, Kovesdi I, Capogrossi MC: Gene therapy with angiogenic factors: A new potential approach to the treatment of ischemic diseases. J Mol Cell Cardiol 1997;29:2311–2325.

8 Kong HL, Crystal RG: Gene therapy strategies for tumor antiangiogenesis. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:273–286.
9 Dachs GU, Dougherty GJ, Stratford IJ, Chaplin DJ: Targeting gene therapy to cancer: A review. Oncol Res 1997;9:313–325.
10 Wickham TJ, Haskard D, Segal D, Kovesdi I: Targeting endothelium for gene therapy via receptors up-regulated during angiogenesis and inflammation. Cancer Immunol Immunother 1997;45:149–151.
11 Laitinen M, Yla-Herttuala S: Vascular gene transfer for the treatment of restenosis and atherosclerosis. Curr Opin Lipidol 1998;9:465–469.
12 Schwartz LB, Moawad J: Gene therapy for vascular disease. Ann Vasc Surg 1997;11:189–199.
13 Wu KK: Injury-coupled induction of endothelial eNOS and COX-2 genes: A paradigm for thromboresistant gene therapy. Proc Assoc Am Physicians 1998;110:163–170.

14 Baumgartner I, Pieczek A, Manor O, Blair R, Kearney M, Walsh K, Isner JM: Constitutive expression of phVEGF165 after intramuscular gene transfer promotes collateral vessel development in patients with critical limb ischemia. Circulation 1998;97:1114–1123.
15 Laitinen M, Yla-Herttuala S: Adventitial gene transfer to arterial wall. Pharmacol Res 1998;37:251–254.
16 Rekhter MD, Simari RD, Work CW, Nabel GJ, Nabel EG, Gordon D: Gene transfer into normal and atherosclerotic human blood vessels. Circ Res 1998;82:1243–1252.
17 Schneider DB, Fly CA, Dichek DA, Geary RL: Adenoviral gene transfer in arteries of hypercholesterolemic nonhuman primates. Hum Gene Ther 1998;9:815–821.
18 Inaba M, Toninelli E, Vanmeter G, Bender JR, Conte MS: Retroviral gene transfer: Effects on endothelial cell phenotype. J Surg Res 1998;78:31–36.
19 Young VM, Toborek M, Yang F, McClain CJ, Hennig B: Effect of linoleic acid on endothelial cell inflammatory mediators. Metabolism 1998;47:566–572.

20 Stins MF, Prasadarao NV, Ibric L, Wass CA, Luckett P, Kim KS: Binding characteristics of S fimbriated *Escherichia coli* to isolated brain microvascular endothelial cells. Am J Pathol 1994;145:1228–1236.

21 Stins MF, Prasadarao NV, Zhou J, Arditi M, Kim KS: Bovine brain microvascular endothelial cells transfected with SV40-large T antigen: Development of an immortalized cell line to study pathophysiology of CNS disease. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim 1997;33:243–247.

22 Toborek M, Barger SW, Mattson MP, Barve S, McClain CJ, Hennig B: Linoleic acid and TNF-α cross-amplify oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells. J Lipid Res 1996;37:123–135.

23 Axel DI, Spyridopoulos I, Riessen R, Runge H, Viebahn R, Karsch KR: Toxicity, uptake kinetics and efficacy of new transfection reagents: Increase of oligonucleotide uptake. J Vasc Res 2000;37:221–234.

24 Ziomek CA, Lepire ML, Torres I: A highly fluorescent simultaneous azo dye technique for demonstration of nonspecific alkaline phosphatase activity. J Histochem Cytochem 1990;38:437–442.

25 Toborek M, Kaiser S: Endothelial cell functions. Relationship to atherogenesis. Basic Res Cardiol 1999;94:295–314.

26 Ferrari ME, Nguyen CM, Zelphati O, Tsai Y, Felgner PL: Analytical methods for the characterization of cationic lipid-nucleic acid complexes. Hum Gene Ther 1998;9:341–351.

27 Mattson MP, Goodman Y, Luo H, Fu W, Furukawa K: Activation of NF-kappaB protects hippocampal neurons against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis: Evidence for induction of manganese superoxide dismutase and suppression of peroxynitrite production and protein tyrosine nitration. J Neurosci Res 1997;49:681–697.

28 Liu Z, Cashion LM, Twu JJ: A systematic comparison of relative promoter/enhancer activities in mammalian cell lines. Anal Biochem 1997;246:150–152.

29 Teifel M, Heine LT, Milbredt S, Friedl P: Optimization of transfection of human endothelial cells. Endothelium 1997;5:21–35.

30 Powell JT, van Zonneveld AJ, van Mourik JA: Gene transfer into specific vascular cells. Eur J Vasc Surg 1992;6:130–134.

31 Alam J, Cook JL: Reporter genes: Application to the study of mammalian gene transcription. Anal Biochem 1990;188:245–254.

16. Keep for at least 30 min to dry mounting media before observing under a microscope.

Note

Avoid bubbles during antibody incubation and mounting of coverslips.

Microscopy

We recommend performing fluorescence microscopy using a Nikon E800 microscope with a 0.5 to 100× objective. Its differential interference contrast (DIC, Nomarski) optics allows excellent three-dimensional imaging of cells. Imaging is performed using a Photometrics Sen Sys CCD digital camera and MetaMorph 4.5 software. Simultaneous images of FITC/rhodamine fluorescence via epifluorescence and cell morphology via DIC are obtained.

Notes

1. A mercury lamp allows high magnification imaging with DIC and Plan-apochoromatic objectives provide the best correction for all aberrations.
2. Sensitivity and resolution with a Photometrics Syn CCD digital camera are exceptional, especially for fluorescence imaging.
3. The MetaMorph software allows image processing, contrast enhancement, color overlays, and intensity measurement. Dual color and overlay images are shown in Fig. 3.

[18] Measurement of Inflammatory Properties of Fatty Acids in Human Endothelial Cells

By MICHAL TOBOREK, YONG WOO LEE, SIMONE KAISER, and BERNHARD HENNIG

Introduction

Fatty acids can modulate inflammatory responses in numerous tissues, including the vascular endothelium. At least two different independent pathways can be responsible for these effects. These pathways are linked to either (1) eicosanoid production or (2) redox-regulated gene expression. Traditionally, lipid-mediated cellular inflammatory reactions have been linked to the release of arachidonic acid from the cellular membranes, activation of cyclooxygenases, and lipoxygenases

DIETARY ___ ___ Lipoproteins enriched with
LIPIDS   specific fatty acids

↘ lipoprotein remnants

FREE FATTY ACIDS

endothelial   plasma
cell   Oxidative Stress   membrane

Alterations of Cellular
Redox Status

Activation of
Redox-Responsive
Transcription Factors

Adhesion molecules   Chemokines   Inflammatory cytokines
ICAM-1   MCP-1   TNF-α
VCAM-1   IL-1β
E-selectin   IL-6
                                           IL-8

INDUCTION OF INFLAMMATORY RESPONSE AND DISRUPTION OF
ENDOTHELIAL INTEGRITY

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of proinflammatory pathways induced by dietary fatty acids in vascular endothelial cells. ICAM-1, intracellular adhesion molecule-1; IL. interleukin: MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1.

with the subsequent overproduction of eicosanoids. These reactions have been relatively well studied and have been characterized in detail in several excellent reviews.[1-3] Therefore, this chapter focuses on dietary fatty acid-induced proinflammatory pathways mediated by the induction of oxidative stress, activation of redox-regulated transcription factors, and the inflammatory genes (Fig. 1).

Dietary Sources of Fatty Acids

Evidence indicates that selected fatty acids can stimulate inflammatory reactions through the transcriptional regulation of inflammatory genes, i.e., genes encoding for adhesion molecules and inflammatory cytokines.[4] However, it appears

[1] M. J. James, R. A. Gibson, and L. G. Cleland, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 71(1 Suppl.), 343S (2000).
[2] K. F. Scott, K. J. Bryant, and M. J. Bidgood, *J. Leukocyte. Biol.* 66, 535 (1999).
[3] E. G. Spokas, J. Rokach, and P. Y. Wong, *Methods Mol. Biol.* 120, 213 (1999).
[4] M. Toborek and B. Hennig, *Subcell. Biochem.* 30, 415 (1998).

that the effects mediated by individual fatty acids are very specific and are influenced by diet and types of dietary fat. It is generally accepted that dietary profiles of fatty acids can influence lipoprotein lipid composition significantly. Thus, diets enriched in specific fatty acids result in high concentrations of these fatty acids in lipoprotein fractions. Nutritional analyses indicate that the typical Western diet contains 20- to 25-fold more n-6 (or omega-6) than n-3 (or omega-3) fatty acids. In addition, among n-6 fatty acids, linoleic acid (18 : 2, n-6) is the major dietary fatty acid present in high concentrations in corn, soy, sunflower, or safflower oils. It is estimated that linoleic acid provides approximately 7–8% of the average dietary energy intake.[1] In addition, linoleic acid is thought to be a predominant substrate for lipid peroxidation processes both in lipoproteins, such as low-density lipoproteins (LDL), and in tissues.[5] In contrast to linoleic acid, the dietary intake of α-linolenic acid (18 : 3, n-3), an essential fatty acid of the n-3 family, is relatively low. For example, α-linolenic acid, present in leafy, green vegetables, as well as in flaxseed and canola oils, constitutes only 0.3–0.4% of the average dietary energy intake. Oleic acid (18 : 1, n-9) is another main dietary fatty acid, present in high amounts in olive or sunola oils, as well as in meat. It is responsible for approximately 8–15% the average dietary energy intake.[1] In contrast to linoleic acid or α-linolenic acid, oleic acid is not an essential fatty acid and can be synthesized from stearic acid by Δ-9 desaturation.[6]

Fatty acids can be hydrolyzed from lipoproteins in a reaction catalyzed by lipoprotein lipase, an enzyme associated with the vascular endothelium. Thus, endothelial cells can be directly exposed to high concentrations of free fatty acids.[7] Evidence indicates that specific free fatty acids can directly affect endothelial cell metabolism and induce potent proinflammatory reactions.[8] Because of their dietary significance and biological potential, our research has concentrated on the effects of 18 carbon fatty acids (such as oleic, linoleic, and linolenic acid) on endothelial cell metabolism in relationship to the development of atherosclerosis. Specifically, we study the roles of these fatty acids in the regulation of proinflammatory pathways in human endothelial cells.

Human Endothelial Cell Cultures and Preparation of Fatty Acid-Enriched Media

Background

Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) are the most common primary human endothelial cells available for routine cell culture research. Although

[5] G. Spiteller, *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **95**, 105 (1998).

[6] B. A. Watkins, B. Hennig, and M. Toborek, *in* "Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products" (Y. H. Hui, ed.), p. 159, Wiley, New York, 1996.

[7] D. B. Zilversmit, *Circ. Res.* **33**, 633 (1973).

[8] B. Hennig, M. Toborek, and C. J. McClain, *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.*, in press.   **Au: Pls. update.**

these cells are of vein origin, they appear to be well suited for research related to different aspects of vascular biology, including studies on inflammatory responses. For example, HUVEC express all mediators of inflammatory responses, such as genes encoding for adhesion molecules, inflammatory cytokines, and chemokines.[9] In addition, HUVEC are susceptible to the development of apoptosis.[10]

Human endothelial cells can also be isolated from other vessels, such as the aorta or the femoral artery. Moreover, a variety of different types of primary endothelial cells are available commercially (e.g., from Clonetics Corp., Walkersville, MD, or Cascade Biologics, Portland, OR). Several immortal cell lines of human endothelial cells are also available, such as human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1), which originated from dermal microvascular endothelial cells transfected with the SV-40 large T promoter,[11] or the EA.hy926 cell line, produced by fusion of HUVEC with human A549 carcinoma cells.[12]

In most experiments, endothelial cells are exposed to fatty acids at concentrations of 60 or 90 μM, with experimental media albumin concentrations of about 60 μM. Normal plasma free fatty acid concentrations can range from approximately 90 to 1200 μM; however, the majority of free fatty acids are bound to plasma components, mostly albumin.[13,14] In fact, the main factor in the availability of fatty acids for cellular uptake is determined by the free fatty acid to albumin ratio. Normally, this ratio can range from 0.15 to 4 in response to various conditions, with an average of approximately 1.[13,14] Thus, the experimental conditions employed in our studies, which result in a free fatty acid to albumin ratio of 1 or 1.5, are within a physiological range.

Solutions for HUVEC Isolation and Culture

Dispase solution: Dispase (2 mg/ml) in M199 enriched with penicillin/streptomycin (400 U/ml) and 3% fetal bovine serum (FBS)

Growth medium: M199 with added $NaHCO_3$, pH 7.4, and enriched with heparin, 54.3 U/ml; HEPES, 25 mM; L-glutamine, 2 mM; sodium pyruvate, 1 μM; penicillin, 200 U/ml; streptomycin, 200 μg/ml; amphotericin B, 0.25 μg/ml; endothelial cell growth supplement (ECGS), 0.04 mg/ml; FBS, 20%

Experimental medium: composition is similar as that of growth medium, except for the serum content. FBS is added to the experimental medium at the final concentration of 10%

[9] B. Hennig, P. Meerarani. P. Ramadass, B. A. Watkins, and M. Toborek, *Metabolism* **49**, 1006 (2000).
[10] Y. W. Lee. H. Kühn. B. Hennig, and M. Toborek, *FEBS Lett.* **485**, 122 (2000).
[11] E. W. Ades, F. J. Candal, R. A. Swerlick, V. G. George, S. Summers, D. C. Bosse, and T. J. Lawley, *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **99**, 683 (1992).
[12] C. J. S. Edgell, C. C. McDonald, and J. B. Graham, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**, 3734, (1983).
[13] A. A. Spector. *J. Lipid Res.* **16**, 165 (1975).
[14] B. Potter. J. D. Sorentino. and P. D. Berk. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* **9**, 253 (1989).

Hank's balanced salt solution: NaCl, 0.14 M; KCl, 5.36 mM; KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.44 mM; Na$_2$HPO$_4 \cdot$7H$_2$O, 0.63 mM; NaHCO$_3$, 4.16 mM; D-glucose, 5.55 mM; phenol red sodium salt, 0.001%

Procedure

Umbilical cords are collected in sterile beakers containing M199 and penicillin and streptomycin at concentrations of 400 U/ml. HUVEC are isolated under aseptic conditions as follows: umbilical cord is placed on sterile gauze and both ends are cut cleanly prior to locating the umbilical vein. Canuli with attached tubings are inserted into the vein from both ends of the cord, and umbilical tape is knotted tightly to uphold the canuli in the vein. Then, blood clots are rinsed from the inside of the umbilical vein by injection of Hank's solution through the canula. After cleaning of the vein and clamping one end of the umbilical cord, the dispase solution is injected into the vein, allowing the cord to become fully distended. Tubings attached to each canula are clamped and the cords are placed in a sterile beaker containing Hank's solution and refrigerated overnight for 15 to 18 hr to allow dislodging of endothelial cells. The following day, dispase-containing cells are collected by rinsing the lumen of the vein with Hank's solution to further dislodge weakly attached cells. The cell suspension is centrifuged for 10 min at 250g at room temperature. Then, the pelleted cells are resuspended in growth medium and seeded in a cell culture flask. Two to 4 hr later, when endothelial cells are fully attached to the surface of the flask, the medium is removed and cells are rinsed gently with Hank's solution to remove any remaining blood cells, and fresh growth medium is added to the flask. Endothelial cells are cultured at 37° in a humid atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. Cell cultures are identified as endothelial by their cobblestone morphology and by the uptake of acetylated low-density lipoproteins labeled with 1,1'-dioctadecy1-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocabocyanine perchlorate (Dil-Ac-LDL). Dil-Ac-LDL bind to the scavenger receptor present on endothelial cells, as well as on other cell types, such as macrophages or microglia. However, isolation of endothelial cells from umbilical veins and the subsequent cell culture procedures eliminate the possibility of macrophage or microglia contamination. Thus, under the described conditions, Dil-Ac-LDL uptake, combined with the morphological appearance of cells, can specifically identify endothelial cells. The passage of endothelial cells is performed by washing the cells with Hank's solution and adding trypsin/EDTA at a 1 : 3 split ratio. All our experiments are conducted with cells from passage two.

Measurement of Dil-Ac-LDL Uptake by HUVEC

Dil-Ac-LDL (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) is diluted to 10 μg/ml in growth medium and added to cell cultures. Following a 4-hr incubation at 37°, medium containing Dil-Ac-LDL is removed from the cultures, and cells are washed three

times with Hank's solution. Then, cells are trypsinized, centrifuged at 500g, washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and resuspended in PBS to obtain a final concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/ml. The percentage of fluorescent-labeled cells is measured using an activated cell sorter, FACScan, with the wavelength for excitation and emission set at 514 and 500 nm, respectively. Cells from unlabeled cultures serve as negative controls.

Preparation of Fatty Acid-Enriched Media

Stock solutions of high purity ($\geq$99%) fatty acids (Nu-Chek-Prep, Elysian, MN) are prepared in hexane. NaOH (6 M, or 30× molarity of fatty acid) is used for saponification to convert the fatty acids into a water-soluble form. The desired amount of fatty acid is aliquoted, mixed with 6 M NaOH, and dried under high purity nitrogen gas. The residue is dissolved in 1.0 ml of hot, distilled water, and the solution is immediately transferred to a beaker containing experimental medium. Then, the pH is adjusted to 7.4 with 1.2 M HCl and the medium is sterilized through a syringe-driven filter unit.

Fatty Acid-Induced Oxidative Stress in Endothelial Cells

Background

Among different methods to assess oxidative stress, 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence appears to be very sensitive and especially useful in experimental settings that include cell cultures.[15] This method allows measurement of cellular oxidation in individual and viable cells directly on cell culture dishes. Cells are loaded with 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCF-DA), a stable, nonpolar compound that diffuses readily into the cells and is converted to a nonfluorescent polar derivative 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescin (DCF-H) by intracellular esterases. DCF-H can be oxidized to the highly fluorescent compound DCF by hydrogen peroxide or other peroxides produced by the cells. The intensity of cellular fluorescence can be assessed by a confocal laser-scanning microscope coupled to an inverted microscope.

We demonstrated that DCF fluorescence is a sensitive marker of cellular oxidation induced by fatty acids.[16] Figure 2 shows photomicrographs visualizing intracellular DCF fluorescence in control endothelial cells, as well as in endothelial cells exposed to 90 μM linoleic acid for 6 hr. The pseudocolor scale that reflects the levels of the intracellular peroxide tone is arranged in such a way that white color reflects the highest peroxide concentrations, red color high levels,

[15] M. P. Mattson, S. W. Barger, J. G. Begley, and R. J. Mark, *Methods Cell Biol.* **46**, 187 (1995).
[16] M. Toborek, S. W. Barger, M. P. Mattson, S. Barve, C. J. McClain, and B. Hennig, *J. Lipid Res.* **37**, 123 (1996).

FIG. 2. Photomicrographs from confocal laser-scanning microscopy visualizing oxidative stress as DCF fluorescence emission. Endothelial cells were either untreated (left, control cells) or treated with 90 μM linoleic acid for 6 hr (right). Blue color on the pseudocolor scale reflects a low level of cellular oxidation, yellow intermediate, red high, and white the highest level of cellular oxidative stress. The intensity of fluorescence can be quantitated using "ImageSpace" software (Molecular Dynamics) and is expressed in relative units of DCF fluorescence.

yellow color intermediate, and blue color reflects the lowest levels of intracellular oxidizing compounds. The average pixel intensity is also measured within each field using the "ImageSpace" software supplied by the manufacturer (Molecular Dynamics) and is expressed in relative units of DCF fluorescence. DCF fluorescence can be utilized to measure cellular oxidation in different model systems. In fact, this method has been used successfully in a variety of cell types (e.g., neurons[15]) or treatments (e.g., amyloid β-peptide[17] or interleukin-4[18]).

Although DCF fluorescence is an excellent method to study cellular oxidation, it is variable throughout the cell. Therefore, we perform our measurements constantly 1 μm below the cell surface. In addition, loading of the dye may not be uniform across cells cultured in one dish. Thus, it is important to measure DCF fluorescent in a large number of cells in several independent cultures. A standard procedure in our laboratory involves measurements of up to 300 individual cells per culture in four independent cultures. In addition, DCF fluorescence is sensitive to pH changes. Therefore, it is important that the pH of each fatty acid-enriched medium is neutralized carefully to normal values before adding such a medium to endothelial cell cultures. Moreover, measurements of DCF fluorescence are performed in the presence of Hank's solution to buffer pH changes, which can occur during the procedure.

[17] Y. Goodman and M. P. Mattson, *Exp. Neurol.* **128**, 1 (1994).
[18] Y. W. Lee, H. Kühn, B. Hennig, A. S. Neish, and M. Toborek, *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **33**, 83 (2001).

Procedure

Endothelial cells (3.0×10^5 cells/dish) are plated on polyethylenimine-coated glass-bottom 35-mm dishes (Mat-Tek, Inc., Ashland, MA), cultured for 3–4 days until confluent, and treated with fatty acids. The cells are loaded with 50 μM DCF-DA during the remaining 50 min of the experiment. At the end of the incubation period, cells are washed three times with Hank's solution. Then, 1 ml of Hank's solution is added to cell culture dishes and DCF fluorescence is measured using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA) coupled with a Nikon Diaphot inverted microscope (Nikon, Inc., Melville, NY) using 488-nm excitation and 510-nm emission filters. Operating conditions are as follows: objective, 60×; pinhole aperture, 50 μm; image size, 1024 × 1024 pixels; and pixel size, 0.21 μm. Average pixel intensity is measured within each individual cell and is expressed in the relative units of DCF fluorescence. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM of individual cells from three or four separate plates.

Other Methods Used to Assess Cellular Oxidation Status in Fatty Acid-Treated Endothelial Cells

Popular methods to assess cellular oxidative stress include measurements of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS), lipid hydroperoxides, conjugated dienes, and 4-hydroxynonenal (HNE).[19,20] Among them, measurement of TBARS is still used most frequently. The principle of the method is based on the reaction between malondialdehyde (MDA), an aldehyde product of lipid peroxidation, with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) at a high temperature, typically 100°. This method is criticized as a marker of lipid peroxidation because normally MDA is only a minor product of lipid peroxidation and the majority of detectable MDA is formed from hydroperoxides during heating in the reaction with TBA. In addition, MDA is formed from fatty acids, which contain a minimum of three double bonds. Thus, MDA is not generated from linoleic acid, which appears to be the main fatty acid involved in lipid peroxidation. Finally, several compounds can react with TBA in addition to MDA. A partial list of these compounds includes sialic acid, prostaglandins, thromboxanes, deoxyribose, and other carbohydrates.[19,20]

Lipid hydroperoxides are formed as intermediates of lipid peroxidation. A popular method to assess the lipid hydroperoxide level is based on the peroxide-mediated oxidation of ferrous (Fe^{2+}) to ferric (Fe^{3+}) iron. Ferric iron can bind to xylenol orange to produce a chromophore that can be quantitated at 560 nm (FOX assay).[21] We used this method successfully in our studies on linoleic acid-induced oxidation of cultured endothelial cells.[22]

[19] K. Moore and L. J. Roberts, *Free Radic. Res.* **28**, 659 (1998).

[20] H. Esterbauer, *Pathol. Biol.* **44**, 25 (1996).

[21] Z. Y. Jiang, J. V. Hunt, and S. P. Wolff, *Anal. Biochem.* **202**, 384 (1992).

[22] B. Hennig, M. Toborek, S. Joshi-Barve, S. Barve, M. P. Mattson, and C. J. McClain, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **63**, 322 (1996).

Lipid peroxidation may also be simply assessed by accumulation of the conjugated dienes. This method is based on the principle that during the initiation of lipid peroxidation, isolated double bonds in fatty acid molecules are shifted to conjugated double bonds, which are detectable at 234 nm. Because of the simplicity of detection, measurements of conjugated dienes are useful for the continuous monitoring of lipid peroxidation to detect the susceptibility of biological samples to oxidation. This approach requires the stimulation of cellular oxidation, e.g., by adding copper or iron ions.[23]

HNE is another aldehyde product of lipid peroxidation.[20] In contrast to MDA, it can be generated during the peroxidation of linoleic acid. In fact, we indicated that HNE is formed in HUVEC exposed to this fatty acid for 24 hr. However, it should be noted that arachidonic acid, which is more unsaturated than linoleic acid, appears to be a better substrate for HNE. Levels of HNE in endothelial cells can be determined semiquantitatively by immunocytochemistry or Western blot and quantitatively by HPLC.[24]

In general, FOX method, TBARS levels, formation of conjugated dienes, and, to a lesser extent, production of HNE are much less sensitive than DCF fluorescence and can be performed only on large numbers of endothelial cells. In addition, they require extensive manipulations with cells, such as harvesting or sonication, which is inevitably connected with the creation of artificial oxidation in a test tube. Therefore, from our experience, DCF fluorescence appears to be the method of choice to study fatty acid-induced cellular oxidation in cultured endothelial cells.

Fatty Acid-Induced Alterations of Glutathione Levels and Cellular Redox Status

Background

Increased cellular oxidation results in alteration of the cellular redox status, which can be detected by the ratio of oxidative to reduced glutathione (GSSG and GSH, respectively). It is well known that oxidative stress results in decreased levels of total glutathione and increased concentrations of GSSG. Thus, the ratio of GSSG/GSH is recognized as a sensitive marker of cellular oxidative stress. In addition, because glutathione is the major nonprotein sulfhydryl compound, it plays a critical role in the maintenance of the cellular redox status.[25] In fact, the equilibrium between GSSG and GSH can regulate the activation of redox-regulated transcription factors, such as nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) or activator protein-1 (AP-1). Our research indicated that treatment of endothelial cells with specific

[23] A. Chait, *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **3**, 389 (1992).

[24] U. Herbst, M. Toborek, S. Kaiser, M. P. Mattson, and B. Hennig, *J. Cell. Physiol.* **181**, 295 (1999).

[25] H. Sies, *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **27**, 916 (1999).

fatty acids can lead to decreased levels of total glutathione, as well as alterations of the GSSG/GSH ratio. We also found a direct correlation between changes in cellular glutathione levels in fatty acid-treated endothelial cells and other methods of assessing cellular oxidative status, which were described earlier.[26]

Among several methods to determine cellular glutathione content, an enzymatic recycling assay first described by Tietze appears to be simple and reliable.[27] There are several versions of this method, with a recent modification that allows one to perform the measurements using a microtiter plate reader.[28] Specificity of this method for glutathione assessment is ensured by highly specific glutathione reductase, which is added to the reaction mixture. This method also can be adapted to assay for GSSG by prior derivatization of GSH by adding 4-vinylpyridine. Then, levels of GSH can be calculated by a simple subtraction of GSSG concentration from total glutathione content. The detailed procedure to measure total cellular glutathione using a plate reader is given.[28]

Another popular method to detect the cellular glutathione level is based on the reaction of GSH and/or GSSG with o-phthalaldehyde (OPT) followed by fluorescence detection. The original method describing this approach was criticized because it overestimated levels of GSSG markedly.[29] However, a recently developed modification, in which GSSG is separated by HPLC and where the reaction with OPT is performed at high pH, appears to avoid these limitations.[30]

Procedure

Endothelial cells are cultured until confluence and treated with fatty acids at the concentration of 60–90 μM for up to 24 hr. At the end of the incubation period, cells are washed with PBS and scraped into 2.25% 5-sulfosalicylic acid. After centrifugation at 14,000g for 20 min at 4°, the supernatant is used for the determination of total glutathione, whereas the pellet is dissolved in 0.2 M NaOH containing 0.1% SDS for protein concentration analysis. Levels of total glutathione in acid-soluble fractions are determined by the enzymatic recycling assay in the presence of 0.15 mM 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), 0.2 mM NADPH, and 1.0 unit of glutathione reductase/ml of assay mixture in 30 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 0.3 mM EDTA. Total glutathione is estimated by monitoring the rate of formation of the chromophoric product 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid at 405 nm. The glutathione content in samples is calculated on the basis of the standard curve obtained with known amounts of glutathione and expressed in nanomoles of glutathione per milligram of cellular protein.

[26] M. Toborek and B. Hennig, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 59, 60 (1994).

[27] F. Tietze, Anal. Biochem. 27, 502 (1969).

[28] M. A. Baker, G. J. Cerniglia, and A. Zaman, Anal. Biochem. 190, 360 (1990).

[29] P. J. Hissin and R. Hilf, Anal. Biochem. 74, 214 (1976).

[30] K. J. Lenton, H. Therriault, and J. R. Wagner, Anal. Biochem. 274, 125 (1999).

Fatty Acid-Induced Activation of Redox-Regulated Transcription Factors in Human Endothelial Cells

Background

Induction of cellular oxidative stress and/or changes of intracellular glutathione levels can trigger signal transduction pathways via activation of redox-responsive transcription factors and, hence, the transcription of specific genes. Among oxidative stress-responsive transcription factors, NF-κB and AP-1 appear to be most important. Indeed, increased activities of AP-1 and NF-κB are considered to be a part of a general regulation of gene expression by oxidative stress. NF-κB is composed of homo- or heterodimeric complexes of at least five distinct subunits, such as p50, p52, p65 (RelA), c-Rel, and Rel-B; however, the p50/p65 heterodimer is the predominant form of this transcription factor.[31] NF-κB-binding sites were identified in the promoter regions of genes encoding for adhesion molecules (intracellular adhesion molecule-1, ICAM-1; vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, VCAM-1; or E-selectin) and inflammatory cytokines (such as tumor necrosis factor-α, TNF-α; IL-1β, IL-6, or IL-8), growth factors, and chemokines. Although other transcription factors are also required for expression of these genes, NF-κB constitutes an important component of their transcriptional regulation. It is interesting that the expression of inflammatory cytokines is dependent on activated NF-κB and, in turn, these cytokines can stimulate activation of this transcription factor. Thus, it appears that inflammatory cytokines use NF-κB to amplify their own signals.[32]

Activation of AP-1 also can be implicated in the induction of inflammatory genes. AP-1 is a family of basic domain/leucine zipper transcription factors that have been characterized for the specific binding to and transactivation through a *cis*-acting 12-*O*-tetradecanoyl phorbol-13-acetate (TPA) response element. AP-1 is composed of Jun and Fos gene products, which can form heterodimers (Jun/Fos) or homodimers (Jun/Jun). It was shown that c-Fos/c-Jun-binding activity toward AP-1 sites is regulated by the oxidative status of cysteine residues of c-Fos and c-Jun proteins (Fos Cys-154 and Jun Cys-272, respectively). Oxidation of cysteine residues can convert c-Fos and/or c-Jun into inactive forms. In contrast, a reduction of these residues can reactivate c-Fos/c-Jun-binding activity.[33] AP-1-binding sites were identified in the promoter regions of genes encoding for inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6[34] and adhesion molecules such as ICAM-1,[35] VCAM-1,[36] and E-selectin.

[31] P. A. Baeuerle, *Cell* **95**, 729 (1998).

[32] J. A. Berliner, M. Navab, A. M. Fogelman, J. S. Frank, L. L. Demer, P. A. Edwards, A. D. Watson, and A. J. Lusis, *Circulation* **91**, 2488 (1995).

[33] D. Gius, A. Botero, S. Shah, and H. A. Curry, *Toxicol. Lett.* **106**, 93 (1999).

[34] U. Dendorfer, P. Oettgen, and T. A. Libermann, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 4443 (1994).

[35] B. G. Stade, G. Messer, G. Riethmuller, and J. P. Johnson, *Immunobiology* **182**, 79 (1990).

[36] M. Ahmad, P. Theofanidis, and R. M. Medford, *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 4616 (1998).

Evidence indicates that not only NF-κB and AP-1 but also other transcription factors may belong to the family of the transcription factors whose activity is regulated by the cellular redox status. For example, our studies indicate that SP-1 and STAT1α may be regulated by cellular oxidative status.[18,37]

The electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) is utilized to determine the binding interaction of transcription factors with their specific DNA sequences. This assay is a simple, relatively rapid, and very sensitive method to perform. EMSA is based on the principle that specific protein–DNA-binding complexes have higher molecular weight than unbound oligonucleotide probes and migrate slower during a nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). The binding specificity of the bands corresponding to the specific transcription factors is established using at least three different experimental approaches: (i) competition binding with the molar excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide probes, (ii) binding with mutant oligonucleotides, and (iii) supershift with antibodies against specific subunits of individual transcription factors.

Using EMSA, we demonstrated that treatment of cultured endothelial cells with specific free fatty acids resulted in an increase in NF-κB- or AP-1-binding activity as well as transactivation of these transcription factors. Figure 3A depicts the effects of linoleic acid on the binding activity of NF-κB in human endothelial cells. A slight endogenous activity of NF-κB is observed in untreated control cell cultures (Fig. 3A, lane 2). However, when cells are stimulated with linoleic acid or lipopolysaccharide (LPS; positive control), a significant increase of binding activity is detected (Fig. 3A, lanes 3–6). The DNA binding is specifically inhibited by an unlabeled competitor DNA containing the consensus NF-κB sequence (Fig. 3A, lane 7). Identities of the bands also can be confirmed by antibodies against specific NF-κB subunits, i.e., anti-p50 and anti-p65 (Fig. 3B, lanes 3 and 4).

Reagents, Buffers, and Equipment Required for EMSA

   PBS: 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.5 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.4

   Lysis buffer: 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 60 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 100 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.1% NP-40

   Nuclear extract buffer: 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 420 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM EDTA, 25% glycerol

   Redivue adenosine 5'-[γ-^{32}P]triphosphate, triethylammonium salt, 10 mCi/ml (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ)

   0.25 × TBE buffer: 50 mM Tris–Cl, 45 mM boric acid, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.4

   V16 vertical gel electrophoresis apparatus, 0.8-mm-thick gel (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) or similar instrument

   X-OMAT AR Kodak autoradiography film (Kodak, Rochester, NY)

[37] Y. W. Lee and M. Toborek, unpublished observation.

FIG. 3. (A) Linoleic acid (LA) treatment enhances NF-κB binding in human endothelial cells as analyzed by EMSA. Endothelial cells were either untreated (lane 2) or treated for 2 hr with increasing doses of linoleic acid (lanes 4–6). A competition study was performed by the addition of excess unlabeled oligonucleotide (lane 7) using nuclear extracts from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid. Lane 1, probe alone; lane 3, LPS (1 μg/ml, positive control). (B) Supershift analysis of LA-induced NF-κB-binding activity in human endothelial cells. Nuclear extracts were prepared from cells treated with 50 μM linoleic acid for 2 hr (lanes 2–4) and incubated with anti-p50 antibody (lane 3) or anti-p65 antibody (lane 4) for 25 min before the addition of the ^{32}P-labeled probe. Lane 1, probe alone. SS indicates bands shifted by specific antibodies.

Procedure

Isolation of Nuclear Extracts. All steps are performed on ice unless otherwise specified.[38]

1. Endothelial cell cultures (at least 6.0×10^6 cells per group) are treated with fatty acids for 0.5–6 hr, trypsinized, and collected by centrifugation at 2,500 rpm for 4 min at 4°. The pellet is washed once with PBS.

2. The cells are lysed in 1 ml of lysis buffer for 5 min on ice and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 4 min at 4° to collect nuclei. Then, the nuclear pellets are washed with 1 ml of lysis buffer without NP-40.

3. The nuclear pellets are lysed in 100 μl of nuclear extract buffer for 10 min on ice and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°.

4. Supernatants that contain nuclear extracts are frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Then, they can be transferred to a −80° freezer and stored for 2 weeks.

5′-End Labeling of Oligonucleotides with [γ-³²P]ATP

5′-End Labeling of Oligonucleotides with [γ-^{32}P]ATP

1. Double-stranded oligonucleotide probes are labeled with [γ-^{32}P]ATP using bacteriophage T4 polynucleotide kinase. The reaction mixture consists of 70 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM DTT, 5 pmol of double-stranded oligonucleotides, 30 μCi of [γ-^{32}P]ATP, and 20 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (Promega, Madison, WI) in a total volume of 20 μl. The reaction mixture is incubated for 1 hr at 37°.

2. Following incubation, T4 polynucleotide kinase is inactivated by placing the tube on a heat block for 10 min at 68°.

3. Unincorporated nucleotides are removed by gel-filtration chromatography using mini Quick Spin Oligo columns (Boehringer Manheim Corporation, Indianapolis, IN).

Binding Reaction and Electrophoresis

1. Binding reactions are performed in a 20-μl volume containing 4–10 μg of nuclear protein extracts, 10 mM Tris–Cl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, and 2 μg of poly[dI-dC], which is used as a nonspecific competitor. After adding the reagents, the mixture is incubated for 25 min at room temperature.

2. Then, 40,000 cpm of the ^{32}P-labeled specific oligonucleotide probe is added, and the binding mixture is incubated for 25 min at room temperature. Competition studies and supershift experiments are performed by the addition of a molar excess

[38] A. A. Beg, T. S. Finco, P. V. Nantermet, and A. S. Baldwin, Jr., *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13,** 3301 (1993).

of unlabeled oligonucleotide probes or antibodies against specific transcription factors to the binding reaction.

3. Resultant protein–DNA complexes are electrophoresed on a nondenaturing 5% polyacrylamide gel (prerun for 2 hr at 150 V) using 0.25 × TBE buffer for 2 hr at 150 V.

4. The gel is transferred to Whatman 3MM paper, dried on a gel dryer, and exposed to X-ray film overnight at −70° with an intensifying screen.

Fatty Acid-Induced Inflammatory Genes in Endothelial Cells

Background

Fatty acid-induced inflammatory reactions in endothelial cells are mediated by the production of chemokines (e.g., monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MCP-1), inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α), and adhesion molecules (e.g., ICAM-1 or VCAM-1).[39] Expression of these inflammatory mediators and their effects is closely interrelated. For example, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 facilitate leukocyte adhesion to the vascular endothelium, and both MCP-1 and, to a lesser extent, TNF-α are potent chemoattractive factors, which play a significant role in recruiting lymphocytes and monocytes into the vessel wall.[40,41] In addition, TNF-α is a strong inducer of inflammatory reactions and can stimulate overexpression of MCP-1 and inflammatory cytokines, as well as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1.[42] We have obtained evidence that selective dietary fatty acids can induce expression of the inflammatory genes, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, MCP-1, or TNF-α in endothelial cells.[39] Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) with specific primer pairs (Table I) is a very suitable experimental technique to perform these analyses.

Figure 4 depicts RT-PCR analysis of the effects of treatment with selected unsaturated fatty acids for 3 hr on MCP-1 gene expression in HUVEC. Among tested fatty acids, linoleic acid stimulated the most pronounced overexpression of the MCP-1 gene. Indeed, expression of this gene in endothelial cells treated with 90 μM linoleic acid was in the range of that observed in cells exposed to 20 ng/ml of TNF-α, which was used as a positive control. Expression of the MCP-1 gene was also increased in endothelial cells treated with linolenic acid. In contrast, expression of this gene in endothelial cells exposed to oleic acid appeared to be within or even below the control range observed in nonstimulated endothelial cells.

[39] M. Toborek, Y. W. Lee, R. Garrido, S. Kaiser, and B. Hennig, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, in press.   **Au: Pls. update.**

[40] N. W. Lukacs, R. M. Strieter, V. Elner, H. L. Evanoff, M. D. Burdick, and S. L. Kunkel, *Blood* **86**, 2767 (1995).

[41] R. M. Strieter, R. Wiggins, S. H. Phan, B. L. Wharram, H. J. Showell, D. G. Remick, S. W. Chensue, and S. L. Kunkel, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **162**, 694 (1989).

[42] J. S. Pober, *Pathol. Biol.* **46**, 159 (1998).

TABLE I
SEQUENCES OF PRIMER PAIRS EMPLOYED IN RT-PCR REACTIONS

Studied inflammatory gene[a]	Sequences of the primer pairs (5'-3')
MCP-1[b]	Forward: CAG CCA GAT GCA ATC AAT GC
	Reverse: GTG GTC CAT GGA ATC CTG AA
TNF-α[b]	Forward: AGC CTC TTC TCC TTC CTG AT
	Reverse: AGT AGA TGA GGG TCC AGG AG
ICAM-1	Forward: GGT GAC GCT GAA TGG GGT TCC
	Reverse: GTC CTC ATG GTG GGG CTA TGT CTC
VCAM-1[c]	Forward: ATG ACA TGC TTG AGC CAG G
	Reverse: GTG TCT CCT TCT TTG ACA CT
β-Actin (a housekeeping gene)[d]	Forward: AGC ACA ATG AAG ATC AAG AT
	Reverse: TGT AAC GCA ACT AAG TCA TA

[a] ICAM-1, intracellular adhesion molecule-1; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1.

[b] Primer pairs purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

[c] L. Meagher, D. Mahiouz, K. Sugars, N. Burrows, P. Norris, H. Yarwood, M. Becker-Andre, and D. O. Haskard, *J. Immunol. Methods* **175**, 237 (1994).

[d] From A. Ballester, A. Velasco, R. Tobena, and S. Alemany, *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 14099 (1998).

Fatty acid-mediated alterations of other inflammatory genes result in the same or similar pattern of changes.

The RT-PCR technique can be divided into three steps: (A) isolation of total RNA, (B) reverse transcription, and (C) polymerase chain reaction. For the isolation of high purity total RNA from cell cultures, TRI REAGENT is utilized. TRI REAGENT is a mixture of guanidine thiocyanate and phenol in a monophase solution, which effectively dissolves DNA, RNA, and protein in cell lysates. Then, isolated total RNA is utilized for the reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction amplification of a specific target RNA. AMV reverse transcriptase, RNA-dependent DNA polymerase from the avian myeloblastosis virus, is utilized to synthesize the first single-stranded cDNA from isolated RNA. In addition, *Taq* DNA polymerase, a thermostable DNA-dependent DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus,* is used to synthesize second strand cDNA and for DNA amplification.

Reagents and Equipment

    TRI REAGENT (Sigma, St. Louis, MO)
    Nuclease-free water (Promega, Madison, WI)
    Reverse transcription system (Promega)
    Taq PCR master mix kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA)
    GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (The Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Norwalk, CT) or similar instrument

FIG. 4. Concentration-dependent upregulation of MCP-1 mRNA expression in human endothelial cells exposed to specific dietary fatty acids. Total cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of cellular RNA isolated from HUVEC stimulated with 60 and 90 μM fatty acids or TNF-α (20 ng/ml, positive control) for 3 hr. Amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on a 2% TBE agarose gel, stained with SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), and visualized using phosphoimaging technology (FLA-2000, Fuji, Stamford, CN). M, molecular weight markers (100-bp DNA ladder); 18:1, oleic acid; 18:2, linoleic acid; 18:3, linolenic acid.

Agarose, ultrapure (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD)

SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR)

Horizontal gel electrophoresis system (e.g., Horizon 11.14, Life Technologies) or similar instrument

Phosphorimager (Fuji FLA-2000, Stamford, CN) or similar system

Procedure

Isolation of Total RNA for RT-PCR

1. Lysis of cultured monolayer cells. Endothelial cell cultures (3.0×10^6 cells per 100-mm culture dish) are treated with fatty acids, washed with PBS, and lysed by the direct addition of 1 ml of TRI REAGENT to culture dishes. The cell lysate is passed several times through a pipette to form a homogeneous lysate. The homogenate is centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min at 4° to remove insoluble

material such as extracellular membranes, polysaccharides, and high molecular weight DNA. After centrifugation, the clear supernatant is transferred to a fresh tube and allowed to stand for 5 min at room temperature.

2. Phase separation. Chloroform (0.2 ml) is added to the cell lysate, shaken vigorously for 15 sec, and allowed to stand for 10 min at room temperature. Then, the resulting mixture is centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min at 4°. Centrifugation separates the mixture into the following three phases: a red lower organic phase (protein part), a white interphase (DNA part), and a colorless upper aqueous phase (RNA part). The upper phase is transferred to a fresh tube. This is done very carefully in order not to disrupt the interphase or the lower phase.

3. Isolation of total RNA. Isopropanol (0.5 ml) is added to the tube containing the transferred upper aqueous phase (RNA part), mixed gently by inverting several times, and allowed to stand for 10 min at room temperature. The mixture is centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min at 4° to precipitate RNA to the side and bottom of the tube. The supernatant is discarded and the white RNA pellet is washed with 1 ml of 75% ethanol. The mixture is vortexed briefly and centrifuged at 7500g for 5 min at 4°. Then, the supernatant is very carefully discarded and the RNA pellet is air dried for 10 min. Approximately 20–40 μl of nuclease-free water is added to the RNA pellet and mixed by repeated pipetting at 55° for 10 min to facilitate dissolution. Finally, the sample is incubated for 5 min at 70° and cooled quickly on ice. This procedure prevents RNA from possibly forming secondary structures, which may interfere with reverse transcription. The concentration and purity of total RNA are determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm (one absorbance unit at 260 nm equals 40 μg RNA/ml) and 280 nm. The ratio between the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm reflects RNA purity and should be ≥ 1.7. The sample concentration of RNA is adjusted to the final level of 1 μg RNA/μl.

Reverse Transcription (RT). One microgram of RNA, isolated from endothelial cells as described earlier, is reverse transcribed at 42° for 60 min, followed by a 5-min incubation at 99° and immediately cooled on ice. The complete reaction mixture for reverse transcription consists of 1 μg of isolated total RNA, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 9.0, 50 mM KCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM dNTP, 1 unit/μl of recombinant RNasin ribonuclease inhibitor, 15 units/μg of AMV reverse transcriptase, and 0.5 μg of oligo(dT)$_{15}$ primer. The total volume of this mixture is 20 μl, adjusted with distilled water.

Polymerase Chain Reaction and Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. To perform PCR amplification, 2 μl of the reverse transcriptase reaction is mixed with a *Taq* PCR master mix kit and 20 pmol of primer pairs in a total volume of 50 μl, adjusted with distilled water. Table I depicts sequences of the primer pairs used for PCR amplification of most common human inflammatory genes in endothelial cells. Expression of β-actin is determined as a housekeeping gene. Expression of

the studied genes is determined in the linear range of PCR amplification, specific for an individual PCR reaction. PCR products are separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with SYBR Green I and visualized using phosphoimaging technology (FLA-2000). For quantitation, intensity of the band corresponding to the specific inflammatory gene is related to the intensity of the band, which reflects expression of the β-actin mRNA.

Fatty Acid-Induced Protein Expression of Inflammatory Mediators in Endothelial Cells

Protein expression of the inflammatory mediators is determined using either flow cytometry or ELISA. Flow cytometry is employed to assay the expression of adhesion molecules, such as ICAM-1 or VCAM-1, which are present on the endothelial cell surface. FITC-labeled specific monoclonal antibodies (e.g., from R&D, Minneapolis, MN, or BD PharMingen, San Diego, CA) appear to be most suitable for this method. A protocol to determine the expression of adhesion molecules in fatty acid-treated endothelial cells by flow cytometry is given later.

ELISA kits, available commercially from several companies (e.g., R&D, Minneapolis, MN, or Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ), allow for quantitative determination of soluble inflammatory mediators, such as chemokines (MCP-1) or inflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, or IL-8) in culture media. Adhesion molecules shed from the surface of endothelial cells can also be present in cell culture media and can be assayed by commercially avaliable ELISA kits. However, determinations of soluble ICAM-1 or soluble VCAM-1 are more frequent in clinical studies, where serum levels of these molecules can serve as a marker of adhesion molecule expression.

Flow Cytometry Procedure to Detect Expression of Adhesion Molecules

Endothelial cells are cultured on six-well plates, grown to confluence, and treated with fatty acids for 12- or 24 hr. Cells are washed with Hank's solution and harvested gently by trypsin/EDTA. It is important to note that excess and repeated exposure to trypsin can damage the endothelial cell surface and interfere with the results. Then, endothelial cells are washed twice with ice-cold PBS and incubated for 1 hr on ice with saturating amounts of specific monoclonal antihuman antibody labeled with FITC. FITC-labeled antihuman IgG is used as the isotype control. After incubation with antibodies, samples are washed twice with ice-cold PBS, suspended in 200 μl PBS, and analyzed with 10,000 cells per sample in a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA). Following correction for unspecific binding (isotype control), the intensity of fluorescence or the percentage of positively stained cells can be utilized as the indicator of adhesion molecule protein expression.

Transient Transfection of Endothelial Cells and Dual Luciferase
    Reporter Gene Assay

Transfections (physical or chemical methods of introducing foreign DNA into eukaryotic cells) and reporter gene assays provide powerful experimental tools to study mechanisms of gene regulation. During transient transfections, plasmid DNA is introduced into a cell population, and expression of the reporter gene is studied shortly after the transfection procedure, usually within 24–72 hr. Transfection methods include calcium–phosphate precipitation, electroporation, detergent–DNA complexes, DNA–DEAE complexes, microinjection, virus-mediated transfection, introduction of DNA via particle bombardment, and lipid-mediated transfection. In transfections performed *in vitro* in cultured cells, cationic lipids have become standard carriers of plasmid DNA. This method takes advantage of the associations of negatively charged DNA with positively charged liposomes to form a lipid–DNA complex, which can be introduced into cells relatively easily.

Endothelial cells, in general, are difficult to transfect. This may be related to the fact that these cells represent a physiologic barrier against invasion of the vessels and underlying tissues by exogenous substances. However, under carefully controlled experimental conditions, liposome-mediated transfection can be suitable to study the transactivation of redox-regulated transcription factors and mechanisms of expression of the inflammatory genes in fatty acid-treated human endothelial cells. We have optimized transfection conditions in human endothelial cells to achieve high-efficiency transient transfections.[43]

Firely luciferase has been recognized to be the reporter gene of choice for transfection studies in cells resistant to the uptake of foreign DNA, such as endothelial cells.[44] The transgene is simple to measure and has no background levels in human tissues. Determination of luciferase activity also has the advantage of being several orders of magnitude more sensitive than other common reporter gene assays, such as activities of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase, β-galactosidase, or alkaline phosphatase. To correct for variations in transfection efficiency, a cotransfection with an internal control plasmid should be performed. β-Galactosidase or *Renilla* luciferase expression vectors are examples of plasmids that can be used as internal controls. When cells are transfected with constructs encoding for firefly luciferse as a targeted reporter gene and cotransfected with the *Renilla* luciferase expression vector, both a dual luciferase reporter assay system and a luminometer with double injector are required to perform transgene measurements. This system takes advantage of the fact that firefly luciferase and *Renilla* luciferase have distinct enzyme structures and substrate specificity. Thus, their activities can be sequentially measured in the same sample.

[43] S. Kaiser and M. Toborek, *J. Vasc. Res.* **38,** 133 (2001).
[44] L. Alam and J. L. Cook. *Anal. Biochem.* **188,** 245 (1990).

Reagents and Equipment

> *Renilla* luciferase expression plasmid used as internal control (pRL-SV40 control vector, Promega, Madison, WI)
>
> Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, Madison, WI)
>
> Luminometer fitted with two reagent injector (TD-20/20, Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA, or similar model)

Procedure

Endothelial Cell Cultures. Endothelial cells are seeded on six-well plates (1.0–2.0 × 10^5 cells per well) and cultured for 24–48 hr until the cultures reach ∼60% confluence.

Preparation of the Transfection Solution (1 ml per each Well)

1. Specific firefly luciferase reporter plasmid (5–10 μg/ml) and *Renilla* luciferase expression plasmid (0.25–0.5 μg/ml, pRL-SV40 control vector) are mixed thoroughly with serum-free medium in a sterile tube in a volume of 0.5 ml/well.

2. In a separate sterile tube, a cationic liposome, such as pFx-7 (36 μg/ml) or DMRIE-C (40 μg/ml), is mixed thoroughly with serum-free medium in a volume of 0.5 ml/well.

3. The plasmid and liposome solutions (prepared in steps 1 and 2, respectively) are combined in a single tube, mixed thoroughly, and incubated for 30 min at 37° to allow the formation of liposome/DNA complexes.

Transient Transfection Procedure

1. Growth medium is removed from endothelial cell cultures and the monolayers are washed three times with serum-free medium.

2. The transfection solution (prepared as described earlier) is added to each well in a volume of 1 ml/well. Plates are returned to the cell culture incubator, and endothelial cells are transfected for 1.5 hr.

3. The transfection solution is removed carefully from each well, the cells are overlaid gently with 2 ml of normal growth medium, and returned to the incubator for 24 hr at 37°.

Treatment of Transfected Cells with Fatty Acids and Determination of Dual Luciferase Activity

1. Cultures are washed with Hank's solution, and 2 ml of experimental media enriched with fatty acids is added into each well of the six-well plate. Cells are treated with fatty acids for 16–24 hr.

2. After incubation time, the cells are washed twice with PBS and lysed in 500 μl passive lysis buffer while shaking the plates for 15 min at room temperature.

3. Cell lysates are centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 1 min to remove cell debris, and cell lysates are transferred to fresh tubes.

4. Firefly and *Renilla* luciferase activities are determined in 10–20 μl of cell lysates using a luminometer with a dual injector system. Injector #1 is set up to deliver 100 μl/tube of luciferase assay reagent II for determination of firefly luciferase activity, and injector #2 is set up to deliver 100 μl/tube of Stop & Glo reagent for determination of *Renilla* luciferase activity.

5. Relative luciferase activity is calculated as the ratio of firefly luciferase activity to *Renilla* luciferase activity.

Conclusions

Using the described methods, we determined that treatment of human endothelial cells with selected dietary fatty acids can induce oxidative stress, decrease cellular glutathione content, activate redox-responsive transcription factors, and induce expression of the inflammatory mediators, such as MCP-1, inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α), and adhesion molecules (ICAM-1 and VCAM-1). However, the effects exerted by dietary fatty acids were highly specific. Among studied fatty acids, treatment with linoleic acid induced the most significant oxidative stress, alterations of cellular redox status, and induction of inflammatory genes.[9,24,38] The proinflammatory effects of linolenic acid were less pronounced. In contrast, exposure of human endothelial cells to oleic acid diminished expression of the inflammatory genes. Those results demonstrate that specific unsaturated dietary fatty acids, such as linoleic acid, the parent omega-6 fatty acid, which also is a major fatty acid in common vegetable oils, can stimulate inflammatory responses in vascular endothelial cells. These proinflammatory effects of selected fatty acids illustrate the significance of dietary lipids in the development, progression, or prevention of chronic vascular diseases, such as atherosclerosis.

Acknowledgments

Fatty Acid–Mediated Activation of Vascular Endothelial Cells

Bernhard Hennig, Purushothaman Meerarani, Pachaikani Ramadass, Bruce A. Watkins, and Michal Toborek

Vascular endothelial cell activation and dysfunction are critical early events in atherosclerosis. Selected dietary lipids (eg, fatty acids) may be atherogenic by activating endothelial cells and by potentiating an inflammatory response. Due to their prooxidant property, unsaturated fatty acids may play a critical role in endothelial cell activation and injury. To test this hypothesis, porcine endothelial cells were exposed to 18-carbon fatty acids differing in the degree of unsaturation, ie, 90 μmol/L stearic (18:0), oleic (18:1n-9), linoleic (18:2n-6), or linolenic acid (18:3n-3) for 6 to 24 hours and/or tumor necrosis factor alpha ([TNF-α] 500 U/L) for up to 3 hours. Compared with control cultures, treatment with 18:0 and 18:2 decreased glutathione levels, suggesting an increase in cellular oxidative stress. Both 18:2 and 18:0 activated the transcription factor nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) the most and 18:1 the least. This NF-κB–dependent transcription was confirmed in endothelial cells by luciferase reporter gene assay. The fatty acid–mediated activation of NF-κB was blocked by preenrichment of the cultures with 25 μmol/L vitamin E. All fatty acids except 18:1 and 18:3 increased transendothelial albumin transfer, and 18:2 caused the most marked disruption of endothelial integrity. Preenrichment of endothelial cells with 18:2 followed by exposure to TNF-α resulted in a 100% increase in interleukin-6 (IL-6) production compared with TNF-α exposure alone. In contrast, cellular preenrichment with 18:0, 18:1, or 18:3 had no effect on TNF-α–mediated production of IL-6. Cellular release of radiolabeled arachidonic acid (20:4) was markedly increased only by cell exposure to 18:2 and 18:3, and the release of 20:4 appeared to be mainly from the phosphatidylethanolamine fraction. These data suggest that oleic acid does not activate endothelial cells. Furthermore, linoleic acid and other omega-6 fatty acids appear to be the most proinflammatory and possibly atherogenic fatty acids.
Copyright © 2000 by W.B. Saunders Company

EVIDENCE SUGGESTS that the mechanisms of vascular disease such as atherosclerosis involve damage to the endothelium, which then reduces its effectiveness as a selectively permeable barrier to plasma components.[1,2] The endothelium interacts with the blood and underlying tissues, serves as both a prothrombotic and antithrombotic surface, and releases regulatory factors important in modulating vascular tone. Factors implicated in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis include chronic and cumulative metabolic alterations of the endothelium induced by numerous activating molecules, such as certain lipids, prooxidants, and inflammatory cytokines. These risk factors may contribute to an overall cellular imbalance of the oxidative stress/antioxidant balance, thus leading to chronic activation or stimulation of the endothelium, as well as endothelial barrier dysfunction, which can result in accelerated uptake of cholesterol-rich lipoproteins into the vessel wall.

There is ample evidence suggesting that serum cholesterol is a predictor of atherosclerosis and that serum cholesterol concentrations can be modified by varying the composition of dietary fat. However, less is known about the role of specific fatty acids in atherosclerosis. The role of saturated fatty acids in atherosclerosis has been questioned recently.[3-5] In fact, data obtained in subjects with varying degrees of coronary atherosclerosis support the hypothesis that high serum polyunsaturated fatty acid levels (eg, linoleic acid), when insufficiently protected by antioxidants (eg, vitamin E), may indicate a higher risk of atherosclerosis.[6] Recent research with a population from a country with one of the highest dietary polyunsaturated to saturated fat ratios in the world has concluded that diets rich in n-6 (or omega-6) fatty acids may contribute to an increased incidence of atherosclerosis, hyperinsulinemia, and tumorigenesis.[7]

A transcription factor implicated in many endothelial cell activation responses to injury and stress is nuclear factor κB (NF-κB).[8,9] NF-κB plays a central role in regulating the cytokine network, and hence its activation may be a major factor in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. NF-κB can be activated by a variety of pathogenic or pathogen-elicited stimuli including cytokines, lipids, mitogens, bacteria, and related products, with the common denominator apparently being reactive oxygen species. Many target genes in endothelial cells contain NF-κB or NF-κB–like binding sites in the promoter genes coding for inflammatory cytokines (eg, tumor necrosis factor [TNF] and interleukin-6 [IL-6] and adhesion molecules).[10]

In light of the evidence that oxidative stress plays a critical role in atherosclerosis[11,12] and that antioxidant nutrients such as vitamin E may provide protection against this disease,[13,14] one may speculate that the atherosclerotic risk of dietary lipids may be directly related to their degree of unsaturation. Thus, a focus of the present study was to examine the mechanisms of the effects of 18-carbon fatty acids, differing in degree of unsaturation, on endothelial cell activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Experimental Media

Porcine pulmonary artery–derived endothelial cells were isolated from porcine pulmonary arteries and cultured as described previously.[15]

Cells were subcultured in medium 199 (M-199) containing 10% bovine calf serum (HyClone Laboratories, Logan, UT) using standard techniques. The purity of the cultures was determined by morphological criteria and by quantitatively measuring angiotensin-converting enzyme activity, or by the uptake of fluorescent-labeled acetylated low-density lipoprotein (1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethyl-indocarbocyanine perchlorate; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR).

The experimental media were composed of M-199 enriched with 5% FBS and either fatty acids (90 μmol/L) or TNF-α (500 U/mL or 100 ng/mL; Knoll Laboratories, Whippany, NJ). Fatty acids (>99% pure) were obtained from Nu-Chek Prep (Elysian, MN). Preparations of experimental media with fatty acids and/or TNF were made as described previously.[15,16] Thus, fatty acids were introduced into the media bound to serum albumin. Assuming albumin concentrations of 30 μmol/L (in 5% serum) to 60 μmol/L (in 10% serum) in our culture media, the fatty acid concentrations are within physiological and metabolic relevance. Even though only about 5% of total free fatty acids in the experimental media are derived from the serum, fatty acid–mediated activation of endothelial cells may vary depending on the type of serum in which cells are cultured.[17] For most experimental settings, cells were treated with fatty acids for 6 to 24 hours and/or TNF for 3 hours before termination. Some cultures were preenriched with 25 μmol/L vitamin E (α-tocopherol). All experimental outcomes were confirmed more than twice.

Glutathione Assay

Glutathione assays were performed according to a modified method of Baker et al.[18] To determine total glutathione, cellular protein was precipitated by adding 100 μL ice-cold 0.09% sulfosalicylic acid (SSA) to cells collected from P-100 tissue culture plates. The culture plates were then incubated at 40°C for 15 minutes, after which the cell lysates were collected and centrifuged at 9,000× g for 5 minutes. Glutathione levels were determined spectrophotometrically using the glutathione-linked 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) recycling assay. The mixture for the assay contained 50 μL supernatant and 100 μL 125-mmol/L phosphate buffer containing 0.225 mmol/L DTNB, 0.302 mmol/L NADPH, and glutathione reductase at a concentration of 1.25 U/μL. The blank contained 50 μL 0.09% 5-SSA instead of the supernatant, and the control reaction contained the glutathione standard in place of the supernatant. The mixtures were equilibrated at room temperature for 3 minutes, and the reaction was started by the addition of 100 μL reaction buffer. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm in a 96-well plate reader.

Transcription Factor (NF-κB) Activation Studies: Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay

These transcription factors, which bind to enhancer elements on DNA, were determined in endothelial cells by an electrophoretic mobility shift assay as described by Sen and Baltimore.[19] Nuclear extracts containing the NF-κB active protein were prepared from cells according to the method of Dignam et al.[20] Nuclear extracts were incubated for 20 to 30 minutes with ^{32}P-end-labeled oligonucleotide probe (GIBCO/BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) containing the κB enhancer DNA element with a tandem duplicate of a NF-κB binding site (-GGGGACTTTCC-). Incubation at room temperature was performed in the presence of nonspecific competitor DNA. Following binding, the complexed and uncomplexed DNA in the mixture were resolved by electrophoresis in a 5% low–ionic-strength nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography. Control reactions using a 200-fold molar excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide probes or a supershift assay were performed to demonstrate the specificity of the shifted DNA-protein complexes for NF-κB.

Transfection and Luciferase Assay

The luciferase reporter gene assay reflects NF-κB–dependent transcription. Briefly, endothelial cells were transfected with 2 μg pNF-κB-Luc plasmid (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) by the lipofection method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Four hours after transfection, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with M-199 (with 10% serum) for 24 hours. Then, the endothelial cells were stimulated with 90 μmol/L fatty acid (18:0 or 18:2) for 24 hours. Luciferase activity was determined following the instructions described in the luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI) using a luminometer.

IL-6 Production

After exposure to fatty acids and TNF, the media were removed from the wells and frozen immediately at −80°C until IL-6 analysis. The remaining cells were trypsinized and washed with PBS twice and resuspended in 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate with 0.2 mol/L NaOH for protein analysis.[21] IL-6 production and release into the medium was determined using the murine hybridoma cell line B9 (kindly supplied by Dr L.A. Aarden, Emeryville, CA) as described by Helle et al.[22] The B9 cell line viability is IL-6–dependent, and thus, the incorporation of ^{3}H-thymidine by viable cells is a reflection of the quantity of IL-6 produced by endothelial cells.

Endothelial Barrier Function (albumin transfer studies)

Endothelial barrier function was measured as transendothelial albumin transfer using polystyrene chambers with a 0.8-μm pore size polycarbonate membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA) as described previously.[15] After achieving approximate confluence, endothelial monolayers were exposed to control or experimental media for 24 hours. Following treatments, chambers with endothelial cells attached to the membranes were washed with M-199 and exposed to 200 μmol/L bovine serum albumin (fatty acid–free; Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO) in M-199 for 1 hour. After incubation, the albumin transferred across endothelial monolayers was determined using bromcresol green (Sigma) and recorded spectrophotometrically at 630 nm.

Lipid Analysis

Measurement of arachidonic acid release. Endothelial cells were cultured in M-199 enriched with 10% FBS and incubated with ^{3}H-arachidonic acid (0.2 mCi/mL medium) for 24 hours. Following incubation with radiolabeled 20:4, the cells were washed with serum-free M-199 medium and medium supplemented with 0.2% fatty acid–free BSA and then exposed to different 18-carbon fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 6 hours. Subsequently, the media were collected and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes to remove floating cells, and radioactivity was measured in the supernatant. The cells were immediately scraped in PBS, and lipids were extracted with chloroform: methanol (2:1) using a modified method of Takenaka et al.[23]

Separation of arachidonic acid and phospholipid fractions. Lipid extracts from each treatment were applied to a silica gel thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plate, and the separation of arachidonic acid and different phospholipids was performed using chloroform:methanol: ammonia (65:25:4) as a mobile phase. After identification of lipids in iodine vapor, arachidonic acid and phospholipid spots were scraped from the plate into scintillation vials with 10 mL scintillation cocktail (3a70B). The radioactivity of the samples was measured in a Tri-Carb2100TR liquid scintillation analyzer (Packard Instrument, Meriden, CT).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed statistically using a 1-way ANOVA. For each endpoint, the treatment means were compared in pairs using the

Bonferroni procedure.[24] A *P* value of .05 or less was considered significant.

RESULTS

The effects of the 18-carbon fatty acids on cellular redox status were determined by measurement of cellular glutathione levels. Figure 1 demonstrates that both 18:0 and 18:2 significantly decreased glutathione levels. Compared with control cultures, treatment with 18:1 increased total glutathione, whereas 18:3 had no effect on intracellular glutathione levels.

The evidence suggests that oxidative stress can affect cellular metabolism by an increased expression of genes regulated by NF-κB. Interestingly, 18:0, the only saturated fatty acid, and 18:2 activated the transcription factor NF-κB most markedly (Fig 2), whereas 18:1 exposure to endothelial cells had little effect on the activation of this transcription factor. To test whether vitamin E can protect against fatty acid–induced activation of NF-κB, endothelial cells were pretreated with vitamin E for 24 hours before coexposure to fatty acids for an additional 6 hours (Fig 3). Vitamin E markedly decreased NF-κB binding induced by 18:0 or 18:2.

To determine whether 18:0- or 18:2-activated NF-κB can induce gene expression, endothelial cells were transfected with a plasmid (pNF-κB-Luc) encoding the bacterial protein luciferase. The expression of this construct is controlled by a promoter responsive to NF-κB. Results of the luciferase reporter gene assay are shown in Fig 4. Both 18:0- and 18:2-mediated activation of NF-κB were sufficient to induce NF-κB–dependent transcription in cultured endothelial cells. Compared with control cultures, luciferase activity was significantly higher in both 18:0- and 18:2-treated cells.

Figure 5 shows the effect of cellular incubation with control medium and media enriched with 18-carbon fatty acids on endothelial barrier function. Compared with control cultures, all fatty acids except 18:1 and 18:3 increased albumin transfer


Fig 2. Effect of different 18-carbon fatty acids on activation of NF-κB. Cells were treated with the different fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 6 hours. Lane 1, control; lane 2, stearic acid (18:0); lane 3, oleic acid (18:1); lane 4, linoleic acid (18:2); lane 5, linolenic acid (18:3). The specific binding of NF-κB was confirmed by both competitive (excess unlabeled oligonucleotide) and supershift assays.

across endothelial monolayers. However, treatment with 18:2 disrupted endothelial barrier function most markedly.

Figure 6 shows IL-6 production in endothelial cells during fatty acid treatment for 9 hours followed by TNF exposure for an additional 3 hours. These data show that the cellular lipid environment can modify TNF-mediated inflammatory properties by selectively promoting endothelial cell–mediated production of IL-6. Compared with TNF treatment alone, preenrichment of endothelial cells with 18:2 followed by exposure to TNF resulted in a 100% increase in IL-6 production. In contrast, cellular preenrichment with 18:0, 18:1, and 18:3 had no further effect on the TNF-α–mediated production of IL-6.

The fatty acid–mediated changes in oxidative stress and other observed mediators of endothelial cell activation may be due to an increase in phospholipase A₂ activity and thus an increase in available arachidonic acid (20:4n-6) for metabolic activity. To test this hypothesis, cells were preenriched with radiolabeled 20:4 for 24 hours, carefully washed, and then treated with the 18-carbon fatty acids for an additional 6 hours. The surrounding media then were tested for cellular release of radiolabeled 20:4 (Fig 7). Cells were also harvested and analyzed for radioactivity in various lipid fractions, including phospholipids (Fig 8). Compared with control cultures (cells not enriched with 18-carbon fatty acids), cellular release of radiolabeled 20:4 was markedly increased only by cell exposure to 18:2 or 18:3 (Fig 7). Neither 18:0 nor 18:1 affected 20:4 release. Preenriching cultures with vitamin E decreased the fatty acid–mediated release of 20:4 into the media in all cultures independently of


Fig 1. Effect of treatment with different 18-carbon fatty acids (90 μmol/L) on total glutathione levels in cultured endothelial cells. Cells were exposed to experimental media for 6 hours. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *Significantly different v control cultures.

NF-κB

Fig 3. Effect of preenrichment with vitamin E on fatty acid–mediated activation of NF-κB. All cells were exposed to the different fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 6 hours, and some cultures were first preenriched with vitamin E for 24 hours. Lane 1, control + vitamin E; lane 2, stearic acid (18:0); lane 3, 18:0 + vitamin E; lane 4, linoleic acid (18:2); lane 5, 18:2 + vitamin E.

Fig 5. Effect of fatty acid exposure on albumin transfer across endothelial monolayers. Cultures were exposed to different 18-carbon fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 24 hours. Subsequently, albumin transfer was measured over a 1-hour period. Values are the mean ÷ SEM (n = 6). *Significantly higher v control cultures. #Significantly higher v cultures treated with 18:0.

the type of 18-carbon fatty acid to which the endothelial cells were exposed (data not shown). When analyzing for radiolabeled 20:4 in several types of cellular phospholipids, only its level in the phosphatidylethanolamine fraction was affected to a

significant extent by 18-carbon fatty acid treatment. The most marked decrease in 20:4 incorporation into this phospholipid fraction was in cultures treated with 18:2, followed by cultures treated with 18:3. Neither 18:0 nor 18:1 treatment affected the 20:4 content in the phosphatidylethanolamine fraction. Thus, it appears that treatment with 18:2 or 18:3 can stimulate the release of 20:4 specifically from phosphatidylethanolamine.

Fig 4. Effect of a 24-hour exposure to 18:0 or 18:2 on NF-κB–dependent transcription as measured by luciferase reporter gene assay. Data are expressed as relative light units (RLU) per mg protein. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *Significantly different v control cultures.


Fig 6. IL-6 production in endothelial cells after exposure to different 18-carbon fatty acids. Endothelial cells were treated with the different fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 9 hours and with added TNF-α (500 U/mL) for an additional 3 hours. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *Significantly higher v control cultures.

Fig 7.   Release of radiolabeled 20:4 from endothelial cells following exposure to 18-carbon fatty acids (90 μmol/L) for 6 hours (cells were labeled with ^{3}H-arachidonic acid for 24 hours prior to fatty acid exposure). The media were collected and radioactivity was counted and expressed as dpm/min. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *Significantly higher v control cultures.

DISCUSSION

Although the mortality from coronary heart disease has declined recently, atherosclerosis and related vascular disorders still are the leading cause of death in the United States and other Western countries. Injury to or abnormal mechanisms of the vascular endothelium may be initiating events in the etiology of atherosclerosis. Dietary fat affects plasma lipids and lipoproteins and thus is linked to atherosclerosis.[25] The question then arises as to whether dietary saturated fats should be replaced by unsaturated fats. Unsaturated fats, especially monounsaturated[26,27] and n-3 or omega-3[28,29] fatty acids, may be beneficial


Fig 8.   Incorporation of radiolabeled 20:4 into phosphatidylethanolamine. The experimental setup was the same as described in Fig 7. Lipids were obtained from total cell extracts and separated by TLC. Radioactivity was counted and expressed as dpm/min. Values are the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *Significantly lower v control cultures.

to human health. However, replacing saturated lipids with unsaturated and especially polyunsaturated lipids may not be desirable because of their ability to oxidize easily. The evidence supports the hypothesis that low-density lipoprotein undergoes oxidative modifications that increase its uptake by macrophages.[11] In fact, data from subjects with varying degrees of coronary atherosclerosis support the hypothesis that high serum polyunsaturated fatty acid levels, when insufficiently protected by antioxidants (eg, vitamin E), may indicate a higher risk of atherosclerosis.[30]

High levels of circulating triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (chylomicrons and very–low-density lipoprotein [VLDL]) have been implicated in the injury process of the endothelium.[31,32] Plasma chylomicron levels are elevated in humans after consuming a high-fat meal, and hepatic synthesis of VLDL is increased when the caloric intake is in excess of body needs. The hydrolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins mediated by lipoprotein lipase, a key enzyme in lipoprotein metabolism that is associated with the luminal site of endothelial cells, may be an important source of high concentrations of fatty acid anions in the proximity to the endothelium.[33] It has been hypothesized that high levels of diet-derived fatty acids can cause endothelial injury or dysfunction and thus disrupt the ability of the endothelium to function as a selective barrier.[33,34] This would result in lipid deposition by allowing increased penetration of cholesterol-rich remnant lipoproteins into the arterial wall. In fact, the activity of lipoprotein lipase is increased in atherosclerotic lesions.[35,36] A recent report also provides evidence that lipoprotein lipase may be a chemoattractant for activated macrophages.[37] Lipoprotein lipase–derived remnants of lipoproteins isolated from hypertriglyceridemic subjects, as well as selective unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic acid, were demonstrated to disrupt endothelial integrity.[38,39] In fact, a recent study has provided the first evidence that the lipolytic remnant products of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins produced after a meal rich in polyunsaturated fat are more injurious to arterial wall cells than those produced after a meal rich in saturated fat.[40] Furthermore, activated lipoprotein lipase induces TNF gene expression in macrophages and TNF production by this type of cell.[41] Thus, endothelial cells may be simultaneously exposed to free fatty acids and TNF.

As mentioned before, there is evidence that selected fatty acids, derived from the hydrolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, may be atherogenic by causing endothelial injury or dysfunction and subsequent endothelial barrier dysfunction.[42] In support of this hypothesis, we again confirm in the present study that, compared with all 18-carbon fatty acids, 18:2 disrupted endothelial barrier function most markedly. These findings agree with our earlier findings that when comparing fatty acid extracts derived from different animal fats and plant oils, the fat-induced disruption of endothelial barrier function was related to the amount of 18:2 present in the fat source.[43] These data suggest that among different fatty acids, linoleic acid may play a critical role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis.[44] This hypothesis is supported by the fact that adipose tissue levels of 18:2, which reflect the intake of this fatty acid over time, were positively associated with the degree of coronary artery disease.[45] In addition, concentrations of 18:2 were increased in the phospholipid fractions of human coronary

arteries in cases of sudden cardiac death due to ischemic heart disease.[46]

Several mechanisms were proposed to explain the injurious effects of 18:2 to endothelial cells. Due to the very low basal activity of endothelial cell elongases and delta 5 and delta 9 desaturases, arachidonic acid is not produced from 18:2 significantly in this type of cell.[47,48] Consequently, 18:2 accumulates within endothelial cells.[47,49] Moreover, 18:2 decreases the level of intracellular ATP[50] and proteoglycans,[51] enhances elastase-like activity,[52] and can yield nitrated oxidation species by reacting with nitric oxide–derived products.[53] The 18:2-mediated disruption of endothelial barrier function also may be caused by its ability to inhibit gap-junctional intracellular communication[54,55] and to induce intracellular oxidative stress.[40] Furthermore, 18:2, but not 18:0, can activate phospholipase A₂, as measured by the cellular release of 20:4 in neutrophils.[56] In fact, polyunsaturated free fatty acids that are liberated by phospholipase A₂ increased the formation of bioactive phospholipids in LDL, which stimulated endothelial cell activation and monocyte–endothelial cell interactions.[57]

In recent years, the role of oxidative stress has gained much attention in studies of lipid- and/or inflammatory cytokine–mediated endothelial cell dysfunction or injury. It is now generally accepted that LDL oxidation plays one of the most critical roles in atherogenesis. LDL can be oxidized in the subendothelial space, which lacks many of the antioxidants present in the whole blood. Furthermore, dietary oxidized lipids can be absorbed by the small intestine, be incorporated into chylomicrons, appear in the bloodstream, and thus contribute to the total body pool of oxidized lipids.[58] Including oxidized corn oil (a rich source of 18:2) in a diet accelerated the development of fatty streaks in cholesterol-fed rabbits,[59] suggesting that the consumption of oxidized lipids (eg, high–corn oil diets) may be an important risk factor for atherosclerosis. Our data support the notion that omega-6 fatty acids, and especially fats rich in 18:2, are atherogenic by activating vascular endothelial cells and by promoting an inflammatory response. We clearly show that 18:2 most markedly amplifies TNF-mediated IL-6 production by endothelial cells. An increase in oxidative stress and subsequent activation of NF-κB may be one of the main mechanisms of the inflammatory properties of 18:2. However, there appears to be no relationship between the degree of unsaturation of fatty acids and endothelial cell activation. In fact, stearic acid (18:0) appears to activate endothelial cells more markedly than either 18:1 or 18:3. Furthermore, 18:1 had little or no effect on

endothelial cell activation. Interestingly, when studying lipoproteins from subjects consuming different types of dietary fat, eg, oleic acid or linoleic acid, only the percentage of 18:2 in LDL correlated strongly with the extent of oxidizability and macrophage degradation of these lipoproteins.[60]

It is not clear why 18:0 decreased cellular glutathione and increased NF-κB activation so markedly. Although 18:0, as a saturated fatty acid, does not undergo peroxidative modifications, it may induce perturbations in cellular metabolism, which secondarily can result in oxidative stress and be responsible for the observed decreases in glutathione concentrations. On the other hand, 18:0 may influence gene expression or signal transduction pathways that are more substantial than its unknown or secondary effects on oxidative stress. The fact that preenrichment of cultures with vitamin E can block the activation of NF-κB suggests that this fatty acid can modify the cellular lipid milieu, leading to an imbalance in oxidative stress/antioxidant status and to endothelial cell activation. Because of its lack of double bonds, 18:0 may affect the membrane properties of endothelial cells differently compared with fatty acids with cis double bonds. 18:0 also may be taken up and metabolized differently than fatty acids that contain double bonds. In fact, once taken up by endothelial cells, 18:0 is randomly distributed among membrane phospholipids,[61] whereas unsaturated fatty acids are initially preferentially incorporated into phosphatidylcholine and then can undergo a time-dependent transfer to phosphatidylethanolamine.[61] Furthermore, using electron-spin resonance studies, we found that of all 18-carbon fatty acids, only 18:0 increased membrane fluidity.[62] In that same study, a relationship between membrane fluidity and fatty acid compositional alterations in cellular phospholipids was observed, ie, only the unsaturated fatty acids, not 18:0, decreased the cellular arachidonic acid content. These and our present data suggest that 18:0 may have unique membrane-modifying effects.

In summary, our data suggest that omega-6 fatty acids appear to be most effective in activating endothelial cells and in contributing to an inflammatory response. In contrast, 18:1 does not appear to activate endothelial cells, and in fact may protect endothelial cells against oxidative insult.[63] These data support the concept that the substitution of dietary monounsaturated fatty acids and not polyunsaturated fatty acids for saturated fatty acids might be preferable for the prevention of cardiovascular disease.

REFERENCES

1. Flarahan NA: Atherosclerosis or lipoprotein-induced endothelial dysfunction. Circulation 85:1927-1938, 1992

2. Ross R: The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis—An update. N Engl J Med 314:488-500, 1986

3. Mensink RP: Effects of the individual saturated fatty acids on serum lipids and lipoprotein concentrations. Am J Clin Nutr 57:711S-714S, 1993 (suppl)

4. Hegsted DM, McGandy RB, Myers ML, et al: Quantitative effects of dietary fat on serum cholesterol in man. Am J Clin Nutr 17:281-295, 1965

5. Ravnskov U: The questionable role of saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids in cardiovascular disease. J Clin Epidemiol 51:443-460, 1998

6. Kok FJ, van Poppel G, Melse J, et al: Do antioxidants and polyunsaturated fatty acids have a combined association with coronary atherosclerosis? Atherosclerosis 31:85-90, 1991

7. Yam D, Eliraz A, Berry EM: Diet and disease, the Israeli paradox: Possible dangers of a high omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid diet. Isr J Med Sci 32:1134-1143, 1996

8. Collins T: Endothelial nuclear factor-κB and the initiation of the atherosclerotic lesion. Lab Invest 68:499-508, 1993

9. Berliner JA, Navab M, Fogelman AM, et al: Atherosclerosis: Basic mechanisms. Oxidation, inflammation, and genetics. Circulation 91:2488-2496, 1995

10. Baeuerle PA, Henkel T: Function and activation of NF-kappa B in the immune system. Annu Rev Immunol 12:141-179, 1994

11. Steinberg D, Witztum JL: Lipoproteins and atherosclerosis: Current concepts. JAMA 264:3047-3052, 1990

12. Heinecke JW: Oxidants and antioxidants in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: Implications for the oxidized low density lipoprotein hypothesis. Atherosclerosis 141:1-15, 1998

13. Schwenke DC: Antioxidants and atherogenesis. J Nutr Biochem 9:424-445, 1998

14. Crawford RS, Kirk EA, Rosenfeld ME, et al: Dietary antioxidants inhibit development of fatty streak lesions in LDL receptor–deficient mouse. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 18:1506-1513, 1998

15. Hennig B, Shasby DM, Fulton AB, et al: Exposure to free fatty acid increases the transfer of albumin across cultured endothelial monolayers. Arteriosclerosis 4:489-497, 1984

16. Toborek M, Barger SW, Mattson MP, et al: Linoleic acid and TNF-α cross-amplify oxidative injury and dysfunction of endothelial cells. J Lipid Res 37:123-135, 1996

17. Stoll LL, Spector AA: Changes in serum influence the fatty acid composition of established cell lines. In Vitro 20:732-738, 1984

18. Baker MA, Cerniglia GJ, Zaman A: Microtiter plate assay for the measurement of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in large numbers of biological samples. Anal Biochem 190:360-365, 1990

19. Sen R, Baltimore D: Multiple nuclear factors interact with the immunoglobin enhancer sequences. Cell 46:705-716, 1986

20. Dignam JD, Lebovitz RM, Roeder RG: Accurate transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. Nucleic Acids Res 11:1474-1489, 1983

21. Lees MB, Paxman S: SDS-Lowry protein estimation for lipid containing samples. Anal Biochem 47:184-192, 1972

22. Helle M, Boeije L, Aarden LA: Functional discrimination between interleukin 6 and interleukin 1. Eur J Immunol 18:1535-1540, 1988

23. Takenaka K, Kassell NF, Foley PL, et al: Oxyhemoglobin-induced cytotoxicity and arachidonic acid release in cultured bovine endothelial cells. Stroke 24:839-846, 1993

24. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG: Statistical Methods (ed 7). Ames, IA, Iowa State University Press, 1974

25. Watkins BA, Hennig B, Toborek M: Diet and health, in Hui YH: Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products, vol 1. Edible Oil and Fat Products: General Applications (ed 5). New York, NY, Wiley, 1996, pp 159-214

26. Katan MB, Zock PL, Mensink RP: Dietary oils, serum lipoproteins, and coronary heart disease. Am J Clin Nutr 61:1368S-1373S, 1995 (suppl)

27. Mata P, Varela O, Alonso R, et al: Monounsaturated and polyunsaturated n-6 fatty acid–enriched diets modify LDL oxidation and decrease human coronary smooth muscle cell DNA synthesis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 17:2088-2095, 1997

28. Connor WE, Connor SL: Diet, atherosclerosis and fish oil. Adv Intern Med 35:139-172, 1990

29. Simopoulos AP: Omega-3 fatty acids in health and disease and in growth and development. Am J Clin Nutr 54:438-463, 1991

30. Kok FJ, van Poppel G, Melse J, et al: Do antioxidants and polyunsaturated fatty acids have a combined association with coronary atherosclerosis? Atherosclerosis 31:85-90, 1991

31. DiCorleto PE, Chisolm GM: Participation of the endothelium in the development of the atherosclerotic plaque. Prog Lipid Res 25:365-374, 1986

32. Zilversmit DB: Role of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in atherosclerosis. Ann NY Acad Sci 275:138-144, 1976

33. Zilversmit DB: Atherogenesis: A postprandial phenomenon. Circulation 60:473-485, 1979

34. Sattar N, Petrie JR, Jaap AJ: The atherogenic lipoprotein phenotype and vascular endothelial dysfunction. Atherosclerosis 138:229-235, 1998

35. Ylä-Herttuala S, Lipton BA, Rosenfeld ME, et al: Macrophages

and smooth muscle cells express lipoprotein lipase in human and rabbit atherosclerotic lesions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:10143-10147, 1991

36. O'Brien KD, Deeb SS, Ferguson M, et al: Apolipoprotein E localization in human coronary atherosclerotic plaques by in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry and comparison with lipoprotein lipase. Am J Pathol 144:538-548, 1994

37. Obunike JC, Paka L, Sivaram P, et al: Lipoprotein lipase can function as a monocyte adhesion protein. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 17:1414-1420, 1997

38. Hennig B, Chung BH, Watkins BA, et al: Disruption of endothelial barrier function by lipolytic remnants of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Atherosclerosis 95:235-247, 1992

39. Toborek M, Hennig B: Fatty acid–mediated effects on the glutathione redox cycle in cultured endothelial cells. Am J Clin Nutr 59:60-65, 1994

40. Chung BH, Hennig B, Cho BHS, et al: Effect of the fat composition of a single meal on the composition and cytotoxic potencies of lipolytically-releasable free fatty acids in postprandial plasma. Atherosclerosis 141:321-332, 1998

41. Renier G, Olivier M, Skamene E, et al: Induction of tumor necrosis factor α gene expression by lipoprotein lipase. J Lipid Res 35:271-278, 1994

42. Hennig B, Toborek M, McClain CJ, et al: Nutritional implications in vascular endothelial cell metabolism. J Am Coll Nutr 15:345-358, 1996

43. Hennig B, Ramasamy S, Alvarado A, et al: Selective disruption of endothelial barrier function in culture by pure fatty acids and fatty acids derived from animal and plant fats. J Nutr 123:1208-1216, 1993

44. Hennig B, Toborek M, Cader AA, et al: Nutrition, endothelial cell metabolism, and atherosclerosis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 34:253-282, 1994

45. Hodgson JM, Wahlquist ML, Boxall JA, et al: Can linoleic acid contribute to coronary artery disease? Am J Clin Nutr 58:228-234, 1993

46. Luostarinen R, Boberg M, Saldeen T: Fatty acid composition in total phospholipids of human coronary arteries in sudden cardiac death. Atherosclerosis 99:187-193, 1993

47. Spector AA, Kaduce TL, Hoak JC, et al: Utilization of arachidonic and linoleic acids by cultured human endothelial cells. J Clin Invest 68:1003-1011, 1981

48. Debry G, Pelletier XL: Physiological importance of w-3/w-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in man. An overview of still unresolved and controversial questions. Experientia 47:172-178, 1991

49. Hennig B, Watkins BA: Linoleic acid and linolenic acid: Effect on permeability properties of cultured endothelial cell monolayers. Am J Clin Nutr 49:301-305, 1989

50. Toborek M, Hennig B: Effect of different fatty acids on ATP levels in cultured endothelial cells. FASEB J 6:A1322, 1992 (abstr)

51. Ramasamy S, Boissonneault GA, Lipke DW, et al: Proteoglycans and endothelial barrier function: Effect of linoleic acid exposure to porcine pulmonary artery endothelial cells. Atherosclerosis 103:279-290, 1993

52. Toborek M, Hennig B: Vitamin E attenuates induction of elastase-like activity by tumor necrosis factor-α, cholestan-3β,5α,6β-triol, and linoleic acid in cultured endothelial cells. Clin Chim Acta 215:201-211, 1993

53. O'Donnell VB, Eiserich JP, Chumley PH, et al: Nitration of unsaturated fatty acids by nitric oxide–derived reactive nitrogen species peroxynitrite, nitrous acid, nitrogen dioxide, and nitronium ion. Chem Res Toxicol 12:83-92, 1999

54. de Haan LH, Bosselaers I, Jongen WM, et al: Effect of lipids and aldehydes on gap-junctional intercellular communication between human smooth muscle cells. Carcinogenesis 15:253-256, 1994

55. Jiang WG, Bryce RP, Horrobin DF, et al: Regulation of tight junction permeability and occludin expression by polyunsaturated fatty acids. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 244:414-420, 1998

56. Robinson BS, Hii CST, Ferrante A: Activation of phospholipase A_2 in human neutrophils by polyunsaturated fatty acids and its role in stimulation of superoxide production. Biochem J 336:611-617, 1998

57. Leitinger N, Watson AD, Hama SY, et al: Role of group II secretory phospholipase A2 in atherosclerosis: II. Potential involvement of biologically active oxidized phospholipids. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 19:1291-1298, 1999

58. Staprans I, Rapp JH, Pan XM, et al: Oxidized lipids in the diet are a source of oxidized lipid in chylomicrons of human serum. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 14:1900-1905, 1994

59. Staprans I, Rapp JH, Pan XM, et al: Oxidized lipids in the diet accelerate the development of fatty streaks in cholesterol-fed rabbits. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 16:533-538, 1996

60. Reaven P, Parthasarathy S, Grasse BJ, et al: Effects of oleate-rich and linoleate-rich diets on the susceptibility of low density lipoprotein to oxidative modification in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects. J Clin Invest 91:668-676, 1993

61. Hall ER, Manner CE, Carinhas J, et al: Selective internalization of arachidonic acid by endothelial cells. Biochem J 245:151-157, 1987

62. Cader AA, Butterfield DA, Watkins BA, et al: Electron spin resonance studies of fatty acid–induced alterations in membrane fluidity in cultured endothelial cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 27:665-673, 1995

63. Hart CM, Tolson JK, Block ER: Supplemental fatty acids alter lipid peroxidation and oxidant injury in endothelial cells. Am J Physiol 260:L481-L488, 1991