

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved*  
**OMB No. 0704-0188**

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b>		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Technical Paper		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b>	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b>				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> F04611-01-C-0010	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> BmDO	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> SBRU	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT</b>	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S)</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b>  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b>					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b>
<b>a. REPORT</b>	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b>	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b>			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> <i>(include area code)</i>
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	A		Leilani Richardson (661) 275-5015

101 5111

*4 separate items are enclosed*

BMDOSBRU  
F04611-01-C-0010

MEMORANDUM FOR PRS (Contractor/In-House Publication)

FROM: PROI (STINFO)

10 January 2002

SUBJECT: Authorization for Release of Technical Information, Control Number: **AFRL-PR-ED-TP-2002-006**  
Jeff Muss (Sierra); Curtis Johnson (Sierra); Richard Cohn; Peter Strakey; Ron Bates; Doug Talley,  
"Swirl Coaxial Injector Development, Part I: Test Results"

**JANNAF JPC**

**(Statement A)**

**(Destin, FL, 8-12 April 2002)**

**(Deadline: 15 Feb 2002)**

1. This request has been reviewed by the Foreign Disclosure Office for: a.) appropriateness of distribution statement, b.) military/national critical technology, c.) export controls or distribution restrictions, d.) appropriateness for release to a foreign nation, and e.) technical sensitivity and/or economic sensitivity.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

2. This request has been reviewed by the Public Affairs Office for: a.) appropriateness for public release and/or b) possible higher headquarters review.

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

3. This request has been reviewed by the STINFO for: a.) changes if approved as amended, b) appropriateness of references, if applicable; and c.) format and completion of meeting clearance form if required

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

4. This request has been reviewed by PR for: a.) technical accuracy, b.) appropriateness for audience, c.) appropriateness of distribution statement, d.) technical sensitivity and economic sensitivity, e.) military/national critical technology, and f.) data rights and patentability

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

APPROVED/APPROVED AS AMENDED/DISAPPROVED

\_\_\_\_\_  
PHILIP A. KESSEL Date  
Technical Advisor  
Space and Missile Propulsion Division

20021119 101

# Swirl Coaxial Injector Development

## Part I Test Results

Jeff Muss and Curtis Johnson, Sierra Engineering, Inc.  
Richard Cohn, Peter Strakey, Ron Bates, and Doug Talley, AFRL

### Abstract

Injector design is crucial to obtain long life and provide high energy release efficiency in the main combustion chamber. Introducing a swirl component in the injector flow can enhance the propellant mixing and thus improve engine performance. Therefore, swirl coaxial injectors show promise for the next generation of high performance staged combustion rocket engines utilizing hydrocarbon fuels. These injectors swirl liquid fuel around a gaseous oxygen core. This work develops a design methodology, utilizing both high-pressure cold-flow testing and uni-element hot-fire testing to create a high performing, long life swirl coaxial injector for multi-element combustor use. Several swirl coax injector configurations were designed and fabricated by Sierra Engineering, and tested at the Propulsion Directorate of the Air Force Research Lab. Both cold-flow and hot-fire tests were conducted. Cold-flow testing used near-field shadowgraphs and patternation to investigate atomization, non-combusting mixing efficiency, and flow uniformity. The cold-flow results (Figures 1 and 2) allowed ranking injector performance in terms of pressure drop, drop size, spray uniformity, and mixing. The most promising injectors were then installed in a combustor and hot-fire tested. The hot-fire tests demonstrated uni-element performance, ignition characteristics, and some stability characteristics. In addition, the injector elements have been operated at several different mixture ratios to characterize off-design performance. Work in progress (to be completed before the conference) includes flame imaging to better understand flame holding characteristics, and near field combustion phenomena of these swirler elements. The results of the cold-flow and hot-fire tests were compared to discern what phenomena observed during cold flow testing is directly applicable to hot-fire operation.




Figure 1. Near-Field Shadow Graphs of Nine Injectors Operating at  $P_c=285$  psia




Figure 2. Plots of Liquid and Gas Fluxes 2 inches Below the Injector for Injectors Operating at  $P_c=285$  psia