

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b>		<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Technical Papers		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b>	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b>				<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
				<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>				<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> 2302	
				<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> MIG 2	
				<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT</b>	
<b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>	
				<b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S)</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b>  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>					
<b>14. ABSTRACT</b>					
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b>					
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b>	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b>
<b>a. REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified			(A)
				<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)</b> (661) 275-5015	

511  
119

36 separate files are enclosed

62

TP-FY99-0120

ERC # E99-017 (letter missing)

✓ Spreadsheet  
✓ DTS

MEMORANDUM FOR PRS

FROM: PROI (TI) (STINFO)

4 June 1999

SUBJECT: Authorization for Release of Technical Information, Control Number: **AFRL-PR-ED-TP-FY99-0120**  
D. T. Baron, "Fracture Parameter Calculations for SENT Specimens with Two Boundary Conditions"

**SEM Presentation**

**(Statement A)**

---

# Fracture Parameter Calculations for SENT Specimens with Two Boundary Conditions

D.T. Baron


ERC Inc.

AFRL

Edwards AFB, CA

---

Single edge-notch tension (SENT) specimen, strained by applied uniaxial uniform load, or by applied uniaxial uniform displacement.



20021119 119

Finite element calculations of the stress intensity factors ( $K_I$ ) and the T-stresses ( $T$ ) for SENT specimens.

---

Four combinations of plane state and loading are used.

plane stress with applied uniform load.

plane stress with applied uniform displacement.

plane strain with applied uniform load.

plane strain with applied uniform displacement.

---

Eleven specimen aspect ratios ( $h/w$ ) are used.

---

Nine specimen crack ratios ( $a/w$ ) are used.

Material properties.

$$E = 1096$$

$$\nu = 0.499, \Rightarrow \text{incompressible.}$$

---

Geometries used for  
SENT specimens.

$$\frac{h}{w} = \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{4}{1}, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{6}{1}, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{8}{1}$$

$$\frac{a}{w} = 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8$$

$\Rightarrow$  99 different FEM meshes.

---

Methods of mesh strain application.

applied uniform displacement,  $\epsilon_{\infty} = 0.05$ .

applied uniform load,  $\sigma_{\infty} = 54.8$ .

---

2D elasticity states.

plane stress.

plane strain.

ABAQUS version 5.6 finite  
element code.

---

J-integral calculated by the  
energy domain integral method.

---

Calculation of the stress  
intensity factor.

$$K_1 = \sqrt{JE'}$$

plane stress,  $E' = E$

plane strain,  $E' = E/(1-\nu^2)$

---

Method of T-stress calculation.

Near the crack tip,

$$\sigma_{xx} \cong \frac{K_1}{\sqrt{2\pi r}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \left[ 1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{3\theta}{2} \right] + T.$$

$\Rightarrow$  along the crack flank ( $\theta = \pi$ ),

$$\sigma_{xx} \cong T.$$

$$T \cong \sigma_{xx} = E' \varepsilon_{xx} = E' \frac{du_x}{dx}.$$

A least squares line was fitted to the FEM points  $(x, u_x)$  along the crack flank, within a distance of  $a/10$  of the crack tip. (The distance  $a/10$  was chosen arbitrarily.) The curve fit has the form

$$y = a + bx.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{du_x}{dx} \cong b, \quad \therefore T \cong E'b.$$

$$\beta \equiv \frac{T \sqrt{\pi a}}{K_1}$$

(A definition that is used later.)


Correlations of points  $(x, u_x)$  within  $a/10$  of the crack tip.

(Each is average for 99 meshes.)


	PLANE STRESS	PLANE STRAIN
APPLIED UNIFORM LOAD	+0.990	+0.993
APPLIED UNIFORM DISPLACEMENT	-0.999	-0.983



**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**  
(SHOWING ORBITS 33-64.)  
(ORBITS 1-32 REMOVED.)



**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**  
(SHOWING ORBITS 1-32.)  
(ORBITS 33-64 REMOVED.)




**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**

(SHOWING ORBITS 17-32.)

(ORBITS 1-16 REMOVED.)


(ORBITS 33-64 REMOVED.)



**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**

(SHOWING ORBITS 1-16.)

(ORBITS 17-64 REMOVED.)




**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**

(SHOWING ORBITS 9-16.)

(ORBITS 1-8 REMOVED.)


(ORBITS 17-64 REMOVED.)



**SAME FINITE ELEMENT MESH**


(SHOWING ORBITS 1-8.)

(ORBITS 9-64 REMOVED.)




ORBIT CURVE 1 IS CIRCULAR, ALWAYS.


$r_1 = w / 10000$ , ALWAYS.




Biaxiality.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane stress.




Normalized T-stress.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane stress.




End stress.  $a/w$   
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane stress.




T-stress.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane stress.




Stress intensity factor.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane stress.




Biaxiality.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane strain.




Normalized T-stress.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane strain.




End stress.  $a/w$   
Applied uniform load.  
Plane strain.




T-stress.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane strain.




Stress intensity factor.  
 Applied uniform load.  
 Plane strain.




Biaxiality.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane stress.




Normalized T-stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane stress.




Average induced end stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane stress.




T-stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane stress.




Stress intensity factor.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane stress.




Biaxiality.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane strain.




Normalized T-stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane strain.



Average induced end stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane strain.



T-stress.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane strain.



Stress intensity factor.  
 Applied uniform displacement.  
 Plane strain.

## Conclusions

- The boundary condition in which the entire top edge of the mesh is constrained in the  $x$  direction was used, because it seems to be the one condition that can be practically implemented for an actual test specimen.
- For the case of applied uniform load, the effect of the particular plane state (stress or strain) on the values of  $K_1$  and  $T$  is not significant.
- For the case of applied uniform displacement, the effect of the particular plane state (stress or strain) on the values of  $K_1$  and  $T$ , is significant when the specimen aspect ratio ( $h/w$ ) is less than one.
- The effect of whether applied uniform load or applied uniform displacement is used, is always extremely significant when calculating values for  $K_1$  or  $T$ .
- Applying a load to a SENT specimen that is even approximately uniform is very difficult, unless the specimen is extremely long. Even if the end fixture is pinned at the middle, it only means that the centroid of the end load is thru the pin center.