


REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 29-08-2003		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Viewgraph Presentation		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Determining Stress Sensor Requirements for a Health Monitoring System Using Finite Elements				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Timothy C. Miller (AFRL/PRSM)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 1011	
				5e. TASK NUMBER 0076	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-PR-ED-VG-2003-217	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S) AFRL-PR-ED-VG-2003-217	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES For presentation at the 2003 West Regional ABAQUS User's Meeting being held in Las Vegas, NV, taking place 21-22 October 2003.					
20031001 201					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Leilani Richardson
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified	A	23	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) (661) 275-5015


Determining Stress Sensor Requirements for a Health Monitoring System Using Finite Elements




T. C. Miller

Air Force Research Lab

Edwards AFB, CA





Outline of Presentation





- Introduction
- Computational Modeling
- How FEA Results Are Analyzed
- Summary and Main Points

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited




Introduction

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited




Motivation


**Consequences
of Failure**




Ways to Ensure Reliability





Live Testing




**Nondestructive
Evaluation**




Health Monitoring




Sensors On Inner Case Wall




Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



Conventional Analysis For Hoop And Radial Stress





Pressure "p"



$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{pR_1^2(R_2^2 + r^2)}{r^2(R_2^2 - R_1^2)} \quad \sigma_{rr} = \frac{-pR_1^2(R_2^2 - r^2)}{r^2(R_2^2 - R_1^2)}$$


A conventional thick-walled pressure vessel analysis gives tensile hoop stresses but does not apply to solid rocket motors.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited




Pressure Vessels And Solid Rocket Motors

Conventional Pressure Vessel Analysis




SRM Pressure Vessel Analysis





For this motor geometry and loading the stress sensor readings for an uncracked will be close to -500 psi.

Negative hoop stresses would close the crack if it weren't for the pressure loads on the crack faces.



Competing Hoop And Pressure Stresses




$$K_I = (\sigma_{\theta\theta} + p) \sqrt{\pi a f \left(\frac{a}{t} \right)}$$


Relatively Weak Driving Force

The combination of negative hoop stress and pressurized crack faces results in substantially weaker “driving force” for fracture.



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited




Sensors On Inner Case Wall




How are the loads, geometry, and characteristics of the sensor system related?






Parameters Affecting HMS Design




- Type and size of load
- Expected crack geometry
- Requirement for minimum detectable crack size
- Number of sensors
- Required sensor sensitivity

The relationship between some of the system parameters (minimum detectable crack size, required sensor precision, and the number of sensors used) can be found using analysis of FEA data.



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



Using FEA As A Design Tool




- General method is developed (can be applied to other situations, e.g., thermal loading)
- Specific relationship between variables is found





Computational Modeling


Approved for public release; distribution unlimited





Motor Geometry





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited



Model Description - Geometry And Loads




Approved for public release; distribution unlimited







FEA Results and How They Are Used


Approved for public release; distribution unlimited




Cracked And Uncracked Solid Rocket Motors






Approved for public release; distribution unlimited







Cracked And Uncracked Solid Rocket Motors






Approved for public release; distribution unlimited







Cracked And Uncracked Solid Rocket Motors




The uncracked motor has "baseline stresses" but the presence of a crack causes deviations that vary with orientation.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited







Change in Stresses Near Case Wall Is Felt By Sensors






Change in Radial Stress Components Near Case Wall for Analog Motor at 500 psi



The difference between the baseline stresses and the case wall stresses in a cracked motor may or may not be detectable.





How FEA Results Are Analyzed





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited








How FEA Results Are Analyzed




In the worst case scenario, the sensors will be aligned so that the maximum of the three sensor readings will be at a minimum. Detection of the crack may or may not be possible.




Results Relating Number Of Sensors, Sensor Precision, And Minimum Detectable Crack Size



For this motor geometry and loading, the number of sensors recommended is four and the sensor sensitivity required depends on the crack size (quantified by the chart).



Summary and Main Points



A conventional thick-walled pressure vessel analysis gives tensile hoop stresses but does not apply to solid rocket motors.

Negative hoop stresses would close the crack if it weren't for the pressure loads on the crack faces. The combination of negative hoop stress and pressurized crack faces results in substantially weaker "driving force" for fracture.

The relationship between some of the system parameters (minimum detectable crack size, required sensor precision, and the number of sensors used) can be found using analysis of FEA data.

The uncracked motor has "baseline stresses" but the presence of a crack causes deviations that vary with orientation. The difference between the baseline stresses and the case wall stresses in a cracked motor may or may not be detectable.

In the worst case scenario, the sensors will be aligned so that the maximum of the three sensor readings will be at a minimum. Detection of the crack may or may not be possible.

For this motor geometry and loading, the number of sensors recommended is four and the sensor sensitivity required depends on the crack size (quantified by the chart).

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited