Document And Report Documentation Page Submitted as edoc_1075732068

Report Documentation Page	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED
13 MAR 2003	**N/A**	-

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Active Testing Surveillance Systems, or, Playing Twenty Questions With a Radar	5b. GRANT NUMBER
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
	5e. TASK NUMBER
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Department of Electrical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis**	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Also see: ADM001520. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Deptartment of the Air Froce, under a subcontract from the Science Applications International Corp, The original document contains color images.

14. ABSTRACT

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT **unclassified**	b. ABSTRACT **unclassified**	c. THIS PAGE **unclassified**	**UU**	**24**	**Patricia Mawby, EM 1438 PHONE:(703) 767-9038 EMAIL:pmawby@dtic.mil**

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

pwd: cannot determine current directory!

ACTIVE TESTING SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS, OR, PLAYING TWENTY QUESTIONS WITH A RADAR

Daniel R. Fuhrmann

Department of Electrical Engineering
Washington University in St. Louis

This work was support in part by the U.S. Department of the Air Force, under a subcontract from the Science Applications International Corporation.

CONTEXT

- Airborne multisensor pulse-Doppler surveillance radar
- Ground moving targets (GMTI)
- Multiple targets
- Geographical side information (GIS)
- Platform side information (GPS, INS)

CONTEXT


CAMDEN CO
BDY
RIVER
Duck Head Point
BAGNELL DAM
Lakeside
Lake Ozark
Lakeview
Bagnell
Band
Perkins Pt
THE OZARKS
OF
ELEV 201
POWERLINES

ACTIVE-TESTING SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM



A communication system in the usual information-theoretic sense, with the added feature that the channel can be manipulated by the observer.

GENERAL APPROACH

The goal of a surveillance system is to minimize the entropy of the posterior distribution of the source vector X.

- Entropy definition:

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} p_X(x) \log_2 p_X(x)$$

- Conditional entropy definition:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X|Y) &= -\sum_{y} p_Y(y) \sum_{x} p_{X|Y}(x|y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y) \\ &= -\sum_{y} \sum_{x} p_{XY}(x,y) \log p_{X|Y}(x|y) \end{aligned}$$

SINGLE-MEASUREMENT STRATEGY

Choose that measurement which maximizes the mutual information I(X,Y)

- Mutual information definition:

$$I(X,Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$
$$= H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

Repeated measurements: maximizing *I(X,Y)* at each step seems obvious and intuitive, but is "greedy" and may not be globally optimal.

TWENTY QUESTIONS

- A game in which the objective is to determine the correct answer by asking the right questions in the right order.

- Perhaps “Battleship” or “Mastermind” is a better analogy, since there is more feedback from observation to question.

- Connection with source coding: in binary coding, each bit represents the answer to a YES/NO set membership question. We like each bit to be maximally informative. If the bits are determined sequentially this is like Shannon-Fano coding. The globally optimum code is the Huffman code.

SAMPLING OF RELEVANT LITERATURE

- Sequential detection: Berger (1980)
- Experimental design: Carlin and Louis (1996)
- Coding theory connection: Goodman and Smyth (1988)
- Selection of maximally informative measurement:

  Lewis (1962)
  Pearl (1988)
  Geman and Jedynak (1996)
  Yuille and Coughlan (2000)

MULTIPLE TARGET DETECTION SCENARIO



- N independent cells
- Each cell defines a binary hypothesis testing problem
- Divergence between H0 and H1 depends on transmitted energy

FINITE-ENERGY CONSTRAINT



$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i = E$$

- What is the optimum partition of the available energy E?

SIMPLE DATA MODEL

- Each cell acts like a binary symmetric channel (BSC):



$$Y_i = sign(W_i)$$

$$H_0: \; W_i \sim N(-\sqrt{E_i}, \sigma^2)$$

$$H_1: \; W_i \sim N(+\sqrt{E_i}, \sigma^2)$$

$$P_{e,i} = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{E_i}}{\sigma}\right)$$

FAMILY OF I(X,Y) vs. SNR CURVES



OPTIMIZATION OF ENERGY PARTITION

- Optimization problem:

$$\max_{\{E_i\}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I(X_i, Y_i; p_i, E_i) \qquad \text{s.t.} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i = E$$

- Kuhn-Tucker conditions:

$$\text{If } E_i \neq 0\,, \quad \text{then } \frac{\partial I}{\partial E_i} = \lambda$$

$$\text{If } \frac{\partial I}{\partial E_i} < \lambda\,, \quad \text{then } E_i = 0$$

FAMILY OF dI/dE CURVES



FINDING THE OPTIMAL PARTITION



OPTIMAL PARTITION (ALTERNATE VIEW)



ACTIVE TESTING, AGAIN

Use optimal partition of energy,
as previously derived



SIMULATION SET-UP

- N = 10 cells
- Total energy E = 5
- 0 dB noise
- Targets in 3 cells
- Prior probability of target present = 0.5, all cells



SEQUENTIAL DETECTION, ACTIVE TESTING ON



SEQUENTIAL DETECTION, ACTIVE TESTING OFF



WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?



RESEARCH AIM

To investigate the feasibility of active-testing surveillance systems in the context of:

- Airborne multisensor pulse-Doppler surveillance radar
- Ground moving targets (GMTI)
- Multiple targets
- Geographical side information (GIS)
- Platform side information (GPS, INS)

IMMEDIATE GOALS

- Merge terrain-based radar simulation and active testing
- Incorporate linear constraints in illumination patterns (i.e. beamforming)
- Allow different clutter and target signatures in cell (targets are moving
- Include adaptive processing for interference

CONCLUSION

We have:

- introduced the concept of active-testing surveillance systems
- considered multiple-target detection
- derived numerical solution to optimization problem of distribution of finite illumination energy
- shown anecdotal result that active testing improves convergence in sequential detection
- indicated future research directions in airborne multisensor pulse-Doppler surveillance radar