




NATO Code of Best Practice (COBP) for C2 Assessment

Measures of Merit

A large, light gray compass rose graphic is centered on the page. It has four main points and four smaller points, with curved lines connecting the points. The text is overlaid on this graphic.

Presented by
Valdur Pille

Metrics and Experimentation Group
Systems of Systems Section
Defence R&D Canada – Valcartier

With

Dr R Hayes
EBR Inc

Ms C Wallshein
US AFSAA

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 00 DEC 2003		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Measures of Merit (MoM)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DREV/DND 2459 boul Pie XI North Val Belair Quebec G3J 1X5 Canada				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001657., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 28	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

*"It's best to know what you are looking for,
before you look for it"*

Winnie the Pooh, from A.A. Milne


Overview

- Background
- Objectives
- Definitions
- Characteristics, Reliability, Validity
- Categories, Examples
- OOTW – Normality Indicators
- Collaboration Metrics
- Uncertainties
- Framework - Practical Issues
- Challenges / Issues
- Recommendations
- Conclusions


Context

STRUCTURAL RELATIONSHIPS OF CODE SECTIONS

Key features:
Non-linear
Iterative



Background






Objectives of Assessment

- Comparison of alternate systems or solutions
 - replacement systems or components
 - determination of most cost-effective approaches
 - assessment in new or unexpected applications
- Establishment of standards, bounds of performance
- Identification of potential weaknesses
- Analysis of effectiveness of training
- Evaluation of effectiveness of human decision making
- Assistance in requirements generation and validation


MoM Definitions

- DP - Dimensional Parameters
 - Properties or characteristics in physical entities
- MoP - Measures of Performance
 - Measures of attributes of internal system behaviour
- MoCE - Measures of C2 Effectiveness
 - Measures impact of C2 systems
- MoFE - Measures of Force Effectiveness
 - Measures of how a force meets mission objectives
- MoPE – Measures of Policy Effectiveness


MoM Hierarchy



MoM Hierarchy



Linked MoMs



MoM Tendencies

MoM	Focus	Scenario	Effort Required	Number	Impact	Compre- hension	Generaliz- ability
MoPE	Outcome	Dependent	High	Few	High	Policy	Low
MoFE	Mission	↕	↕	↕	↕	↕	↕
MoCE	C3I						
MoP	Systems						
DP	Process	Independent	Low	Many	Limited	Technical	High



Characteristics of Measures (1)

- Reliability
 - accuracy of a measurement: variance of repeated measurements of the same phenomenon
 - must be known or estimated to discriminate between real effects and measurement effects

Characteristics of Measures (2)

- Validity
 - internal: causal relationship between variables
 - construct: measure objective, and only objective
 - statistical conclusion: results are robust with sufficient sensitivity
 - external: extent to which results could be generalized
 - expert: degree accepted by experts in the field

Levels of Evaluation

- Goals (mission objectives) - Environment
- Functions and sub functions
- Tasks
- Structure / Interfaces
- Physical Entities



Example HQ MoMs - Levels

- Network of headquarters
- Single headquarters
- Cells within the HQ
- Specific tasks within cells

Example HQ MoMs

- Monitoring and understanding
 - Information transmission, values, times, effect, comprehension
- Planning
 - Information exchange, co-ordination, impact, flexibility, process quality
- Directing and disseminating



Categories of Performance Measures

- Time based
 - time to perform a task
 - rate of performing tasks
 - time to react to events
- Accuracy based
 - precision of performance
 - reliability of performance
 - completeness
 - error rates
 - quality of decisions



Collaboration Metrics

- Averages of understanding among team members
- Extent of alignment of these understandings
- Maximum level of understanding within team
- Gaps in understanding throughout team



Normality Indicators

- Relative measures
- State of normalcy
- Characterize an element of the civil environment
- Data collected on a regular basis
- Assessment of the changes occurring in the civilian populace



Normality Indicators

Criterion	Examples
Political	Elections, political participation
Economic	Unemployment, interest rates, and market baskets
Social	Number of students in schools, number of refugees
Technological	Telephone system availability
Legal	Judicial system functioning
Environmental	Roads, water supply, power supply
Cultural	Sports events, concerts



Limitations of Normality Indicators

- Inexperienced personnel
- Limited resources, constraints
- Effect of military presence
- Require data to be calibrated against baselines
- Extrapolation across space and time
- Shifting emphasis, thresholds

Effects of Uncertainty

- Study assumptions
 - uncertainties in scenario, model input
- Modelling assumptions
 - Uncertainties in the model, structural uncertainty
- Model sensitivity
 - Uncertainties in the outcome

Summary : Framework

- Establish evaluation environment
- Define evaluation goals
- State context, assumptions, constraints
- Define domain – MoPE, MoFE, MoCE, MoP, DP
- Identify specific measures
- Establish scenario or stimulus
- Establish data collection means
- Pilot test, revise measures and procedures
- Conduct the tests, debrief and analyze

Challenges / Issues

- Linkage of DP-MoP-MoCE-MoFE
- Interpretation of measures
- Environmental components
- Reliability and validity
- Uncertainties - scenario, model, outcomes
- Human-in-the-loop
- Cost and convenience
- Modelling

Recommendations

- Plan with clear objectives
- State assumptions, constraints
- Formally assess reliability and validity
- Concentrate on MoCE and MoP
- Incorporate MoM data gathering into system design
- Include Subject Matter Experts in assessments
- Retain data as benchmarks for future comparison

Concluding Remarks

- No single measure or methodology exists for assessing overall effectiveness of C&C
- A multi-method, multi-phase approach is necessary

Measures of Merit (MoM)

V. Pille, R. Hayes and C. Wallshein

DREV/DND

2459 boul Pie XI North

Val Belair

Quebec G3J 1X5

Canada

valdur.pille@drev.dnd.ca

*This paper was received as a PowerPoint
presentation without supporting text.*

This page has been deliberately left blank

Page intentionnellement blanche