



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

THESIS

**AIRBORNE UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE AND
MONITORING NETWORK**

by

Axel Schumann

September 2004

Thesis Advisor:
Co-Advisor:

Alexander Bordetsky
David Netzer

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 2004	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Master's Thesis	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE: Airborne Ubiquitous Surveillance and Monitoring Network			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Axel Schumann				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING /MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) N/A			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words) The thesis research examines the emergence of wireless technology as a pragmatic baseline supporting the goals of the Department of Defense developing towards Network Centric Forces. Increased international attention to the field of surveillance has developed parallel to the desire to interconnect all possible friendly forces in military operations and the Global War on Terror (GWOT). Ubiquitous surveillance is accomplished by prototyping a network node that is then integrated on board of a military type unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). Although the commercial off the shelf network solution itself is broadly deployed, little is known so far how to operate and manage an airborne surveillance network node. The author shows that the use of unmanned aerial vehicles for networking purposes is not only possible but also manageable, even with remote operation of the unmanned aerial vehicle. The documented experiments over three generations of prototypes give insight about possibilities of how network infrastructure independence for the purpose of surveillance can be reached.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Surveillance, Airborne, Network, Wireless, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, UAV, Network Operations			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 163	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AIRBORNE UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING NETWORK

Axel Schumann
Kapitänleutnant, Federal German Navy
Electrical Engineer, Armed Forces University Munich, 1996

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

**MASTER OF SCIENCE IN SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
AND
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT**

from the

**NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
September 2004**

Author: Axel Schumann

Approved by: Alexander Bordetsky
Thesis Advisor

David Netzer
Second Reader/Co-Advisor

Dan Boger
Chairman, Department of Information Science

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ABSTRACT

This thesis research examines the emergence of wireless technology as a pragmatic baseline supporting the goals of the Department of Defense in the development of Network Centric Forces. Increased international attention to the field of surveillance has developed in conjunction with the desire to interconnect all possible friendly forces in military operations and the Global War on Terror (GWOT).

Ubiquitous surveillance is accomplished by prototyping a network node that is then integrated onboard a military-type unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).

Although the commercial off-the-shelf network solution itself is broadly deployed, little is known so far as to the operation and management of an airborne surveillance network node. The author shows that the use of unmanned aerial vehicles for networking purposes is not only possible but manageable, even with remote operation of the unmanned aerial vehicle. The documented experiments, over three generations of prototypes, give insight about possibilities of how network infrastructure independence for the purpose of surveillance can be reached.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	BACKGROUND	1
B.	PURPOSE.....	2
C.	RESEARCH TASKS	2
D.	SCOPE OF THESIS	3
E.	METHODOLOGY AND ORGANIZATION OF STUDY.....	3
II.	UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE.....	5
A.	DEFINITION OF UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE.....	5
B.	IDEA, COMPONENTS, AND ARCHITECTURE	6
1.	Idea	6
2.	Components.....	6
3.	Architecture.....	7
C.	SUMMARY	8
III.	SYSTEM COMPONENTS.....	11
A.	SENSORS.....	11
1.	Video Cameras.....	12
2.	Network Connection.....	15
3.	Summary	19
B.	AIRBORNE NETWORK NODE.....	19
1.	Network Components.....	19
2.	Topology.....	20
3.	Airborne Vehicles	22
a.	<i>Tactically Expendable Remote Navigator (TERN)....</i>	22
b.	<i>Balloon.....</i>	24
4.	Payload Specifications.....	25
a.	<i>Transmitting Power.....</i>	25
b.	<i>Power Consumption</i>	25
c.	<i>Endurance</i>	26
d.	<i>Weight and Form Factor.....</i>	26
e.	<i>Picture Gallery.....</i>	26
IV.	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS AND EVOLUTIONS	29
A.	GENERATION 1 (STAN 5+)	29
1.	Functional Description	29
2.	Architecture.....	29
3.	Components.....	30
4.	Test Description.....	33
B.	GENERATION 2 (STAN 6)	34
1.	Evolution	34
2.	Functional Description	36
3.	Generation 2 Experiment	38
4.	Test Description and Results	40
5.	Summary	45

C.	GENERATION 3 (CAMP LEJEUNE, NC)	46
1.	Evolution	46
2.	Test Description and Results	47
3.	Summary	57
V.	NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING.....	61
A.	NETWORK MANAGEMENT	61
1.	Network Discovery	61
2.	Network Maintenance and Management.....	64
3.	Network Operations Center versus Mobile (Mini) NOC	66
B.	UAV AWARENESS AND MANAGEMENT	67
VI.	OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS	75
A.	APPLICATIONS, FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, AND MOBILITY	75
B.	LINK MODELING.....	76
C.	EXTRO	76
	APPENDIX	79
A.	ENGINEER’S NOTEBOOK.....	79
	INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	147

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Building Blocks of the Ubiquitous Surveillance Network.....	8
Figure 2.	Architectural Overview of the Situational Awareness	16
Figure 3.	Panasonic KX-HCM230.....	17
Figure 4.	Remote Sensing Station with Pan-Tilt Camera	18
Figure 5.	Situational Awareness with Network Status and Video Stream.....	19
Figure 6.	CISCO notation for roles in wireless networks	21
Figure 7.	Balanced TERN with Network Payload in Nose	22
Figure 8.	TERN Dimensions	23
Figure 9.	TERN GCS.....	24
Figure 10.	Balloon and Payload.....	24
Figure 11.	Generation 1 Payload.....	27
Figure 12.	Generation 2 Payload.....	27
Figure 13.	Generation 3 Payload.....	27
Figure 14.	Generation 1 Architecture.....	30
Figure 15.	Ruggedized CISCO 350 AIRONET Bridge.....	30
Figure 16.	Unity Gain Antenna 0 dB	31
Figure 17.	Radiation Pattern for 0, 3 and 6 dB	32
Figure 18.	Various Antenna Connectors.....	33
Figure 19.	K2 system with Battery Pack and Laptop	35
Figure 20.	High Level Architecture of K2 Tracking Antenna	35
Figure 21.	Architecture Overview for 2.4 GHz independence.....	37
Figure 22.	Generation 2 Network Architecture.....	39
Figure 23.	Lake Nacimiento with Network Nodes	41
Figure 24.	Geo-Locations of Network Nodes.....	42
Figure 25.	Mini-NOC and K2 at McMillan Air Field	43
Figure 26.	Final Tracking Test ARIES – TERN – K2	44
Figure 27.	Network Monitor at Mini-NOC.....	45
Figure 28.	CJTfEX Network Architecture.....	48
Figure 29.	TERN Crash	50
Figure 30.	Maximum Range Test 1 and 2 Network	51
Figure 31.	Maximum Range Test 1 Geography	51
Figure 32.	Maximum Range Test 2 Geography	52
Figure 33.	Maximum Range Test 3 Network	53
Figure 34.	Maximum Range Test 3 Results	54
Figure 35.	Data Transfer Test 2 and 3 Geography	56
Figure 36.	Solarwinds Network Browser.....	62
Figure 37.	Netstumbler Displaying Signal Strength vs. Time and Geo Location..	63
Figure 38.	Tacticomp for Network Discovery	64
Figure 39.	Solarwinds monitoring 192.168.1.77 during file transfer.....	65
Figure 40.	Network Operations Center for STAN experiments.....	66
Figure 41.	Mobile Network Operations Center for UAV Network.....	67

Figure 42.	UAV Input Before Action.....	69
Figure 43.	UAV Input After Action.....	70
Figure 44.	Static terrain profile between network nodes.....	71
Figure 45.	UAV Awareness for the Network Operator	72
Figure 46.	Envisioned Display of the Signal & Network Attenuation Prediction System	73
Figure 47.	Generation 4 Light Weight Network Payload.....	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Video Camera Models and Specifications	13
Table 2.	Canon VC4-C4 Specifications	14
Table 3.	Key Features Panasonic KX-HCM230	17
Table 4.	TERN Specifications.....	23
Table 5.	Distance, Elevation and Link Speed	34
Table 6.	STAN Network Nodes	39
Table 7.	CJTFEX Network Nodes	47

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my wife, Petra, for her unwavering support during my academic endeavors at the Naval Postgraduate School. So many hours of reading, writing, studying, research and “computing” during our 27 month experience in Monterey was made easier by her love and understanding. I could not have done it without you.

To my sons, Paul and Maximilian, for their continued support, distraction, and understanding. I am so proud of both you and how well you did so far away from Germany.

To Dr. Alexander Bordetsky and Dr. David Netzer as my advisors for providing the foundation for extraordinary, excessive, and expensive experimentation. It was a pleasure to work for and with you.

To all the professors, associates and staff at the Naval Postgraduate School, thank you for the honor and privilege to attend this prestigious academic institution.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

By 'intelligence' we mean every sort of information about the enemy and his country – the basis, in short, of our plans and operations.

- Karl von Clausewitz: On War, 1832

At the outset, it is important to understand the distinction between information and intelligence. Information is an assimilation of data that has been gathered, but not fully correlated, analyzed, or interpreted. While not fully analyzed or correlated, information still has significant value to the tactical commander and plays a key role in threat warning and target acquisition.¹

The collection, processing and transmission of information is the core of this research. The key role of surveillance is also identified in the area of humanitarian operations, where today fewer technologies, procedures and management processes are clearly identified or researched than in the battlefield segment. In particular, "big picture" surveillance, using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) with video cameras on board, is not known to have been previously used as part of the aforementioned operations. Roger Wedge, Aid for Aid fund raiser said, "There is a tremendous potential for UAVs to provide much-needed real-time imagery and communications links to support disaster-relief operations."

Internationally, the United States will seek to screen and verify the security of goods and identities of people before they can harm the international transportation system and well before they reach the nation's shores and land borders². In that context, ubiquitous surveillance is one of the major foci of the Department of Homeland Security. The terminology of "ubiquitous" is described as omnipresent, present everywhere at once or seeming to be so. In an ideal

¹ Naval Doctrine Publication 2, September 1994

² Verrick French, Managing Director & Rebecca Dornbusch, Deputy Director, IBIA September 6, 2002; Available Online 01/14/2004, [<http://www.technologyreports.net/securefrontiers/?articleID=546>]

future scenario technology will allow the monitoring—on a 24/7 basis—of any area of interest from anywhere in the world. To accomplish these goals one must consider the underlying principles as well as the limitations of the used technology.

This thesis will elaborate on the previously completed work of Mike Ford and Leroy Dennis, “The Wireless Ubiquitous Surveillance Test Bed” (2003), as well as use and complete the hardware in place. Focus will be on integration of video sensors and the possibilities provided by a small UAV. The integration will mainly rely on commercial off-the-shelf products (COTS) and will specifically integrate a video camera and 802.11b networking technology. The necessary network reach-back will exploit the UAV as the network carrying node. Finally the tools for monitoring the network will be implemented in a situational awareness screen, which is the end of the chain that supports the decision maker.

B. PURPOSE

This thesis seeks possibilities for improving the integration of existing sensors and acquiring new components. Furthermore, the development of the surveillance network will prove the concept of using a UAV as a network node. A side product is the mobile network center, which allows the monitoring and maintenance of network operations. Finally, the situational awareness for the decision maker is the end product of the engineered system.

C. RESEARCH TASKS

Development goals for the thesis are:

- Set up a video sensor test bed similar to the one described by Dennis and Ford³, which fused video sensing with biometric applications in order to prove the hypothesis for ubiquitous surveillance. The lack of a long-range ubiquitous network (most possibly wireless) is one of the identified needs.
- Setup a long-range network for transmission of the acquired data.

³ Dennis, LeRoy and Ford, Micheal, Naval Postgraduate School (NPS), “Ubiquitous Surveillance” 2003, Available Online 01/14/2004, [http://library.nps.navy.mil/uhtbin/cgisirsi/Wed+Jan+14+15:09:36+PST+2004/0/520/03Mar_Dennis.pdf]

- Bring a wireless network node airborne for maximum range and flexibility.
- Integrate network management and situational awareness.

D. SCOPE OF THESIS

The scope of this thesis is to give a broad view on the subject and therefore may not delve too deeply into specific technical issues or configuration options. Nevertheless, the research goals will be accomplished and the proposed concepts proven.

E. METHODOLOGY AND ORGANIZATION OF STUDY

- Exploration and testing of network, sensors, network operations and management and situational awareness.
- Prototyping a small-scale-version surveillance network with airborne network node, integrated network monitoring and situational awareness as Decision Support System.
- Field testing of the prototypes in the Surveillance and Target Acquisition Network (STAN) experiments at Camp Roberts. STAN provides the resources and assets for academic level experimentation with military background. The purpose is to develop and field evaluate COTS-based systems which will provide the operator with an organic common operational picture⁴.
- Assessment of operational requirements and accomplishments.

The iteration through multiple generations of prototypes will be shown as a successful approach for the systems development process.

⁴ Christopher Manual, Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, 2004

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

II. UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE

A. DEFINITION OF UBIQUITOUS SURVEILLANCE

One of the oldest ways of detecting criminal activity is through surveillance. This method is used when it is likely that a crime will take place at a specific location or when certain persons are suspected of criminal activity. Certain circumstances may require mobile observation, for example aerial observation (using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV)) or electronic procedures such as listening devices that monitor conversations or record video.⁵

From the Information Systems Technology stand point, the border lines of surveillance are determined by the possibilities that are technically achievable, ethically and affordably, considering the purpose. With that in mind, one might simply add the “Ubiquitous” to the “Surveillance”, where a system is defined as a ubiquitous habitat when it meets the following characteristics:

- “pervasive—it must be everywhere, with every portal reaching into the same information base
- embedded—it must live in our world, sensing and affecting it
- nomadic—it must allow users and computations to move around freely, according to their needs
- adaptable—it must provide flexibility and spontaneity, in response to changes in user requirements and operating conditions
- powerful, yet efficient—it must free itself from constraints imposed by bounded hardware resources, addressing instead system constraints imposed by user demands and available power or communication bandwidth
- intentional—it must enable people to name services and software objects by intent, for example, “the nearest printer,” as opposed to by address
- eternal—it must never shut down or reboot; components may come and go in response to demand, errors, and upgrades”⁶

⁵ Microsoft® Encarta® Reference Library 2003. © 1993-2002 Microsoft Corporation.

⁶ Johnson, R. Collin, Advanced Technology, “Companies Test Prototype Wireless-Sensor Nets”, January 29, 2003, Available Online, [<http://www.eet.com/at/news/OEG20030128S0028>].

B. IDEA, COMPONENTS, AND ARCHITECTURE

1. Idea

Since some of the more specific objectives of surveillance are the enhancement of Special Operations Forces (SOF) ability to find, fix, and identify enemy personnel and equipment while reducing blue-on-blue incidents, they perfectly match what this research proposes to deliver. The following ideas stand behind the development of the airborne surveillance test bed:

- Support the decision-making process.
- Allow remote access to data without endangering the warfighter.
- Enhance covered network range and allow flexible remote sensing.
- Reduce bandwidth requirements.

2. Components

In order to enhance overall operational and tactical awareness in a large area, several factors regarding the used components need to be considered:

The size of the area mandates the establishment of a huge network. Here the network coverage will be accomplished by a UAV (or other air vehicles such as a balloon) acting as a mobile network node for the 802.xx wireless networking standards.

The network needs monitoring to determine availability, reliability and quality. This requires the establishment of a mobile network operations center.

In order to keep utilized bandwidth at a minimum, clustering of sensors in proximity to one another is used. Clustering here means deploying a platform locally networked with the sensors. This allows auto alert features and media streams on demand at a minimum use of bandwidth.

All parts of the test bed and its integration are COTS. This facilitates the usually long-term logistics of any federal or governmental operation. This also meets the latest requirements of network centric warfare, not only of the United States Department of Defense but of other agencies as well.

As a conclusive requirement for the network node and the sensors, certain environmental parameters, low physical weight and dimensions as well as low power consumption and grid independence need to be fulfilled.

3. Architecture

The following diagram shows a remote site connected to an operations center via the envisioned unmanned airborne network node. This will allow flexible, very covert operation and extended ranges into unknown or hostile territory. Employing existing 802.11b standard wireless network technology will allow the integration of unattended or airborne unmanned sensors and/or manned aircraft with ease. Global Positioning Systems will make geo locations of all devices available at all times for all participants and integrate seamlessly into situational awareness and targeting. Decision support can be leveraged to any scale by connecting in to existing C2 systems.




Figure 1. Building Blocks of the Ubiquitous Surveillance Network

C. SUMMARY

Focusing on the airborne network, the development will occur in a spiral model over a number of prototype evolutions. One foreseen difficulty is the complexity of all the interdependencies introduced with the unmanned aircraft. Limited availability and time constraints will certainly influence testing and development. Breaking the development down into lab exploration, ground-based testing for short and long ranges, and finally airborne trials is the preferred methodology. It not only avoids useless effort due to almost impossible remote adjustments while airborne, it also allows the evaluation of different types of equipment and software.

Rugged and sophisticated components are needed for use in a UAV. Accepting this “must” from the beginning leads to the recommendation to use only consumer-grade equipment in the tough environment of airborne vehicles. The possibilities for sensors are vast, allowing the system to be tailored to its exact purpose. Greater variety in sensors means achieving more objectives, which translates into a more useful surveillance network. At a glance, we know from today’s C2 systems that the usefulness is constrained by the network limitations such as range and throughput.

The goal is to balance between the ultimate system’s solution and the constraints and limitations of which the most important are listed as follows:

- Mobile versus static sensors including power independency and ease of deployment.
- Airborne COTS video sensor, implying low weight and low power requirements, along with high resolution, pan-tilt and zoom functionality. Also, the threshold operating environment needs to be considered.
- Limited available network bandwidth.
- Long-range networking for mission-critical applications.
- Limitations of situational awareness in displaying sensed data and acting as a decision support system.

The COTS market offers a huge variety of sensors, networks and related applications. The difficulty is to find the right combination that leads to a useful, deployable and helpful system, which supports the decision maker in his or her original task – making the right decision on the basis of the right data. This can only be accomplished by developing a system considering the limitations as shown above. The most important part appears to be the integration and transformation of the whole array of sub-systems and components into a system that meets the objectives.

Believing that the concept is valid and worthy of exploitation and proof, the following chapter will describe the development of the subsystems for video sensing, networking and network management. This development will lead to a working prototype, which will be presented in order to examine deviations of theory from practice as well as the need for additional functionality and concepts.

III. SYSTEM COMPONENTS

The components considered in the development of the test-bed are chained as follows: Sensors – Network – Network Ops Center.

The functionality of each component is determined by the following simplified description: sensors detect events; the network itself transmits the alert and/or data if requested, and finally the Network Operations Center (NOC) receives alerts and data while monitoring and managing the network status. The operations center facility might be a big installation in a fixed location, providing situational awareness, decision support and network management, or it may be a mobile Command Post (CP) which provides basic network monitoring and decision support in reduced form. An additional functionality found to be useful is the Airborne Node Monitor, which needs to be part of the NOC or CP employing UAVs. The newness of managing an airborne network node allowed the author to develop a method to tailor UAV flight operations to the needs of networking. Chapter V indicates keys in network operations and will introduce a solution for airborne networking node awareness.

The immediately following chapters follow the functional chain sensor – network and will show in depth an evolutionary development process for the airborne networking node in the part “Operational Systems and Evolutions”.

A. SENSORS

The chosen approach for sensor evaluation and integration changed over time due to the fact that the UAV networking was more resource intense than initially planned. Therefore, the sensor work area was reduced to one of the most important sensors today, the video sensor. A video sensor or camera is of primary importance for various reasons. First, today’s COTS market delivers an uncountable number of cameras for almost any application. The hardest part is once again to identify the product that fits the purpose, which leads back to an old systems-development problem that still awaits a solution: try as we might, there is no way to determine how all objectives can be fulfilled upfront. This leads

to an incomplete requirements listing which itself will adversely affect the specifications in the systems engineering phase.

Recognizing this lack, in combination with time and resource constraints, this thesis work focused on identifying one suitable video sensor, which could be used as an unattended ground sensor system. Since the surveillance is supposed to be ubiquitous, possibly pervasive, independent and as intelligent as possible, attributes like size and weight, power consumption and environmental specifications were considered during development: Also, automatic, standardized, and possibly wireless networking functions were desirable in order to facilitate networking, network management and deployment.

1. Video Cameras

The following COTS models were evaluated and physically integrated into the test bed:

No	Manufacturer	Model	Extras	Connection	Picture
1	CANON Industry Standard	VC-C4	Pan-tilt	S-Video/ Composite RS232	
2	VEO Web Cam	Mobile Connect	N/A	USB 2.0	
3	LOGITECH Advanced Web Cam	Quick Cam Orbit	Pan-tilt Auto Face tracking	USB 2.0	
4	Dazzle Video Converter	80		S-Video / Composite to USB	

Table 1. Video Camera Models and Specifications

The Canon model VC-C4 is the most sophisticated camera with the best specifications among the compared models. Due to the analog video signal output, an additional video converter is needed to digitize the signal. This was achieved by using the Dazzle Digital Video Creator (Number 4 in the table) which connects via USB to any PC running Windows. The pan and tilt controlling application is also available as a Software Development Kit for further integration and adaptation.

The quality of the cameras is directly proportional to the pricing which ranges from \$1000 (Canon), \$130 (Logitech) and to \$69 (VEO). The Logitech

and VEO cameras come with vendor specific software and drivers and are comparable in picture quality and speed (frames per second).

The Canon model is the only model delivered with a customizable user interface for the pan-tilt functionalities which includes presets for predefined camera angles and zoom-adjustments. The available SDK allows further integration and adjustment to special needs. The test-bed evaluation of all four cameras quickly made clear that only the Canon VC-4 is appropriate for use when a high-quality motion picture is needed. The result was reached by evaluating the criteria of speed (frames per second delivered) and resolution. Aside from that, size, zoom and pan-tilt capabilities as well as light sensitivity and auto focus play an important role. As in all other areas it became quickly apparent that only industry standard and above can fulfill the requirements.

Summarizing the specifications for the CANON VC-C4 camera will lead to the connection between the camera and the network. Questions answered in the next section are: How to integrate the sensor into the network? How to keep the sensor unattended? How can bandwidth be throttled?

Canon VC-C4 specifications at a glance:

VC-C4 Camera	
Total Pixels:	470,000 (440,000 effective)
Zoom:	16x
Focus distance:	4 to 64mm
F-number:	f/1.4 to 2.8
View angle:	47.5°
Iris control:	Auto iris servo system
Horizontal resolution:	420 TV lines
Vertical resolution:	350 TV lines
Pan range:	+ or - 100°
Pan speed:	1 to 90°/s
Tilt range:	-30° to +90°
Tilt speed:	1 to 70°/s
Power consumption:	12 Watts
Video Outputs:	RCA and S-Video
Control terminals:	RS-232C, 8 pin mini DIN, in and out
Dimensions (WxHxD):	100 x 89.5 x 112 mm
Weight:	440g

Table 2. Canon VC4-C4 Specifications

2. Network Connection

Bandwidth is usually the most valuable asset in the scenario of surveillance. The link back to a Network Operations Center is usually the weakest element in the chain down to the evaluating decision maker. In order to use the available bandwidth at a minimum and only when necessary, any transmission of data needs to be restricted to an absolute minimum. This requirement leads to the idea of sensing an occurrence, processing it, creating an alert and sending this alert across the network. Since the amount of data that an alert consists of is very small, the network is utilized at a minimum, which is a maximum of positive accomplishment. Once an alert reaches the Operations Center, the decision maker can initiate a video on-demand procedure with the purpose of further investigation.

In the context of minimizing data flow across the network, useless data flow can be considered--for example a video stream that is not analyzed or watched by a person for evaluation. In order to preprocess the huge amount of video sensor data before it enters the network, a local platform with adequate processing power is needed.

In order to convert the analog video stream of a camera into an alert that requires almost no bandwidth, the sensed occurrence must be processed on site. This implies that the sensor needs onboard processing power and networking capabilities.

Two approaches can be pursued. The first one processes the video signal with an attached laptop and transmits the alert. On demand, the video stream can be acquired remotely. For that purpose, the market was researched for a simple software solution. The chosen product features motion detection, masking of the camera view, and various alerts as well as storing, uploading, and execution options. The motion detection feature can be used to execute a software agent that issues the alert to a remote server. There it is displayed on a situational awareness screen, which places icons over a map on the geographical locations of the remote platform and the camera. An incoming alert

causes the icon to blink. The icon can be clicked for detailed information. Also, the pan-tilt controls for the CANON VC-C4 camera become available as soon as the video transmission is activated. Figure 2 depicts the architecture that is able to determine connectivity, network utilization, and link quality. These controls allow the adjustment of network utilization and bandwidth.




Figure 2. Architectural Overview of the Situational Awareness

Another approach would be to use a camera that has the processing power integrated and features motion detection and web service. For evaluation, the test bed was extended with two web cams that have broadcast (web service) functionality. The missing motion detection could be realized in the remote network center. The Panasonic KX-HCM230 outdoor surveillance camera was found to be suitable for its rugged design and the built-in web server as well as pan-tilt functionality.

Key Features Panasonic KX-HCM230	
Interface Type	LAN
Digital Video Capture Speed	15 frames per second
Compatability	Windows
Still Image Capture Resolution	640 x 480, 320 x 240, 160 x 120
Video Capture Resolution	160 x 120, 320 x 240, 640 x 480

Table 3. Key Features Panasonic KX-HCM230



Figure 3. Panasonic KX-HCM230

Although easy to operate, any web camera will clog the network due to the fact that it is always broadcasting. Once a certain threshold is reached, which is simply limited by the onboard processing power, this maximum broadcast of data is maintained as long as the network allows it. Having perfect network conditions, a continuous network utilization of approximately 1 Mbps was reached. This is very undesirable and not necessary for a fragile long-range wireless airborne network. Also, the minimal buffer capability on board is not suitable to handle a disconnect from the core network for the reason that all data is lost during disconnection.

In conclusion, today's assortment of web cams is not suitable for the planned purpose. The video sensor of choice should always be capable of processing the video stream to an alert with the option of transmitting high-

resolution video on demand only. A buffer at the remote site allows rewinding and conserving of data during times of disconnection. The following pictures show the actual engineered system following the depicted architecture of Figure 2. A screenshot of the situational awareness screen is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 4. Remote Sensing Station with Pan-Tilt Camera




Figure 5. Situational Awareness with Network Status and Video Stream

Note the transparently visible camera controls in the video window. Network awareness is accomplished by displaying the SNMP service data of the node.

3. Summary

Evaluating the possibilities of video sensor integration is important with regard to two factors: first, to create a useful surveillance application for the decision maker and second, to continue to adjust the currently useful, but heavy load for the planned prototype network. Section B reviews the topology, components, and the used type of UAV on the wireless networking side, which is the core part of this research.

B. AIRBORNE NETWORK NODE

1. Network Components

The networking component was approached in the IEEE 802.11b domain. In order to have a robust start and due to commitments to sponsor requirements,

the CISCO AIRONET 350 bridges were primarily evaluated and employed. This chapter breaks down the functional chain into the following sub-components of a wireless network:

- Bridge Radio (ref. CISCO here)
- Amplifier, appliances
- Antenna

Each area has its specific problems and configuration issues, but the main area of problems was identified with antennas. There is a whole own-research area existent that works on antenna design and optimization. Here, the author's experience and the extensive field testing were found to be suitable to find the optimal solution. From the engineering perspective, main effort was put into the need to package a network node and have it operational in an UAV. Long-range performance, weight and power consumption were factors that are usually not considered in a consumer grade network deployment. They find consideration in the chapter NETWORK. The used unmanned aircraft is described in the same chapter in the section UAV.

2. Topology

Due to the fact that the CISCO AIRONET 350 bridges were available for experimentation, the long-range networking was strictly developed in infrastructure mode. Intensive lab and field testing with the CISCO bridges showed that the best choice for the Root Bridge is the mobile, airborne node. The terminology evolves from the CISCO notation, where network components are defined by their roles as follows:

Root Bridge: One bridge in each group of bridges must be set as the root bridge. A root bridge can only communicate with non-root bridges and other client devices and cannot associate with another root bridge.

Non-Root Bridge w/Clients: Use this setting for non-root bridges that will accept associations from client devices, and for bridges acting as repeaters.

Non-root bridges can communicate with other non-root bridges, root bridges, and client devices. Figure 6 shows a root bridge communicating with non-root bridges.




Figure 6. CISCO notation for roles in wireless networks

There are various attributes to be considered when choosing the components for a network in tough environmental conditions. The airborne node in particular has restrictions on power draw, weight, and physical dimensions.

The antennas and their radiation patterns were found to be the most difficult part to determine and choose. It will be shown how these considerations evolved over the evolution of the airborne network component, later referred to as payload.

Following the outlined methodology, the development was staged in generations, where each generation went from the initial idea through a lab setup and a final field test. Lab tests were limited to FCC regulations and constrained by real estate limitations that did not allow for proper testing of ranges. This proved the field tests, which were integrated into the “Surveillance and Target Acquisition Network” (STAN) at Camp Roberts, to be very useful.

3. Airborne Vehicles

a. *Tactically Expendable Remote Navigator (TERN)*

The BAI Aerosystems TERN is a compact, tactical UAV capable of performing a variety of remote sensing, precision dispensing and other aerial robotic missions. TERN is constructed of composite materials and features a high wing design and increased ground clearance, which allows operation from runways and semi-improved surfaces. A 100cc two-stroke, gasoline/oil engine powers the TERN.

TERN employs a global positioning system (GPS) autopilot that controls vehicle heading, altitude, airspeed, and GPS waypoint navigation. A 10-watt video/telemetry microwave datalink transmits real-time imagery and vehicle telemetry back from the aircraft at ranges up to 50km. For STAN6, TERN was equipped with an 802.11b bridge, a 2W amplifier and an omni-directional antenna, mounted in the nose. Figures 7 and 8 provide illustrations of TERN operations. Table 4 provides TERN's specifications.



Figure 7. Balanced TERN with Network Payload in Nose




Figure 8. TERN Dimensions

TERN Specifications	
Stall Speed	45 mph
Max Speed	78 mph
Cruise Speed	52 mph
Operational range (still air)	200 miles
Operational Endurance	4 hours
Ceiling	5000 - 6000 ft.
MGTOW	130 lbs.
Empty Weight	95 lbs.
Maximum Fuel	28 lbs.

Table 4. TERN Specifications

For STAN6, Navy Composite Squadron SIX (VC-6) used the TERN Ground Control Station (GCS) shown in Figure 9. The GCS features a microwave receiver, amplified uplink transmitter and a rugged laptop computer with flight control software. The TERN's typical payload is an infrared (IR) or electro-optical (EO) sensor. For STAN6, the payload was the Generation2 NPS Network package.



Figure 9. TERN GCS

b. Balloon

As a backup and surrogate to the TERN, a 13-ft. diameter balloon capable of 60-lbs of lift was used for initial connectivity and link quality checks. The balloon is an excellent network relay node to test the network. The balloon's payload consists of an 802.11b Cisco bridge with a 5W amplifier and a 6dB gain omni-directional antenna. It also includes a 12-channel GPS Receiver and a 900MHz Freewave transmitter for the GPS broadcast. The payload was powered by two 5390 Li-MnO₂ Batteries. The balloon and its payload are shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10. Balloon and Payload

4. Payload Specifications

Describing the technical specifications of all components in detail is not intended here. The following is an overview to gain a quick oversight and an excerpt of the evolution of the prototypes.

a. Transmitting Power

The CISCO AIRONET 350 bridge being connected to an amplifier which itself is connected to an antenna resembles the functional chain on the radio side. The bridge was used with all generations while the amplifier changed from initially 1 Watt to 2 Watt in Generations 2 and 3. A variety of antennas were tested, considering radiation pattern and gain. The major limitation regarding the antenna on the UAV is the fact that the antenna needs to work without a ground plane. The omni-directional antenna mounted ventrally in figure 7 (generation 2) was found to provide the best range and independence of aircraft attitude, while maintaining a radio link of good quality. Details on the experiments with each generation can be found in the respective sub chapters of IV. "Operational Systems and Evolutions".

b. Power Consumption

The required power was determined by measuring the voltage under load from system boot-up to the point of smooth operation (~3min.). Minimum and maximum values for current were recorded and an average power draw was calculated by interpolating the min and max values for wattage.

Generation 1: average P = 12 Watt

Generation 2: average P = 15 Watt

Generation 3: average P = 20 Watt

c. Endurance

Subsequently the endurance was determined by referring to the battery type and it's specifications below.

Battery: Ultralife Batteries, Inc Type: BA-5390/U



7

Generation 1: 22 hours

Generation 2: 21 hours

Generation 3: 13 hours

Compared to the endurance of the UAV itself (max 4 hours), the battery life is relatively high. This is an excellent opportunity for the follow-on lightweight version to employ a much lighter and smaller battery.

d. Weight and Form Factor

Weight had to follow functionality and therefore was not optimized in this development. As this paper is published, the follow-on work by Dr. Kevin Jones, Naval Postgraduate School, carefully considers weight and form factor. Generation 4 will be down to ~1000g while maintaining the functionality of generation 3. A picture of the preliminary design can be viewed in section D, Outlook and Recommendation.

Generation 1: 2600 grams

Generation 2: 2730 grams

Generation 3: 2900 grams

Generation 4: 1000 grams

e. Picture Gallery

⁷ Available Online www.ultrabatteries.com 08/30/2004



Figure 11. Generation 1 Payload




Figure 12. Generation 2 Payload




Figure 13. Generation 3 Payload

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

IV. OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS AND EVOLUTIONS

A. GENERATION 1 (STAN 5+)

Generation 1 (G1) was the initial test where the basics were learned to handle the CISCO 350 bridges. This painful lesson was learned in preparation and execution of the STAN 5 experiment. It was also found that an experiment definitely needs laboratory testing before going out to the field.

1. Functional Description

The following functional components are needed to establish a basic wireless network:

(Root) Access Point – bridges between LAN and Radio-Link, acts as repeater and access point. In the following referred to as “Bridge”.

Amplifier – amplifies the radio signal

Antenna – radiates the amplified signal into the atmosphere

In addition one needs a power source, various DC voltage converters (DC – DC), switches for cycling the power as well as a suitable wiring harness.

2. Architecture

The architecture for Generation 1 is laid out in Figure 14. Note the omni-directional connection between all nodes.




Figure 14. Generation 1 Architecture

3. Components

Bridge. Since the CISCO bridges (Figure 15) are ruggedized devices for industrial use they were found to be suitable to be used in a rough environment, field tests and onboard unmanned vehicles.



Figure 15. Ruggedized CISCO 350 AIRONET Bridge

Amplifier. The chosen amplifier is a 1 Watt LUXOL amplifier with a working frequency range of 2.4 to 2.5 GHz. The relative wide frequency range

allows for the adjustment of the used channel in the 802.11b domain with no restriction. This proved to be helpful for later deconfliction with other networks.

Antenna. In order to achieve maximum field strength surrounding the airborne node, a unity gain (0dBi) dipole antenna was initially chosen. The rugged design of the antenna and the small form factor allowed testing of various mounting positions and orientations. At that point this was the only antenna available that did not require a ground plane. Another reason to favor the unity gain antenna was its perfectly circular radiation pattern, which promised total independence of aircraft attitude (e.g. banking).

Reasoning for antenna choice:

The gain of the antenna affects the way the signal is distributed. It is not possible to create more power from the transmitter by adding a higher gain antenna, however it is possible to send the signal to where it most counts. As long as the mobile antenna is not electronically or electromechanically controlled towards the emitter, it has to be omni directional, which means it radiates the signal 360 degrees around the antenna. The radiation pattern of the antenna describes how that signal is distributed in the 360° fashion. Figure 16 shows the side-on view of the radiation pattern of the signal from a unity gain antenna.




Figure 16. Unity Gain Antenna 0 dB

The two circles represent the side view of a large doughnut shaped radiation pattern. From this shape it can be seen that the signal is spread evenly upwards, downwards and sideward. This shape of radiation pattern suits an environment where many hills and dips are present and need to be covered. The signal is spread in all directions, meaning there is a better chance to reach a remote site from the bottom of a dip or from behind a building.

As the design of the antenna is modified and the gain increased, the doughnut-shaped radiation pattern is squashed flat. Figure 17 shows how the gain (the higher the more) flattens the omni directional radiation pattern and therefore increases the range.




Figure 17. Radiation Pattern for 0, 3 and 6 dB

The above diagram compares a Unity gain or 0dB antenna with 3 and 6 dB radiation patterns. It shows clearly that it is possible to communicate with a remote site further away.⁸

Connectors and Cables. Typically on amplifiers and antennas, N-Type connectors are standard. The bridge itself has a reverse SMC antenna output connector. In order to minimize the loss of roughly 0.3 dB per connector, the goal must always be to minimize the number of adapters and the total length of the transmission line between bridge output and antenna. In order to reduce the weight, one might consider using the mc or mmcx connectors, shown in Figure 18.

⁸ Benchoam, David BE (EE); Cellular Antennas, 2004



Figure 18. Various Antenna Connectors⁹

4. Test Description

The initial testing was conducted in the GigaLab for basic configuration and then in the proximity of the Naval Postgraduate School for range testing. For that purpose one bridge/amplifier/antenna set was installed on the roof of Spanagel Hall (25m height), while the mobile set was mounted in/on a vehicle. A handheld GPS device allowed the accurate determination of the distances and elevations. The following table shows the achieved ranges and data rates.

⁹ Available Online http://www.wlanantennas.com/antenna_connectors.htm; 08/30/2004

Distance [m]	Elevation [m]	line of sight	link up	avg. latency w/o load [ms]	avg. latency w/ load [ms]	link quality [kbps]
3400	190	Yes	constantly	unknown	unknown	1000
3500	260	Yes	constantly	unknown	unknown	1000
3400	300	Yes	constantly	30	unknown	1000
4400	100	Yes	constantly	24	630	730
4300	30	Yes	constantly	35	559	300

Table 5. Distance, Elevation and Link Speed

The data is considered to be reproducible and useful; it clearly shows the degradation of the link quality over distance.

After installation of the payload onboard the NPS-owned UAV TERN, a test flight for connectivity and range determination was undertaken at Camp Roberts, CA.

Unfortunately, the experiment was limited to a single flight, which disallowed systematic data acquisition. Follow-on experiments, with the balloon as surrogate and equipped with identical payload, allowed for the determination of a maximum distance with good link conditions of 4.72km (altitude 550m). The link speed varied from 170 kbps up to 217 kbps.

The major finding during the experiment was that a higher-gain antenna would help to increase range without degrading the link quality due to the quickly changing attitude of the aircraft.

B. GENERATION 2 (STAN 6)

1. Evolution

Generation 1 proved the concept of an airborne network node to be useful and doable but achieved ranges were not satisfactory. The reason for that is clearly identified in the low gain of the omni-directional unity gain antenna in combination with the 1-watt amplification. With this in mind, and recognizing the opportunity to use a prototype antenna with high gain, amplification and tracking capability, the idea for Generation 2 (G2) unfolded as follows:

From G1 to G2, the overall architecture of the airborne node system was not changed. The significant change came with the implementation of the tracking antenna. This antenna, referred to as K2 (Figure 19), is a prototype, manufactured by Sierra Nevada Corporation. It not only acts physically as the receiving antenna, but also provides a variety of functions in addition to support the tracking process. The functional architecture of this system may be depicted as shown in Figure 20:



Figure 19. K2 system with Battery Pack and Laptop




Figure 20. High Level Architecture of K2 Tracking Antenna

2. Functional Description

In order to have a reference to the UAV's location, the location of the K2 is derived from the GPS data provided by the GPS Receiver. Together with the received location of the UAV via multicast message over the LAN, the processing unit (PC-104) can determine the control data for the Servo Controller. This pan-tilt servo controller then physically aligns the patch antenna towards the UAV in terms of correct bearing and azimuth. In combination with the 5-Watt amplifier between the bridge and the antenna, this setup allows long-range connections far beyond common ranges (and FCC regulations), since the patch antenna is radiating the highly amplified signal precisely in the direction of the UAV.

Obviously, the UAV should never lose the line-of-sight to the K2 antenna, since re-establishing the link is very difficult due to the fact that the UAV's geo location is acquired via the 802.11b link. In case of 5 missing multicast messages (equivalent to 5 seconds), the antenna switches to a scanning mode that follows a certain search pattern in order to re-acquire the 802.11b signal from the UAV. In addition to this, another inherent disadvantage of this functionality is on the tactical side, where the UAVs takeoff location is covered and/or unknown. The K2 antenna system as it is currently engineered by Sierra Nevada Corporation does not allow fast and guaranteed signal acquisition. But most tactical scenarios do require the independence of the UAV's 2.4 GHz signal acquisition.




Figure 21. Architecture Overview for 2.4 GHz independence

This disadvantage was overcome by the implementation of the independence of the 802.11b link to acquire the geo location of the UAV. This was achieved by transmitting the onboard-derived GPS data via a separate 900MHz radio link. The remotely received GPS data was then made available by the same technique as before, using multicast messages with a frequency of one Hertz. Figure 21 depicts the integrated GPS downlink capability, which allows acquisition of the network, independent of the 2.4 GHz signal.

The Generation 2 payload was ready to be tested on both a balloon and a TERN UAV during the STAN 6 experiments at Camp Roberts from 02 to 05 May 2004. The following objectives drove the experiment:

1. Create a long-range network with airborne node
2. Integrate the long-range network into the Network Operations Center (NOC)
3. Find limitations and disadvantages of the airborne network

The test plan was structured as follows:

1. Test surrogate airborne node (balloon) for short (2km), medium (7km) and long range (12km)
2. Run Interference Exclusion Test with TERN taxiing on runway for safety reasons
3. Test tracking accuracy and link quality with TERN airborne
4. Find maximum range, K2 to TERN
5. Final test
6. Integrate ARIES (unmanned submarine) as second hop
7. Test for maximum range between ARIES and TERN (both omni antennas; 2-watt amplifiers); Have TERN take-off location different than K2 and Network Operations Center

Following the test plan, the first test phase took place in the vicinity of Lake Nacimiento with the three iterations of range extension.

3. Generation 2 Experiment

Table 6 provides a list of the established network nodes. Figure 22 illustrates the Surveillance and Target Acquisition Network (STAN), including the 2.4GHz 802.11b data links and the 900MHz Freewave GPS data link.

Node Name	IP Address	Description
Blue Tracking Unit	192.168.1.34	PC-104 in the K2
Blue Multicast Server	192.168.1.37	Provides broadcast of the a/c GPS position
Blue K2	192.168.1.72	802.11 bridge receiving data from K2 directional antenna
Blue TERN	192.168.1.74	not used
Blue Balloon	192.168.1.75	802.11 bridge in communications relay b
Blue ARIES (bridge)	192.168.1.76	802.11 bridge in ARIES vehicle
Blue Whaler	192.168.1.77	802.11 bridge onboard Whaler (ARIES host platform)
Blue PC104	192.168.1.78	ARIES Computer
Whaler Laptop	192.168.1.80	Laptop computer onboard Whaler
Blue mini-NOC	192.168.1.82-84	Laptops acting as mini-NOC receiving files from ARIES and used for management and performance assessment

Table 6. STAN Network Nodes




Figure 22. Generation 2 Network Architecture

4. Test Description and Results

Objectives during STAN6 were:

- Demonstrate high-bandwidth links for sonar and/or video file transfer between the unmanned vehicles ARIES AUV and TERN UAV, and the K2 located at Tactical Operations Center (Mini-NOC)
- Demonstrate 802.11b network links for AUV and UAV assets over distances greater than 4km at 200-300 kbps.
- Demonstrate the independence of the Mini-NOC from the Ground Control Station of the TERN and acquire connectivity “on the fly”

The operational requirements for developing this technology are stated as:

- Robust communications for command and control and data transfer are required for the warfighter deployed in an area. Autonomous Unmanned Vehicles can be used to scout and report on oceanographic conditions and the mine threat as well as serving as network nodes.
- Collected data is voluminous and requires a high bandwidth data link
- Data needs to be collected and distributed quickly for rapid operational planning
- Not all vehicles may return from missions – the data still needs to be collected.

The solution offered by this technology provides unmanned systems with high bandwidth communications (currently 802.11b) using a UAV as a bridge between an AUV and a command cell located some distance from the AUV.

The remainder of this section will provide a description of the tests and results.

Pre-Exercise Events.

ARIES was successfully ballasted and the ARIES Team completed a functional testing of subsystems. At that time, ARIES could not be controlled remotely. The 802.11b bridge was up and running.

The balloon was deployed and readied on top of Nacimiento hill which is close to Nacimiento Lake. This location allowed a maximum altitude of approx. 200m above the lake level.

Assembly of the TERN was completed and readied for a test flight. On Monday, 06 May the VC-6 Team balanced the TERN and conducted an initial test flight.

Exercise Results. Tests were conducted on 04 and 05 May to determine the range of the 802.11b link. Assessments of file transfer rates of various network configurations were also completed. These tests are described below.

Range Tests. On 04 May, tests were conducted between the network nodes to determine the range of the 802.11b data link.

Range Test One. Moving the location of the mini-NOC and K2 on a boat to the west on Lake Nacimiento varied the range of the network. On 04 May, a maximum three-node-link range of 7.45km was achieved between the K2 and the balloon flown from a hilltop. The distance between the balloon and ARIES was 1.98km. The tests were conducted between 1000hrs and 1400hrs Local. The weather was extremely dry and hot. Figure 23 illustrates the test geography.



Figure 23. Lake Nacimiento with Network Nodes



Figure 25. Mini-NOC and K2 at McMillan Air Field

Tracking Test Two. Upon completion of Tracking Test One, VC-6 deployed to Tower Road, Camp Roberts. The Mini-NOC with K2 stayed at McMillan air field while ARIES, located in Lake Nacimiento, was readied to join the network. Figure 26 gives an overview of the locations. Green arrows indicate stable connectivity between the network nodes. The red arrow shows the flight path.



Figure 26. Final Tracking Test ARIES – TERN – K2

Shortly after TERN's takeoff the GPS multicast messages were received by the K2. The antenna initialized the tracking and connectivity with TERN was established at a range of ~6.5km. TERN then climbed and proceeded towards ARIES' position. ARIES was acquired by the Mini-NOC when TERN was approximately 5km from ARIES. It was observed that the link was not continuously stable due to the loss of line of sight between ARIES and TERN. Figure 27 shows the Mini-NOC network monitor indicating ARIES being dropped out but all other nodes connected. The upper left pane shows concurrent reach-back connectivity into the main Network Operations Center.




Figure 27. Network Monitor at Mini-NOC

At that time the difficulty of managing the airborne node (altitude and location) back at the Mini-NOC was discovered. Shortly before the landing, the power connector of TERN's 802.11b amplifier fell off and disconnected all other nodes early.

The TERN's front landing gear broke during the landing at Tower Road and the aircraft flipped over. Repairs were not possible during the experiment and the UAV could not be used any further.

5. Summary

The Aries/Tern mission was a qualified success. The mission demonstrated the ability to create a stable 802.11b network with three nodes with

a total length of 14.5km. Data files were moved along the network at file transfer rates ranging at a maximum of 800 kbps down to 300 kbps at maximum node separation.

The acquisition and tracking capability of the K2 was demonstrated independent and apart from the GCS of the TERN. The Mini-NOC was able to acquire TERN at any given location or time as long as the nodes were in line-of-sight. This is considered to be a milestone in the development work accomplished so far.

The low reliability of the TERN UAV was an important factor. Although rugged and designed for military applications, availability was always below expectations.

Regarding the network, future development will try to achieve higher bandwidth as well as develop a network management and awareness model for airborne network nodes.

C. GENERATION 3 (CAMP LEJEUNE, NC)

1. Evolution

Generation 3 was focused on developing a UAV awareness tool in order to facilitate guidance for the UAV pilot. Therefore, the networking part of the payload was not modified but a video transmitter (PELCO) was added. This transmitter converts and compresses an analog video signal into a TCP/IP packet stream. This packet stream is injected into the 802.11b network and received at the network center. There, a receiver decompresses and displays the video. Lab test in advance of the experiment showed adjustable, moderate network load from 85kbps up to 1Mbps depending linear to the video quality.

The goal was to display the live video from the onboard forward-looking lipstick camera of the UAV in combination with a map that showed an icon of TERN's geographic location, course, speed, and altitude. A facilitator would then be able to communicate on a voice line to the pilot's coordinator where the UAV was to be relocated in order to maintain connectivity.

2. Test Description and Results

Table 7 provides a list of the CJTFEX 04-2 network nodes. Figure 28 illustrates the CJTFEX 04-2 network, including the 2.4GHz 802.11b data links and the 900MHz Freewave GPS data link.

Node Name	IP Address	Description
Blue Command Post	192.168.1.71	not used
Blue K2	192.168.1.72	802.11 bridge receiving data from K2 directional antenna
Blue TERN	192.168.1.74	not used
Blue Balloon	192.168.1.75	802.11 bridge in communications relay b
Blue ARIES (bridge)	192.168.1.76	802.11 bridge in ARIES vehicle
Blue Whaler	192.168.1.77	802.11 bridge onboard Whaler (ARIES host platform)
Blue PC104	192.168.1.78	ARIES Computer
Whaler Laptop	192.168.1.80	Laptop computer onboard Whaler
Blue mini-NOC	192.168.1.82	Laptop acting as mini-NOC receiving files from ARIES

Table 7. CJTFEX Network Nodes




Figure 28. CJTFEX Network Architecture

Objectives

Demonstrate high bandwidth links for sonar and/or video file transfer between the ARIES AUV, the TERN UAV and the K2 Tactical Operations Center

Demonstrate 802.11b network links for AUV and UAV assets over distances greater than 4km at 200-300 kbps.

The operational requirements for developing generation 3 are:

- Robust communications for command and control and data transfer are required for teams of vehicles deployed in an area to scout and report on oceanographic conditions and the mine threat.
- Collected data is voluminous and requires a high bandwidth data link.

- Data needs to be collected and distributed quickly for rapid operational planning.
- Not all vehicles may return from missions – the data still needs to be collected.

The solution offered by Generation 3 provides unmanned systems with high bandwidth communications (currently 802.11b) using a UAV as a bridge between an AUV and a command cell located some distance from the AUV. The technology also automates the path of the UAV to optimize the link between the groups of vehicles.

The remainder of this section will provide a description of the tests and results.

Pre-Exercise Events

On Thursday, 05 June, the ARIES was successfully ballasted and the NPS Team completed a functional testing of subsystems. Assembly of the TERN was completed and it was readied for a test flight. On Friday, 04 June, the NPS Team conducted a tow test of ARIES using Guard Boat Four. Maximum tow speed was six knots, though higher tow speeds were eventually used (up to 7 knots). On Saturday, 05 June, the TERN crashed during its first test flight (see Figure 29). Due to the TERN crash, the balloon acted as a surrogate UAV during the entire exercise.



Figure 29. TERN Crash

Exercise Results. Tests were conducted on 07 and 09 June to determine the maximum range of the 802.11b link. Tests were conducted on 06 and 08 June to determine the file transfer rates of various network configurations. These tests are described below.

Maximum Range Tests. On 07 and 09 June, tests were conducted between the network nodes to determine the maximum range of the 802.11b data link.

Maximum Range Test One. Moving the location of the mini-NOC and K2 to the south along the North Carolina shoreline varied the range of the network. On 07 June, a maximum two-node link range of 18.9km was achieved between the K2 at North Topsail Beach and the balloon flown from near the South Tower at Onslow Beach. The tests were conducted between 1315hrs and 1400hrs Local and the weather was extremely humid and hazy. The K2's position was 34°27.652'N, 077°29.082'W and the balloon's position was 34°32.792'N, 077°18.375'W. Collected data and screenshots are provided in Appendix A. Figure 30 illustrates the test network and Figure 31 shows the test geography.




Figure 30. Maximum Range Test 1 and 2 Network




Figure 31. Maximum Range Test 1 Geography

Maximum Range Test Two. On 09 June, the Maximum Range Test of 07 June was repeated, using the network as shown in Figure 30. The weather was less humid and hazy. First, a maximum link range was obtained with the mini-NOC and K2 at North Scotch Bonnet Beach, approximately 19.9km from the balloon at South Tower, as shown in Figure 32. During these tests it was observed that the manually set position of the K2 was critical for link quality. Small changes in both bearing and azimuth produced significant changes in link quality.




Figure 32. Maximum Range Test 2 Geography

Maximum Range Test Three. After the maximum K2 range was established, the next step was to discover the maximum range of a three-node 802.11b network between the mini-NOC K2 and the Whaler, with the balloon acting as a network communications relay (see Figure 33). The K2 was moved in to the North Topsail Beach location (34°27.650'N, 077°29.083'W) at a range of 18.9km from the balloon at South Tower. This range was the maximum distance where a stable link could be established on this day between the K2 and the balloon. The Whaler then took position at 2.5km seaward from the balloon. A network link was successfully established and a set of three ARIES video files was transferred from the Whaler laptop to the mini-NOC laptop using Microsoft

Windows file sharing (drag and drop between Windows folders). The file transfer time was measured and the file transfer rate in kbps was calculated for each run. The test continued with the Whaler increasing its range to seaward from the balloon in approximate 1-km increments. The maximum 802.11b link range between the Whaler and balloon was 8.0km as shown in Figure 34.




Figure 33. Maximum Range Test 3 Network

This test resulted in a maximum three-node link range of 26.9km from the mini-NOC K2 to the Whaler using the balloon as an airborne relay node. File transfer rates at this maximum range averaged 160kbps for the three runs. It is anticipated that the link range and file transfer rates were limited by the hazy and humid atmospheric conditions and also by the 1000-ft. maximum elevation of the balloon. Also, there was limited time to optimize the various settings of the Cisco

802.11 bridges, so the settings may have been sub-optimized for this particular situation. Further testing is planned and ranges beyond what was obtained in this test should be possible, although the 26.9km range obtained during CJTFEX 04-2 greatly exceeded expectations.




Figure 34. Maximum Range Test 3 Results

Data Transfer Tests. On 06 and 08 June, tests were conducted between the mini-NOC K2 and the ARIES to determine file transfer rates over the 802.11b data link.

Data Transfer Test One. The first set of tests on 06 June verified the ability of the network to transfer files at short ranges. The Whaler and ARIES were located in Mile Hammock Bay, just off Landing Zone (LZ) Bluebird. The mini-NOC with K2 was located at LZ Bluebird several hundred meters from the Whaler. Both nodes were approximately 2km from the balloon at South Tower, which was at approximately 187m altitude above mean sea level (MSL). The K2 operator then retrieved ARIES video files (2.796MB and 7.657MB in size) from the PC104 Data Acquisition Computer onboard ARIES using Windows file sharing. An average file transfer speed of 350kbps was achieved. The network was as depicted in Figure 33.

Data Transfer Test Two. The second set of tests on 06 June extended the range of the mini-NOC K2 from the balloon out to the parking lot outside the LWTC, approximately 15.5km from the balloon. The balloon altitude was also raised to 342m. The network was as depicted in Figure 33. The test geography is shown in Figure 35.




Figure 35. Data Transfer Test 2 and 3 Geography

During this test it was observed that moving the K2 from LZ Bluebird to the LWTC increased the link range. A 72.6% increase in average file transfer rate occurred (average of 604kbps vs. 350kbps). This is due to the radiation pattern of the antenna used on the balloon, which disallows good signal quality right below the balloon (see also figure 17, radiation patterns).

While the balloon was being lowered, link degradation was observed. At 150m altitude, a solid link was still observed. At 100m altitude, a 3% packet loss was observed. By the time the balloon reached 77m, a rapid increase in packet loss was observed. At 65m the link was lost.

Data Transfer Test Three. The third set of data transfer tests were conducted on 08 June. Because of a fault in the ARIES, files could not be retrieved from the ARIES computer, so the Whaler laptop was used as a surrogate. The test consisted of the mini-NOC K2 node at the LWTC parking lot, the balloon at South Tower and the Whaler in New River, as shown in Figure 35. It was observed that the Freewave link was lost when helicopters flew over the mini-NOC site, probably due to some RF transmissions that interfered with the 900MHZ Freewave link. The link would be restored after the helicopter passed.

Also during this test, a streaming video test was conducted. A 7.657MB video file was executed on the Whaler laptop from the mini-NOC laptop using Windows file sharing. The video file was played on the mini-NOC laptop over a period of 168 seconds, although the native file real-time length was much less. The video seemed to play continuously, although slower than expected.

Post-Exercise Events. Thursday, 10 June was spent on exercise debriefs at the Littoral Warfare Training Center (LWTC) and at an exhibit of exercise systems at Courthouse Bay.

3. Summary

The NPS Aries/Tern mission was a qualified success. The mission demonstrated the ability to create a stable 802.11b network with three nodes with a total length of 27km. Data files were moved along the network at file transfer rates ranging at a maximum of 800 kbps down to 160 kbps at maximum node separation (max range).

As stated in Section 1.0, the primary objective of these experiments was to assess the military utility and application of participating systems in a real-world exercise environment. It appears that 802.11b technology does represent one means of providing a high bandwidth (200 to 800kbps) link between autonomous and unmanned vehicles and other network nodes using standard Windows networking. The architecture is relatively simple to set up, uses COTS components, and is relatively secure. A significant drawback is that 802.11 can easily be jammed.

The secondary objective was to collect information to evaluate how these systems can be better integrated onboard the military host platforms. Because of the relatively small and lightweight components involved, this 802.11b data architecture could fairly easily be integrated into existing and future UAVs and AUVs. The biggest weight concern comes from the batteries. The length of mission operating time required dictates the size and weight of the batteries. The command part of the system should easily be integrated into a Modular Mission Package (MMP) design, though this was not investigated as part of this exercise.

The third and final objective was to collect information to enable an assessment of individual system performance, thereby marking development progress and capability using standard measures of performance and effectiveness.

Hardware problems, including the crash of the TERN AUV and the failure of the ARIES DVR, prevented the mission from demonstrating all of the functionality intended for the exercise. The TERN aircraft would have enabled a demonstration of greater network ranges due to its ability to fly higher than the balloon. The presence of the TERN also would have enabled the demonstration of the K2 unit's tracking mode, a significant feature. The absence of the ARIES digital video recorder (DVR) prevented only the demonstration of the capability of the system to obtain underwater video imagery and then transfer stored video to ARIES' PC104 computer. Pre-stored video files were transferred along the network instead.

Despite the hardware issues, the NPS experiment package successfully built upon prior experiments and demonstrated a reliable, secure and robust network connecting UUV platforms with the mini-NOC/TOC at the K2. This network allows COTS functionality and support and permits the operator to access real-time sensor data in remote locations. Like many COTS wireless applications, the use of the commonly available 802.11b spectrum is subject to jamming or unintentional interference and provides the greatest use in connecting sensors in remote locations. However, 802.11b technology is a

viable and effective means of transferring large files and streaming data feeds and is well suited for unmanned and autonomous vehicles. Future testing, most immediately at the STAN 7 experiments set for 19-24 August 2004 at Camp Roberts, California, will provide an opportunity to demonstrate these capabilities and continue expanding the network capabilities. Future demonstrations would include additional network nodes, multiple sensor output formats, greater network ranges and optimization of 802.11b link settings. It is also recommended that newer technologies such as 802.11g (54Mbps) and Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) be researched.¹⁰

Finally, the utilization of an alternative aircraft and optimization of the hardware with regard to weight and power draw are recommended research goals for future generation payloads (STAN 7).

¹⁰ Marshall & Valdes, Office for Naval Research, 2004

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

V. NETWORK MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING

A. NETWORK MANAGEMENT

This chapter embraces the area of network discovery, monitoring and management. The split among these three subcomponents follows the sequence of how networks are established and maintained. The reader can also find a description of the evolved, most useful and compact version of the network operations center for managing and maintaining the airborne unmanned aerial vehicle network.

1. Network Discovery

Network discovery starts at the point where the operator(s) thinks the setup is complete. For the inherited complexity of wireless networks and the limited capability to fix things “on the fly”, careful measures have to be taken to ensure that everything is in place and working.

Initial connectivity checks can be performed with simple pings or--better and more conveniently--with a discovery tool like Solarwinds. Figure 36 shows the expanded BLUE MININOC-1 node with the active network interface “ORINOCO 802.11g”.




Figure 36. Solarwinds Network Browser

The shown network browser also allows the investigation of the node's properties when the simple network management protocol is enabled on that specific machine. It was found very useful to identify the network node properly in order to verify that all planned connections were enabled. For security reasons, the community string was set to a very uncommon word in order to deny network mapping to an assumed adversary.

A wireless network can be physically detected with simple free software tool like Netstumbler, which displays the signal-to-noise ratio versus geographic location including a time stamp. It is extremely useful to detect the remaining signal strength of a known network for the purpose of maximizing range and optimizing

link quality. For that to happen, one would connect the used antenna and amplifier to the data-reading wireless card and, as a result, the S/N ratio and a possible GPS location would be recorded. Figure 37 shows Netstumbler while recording.




Figure 37. Netstumbler Displaying Signal Strength vs. Time and Geo Location

An alternative to Netstumbler is the AIRONET 350 client software installed on the Tactcomp from INTER-4 which allows site-survey for link quality and field strength. The Tactcomp (Figure 38) is a rugged, military-version PDA, with integrated GPS and amplified 802.11b client radio. Although much more convenient in the field, a mapping of the GPS location versus the signal strength

cannot be easily accomplished. The Tacticomp was mainly used for a quick look at network quality and field strength or to simply ping another node.



Figure 38. Tacticomp for Network Discovery

2. Network Maintenance and Management

Once all network nodes are discovered, the network operator switches to maintenance mode. This entails continuous monitoring of link quality in order to detect possible interruptions. A key element is the Simple Network Management Protocol which allows the monitoring of the exact throughput of every single node. Throughput degradation is the first indicator of network failure and needs careful attention. Figure 39 shows Solarwinds monitoring a network node during file transfer.




Figure 39. Solarwinds monitoring 192.168.1.77 during file transfer

In case network failure is detected, the operator will try to reestablish the connection by remote adjustment of the last working piece of equipment in the network chain. If remote adjustment is unsuccessful, a mobile node needs to go out to the equipment suspected of having failed. This method is fully valid for static network nodes but of only limited applicability to mobile nodes, since the reason for failure is very often the loss of line-of-sight between the communicating nodes.

An in-depth explanation of the problem and a possible solution is described in section B, UAV Awareness and Management.

3. Network Operations Center versus Mobile (Mini) NOC

In order to execute the actual network monitoring and management, a network operations center needs to be set up and operational. Screens for network discovery, monitoring nodes for throughput, processor load and other technical data is possible using SNMP. A relatively large center as shown in figure 40 has the opportunity to allow room and displays for tactical operations and decision support. The limit of functionality is set by available space and equipment.



Figure 40. Network Operations Center for STAN experiments

The disadvantage of this type of installation is that it cannot move; its location is fixed. Especially for remote operations where the far end is only temporarily connected through the UAV, a downsized, portable network operations center is needed. For that purpose, the views used in the big operations center were adjusted and rearranged in such a way that they fit laptop screens and do not rely on landline power. Figure 41 shows the solution in the back of a SUV, allowing the discovery, monitoring and management of the UAV network node and the interconnected backbone.



Figure 41. Mobile Network Operations Center for UAV Network

Guidance of the UAV for the purpose of network maintenance was first learned at that time. UAV awareness for the network operator, as shown in figure 41, was executed via voice and was immediately recognized as inefficient. The identified need is partially implemented in Generation 3 but definitely an area for further research. The experienced difficulties of managing and facilitating a network, especially with an airborne node, are discussed in the following section.

B. UAV AWARENESS AND MANAGEMENT

The perspective from the viewpoint of the network operator or, respectively, the facilitator, allows particularly clear insight into identifying the problem area of managing a UAV network node.

One of the major objectives at STAN 6 was to test the long-haul airborne network from the NOC to the AIRES AUV in Lake Nacimiento, approximately 12 km away. The TERN UAV acted as a LOS relay between the two locations. Reachback from the AIRES to the TERN to the NOC was through a wireless 802.11b network. GPS data on the UAV fed through a 900 MHz radio network

back to the NOC, and was displayed on a laptop. In addition to the performance, configuration, and fault input available to the facilitator, the GPS input was vital to knowing the location of the TERN. Connectivity from the NOC to the TERN was strong and stable due to clear LOS. However, the LOS between the AIRES and the TERN remained a problem. Feedback from the NOC operators informed the facilitator that as the TERN circled its flight path, the AIRES connection was consistently lost in the same arc of the path. Using maps and GPS to determine where the TERN was flying, the facilitator realized that the lost connectivity was due to a large hill obstructing the LOS between the AIRES and the TERN. Figure 42 is the SolarWinds Network Monitor view of the network; it informed the facilitator that the AIRES node dropped off the network and could not reach back to the NOC.

This fault management view of the airborne network showed that the bridge and CPU in the AIRES were both down. The performance management graph in Figure 42 pertains to the throughput in and out of the TERN. The top line in the graph shows that data was flowing out of the aerial node to the NOC because a connection was established between the two. But there was no connection between the AIRES and the TERN, which is indicated by the bottom line showing negligible throughput coming into the aerial node. The screen shot was taken when the hill obstructed the LOS between the AIRES and TERN. After assessing the network situation, the facilitator made a decision to fly the TERN higher, so the hill was no longer an obstruction. If there were uncertainty about how high the UAV should fly, the pilot could test its flight path one full revolution to see if the connectivity is stable.




Figure 42. UAV Input Before Action

The network monitor (Figure 43) shows that the connection to AIRES was reestablished with minimal packet loss. Reach-back to the NOC was tested with a file transfer originating from the AIRES. The performance management graph shows that the throughput lines in and out of the TERN are virtually identical, which indicates solid connectivity and successful file transfer.



Figure 43. UAV Input After Action

The identified difficulties led to a significant improvement in the awareness of the aircraft's status and ability to maintain network performance in the follow-on Generation 3. The idea is that the detached small-network operations center (mini-NOC) needs to be able to receive a live video feed. This greatly enhances the awareness of the status of the UAV as well as allowing the depiction of the UAV's location in conjunction with the GPS data. In particular, a 3-D application using terrain data allows the determination of whether line of sight between the K2 and the UAV is given or not. By the time this thesis was completed, no suitable application was discovered that would serve the envisioned purpose. Figure 44 shows a possibility for displaying the profile between network nodes in a static manner. If the terrain profile could be updated in real-time, it would allow assessing the line of sight at any given moment in time as long as the GPS data

is received. In the shown example, the red line (between TERN and ARIES) would indicate a broken link due to the loss of line-of-sight.




Figure 44. Static terrain profile between network nodes

The actual embedded awareness for Generation 3 included navigation software that allows display of the UAV's location in 2D. The data is acquired from the same free-wave link that is used to generate the multicast GPS messages that direct the K2 antenna to point towards the UAV's position. A status window displays speed, heading and the position in Lon/Lat. This data in combination with the live video feed of the forward looking camera of the UAV allows real time observation of the movements of the UAV (e.g. banking, nose diving etc.) These movements were observed to degrade the network link when exceeding angles of ~50 degrees, although no quantitative experimental analysis was conducted.

Due to that fact, UAV awareness was prioritized for Generation 3. Tested on the balloon only, but fully valid and useful for any mobile airborne node, the display of the UAV awareness for the network operator is shown in figure 45.




Figure 45. UAV Awareness for the Network Operator

An icon for the location of the UAV is placed over a map of the geographical area. The status window shows geographical position, course, speed and altitude of the UAV. The command line window shows raw GPS data coming in via the 900MHz freewave in order to determine the quality of the freewave link. In addition, the live video feed from the UAV's camera will allow for the continuous assessment of the overall movement of the aircraft, which might also be a reason for link quality degradation.

Once a link failure is detected, the network operator is able to determine excessive range or loss of line of sight as a possible reason and redirect the UAV via a voice channel to the pilot's supervisor. An envisioned system for warning the pilot directly would check whether the geometric line between the tracking antenna and the UAV "hits" the terrain. An adjustable conical shape of that line with it's origin at the antenna could warn the pilot directly. An integration of this warning functionality into the mission planning system for the UAV would allow for consideration of the LOS issue ahead of time. While this thesis is published,

Dr. Wolfgang Baer and the author are working on the realization of this system named Signal & Network Attenuation Predictor (SNAP). The only inputs this system will need are the geographical locations of the UAV and the antenna. The visualization of the LOS, the moving icon of the UAV and the perspective 3-D terrain view are derived from the terrain data stored on the processing platform. Figure 46 shows a red cone originating at the antenna and pointing toward the UAV located in the center of the black elliptic circle. The blue area indicates the terrain that might prevent proper network operations. The pilot could react on this prediction by increasing the altitude and the warning would disappear. The envisioned system would enable the UAV pilot to maintain link quality without any third-party communications. Testing of the prototype is planned during the STAN 7 experiment in August 2004.




Figure 46. Envisioned Display of the Signal & Network Attenuation Prediction System

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

VI. OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. APPLICATIONS, FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, AND MOBILITY

The ability to track and connect to a highly mobile node opens many new applications that are today constrained by the weak omni-directional connection. An example for a reasonable future application could be SPAWAR's URBOT. The robot could be controlled through the UAV network node. The reach would be significantly enlarged. Multiple applications in the military field immediately come to mind, but are not limited to this particular field. Homeland security and border control are additional fields where surveillance is key. Either the highly mobile UAV or the more static but ubiquitous balloon could be used to cover large areas with a wireless network.

The fact that the K2 system as it is today is limited to the support from the Sierra Nevada Corporation should be pressure enough to strive for independence. Further innovation should be the goal for future developments and therefore the independent development of a tracking unit is strongly recommended. The future system should have antenna mobility considered as an integral part from the start. Mobility here means compensation of platform movements (pitch and roll) as well as compensation of changing course and speed.

Miniaturizing and building a lightweight version of the payload for the UAV would allow for the employment of lighter and smaller UAVs, which therefore could be much cheaper and more expendable than the TERN. A symbiotic knowledge exchange between Dr. Kevin Jones, Naval Postgraduate School and the author allowed the development of a lightweight version with the same functionality as Generation 2 (no video feed). As of today, Generation 4 seems to become operational in August 2004 with a total weight of 570g excluding the battery pack.



Figure 47. Generation 4 Light Weight Network Payload

B. LINK MODELING

A system that would deliver real-time link modeling and simulation, considering terrain contours, LOS, atmospheric influence (refraction, absorption), and the mobility of the airborne network node is wishful thinking. A possible start for research could be to develop a method that uses the physical antenna in combination with a virtual UAV, which itself could be modeled and simulated with software. This would eliminate the variables that come with any UAV, which are low availability at relatively high cost. The envisioned simulation could deliver location and terrain profile data while employing link budget software to evaluate the link quality and range.

C. EXTRO

The concept of putting an 802.11b infrastructure node on board of an unmanned aerial vehicle proves to be valid and is very promising for future experimentation. Also, the evolutionary development of prototypes of payloads revealed many insights on the management side of the UAV/Network symbiosis.


The methodology of undertaking lab testing first and then bringing a fine tuned, more mature system to the field experiments appears to be an absolute must for implementing cutting edge COTS technology.

Many other facets of the specific research area Network/UAV which could not be covered in this thesis hopefully finds consideration in future graduate thesis work at the Naval Postgraduate School.


THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX

A. ENGINEER'S NOTEBOOK



STAN 5 - First Steps in Long-range networking



mobile hoc

~~3000~~
~~4108.99~~

~~3000~~

~~741~~
~~€ 148 - 1 \$ 600~~
~~W. unerschlekt~~

also yagi (14.5 lbs)
pointing at Pie Balloon
2 clicks (km) away.

LONG RANGE NETWORKING FIELD TEST I


16.03.2004



Seaside 4.4 km




Jack's Peak 3.3 km



Sand City 4.3 km




View from Spanagel towards Seaside



View from Spanagel towards bay

Network MAP:



FOUNDRY NETWORKS

DATA Field Test I :

- mobility possible
- good ranges for ARIES

Distance [m]	Elevation [m]	line of sight	avg. latency w/o load [ms]	avg. latency w/ load [ms]	link quality [kbps]
600	6	no	unknown	unknown	> 1000
1200	30	trees	unknown	unknown	> 1000
3400	190	yes	unknown	unknown	1000
3500	260	trees	unknown	unknown	1000
3400	300	trees	30	unknown	1000
4400	100	yes	24	630	730
4300	30	yes	35	559	300
4300 - 100	30 - 10	yes	300	unknown	> 300

03/16/2004

GigaLab - Network Team

Field Test II

- 3 Bridges
 - Root Bridge on Root Hall - 100mW - LOS
 - Non Root on Spanagel Hall - 1W - LOS
 - Non Root on Hermann Hall - 100mW - LOS to Sp
- Forced Connection via Spanagel by non-LOS to Root

03/16/2004

GigaLab - Network Team

Summary Field Test II


- → good connectivity
- Speed max. ~1.6 Mbps
- Seems that bandwidth is cut in half with each hop
- Spanagel max. 3.2Mbps
- Hermann max. 1.6Mbps

03/16/2004

GigaLab - Network Team

screenshot from IbmagBook

The Network Operations Center



Software:

* What's Up Gold

* Solarwinds Orion

* MRTG / PRTG

ARIES HOLD TEST

303 04

Root (AUV-3) 192.168.4.203

Toughbook 192.168.4.250

Balloon 192.168.4.206

ARIES (AUV-5) 192.168.4.205

NOCLAPTOP 192.168.4.232

Tablet 192.168.4.207



non-root w/o clients

Balloon
.206

Teru
.202

non-root bridge w/o clients

(Root)
Command Port
.203

Laptop .216

Toughbook .250

Aries non-root bridge w/o clients
.205

(LW)

Tablet
.207

Eds. MaxRad 1W $\leftrightarrow$ Sds 100mW $\rightarrow$ Bridge Area $\rightarrow$ Camp Roberts

Distance	Beam	Alt	Connectivity	Latency w/o Load	Latency w/Load
850m	00°		✓	~5ms	
1275m	120°		- ✓ - ✓	~6ms	25.5MB - 8min 45s
1075m	120°	900ft	✓	733kbps ~4ms	25.5MB - 4min 38s
2700m	120°	920ft	✓	~6ms	no copy possible
2450m	120°	940ft	✓	728kbps ~5ms	25.5MB - 4min 42s
3150m	120°	965	- ✓	407kbps ~10ms	28MB - 55s
3.666m	120°	970	- ✓	586kbps	953kB - 13s
2.700m	055°	1170	✓	632kbps ~50ms	25.5MB - 5min 23s
2.950m	055°			1062kbps	25.5MB - 3min 12s
2.950m	055°			~	
3.275m	060°	1360	✓	~2ms	25.5MB - 6min 25s
2.500m		1200 ⊕	✓	1387kbps	25.5MB - 2min 27s

1st Generation Payload Field Test

K2 Configuration + Integration

This is a fully functional K2 tracker unit with the normal Camp Roberts software load.

Bridge IP address: 192.168.1.76
GPS IP address: 192.168.1.31

Connect the power cable to +24 volts DC (red to "+", black to "-"). It should draw ~1.2 amps.

The tracker's status is available by web browsing to the GPS address and clicking on the STATUS link. The status web page is very wide; be sure to re-size the browser window or else you'll get a lot of line wraps.

After aligning the antenna positioner, the tracker will start in GPS_READ mode, waiting for its GPS antenna to acquire the GPS signal. If you remain indoors, the unit will forever wait in GPS_READ. It will output a GPS multicast on the network, but the location will be incorrect or may be zeros.

When you take the K2 outside the GPS will acquire and the K2 will start outputting a valid GPS multicast message. The GPS status is shown on the status web page, under the LCL GPS heading.

Once the GPS has acquired ACQUISITION mode an message from the aircraft mode and start pointing a

The unit is currently config that the initial power-up (handle pointing south).

The IP address of the aircraft changed by editing the file through FTP at the address windows command line: the appropriate value. Be

The heading may be changed currently is set to 0.0; It

If you have any question:

Jack Cross
775-331-0222 work
775-233-1871 cell



to

RACK

: unit so
ors and

may be
sed
for
DR to

t

Multicast GPS Data


Each multicast player will position at a nominal 1 hz input it has to derive the just multicast a fixed mess

Each player must multicast information is maintained. carriage return/line feed, specification.

The position will be multi means 'all systems on this that it is possible to char any conflicts in addressing

Any client that is interest updates.

The sender sends a packet c separated by cr\lf. Some ir needed data. The data is al webpages.com/peter/nmeafaq.



Identification of nodes on the network is done by IP address. The receiver must look at the socket level information to get the IP address of who sent a particular message. I.e. use 'recvfrom'. A separate listing that provides the mapping of IP address to node name will be compiled for each experiment.

Example message

```
$GPGGA,123519,4807.038,N,01131.324,E,1,08,0.9,545.4,M,46.9,M,,*42<cr><lf>
$GPRMC,225446,A,4916.45,N,12311.12,W,0.0,5.054.7,191194,020.3,E*68
```

Message Reference:

GGA - Global Positioning System Fix Data
GGA,123519,4807.038,N,01131.324,E,1,08,0.9,545.4,M,46.9,M,,*42
123519 Fix taken at 12:35:19 UTC
4807.038,N Latitude 48 deg 07.038' N
01131.324,E Longitude 11 deg 31.324' E
1 Fix quality: 0 = invalid
1 = GPS fix
2 = DGPS fix
08 Number of satellites being tracked
0.9 Horizontal dilution of position
545.4,M Altitude, Metres, above mean sea level
46.9,M Height of geoid (mean sea level) above WGS84
ellipsoid
(empty field) time in seconds since last DGPS update
(empty field) DGPS station ID number

RMC - Recommended minimum specific GPS/Transit data

```
RMC,225446,A,4916.45,N,12311.12,W,0.0,5.054.7,191194,020.3,E*68
225446 Time of fix 22:54:46 UTC
A Navigation receiver warning A = OK, V = warning
4916.45,N Latitude 49 deg. 16.45 min North
12311.12,W Longitude 123 deg. 11.12 min West
0.0 Speed over ground, Knots
054.7 Course Made Good, True
191194 Date of fix 19 November 1994
020.3,E Magnetic variation 20.3 deg East
*68 mandatory checksum
```

The checksum field consists of a "*" and two hex digits representing the exclusive OR of all characters between, but not including, the "\$" and "*".

AIRTRAC 1 k2 Configuration 06.04.2004

Bridge: 192.168.1.72
GPS: 192.168.1.34

power SW disconnected
changes: disable radio / change to left antenna
change output power 100mW
SSID: Stau Role: Root

Tacti Comp

192.168.1.239 GPS working

GPS Config: TGU.CFG

SNC-WE3 = 0.0.0.0:80
ACGPS_ADDR = 192.168.1.37:0
PTU_BAUD = 9600
PTU_PORT = /tyco/1
LCL_GPS_BAUD = 4800
LCL_GPS_PORT = /tyco/2

k2

multicast syntax: mserver -f "C:\... \RTCLog.txt"

775-331-0222 Jack Gross 14.04.03 k2 resolving

- Pending true north
- data: tgu.cfg (no)

→ Pending.txt hdy. 205 → change 0

changed value in "Pending.txt" to "0.0"

→ k2 AirTrac 1 k2 works + traces

STAN 6 Recommendation for

Airborne Nodes

15.04.2004


Amp 350
30
12
5

Balloon: 2 Bridges 2*5Watt Amp. (separation!)
1 directional antenna Bg
1 omni rubber-duck

2. (40W → Batteries)

TERN: • 1 Bridge • 1*2Watt Amp. 100 (70?) (1000...)
• shut down switch (low voltage)
• 1 Rubber-duck antenna (omni) on tail
• 1 directional antenna → What do we have?
• 1 by 2 splitter (resistive)
* interchanging use of antennas
• patch • omni 18db • omni 23 db


k2: • 1 in working → loading other has issues.
• GPS data stream? Who knows?
• VCG TERN GPS not accurate
• Independence of Ground Control is required → build independent GPS station




30.04. - 05.05. - 12.05.

STAL 6

So2.11 Independent GPS Implementation Architecture



Order: Actinias; Famil. 360' Cisco



FOUNDRY
NETWORKS



Camp Lejeune

- 01.06. travel
- 2+3. Setup
- 4+5. initial testing
- 6+9. operation
- 10+11. clean up
- 12.06. travel back

Support for JCTFEX 2007
Joint Command Task Force Exercise

Camp Roberts Travel + Expenditure

02.05. Sunday CR



CODE: RHE34

Garfat Suites Jacksonville NC 28546
Hwy 17N, 130 Workshop Lane
910-346-8900

800-228-5150

Battery Pack k2

16 Oct 2004

k2:

1 Battery : 24cm x 12,5 cm

24V @ 1.2A

$$P = U \cdot I$$

$$= 24 \cdot 1.2 \text{ [W]}$$


$$P = 28.8 \text{ W}$$



each battery 45Ah / 12V

@ 1.2A $\Rightarrow$ 37.5h


of operational time



Camp Lejeune NC


1 day

possible experiment layout



best plan 19.04.04

Q20 - get cable fixed
1300 - setup



→ prop. i. Schup i

1. He calls me a

• Sent email to Rad ✓

prob. antenna, circ, transducer, display
TB-2, GPS, small motor, w2, w2 motor, battery

13.15 - Great k2 ✓

- verify server + bridge is up ✓

- GPS up? ✓ ~ 2 min

a move to other location

- Get GPS AC up ✓


• need GPS in network ✓

- 42 Rod on? ✓

- see screenshot k2-working-2

- compare to ls2-working

* penetration



20.04.04 Coverage Investigation


Flight level versus distance for optimal coverage

- Assumptions:
- average AC banking 20°
 - used antenna 0850 6dbi patch mounted on bottom of AC

$$\tan(\beta) = \frac{h}{d}$$

$$h = \tan(\beta) \cdot d$$

best case:




Dependence of the distance and altitude vs. banking angle for max. pattern coverage					
max. AC banking [deg]	10	20	30	40	50
Distance [km]	min. Altitude [m]	min. Altitude [m]	min. Altitude [m]	min. Altitude [m]	min. Altitude [m]
1	176	364	577	839	1192
2	353	728	1155	1678	2383
3	529	1092	1732	2517	3575
4	705	1456	2309	3356	4767
5	882	1820	2887	4195	5958
6	1058	2184	3464	5034	7150
7	1234	2548	4041	5873	8342
8	1411	2912	4619	6713	9534
9	1587	3276	5196	7552	10725
10	1763	3640	5773	8391	11917
11	1940	4004	6351	9230	13109
12	2116	4368	6928	10069	14300
13	2292	4731	7505	10908	15492
14	2469	5095	8083	11747	16684
15	2645	5459	8660	12586	17875

Doc: UAV distance vs. altitude.xls


Now: find out operational data of UAV (banking angle vs. radius)

6dB Patch AIR-ANT 1729


Dimensions and Mounting Specifications




Vertical Radiation




Horizontal Radiation



from CISO antenna technical




Frequency: 2.4 - 2.5GHz
 VSWR: less than 2:1
 Gain: 5.2 dBi
 Polarization: Linear
 Azimuth 3dB: 65°
 Elevation 3dB: 70°



14.5 dBi patch
 (±15° beamwidth)


GPRS receiver
 + antenna

24V battery



Air Network for CR + CL

22.04.04



Axel Schumann | DEU


From: Healey, Tony USA [healey@nps.edu]
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2004 12:35 PM
To: Lentz, Jerry USA; Schumann, Axel DEU; Jones, Keith USA; Jones, Kevin; Horner, Doug USA; Dobrokhodov, Vladimir RUS Contractor; Netzer, Dave USA; Kaminer, Isaac USA
Subject: RE: Bridges and Amps

The 2.4 GHz. freewave radios cannot be used for the GPS transmissions. We always intended for the 960MHz, serial comms based radios to be used. It looks like we may need to have a details meeting this afternoon.

Lets meet per Dave Netzer's earlier mail to discuss all concerns. Plan to stay a little late if necessary.

Tony
My issues:

1. Status of Balloon package for CR and CL
2. Status of SOCOM TERN for CR and CL
3. Status of K2 for CR and CL
4. Status of ARIES for CR and CL
5. Transportation Issues?



STUD 6 PLANS

• Sunday VCS operable

• Security on all Bridges

• Talk to VCC

Influence - Runway Tests

SSID: no broadcast standard

key: shared AFA1234567890AFA1234567890 (26 dig Hex)

login: blue PW: blue

SNMP: none

(specialized BPO data, Airbase)


WEP: shared → open (more secure)

Producer

Solarwinds ✓

Q-Check ✓

mservers



Keith: magnetic mount + 5dB MaxRad Antenna
pic tail


Cisco 10dBi Yagi Antenna

as option for the ground control station


26-04-2004

Technical Specifications


Antenna type	Yagi
Operating frequency range	2.4 to 2.83 GHz
VSWR	Less than 2:1, typically 1.5:1
Gain	10 dBi
Polarization	Vertical
Front-to-back ratio	Greater than 13.5 dB
Horizontal half-power beamwidth	47°
Vertical half-power beamwidth	55°
Length	7.25 in. (18.4 cm)
Width	3.125 in. (7.9 cm)
Height	5 in. (12.7 cm)
Tube diameter	3 in. (7.6 cm)
Cable length and type	3 ft. (0.91 m) RG-58
Operating temperature	140° F to -40° F (60° C to -40° C)
Wind rating	120 MPH (193 KMPH)



Azimuth Radiation Pattern



Elevation Radiation Pattern




Y
NETWORKS

STAN 6 Test Plan EMI

Runway Interference Exclusion Testing:


0730	Briefing
0800	Setup
0830	Start



open: find location for k2, → get Map

Signal Strength Measurement via k2 (TERJ in the Air)

- open: • split coil part → Webkuller
- picture Drivax → Webkuller



Test Runs with all available
Antennas



(EMI) testing on the
runway, Camp Roberts 03.05.07

CISCO SETTINGS

Li2: Root Bridge ; SW : STP enabled

PERN: Repetitor Access Point ; 100 mW ; } Bal
Group

NOC: Non-Rootw/clients ; 1 mW ;
no-STP

Balloon 1: Non-Root w/clients - check no-STP

Balloon 2: Non-Root w/clients no-STP

=> AIRBORNE MODE is always Root

=> enable STP to minimize Path + Redundancy

Security Implementation for STAN6

CISCO 350 bridges (Axel):

- Enable WEP; Key
- Suppress SSID broadcast
- Enable login on all devices

Servers (NOC team):

- Disable all unused services, especially ftp-write
- Latest patches and updates

Clients / all machines (everybody):

- Disable workgroups
- Latest patches and updates
- Disable shares

Used key and SSIDs for STAN6:

- See your GigaLab representative
- (128bit, HEX): AFA1234567890AFA1234567890
- SSID: stanNOC, stanAIR



Camp Roberts 04.05.04

TERN TRACK TEST

Take off: 8:56

2.4 km / 1500 ago

Landing: 9:30

~ 400 kbps

Stable tracking

no interference detected!

Connectivity required:

11:18

TERN

→ link_TERN-track.txt

11:21

ARIES

Long Range Log

05.05.04

I: 1: 2.852 MB
2: 2.852 MB
3: 2.852 MB

1:48 sec ⇒ 211 kbps
1:28 sec ⇒ 259 kbps
1:22 sec ⇒ 278 kbps

II: ~~1: 6.512 MB~~
1: 953 KB
2: 953 KB
3: 953 KB


~~31 sec~~ ⇒ 245 kbps
30 sec ⇒ 254 kbps
36 sec ⇒ 211 kbps


12:15

III: 1: 6317 KB
lost connectivity

→ most probal

→ get stick





k2 STATUS



CISCO BRIDGE ASS.
who is connected to whom?

Q-Check

SNMP GRAPH
for idle 350 bridge

GPS-STATUS

IP3ROOSER
discovery tool
MONITOR
indicates drop-out



LIVE-GPS DATA
from UAV

FOUNDRY
NETWORKS

05.05.2004

VC-6 TERN with operational payload



- top dipole antenna: 900MHz GPS data downlink
- top patch antenna: GPS antenna
- bottom dipole antenna: 2.4GHz 802.11b network radio link

The tern has two antennas and a Cisco Bridge and 2 Watt amplifier in the nose. A power converter is just back of the nose bulkhead, and a Freewave transmitter and Garmin GPS is added Velcroed to the Gas tank. The GPS antenna is velcroed behind the antenna on the top of the nose which is the Freewave wireless modem for the GPS. The GPS is velcroed to the Freewave on the Gas tank.


- ### Pre-post flight Check

- ## LONG RANGE SETUP / LOCATIONS

05.05.04

Tem Travel Route

Take off position


$$-\text{O}-\text{NOC/}$$

Payload 2nd Generation

- CISCO 350
- 2W amplifier
- 4 different antennas
 - 6dBi patch
 - 3 dBi omni dipole
 - 3 dBi thumb
 - 1 dBi omni

11.05.2004: How about tapping into the video?

- mount web cam? (digital)
- use lipshole? (analog)

→ feed analog into network with
PELCO




lipshole cameras

patch antenna



Batterie



03. May 04

notice
ARIES in
background


Panel connector for alternative antenna (cone)



alternative 3 dBi dipole

TOP TERM) (2nd G)

is balanced (notice water
Bottle!)



Grand Control Station (GCS) VC-6

Upper window: pod looking
camera (approaching air strip)



Infrastructure vs. Adhoc?

APRES

Naval Postgraduate School

31.03.04

Monterey, California

Axel Schumann 162 Littlefield Road Monterey, CA 93940 Tel (651) 373 8238 ASchumann@nps.navy.mil

tentative Outline:

Networking -

* Used for Networking in Surveillance →

- long range
- wireless → ad-hoc


* first approach

- 300 bridges (Infrastructure HW)

* second approach

• ad-hoc network (no wires, portable, cheap, 24h on)

* final working network / proof of concept



LAKE NASCIMIENTO FIELD TEST

3-May

Lake Nascimiento - Where we have ARIES located in the water on the North side of the lake
The balloon was located on the top of the knoll at the entrance to the recreation area
the K2 was located with the ARIES.

ARIES position 897598
Balloon: 916585

Configuration: ARIES 2-3dbi antenna 75 degree upward looking with 1 watt amplifier
Balloon: 5 dbi antenna and 5 watt amplifier
CP: K2 5 watt amplifier 14.5 dbi directional antenna

File Size (MB)	Time (sec)	Mbps
2.852	28	0.814857
2.852	25	0.91264
2.852	33	0.691394
6.317	123	0.410862
6.317	206	0.24532
6.317	141	0.358411
0.953	13	0.586462
0.953	20	0.3812
0.953	33	0.23103
0.953	21	0.363048

Note wind was picking up quite a bit possibly
causing the K2 to have difficulty tracking the balloon

3-May

Height of the balloon is 560m

First location of the K2: LAT 35 44.652 LONG 120 57.827 at 7.47 km from the balloon package to the K2
ARIES Location: Same as day before at North access ramp

Successful link with the K2 at 1058 in 27ms (balloon to K2 in 8 ms)

Successful link with ARIES thru the balloon at 8ms (balloon to K2 improved to 4ms)

Little to no wind at 1100 permitted the balloon to get fully vertical

File Size (MB)	Time (sec)	Mbps
2.852	84	0.3565
2.852	74	0.308324
2.852	81	0.281679

Time

1103

Starting from the Windows file sharin

Tried to set the maximum packet size (to 11MB) and the cisco bridge and it shut the system down
Originally it was set to a throughput of 1mbps
802.11B has three mbps throughput 1 5.5 and 11mbps

1205

Location: LAT 35 44.637 LONG 120 55.967 distance to the balloon 4.72 km
Was able to reacquire the Balloon bridge indicating that the larger packet size is now acceptable
Enable SNMP on the tablet PC
Throughput is set to 1 mbps

1216 Altitude of the balloon is 556 m


File Size (MB)	Time (sec)	Mbps	Time
2.852	117	0.195009	
2.852	119	0.191731	
2.852	133	0.171549	
2.852	111	0.20555	Downloads using ftp
953	35	217.8286	
6.16	327	0.150703	

-o- k2 / CP locations

-o- Balloon location

-o- APRES


network path




FOUNDRY
NETWORKS

N 6 TERN/ARIES BLUE CP Network View

Wednesday, 5 MAY 2004



STAN 6 TOPO

3.5.3 TAN 6 Distance/Throughput Network View



STAN 7 PLANS

20.05.04

• Cameras on UAV

• networking HAR
 OFDM

Adhoc → AUV
 UAV

Infrastructure 802.11b


→ blimp
→ UAV / SWIFT
→ Alo

URBOT ?

SOC → AC tracker

tracker follows on


• Balloon



WiFi-Mac.com

STAN 6 TERN/ARIES BLUE CP Network View

Wednesday, 5 MAY 2004



AirMagnet Sensor NL-5354AP Aries	2.125 lb	12V @ 1A = 12W
Cisco Aironet 350	1.3 lb	48V 125ma = 6W
Cisco Router with fan	1.375 lb	1.037A @ 12V = 12.44W
Without fan		1.17lb 1.05A @ 12V = 12W
Amplifier	1.3 lb	12V 300ma = 6W receive 12V @ 2.8A = 33.6W
Batteries #1	2.15 lb	12 & 24V nominal 14.8 max ea @2A
#2	2.15 lb	
Total	6.1 lb + batteries	
	4.3 lb batteries	
Total	10.4 lb	36.4W to 64.04 W in receive to transmit mode
Box 2lb 13 Oz	2.813 lb	
Total w Box	13.21 lb	plus cables

48W max from each battery before blow!

Absolute minimum in nose 1.3 + 2.18 = 4.11
Nose weights now 6 lb

Issues
When
What
Who

Reference
Fan 3.2 oz 12V @ 0.34A = 4.08W
Cisco Naked 1 lb 2.6 oz

12V 24.4W and 33.6W

Thursday, February 12, 2004 D:\wpdocs\Aero\Tern Router\newpower.wpd

AirMagnet Sensor NL-5354AP Aries	2.125 lb	12V @ 1A = 12W
Cisco Aironet 350	1.3 lb	48V 125ma = 6W
Cisco Aironet 350	1.3 lb	48V 125ma = 6W
1 W amplifier	1 lb	24V 5W max
Amplifier	1.3 lb	12V 300ma = 6W receive 12V @
		2.8A = 33.6W
Freewave	0.8lb	180ma transmit 100ma receive
		2.16W
Port	0.5 lb	0.5A @ 12V = 6W
Total	7.7 lb	70.76W Not including cables

converters	0.737lb	80-90%
Batteries #1	2.15 lb	12 & 24V nominal 14.8 max ea @2A
Box	2lb 13 Oz	
GPS	2.813 lb	
	0.675 lb	neg
172.8 Watt-hours per battery x 2 =	345.6 Watt-hours	
at 64.04 Watts	5.397 hours	
12V @ 3.3A Wall Industries QAW24S12-40	40W	
12V @ 2.5A Datel UHE-12/2500	30W	
48V @ 167ma 8W	8W	
		5.8 oz for Wall and Pico



01.06.2004

STAN 6 Report / Generation 2 Test

- Combined Research Objectives
 - Proof of Concept for Airborne Network Node
 - isolated test (Lake Wucinichs) short - medium - long
 - interference exclusion testing with TERN
 - long-range TERN Airborne with NOC integration
- particular objectives to Alex →

From: Netzer, Dave USA
To: brian.l.kent@us.army.mil; dan@inter-4.com; Blazeovich, Ryan USA; Bordetsky, Alex USA; Manuel, Chris USA; tonyh@sncorp.com; ralph@inter-4.com; kyle.longcrier@us.army.mil
Sent: Thu 5/27/2004 1:31 PM
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

All:

Want to clarify some points regarding the ground network. My understanding is that SNC/INTER-4/Redline will provide the ground network for the 24-30 August part of STAN 7. I do not know if the ground network to be used by the Rangers, etc. is Redline or K4 based. That being said, let me tell you what we currently plan from the NPS side to see what the best overall solution can be. We plan to install Redline AN-50 OFDM units at several locations; NPS, CIRPAS in Marina, MOUT/Log area at Fort Ord, mid-Salinas Valley (relay), and Camp Roberts TOC. We may also be putting one at the SATCOMSTA for connectivity and reachback capability. We hope to have this installed a month ahead of time (assuming we can get the sole source purchase through the mill in time) so that we can test it out and have it ready for the experiments from 19-23 August.

We also will have 802.11b from the ARIES and SEALs in Monterey Bay (ARIES linked to NAVBOARD/SEALs via low-rate acoustic modem). At Camp Roberts we will have (we hope) the SOCOM/VC-6 TERN, the NPS TERN, a smaller UAV with turret camera, NPS tethered balloon, manned Aerosphere, Pelican surrogate Predator, NG LLDR on the network, HDTV under balloons, etc. We will have the primary NOC at NPS in the GIGA Lab. "Satellite NOCs" will be at the MOUT City and Camp Roberts. We hope also to have a SEGOVIA satellite link between the various sites.

This is why we want to put in a somewhat permanent ground network based on OFDM.


Question is; will this be adequate for your ground network backbone? If partially so, what additional components will SNC/INTER-4/Redline need to provide?

Meeting with the POM fire, police, etc. on Friday to work out any final concerns of theirs before the use request is approved.

FAA has told me that we are clear to operate the SWIFT at the MOUT and Log sites (with a little coordination).

Chris and I will be working the A-10 possibility with AFRL, etc. in the next two weeks.

Dave



STAN 7 Aug 19-23

A couple of thoughts to discuss with Dave and Chris on the research tasks for our team:

1. In comparison with standalone airborne, ground, and underwater long-haul wireless nodes that we tested at STAN 6 we are going to have small airborne net (Air Sphere balloon, Pelican, and Tern), ground net (OFDM long-haul backbone, mesh cluster at three sites), and underwater mesh.
2. We will also have small network of NOCs (NPS NOC, Ft Ord TOC/NOC, Camp Roberts UoC) capable of collaboration and data sharing across command and control infrastructure.
3. The research tasks naturally group around feasibility of layered networking infrastructure working together providing multipath adaptation, and situational awareness sharing. Integration of underwater SA with the littoral SA becomes central to the study.
4. As MAR is been somewhat tested for switching between OFDM, 802.11, and Iridium, we'll be able to set up MARs at the OFDM/Ground mesh cluster gateway nodes and also practice the CoABS switching between different links via the SA interface.
5. The network of NOCs stretched to remote TOCs will be provided by OFDM and near-broadband satellite links which will test first time.
5. At STAN 6 we learned how to reach the remote site by stretching the mesh locally. What we don't know yet, is how to get to the ground sensor mesh via the layers of airborne-ground-underwater nodes. That's exactly what we can explore at STAN 7.
6. I also suggest at STAN 7 to start using the OFDM-local clusters mesh for reaching to the remote sensors (LLDR and Video) and operating them. The LLDR from Northrop Grumman will be a good node to work with.
7. We'll also prototype using mesh for delivering Globalstar/Iridium links down to every TACTICOMP via the root laptop/TAC-PAK unit.

5/27/2004

Power / Weight /


802.11g

Tern / Balloon

Camp Lejeune (planned TERN ops)

05.06.2004


VC-6 TERN UAV



06.06

Camp Lejeune

short-range setup



K2 not reading multicast message (GPS) → manual mode

RUN	Time (sec)	File Size (MB)	Transfer Time (sec)	Transfer Rate (kbps)	K2 Position	Arries Position	Balloon Position	screenshot files
1	1141	2.78	64	347.5	34°33.250'N, 077°19.672'W	Blue Bird	34°32.792'N, 077°18.375'W	05.jpg
2	1144	2.78	67	331.9	34°33.250'N, 077°19.672'W	"	34°32.792'N, 077°18.375'W	06.jpg
3	1147	7.47	172	347.4	34°33.250'N, 077°19.672'W	"	34°32.792'N, 077°18.375'W	07.jpg
4	1151	7.47	169	353.6	34°33.250'N, 077°19.672'W	"	34°32.792'N, 077°18.375'W	08.jpg
average				345.1				



K2 (not reading multicast): send request to SWC for support (Jack, Rick, Dave)

[illegible]

07.06. 2004

Long-Range Test along Coast (south)

K2-to-Balloon 802.11b Tests
7 June 04

Past #	Date	Time (local)	Location Name	K2 Position	Balloon Position	Range to Bluebird (km)	screenshots files	Comments
1	6/7/04	1015-1100	South Topsail Beach	34°20.883'N, 077°38.865'W	34°32.782'N, 077°18.375'W	37.2	none	Unable to establish 2.4GHz 802.11b link. Successfully received 900MHz Freeview signal from balloon at South Tower.
2	6/7/04	1120-1140	Jolly Roger Pier	34°21.800'N, 077°37.657'W	34°32.782'N, 077°18.375'W	34.7	none	Unable to establish 2.4GHz 802.11b link. Axel changed the transmit power on the K2 from 30mW to 50mW with no effect. Changed settings back to 30mW.
3	6/7/04	1200-1210	Surf City South	34°23.800'N, 077°35.577'W	34°32.782'N, 077°18.375'W	30.3	none	Unable to establish 2.4GHz 802.11b link.
4	6/7/04	1235-1245	Surf City Pier	34°25.453'N, 077°32.688'W	34°32.782'N, 077°18.375'W	24.7	none	Unable to establish 2.4GHz 802.11b link.
5	6/7/04	1315-1400	North Topsail Beach	34°27.652'N, 077°29.082'W	34°32.782'N, 077°18.375'W	17.9	01.jpg - 07.jpg (see note 2)	Successfully established 802.11b link with balloon at South Tower. See Note 2.

Notes

1. Atmospheric conditions were extremely hazy and humid.
2. The following screen shots were saved:
 - a. 01.jpg: Saturating Balloon bridge with 3Mbps. Showing ~1Mbps throughput.
 - b. 02.jpg: Saturating Balloon bridge with 3Mbps. Repositioned antenna to point approx. 3° CCW from previous run. This improved link quality. Packet loss down to 46%.
 - c. 03.jpg: Saturating Balloon bridge with 3Mbps. Repositioned antenna to point additional 3° CCW from previous run. This improved link quality further. Packet loss down to 80%.
 - d. 04.jpg: Changed power out from Balloon bridge from 50mW to 30mW. This further improved link quality and decreased packet loss to ~42%.
 - e. 05.jpg: Changed Balloon bridge settings: Set 11Mbps Packet Size to "Not Allowed" and set RTS Threshold to "Zero". Retarded Saturation Test.

This further improved link quality and decreased packet loss to ~25%. However, Response Time seems to have increased.

f. 06.jpg: Changed Packet Size from 5000 bits to 1000 bits. This caused a decrease in link quality.

g. 07.jpg: At time 1350 Local, Balloon appears to be going down as link quality steadily deteriorates. Link dropped at 1353.



Science Applications
International Corporation

Paul G. Marshall
Assistant Vice President
Advanced Information Technologies

4025 Hancock St., MS RI-B
San Diego, CA 92110
office: (858) 826-5465
fax: (858) 826-3070 cell: (619) 806-9800
marc@saic.com
www.saic.com

home: 619.806.
home 619.696.8121
work: 619.524.2985

— JOLLY ROGER PIER —

803 Ocean Blvd.
Topsail Beach, NC
(910) 328-4616

08.06.2004 LWTC

Lab Test Ten Payback;
Lab:TERA screenshot 22 jpg; Pelen Video only (see → n250kpbs)



CJTFEX 04-2
Office of Naval Research
Camp Lejeune

State of California

Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
100 South Spring Street, Suite 5000
Los Angeles, CA 90012

(a) 310-871-2402

todd@valdes.net

Todd A. Valdes
Deputy Attorney General
todd.valdes@doj.ca.gov

(213) 897-2635
CALNET 8-647-2635
FAX (213) 897-2802



NETWORKS

08.06.04

Current Measure Payload TERN

Setup:

$$[U = 12V] \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= 1.150 \\ I_2 &= 1.200 \\ I_3 &= 1.300 \\ I_4 &= 1.800 \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} (t=0 \dots 1 \text{ [min]}) \\ (t=1 \dots 2) \\ (t=2 \dots 2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Boot complete

$$I = I_4 = 1.812$$

Network idle

$$I_{min} = 1.3 \text{ A}$$

(pelco on)

$$I_{max} = 1.912 \text{ A}$$

$$I_{Avg} = 1.571$$

(pelco off)

$$I_{min} = 0.844 \text{ A}$$

$$I_{max} = 1.072 \text{ A}$$

$$I_{Avg} = 0.890 \text{ A}$$

Voltage Measure Payload

$$U = 15.42 \text{ (idle)}$$

$$U_1 = 15.26 \text{ V} \quad (t=0.1 - 3 \text{ [min]})$$

$$U_2 = 15.15 \text{ V} \quad \text{Boot complete}$$

$$U_{max} = 15.20 \text{ V}$$

$$U_{min} = 15.08 \text{ V}$$

$$U_{Avg} = 15.15 \text{ V}$$

Wattage

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Network only: } P_{max} &= I_{max} \cdot U_{max} = 1.072 \text{ A} \cdot 15.20 \text{ V} = 16.30 \text{ W} \\ P_{min} &= I_{min} \cdot U_{min} = 0.844 \text{ A} \cdot 15.08 \text{ V} = 12.71 \text{ W} \\ \text{incl. Video: } P_{max} &= I_{max} \cdot U_{max} = 1.912 \text{ A} \cdot 15.20 \text{ V} = 29.06 \text{ W} \\ P_{min} &= I_{min} \cdot U_{min} = 1.300 \text{ A} \cdot 15.08 \text{ V} = 19.60 \text{ W} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &\text{Network only avg. } 14.50 \text{ W} \\ &\text{Network + Video Feed (no camera) avg. } 24.40 \text{ W} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

www.ultralifebatteries.com

Battery Specifications: UBI 2590 Lithium Ion (rechargeable)
 30V @ 6Ah (28.8V)
 15V @ 12Ah (14.4V)

Endurance:

~~UBI 5390 Lithium NiO₂ (primary)~~
 30V @ 11Ah 15V @ 22Ah
 UBI 5390 Lithium H₂O₂ (primary) (450%)

Network only: UBI 2590 @ 14.5W

$$I = \frac{14.5W}{14.4V} = 1.01A \Rightarrow 11.88h$$

UBI 5390: 21.78h

Network + PoE: UBI 2590 @ 24.4W

$$I = \frac{24.4W}{14.4V} = 1.69A \Rightarrow 7.10h$$

UBI 5390: 13.02h

ULTRALIFE
BATTERIES INC

TECHNICAL DATA

BA-5390/U

NSN-6135-99-485-3034

An alternative for the

BA-5590/U: NSN-6135-01-036-3495

Type: Non-Rechargeable

Lithium/Manganese Dioxide Battery

Designation: (3D0002)

Standard Voltage: 30 Volts or 15 Volts

Capacity (C): 9.8 Ah @ 250 mA to 20V or

19.6 Ah @ 500 mA to 10V @ 25°C

Max. Discharge: 2 Amps continuous series

4 Amps continuous parallel

Weight: 1250 grams max.

Oper. Temp. Range: -10°C to +25°C

Storage Temp. Range: -40°C to +65°C

Volume: 883 cm³

Connector: BC-C-179(H)

Housing: Hard plastic case

Cells: Hermatic Stainless Steel case

Temperature: Class 9



For a complete description of environmental regulations

and definitions of the temperature classifications


"Extended" and "Class 9" refer to MIL-STD-883C


Test Method 2000 (Temperature Humidity Shock)

Lithium Battery Temperature Regulation




Newark, NY: 1-818-352-1100 • Fax 1-818-352-1800 / Arlington, England: +44 (0)1235 541100 • Fax +44 (0)1235 521100
 ©2002 Ultralife Batteries, Inc. www.ultralifebatteries.com • All specifications subject to change without notice •
 The information contained herein is for reference only and does not constitute a warranty of performance. Item 20-103 - 010-000000-0







"County Fair"






FOUNDRY
NETWORKS


RF Linx 2Watt amplifier specifications

2400 LE Series (Kit)

- (1) 2400 LE Series Antennafier
- (1) 15VDC Wall Mount Transformer (110VAC Input)
- (1) Aluminum "L" Mounting bracket
- (2) 1/4"x20 Stainless Steel Pan Head Screws

2400 LE (Connection)

Connecting a series 2400LE indoor Bi-Directional Amplifier is easy. Simply connect the Type 'N' female connectors to the appropriate RF ports as shown below and apply DC Power. The 2400 LE can be operate using 12VDC from a vehicle system.



2400 LE (Mounting)

Each Antennafier™ is supplied with an "L" shaped mounting bracket. This bracket attaches to the Antennafier™ back via two 1/4" x 20 Pan Head Screws. Then mount this assembly to either a wall or panel with the remaining holes located on the mounting bracket.

2400 LE (Operation)

The Antennafier™ is equipped with LEDs indicating either TX or RX mode of operation. When DC power is applied the green RX LED should be lit. The red TX light will only turn on when the amplifier senses an RF level of greater than +2 dBm on it's TX Input port. During normal RX/TX operation, one will see these two LEDs flicker back and forth between transmit and receive. If neither of these lights are operational check to ensure proper DC power is being applied.

This amplification device is an RF subassembly. Its use in an RF system is subject to FCC approval.


9017 Cincinnati-Columbus Road
West Chester, Ohio 45069-3511
PHONE: (513) 777-2774

March 12, 2004

FAX: (513) 777-2115
[Http://www.rflinx.com](http://www.rflinx.com)

June 2004 Camp Lejeune, NC


GENERATION 3 OPERATIONAL
(video transmission with TELCO)





FOUNDRY
NETWORKS

100 COMMENTS







deploying ARIES (Camp Lejeune)




Crawler for mine clearance (with the "egg")



19.07.2004


STAN 7 Monterey, NPS

Network Map:



MONTEREY BAY

Network Coverage (historically)



Co-located for Sp. Roof: 1921 / 145

to be mounted on Sp. beam



2.4 GHz 14 dBi Radome Enclosed Yagi

- Superior performance
- Light weight
- All weather operation
- 30° beam-width
- Can be installed for either vertical or horizontal polarization
- Includes tilt and swivel mast mount



Model: HG2415Y

Superior Performance

The HyperGain® HG2415Y Radome Enclosed Yagi Antenna features high gain and a 30° beam-width. It is ideally suited for directional and multipoint IEEE 802.11b and 802.11g wireless LANs and other systems operating in the 2.4 GHz ISM band. This antenna features a 12-inch coax lead terminated with a N-Female connector. Special Order Connectors are also available. The unique design of this antenna allows it to be installed for either vertical or horizontal polarization.

Rugged and Weatherproof


This antenna is enclosed within a UV-stable radome for all-weather operation. The HG2415Y antenna is supplied with a 60-degree tilt and swivel mast mount kit.




Electrical Specifications

Frequency	2400-2500 MHz
Gain	14.5 dBi
-3 dB Beam Width	45 degrees
Impedance	50 Ohm
Max. Input Power	50 Watts
VSWR	< 1.5:1 avg.

Antenna Gain Patterns



Vertical



Horizontal


Mechanical Specifications

Weight	1.8 lbs. (.81 kg)
Dimensions Length x Diameter	18.2 x 3 (inches) 462 x 76 (mm)
Radome Material	UV-inhibited Polymer
Mounting	2" (50.8 mm) dia. mast max.
Polarization	Vertical and Horizontal
Wind Survival	>150 MPH

Guaranteed Quality


This product is backed by Hyperlink's Limited Warranty

Screens for V2 / SNAP / TERO ops



22.07.04 NOC → Spangol → Cypress Sea

Root Hall → Spangol Hall → Fishermen's Wharf ↔ Aquarium



Current Value: 2.10 Mbps

Average 1.50 Mbps

Minimum: 0.2 kbps

Maximum: 6.3 Mbps



FOUNDRY
NETWORKS



Camp Lejeune

- 01.06. travel
- 2+3. Setup
- 4+5. initial testing
- 6+9. operation
- 10+11. clean up
- 12.06. travel back

Support for JCTFEX 2004
Joint Command Task Force Exercise

Camp Roberts Travel + Experiment

02.05. Sunday CR



CODE: RHE34

Comfort Suites Jacksonville NC 28546
New 17N, 130 Workshop Lane
910-346-8900

800-228-5150

Camp Roberts 04.05.04

TERN TRACK TEST

Take off: 8:56

24 km / 1500 ago

Landing: 9:30

~ 400 kbps

Slide tracking

no interference detected!

Connectivity acquired:

11:18

TERN

→ link_TERN_track.tst

11:21

ARIES

Long Range Log → mi

05.05.04

I: 1: 2.852 KB

1:48 sec ⇒ 211 kbps

2: 2.852 KB

1:28 sec ⇒ 259 kbps

3: 2.852 KB

1:22 sec ⇒ 278 kbps

II: ~~1: 6.512 KB~~

~~31~~

12:15

1: 953 KB

31 sec ⇒ 245 kbps

2: 953 KB

39 sec ⇒ 254 kbps

3: 953 KB


36 sec ⇒ 211 kbps

III: 1: 6317 KB

lost connectivity

→ most probal

→ get stick



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1. Defense Technical Information Center
Ft. Belvoir, VA
2. Dudley Knox Library
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA
3. Dr. Alexander Bordetsky
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA
4. Dr. David Netzer
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA
5. Chris Manual
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA