



MINISTÈRE DE LA DÉFENSE

Open & Evolutive UAV Architecture

13th June UAV 2002




Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.


1. REPORT DATE 02 SEP 2003		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Open & Evolutive UAV Architecture				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) MoD/DGA-SPMT, France				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001676, UAV 2002 Conference & Exhibition., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Class of UAVs under study in France




Domain partitioning

Environment constraints



Procurement roadmap



Stakes of architecture effort

Information mastering

Ease European cooperation

Procurement process : modularity,
sub-system low cost, life evolution


Operators endurance

UAVs coordination
Team action intelligence
Saturation Partition
Diversion Association

Friendly use
de-skilling



Multi-layer object oriented approach



Peripherals

- All components considered as hardware or software “peripherals” to the host structures, on-board and on the ground control station.
- Preferably, a peripheral will support only one function, either operational or technical.
- Peripherals exchange through the host structure and have no specific links between them.

Host structures are meant to

- interface with all software or hardware peripherals of their sub-systems,
- recognize the presence and the type of a peripheral,
- monitor peripherals according to configuration,
- configure heterogeneous networks,
- monitor exchanges between peripherals,
- control the execution of functions and services at different levels : operating system, mission level, including supervision of teaming with operators.

Different levels of configurations 1/2

- Configuration process managed through instantiating generic models of system and sub-systems.
- Partly automated
 - Computer : hardware, software, support services
 - UAV system : UAV type + evolutions
 - Procedures :
 - degraded modes - ATC
 - operators handovers - air vehicles handovers
 - launch and recovery : deck landing, ...

Different levels of configurations 2/2

- Automated / Plug and Play
 - Mission : payloads plug in
 - Air vehicle : parameters
 - Network : data links with C4I, with or without relay,...
 - Interoperability :
 - level applied during an operation
 - levels of authority given to the different operators and control commands

Configuration software and MMI

- Configuration MMI vary according to level of authority :
 - “system manufacturer”
 - “maintenance level”
 - “software administrator”
 - “user”.
- Configuration software tools allow formal proof of coherence of choices.
- Semantic structure and interfaces defined through “taxonomy” tool that also secures compatibility between versions.

Open architecture contracting process

- Two types of approach
 - R&T “ACTD”
 - “Feasibility” study to write program requirements
- Two UAV systems involved in ACTD demo
 - one for full open architecture implementation
 - the other one only for GCS modification.
- The ACTD contract :
 - 30 - 36 months
 - starting from end of 2002
 - including 6 work packages (WP).

Part I Definition studies

- WP1 : for all types of UAV and operational needs
 - Define open and modular architecture
 - Assess compatibility with STANAG 4586
- WP2 : Define for the UAV systems participating to demos
 - flight and static demos
 - flight testing equipment
 - performance and system tests for host structures and interface components acceptance
 - integration path

Part II Development and demos 1/2

- WP3 : Realize for the UAV systems participating to demos
 - testing equipment
 - host structures
 - interface components
- WP4 :
 - Proceed to sub-systems validation and acceptance
 - integrate
 - prepare the flight security documents for flying

Part II Development and demos 2/2

- WP5 : Fly the two systems in order to demonstrate
 - interoperability level 3 and 4
 - hand over and retasking
 - scenarios : air vehicle trajectory controlled through payload tracking target, ...
- WP6 : Assess openness and plug and play capacity
 - by changing the payload of the UAV system
 - Write final report with further work and STANAG 4586 recommendations.

Open architecture ACTD roadmap

- TCP
 - MCMM
slow / fast
 - DMT
 - MALE
30000ft
-
- Long
endurance
 - MALE
45000ft
 - HALE

