



**A Generalized Method for One-Way Coupling of CTH and
Lagrangian Finite-Element Codes With Complex Structures
Using the Interdisciplinary Computing Environment**

by Jerry A. Clarke and Raju R. Namburu

ARL-TN-230

November 2004

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5067

ARL-TN-230

November 2004

A Generalized Method for One-Way Coupling of CTH and Lagrangian Finite-Element Codes With Complex Structures Using the Interdisciplinary Computing Environment

Jerry A. Clarke and Raju R. Namburu
Computational and Information Sciences Directorate, ARL

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) November 2004		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) October 2003–June 2004	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE A Generalized Method for One-Way Coupling of CTH and Lagrangian Finite-Element Codes With Complex Structures Using the Interdisciplinary Computing Environment			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Jerry A. Clarke and Raju R. Namburu			5d. PROJECT NUMBER 4U03CC		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-CI-HC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5067			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TN-230		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT In the past, CTH (a finite volume, shock physics code) has been coupled with different Lagrangian finite-element codes like Pronto3D and LS-Dyna to solve blast-structure interaction problems. In many situations, a two-way coupling of these codes is unnecessary. Specifically, when the deformation of the structure has little impact on the developing blast, a one-way coupling is sufficient. Unfortunately, when the structure is complex, and particularly when the model contains shell elements, accurately generating the load curves for the finite-element input can be difficult. A generalized method for generating the necessary load curves for the finite-element input from CTH has been developed at the U.S. Army Research Laboratory by using the Interdisciplinary Computing Environment. This method accurately represents the finite-element model's geometry on the Eulerian mesh and can be applied to any code with a pressure-vs.-time element loading capacity. Using this method, an accurate representation of the finite-element model can be inserted into the CTH mesh even when the model contained shell elements. An example problem of a land mine interacting with a complex vehicle structure is presented in the report.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS interdisciplinary computing, finite-element, finite volume					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 16	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Jerry A. Clarke
a. REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	b. ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	c. THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-278-9279

Contents

List of Figures	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. A New Approach	2
3. One-Way Coupling	3
4. Preparing Geometry	4
5. Extracting Pressure-Time Histories	5
6. An Example	6
7. Summary	7
Bibliography	8
Distribution List	9

List of Figures

Figure 1. XDMF.....	3
Figure 2. Shell elements are extruded to form tetrahedral elements.....	4
Figure 3. Normal vectors are averaged to represent the surface correctly.....	5
Figure 4. Pressures are probed slightly off of the original surface.	6
Figure 5. Mine blast interacting with vehicle hull.	6

1. Introduction

Multidisciplinary weapon-target interaction problems (from first principles) require solutions of complex and very large-scale equations and the use of parallel computers. Examples such as blast-structure interaction and projectile-buried structure interaction require coupling of solvers for a variety of physics applications in order to provide a complete understanding of the detailed interaction.

A large class of these computational problems do not neatly fit into a pure Eulerian or pure Lagrangian approach. Arienti et al.¹ point out that Eulerian approaches are excellent at allowing for the development of a complex flow, at the price of loss in accuracy, when tracking a boundary. Lagrangian approaches intrinsically track the boundary, but lose accuracy, when the mesh becomes highly distorted. Hybrid methods such as Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian (ALE) attempt to combine the two methods, but ALE suffers from its own set of problems and may be difficult to implement between existing, separate Eulerian and Lagrangian software systems.

For example, to address blast-structure multidisciplinary applications, the blast component requires an Eulerian (i.e., fluid dynamics) approach and the structure component requires a Lagrangian (i.e., structural mechanics) approach. Such applications typically involve one or more material regions that undergo relatively small deformations, while other regions of the problem undergo arbitrarily large deformations. These simulations are traditionally performed by transferring data files from one software package to another, resulting in one-way coupling. This practice is very time consuming for addressing practical applications.

The Zapotec* coupled Euler-Lagrange code² employs CTH as its Eulerian component and Proton3D[†] as its Lagrangian component. This single, combined code provides a two-way coupling between the Eulerian and Lagrangian domains. Zapotec can be used for problems in which the response of the Lagrangian structure does not affect the load applied by the Eulerian flow field (one-way coupling). One limitation of Zapotec is that it does not allow shell elements

* Zapotec. Sandia National Laboratories.

† Proto3D. Sandia National Laboratories.

¹Arienti, M.; Hung, P.; Morano, E.; Shepherd, J. A Level Set Approach to Eulerian-Lagrangian Coupling. *Journal of Computational Physics* **2003**, *185* (1), 213–251.

²Bessette, G. C.; Vaughan, C. T.; Bell, R. L. Zapotec: A Coupled Euler-Lagrange Code for Modeling Earth Penetration. *Proceedings of the 73rd Shock and Vibration Symposium*, Newport, RI, 18–22 November 2002.

to be used in the Lagrangian model. Furthermore, the computational overhead of the two-way coupling and the complexity of setting up a Zapotec simulation may be prohibitive to solving a problem for which a one-way coupling approach would suffice.

Consequently, an easy-to-use method of one-way coupling of CTH to a Lagrangian code that offers shell element capability would be a valuable tool for a variety of defense applications. This report describes the development of such a method.

2. A New Approach

The requirement of an end-to-end simulation capability for weapon-target interaction applications revealed the need for a seamless interdisciplinary capability. An interdisciplinary capability can be addressed either by developing coupled software from scratch or by integrating existing legacy software. The first approach involves developing and testing the entire software spectrum, which is time consuming and may require more time to reach the end user. The second approach involves coupling existing software from individual disciplines, which takes advantage of the tremendous “stove-pipe” developments already made in computer science and general computational sciences. This latter approach requires data management, seamless data movement, and robust modular scalable algorithms, and is the central theme of this achievement. To address this problem, a research effort entitled, “Interdisciplinary Computing Environment for Weapon-Target Interaction” was undertaken to produce an entirely new capability.

The uniqueness and significance of the research contribution in the newly developed Interdisciplinary Computing Environment approach is the development of a common data hub, which is both a data model and data format. That means the information about the data values and “how the data are used” are available. Known as the eXtensible Data Model and Format (XDMF), the data hub utilizes XML and hierarchical data format version 5 (HDF5) to provide a flexible yet powerful active data hub, as shown in figure 1. The transfer of data is handled by a distributed shared-memory system called Network Distributed Global Memory (NDGM), which provides access to a virtual, contiguous buffer through a client-server architecture. A widely used HDF5 is used to provide an NDGM buffer with a structure. The common data hub facility provided by HDF5 and NDGM is effectively used to manage data between different software systems and to coordinate activities between different codes. This enables researchers and engineers to quickly couple production level parallel high-performance computing codes from different disciplines and ultimately develop coupled algorithms and approaches for addressing both one-way coupled and fully coupled weapon-target interaction applications in a seamless way.




Figure 1. XDMF.

3. One-Way Coupling

To address the requirement of one-way coupled land mine-vehicle structure interaction, this capability has been used to couple the finite-element code LS-Dyna* (a commercial software) with the finite volume shock physics code CTH (Department of Energy software). This allows the strengths of both codes to be utilized to produce a result that is not possible by either code separately. CTH is widely used for modeling the dynamic loading on a structure as a result of the detonation of an explosive, while LS-Dyna is an appropriate tool for simulating the large deformation of a thin structure, such as a vehicle hull.

This type of one-way coupling is appropriate for this particular application because the deformation of the structure occurs at a rate such that it has little effect on the developing blast, but the blast has a significant impact on the deformation of the structure. This approach was automated and used by the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) Weapons and Materials Research Directorate researchers to complete these analyses and not only improved designs and understanding of the mine blast-structure interaction, but also significantly reduced the analysis cycle time.

Previous efforts have attacked the specific problem of one-way coupling the Eulerian shock physics code CTH with Lagrangian finite-element structural response codes. Typically, this

* LS-Dyna is a registered trademark of Livermore Software Technology.

involves the use of “tracer points,” which is a specific feature of the Eulerian code and difficult to implement in the general sense. In addition, these methods did not address the problem of accurately representing complex geometries on the structured Eulerian mesh.

In addition to the scalable coupling methods, one of the technical challenges was to use consistent meshes for both software packages. The computational domains modeled by individual codes are entirely different and not easily coupled together. Note that LS-Dyna is an unstructured finite-element approach and CTH is a finite volume-based structured mesh approach. Typically, armored vehicle hull structures are geometrically complex and are represented using unstructured thin “shell elements.” LS-Dyna uses shell elements to represent thin structures. These elements have no thickness, but accurately capture the deformations of thin structural members at a much lower computational cost than using many small hexahedral elements through the thickness of the structure. CTH, being a finite volume code based on a structured mesh, has no concept of shell elements. Introducing a structure composed of these elements into a structured mesh presents a technical challenge.

4. Preparing Geometry

As shown in figure 2, the method used to accomplish this task starts with giving these shell elements a thickness so they can be introduced into a structured mesh while still maintaining the shape of the thin structure. This is done by extruding each quadrilateral shell element in the direction opposite its “normal” direction (i.e., toward the inside of the entire structure). The resulting hexahedra are then decomposed into tetrahedra and converted into a data format that CTH can use for input.




Figure 2. Shell elements are extruded to form tetrahedral elements.

But a simple extrusion is sometimes insufficient. If the shell’s normals are used, problems arise if adjoining shell normal vectors differ significantly. In this case, the back side of the extruded shell can extend beyond the surface of the adjoining shell, as depicted by the side view of the red and blue extruded shells below in figure 3. To alleviate this problem, normal vectors are




Figure 3. Normal vectors are averaged to represent the surface correctly.

calculated on the nodes and averaged. New node positions are then calculated using these vectors, and the connectivity is generated.

In addition to the newly generated geometry for material insertion, the CTH input consists of a description of the explosive charge, and potentially soil, to model the ground plane and air surroundings. CTH uses this information to simulate the explosive detonation and calculates the pressure loads as they build on the structure. The pressure information for the entire mesh is saved to the common data model and format XDMF at regular intervals. Once the load on the structure has dissipated, the CTH calculation is halted.

5. Extracting Pressure-Time Histories

Using the original thin shell structure, the pressure information is “probed” in order to generate a pressure-vs.-time history for each of the shell elements. That is, data are mapped, using interpolation, from the structured mesh onto points at the center of the shell faces. This information can then be input into the LS-Dyna calculation to simulate the structural deformation that will result from the explosion impinging on the vehicle structure. Sometimes the probed pressure observed directly on the surface can oscillate in the calculation. It is usually desirable to probe the pressure values just off of the surface. For this reason, the pressure values are sampled a small distance in the direction of the shell normal vector, placing them into the blast field and off of the surface. This is depicted in figure 4.




Figure 4. Pressures are probed slightly off of the original surface.

6. An Example

As a test case, a complex vehicle hull shape was taken from an LS-Dyna input file and converted using the previously described method. Shown in figure 5 is the original structure with an isosurface of the blast from CTH.




Figure 5. Mine blast interacting with vehicle hull.

Since there were experimental results available for this configuration, the results of the entire simulation can be compared. To test the coupling method itself, results were compared with simple geometries that could be accomplished with the tracer point methods described earlier. Validation of the entire simulation will require validation of many factors, including the blast and material models in both CTH and LS-Dyna.

The process was accomplished with the development of several scripts written in the Python³ scripting language. Python provides a convenient method to “glue” together many smaller software components. For example, XDMF access, the Visualization Toolkit,⁴ and the various parsers are combined to create a single tool, without re-compiling or linking any system code.

The first script accomplishes the parsing of the original LS-Dyna input and generates an XDMF dataset of the extruded tetrahedral mesh. The next script uses this mesh as input and generates both the CTH input file and the geometry file needed for material insertion. The last script processes the CTH output and generates the pressure-vs.-time histories and formats them for input to LS-Dyna.

7. Summary

The Interdisciplinary Computing Environment provides a new capability for the physics-based simulation of weapon-target interactions. This new capability is being used at ARL in armor/anti-armor designs and survivability of new systems against land mine threats.

³ Python home page. <http://www.python.org> (accessed 18 May 2004).

⁴ Schroeder, W.; Martin, K.; Lorensen, B. The Visualization Toolkit 2nd Eds; Prentice Hall PTR: Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1998.

Bibliography

Littlefield, D. L. *A Brief Description of New Algorithms Incorporated into CTH: A Model for Rigid Obstacles and Interface for Coupling With Structural Codes*; Texas Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics, The University of Texas: Austin, TX, 2001.

Clarke, J. A.; Namburu, R. R. A Distributed Computing Environment for Interdisciplinary Applications. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* **2002**, *14* (13), 1161–1174.

Clarke, J. Emulating Shared Memory to Simplify Distributed Memory Programming. *IEEE Computational Science and Engineering* **1997**, *4* (1), 55–62.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1
(PDF
ONLY) DEFENSE TECHNICAL
INFORMATION CTR
DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
1 DIR USARL
AMSRD ARL CI OK TP (BLDG 4600)

1 US ARMY RSRCH DEV &
ENGRG CMD
SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS
INTEGRATION
AMSRD SS T
6000 6TH ST STE 100
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5608

1 INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY
THE UNIV OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN
3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400
AUSTIN TX 78759-5316

1 US MILITARY ACADEMY
MATH SCI CTR EXCELLENCE
MADN MATH
THAYER HALL
WEST POINT NY 10996-1786

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
IMNE AD IM DR
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRD ARL CI OK TL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

3 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
AMSRD ARL CS IS T
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

3 DIR USARL
AMSRD ARL CI H
C NIETUBICZ
AMSRD ARL CI HC
R NAMBURU
D GROVE