

Pavel Simacek

MODELING AND SIMULATION OF MOLD FILLING WITH LIMS

P. Simacek
UD-CCM

UD-CCM • 2 July 2003

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 26 AUG 2004		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Modeling And Simulation Of Mold Filling Processes				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Delaware Center for Composite Materials Newark, DE 19716				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001700, Advanced Materials Intelligent Processing Center: Phase IV., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 55	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Outline




- **What is the Computer Simulation (Modeling)**
- **Why Do We Need Simulation**
- **Computer Modeling - Basics:**
 - ✦ **Experimental Characterization**
 - ✦ **Process Modeling**
 - ✧ Analytic
 - ✧ Numeric
- **Computer Modeling - Advanced:**
 - ✦ **Control and Sensing**
 - ✦ **Optimization**
- **Putting the Whole Package Together or the Art of Integration**
- **Conclusions**


RTM and VARTM Processes




RTM




VARTM



What Is To Be Simulated?




The Model








Model Creation




- Choose the output of interest
 - ◆ Decide the accuracy
- Figure out the governing equations
 - ◆ Decide of what is important
 - ◆ Decide of what input and environment parameters are considered
- Solve the equations
 - ◆ Numerical solution
- Number of Sources of Error
 - ◆ Mathematicians mislead!




Keel Beam And Tooling



Flow Fronts in a 40-ft. Keel Beam



Flow Fronts in a 40 ft Keel Beam



Why Do We Need Simulation Tools?



Can We See Into the Mold?

Can We Measure What is Happening?


If We Could, How Much Good Can Come Out Of It?

Can We See The Flowfront?





Stiffening bars

Squeezed rubber





Can We 'Measure' it?



Flexible
Tekscan
sensor

Handle


Serial
interface box



In Order to Obtain Visual Input, You Must Have:




To Get:



In Order to Obtain Input From Simulation, You Need:



To Get:



Why Do We Need Simulation Tools?



- **Can We See Into the Mold?**
 - ◆ In RTM Manufacturing NO!
 - ◆ In VARTM or Laboratory A little
- **Can We Measure What is Happening?**
 - ◆ Yes, but at High Cost. On Top, the Used Technology is Immature.
- **If We Could, How Much Good Can Come Out Of It?**
 - ◆ Visual/Sensorial Examination Requires Mold and Part to Be Real
 - ◆ Errors -> Post Mortem Analysis -> New Trial
- **PREDICTIVE CAPABILITY IS NEEDED!**

What Is Needed for Modeling



➤ Computer Modeling Basics:

- ◆ Experimental Characterization of Used Materials.
- ◆ Process Modeling - Equations and Their Solution.
 - ✧ Analytic.
 - ✧ Numeric.
- ◆ Tricks of the Trade.

➤ Computer Modeling Advanced:


- ◆ Modeling Control and Sensing and “Intelligent” Manufacturing Processes.
- ◆ Process Optimization.

Lesson I. : Knowing the Material



- **Characterization of Materials**
 - ◆ **Resins**
 - ◆ **Preforms**
- **Preform Data that Influence the Process**
 - ◆ **Permeability**
 - ◆ **Porosity**
 - ◆ **Thermal Characteristics**
- **Ways to Obtain Them**
 - ◆ **Computer Simulations (Hypothetic)**
 - ◆ **Experimental**
- **Other Uses of Experiment**
 - ◆ **Verification!**

Numerical Permeability Prediction



$$-\frac{K_{zz}(p^B - p^A)}{h h^F} - \frac{1}{6h} \left(\frac{\partial ((h^A)^3 \partial p^A / \partial x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial ((h^A)^3 \partial p^A / \partial y)}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$


$$\frac{K_{zz}(p^B - p^A)}{h h^F} - \frac{1}{6h} \left(\frac{\partial ((h^B)^3 \partial p^B / \partial x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial ((h^B)^3 \partial p^B / \partial y)}{\partial y} \right) = 0$$

Input to model: geometry: $h_A(x, y), h_F(x, y), h_B(x, y)$


Output: K_{xx}, K_{yy}, K_{xy}

transverse permeability: K_{zz}


Experimental Permeability Prediction




0° shear



10° shear




20° shear



Problem: Many Experiments


Permeability vs. V_f Measurement



- ◆ Detailed measurements of permeability vs. V_f by changing the compaction load

- ◆ Steady state 1-D flow


Experiments were performed by Mats Erninger




VARTM Specific Measurement : Distribution Media




Direct (1D)



Heterogenous Porous
Media Approach





Distribution
Media



Structural
layer




VARTM Specific Measurement : Transverse Permeability



Top: Bottom view of point injection into dry preform, with blackened corn syrup

Left: PERMSTAT set-up

Experimental Validation of TekScan Sensor and Flow



Lesson II. : Describe and Model the Process



➤ Equations Dependent on Measured (or Predicted) Data

◆ Darcy's Equation

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = - \frac{\mathbf{K}}{h} \cdot \nabla p$$

◆ Continuity Equation

➤ Boundary Conditions (More Data)

◆ Pressure at Inlet

➤ Solution

◆ Analytic (Closed Form...)

◆ Resorting to Computers


➤ Post-processing

◆ Trying to Make Sense of the Results


LIMS GUI and Using LIMS




Part Design/Meshing CAD Software




Permeability Measurement



Permeability and Preform Properties Computation PERM, DRAPE, ...




Preparing Data for Simulation LIMS UI (User Interface)



- Converting Input Data
- Setting Material Properties
- Creating Gates and Vents
- Creating and Editing Control LBASICFiles

Running Simulation LIMS or LIMSSLV

Directly From LIMS UI




From Command Line


- Simulating Filling Procedure
- Executing Control Operations
- Writing Desired Output

Post-processing Results LIMS UI, TECPLOT, ...


Flow Patterns




Pressure




Mid-plane Temperature



Saturation




Simulation Capabilities I



Simulation Capabilities II




Preform Deformation (Draping)



Scripting Control

```
REM Procedure KGFILL is called to fill opened file
REM With KANAW type gates of MaxP,MaxQ at nodes
REM given as
REM 3rd and further arguments
REM KGFILL MaxQ,MaxP,11,12 .... 1n
```


```
PROC KGFILL
  DEFINT NoNodes,1
  DEFDBL MaxP,MaxQ
  LET MaxQ=ARGUMENT(1)
  LET MaxP=ARGUMENT(2)
  LET NoNodes=ARGC-2
  DIM Nd(NoNodes)
  FOR I=1 TO NoNodes
    LET Nd(I)=ARGUMENT(I+2)
    SETGATE (Nd(I),2,MaxQ)
  NEXT I
  DO
    SOLVE
    FOR I=1 TO NoNodes
      CALL KANBATE Nd(I),M
    NEXT I
    LOOP WHILE !SQNUMBEREMPT
    ERASE NoNodes,1,MaxP,MaxQ
  ENDPROC
```




Simulation Capabilities III



Non-Isotropic Problems




Flowfronts



Midplane temperature


Graphical User Interface




Simulation Capabilities Examples




Saturation of Tows




FASTRAC





Sequential VARTM Injection




Integration of 2D and 3D elements




Distribution Media Modeling




Distribution Media Modeling I.



Distribution Media Modeling II.



A few clicks later...



Lesson III. : Sensing and Control



- **Is What Was Predicted Really Happening?**
 - ✦ **Not Sure! There May Be Various Disturbances**
- **Let's Check**
 - ✦ **Common Sense Feedback**
 - ✦ **Sensors and Sensing**
 - ✧ Flowfront Position
 - ✧ Pressure and Flowrate
 - ✧ Temperature
- **Never Test For an Error Condition You Cannot Handle: The Control**
 - ✦ **Multiple Injection Gates and Vents**
 - ✦ **Taking Control Action**

Disturbances I



Where Do the Disturbances Come From ?

Preform Deformation

Inaccurate Preform Cutting

Inaccurate Material Properties

How Do We Detect Disturbances ?

When Process Fails


When Part does not Pass Quality Control

Disturbances II



How To Predict Possible Disturbances ?

Common Sense



Experience


Rules of Thumb

♦ Very Rare

Sensors: How to Detect Disturbances



- Pointwise
- Lineal
 - ◆ Electrical
 - ◆ Optical
- Visual Input
 - ◆ Smartweave™
 - ◆ Tekscan™
 - ◆ Visual (Camera)



Control Possibilities



➤ Possible:



- ◆ Open/Close Gates
- ◆ Close Vents
- ◆ Control Pressure or Flow-Rate in Existing Gates
- ◆ Tinker with Injected Resin

➤ Barely Possible:

- ◆ Control Distribution Media
- ◆ Control Compaction

➤ Not Possible

- ◆ Tinker with Preform and Distribution Media Locations



Can We Model the Disturbances and Sensors?




- **Variations in Resin Viscosity?**
 - ◆ **Yes, Primary Input Value**
- **Variations in Preform Permeability and Porosity?**
 - ◆ **As Above**
- **Racetracking Channels?**
 - ◆ **Multiple Options:**
 - ✧ High Permeability
 - ✧ 1D Elements
- **Sensor and Control Modeling**
 - ◆ **In LIMS One Can Access All the Data During Simulation and Change Settings Accordingly**


LIMS UI - Changing Permeability



LIMS Alone



LIMS UI




Hope you remember element numbers....

Modeling Racetracking Channel I.



Raise Permeability in Edge Elements!





Modeling Racetracking Channel II.




Add 1D Elements!

A few clicks later...




1D Elements for Racetracking



Simple Adaptive Filling: Sensor Model



Frame 001 | 27 Jun 2002 | controlledfill.tec




Optimization



- So Far We Just Tried to Make It
- The Real Challenge: How to make it Better
- What does Constitute “Better” (Cost Function) ?
 - ◆ Faster or Cheaper
 - ◆ Stronger or Better-Quality
 - ◆ Compromise between those Two
- What Can we Modify (Parameters)?
 - ◆ Part Design (Off-limits for Processing Only)
 - ◆ Material
 - ◆ Tooling and Process Parameters
 - ◆ Distribution Media
- How to Relate the Parameters to the Cost Function
 - ◆ !!! Efficiently !!!


Simple Optimization



Optimal Filling
Process

Simulation of all
feasible scenarios

Optimal Auxiliary Vent Locations



Cost Function:

Weighted average dry area size per each scenario

Nominal case: 24.10


Optimization Problem:

Given the number of auxiliary vents, find the vent configuration that will minimize the cost function.

Tolerances:

A dry spot which include 3 or less nodes is ignored.

An area of radius 3 nodes around a vent is considered safe



Optimal Auxiliary Vent Locations



Given:


- Vend Candidate Pool
- Number of Auxiliary Vents

Permute Nodes in the Pool to obtain Vent Configurations

Output:

- Optimal Vent Configurations

Apply Scenario Space to Each Vent Configuration and Estimate Cost Function



Exhaustive Search

- 551 nodes in the candidate pool
- 151,525 possibilities for 2 auxiliary vents

Exhaustive Search II:

- 104 nodes in the candidate pool
- 5,356 possibilities for 2 auxiliary vents

Exhaustive Search III:

- 7 nodes in the candidate pool
- 21 possibilities for 2 auxiliary vents

Results, 2-Vent Configurations



Exhaustive Search II

5,356 vent configurations

Best Aux. Vent Configuration
Vents @ nodes [1 72]

Cost function: 16.4


Exhaustive Search III

21 vent configurations

Best Aux. Vent Configuration
[68 1] numbered nodes

Cost function: 17.4





neighbors



Accuracy loss: 6.1 %

Computational
Savings: 99.6 %


Optimization of Fill Time: The Quest for Effectiveness




Optimization: “Distribution” of Distribution Media





Part




Flowfronts



Distribution Media



Can We Expect Any Problems?




Original Preform Permeability



$$K_{\text{bulk}} = 1.10^{-10}$$
$$K_{\text{DM}} = 1.10^{-8}$$

Reduced Preform Permeability

$$K_{\text{bulk}} = 1.10^{-11}$$
$$K_{\text{DM}} = 1.10^{-8}$$



Can We Help It?



Conclusions I.



- **Filling Process Can Be Accurately Simulated**
- **Tools Are Readily Available**
- **Simulation Allows Design of Process without Expensive Trial-and-Error Stage.**
- **Process Control and Optimization Require Flexible Simulation Tools**
 - ◆ **Repetitive Virtual Processes are Required**
- **It Is Possible to Deal with Process Disturbances via Automated Control**
 - ◆ **Simulation is Necessary for Design of Non-trivial Process Control**
- **It is Possible to Optimize Process Design With Respect to Various Cost Functions**
 - ◆ **Simulation is Necessary for Non-trivial Cases**

Conclusions II.



- **Simulation Tools Depend on Experimental Methods (or Additional Simulation) for Input Data**
 - ◆ **Permeability Components**
 - ◆ **Also Resin Data, Porosity, etc.**
- **Simulation, Optimization and Control Require Occasional Experimental Verification**
- **User Expertise Is Still Important**
 - ◆ **Building Part Model(s)**
 - ◆ **Prediction of Possible Disturbances**
 - ◆ **Dealing with Cost Functions**

Credits



Dr. K.T. Hsiao
Nuno Correia
Jeffrey Acheson
Jeffrey Lawrence
Dhiren Modi
Christof Ledermann
Steffen Schulze
Kai Broszat
Jost F. Neumann
Mark Schlieker

Mathieu Devillard
Ali Gokce
Hubert Stadfeld
Mathias Behrens
Swen Elpelt
Angelika Geyer
Yeshwanth Rao
Ben Lenhard
And others....