

Templated Electrochemical Deposition of Nanostructured Materials and their Applications.

P. N. Bartlett

University of Southampton



Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 00 JUN 2003		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Templated Electrochemical Deposition of Nanostructured Materials and their Applications				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Southampton				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM001697, ARO-44924.1-EG-CF, International Conference on Intelligent Materials (5th) (Smart Systems & Nanotechnology)., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Electrodeposition

- $M^{n+}(\text{aq}) + n\mathbf{e} \rightarrow M(\text{s})$
- $M(\text{aq}) \rightarrow (M)_n + 2n\mathbf{e}$
- Control over rate/amount/thickness
 - E , i , Q and t
- Control over composition
- Coatings on conducting substrates
- Coatings on patterned substrates
- Thin films, large areas, membranes


Electrodeposition

- Metals
 - Pt, Pd, Ni, Au, Ag, Cu,...
 - more reactive metals (Sn, Zn,...)
 - alloys
- Oxides
 - Cu_2O , ZnO , PbO_2 , WO_3 ,....
- Polymers
 - conducting polymers (pyrrole, aniline,...)
 - insulating polymers (phenol, diamminobenzene,...)

The Driving Force for Electron Transfer


Electron transfer is an event on a molecular scale.

The electron is charged - the driving force is therefore the local potential gradient between the electrode and solution at the interface.




The required potential difference also depends on the molecular structures of the reactant/product

The potential distribution at the electrode electrolyte interface is determined by the balance of coulombic forces between the ions and the electrode and random thermal motion. For concentrated electrolyte solutions (e.g. 0.1 M) most of the potential is dropped in a thin layer one ion thick at the electrode surface (the Helmholtz layer) with the diffuse layer making up the rest.



The Potential Distribution

When you change the potential of the electrode you change the charge on the electrode and the distribution of ions in solution close to/at the electrode surface:



the electrode behaves like a capacitor


Electron Transfer and Equilibrium

The current flowing is directly related to the **net** rate of electron transfer

$$I = nFj$$

where I is the current density, n the number of electrons transferred, F the Faraday (96480 C mol⁻¹) and j is the net flux (mol cm⁻² s⁻¹).

At the equilibrium potential no net current flows because the rates of forward and backward reactions are exactly in balance.



$$j = \vec{j} + \overleftarrow{j} = 0$$

Equilibrium is a dynamic situation.

The position of equilibrium (i.e. where $I = 0$) is given by the Nernst Equation


$$E_e = E_e^{\circ} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{a_O}{a_R}$$

where E_e° is the standard potential for the redox couple, R the gas constant ($8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), F the Faraday (96480 C mol^{-1}), T the temperature, n the number of electrons transferred, and a_O and a_R the activities of the oxidised and reduced forms of the redox couple respectively. At $25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ RT/F is 25 mV .

For metal deposition, neglecting activity effects in the solution, this can be approximated by

$$E_e = E_e^{\circ} + \frac{RT}{zF} \ln [M^{z+}]$$

where $[M^{z+}]$ is the concentration of the metal ion in solution and recognising that the activity of the pure metal is 1.



E is related to the **thermodynamic driving force** for the reaction

$$\Delta G = -nFE$$

I is a measure of the **rate of the reaction**

$$I = nFj$$

where j is the **flux** in $\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Kinetics of Electron Transfer

The kinetics of electron transfer are described by the Butler Volmer equation

$$I = I_0 \left[\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_A nF}{RT} \mathbf{h}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{a}_C nF}{RT} \mathbf{h}\right) \right]$$

where it has the form $I = \bar{I} + \vec{I}$. Usually $\mathbf{a}_A = \mathbf{a}_C = 0.5$ where \mathbf{a}_A and \mathbf{a}_C are the anodic and cathodic transfer coefficients, I_0 is the exchange current density and \mathbf{h} is the overpotential ($\mathbf{h} = E - E_e$)

At most potentials, either the anodic or cathodic partial current totally dominates. For example, at positive overpotentials $\mathbf{h} > 100$ mV, the cathodic partial current density is negligible. Then

$$I = I_0 \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_A nF}{RT} \mathbf{h}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \log I = \log I_0 + \frac{\mathbf{a}_A nF}{2.3RT} \mathbf{h}$$

This is the Tafel equation.

The electron transfer step is not the only step in the electrode reaction and it may not be the rate limiting step.


There can also be chemical steps whose rate is not driven by the electrode potential such as



In addition mass transport of species from the solution bulk to the electrode surface may become rate limiting.

In general electrode reactions, and electrodeposition, involve a series a sequential reactions the slowest of which will determine the overall reaction rate and hence the overall current.

mass transport



chemical reaction



electron transfer




Nucleation and growth

Electrodeposition leads to the formation of a new phase deposited onto the electrode surface.

Consider the case of Cu deposition onto a C surface. The individual steps are:

1. Transport to the electrode surface
2. Electron transfer
3. Partial or complete desolvation
4. Surface diffusion
5. Formation of stable nucleus
6. Growth of nucleus



Growth of a large scale deposit proceeds in a number of stages:


clean surface




formation of 1st nucleus



further nucleation and growth




start of overlap



complete overlap




thickening



50 nm

Metal Deposition

Example: deposition of Pd
onto a vitreous carbon disc
electrode from 10 mM
 $\text{PdCl}_2 + 1 \text{ M KCl}$, pH 1.0,
 $v = 100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$.




Note:

- ✍ Diffusion controlled reduction peak at -60 mV.
- ✍ The “nucleation loop” in the potential range -50 to +260 mV where metal deposition occurs on the reverse scan but $j = 0$ on the forward scan (due to the need for an overpotential to force nucleation).
- ✍ The symmetrical “anodic stripping peak” on the reverse scan at +450 mV (the shape is due to the finite amount of metal deposited).
- ✍ Charge balance: the anodic charge = the total cathodic charge.

Electroplating

In general



where $M^{n+}(aq)$ is some suitable, soluble metal ion. Often the metal is complexed by some ligand (e.g. Cl^- , CN^- , acetate, etc.).

The choice of ligand (plating bath) has an important effect on the potential at which the deposition will occur, the rate of the metal deposition and the faradaic efficiency of the deposition.

Example of a plating bath for gold:

$KAu(CN)_2$ 40 g dm⁻³

KH_2PO_4 100 g dm⁻³

pH (KOH or H_3PO_4) 4.3-4.5

Gold is present as Au(I) in the form of the cyanide complex anion $[Au(CN)_2]^-$

Supporting electrolyte and pH control

There are often additives in the plating bath to improve the quality of the metal deposit

$$\text{Faradaic efficiency} = \frac{\text{Charge used to deposit metal}}{\text{Total charge passed}}$$

The Faradaic efficiency can be less than 100% because


- hydrogen is evolved along with metal deposition,
- some intermediate in the deposition escapes into bulk of solution,
- oxygen reduction occurs at the same time as metal deposition,
- etc.

In electroplating


- start/stop the process by controlling the potential, E
- we can control the rate of the metal deposition through choice of h , mass transport (stirring), concentration of metal ions, kinetics of associated chemical reactions
- we can control the amount of material deposited (thickness of metal) by controlling the charge passed (need to know the faradaic efficiency).

$$Q = \int i dt = nmF \quad \text{Faraday's law}$$

Electrochemical Polymerisation of Aniline




As more polymer is deposited with each cycle the peaks at 0.2 and 0.0 V for oxidation and reduction of the film grow in size.



0.5 M aniline, 1 M HCl, glassy carbon electrode (area 0.38 cm^2) sweep rate 50 mV s^{-1} .

nucleation loop on first cycle

Nanostructures



Why make nanostructured materials?


Mesoscale nanoarchitecture

(match feature size to coherence length)

Introducing regular nanoarchitectures on the 1-1000 nm scale alters the physical properties of materials


- ⊗ Effects of optical properties
 - photonic crystals, photonic mirrors
- ⊗ Effects on magnetic properties
- ⊗ Effects on superconducting properties
- ⊗ High surface area (catalysis)
- ⊗ Thin walls (rapid insertion reactions)
- ⊗ Free volume for expansion

Templated Electrodeposition




G. S. Attard, P. N. Bartlett, N. R. B. Coleman, J. M. Elliott, J. R. Owen, and J. H. Wang, "Nanostructured platinum films from lyotropic liquid crystalline phases", *Science*, **278**(1997)838-840.


Non-ionic Surfactants



Octaethylene glycol monododecyl ether ($C_{12}EO_8$)




Octaethylene glycol monohexadecyl ether ($C_{16}EO_8$)



Polyoxyethylene(10) cetyl ether (Brij® 56)

Phase diagram



G. S. Attard, P. N. Bartlett, N. R. B. Coleman, J. M. Elliott, and J. R. Owen, "Lyotropic liquid crystalline properties of nonionic surfactant/ H_2O /hexachloroplatinic acid ternary mixtures used for the production of nanostructured platinum", *Langmuir*, **14**, 1998, 7340-7342.

H_I-ePt Deposition

- Deposition

42% octaethyleneglycol
monohexadecyl ether (C₁₆EO₈)

29% H₂PtCl₆

29% water


25 - 65 ° C

-0.1 V vs SCE


- After deposition

remove surfactant by
washing with water


Electrochemistry:



Nanostructured Pt Films




H_I-ePt TEM



Deposited from: C₁₆EO₈: heptane 1:1

H_I-ePt TEM



Deposited from: C₁₆EO₈: heptane 1:1

Pt Cyclic voltammetry


Compare to polycrystalline Pt

Use charge (double layer capacitance, H adsorption, oxide stripping) to determine electroactive surface area

H₁-ePt
0.008 cm²
200 mV s⁻¹
2 M H₂SO₄
25 ° C

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{600 \text{ mV}}{200 \text{ mV s}^{-1}} \times 1.3 \text{ mA} = 3.9 \text{ mC}$$

Pt oxide stripping is 233 $\mu\text{C cm}^{-2}$





active surface area is $\sim 16.7 \text{ cm}^2 \rightarrow$ roughness ~ 2000

Control over structure

- $C_{12}EO_8$
17.5 ± 2 Å pores
- $C_{16}EO_8$
25 ± 1.5 Å pores
- $C_{16}EO_8$ /heptane (2:1)
35 ± 1.5 Å pores

Ia3d-ePt

Cubic V_1



50 nm

J. M. Elliott, G. S. Attard, P. N. Bartlett, N. R. B. Coleman, D. A. S. Merckel and J. R. Owen, "Nanostructured platinum (H_T -ePt) films: Effects of electrodeposition conditions on film properties", *Chem. Mater.*, **11** 1999, 3602-3609.

Examples of applications:

As electrode for O₂ reduction

P. R. Birkin, J. M. Elliott and Y. E. Watson, “Electrochemical reduction of oxygen on mesoporous platinum microelectrodes”, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1693-4.

As electrode for H₂O₂ electrochemistry

S. A. G. Evans, J. M. Elliott, L. M. Andrews, P. N. Bartlett, P. J. Doyle and G. Deunault, “Detection of hydrogen peroxide at mesoporous platinum microelectrodes”, *Anal. Chem.*, **74**, 2002, 1322-1326.

For use in batteries

A. H. Whitehead, J. M. Elliott, and J.R Owen, “Nanostructured tin for use as a negative electrode material in Li-ion batteries”, *J. Power Sources*, **81-82**, 1999, 33-8.

For use as catalyst in MeOH fuel cell





J. Jiang and A. Kucernak, “Electrooxidation of small organic molecules on mesoporous precious metal catalysts II: CO and methanol on platinum-ruthenium alloy”, *J Electroanal. Chem.*, **543**, 2003, 187-199.

Catalyst for gas sensor


P. N. Bartlett and S. Guerin, “A micromachined calorimetric gas sensor: An application of electrodeposited nanostructured palladium for the detection of combustible gases”, *Anal. Chem.*, **75**, 2003, 126-132.

Planar Pellistor

H₁-e Pd used as a catalyst on a micromachined planar methane sensor




Mesostructured films (20-1000 nm)



P. N. Bartlett, P. R. Birkin and M. A. Ghanem, "Electrochemical deposition of macroporous platinum, palladium and cobalt films using polystyrene latex sphere templates", *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1671-1672.

Assembly of the Template

Solution of Colloidal Spheres




Via attractive capillary forces


Micropatterned substrate via sedimentation

Electrophoretic deposition

Filtration



Water flux force direction




Optical microscope image for the 2D ordering process for colloidal spheres

Nagayama *et al.*, *Langmuir*, **15**, 5257(1999).

Advantages of electrodeposition

- 📖 it is a volume templating method
- 📖 no shrinkage of the material when the template is removed
- 📖 no further processing steps or use of high T
- 📖 diameter of voids is directly determined by diameter template spheres, d
- 📖 wide choice of materials
- 📖 control over film thickness, t
- 📖 produces thin supported layers
- 📖 different surface topography for $t < d$ and $t > d$
- 📖 for $t > d$ surface topography is modulated in a regular manner that depends on the deposition conditions.




Evolution of surface topography



$t/d \sim 1.5$







$t/d \sim 1.7$

P. N. Bartlett, J. J. Baumberg, P. R. Birkin, M. A. Ghanem and M. C. Netti, "Highly Ordered Macroporous Gold and Platinum Films formed by Electrochemical Deposition through Templates Assembled from Submicron Diameter Monodisperse Polystyrene Spheres" *Chem. Mater.*, **14**, 2002, 2199-2208.




Template assembled from 500 nm diameter polystyrene spheres, total deposition charge passed 2.80 C cm^{-2} . Scale bar $1.0 \mu\text{m}$.

Nanostructured Pt



Chem. Mater., **14**, 2002,
2199.



Reflectivity spectra at different thicknesses (500-1100 nm from top to bottom) for both TE and TM polarised incident light at 45° . The scale is logarithmic and the curves have been offset for clarity. The dotted curve is an unpatterned film electrodeposited under the same conditions.

Reflectance spectra for Au samples


- spectra are insensitive to azimuthal angle
- changes in angle of incidence alter relative intensity but not position of resonances
- effect is not seen for Co or Pt

Conclude that effect is due to localised
plasmon-polariton


Magnetic properties

Putting arrays of uniform sub-micron pores into magnetic materials alters their magnetic properties.

This happens both at 1 nm and 100 nm scales.




P. N. Bartlett, P. R. Birkin, M. A. Ghanem, P. de Groot and M. Sawicki, "The electrochemical deposition of nanostructured cobalt films from lyotropic liquid crystalline media", *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **148**, 2001, C119-C123.




Macroporous NiFe

d (nm)	H_c (Oe)
0.0	1.50
1000	20.0
750	55.0
500	58.0
200	81.0


The normalised in-plane magnetization curves measured at room temperature for electrochemically deposited macroporous NiFe alloy films using (a) 0.20, (b) 0.50, and (c) 1.00 μm polystyrene sphere templates. The plain NiFe alloy film (d) is shown for comparison. All films were 0.50 μm thick as measured by SEM.



Magnetic properties of nanostructured films vary with pore diameter and geometry




vary pore size




vary film thickness

A. A. Zhukov, A. V. Goncharov, P. A. J. de Groot, M. A. Ghanem, I. S. El-Hallag and P. N. Bartlett, "Coercivity of 3D nanoscale magnetic arrays from self-assembly template methods", *J. Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, submitted.


P. N. Bartlett, M. A. Ghanem, I. S. El Hallag, P. de Groot, A. Zhukov, "Electrochemical Deposition of Macroporous Magnetic Networks using Colloidal Templates", *J. Mater. Chem.*, submitted.




0.1 M Pb(OAc)₂, 1 M NaOAc



0.1 M Pb(NO₃)₂, 1 M HNO₃



P. N. Bartlett, T. Dunford and M. A. Ghanem, “Templated electrochemical deposition of nanostructured macroporous PbO₂”, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **12**, 2002, 3130-3135.




Spandrels

Macroporous poly(aniline)/poly(vinylsulfonate) (750 nm sphere template), film thickness approximately three times the sphere diameter; deposition charge = 59 mC/cm².

P. N. Bartlett, P. R. Birkin, M. A. Ghanem and C-S. Toh, "Electrochemical syntheses of highly ordered macroporous conducting polymers using self-assembled colloidal templates", *J. Materials Chem.*, **11**, 2001, 849-853.

Interconnected
channels



Macroporous poly(aniline)/poly(styrenesulfonate) (750 nm sphere template) showing interconnected channels; deposition charge = 31 mC/cm².

Further Reading

D. Pletcher, *A First Course in Electrode Processes*,
The Electrochemical Consultancy (Romsey) , 1991.

P.H. Reiger, *Electrochemistry*, Prentice-Hall, 1987.

M. Paunovic and M. Schlessinger, *Fundamentals of
Electrochemical Deposition*, Wiley, 1998.

M. Paunovic and M. Schlessinger, *Modern Electroplating*, 4th
Edn., Wiley, 2000.