

## Approximate Angular Directional Representation of Geometrical Objects

**Debasis Mitra**

Department of Computer Sciences  
 Florida Institute of Technology  
 Melbourne, Florida  
 Email: *dmitra@cs.fit.edu*

Consider a scenario where a military intelligence ground-operative encounters an array of radars during a reconnaissance mission in an enemy territory, where the shape of the array is important to determine its purpose. However, he does not have requisite gadgets to make any accurate positional assessment about the array. He starts noting down the approximate angular direction of each radar from its previous radar position in the array, with respect to the North (say, by observing the Pole Star in the sky). Thus, he tours around each point in the array and records this information. Obviously, without an appropriate gadget the angular directions “estimated” will be very qualitative in nature. In this paper we propose a scheme for, and discuss the related issues involved in, such a qualitative angular representation of geometrical objects. Primarily we are concerned here in studying the shape of a polygon that could be encaptured using the *Star-ontology* representation scheme developed in the literature.




Figure 1: Cardinal-direction ontology




Figure 2: A Star-ontology( $\alpha$ )

In “Star-ontology” the point objects are related in a 2D-Euclidean space. The basic relations between a pair of points are relative angular directions between them. For example, in a special case of Star-ontology (Cardinal-directions, [Ligozat, 1998]) the basic relations between a pair of points are  $\{Equal, East, Northeast, North, Northwest, West, Southwest, South, Southeast\}$ , where *East, West, North, South* are linear directions, the other four *corner* relations are the four Cartesian quadrants (Figure 1). The angular zoning here is with 90-degrees angle. Star-ontology [Mitra, 2002] generalizes this over any arbitrary angle  $\theta$ , where  $\theta = 360/\alpha$  degrees, for any even integer  $\alpha$ . Surprisingly, odd value of  $\alpha$  is useless for any representation or reasoning purpose. In the Star-ontology the set of basic relations has  $2\alpha + 1$  number of elements. Mitra has designated them [Mitra, 2002] by a set of numbers,  $\{0 \equiv Equal, 1 \equiv East, 2, 3, \dots, 2\alpha\}$ , even numbered relations represent 2D conic-section regions, while the odd numbered relations are the lines radiating like spokes of a wheel from the referred point (Figure 2). *Assertion 1:* In order to represent an n-point polygon it is necessary and sufficient to have n number of binary relations between every ordered pair of consecutive points of the polygon in either clockwise or counter-clockwise sense. *Example:* A quadrilateral is described in  $\alpha=12$  ( $\theta =30$ -degrees) as  $\{(p1 \ 2 \ p2), (p2 \ 22 \ p3), (p3 \ 14 \ p4), (p4 \ 8 \ p1)\}$ , where  $(p1 \ 2 \ p2)$  means

## Report Documentation Page

*Form Approved*  
*OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE <b>00 DEC 2004</b>	2. REPORT TYPE <b>N/A</b>	3. DATES COVERED <b>-</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>Approximate Angular Directional Representation of Geometrical Objects</b>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
		5b. GRANT NUMBER	
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
		5e. TASK NUMBER	
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Department of Computer Sciences Florida Institute of Technology Melbourne, Florida</b>		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release, distribution unlimited</b>			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>See also ADM001736, Proceedings for the Army Science Conference (24th) Held on 29 November - 2 December 2005 in Orlando, Florida.</b>			
14. ABSTRACT			
15. SUBJECT TERMS			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	<b>UU</b>
			18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>2</b>
			19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

point  $p_2$  is at zone 2 with respect to point  $p_1$ . The scheme is obviously inaccurate from a strict quantitative point of view, and a polygon recovered from a given description may be distorted. The maximum distortion of the internal angles in representing polygons with such an approximate description is  $2\theta$  (Theorem 1) and the angular deviation of the whole polygon is maximum  $\theta$  (Theorem 2).

The algorithm for reconstructing the polygon from the approximate description is further influenced by additional constraint of Euclidean geometry that the inner angles must add up to  $(n\pi - 2\pi)$ , for an  $n$ -points polygon. This recovery algorithm follows a constraint satisfaction algorithm that first checks if the description is consistent, which is not necessarily true. In general case such a consistency checking problem is NP-hard and is unlikely to have any efficient or polynomial algorithm. However, we have proved [Mitra, 2004] that under a reasonable approximation that the inaccuracy is less than 180-degree, a polynomial algorithm (*path consistency algorithm*, e.g., [Allen, 1983]) will solve the problem.

The proposed qualitative spatial knowledge representation and reasoning scheme is likely to make an automated command, control and communications more efficient.

## References

- [Allen, 1983] James F. Allen. Maintaining Knowledge About Temporal Intervals. *Communications of ACM* 26, vol.11, pp.832-843, 1983.
- [Ligozat, 1998] Gerard Ligozat. Reasoning about Cardinal directions. *Journal of Visual Languages and Computing*, Vol. 9, pp. 23-44, Academic Press, 1998.
- [Mitra, 2002] Debasis Mitra. Qualitative Reasoning with Arbitrary Angular Directions, *Workshop Notes on Spatial and Temporal Reasoning, AAAI*. Edmonton, Canada, 2002.
- [Mitra, 2004] Debasis Mitra. Modeling and Reasoning with Star Calculus: An Extended Abstract. *Eighth International Symposium on AI and Math*. Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, January 2004.