

Parallel Matlab Computation for STAP Clutter Scattering Function Estimation and Moving Target Estimation *

Roger Chamberlain, Daniel R. Fuhrmann, John Maschmeyer, and Lisandro Boggio

Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri

Phone: 314-935-5708

Email Address: roger@ccrc.wustl.edu

Email Address: danf@ee.wustl.edu

Abstract

Conventional moving target estimation in STAP radar applications is based, in part, on adaptive clutter scattering function estimation techniques. These techniques classically rely on radar return data from adjacent range gates to estimate the clutter scattering function for the range gate of interest. Here, we are interested in using geographical information systems in conjunction with accurate platform positioning information as the basis for the clutter scattering function estimation. The goal is to improve the effectiveness of moving target estimation techniques by providing additional information to the decision-making process.

Our problem formulation is consistent with the model for space-time adaptive processing (STAP) presented in [1]. A pulse-Doppler radar platform with multiple transmit/receive elements emits several pulse train along an arbitrary flight path, such as a circle around the region of interest. Each pulse train is assumed to be perfectly coherent within one coherent processing interval (CPI), but different pulse trains are assumed noncoherent with respect to one another. The ground region is subdivided into pixels, or ground patches. The range and angle of each ground patch with respect to the platform for each transmitted pulse is assumed known, along with the illumination pattern. The received data for one pulse is modeled as the sum of the returns from all of the ground patches, each modulated by the transmit illumination. The data from all pulses or viewpoints is modeled in this way. Maximum-likelihood methodology is used to estimate the unknown scattering function [2] and a variant of the Adaptive Matched Filter [3] is used for moving target estimation.




Figure 1: Artificial scattering function example. True scattering function, estimated scattering function, and estimated with land-use aggregation.

* This work is supported by DARPA through a contract with AFRL, No. F30602-03-2-0043.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 FEB 2005		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Parallel Matlab Computation for STAP Clutter Scattering Function Estimation and Moving Target Estimation				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM00001742, HPEC-7 Volume 1, Proceedings of the Eighth Annual High Performance Embedded Computing (HPEC) Workshops, 28-30 September 2004 Volume 1., The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 27	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Figure 1 illustrates the scattering function estimation. This is an artificially low-dimensional simulation in which there are 550 ground patches, each associated with one of 9 different land-use values. The land-use value determines the clutter scattering function in each ground patch.

In the data collection scenario the radar platform moves in a circular flight path around the region of interest, as illustrated in Figure 2. The left panel of Figure 1 shows the true scattering function, the middle panel shows the estimated scattering function when the land use is not assumed known, and the right panel shows the estimated scattering function when pixels with the same land-use are assumed to have the same scattering function value (we call this land-use aggregation).




Figure 2: Simulated flight pattern for artificial scattering function example

An important question that arises is the assessment of the computational requirements associated with this application as the data set is scaled up to realistic sizes. To investigate this issue, a 15 km radius region centered on the Lake of the Ozarks in central Missouri is used as a full data set test case. With a pixel (ground patch) dimension of approximately 30 m, the region of interest comprises about 200,000 pixels. Figure 3 shows the illumination pattern on the ground for the full data set from a pair of looks. The digital terrain elevation map comes from a publicly-available website operated by the U.S. Geological Survey [4].




Figure 3: Illumination of full data set from different looks.

Our current activities include the execution of clutter scattering function estimation and moving target estimation on the full dataset illustrated above. The scattering function is based on 21 classes of land cover provided by the geographic information system. Given the large memory requirements for each look (greater than 250 MB/look); the computations are being executed in parallel (using MatlabMPI [5]) across 9 processors in a master-slave arrangement. The master processor is responsible for overall

coordination of the computations, and the 8 slave processors are each responsible for an individual look. We will present quantitative performance measurements on these parallel computations, including parallel efficiency as well as quality of results on the clutter scattering function and moving target estimates.

- [1] J. Ward. Space-time adaptive processing for airborne radar. MIT Lincoln Laboratory Technical Report 1015, December 1994.
- [2] D. Fuhrmann and L. Boggio. Radar imaging from multiple viewpoints and multiple noncoherent data sets. In *Proc.2004 Conf. Information Science and Systems*, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, March 2004.
- [3] F. Robey, D. Fuhrmann, E. Kelly, and R. Nitzberg. A CFAR adaptive matched filter detector. *IEEE Trans. Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 208-206, January 1992.
- [4] The National Map Seamless Data Distribution System, <http://seamless.usgs.gov>
- [5] Jeremy Kepner. Parallel Programming with MatlabMPI, In *Proc. of High Performance Embedded Computing Workshop*, September 2001.



Washington University in St. Louis


Parallel Matlab Computation for STAP Clutter Scattering Function Estimation and Moving Target Estimation

*Roger Chamberlain, Daniel R. Fuhrmann,
John Maschmeyer, and Lisandro Boggio*

**School of Engineering and Applied Science
Washington University in St. Louis**

This work was supported by the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency through a contract with the U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory, No. F30602-03-2-0043.

Context



Problem: Detect ground moving targets
in the presence of ground clutter

Context

- Wide-area surveillance airborne radar
- Arbitrary flight path
- Multiple sensors and Doppler pulses
- Space-time adaptive processing (STAP)
 - Better knowledge of the clutter covariance matrix gives better detection performance

Objective: Estimate the clutter covariance matrix and detect moving targets


Approach

- Ground subdivided into pixels or ground patches
 - Known range and angle of each patch with respect to airborne platform
 - Known illumination pattern
 - Received data: sum of returns from targets and all patches on the ground
 - Prior knowledge is available:
 - Digital Terrain Elevation Maps
 - Land use information
-

Terrain Simulation

- **Region of Interest**

- Lake of the Ozarks
- 15 km diameter
- 197,316 pixels
- 30m resolution



Datasets


- Obtained from USGS Seamless Data Server
 - 30m resolution
 - Digital Elevation Model
 - Used for modeling geometry
 - Land Use
 - Scattering function based on 21 classes of land cover
 - 9 primary classes
 - Water, Developed, Barren, Forested Upland, Shrubland, Non-Natural Woody, Herbaceous Upland Natural/Semi-natural Vegetation, Herbaceous Planted/Cultivated, Wetlands
 - Each class contains one or more categories, e.g.
 - Open Water, High-Intensity Residential, Deciduous Forest, Row Crops
 - Scattering function chosen arbitrarily for simulation
-

Coordinate Systems

- Datasets referenced in spherical coordinates
 - Latitude, Longitude, Elevation
 - Convert to Cartesian Coordinates
 - Simpler to use over small region
 - Computations can be made independent of Earth model
-


Coordinate Conversion

- First Stage
 - Origin at Earth's center
 - Use Geodetic Reference System 1980 (GRS80)
- Second Stage
 - Move origin to center of region of interest
 - Elevation along Z-axis
 - North along positive Y-axis




Coordinate Systems

- Adjacent data samples grouped into patches
 - Each patch, or pixel, contains:
 - Location for each corner
 - Location of center
 - Scattering function
 - Normal vectors
- 197,316 pixels in all



Simulation Setup



- Platform moves around region of interest
 - Actual flight path is arbitrary
 - Eight looks
-

SIMULATION PARAMETERS

- Platform
 - Flies in circular path around region
 - Radius 25 km
 - Altitude 7 km
 - 8 different viewpoints
 - Radar
 - f_c : 10 GHz
 - BW: 10 MHz
 - PRF: 2 KHz
 - Pulses per CPI: 38
 - ULA elements: 12
 - Range gates: 990
-

Geometry Parameters

- Geometry dependent parameters required for simulation
 - Range to each pixel
 - Projected area of each pixel
 - Incident energy incorporates range and projected area of patch
 - Occluded pixels
 - Patches hidden from radar are removed using Z-buffer algorithm
 - Patches sorted by distance from radar
 - Any patch facing backwards or directly behind another is removed
 - Angle between platform's velocity vector and line of sight to each pixel
-

Datacube Generation

- Received data from a single patch

Return from n^{th} path is a random variable

$$u_k(n) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \lambda_k(n)\sigma_n)$$



$$\mathbf{z}_k = \underbrace{\mathbf{s}_k}_{\text{return from targets}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{n}_k}_{\text{receiver noise}} + \sum_{n=1}^N \underbrace{u_k(n)}_{\text{Return from } n^{\text{th}} \text{ path is a random variable}} \underbrace{a_k(n)}_{\text{Radar response vector}}$$

$\mathbf{n}_k(n) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \epsilon \mathbf{I}_M)$

- Response at a single range gate
 - Sum over all patches in range gate
-

ILLUMINATION

Illumination from different looks



Scattering Function Estimation

- Prototype designed and tested first
 - Implements EM algorithm
 - Uses a Small-Scale Dataset with 554 pixels
 - EM algorithm requires response vector for each pixel, in each look
 - For Small-Scale Simulation
 - 2,020,992 complex doubles
 - 30.8 MB of data
 - Large-Scale Simulation contains 197,316 pixels
 - 719,808,768 complex doubles
 - 10.73 GB
-

Memory Reducing Techniques

- Maintain only the Doppler and spatial vectors
 - Compute Kronecker product as needed
 - Reduces requirements to 1.17 GB
 - Lookup Table
 - Finely sampled table containing Doppler and spatial vectors
 - Indexed by a single value
 - Further reduces memory requirements
 - 10.64 MB when using a 10,000 entry table
-

The Need For Parallelism


- The EM Algorithm can be parallelized in multiple ways
 - Across looks
 - Across range gates
 - Parallelism improves the algorithm
 - Significant speedup in processing time
 - Additional physical memory available
 - Only 150 MB needed per look for the response vectors (250 MB when all other necessary data are included)
 - More effective cache
 - Possible gain when using a Lookup Table
-

Parallelism Using MatlabMPI

- MatlabMPI provides parallel interface
 - Allows passing of messages between multiple systems that share a file system
 - Use 9 parallel threads (1 master, 8 slaves)
 - Slaves perform iterations of the EM algorithm on a single look
 - Master provides slaves with data and collects results from each iteration
 - Messages only sent at beginning and end of each iteration
-

Results


- Small-Scale Simulation
 - Provides results identical to prototype version
 - Runs 4% slower than non-parallel version
 - Computation for a single look is too fast to gain from parallelism
 - Message passing overhead too large
 - Not a problem for full-scale simulation




Results

- Full-Scale Simulation
 - Does not use Lookup Table
 - To avoid large messages, some inputs are read from disk
 - Execution Environment
 - 2.4 GHz Pentium IV processors w/ hyperthreading
 - 1 GB RAM each
 - 4 nodes
-

Results



Detection Example




- Two artificial targets
- In small-scale environment
- Binary detection problem

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_k + \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{n}_k & H_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_k \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{n}_k & H_0 \end{cases}$$

- Use adaptive matched filter
-

Adaptive Matched Filter Detector



Current and Future Work

- Completing the Full-Scale Simulation
 - Long runtimes are still a problem
 - Moving Target Estimation on Full-Scale System
-