



Bone Conduction Head Sensitivity Mapping: Bone Vibrator

by Maranda McBride, Tomasz R. Letowski, and Phuong K. Tran

ARL-TR-3556

July 2005

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE—Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5425

ARL-TR-3556**July 2005**

Bone Conduction Head Sensitivity Mapping: Bone Vibrator

Maranda McBride
North Carolina A&T State University

Tomasz R. Letowski and Phuong K. Tran
Human Research and Engineering Directorate, ARL

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) July 2005		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) February 2004 to December 2004	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Bone Conduction Head Sensitivity Mapping: Bone Vibrator			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Maranda McBride (NC A&T State Univ); Tomasz R. Letowski and Phuong K. Tran (both of ARL)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER 62716AH70		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Human Research and Engineering Directorate Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5425			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-3556		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The military is interested in ways to incorporate radio communication in a multi-tasking environment. Bone conduction (BC) radio communication is an attractive means to communicate because it offers the ability to transmit and receive radio communication without compromising auditory awareness of the environment. Several companies are attempting to accommodate the needs of the military by creating communication devices that incorporate BC technologies. However, the effectiveness of these devices differs in many respects. One factor that affects the detectability of signals received via BC is the location of the BC transmitter (vibrator) on the head of the user. The intent of this study was to identify optimal locations for the placement of a BC vibrator, based on hearing threshold levels of various signals. Eleven signals were transmitted via bone conduction to 11 head locations of 12 volunteers. Results of the study indicate that the condyle (an articular prominence of a bone) is the most receptive location for a BC transducer because it generates the lowest overall threshold levels. Other sensitive and thus recommended locations include vertex, mastoid, and temple. The jaw angle location also resulted in relatively low threshold levels; however, it is difficult to maintain a stable position of the vibrator at this location, and therefore, this position is not recommended.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS auditory research; bone conduction; hearing threshold					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 45	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Tomasz R. Letowski
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-278-5968

Contents

List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. Method	2
2.1 Participants	2
2.2 Instrumentation.....	3
2.3 Procedure.....	4
2.4 Vibrator Locations.....	4
3. Results	6
3.1 Experimental Results.....	6
3.2 Symmetry Test	7
4. Discussion	8
5. Conclusions	9
6. References	10
Appendix A. Arithmetic Means of BC Responses Per Signal	11
Appendix B. Arithmetic Means of BC Responses Per Location	17
Appendix C. Head Views	23
Appendix D. Location Ranks	35
Distribution List	36

List of Figures

Figure 1. Block diagram of the instrumentation used in the study.	3
Figure 2. Experimental task flow chart.....	5
Figure 3. Vibrator locations.	6

List of Tables

Table 1. BC hearing threshold means and standard deviations	7
Table 2. Location rank tallies.....	7

1. Introduction

Effective completion of military mission requires Soldiers to maintain auditory awareness of their environment, protect themselves from the hazardous effects of noise, and maintain two-way radio communication. Air conduction (AC) and bone conduction (BC) are two alternate audio interfaces for two-way radio communication. In the case of AC, the sounds are transmitted from a talker to a microphone and from a loudspeaker or an earphone to the listener's ear. With BC, sounds are transmitted through vibrations from the skull of the talker to a contact microphone and from a vibrator to the skull of the listener. In both cases, sound quality and intelligibility of transmitted speech depend on the technical parameters of the electro-acoustic transducers, their coupling with the users (talker and listener), and technical parameters of the transmission channel.

AC is the basic means of hearing and is much better understood than BC in terms of communication applications. Although BC is always induced by sound waves arriving at the head of the listener, its effectiveness is about 40 dB less than that of AC. Further, during BC, transmission sound waves at lower frequencies are impeded less than sound waves at higher frequencies; therefore, complex sound waves transmitted by BC may appear to be carrying less high frequency energy in comparison to air-conducted sounds (Newby, 1979). In order to compensate for these deficiencies, BC transmission requires the use of special contact microphones and vibrators directly coupled with and well matched to the skull of the user.

In military operations, BC communication may be a preferred means of radio communication over the traditional AC communication because it enables the Soldiers to hear verbal radio communications without eliminating their awareness of the acoustic environment. Such awareness is greatly compromised by the use of earphones or in-the-ear devices not equipped with a hearing restoration system. BC communication is also attractive because the transducers are lightweight, inconspicuous, and easily integrated into military headgear. These devices have proved their ability to provide necessary radio communication in quiet and high noise environments, especially when combined with an appropriate hearing protection system (Letowski et al., 2004, 2005). However, because of the issues described previously, it is imperative to determine the best coupling conditions for BC transducers used in military headgear in order to ensure that their use does not inhibit the safety and survivability of military personnel.

The effectiveness of BC communication greatly depends on where the transducers are placed on the head since skin thickness and bone structure varies between locations as well as between individuals (Studebaker, 1962). Although there have been some American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards for BC hearing testing, they do not apply to the use of BC devices in military headgear. First, the physical design of BC devices used in military headgear does not conform to the design of the devices upon which the BC hearing standards are based. Standards such as ANSI S3.13-1987 and ANSI S3.43-1992 are based on vibrators with a specific circular

tip that protrudes slightly beyond the surface of the vibrator. Many of the vibrators incorporated into prototype communication headgear have a completely flat surface area and some are rectangular. Further, standardized testing methodology applies only to the BC transducers placed at the mastoid bone or forehead. Last but definitely not least, there are no standardized methods to measure static force applied to the vibrator.

The primary purpose of this research was to measure and compare the detectability of signals received via BC vibrators at different points on the listener's skull. Since the human head is a complex mechanical system with many modes of vibration and non-uniform mechanical properties, the location on the head to which signals are transmitted may affect how well listeners are able to detect and recognize the signals. The vibrator used in the study was comparable to the style of vibrator currently sought for military headgear. Since the detection of signals in a single ear was not of interest, masking and occlusion were not used in this study. Data obtained from this study will fill an important gap in our knowledge regarding head vibration patterns and will have immediate application for Future Force Warrior radio communication systems. The reported study is the first stage of the broader research program intended to determine the optimum number and locations of BC devices, both vibrators and contact microphones, for use in radio communication interfaces.

The main objective of the present study was to create a physical mapping of the head that identifies the pure tone detectability level of signals received via BC devices placed in various locations on the human head. The secondary objective was to compare these data with detectability data for several complex signals. The practical implications of this effort were to identify favorable and unfavorable contact points on the head for the placement of mechanical devices used to receive radio transmissions via bone conduction. The head mapping data will also be used in future studies to extend the understanding of the perception of simple and complex sounds via BC devices.

2. Method

2.1 Participants

Twelve participants between the ages of 18 and 42 participated in the study. All participants had normal hearing for pure-tone octave frequencies from 250 Hz through 8000 Hz (ANSI S3.6-1996) and hearing symmetry within 10 dB for all signals. The audiometric tests were conducted with an Interacoustics clinical audiometer AC40, Telephonics TDH-39 earphones, and a response button. Each listener was tested in a sound-treated booth that complied with ANSI S3.1-1999 requirements for hearing testing, and the audio equipment was calibrated before the testing. Participants were

not required to have experience in psychophysical studies. Demographic information was also collected from the participants.

2.2 Instrumentation

Following the hearing test, each participant was seated at the listening station. The listening station consisted of an Oticon¹ A20 BC vibrator, static force sensor, electronic voltmeter, headband, and a response push button (figure 1). The static force sensor was employed to measure and monitor the static force applied to the skull by the vibrator in order to maintain the repeatability of the mechanical excitation provided by the vibrator at various locations on the head. If the static force were allowed to vary considerably from one location to another, thresholds measured at various locations might not be comparable because of the differences in coupling the vibrator to the skull.




Figure 1. Block diagram of the instrumentation used in the study.

According to audiology-related literature, the static force applied by a bone vibrator to the human head must fall within the range of 2.5 newtons (N) (minimum force required for a stable position) and 5.9 N (the level of discomfort) in order to make a strong, yet comfortable coupling between the vibrator and the head. ANSI S3.43-1992, the standard used in the calibration of pure-tone BC audiometers, suggests a static force between 4.9 N and 5.9 N inclusively. However, this level of force is very uncomfortable for certain vibrator locations. Therefore, the target static force used in this study was between 3.9 N and 4.9 N inclusively for all vibrator locations and participants. This force range is identical to the force range recommended for coupling TDH²-39 earphones to the head during audiometric testing (ANSI S3.6-1991).

Pure-tone signals were generated with the same audiometer used to conduct the audiometric test (Interacoustics clinical audiometer AC40). Speech and white noise signals were generated on a personal computer via Sound Forge³ 6.0 software. The computer was connected to the audiometer,

¹Oticon is a registered trademark of Oticon A/S.

²TDH stands for telephonics dynamic headphone.

³Sound Forge is a registered trademark of Sony.

which was used to adjust the signal level (in decibels [dB]) for all signals. Two pure-tone signals, 125 Hz and 250 Hz, were generated by computer because the audiometer was unable to generate a BC signal at the appropriate intensity levels for these frequencies. The orders of signal presentation and vibrator location were randomized between listeners.

2.3 Procedure

Each participant was asked to listen to incoming signals from the vibrator placed on his or her head and to respond by pressing the push button when the signal was heard. The incoming sounds were 125-, 250-, 500-, 1000-, 2000-, 4000-, and 8000-Hz pure tones, a burst of white noise, and three pre-recorded speech sounds (“aah,” “eee,” and “ooh”). An adjustable headband was used to hold the vibrator against the head, and the static force sensor was placed between the headband and the vibrator surface.

A reversed Hughson-Westlake procedure (Newby, 1979) was used to present test signals and to determine listeners’ hearing thresholds for each signal-location combination. At the beginning of a test trial, the signal was set to a specific frequency and presented at a clearly audible (but not loud) sound level (e.g., 40 dB hearing level). The participant’s task was to press the push button when s/he heard the signal. If the participant responded to the signal, the sound level was reduced by 10 dB. If the participant did not respond to the signal, the sound level was increased by 5 dB (ANSI S3.21-1997; Suter, 1993). This process was repeated several times until a signal level resulting in a 50% response rate was obtained for a given test signal. This marked the end of a trial. The procedure was repeated until hearing thresholds for all 11 signals and 11 locations were measured and recorded. Participants were able to take “stretch” breaks after every other vibrator location. See figure 2 for a flow chart of the experimental procedure.

2.4 Vibrator Locations

The vibrator locations used in this study were determined before experimentation and were the same for all participants. Figure 3 shows all the vibrator locations used in the study and the code names used for their identification. Some of the locations were chosen because they are common locations for BC vibrators and have been used before (i.e., the mastoid [G], forehead [C], and vertex [K]) (Frank, 1982; Richter and Brinkmann, 1981; Studebaker, 1962) or because they are being considered for use as bone conduction vibrator locations (A, B, F, and I). Others were chosen because they are commonly known electroencephalograph (EEG) electrode locations, making them easy to identify (D, E, H, and J).




Figure 2. Experimental task flow chart.




Figure 3. Vibrator locations.

3. Results

3.1 Experimental Results

All data collected in this study were subjected to basic statistical processing (means, variances, etc.) and exploratory data analyses (histograms, scatter plots, etc.). The two independent variables investigated in this study were (a) the type of sound (11 signals) and (b) the location of the vibrator (11 locations). The dependent variable was the hearing threshold level. Participants were treated as a random effects variable, and vibrator location, sound frequency, sound type, and sound level were treated as fixed effects variables. Effects were considered significant if the probability of difference because of chance was less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$).

Participant means, standard deviations, minimum, and maximum values were calculated for each location-signal combination. Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations for each location per signal. The standard deviations ranged from 4.01 to 17.35 dB with an average value of 9.65 dB. Graphical representations of mean data are included in appendix A (location versus

signal) and appendix B (signal versus location). Appendix C provides graphical depictions of the average threshold at each of the locations on the human head for individual signals.

For each signal, the locations were sorted on the basis of their mean threshold value. The “best” contact point for a signal was defined as the location with the lowest threshold value. The locations were ranked so that the location with the lowest threshold value was at the top of the list (#1) and the one with the highest value was at the bottom of the list (#11). The rankings for each of the locations per signal are included in appendix D. The summary of the rankings per location is shown in table 2.

Table 1. BC hearing threshold means and standard deviations.

Location	125 Hz		250 Hz		500 Hz		1000 Hz		2000 Hz		4000 Hz		8000 Hz		aah		eee		ooh		white	
	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std	Mean	Std
Chin	30.92	12.76	15.57	9.03	15.36	10.9	10.36	8.87	5.36	17.35	16	5.95	7.5	6.43	-12.5	8.93	0.857	5.16	0.143	6.3	3.93	4.87
Condyle	17.07	12.46	-1.64	5.64	2.14	8.16	-10.71	7.56	-5.71	12.07	2.22E-16	10.63	-10.36	7.96	-26.79	7.29	-19.29	4.32	-20.36	8.2	-17.86	4.69
FPz	32.07	16.05	17.14	9.94	14.57	10.71	7.14	10.32	3.93	16.98	14.64	13.22	-3.21	5.75	-11.43	5.49	-1.79	6.51	0.857	8.66	-0.714	8.05
Fz	28.64	14.48	14.5	10.51	9.64	10.39	2.86	11.55	-1.43	12.09	16.07	9.56	-3.93	4.01	-15	8.64	-8.21	6.62	-2.36	9.46	-5	6.5
Inion	29.36	12.97	14.36	11.46	17.5	10.03	5	8.09	5.36	13.57	13.93	9.8	2.14	6.71	-18.57	8.23	-6.07	7.89	-5.57	10.23	-6.07	8.36
Jaw Angle	17.93	13.57	-3.57	10.75	-1.67E-16	12.98	-7.86	9.55	3.21	14.78	8.21	8.82	-3.93	8.13	-20	10.41	-12.14	6.36	-16.43	10.75	-12.5	7.27
Mastoid	22.21	17.13	5.79	9.34	3.93	8.45	-0.714	10.16	-0.357	11.41	6.43	8.91	-16.79	5.41	-23.21	8.99	-13.21	7.5	-11.07	8.98	-11.79	6.39
Pz	34.21	14.38	19.86	5.5	19.86	11.02	7.86	12.36	1.43	15.35	15.71	13.65	-0.357	6.03	-13.57	7.6	-3.21	7.5	0	7.02	-0.357	6.03
Temple	26.5	14.73	10.43	11.91	10.71	11.34	3.21	10.85	-2.86	12.51	10.36	8.1	-5	6.2	-18.57	12.38	-8.93	6.96	-5.36	10.61	-8.93	8.81
T3	27.43	14.17	10.57	13.84	10	12.37	4.29	13.42	-1.43	14.28	14.64	9.46	-5.29	5.51	-17.5	11.29	-7.86	8.93	-3.79	11.96	-7.14	8.71
Vertex	22.57	14.82	2.93	10.96	1.11E-16	9.73	-5.71	11.24	-2.14	14.59	13.93	8.08	0	5.88	-23.57	6.33	-10	5.88	-12.5	6.19	-10.36	4.99

Table 2. Location rank tallies.

loc/rank	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th
Chin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4
Condyle	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FPz	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	2
Fz	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	4	0	0	1
Inion	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	3	0	2	1
Jaw Angle	2	4	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Mastoid	1	2	3	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Pz	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	2	3
Temple	0	1	0	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
T3	0	0	1	0	1	4	4	1	0	0	0
Vertex	0	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

3.2 Symmetry Test

In the process of designing this study, the feasibility of testing symmetric locations on the head (e.g., right/left condyle, right/left jaw angle, right/left temple, etc.) was considered. However, such an approach would increase the number of test points and would greatly extend the duration of the study per listener. Therefore, to limit the number of test points, it was determined that there would be no need to test symmetric locations if the assumption could be made that there is no significant difference in the thresholds between points of symmetry. This assumption seems to be justified if the listeners have fairly symmetrical AC hearing. Thus, all the listeners participating in this study were screened for symmetrical AC hearing (see section 2.1). To verify the correctness of this assumption, one set of symmetric points (right and left mastoid) was also tested and analyzed.

Because the data spread of BC thresholds obtained in this study was not normally distributed (as indicated by the Anderson-Darling test statistic $p < 0.05$), a Mann-Whitney test was performed on the raw data to determine if there was a significant difference between the frequency thresholds at the two mastoid locations. The results of this test indicated that the threshold difference between these two locations was not statistically significant ($p = 0.1901$). Therefore, for the purpose of the study, it was assumed that mirror data points would have threshold values sufficiently close to those obtained at original measurement points.

4. Discussion

The thresholds obtained from this study were much lower than those obtained from clinical studies. This may be because the signals were presented by a reverse Hughson-Westlake procedure. Hirsh (1952) found that lower thresholds are usually obtained when the intensity of the signal goes from high to low rather than from low to high.

Based on the inspection of the graphs provided in appendix B, the threshold levels for the signals per location generally decreased as frequency increased; however, evidence of “notching” (or an elevated increase) was found at 4000 Hz for most locations. O’Neill, Frosh, and Jayaraj (2000) observed a similar phenomenon at 2000 Hz for a set of clinical data.

Based on the average thresholds for the 11 signals, the condyle appears to be the “best” contact point for BC vibrators. Of the 11 signals, the condyle was ranked number 1 for eight signals and either number 2 or 3 for the other three signals. The average ranking for the condyle placement was 1.4. The next best contact point was the mastoid with an average rank of 3.3.

The results of this study indicate that the condyle would be a good location to place BC vibrators. This location falls outside the helmet coverage area but is sufficiently close to be incorporated in headgear-supported BC interface designs. In addition, the condyle has the advantage of being close enough to the ear canal that any residual signal emanating from the vibrator because of acoustic leakage can be heard via AC. This may be an important practical consideration for low-power systems operating in quiet (stealth) environments.

Other locations with high rankings include the jaw angle, which primarily ranked first, second, or third, and the vertex, which was usually ranked second, third, or fourth. This indicates that all these locations are good candidates for vibrator location for radio communication purposes. The consistently poor performers included the chin, which never ranked better than ninth; Pz, which was ranked no higher than seventh; and FPz, which also was ranked no higher than seventh. Table 2 shows a tally of the number of times a location ranked first, second, third, etc.

The temple location used in this study was actually the location on the bone just above the temple; however, this proved to be a very difficult point for properly placing and securing a

vibrator. Moreover, it is a dangerous place for military applications since placing anything very close to the temple creates a health hazard. However, obtained data and informal comments made by the listeners indicate that the “temple location” may be a relatively effective location, especially if the transducer is placed a little bit higher than indicated on the head diagram. Thus, if the “temple location” is to be used in future studies, it needs to be considered as the place on the skull about 1 inch above the actual temple. Based on informal observations made by the listeners participating in this study, this may be a safer yet even more sensitive location than the specific temple location used in the study.

5. Conclusions



Based on the quantitative data and rankings, the “best” effective location for the BC vibrator used in radio communication headgear appears to be the condyle, followed by the mastoid and vertex. Although the jawbone ranked third, it was very difficult to hold the vibrator in place at this location. This complication would be intensified in situations when the person wearing the vibrator was expected to speak, thus having to move the jaw. Since the temple ranked fifth overall and had resulted in good informal feedback from the listeners, the “above-the-temple” location should also be considered in further studies.



In summary, the results of the study provide a basic sensitivity map of the human skull for directly transmitted vibrations. The condyle, mastoid, and vertex have been identified in this order as the most promising locations for vibration detection. A location on the side of the head about 1 inch above the temple may also be good. Future studies are needed to provide more definitive answers regarding the feasibility of using these locations with specific protective headgear and BC vibrators of various designs.



6. References



- American National Standards Institute. American National Standard: Mechanical Coupler for Measurement of Bone Vibrators. *New York: American National Standards Institute, Inc. 1987*, ANSI S3.13-1987 (Revision of ANSI S3.13-1972).
- American National Standards Institute. American National Standard: Standard Reference Zero for the Calibration of Pure-Tone Bone-Conduction Audiometers. *New York: American National Standards Institute, Inc. 1992*, ANSI S3.43-1992.
- American National Standards Institute. American National Standard: Specification for Audiometers. *New York: American National Standards Institute, Inc. 1996*, ANSI S3.6-1996.
- American National Standards Institute. National Standard Method for Manual Pure-Tone Threshold Audiometry. *New York: American National Standards Institute, Inc. 1997*, ANSI S3.21-1997 (Revision of ANSI S3.21-1978).
- American National Standards Institute. American National Standard: Maximum Permissible Ambient Noise Levels for Audiometric Test Rooms. *New York: American National Standards Institute, Inc. 1999*, ANSI S3.1-1999 (Revision of ANSI S3.1-1991).
- Frank, T. Forehead versus mastoid threshold differences with a circular tipped vibrator. *Ear and Hearing* **1982**, 3 (2), 91–92.
- Hirsh, I. J. Chapter 4. *In The Measurement of Hearing*; New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc, 1952.
- Letowski, T.R.; Mermagen, T.; Vause, N.; Henry, P. Bone Conduction Communication in Noise: A Preliminary Study. *Proceedings of the XXI International Congress on Sound and Vibrations*, St. Petersburg, Russia, 2004; ICSV, pp 3037-3044.
- Letowski, T.R.; Henry, P.; Mermagen, T. Use of Bone Conduction Transmission for Communication With Mounted and Unmounted Soldiers. *Proceedings of the ASNE Human System Integration Symposium on “Enhancing Combat Effectiveness Through War Fighter Performance,”* Arlington, VA, June 2005.
- Newby, H. *Audiology*, 4th edition; Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc, 1979.
- O’Neill, G.; Frosh, A. C.; Jayaraj, S. M. Systematic errors in bone conduction audiometry. *Clinical Otolaryngol* **2000**, 25, 468–470.
- Richter, U.; Brinkmann, K. Threshold of hearing by bone conduction. *Scandinavian Audiology* **1981**, 10, 235–238.
- Studebaker, G. A. Placement of Vibrator in Bone-Conduction Testing. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research* **1962**, 5 (4), 321–331.
- Suter, A. H. *Hearing Conservation Manual*, 3rd Edition; Milwaukee, WI: Council for Accreditation in Occupational Hearing Conservation, 1993.



Appendix A. Arithmetic Means of BC Responses Per Signal

























Appendix B. Arithmetic Means of BC Responses Per Location
















Appendix C. Head Views




250 Hz

Front



Left




Back




Right

500 Hz


Front



Left




Back




Right

1000 Hz

Front



Left



Back




Right

2000 Hz

Front



Left




Back



Right

4000 Hz

Front




Left



16


13.93



6.43

15.71

13.93



16.07

0

8.21

Right



Back

8000 Hz


Front



Left




Back



Right

“aah”


Front




Left



-23.57




Back





Right

“eee”


Front



Left



Back



Right

“ooh”


Front



Left




Back




Right

white noise

Front



Left




Back



Right

All Signals

Front



Left



-2.26



Back



Right

Appendix D. Location Ranks

125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1000 Hz	2000 Hz	4000 Hz	8000 Hz
1 Condyle	1 Jaw Angle	1 Jaw Angle	1 Condyle	1 Condyle	1 Condyle	1 Mastoid
2 Jaw Angle	2 Condyle	2 Vertex	2 Jaw Angle	2 Temple	2 Mastoid	2 Condyle
3 Mastoid	3 Vertex	3 Condyle	3 Vertex	3 Vertex	3 Jaw Angle	3 T3
4 Vertex	4 Mastoid	4 Mastoid	4 Mastoid	4 Fz	4 Temple	4 Temple
5 Temple	5 Temple	5 Fz	5 Fz	5 T3	5 Vertex	5 Fz
6 T3	6 T3	6 T3	6 Temple	6 Mastoid	6 Inion	6 Jaw Angle
7 Fz	7 Inion	7 Temple	7 T3	7 Pz	7 FPz	7 FPz
8 Inion	8 Fz	8 FPz	8 Inion	8 Jaw Angle	8 T3	8 Pz
9 Chin	9 Chin	9 Chin	9 FPz	9 FPz	9 Pz	9 Vertex
10 FPz	10 FPz	10 Inion	10 Pz	10 Chin	10 Chin	10 Inion
11 Pz	11 Pz	11 Pz	11 Chin	11 Inion	11 Fz	11 Chin

aah	eee	ooh	white
1 Condyle	1 Condyle	1 Condyle	1 Condyle
2 Vertex	2 Mastoid	2 Jaw Angle	2 Jaw Angle
3 Mastoid	3 Jaw Angle	3 Vertex	3 Mastoid
4 Jaw Angle	4 Vertex	4 Mastoid	4 Vertex
5 Inion	5 Temple	5 Inion	5 Temple
6 Temple	6 Fz	6 Temple	6 T3
7 T3	7 T3	7 T3	7 Inion
8 Fz	8 Inion	8 Fz	8 Fz
9 Pz	9 Pz	9 Pz	9 FPz
10 Chin	10 FPz	10 Chin	10 Pz
11 FPz	11 Chin	11 FPz	11 Chin

Rank ave			Sorted by rank ave		
A	Chin	10	B	Condyle	1.4
B	Condyle	1.4	G	Mastoid	3.3
C	FPz	9.2	F	Jaw Angle	3.5
D	Fz	6.8	K	Vertex	3.8
E	Inion	7.9	I	Temple	5.2
F	Jaw Angle	3.5	J	T3	6.2
G	Mastoid	3.3	D	Fz	6.8
H	Pz	9.5	E	Inion	7.9
I	Temple	5.2	C	FPz	9.2
J	T3	6.2	H	Pz	9.5
K	Vertex	3.8	A	Chin	10

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

* ADMINISTRATOR
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CTR
ATTN DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
*pdf version only

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN IMNE ALC IMS MAIL & REC MGMT
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL CI OK TL TECH LIB
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 BEN MOZO
COMMUNICATIONS & EAR PROTECTION
PO BOX 311174
3700 SALEM ROAD
ENTERPRISE AL 36331-1174

1 US ARMY AEROMEDICAL RSCH LAB
DIRECTOR AIRCREW PROTECTION DIV
ATTN WM A AHROON
6901 ANDREWS AVENUE
PO BOX 620577
FORT RUCKER AL 36362-0577

1 MINE SAFETY APPLIANCES COMPANY
ATTN RAY CLARKE
121 GAMMA DRIVE
PITTSBURGH PA 15238

1 KAISER ELECTRO-OPTICS
ATTN JIM MELZER
2752 LOKER AVE WEST
CARLSBAD CA 92008-6603

1 JONATHAN I LEE 2LT USAF
BAO INTEGRATED HEADGEAR PM
AFRL/HECB RESEARCH PHYSICIST
2610 SEVENTH ST
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7901

1 AFRL/HECB
ATTN DR DAVID DARKOW
2610 7TH ST
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7901

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 MAJ CYNTHIA EADES
3MDG/SGOSLA
10435 KUTER AVE
ELMENDORF AFB AK 99506

1 BRIAN WIXOM
30725 DEL REY ROAD
TEMECULA CA 92591

2 TEMCO COMMUNICATIONS INC
ATTN SIDRIC NAKAJO
BRIAN MURAKAMI
13 CHIPPING CAMPDEN DR
SOUTH BARRINGTON IL 60010

2 US ARMY NATICK SOLDIER CENTER
ATTN D KRASNECKY MATT CORREA
BLDG 5 RM 116
15 KANSAS STREET
NATICK MA 01760-5018

1 AIR FORCE RSCH LABORATORY
LEAD JSF VIBROACOUSTICS
ATTN RICH MCKINLEY
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7901

1 USAMRMC
C STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP OFC
ATTN COL N L VAUSE
BLDG 810 RM B36
FT DETRICK MD 21701

3 MICRO ANALYSIS AND DESIGN
ATTN JOHN MILANSKI
DAVE DAHN SUE ARCHER
4949 PEARL EAST CIRCLE STE 300
BOULDER CO 80301

1 ARTISENT INC
ATTN DAVID ROGERS
374 CONGRESS ST
BOSTON MA 02210

2 US ARMY NATICK SOLDIER CTR
ERGONOMICS TEAM/SSTD
ATTN JAMES SAMPSON KARLA ALLEN
100 KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760-5020

1 RDECOM-NATICK SOLDIER CTR
MANAGEMENT TEAM/PEO SOLDIER/PM
FUTURE FORCE WARRIOR TECH PRO OFC
ATTN C FITZGERALD
100 KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760-5020

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 RDECOM-NATICK SOLDIER CTR
HUMAN PERF/MANPRINT/SSI LEAD
FUTURE FORCE WARRIOR TECH PRO OFC
ATTN C L BLACKWELL
100 KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760-5020
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR M DR M STRUB
6359 WALKER LANE SUITE 100
ALEXANDRIA VA 22310
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MA J MARTIN
MYER CENTER RM 2D311
FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5630
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MC A DAVISON
320 MANSCEN LOOP STE 166
FT LEONARD WOOD MO 65473-8929
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MD T COOK
BLDG 5400 RM C242
REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-7290
- 1 COMMANDANT USAADASCH
ATTN ATSA CD
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR ME MS A MARES
5800 CARTER RD
FT BLISS TX 79916-3802
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MI J MINNINGER
BLDG 5400 RM C242
REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-7290
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MM DR V RICE
BLDG 4011 RM 217
1750 GREELEY RD
FT SAM HOUSTON TX 78234-5094
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MG R SPINE
BUILDING 333
PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MH C BURNS
BLDG 1002 ROOM 117
1ST CAVALRY REGIMENT RD
FT KNOX KY 40121

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
AVNC FIELD ELEMENT
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MJ D DURBIN
BLDG 4506 (DCD) RM 107
FT RUCKER AL 36362-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MK MR J REINHART
10125 KINGMAN RD
FT BELVOIR VA 22060-5828
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MV HQ USAOTC
S MIDDLEBROOKS
91012 STATION AVE ROOM 111
FT HOOD TX 76544-5073
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MY M BARNES
2520 HEALY AVE STE 1172 BLDG 51005
FT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-7069
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MP D UNGVARSKY
BATTLE CMD BATTLE LAB
415 SHERMAN AVE UNIT 3
FT LEAVENWORTH KS 66027-2326
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR M DR B KNAPP
ARMY G1 MANPRINT DAPE MR
300 ARMY PENTAGON ROOM 2C489
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0300
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MJK MS D BARNETTE
JFCOM JOINT EXPERIMENTATION J9
JOINT FUTURES LAB
115 LAKEVIEW PARKWAY SUITE B
SUFFOLK VA 23435
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MQ M R FLETCHER
US ARMY SBCCOM NATICK SOLDIER CTR
AMSRD NSC SS E BLDG 3 RM 341
NATICK MA 01760-5020
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MT DR J CHEN
12350 RESEARCH PARKWAY
ORLANDO FL 32826-3276

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MS MR C MANASCO
SIGNAL TOWERS RM 303A
FORT GORDON GA 30905-5233
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MU M SINGAPORE
6501 E 11 MILE RD MAIL STOP 284
BLDG 200A 2ND FL RM 2104
WARREN MI 48397-5000
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MF MR C HERNANDEZ
BLDG 3040 RM 220
FORT SILL OK 73503-5600
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MW E REDDEN
BLDG 4 ROOM 332
FT BENNING GA 31905-5400
- 1 ARMY RSCH LABORATORY - HRED
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MN R SPENCER
DCSFDI HF
HQ USASOC BLDG E2929
FORT BRAGG NC 28310-5000
- 1 ARL-HRED LIAISON
PHYSICAL SCIENCES LAB
PO BOX 30002
LAS CRUCES NM 88003-8002
- 1 DIRECTOR
UNIT OF ACTION MANEUVER BATTLE LAB
ATTN ATZK UA
BLDG 1101
FORT KNOX KY 40121
- 2 SENSORY DEVICES INC
ATTN H HOLSOPPLE W PIROTH
205 MAIN ST
NEW EAGLE PA 15067
- 1 DOUGLAS BRUNGART
SENIOR COMPUTER ENGINEER
HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS DIREC
2610 SEVENTH ST
WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7901
- 1 OFC OF NAVAL RESEARCH
MEDICAL/BIOLOGICAL SCI & TECH DIV
ATTN R D SHILLING
ARLINGTON VA 22217-5860

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 1 NATL GROUND INTELLIGENCE CTR
ATTN INSCOM JOHN MONROE
BLDG 4465
2055 BOULDERS RD
CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22911-8318
- ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
- 1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL CI OK (TECH LIB)
BLDG 4600
- 3 US ARMY CTR FOR HEALTH PROMOTION
AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
ATTN JOSEPH KNAPIK THOMAS HELFER
DOUGLAS OHLIN
BLDG E1570
APG EA
- 1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL CI OK S FOPPIANO
BLDG 459
- 1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MR F PARAGALLO
BLDG 459
- 1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR SD L PIERCE
BLDG 459
- 1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR MR T HADUCH
BLDG 459
- 40 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH LABORATORY
ATTN AMSRD ARL HR SD T LETOWSKI
BLDG 520

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- 2 DRDC TORONTO ARMY LIAISON OFC
HUMAN-COMPUTER INTERACTION GROUP
ATTN G R ARRABITO STEPHEN BOYNE
1133 SHEPPARD AVE WEST
PO BOX 2000
TORONTO ONTARIO
M3M 3B9 CANADA