



Defence Research and
Development Canada

Recherche et développement
pour la défense Canada



DRDC Suffield Soil Laboratory Program

Progress Report – Piston and Onager Sites

J. Barchard and A. Kupper
AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited

Contract Scientific Authority: S.L. Hlady
Defence R&D Canada – Suffield

The scientific or technical validity of this Contract Report is entirely the responsibility of the contractor and the contents do not necessarily have the approval or endorsement of Defence R&D Canada.

Contract Report
DRDC Suffield CR 2004-112
January 2004

Canada

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE JAN 2004	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED -			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE DRDC Suffield Soil Laboratory Program. Progress Report - Piston and Onager Sites		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Defence R&D Canada -Suffield,Box 4000, Station Main,Medicine Hat, Alberta,CA,T1B 8K6		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited (AMEC) was retained by Defence Research & Development Canada (DRDC) Suffield to carry out laboratory testing on soil samples from prairie soil samples from the Mine Effects Site near Building 148 on the Experimental Proving Ground at DRDC Suffield. AMEC's geotechnical laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta received three large, bag soil samples in late October 2003 for DRDC's Piston, Onager East and Onager West sites. The following laboratory tests were requested by DRDC 1. · Determination of water content of soil samples 2. · Preparation of compacted samples in range of natural water contents 3. · Consolidation tests using ASTM D2435 on two samples; and 4. · Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples. A typical range of natural water contents of 13 to 19 percent was provided to AMEC by DRDC Suffield for similar soil at these sites. For testing, compacted samples were prepared at water contents within the natural water content range, with target water contents of approximately 15 percent. Results are provided according to American Standard Testing Methods (ASTM) standards where applicable. Results for the Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples are provided in CR 2004-138, DRDC Soil Laboratory Program Triaxial Test Results - Onager Site.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 34	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

DRDC Suffield Soil Laboratory Program

Progress Report – Piston and Onager Sites

J. Barchard and A. Kupper
AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited
AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited
4810 - 93 Street

Edmonton, AB
Canada T6E 5M4

Contract Number: W7702-03-R527

Contract Scientific Authority: S.L. Hlady (403-544-4727)

The scientific or technical validity of this Contract Report is entirely the responsibility of the contractor and the contents do not necessarily have the approval or endorsement of Defence R&D Canada.

Defence R&D Canada – Suffield

Contract Report

DRDC Suffield CR 2004-112

Abstract

AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited (AMEC) was retained by Defence Research & Development Canada (DRDC) Suffield to carry out laboratory testing on soil samples from prairie soil samples from the Mine Effects Site near Building 148 on the Experimental Proving Ground at DRDC Suffield. AMEC's geotechnical laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta received three large, bag soil samples in late October 2003 for DRDC's Piston, Onager East and Onager West sites.

The following laboratory tests were requested by DRDC:

1. · Determination of water content of soil samples;
2. · Preparation of compacted samples in range of natural water contents;
3. · Consolidation tests using ASTM D2435 on two samples; and
4. · Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples.

A typical range of natural water contents of 13 to 19 percent was provided to AMEC by DRDC Suffield for similar soil at these sites. For testing, compacted samples were prepared at water contents within the natural water content range, with target water contents of approximately 15 percent.

Results are provided according to American Standard Testing Methods (ASTM) standards where applicable. Results for the Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples are provided in CR 2004-138, DRDC Soil Laboratory Program Triaxial Test Results – Onager Site.



10 December 2003
BX02777

Defence R&D Canada - Suffield
PO Box 4000, Stn Main
Medicine Hat, AB T1A 8K6

Attention: Ms. Sheri Hlady, Defence Scientist

Dear Ms. Hlady,

**Re: DRDC - Suffield
Soil Laboratory Program - Progress Report
Piston and Onager Sites**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited (AMEC) was retained by Defence Research & Development Canada (DRDC) Suffield to carry out laboratory testing on soil samples from research sites at or near their Suffield operation. A summary of the testing completed to date is provided below.

2.0 LABORATORY TEST PROGRAM

AMEC's geotechnical laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta received three large, bag soil samples in late October 2003 for DRDC's Piston, Onager East and Onager West sites. The following laboratory tests were requested by DRDC:

- Determination of water content of soil samples;
- Preparation of compacted samples in range of natural water contents;
- Consolidation tests using ASTM D2435 on two samples; and
- Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples.

A typical range of natural water contents of 13 to 19 percent was provided to AMEC by DRDC for similar soil at these sites. For testing, compacted samples were prepared at water contents within the natural water content range, with target water contents of approximately 15 percent. All testing is completed to date, with the exception of the triaxial tests, which are currently in progress. Results are provided according to American Standard Testing Methods (ASTM) standards where applicable.

2.1. AVAILABLE RESULTS

2.1.1. Water Content

All three samples received were described based on visual examination. The sample from the Piston site was described as a clayey, low plastic, brown silt with interbedded clay layers and coal fragments up to 10 mm in size, while the soil samples from the Onager site were described as a sandy, silty, low plastic, brown clay, containing roots. The water contents of the samples were found to generally range between 6 to 8 percent, and were as low as 3.3 percent, all of which are lower than the natural water content range provided by DRDC. Laboratory results of the water contents tests on each sample are attached.

2.1.2. Compacted Samples

The water content results were reported to DRDC by Email on 31 October 2003 and DRDC requested that the soil samples be prepared to a water content within the natural water content range i.e. approximately 15 percent. Compacted samples were then prepared for each site and the wet and dry densities were determined for the water content of the compacted samples. This test is referred to as a "One Point Proctor Test". This information was then used in preparing the samples for consolidation and triaxial testing. Results of the One Point Proctor Tests are provided in Table 1 below.

Table 1.: One Point Proctor Test Results

Sample	Water Content (%)	Wet Density (kg/m ³)	Dry Density (kg/m ³)
Piston Site	14.2	1852.1	1621.8
Onager Site East	13.4	1823.6	1608.1
Onager Site West	15.3	1780.5	1544.0

2.1.3. Consolidation Tests

Consolidation tests were performed on a compacted sample from the Piston site and a combined compacted sample from the Onager East and West sites, as requested by DRDC. Testing was completed according to ASTM D2435-90, Test Method B with incremental loading and rebound (unloading). Results from the consolidation tests are attached. Note that as the samples were prepared by remolding and compacting the soil in the laboratory, the pre-consolidation pressure provided does not necessarily reflect the in-situ (on site) condition of the soil.

2.2. PROGRESS ON TRIAXIAL TESTS

Samples were prepared from each of the three sites for triaxial testing and testing is currently in progress. The time required to complete these tests depends on the behaviour of the soil during testing and an approximate completion date is late December 2003. Based on this,



results should be available in early January 2004 and will be reported as soon as they are available.

3.0 CLOSURE

We trust that the results of the testing completed to date provide the intended soil properties from the laboratory test program. If there were further testing required or that you would like to discuss, AMEC would be pleased to assist DRDC.

Should you have any questions or comments please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited

Ja'net Barchard, M.Sc., EIT

Angela Kupper, Ph.D., P.Eng
Senior Geotechnical Engineer




Project No.	BX02777
Lab No.	0
Technician	LK
Date	October 30, 2003

MOISTURE CONTENT WORKSHEET

Hole No.	Piston #1	Piston #2				
Depth						
	Record Tare Weight (zero or actual weight)					
Wt. Sample Wet + Tare	242.35	331.05				
Wt. Sample Dry + Tare	228.36	310.37				
Wt. Water	13.99	20.68				
Tare Container	0.00	0.00				
Wt. Dry Sample	228.36	310.37				
Moisture Content %	6.1	6.7				
Hole No.	Onager #1	Onager #2	Onager #3	Onager #4		
Depth						
Tare No.	Record Tare Weight (zero or actual weight)					
Wt. Sample Wet + Tare	182.63	154.05	344.33	187.22		
Wt. Sample Dry + Tare	169.51	149.09	324.42	174.47		
Wt. Water	13.12	4.96	19.91	12.75		
Tare Container	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Wt. Dry Sample	169.51	149.09	324.42	174.47		
Moisture Content %	7.7	3.3	6.1	7.3		
Hole No.						
Depth						
Tare No.	Record Tare Weight (zero or actual weight)					
Wt. Sample Wet + Tare						
Wt. Sample Dry + Tare						
Wt. Water						
Tare Container						
Wt. Dry Sample						
Moisture Content %						
Hole No.						
Depth						
Tare No.	Record Tare Weight (zero or actual weight)					
Wt. Sample Wet + Tare						
Wt. Sample Dry + Tare						
Wt. Water						
Tare Container						
Wt. Dry Sample						
Moisture Content %						
Hole No.						
Depth						
Tare No.	Record Tare Weight (zero or actual weight)					
Wt. Sample Wet + Tare						
Wt. Sample Dry + Tare						
Wt. Water						
Tare Container						
Wt. Dry Sample						
Moisture Content %						

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Date Started	06/11/2003

Void ratio vs. Log Pressure




AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED

Engineering & Environmental Services
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
(ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Date Started	06/11/2003


Vertical Strain vs. Vertical Effective Stress

AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED

Engineering & Environmental Services
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
(ASTM D2435-90)


Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Date Started	06/11/2003

Void Ratio vs. Coefficient of Consolidation


ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	06/11/2003
Loading Stage	95.86kPa	Start Loading Time	17:10:19

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	07/11/2003
Loading Stage	196.4 kPa	Start Loading Time	10:01:19

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	10/11/2003
Loading Stage	382.8 kPa	Start Loading Time	9:40:57

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	12/11/2003
Loading Stage	757.68 kPa	Start Loading Time	9:40:34

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	13/11/2003
Loading Stage	1513.9 kPa	Start Loading Time	11:54:21

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 1
Hole No.	Piston Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	17/11/2003
Loading Stage	3012.2 kPa	Start Loading Time	10:24:03

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED <i>Engineering & Environmental Services</i> <i>Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</i> ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST (ASTM D2435-90)	Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
	Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
	Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
	Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
	Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
	Technician	LK	Date Started	05/11/2003

Void ratio vs. Log Pressure




AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED*Engineering & Environmental Services
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada***ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST**
(ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Date Started	05/11/2003

Vertical Strain vs. Vertical Effective Stress

AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED*Engineering & Environmental Services
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada***ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST**
(ASTM D2435-90)


Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Test	Test Method B (Remolded & Compacted Sample)		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Date Started	05/11/2003

Void Ratio vs. Coefficient of Consolidation


ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	06/11/2003
Loading Stage	54.45 kPa	Start Loading Time	17:14:41 PM

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	07/11/2003
Loading Stage	95.23 kPa	Start Loading Time	10:02:24

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	10/11/2003
Loading Stage	191.85 kPa	Start Loading Time	9:41:43

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	12/11/2003
Loading Stage	382.58 kPa	Start Loading Time	9:39:34

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	13/11/2003
Loading Stage	764.04 kPa	Start Loading Time	11:55:21

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	14/11/2003
Loading Stage	1527 kPa	Start Loading Time	17:35:08 PM

Log Time vs Deflection




Square Root of Time vs Deflection




Project	Defence Research Development Canada		
Location	Medicine Hat, AB		
Job No.	BX02777	Sample No.	Machine # 7
Hole No.	Onager Site	Depth (m)	-
Technician	LK	Loading Date	17/11/2003
Loading Stage	3034.8 kPa	Start Loading Time	10:25:03

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CONSOLIDATION TEST
 (ASTM D2435-90)

Log Time vs Deflection



Square Root of Time vs Deflection



UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM
(highest classification of Title, Abstract, Keywords)

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)		
<p>1. ORIGINATOR (the name and address of the organization preparing the document. Organizations for who the document was prepared, e.g. Establishment sponsoring a contractor's report, or tasking agency, are entered in Section 8.)</p> <p>AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited 4810 – 93 Street Edmonton, AB T6E 5M4</p>	<p>2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (overall security classification of the document, including special warning terms if applicable)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Unclassified</p>	
<p>3. TITLE (the complete document title as indicated on the title page. Its classification should be indicated by the appropriate abbreviation (S, C or U) in parentheses after the title).</p> <p>DRDC Suffield Soil Laboratory Program <i>Progress Report – Piston and Onager Sites</i></p>		
<p>4. AUTHORS (Last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank, e.g. Doe, Maj. John E.)</p> <p>Barchard, Ja'net; Kupper, Angela</p>		
<p>5. DATE OF PUBLICATION (month and year of publication of document)</p> <p>January 2004</p>	<p>6a. NO. OF PAGES (total containing information, include Annexes, Appendices, etc)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">27</p>	<p>6b. NO. OF REFS (total cited in document)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p>
<p>7. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (the category of the document, e.g. technical report, technical note or memorandum. If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.)</p> <p>Contract Report</p>		
<p>8. SPONSORING ACTIVITY (the name of the department project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include the address.)</p> <p>DRDC Suffield / Canadian Centre for Mine Action Technologies</p>		
<p>9a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. (If appropriate, the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written. Please specify whether project or grant.)</p>	<p>9b. CONTRACT NO. (If appropriate, the applicable number under which the document was written.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">W7702-03-0527</p>	
<p>10a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER (the official document number by which the document is identified by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.)</p> <p>BX02777</p>	<p>10b. OTHER DOCUMENT NOs. (Any other numbers which may be assigned this document either by the originator or by the sponsor.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DRDC Suffield CR 2004-112</p>	
<p>11. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY (any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification)</p> <p>(x) Unlimited distribution () Distribution limited to defence departments and defence contractors; further distribution only as approved () Distribution limited to defence departments and Canadian defence contractors; further distribution only as approved () Distribution limited to government departments and agencies; further distribution only as approved () Distribution limited to defence departments; further distribution only as approved () Other (please specify):</p>		
<p>12. DOCUMENT ANNOUNCEMENT (any limitation to the bibliographic announcement of this document. This will normally corresponded to the Document Availability (11). However, where further distribution (beyond the audience specified in 11) is possible, a wider announcement audience may be selected).</p> <p>Unlimited</p>		

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM

13. ABSTRACT (a brief and factual summary of the document. It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall begin with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (S), (C) or (U). It is not necessary to include here abstracts in both official languages unless the text is bilingual).

AMEC Earth & Environmental Limited (AMEC) was retained by Defence Research & Development Canada (DRDC) Suffield to carry out laboratory testing on soil samples from prairie soil samples from the Mine Effects Site near Building 148 on the Experimental Proving Ground at DRDC Suffield. AMEC's geotechnical laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta received three large, bag soil samples in late October 2003 for DRDC's Piston, Onager East and Onager West sites.

The following laboratory tests were requested by DRDC:

1. · Determination of water content of soil samples;
2. · Preparation of compacted samples in range of natural water contents;
3. · Consolidation tests using ASTM D2435 on two samples; and
4. · Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples.

A typical range of natural water contents of 13 to 19 percent was provided to AMEC by DRDC Suffield for similar soil at these sites. For testing, compacted samples were prepared at water contents within the natural water content range, with target water contents of approximately 15 percent.

Results are provided according to American Standard Testing Methods (ASTM) standards where applicable. Results for the Triaxial undrained tests (CUP) using ASTM D4767 on three samples are provided in CR 2004-138, DRDC Soil Laboratory Program Triaxial Test Results – Onager Site.

14. KEYWORDS, DESCRIPTORS or IDENTIFIERS (technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloguing the document. They should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifies, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location may also be included. If possible keywords should be selected from a published thesaurus, e.g. Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms (TEST) and that thesaurus-identified. If it is not possible to select indexing terms which are Unclassified, the classification of each should be indicated as with the title.)

soil samples
water
compaction
Consolidation
Triaxial undrained