

MICs of Selected Antibiotics for *Bacillus anthracis*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, and *Bacillus mycoides* from a Range of Clinical and Environmental Sources as Determined by the Etest

Peter C. B. Turnbull,* Nicky M. Sirianni,† Carlos I. LeBron, Marian N. Samaan, Felicia N. Sutton, Anatolio E. Reyes, and Leonard F. Peruski, Jr.‡

Biological Defense Research Directorate, Naval Medical Research Center, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-7500

Received 27 June 2003/Returned for modification 7 August 2003/Accepted 20 April 2004

This paper presents Etest determinations of MICs of selected antimicrobial agents for 76 isolates of *Bacillus anthracis* chosen for their diverse histories and 67, 12, and 4 cultures, respectively, of its close relatives *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *B. mycoides* derived from a range of clinical and environmental sources. NCCLS breakpoints are now available for *B. anthracis* and ciprofloxacin, penicillin, and tetracycline; based on these breakpoints, the *B. anthracis* isolates were all fully susceptible to ciprofloxacin and tetracycline, and all except four cultures, three of which had a known history of penicillin resistance and were thought to originate from the same original parent, were susceptible to penicillin. Based on NCCLS interpretive standards for gram-positive and/or aerobic bacteria, all cultures were susceptible to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and gentamicin and 99% (one with intermediate sensitivity) of cultures were susceptible to vancomycin. No group trends were apparent among the different categories of *B. cereus* (isolates from food poisoning incidents and nongastrointestinal infections and food and environmental specimens not associated with illness). Differences between *B. anthracis* and the other species were as expected for amoxicillin and penicillin, with all *B. anthracis* cultures, apart from the four referred to above, being susceptible versus high proportions of resistant isolates for the other three species. Four of the *B. cereus* and one of the *B. thuringiensis* cultures were resistant to tetracycline and a further six *B. cereus* and one *B. thuringiensis* cultures fell into the intermediate category. There was a slightly higher resistance to azithromycin among the *B. anthracis* strains than for the other species. The proportion of *B. anthracis* strains fully susceptible to erythromycin was also substantially lower than for the other species, although just a single *B. cereus* strain was fully resistant. The Etest compared favorably with agar dilution in a subsidiary test set up to test the readings, and it compared with other published studies utilizing a variety of test methods.

Little interest was shown in antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Bacillus* species until very recently. This was due to a combination of reasons: the low recognition of the ability of *Bacillus* species other than *Bacillus anthracis* to cause infections; the increasing rarity of human anthrax in industrialized, developed countries as a result of effective control programs over the past half century; and the high susceptibility of *B. anthracis* to penicillin coupled with the extreme rarity of reports of penicillin resistance. In developing countries where anthrax is endemic, penicillin has always been the drug of choice because of its reliability, low cost, and ready availability.

Concerns about bioaggression around the time of the 1991 Gulf War resulted in some examination of the effectiveness of more modern antimicrobials both in vitro (10, 22) and in vivo in animal models (13, 17, 20). The “anthrax letter” events of October and November 2001 in the United States further stim-

ulated interest in antimicrobial therapy for anthrax and some debate on the appropriate therapies for different categories of patient infection (5, 6, 15, 16), with further in vitro susceptibility tests being carried out (4, 9, 12, 26). Unrelated to bioaggression, but also relevant, is the recent paper of Kadanali et al. (18) on the treatment of pregnant patients.

This study reported here, which had commenced before the anthrax letter events, was initiated to apply the Etest to as diverse a range of *B. anthracis* isolates as possible together with a set of its close relatives *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *B. mycoides* isolated from a range of clinical and environmental sources. The primary purpose of the study was to determine the susceptibilities of these species to a set of antibiotics selected to have the greatest guidance value to clinicians encountering anthrax, *B. cereus*, and possibly *B. thuringiensis* infections in humans (*B. mycoides* has not been associated with infections). The generation of comparative susceptibility and resistance data on the members of the informally defined “*B. cereus* group” for academic purposes was the secondary aim of the work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolates. The *B. anthracis* isolates included 24 cultures (Table 1), kindly supplied by Martin Hugh-Jones and Pamala Coker, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, which represented all but one of the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) genotype clusters of *B. anthracis* (19). A further 52 isolates from the culture collection of the Centre for Applied Micro-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: BDRD NMRC, 503 Robert Grant Ave., Room 1A12, Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500. Phone: (301) 319-7515. Fax: (301) 319-7513. E-mail: turnbullp@nmrc.navy.mil.

† Present address: Hillman Cancer Center L1.20, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA 15213.

‡ Present address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Northwest Center, Gary, IN 46408.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE AUG 2004		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE MICs of Selected Antibiotics for Bacillus Anthracis, Bacillus Cereus, Bacillus Thuringiensis, and Bacillus Mycoides From a Range of Clinical and Environmental Sources as Determined by the Etest				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Biological Defense Research Directorate Naval Medical Research Center Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

TABLE 1. Histories of *B. anthracis* isolates included in this study ($n = 76$)

ID	Earlier ID and history ^a
LSU34.....	Genotype 57, ASC 274; bovine, China, ca. 1990 (AFLP cluster A3b)
LSU39.....	Genotype 55, ASC 383, outbreak in cattle, Australia, 1994 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU62.....	Genotype 15; bovine isolate, Poland, 1962 (AFLP cluster A1a)
LSU102.....	Genotype 85; pig isolate, Mozambique, 1944 (AFLP cluster B2)
LSU149.....	Genotype 23; human isolate, Turkey, 1991 (AFLP cluster A1b)
LSU158.....	Genotype 30; bovine isolate, Zambia, 1992 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU174.....	Genotype 3; bovine isolate, Canada, 1974 (AFLP cluster A1a)
LSU188.....	Genotype 35; zebra, Etosha National Park, Namibia, 1993 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU193.....	Genotype 10; bovine isolate, USA, 1996 (AFLP cluster A1a)
LSU248.....	Genotype 68; human isolate, USA, 1968 (AFLP cluster A3d)
LSU256.....	Genotype 41; human isolate, Turkey, 1985 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU264.....	Genotype 28; human isolate, Turkey, 1984 (AFLP cluster A1b)
LSU267.....	Genotype 25, V770-NPI-R (ATCC 14185); bovine origin, USA, 1951; human U.S. vaccine strain (2a) (AFLP cluster A1b)
LSU293.....	Genotype 20; sheep, Italy, 1994 (AFLP cluster A1a)
LSU328.....	Genotype 38; pig, Germany, 1971 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU376.....	Genotype 51; bovine, USA, 1939 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU379.....	Genotype 69; wool, Pakistan, 1976 (AFLP cluster A4)
LSU419.....	Genotype 34; human, South Korea, 1994 (AFLP cluster A3a)
LSU442.....	Genotype 87; kudu, Kruger National Park, South Africa, 1975 (AFLP cluster B2)
LSU462.....	Genotype 62, ASC 159; Ames strain reisolated from guinea pig, 1988 (AFLP cluster A3b)
LSU463.....	Genotype 29; sheep, Pakistan, 1978 (AFLP cluster A2)
LSU465.....	Genotype 80; bovine isolate, France, 1997 (AFLP cluster B1)
LSU488.....	Genotype 77; Vollum strain, Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research, Porton Down, UK (AFLP cluster A4)
LSU489.....	Genotype 45; bovine isolate, Argentina, 1980 (AFLP cluster A3a)
ASC 8.....	NCTC 109; shaving brush, Lister Institute, London, UK, 1920.
ASC 9.....	NCTC 1328; P. Fildes, 1922.
ASC 10.....	NCTC 2620; Hankow hide (Chinese). London, UK, 1928
ASC 14.....	ATCC 241
ASC 15.....	ATCC 938; Lederle Laboratories (9a)
ASC 16.....	ATCC 937; Lederle Laboratories (9a)
ASC 17.....	ATCC 944
ASC 32.....	Pen ^r ; blood culture, fatal human case, 30 Dec 1974; presumed source, bonemeal used in garden (31a)
ASC 33.....	C164G; human, from skin lesion, 1976; presumed source, hides
ASC 34.....	C149G; human, from skin lesion, 1976; presumed source, imported wool
ASC 36.....	C129G; human, skin lesion, 1975; presumed source, hides
ASC 38.....	C73G; human, from CSF of fatal case, 1974; presumed source, bonemeal
ASC 39.....	C165G; human, from skin lesion, 1976; presumed source, infected animal
ASC 40.....	Soil, Taunton, UK, 1978
ASC 42.....	Bovine case, Denmark
ASC 50.....	Z1; human isolate, 1982, from the tail end of large Zimbabwe outbreak
ASC 54.....	Z6, Gamma phage resistant; human isolate, 1982, from the tail end of large Zimbabwe outbreak
ASC 58.....	Dead elephant, Etosha National Park, 17 March 1983 (33b)
ASC 65.....	Chronically secreted in bovine milk, Brazil, ca. 1980; uncharacteristic colony morphology
ASC 66.....	From final effluent, sewage treatment works, UK, 1987
ASC 68.....	Ames strain
ASC 69.....	New Hampshire, human pulmonary anthrax, 1957 (30a)
ASC 70.....	Pen ^r ; believed to be identical to ASC 32
ASC 80.....	Tannery dump, UK, 11 August 1988
ASC 119.....	BD/WT, NSW, Australia, 1989
ASC 134.....	Cape Buffalo, South Luangwa National Park, Zambia, 4 August 1989
ASC 149.....	Gamma phage resistant; blue wildebeest, Etosha National Park, Namibia 1988
ASC 179.....	Laboratory demolition site, UK, 1990
ASC 182.....	Pasteur strain, via University of Massachusetts
ASC 183.....	Pen ^r ; pXO 1 ⁻ /2 ⁺ ; cured derivative of ASC 32, 1990
ASC 188.....	Cow, outbreak on sewage farm, UK, 1990
ASC 194.....	Elephant, 25 April 1991, Etosha National Park, Namibia
ASC 206.....	RNL 437; Kruger National Park, South Africa, no further history, ca. 1990
ASC 230.....	Soil samples from burial site of bovine 50 years previously, 10 Sept 1991, UK (33a)
ASC 259.....	Cow, Zambia, 1992
ASC 266.....	Plaster and dusty hair and wool, St. Pancras railway station, London, UK, 1992
ASC 272.....	Animal fur, Xingjiang Province, China, ca. 1990
ASC 319.....	Bovine, Scotland, 1993
ASC 324.....	Garden bonemeal, UK, 1993
ASC 356.....	Blue wildebeest, Etosha National Park, Namibia, 1993
ASC 375.....	Sanitary Technical Institute; Russian vaccine strain
ASC 391.....	Bovine dead of anthrax, Isle of Wight, UK, 1994
ASC 394.....	Ames reisolate; guinea pig which died of anthrax after cessation of ciprofloxacin treatment
ASC 395.....	Vollum reisolate; guinea pig which died of anthrax after cessation of doxycycline treatment
ASC 396.....	Ames reisolate; culled guinea pig 18 days after cessation of ciprofloxacin therapy
ASC 397.....	Vollum reisolate; lungs of culled guinea pig 18 days after cessation of ciprofloxacin therapy
ASC 398.....	Ames reisolate; guinea pig which died of anthrax after cessation of doxycycline treatment
ASC 399.....	Ames reisolate; lungs of culled guinea pig 27 days after doxycycline therapy
ASC 403.....	Cutaneous lesion (human), 25 Aug 1995 (3a)

Continued on following page

TABLE 1—Continued

ID	Earlier ID and history ^a
BDRD Ames	Ames strain via different route from ASC 68
IITRI B1	History not known
IITRI B2	History not known
IITRI B3	History not known

^a USA, United States; UK, United Kingdom; NSW, New South Wales; CSF, cerebral spinal fluid.

biology and Research, Health Protection Agency, Porton Down, Salisbury, United Kingdom, were chosen on the basis of being as diverse as possible in terms of (i) geographic source, (ii) year of isolation, (iii) type of source (human, animal, or environmental), (iv) known or likely laboratory manipulation (frequent passage or deliberate curing of one or both plasmids), and (v) known unusual characteristics, particularly penicillin or phage resistance. Of the 76 total cultures included, 59 were believed to be unrelated epidemiologically. All manipulations of *B. anthracis* were carried out in class 2 microbiological safety cabinets within the Biological Defense Research Directorate (BDRD) biosafety level 3 (BSL3) facility under strict safety protocols and meeting all the requirements of DHHS 42 CFR 73 (12a).

In addition, a selection of closely related nonanthrax *Bacillus* species acquired from the Food Safety and Microbiology Laboratory, Central Public Health Laboratory, London, United Kingdom, and Niall Logan, Department of Biological Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, United Kingdom, were also included in the study (Table 2). These cultures were chosen to encompass isolates implicated in nongastrointestinal infections and food poisoning incidents and simple environmental isolates.

Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 was included as a test control.

Etests. Cultures were grown on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) overnight at 36°C ± 1°C. For each test, growth from approximately five colonies was emulsified in 1 ml of sterile saline, and this was used to make a suspension, again in sterile saline, with turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard. This turbidity level was established with 18 of the cultures, representative of the different species included in the study, to fall within the range of 3 × 10⁶ to 20 × 10⁶ CFU/ml, compared against 1 × 10⁸ to 3 × 10⁸ CFU/ml for *S. aureus* ATCC 29213. Sterile swabs dipped into this suspension and squeezed against the side of the suspension tube to remove excess fluid were streaked across predried MHA plates (90 mm), three times for each plate, with the plate rotated approximately 90° between each streaking. After approximately 10 to 15 min, to allow absorption of excess moisture into the agar, two Etest strips (AB Biodisk North America Inc., N.J.) per plate in opposing directions were placed on either side of each plate.

The plates were incubated at 36°C ± 1°C for 18 to 20 h, and the MICs were read according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Inoculum size and incubation time and temperature. The Etest manufacturer's specifications for inoculum size for aerobes is based on bringing the culture to a turbidity equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard. *Bacillus* species, being comprised of large rods, had lower counts at this turbidity level than did smaller bacteria such as the gram-positive cocci or the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Being rapid growers, producing large colonies by 16 to 24 h, the lawns on the plates of *Bacillus* species also have different properties from lawns of more frequently encountered pathogenic aerobes. Additionally, the manufacturer specifies an incubation temperature of 35°C. This temperature is not necessarily a convenient specification for a laboratory incubator or the optimum incubation temperature for *Bacillus* species. Tests were therefore set up to assess the influence of inoculum size, temperature, and time of incubation. Fourteen of the strains, three clinical (F77/1589, F78/667, and F95/8201), two food poisoning (F72/4810 and F73/4433), and two environmental (F99/5739 and F00/3016) isolates of *B. cereus* and two *B. thuringiensis* (B1143 and F98/5750) and five *B. anthracis* (Ames, ASC 32, LSU102, LSU248, and LSU293) strains, chosen from the main set of tests as being representative of diverse susceptibility readings with the antibiotics, were retested by using inocula with turbidities of 0.5, 2.0, and 4.0 and with the test plates incubated at carefully controlled temperatures of 30, 35, and 37°C, followed by readings at 16 and 24 h. *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 was again included for comparison. The readings were analyzed statistically by the unpaired Student's *t* test.

Agar dilution MIC tests. For purposes of direct comparison of the Etest results with a conventional procedure, the MICs for 10 of the *B. anthracis* strains, 15 of the *B. cereus* strains (5 food and environmental, 5 food poisoning, and 5 nongastrointestinal infection isolates), 5 of the *B. thuringiensis* strains, and the 4 *B. mycoides* strains, together with *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, were tested by using an agar dilution method described previously (22). Each antibiotic was diluted and incorporated into 100 ml of MHA to create a series of plates (150 mm) ranging

from 64 to 0.015 mg/liter. In practice, this involved adding 5 ml of 20× solutions in sterile deionized water, prewarmed to 44°C, to 95 ml of sterilized MHA also at 44°C prior to the solutions being poured onto the plates. Because preliminary trials established no difference in results between the use of 1:10 and 1:50 dilutions of these suspensions, the final inoculum chosen was 5 µl of a 1:25 dilution of the 0.5 McFarland standard suspensions, established by plate counts to be equivalent to approximately 1,000 CFU. Duplicate 5-µl drops of the diluted culture suspensions were placed onto each plate by using a location grid.

RESULTS

The Etest results are summarized in Table 3. Where NCCLS breakpoints have been established for *B. anthracis* (ciprofloxacin, penicillin, and tetracycline) (27), the interpretation of susceptibility has been based on those breakpoints. For the other antibiotics in the case of *B. anthracis* and for all the antibiotics in the case of the nonanthrax *Bacillus* species, the susceptibility and resistance judgments are based on NCCLS interpretive standards for gram-positive and/or aerobic bacteria as given in the Etest manufacturer's product inserts.

Differences between *B. anthracis* and the other species were as expected for penicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (97% of 74 and 100% of 45 *B. anthracis* cultures, respectively, were sensitive compared with high proportions of resistant isolates in the other species). The presence of some isolates of *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis* that were resistant to tetracycline was also probably to be expected. The less anticipated result was a slightly lower susceptibility to azithromycin among the *B. anthracis* strains (only 26% fully susceptible and 10% resistant) than the other species (≥84% fully susceptible and none entirely resistant). The proportion of *B. anthracis* strains that were fully susceptible to erythromycin (15%) was substantially lower than that with the other species (≥78%), although only one strain of *B. cereus* was fully resistant.

An analysis of results for the different categories of *B. cereus* (isolates from food poisoning incidents and nongastrointestinal infections and food and environmental specimens not associated with illness) did not reveal any group trends (details not presented). Apparent species differences between *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, and *B. mycoides* with cefotaxime (29% of *B. cereus* isolates were susceptible versus none of the *B. thuringiensis* and *B. mycoides* isolates) may simply reflect the relatively small numbers of *B. thuringiensis* and *B. mycoides* strains included.

In relation to penicillin sensitivity, ASC 32, ASC 70, and ASC 183 were counted as a single strain so as not to distort the percentage of the total of strains that were penicillin resistant. The unusual resistance of ASC 32 and ASC 70 to penicillin has already been noted (22). A single isolate, LSU 62, was fully susceptible and a second isolate, ASC 65, had intermediate susceptibility to cefotaxime. This may be a good strain marker for these cultures, which in fact have other slightly unusual characteristics; LSU 62 is the only strain of *B. anthracis* that we

TABLE 2. Histories of the *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*,^a and *B. mycoides*^b strains included in this study

Circumstance of isolation	<i>B. cereus</i> isolate; source	Circumstance of isolation	<i>B. cereus</i> isolate; source
Nongastrointestinal infection	F77/1589 ^c ; bovine mastitis, serotype 12 F77/2809A; infant born very edematous, serotype 6 F78/660; facial burns, cellulitis developed, serotype 20 F78/667; gangrenous postoperative wound, serotype 2 F78/928; septic amputation stump, not typeable F78/968; postoperative wound, severe infection, serotype 21 F95/2410; gangrene, cellulitis, serotype 26 F95/6445; infected insect bite, not typeable F95/8201; endocarditis, not typeable F95/9251; pacemaker wire site infection, serotype 16 F95/9896; ascites, not typeable F97/5782; eye, vitreous, serotype 4 F98/2556; leg ulcer swab, serotype 6 F98/2752; infected surgical wound, serotype 2 F98/5462; sputum, cystic fibrosis, serotype 17B F98/5758; sticky eye, newborn, serotype C F98/5801; infected leg, serotype 20 F99/3177; wound swab, serotype 17 F00/3037; leg swab, serotype 17, V F00/3086; neutropenia in child, serotype 3 G9241; fatal pneumonia	Food and environment and other sources	F99/3957; fecal isolate associated with food poisoning, serotype 1 99/3959; fecal isolate associated with food poisoning, serotype 20 F74/2532B; raw rice, nontoxicogenic, not typeable F95/3027; orthopedic-related area, not typeable F95/3030; orthopedic-related area, serotype 20 F95/3032; orthopedic-related area, serotype 24 F95/3780; rice survey, serotype 5 F95/8199; settle plates, special care baby unit, not typeable F95/8200; settle plates, special care baby unit, serotype 6 F95/9125; washing machine door in hospital, serotype 29 F95/9130; water rack, hospital isolate, serotype 1 F98/2620; industrial fermenter, antibiotic production, serotype 1 F98/2658; A1 Hakkam isolate, Iraq, not typeable ^d F98/3850; dishwasher in hospital, serotype 21 F98/3851; dishwasher in hospital, serotype 1 F98/5379; laundry environment, serotype 29 F99/1129; malt extract, not typeable F99/1695; orthopedic theater, not typeable F99/2864; London, United Kingdom, bombing, not typeable F99/4750; rice survey, not typeable F99/4860; milk F99/5739; top of locker, hospital, theater environment, serotype 20 F99/5931; food-water-environment survey isolate, serotype 20 F99/5941; food-water-environment survey isolate F99/6253; spice survey, serotype 29 F00/2507; food-water environment survey isolate, Tandoori chicken, serotype A F00/2782; survey, serotype 11 F00/3016; milk F00/3020; milk F00/3096; egg fried rice F00/3130; milk, serotype 20 ATCC 10987
Food poisoning.....	F72/4810; from cooked rice, associated with vomiting, serotype 1 F73/4430 (strain 4ac); from pea soup associated with diarrhea F73/4433; from meat loaf associated with diarrhea, serotype 2 F75/4552; vomit isolate, serotype 3 F95/5060 fecal isolate, diarrhea and vomiting, serotype 8 F95/5156; fecal isolate, serotype 1 F96/4966; vomit isolate, serotype 1 F96/4977; fecal isolate associated with food poisoning, serotype 22 F97/1154; vomit isolate, serotype 29 F97/4284; fecal isolate associated with food poisoning, serotype 14 F97/4144; vomit isolate, serotype AA F98/3368; fecal isolate associated with food poisoning, serotype 1 F98/4499; fecal isolate, diarrhea and vomiting, serotype 1 F99/502; from rice pudding associated with food poisoning, serotype AA		

^a The 12 *B. thuringiensis* strains were from culture collections, with identities as follows: 150 Dulmage 39, 152 Dulmage 3, 166 Dulmage 137, 1139 "var darmstadtensis," 1143 "var israelensis," B157 Dulmage 5 "B. sotto," B164 Dulmage 10 "B. subtoxius," B162 Dulmage 29, B1140 "var toumanoffi," de Barjac, F98/5750, F99/4759, F99/2934.

^b The four *B. mycoides* strains were from culture collections, with identities as follows: F95/1883, F96/3308, DSM 299 (1976), NRS 936 (1978) "B. prausnitzii."

^c Food Safety and Microbiology Laboratory number.

^d Considered by some workers to be *B. thuringiensis* but identified in the Food Safety and Microbiology Laboratory as *B. cereus*.

have encountered which will not grow on the well-established polymyxin-lysozyme-EDTA-thallos acetate (PLET) agar used for selective isolation of *B. anthracis* from environmental samples, and ASC 65 produces colonies resembling those of *Enterobacteriaceae*. The inhibitory component of PLET for LSU 62 was shown not to be polymyxin.

ASC 32, ASC 70, and ASC 183 exhibited complete resistance with no zones of clearing. One of the strains (LSU 102) reported to be penicillin resistant by Coker et al. (9) did exhibit a resistant subpopulation with colonies present in the ellipse.

This strain was therefore deemed resistant to penicillin. In this study, resistance was not noted with the other two strains Coker et al. recorded as being resistant (LSU 248 and LSU 293). All three LSU strains were included in the subsidiary study on the effect of inoculation size and incubation temperature and time. While the four resistant cultures had elevated MICs of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid compared with the others, they still fell well within the susceptible category as it is presently defined.

None of the Ames or Vollum strain reisolates ASC 394 to ASC

TABLE 3. Etest results for all *Bacillus* species tested

Antibiotic	Species	No. of isolates	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)			Breakpoints ^a		Interpretation (%) ^b		
			Range	50%	90%	S ($\leq$)	R ($\geq$)	S	I	R
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	<i>B. anthracis</i>	48 ^c	0.016–0.5	0.032 ^g	0.047	4	8	100		
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.5–64	8	12			22	18	60
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	4–96	12	24			8	8	84
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	8–24	8	24					100
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.4 (0.25–1) ^d							
Azithromycin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	73	1–12	3	6	2 ^a	8 ^a	26	64	10
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.094–6	0.38	3			84	16	
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.094–3	0.19	3			84	16	
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	0.19–0.38	0.19	0.38			100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		1.5 (1.5–4) ^d							
Cefotaxime	<i>B. anthracis</i>	76	3–>32	>32	>32	8 ^a	64 ^a	1	1	98 ^e
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.1–>32	>32	>32			29		71 ^e
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	>32	>32	>32					100 ^e
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	>32	>32	>32					100 ^e
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		2 (1.5–2) ^d							
Ciprofloxacin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	76	0.032–0.094	0.064	0.094	0.5 ^f		100		
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.047–0.5	0.19	0.25	1 ^a	4 ^a	100		
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.094–0.19	0.125	0.19			100		
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	0.125–0.25	0.125	0.25			100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.5 (0.25–0.5) ^d							
Erythromycin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	69	0.5–4	1	1.5	0.5 ^a	8 ^a	15	85	
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.032–3	0.064	1.5			78	21	1
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.032–1	0.094	1			84	16	
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	5	0.064–0.38	0.125	0.25			100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.38 (0.25–0.5) ^d							
Gentamicin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	75	0.064–0.5	0.25	0.38	4 ^a	16 ^a	100		
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.094–0.75	0.38	0.75			100		
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.047–0.5	0.19	0.5			100		
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	5	0.19–0.38	0.25	0.25			100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.8 (0.5–1.5) ^d							
Penicillin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	74 ^c	<0.016– $\geq$ 32	<0.016	0.023	0.12 ^{a,f}	0.25 ^{a,f}	97		3
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.012–>32	>32	>32			1		99
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	>32	>32	>32					100
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	>32	>32	>32					100
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.4 (0.25–0.38) ^d							
Tetracycline	<i>B. anthracis</i>	71	0.016–0.094	0.023	0.032	1		100		
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	0.05–32	1	6	4 ^a	16 ^a	84	9	6
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.5–24	2	6			84	8	8
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	0.125–2	0.5	2			100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		0.17 (0.094–0.38) ^d							
Vancomycin	<i>B. anthracis</i>	74	0.75–5	2	3	4 ^a	32 ^a	99	1	
	<i>B. cereus</i>	67	1–16	3	6			85	15	
	<i>B. thuringiensis</i>	12	0.75–4	2	4			100		
	<i>B. mycoides</i>	4	1.5–4	2				100		
	<i>S. aureus</i> 29213		1.8 (1.5–2) ^d							

^a NCCLS MIC interpretive standards for gram-positive and/or aerobic bacteria (NCCLS documents M100-S6, M7-A3, and M11-A3 [1995]; M100-S7, M7-A4, and M11-A3 [1997]; M100-S8 and M7-A4 [1998], and M100-S9 and M7-MIC [1999]), as given in the manufacturer's insert.

^b S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant.

^c Penicillin-resistant cultures ASC 32, ASC 70, and ASC 183 are treated here as one strain.

^d The values given for *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 are the means and ranges of 5 to 7 repeat tests.

^e Resistance inferred. The highest level on the strips used was 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

^f NCCLS approved standard M100-S13 (27). No tetracycline- or ciprofloxacin-resistant strains were available for establishing the standards; only susceptible breakpoints were established for these drugs.

^g MIC at which 50% of the isolates tested are inhibited.

TABLE 4. Comparison of MIC results by Etest and agar dilution

Antibiotic	Method ^a	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) for <i>Bacillus</i> species (no. tested)					
		<i>B. anthracis</i> (10) ^a			<i>B. cereus</i> (15), <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (4), and <i>B. mycoides</i> (3)		
		Range	50%	90%	Range	50%	90%
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	Etest	0.016–0.5	0.032	0.5	1.5–16	8	16
	AD	0.015–0.06	0.03	0.06	0.015–8	2	4
Cefotaxime	Etest	>32	>32	>32	0.1–>32	>32	>32
	AD	16–64	32	32	0.015–>64	32	>64
Ciprofloxacin	Etest	0.047–0.094	0.064	0.094	0.094–0.38	0.125	0.25
	AD	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.03–0.125	0.125	0.25
Erythromycin	Etest	0.5–4	0.75	1	0.064–6	0.25	2
	AD	0.5–2	1	2	0.5–4	0.5	4
Gentamicin	Etest	0.064–0.5	0.25	0.38	0.125–0.75	0.25	0.75
	AD	0.25–0.5	0.25	0.5	0.25–1	0.5	1
Penicillin	Etest	<0.016–>32	<0.016	0.023	1.5–>32	>32	>32
	AD	0.015–0.5	0.015	0.015	0.125–16	8	16
Tetracycline	Etest	0.016–0.032	0.023	0.032	0.05–32	0.75	16
	AD	0.015–0.06	0.015	0.03	0.015–32	0.25	4
Vancomycin	Etest	1–3	2	3	0.75–4	3	4
	AD	1–4	4	4	0.015–4	2	4

^a AD, agar dilution.

399, from guinea pigs which died after the cessation of ciprofloxacin or doxycycline prophylaxis following infection by the inhalational route (17), had developed observable resistance.

In the tests carried out to assess the effect of inoculum size and temperature and time of incubation, no significant differences in the readings were found for any of the starting inocula (turbidity equivalents of 0.5, 2.0, and 4.0), incubation temperature (30, 35, or 37°C), or reading times (16 or 24 h) ($P = 0.16$

to 1.00 for all, except for the comparison of vancomycin tests read at 30 and 35 or 37°C, for which $P = 0.06$).

In the subsidiary tests done to compare Etests with a conventional MIC approach, although only 78% of the Etest and agar dilution MIC readings were within 1 agar dilution unit of each other (96% in the case of the tests on *B. anthracis* alone), the only disagreement in terms of judgements as to susceptibility or resistance were that *B. anthracis* and *B. cereus* cultures, which were

TABLE 5. Comparison of reports on MICs for *B. cereus*^a

Antibiotic	Source of reference	Test method	No. of strains	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)		
				Range	50%	90%
Cefotaxime	This study	Etest	67	0.1–>32	>32	>32
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.015–>64	16	32
	35	Microdilution	54	16–>128	32	>128
Ciprofloxacin	This study	Etest	67	0.047–0.5	0.19	0.25
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.03–0.25	0.125	0.125
	35	Microdilution	54	≤ 0.25 –1	≥ 0.25	1
Erythromycin	This study	Etest	67	0.032–3	0.064	1.5
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.5–4	0.5	4
	28	NA	6	<0.25–0.5	NA	NA
Gentamicin	This study	Etest	67	0.094–0.75	0.38	0.75
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.25–1	0.5	1
	28	NA	6	<0.12–0.5	NA	NA
Penicillin	This study	Etest	67	0.012–>32	>32	>32
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.5–16	8	16
	28	NA	6	4–>8	NA	NA
Tetracycline	This study	Etest	67	0.05–32	1	6
	This study	Agar dilution	15	0.015–32	0.25	32
	28	NA	6	<0.12–4	NA	NA
Vancomycin	This study	Etest	67	1–16	3	6
	This study	Agar dilution	15	1–4	2	4
	28	NA	6	0.5–1	NA	NA
	35	Microdilution	54	≤ 0.25 –2	2	2

^a NA, information not available.

TABLE 6. Comparison of reports on MICs for *B. anthracis*

Antibiotic	Source or reference	Test method	No. of strains	MIC ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)			Breakpoints ^a		Interpretation (%) ^b		
				Range	50%	90%	S ($\leq$)	R ($\geq$)	S ^c	I	R
Amoxycillin-clavulanic acid	This study	Etest	45	0.016–0.5	0.032	0.047	4	8	100		
	This study	Agar dilution	10	0.015–0.06	0.03	0.06			100		
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.125–16	0.125	4			88.5		11.5
	10	Agar dilution	22	0.015–0.015	0.015	0.015			100		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.03–64	0.125	0.06			99		1
Azithromycin	This study	Etest	73	1–12	3	6	2	8	26	64	10
Cefotaxime	This study	Etest	76	3–>32	>32	>32	8	64	1		99 ^c
	This study	Agar dilution	10	16–64	32	32				100	
	10	Disk diffusion	22						4	14	82
	10	Agar dilution	22	8–32	32	32					
	29	Disk diffusion	44							100	
Ciprofloxacin	This study	Etest	76	0.032–0.094	0.064	0.094	≤ 0.5		100		
	This study	Agar dilution	10	0.06	0.06	0.06			100		
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.03–0.5	0.06	0.25			100		
	9	Etest	25	0.032–0.38	0.094	0.094			100		
	10	Agar dilution	22	0.03–0.06	0.03	0.06			100		
	10	Disk diffusion	22						100		
	12	Agar dilution	40	<0.008–0.12	0.03	0.06			100		
	Klugman et al. ^f	NCCLS methods	25	0.0625–0.125	0.0625	0.0625			100		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.03–0.06	0.06	0.06			100		
	26	Agar dilution	65	0.03–0.12	0.06	0.06			100		
	Brooks et al. ^d	Microdilution	12	<0.25	<0.25	<0.25			100		
Erythromycin	This study	Etest	69	0.5–4	1	1.5	0.5	8	15	85	
	This study	Agar dilution	10	0.5–2	1	2			10	90	
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.5–4	1	1			95.4	4.6	
	10	Disk diffusion	22						100		
	Klugman et al.	NCCLS methods	25	0.125–4	0.5	1			NA		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.25–1	0.5	1			NA	NA	0
	26	Agar dilution	65	0.5–1	1	1			3	97	
	29	Disk diffusion	44						100		
Gentamicin	Brooks et al.	Microdilution	12	0.25–4	0.5	1	4	16	8	92	
	This study	Etest	75	0.064–0.5	0.25	0.38			100		
	This study	Agar dilution	10	0.25–0.5	0.25	0.5			100		
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.125–0.5	0.25	0.5			100		
	10	Agar dilution	22	0.03–0.25	0.06	0.125			100		
	10	Disk diffusion	22						100		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.06–0.5	0.125	0.25			100		
	29	Disk diffusion	44						97.8	2.2	
Penicillin	This study	Etest	74	<0.016–>32	<0.016	0.023	0.12	0.25	97		3
	This study	Agar dilution	8	0.015–0.5	0.015	0.015			— ^e		
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.125–16	0.125	8			88.5		11.5
	9	Etest	25	<0.016–0.5	0.042	0.236			88		12
	10	Agar dilution	22	0.015–0.03	0.015	0.015			100		
	10	Disk diffusion	22						100		
	12	Agar dilution	40	0.016–0.03	0.016	0.016			100		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.015–64	0.06	0.125			99		1
	26	Agar dilution	65	<0.06–128	≤ 0.06	≤ 0.06			97		3
	29	Disk diffusion	44						84.1	15.9	
Tetracycline	This study	Etest	71	0.016–0.094	0.023	0.032	1		100		
	This study	Agar dilution	10	0.015–0.06	0.015	0.03			100		
	10	Disk diffusion	22						100		
	22	Agar dilution	70	0.6–1	0.125	0.125			100		
	26	Agar dilution	65	0.03–0.06	0.03	0.06			100		
	29	Disk diffusion	44						100		
Vancomycin	This study	Etest	74	0.75–5	2	3	4	32	99	1	
	This study	Agar dilution	10	1–4	4	4			100		
	4	Agar dilution	96	0.25–2	1	1			100		
	10	Agar dilution	22	0.25–1	1	1			95	5	
	10	Disk diffusion	22						95	5	
	26	Agar dilution	65	0.5–2	2	2			100		

^a NCCLS MIC interpretive standards (see footnotes to Table 3). Interpretations in the cited reports predate the newly available NCCLS breakpoints for *B. anthracis* and ciprofloxacin, penicillin, and tetracycline.

^b S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant; NA, information not available.

^c Resistance inferred. The highest level on the strips used was 32 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

^d T. Brooks, P. C. B. Turnbull, and A. Maule, unpublished results.

^e —, for penicillin, ASC 32, ASC 70, and ASC 183 resistant; remainder susceptible.

^f K.P. Klugman, J. Frean, L. Arntzen, V. Yeldandi, and S. Bukofzer, Addendum, Abstr. 41st Intersci. Conf. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., abstr. UL-20, p. 7, 2001.

seen as having intermediate susceptibility to cefotaxime by agar dilution, were fully resistant by Etests (Tables 4 and 5).

DISCUSSION

The Etest system has obvious advantages over conventional methods of MIC determinations, especially in terms of its simplicity for laboratories that are not set up to do conventional MICs on a routine basis. For the species tested here, the Etest system has also shown itself flexible in terms of permitting some variation in inoculum size, temperature of incubation, and time of reading without significantly altering the results. Etest readings for *B. anthracis* in this study compared favorably with agar dilution readings in a subsidiary study set up to test this method (Table 4) and with studies reported previously (Table 6). In addition to extending the available data on *B. anthracis*, the results also expand the limited MIC data available for *B. cereus* from health-related sources (Table 5) (1, 28, 35).

One of the problems in this study, as with the majority of the other studies cited, is precisely defining the term "strain" and knowing for certain that cultures are unrelated. This issue becomes relevant when concluding that a particular proportion of the cultures exhibit a trait such as resistance to penicillin. Coker et al. (9) selected the set of 24 cultures they used, which were also included in this study, on the basis of the AFLP typing system (19), the best system available at present for differentiating *B. anthracis* isolates into strains. The remainder of the isolates used in the present study had not been typed by this method. Of the 76 total cultures included, 9 definitely had common ancestors and a further 8 may also have common ancestors. The penicillin-resistant group comprised of ASC 32, ASC 70, and ASC 183 is an example. ASC 32 and ASC 70 were believed to have been derived from the same patient, reaching the culture collection by different routes at different times, but differences in their antibiotic profiles were noted previously (22) (ASC 183 was a derivative of ASC 32 which had been cured of plasmid pXO1 [Table 1]). Less determinable is the relatedness or lack of it among, for example, wildlife isolates from Namibia. Differentiating strains of *B. cereus* and *B. thuringiensis* is somewhat easier through flagellar antigen-based serotyping systems, although isolates that cannot be serotyped are encountered quite frequently (Table 2).

Cavallo et al. (4) recorded a surprisingly high proportion (11.5%) of penicillin-resistant isolates of *B. anthracis* in their series, but they did not give their histories, apart from stating that 67 (70%) were isolated from environmental sources. It is possible that some of the isolates were related and that this may account for this high percentage of penicillin-resistant isolates.

The ability of *B. anthracis* to produce penicillinase was in fact recognized over half a century ago (3). Lightfoot et al. (22) demonstrated inducible β -lactamase production in a number of strains following exposure to a subinhibitory level of flucloxacillin. Inducible β -lactamases were again noted in relation to the anthrax events in the United States (6). The latter events led to published statements that penicillins, at least alone, are not recommended for the treatment of anthrax (5, 6). The *B. anthracis* genome sequence shows that this organism encodes two β -lactamases, a penicillinase and a cephalosporinase (6, 7, 25, 31). These β -lactamases are two examples of a significant

number of genes (including those for motility, for example) that are shared with the closely related *B. cereus* which, though present, are not expressed as a result of a truncation in the *plcR* positive regulator gene (31, 34). However, the reality is that reports of naturally occurring resistance to penicillin in fresh clinical isolates are exceedingly rare and appear to number just five cases (2, 30), not all of which were well substantiated with further studies.

In this context, reports (4, 9; the latter not wholly confirmed here) that 11.5 and 12% of strains are resistant to penicillin are a little disturbing. Penicillin has long stood the test of time as the first choice for the treatment of anthrax in most parts of the world, and from the standpoint of the treatment of naturally acquired anthrax (as opposed to considerations relating to possible bioaggressive events), as it is cheap and readily available almost everywhere, it has to at least remain the basis of treatment schedules in animals and humans in developing countries. This view has been reinforced recently by others (32). Probably the fundamental principle, first stated half a century ago (14), is that adequate doses should be administered when penicillin is being used for treatment. It should be stressed, though, that there is no question that it is reasonable to add a second drug, where it is possible to do so, in cases showing signs of systemic involvement (32) or in other extreme situations such as known deliberate release exposures. That is by no means a new idea; the synergistic action of penicillin and streptomycin was recognized 40 years ago, and the recommendation was made then that both antibiotics be used at the same time in the treatment of septicemic anthrax (23).

The development of reduced susceptibility of *B. anthracis* to the quinolone ofloxacin but not to doxycycline following sequential subculture in subinhibitory concentrations has been demonstrated (8). The relatively low proportion of *B. anthracis* strains fully susceptible to erythromycin (15%) was somewhat surprising in view of the fact that this drug was regarded from the earliest days of antimicrobial chemotherapy (14) as an effective alternative to penicillin and is usually listed as such in medical microbiology texts.

B. cereus has long been associated with both food-borne illness and nongastrointestinal infections (11, 21, 24, 28, 33, 35). The latter infections are usually, but not always, opportunistic and are sometimes severe or life threatening. The incrimination of *B. thuringiensis* in infections is rare but has occurred, while *B. mycoides* appears to be totally nonpathogenic. From the many case reports of *B. cereus* infections, the broad picture is one of resistance to penicillin, ampicillin, cephalosporins, and trimethoprim and susceptibility to clindamycin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, vancomycin, the aminoglycosides, and, usually, tetracycline. Ciprofloxacin was used successfully in the treatment of *B. cereus* wound infections (21). In a comparison of MIC methods, Andrews and Wise (1) found that, of five *B. cereus* strains, all were susceptible to ciprofloxacin and, with some variation between methods, to doxycycline; all were resistant to penicillin while, to tetracycline, two were susceptible, one was resistant, and two gave variable readings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Martin Hugh-Jones and Pamala Coker, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Jim McLauchlin and

colleagues at the Food Safety and Microbiology Laboratory, Health Protection Agency Colindale, London, United Kingdom, and Niall Logan, Department of Biological Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, United Kingdom, for making available the various cultures and their histories; to Roger Scott, Department of Microbiology, Taunton, and Somerset Hospital, Taunton TA1 5DB, United Kingdom, for technical information; and to M. Doganay, Faculty of Medicine, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey, Lorraine Arntzen, National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Johannesburg, South Africa, and F. C. Tenover, Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Ga., for assistance with references.

The views, opinions, and/or findings presented are those of the authors and should not be construed as reflecting the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, J. M., and R. Wise. 2002. Susceptibility testing of *Bacillus* species. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **49**:1040–1042.
- Anonymous. 1996. Bits and pieces (from poster boards at the workshop): references to penicillin resistance. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Anthrax, 19–21 September 1995, Winchester, England. *Salisbury Med. Bull.* **87**(Suppl.):139.
- Auerbach, S., and G. G. Wright. 1955. Studies on immunity in anthrax. VI. Immunizing activity of protective antigen against various strains of *Bacillus anthracis*. *J. Immunol.* **75**:129–133.
- Barnes, J. M. 1947. Penicillin and *B. anthracis*. *J. Pathol. Bacteriol.* **59**:113–125.
- Breathnach, A. S., P. C. Turnbull, S. J. Eykyn, and C. H. Twort. 1996. A labourer with a spot on his chest. *Lancet* **347**:96.
- Cavallaro, J.-D., F. Ramisse, M. Giradet, J. Vaissaire, M. Mock, and E. Hernandez. 2002. Antibiotic susceptibilities of 96 isolates of *Bacillus anthracis* isolated in France between 1994 and 2000. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:2307–2309.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2001. Notice to readers: update: interim recommendations for antimicrobial prophylaxis for children and breastfeeding mothers and treatment of children with anthrax. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **50**:1014–1016.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2001. Update: investigation of bioterrorism-related anthrax and interim guidelines for exposure management and antimicrobial therapy, October 2001. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **50**:909–919.
- Chen, Y., J. Succi, F. C. Tenover, and T. M. Koehler. 2003. β -Lactamase genes of the penicillin-susceptible *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne strain. *J. Bacteriol.* **185**:823–830.
- Choe, C. H., S. S. Bouhaouala, I. Brook, T. B. Elliott, and G. B. Knudson. 2000. In vitro development of resistance to ofloxacin and doxycycline in *Bacillus anthracis* Sterne. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **44**:1766.
- Coker, P. R., K. L. Smith, and M. E. Hugh-Jones. 2002. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of diverse *Bacillus anthracis* isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **46**:3843–3845.
- Cowles, P. B. 1931. A bacteriophage for *B. anthracis*. **21**:161–166.
- Doganay, M., and N. Aydin. 1991. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Bacillus anthracis*. *Scand. J. Infect. Dis.* **23**:333–335.
- Drobniewski, F. A. 1993. *Bacillus cereus* and related species. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **6**:324–338.
- Esel, D., M. Doganay, and B. Sumerkan. 2003. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of 40 isolates of *Bacillus anthracis* isolated in Turkey. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **22**:70–72.
- Federal Register. 2002. Department of Health and Human Services, 42 CFR 73, Office of Inspector General, 42 CFR Part 1003. Possession, use, and transfer of select agents and toxins; interim final rule. *Fed. Regist.* **240**:76886–76905.
- Friedlander, A. M., S. L. Welkos, M. L. M. Pitt, J. W. Ezzell, P. L. Worsham, B. E. Ivins, J. R. Lowe, G. B. Howe, P. Mikesell, and W. B. Lawrence. 1993. Postexposure prophylaxis against experimental inhalation anthrax. *J. Infect. Dis.* **167**:1239–1242.
- Gold, H. 1955. Anthrax. A report of one hundred seventeen cases. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **96**:387–396.
- Inglesby, T. V., T. O'Toole, D. A. Henderson, J. G. Bartlett, M. S. Ascher, E. Eitzen, A. M. Friedlander, J. Gerberding, J. Hauer, J. Hughes, J. McDade, M. T. Osterholm, G. Parker, T. M. Perl, P. K. Russell, and K. Tonat. 2002. Anthrax as a biological weapon, 2002: updated recommendations for management. *JAMA* **287**:2236–2252.
- Jernigan, J. A., D. S. Stephens, D. A. Ashford, C. Omenaca, M. S. Topiel, M. Galbraith, M. Tapper, T. L. Fisk, S. Zaki, T. Popovic, R. F. Meyer, C. P. Quinn, S. A. Harper, S. K. Fridkin, J. J. Sejvar, C. W. Shepard, M. McConnell, J. Guarner, W. J. Shieh, J. M. Malecki, J. L. Gerberding, J. M. Hughes, and B. A. Perkins. 2001. Bioterrorism-related inhalational anthrax: the first 10 cases reported in the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **7**:933–944.
- Jones, M. N., R. J. Beedham, P. C. B. Turnbull, and R. J. Manchec. 1996. Antibiotic prophylaxis for inhalation anthrax. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Anthrax, 19–21 September 1995, Winchester, England. *Salisbury Med. Bull.* **87**(Suppl.):127–128.
- Kadanali, A., M. A. Tasyaran, and S. Kadanali. 2003. Anthrax during pregnancy: case reports and review. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **36**:1343–1346.
- Keim, P., L. B. Price, A. M. Klevytska, K. L. Smith, J. M. Schupp, R. Okinaka, P. J. Jackson, and M. E. Hugh-Jones. 2000. Multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis reveals genetic relationships within *Bacillus anthracis*. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**:2928–2936.
- Kelly, D. J., J. D. Chulay, P. Mikesell, and A. M. Friedlander. 1992. Serum concentrations of penicillin, doxycycline, and ciprofloxacin during prolonged therapy in rhesus monkeys. *J. Infect. Dis.* **166**:1184–1187.
- Kemmerly, S. A., and G. A. Pankey. 1993. Oral ciprofloxacin therapy for *Bacillus cereus* wound infection and bacteremia. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **16**:189.
- Lightfoot, N. F., R. J. D. Scott, and P. C. B. Turnbull. 1990. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Bacillus anthracis*. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Anthrax, 11–13 April 1989, Winchester, England. *Salisbury Med. Bull.* **68**(Suppl.):95–98.
- Lincoln, R. E., F. Klein, J. S. Walker, B. W. Haines, W. I. Jones, B. G. Mahlandt, and R. H. Friedman. 1964. Successful treatment of rhesus monkeys for septicemic anthrax. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **4**:759–763.
- Logan, N. A., and P. C. B. Turnbull. 1999. *Bacillus* and recently derived genera, p. 357–369. In P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Tenover (ed.), *Manual of clinical microbiology*, 7th ed., ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Materon, I. C., A. M. Queenan, T. M. Koehler, K. Bush, and T. Palzkill. 2003. Biochemical characterization of β -lactamases Bla1 and Bla2 from *Bacillus anthracis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **47**:2040–2042.
- Mohammed, M. J., C. K. Marston, T. Popovic, R. S. Weyant, and F. C. Tenover. 2002. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Bacillus anthracis*: comparison of results obtained using the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards broth microdilution reference and Etest agar gradient diffusion methods. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **40**:1902–1907.
- NCCLS. 2003. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pa.
- O'Day, D. M., R. S. Smith, C. R. Gregg, P. C. B. Turnbull, W. S. Head, J. A. Ives, and P. C. Ho. 1981. The problem of *Bacillus* species infection with special emphasis on the virulence of *Bacillus cereus*. *Ophthalmology* **88**:833–838.
- Odendaal, M. W., P. M. Pieterse, V. deVos, and A. D. Botha. 1991. The antibiotic sensitivity patterns of *Bacillus anthracis* isolated from the Kruger National Park. *Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res.* **58**:17–19.
- Patra, G., J. Vaissaire, M. Weber-Levy, C. Le Doujet, and M. Mock. 1998. Molecular characterization of *Bacillus* strains involved in outbreaks of anthrax in France in 1997. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **36**:3412–3414.
- Plotkin, S. A., P. S. Brachman, M. Utell, F. H. Bumford, and M. M. Atchison. 1960. An epidemic of inhalation anthrax, the first in the twentieth century. I. Clinical features. *Am. J. Med.* **29**:992–1001.
- Read, T. D., S. N. Peterson, N. Tourasse, L. W. Baillie, I. T. Paulsen, K. E. Nelson, H. Tettelin, D. E. Fouts, J. A. Eisen, S. R. Gill, E. K. Holtzapple, O. A. Okstad, E. Helgason, J. Ristone, M. Wu, J. F. Kolonay, M. J. Beanan, R. J. Dodson, L. M. Brinkac, M. Gwinn, R. T. DeBoy, R. Madpu, S. C. Daugherty, A. S. Durkin, D. H. Haft, W. C. Nelson, J. D. Peterson, M. Pop, H. M. Khouri, D. Radune, J. L. Benton, Y. Mahamoud, L. Jiang, I. R. Hance, J. F. Weidman, K. J. Berry, R. D. Plaut, A. M. Wolf, K. L. Watkins, W. C. Nierman, A. Hazen, R. Cline, C. Redmond, J. E. Thwaite, O. White, S. L. Salzberg, B. Thomason, A. M. Friedlander, T. M. Koehler, P. C. Hanna, A. B. Kolsto, and C. M. Fraser. 2003. The genome sequence of *Bacillus anthracis* Ames and comparison to closely related bacteria. *Nature* **423**:81–86.
- Severn, M. 1976. A fatal case of pulmonary anthrax. *Br. Med. J.* **1**:748.
- Tasyaran, M. S., and S. Erol. 2002. Penicillin therapy for anthrax: a reply to Torres-Tortosa et al. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **21**:697.
- Turnbull, P. C. B. 1986. *Bacillus cereus* toxins, p. 397–448. In F. Dörner and J. Drews (ed.), *Pharmacology of bacterial toxins*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Turnbull, P. C. B., J. E. Bowen, and J. W. Mann. 1996. Stubborn contamination with anthrax spores. *Environ. Health* **104**:171–173.
- Turnbull, P. C. B., J. M. Hofmeyr, A. M. T. McGettrick, and B. A. Oppenheim. 1986. Isolation of *Bacillus anthracis*, the agent of anthrax, in the Etosha National Park. A comparison of various media and methods. *Madoqua* **14**:321–331.
- Turnbull, P. C. B., P. J. Jackson, K. K. Hill, A.-B. Kolsto, P. Keim, and D. J. Beecher. 2002. Longstanding taxonomic enigmas with the '*Bacillus cereus* group' are on the verge of being resolved by far-reaching molecular developments. Forecasts on the possible outcome by an *ad hoc* team, p. 23–36. In R. C. W. Berkeley, M. Heyndrickx, N. A. Logan, and P. de Vos (ed.), *Applications and systematics of Bacillus and relatives*. Blackwell Science, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Weber, D. J., S. M. Saviteer, W. A. Rutala, and C. A. Thomann. 1988. In vitro susceptibility of *Bacillus* spp. to selected antimicrobial agents. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **32**:642–645.