

AD _____

Award Number: W81XWH-04-1-0024

TITLE: Regulation of Normal and Malignant Prostate Growth by the Glucocorticoid Receptor

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Michael Garabedian, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: New York University School of Medicine
New York, NY 10016

REPORT DATE: August 2005

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 01-11-2005		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 Nov 2003 – 31 Oct 2005	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Regulation of Normal and Malignant Prostate Growth by the Glucocorticoid Receptor				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-04-1-0024	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Michael Garabedian, Ph.D. E-mail: garabm01@mccr.med.nyu.edu				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) New York University School of Medicine New York, NY 10016				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The glucocorticoid receptor (GR) is a hormone-dependent transcription factor involved in the regulation of a wide range of metabolic and developmental processes by controlling the expression of target genes in a hormone- and cell-specific manner. However, the expression and activity of GR in normal and malignant prostate growth is unclear. We have recently developed a GR phosphorylation site specific antibody to serine 211 of human GR (GR-S211-P) and found a strict correlation between phosphorylation of GR at this site and receptor transcriptional activity. Thus, GR phosphorylation at S211 is a surrogate marker for the ligand-bound and transcriptionally active form of GR in vivo. Using this antibody to survey GR phosphorylation in human tissues by immunohistochemistry, we came across the remarkable finding that ligand bound and transcriptionally active phospho-GR is present in the stroma and epithelium of normal prostate tissue, including basal and luminal epithelial cells. This was not the case for other tissues examined and suggests that the prostate is being continually exposed to glucocorticoids, such that GR is actively signaling in the prostate. The experiments described in this proposal are designed to elucidate the role of GR in prostate cell growth.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Prostate cancer, glucocorticoid receptor, conditional knock out mouse					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			USAMRMC
U	U	U	UU	36	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

Cover.....	1
SF 298.....	2
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	4
Key Research Accomplishments.....	4
Reportable Outcomes.....	4
Conclusions.....	4
References.....	5
Appendices.....	5-36

Introduction

Glucocorticoids, via the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), regulate the expression of target genes in a hormone- and cell-specific manner to suppress cell growth. Clinically, glucocorticoids are used as a last resort to treat hormone refractory prostate cancer and have been shown to have little clinical benefit. Limited data on GR expression in the prostate suggests that GR levels in the epithelium are reduced in cancer relative to normal tissue, suggestive of a role for GR in growth suppression of prostate epithelial cells. However, a direct demonstration that GR regulates prostate cell growth and differentiation has not been shown.

Body

Because GR typically stop cells from growing, we hypothesized that GR is regulating genes that restrict prostate epithelial cell growth and that its loss would promote prostate cellular proliferation and prostate cancer. To test this hypothesis, we propose to generate a mouse lacking GR specifically in prostate epithelial cells and examine changes in proliferation and differentiation.

Since a conventional knockout of the GR gene in the mouse is lethal at birth, we needed to produce a “conditional” GR knock out mouse where we could remove GR specifically from prostate epithelial cells and determine the contribution of GR to prostate epithelial cell growth.

Key research accomplishments

We have successfully generated a conditional GR knock out mouse where the GR gene can be selectively removed by the Cre recombinase. Prior to embarking on the GR knock out in prostate epithelial cells, we needed to validate our mouse model in a GR expressing cell type where the removal of the receptor had been previously shown to have functional consequences *in vivo*. Therefore, we choose to authenticate our model in T-cells, where the loss of GR in early thymocyte development has been shown to result in severe gastrointestinal inflammation and mortality upon T-cell activation (1).

We were successful in selectively ablating GR from thymocytes and recapitulating the above phenotypes, thus establishing our mouse model as a valuable new tool to analyze GR function *in vivo*. Now that we have a mouse generated a mouse where the GR gene can be excised in a tissue specific manner, we will initiate studies on the consequences of the loss of GR in the prostate using a prostate epithelial cell specific Cre recombinase-expressing mouse (2).

Reportable outcomes

See attached manuscript.

Conclusions

We have generated a “conditional” GR knock out mouse and have validated this new mouse model in thymocytes, a known GR target cell, as a prelude to the inactivation of the GR gene in prostate epithelial cells. These studies undoubtedly will shed light on the mechanism of growth regulation by GR in the prostate.

Bibliography

Ismaili, N., Pineda Torra, I., Shen, Y., Lee M-J. Littman, D.R., Garabedian, M.J.
Stage specific T-cell responses in mice lacking the glucocorticoid receptor (*submitted*)

Personnel receiving pay from the research effort:

Naima Ismaili, Ph.D.

Michael J. Garabedian, Ph.D.

References

- 1 Brewer, J. A., B. Khor, S. K. Vogt, L. M. Muglia, H. Fujiwara, K. E. Haegle, B. P. Sleckman, and L. J. Muglia. 2003. T-cell glucocorticoid receptor is required to suppress COX-2-mediated lethal immune activation. Nat Med 9:1318-22.
- 2 Maddison LA, Nahm H, DeMayo F, Greenberg NM. 2000. Prostate specific expression of Cre recombinase in transgenic mice. Genesis 26:154-6.

Appendices:

See attached manuscript

Stage specific T-cell responses in mice lacking the glucocorticoid receptor

Naima Ismaili ^{1,5}

Ines Pineda Torra ¹

Yuelel Shen ⁴

Men-Jean Lee ^{3, 5}

Dan R. Littman ⁴

Michael J. Garabedian ^{1,2 *}

Departments of Microbiology¹, Urology², Obstetrics and Gynecology³

Skirball Institute of Biomolecular Medicine and

the Howard Hughes Medical Institute ⁴

NYU School of Medicine

550 First Avenue

New York, NY 10016

Corresponding author:

Department of Microbiology

NYU School of Medicine

550 First Avenue

Phone 212 263-7662

FAX 212 263-8276

Email garabm01@med.nyu.edu

⁵ Present Address: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Yale School of Medicine

Running title: GR stage-specific effects in thymocytes

Abstract

We have selectively inactivated the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) in CD4⁺CD8⁺ thymocytes using a novel conditional GR knock out-mouse and a Cre recombinase expressed by the CD4 promoter (T_{CD4}GRKO). T-cell subsets in the thymus were unchanged between the T_{CD4}GRKO and control mice, indicating that GR is dispensable for T-cell development. However, a small decrease in the single positive T-cells in the spleen of T_{CD4}GRKO relative to the controls was observed, suggesting that GR affects T-cell movement or survival in the periphery. Surprisingly and in contrast to a previous report where GR gene inactivation at the earlier CD4⁺CD8⁻ stage of thymocyte development using Lck-Cre led to the derepression of COX-2, intestinal inflammation and lethality upon T-cell activation, the T_{CD4}GRKO mice displayed none of these phenotypes. Thus, the phenotypic consequences of the loss GR in thymocytes are stage specific, and suggest that GR imparts a memory early in thymocyte development that persists in mature T-cells to limit the inflammatory response. Our findings link the pathophysiology of T-cell mediated inflammatory diseases to GR stage-specific signaling during thymocyte development.

Introduction

Glucocorticoids are known to influence the immune function and have long been used as anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive agents (8). Glucocorticoids mediate their immunoregulatory effect by binding to the intracellular glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (31). GR is a transcriptional regulatory protein with three functional domains: an N-terminal transcriptional activation function (AF) 1, a central zinc finger DNA binding domain (DBD) and a C-terminal hormone-binding region that contains a ligand-dependent AF2 (32). In the absence of hormone, the Hsp90-based chaperone complex represses GR regulatory activities (20). Hormone binding relieves this repression and results in a conformational change in the receptor, which promotes DNA binding as well as an association with transcriptional regulatory cofactors to enhance the transcription of target genes. GR also modulates transcription independent of direct DNA recognition via protein-protein interactions (14). Such a “tethering” mechanism is responsible for the repressive effect of GR on transcription factors such as AP1 and NFκB, to suppress the inflammatory response.

It is well established that thymocytes are extremely sensitive to glucocorticoid-mediated apoptosis (2, 25). Thymocytes are divided into different subsets based on the expression of cell surface markers. Thymocytes differentiate from the CD4⁺CD8⁻ double negative (DN) cells to the intermediate CD4⁺CD8⁺ double positive (DP) stage. The majority of the DP cells present sub-threshold affinity to the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and undergo apoptosis or death by neglect. The remaining DP cells with the ability to recognize self major histocompatibility complex MHC-II or MHC-I molecules are positively selected and differentiate respectively into

either CD4⁺CD8⁻ or CD4⁻CD8⁺ single positive (SP) cells. DP cells with high affinity to the MHC destined to become self-reactive thymocytes are eliminated.

Steroidogenic enzymes are present in the thymic epithelium, which may produce glucocorticoids locally to influence thymocyte survival and differentiation (19, 30). Thus, the thymus may represent a unique microenvironment that could allow directed delivery of corticosteroids to thymocytes (2).

High doses of glucocorticoids are known to induce thymocyte apoptosis and this process is inhibited by T-cell receptor (TCR)-mediated activation of the ERK signaling pathway. Conversely, induction of apoptosis by TCR ligation is repressed by glucocorticoids (1, 13). In view of this "mutual antagonism", it was suggested that GR plays a role in thymopoiesis by regulating the threshold of TCR-mediated positive and negative selection (2).

To assess GR function during T-cell development *in vivo*, several groups have manipulated the level of GR in the mouse and analyzed T-cell development with conflicting results (reviewed in 2, 7, 15). Using two independent transgenic mouse models expressing an anti-sense GR cDNA (referred to as TKO), two groups reported contradictory results regarding the involvement of GR in T-cell development: while King *et al* reported a 90% reduction of the number of DP thymocytes, Sacedon *et al*, reported a significantly increased thymocyte number in a comparable model (17, 24). The disparity between these studies may reflect differences in the promoters used to drive the antisense transgenic expression.

Mice with a disrupted GR gene (GR null mutant, GRKO) die at birth due to defects in lung maturation (4). Total thymocyte number, and single positive subsets were

unchanged in GR^{+/-} mice, and their ability to undergo negative selection was normal in fetal thymus organ cultures from GR^{-/-} embryos (21). However, embryonic thymocytes isolated from these mice and cultured ex vivo are resistant to glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis, implicating GR directly in this process.

In transgenic mice carrying two additional copies of the GR gene, an increased sensitivity to glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis is observed in primary thymocytes (23). Furthermore, to distinguish between the biological functions of GR that require binding of homodimers to DNA versus those that depend on protein-protein interactions, a GR dimerization defective mutant (GR^{dim/dim}) was generated (22). The GR^{dim/dim} mice survived to adulthood indicating that DNA binding is dispensable in early stages of mouse development. Interestingly, thymocytes from these GR dimerization mutants were also resistant to glucocorticoid-induced cell death indicating that receptor dimerization-dependent DNA binding is necessary for glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis of immature T cells. However, unlike the GRKO mice, where the number of CD4⁺CD8⁻ and CD4⁻CD8⁺ cells was reduced, in the GR^{dim/dim} mutant mice, thymocyte populations appear normal, calling into question the involvement of GR during T-cell development. These discrepancies could represent the inherent limitations of the models used. Due to early lethality in the GR^{-/-} mice, only embryonic thymocytes can be examined, which may not accurately reflect the contribution of GR in T-cell maturation in the adult. In the viable GR^{dim/dim} mice, the transcriptional activity of genes dependent upon GR dimerization is compromised, which in turn could interfere with expression of as yet to be identified, GR-induced regulatory factors that would directly (in the thymocytes) or indirectly (in other cells) influence T cell development. Although the concentration of GR is reduced

in the TKO mice, there remains residual GR in T-cells that may influence the results. Likewise, it has also been shown that the GRKO mice express an aberrant truncated form of GR that lacks the N-terminus, but retains a hormone-binding receptor fragment that is capable of activating transcription of a subset of GR target genes (5, 18), confounding the interpretation of these studies.

More recently, T-cell GR-deficient mice were generated using an Lck promoter-driven Cre-recombinase to excise the exon II of the GR gene in the thymus exclusively (referred to as TGRKO) (3). Analysis of thymocytes from these mice revealed normal cell number and subset distribution indicating that GR is dispensable for T-cell development. Polyclonal T-cell activation in TGRKO mice induced mortality due to massive gastrointestinal inflammation as a result of up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), suggesting that GR is acting, either directly or indirectly, to repress COX-2 expression (3).

In addition to the traditional role of GR as a transcriptional regulatory protein affecting gene specific expression, GR also participates in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression (9). Epigenetic changes in gene expression occur through alterations in histone modification that affect chromatin structure or through chemical modifications of the DNA, such as methylation (12). GR has been shown to promote local chromatin remodeling and changes in histone modification (6), in addition to altering the DNA methylation pattern at particular promoters (16, 29). It is well established that the differentiation of immature thymocytes into mature T-cells requires the activation and silencing of multiple genes (27, 28), and it is conceivable that GR influences gene

expression in a stage specific manner in T-cell development through both genetic and epigenetic events.

To address the possibility that the effect of GR on T-cell development is stage specific, we generated independently a T-cell-specific GR-deficient mouse using Cre-recombinase driven by CD4-promoter (referred to as T_{CD4}GRKO), which removes GR at the DP stage of thymocyte development. As with the TGRKO mice, T-cell subsets in the thymus were unchanged between the T_{CD4}GRKO and control mice, indicating that GR is dispensable regardless of the time in T-cell development in which GR is ablated. However, we found a small decrease in the SP T-cells in the spleen of T_{CD4}GRKO relative to controls. Surprisingly, activation of T-cells by anti-CD3 antibody in the T_{CD4}GRKO mice did not result in inflammation of the intestine or depression of COX-2 as observed in the TGRKO mice. Our finding indicates that GR is most likely controlling biological events responsible for polyclonal T-cell activation early in thymocyte development (DN to DP), which becomes dispensable at later stages (DP to SP).

Material and Methods

Gene targeting.

An 8 kb genomic DNA fragment spanning exon II of the mouse GR gene was retrieved from a 129SVJ mouse genomic library. A loxP site was inserted into the Mfe I site 420 bp upstream of exon II using a synthetic primer (5'-AATTGAGGCCTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACCGAAGTTATACGCGTC-3') containing StuI and MluI sites at the 5' and 3'ends, respectively. The modified intron carrying the loxP site and exon II were excised by digestion with EcoRV and DrdI, the DrdI site was made blunt, and this fragment was inserted into PL2-Neo plasmid cut with SmaI and SalI, the later site blunted, thus constituting the long arm. The short arm was obtained by excising the DrdI-EcoR1 site downstream of exon II. The short arm was blunted and inserted into a blunted XbaI site downstream of the floxed Neo gene.

The linearized targeting vector construct was electroporated into the 129SVJ ES cells. Approximately 1000 G418-resistant clones were isolated. Screening of the resistant clones was carried by PCR using a Neomycin and GR primer pair (Neo forward; 5'-GGACAGGTCGGTCTTGACAAAAAGAACCG-3', and GR reverse; 5'-GCATGTTGACTTAAGTGGCTGGTGACTCAGG-3'). Putative positive clones were confirmed by Southern blot using a probe outside of the targeting construct. Two out of the eight positive clones were transiently transfected with an expression vector for Cre-recombinase to remove the Neomycin cassette. Subsequently, 500 individual subclones were cultured in duplicate in medium with or without G418. DNA from the clones that failed to grow in medium with G418 were analyzed by Southern blot using both GR and Neo probes to ensure loss of the Neomycin gene but not the floxed GR exon II. One

positive clone was selected for injection into C57BL/6 blastocytes and resulted in germ line transmitting chimeras.

Animal breeding and selection

The male chimeras were bred to C57BL/6 females and the tail DNA from the progeny was genotyped using primer flanking the loxP site upstream of exon II (lox 1 forward; 5'-GGCACAGGTGAAATTGTGA-3', and lox 2 reverse; 5'-ACACATTTGGGTAAGCATGGA-3'). The heterozygous mice ($GR^{flox/wt}$) were interbred to produce homozygous mice ($GR^{flox/flox}$). To generate mice with deletion of GR in the thymus, the $GR^{flox/flox}$ were bred to Lck-Cre or CD4-Cre mice. The resulting $GR^{flox/wt}$, Lck-Cre⁺ or $GR^{flox/wt}$, CD4-Cre⁺ were interbred to produce the littermates $GR^{flox/flox}$, Cre⁺ mice or $GR^{wt/wt}$, Cre⁺ mice that we used as controls in all our experiments. The primers for genotyping Lck-Cre mice are: Lck forward; 5'-CCTCCTGTAACCTTGGTGCTTGAG-3' and Lck reverse; 5'-TGCATCGACCGGTAATGCAG-3'. The primers for genotyping CD4-Cre mice are: CD4 forward; 5'-TCTCTGTGGCTGGCAGTTTCTCCA-3', and CD4 reverse; 5'-TCAAGGCCAGACTAGGCTGCCTAT-3'.

All mouse protocols were in accordance with National Institute of Health guidelines and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of NYU School of Medicine (New York, NY).

Flow cytometry

Thymocytes were harvested from 6-week old male mice and $2-10 \times 10^6$ cells were incubated with anti-CD16/CD32 (2.4G2) antibody for 15 min on ice and then stained with combination of antibodies against the following cell surface proteins: anti-CD4-APC (GK1.5), anti-CD4-APC-Cy7 (GK1.5), anti-CD8 α -PE-Cy7 (53-6.7), at appropriate concentrations for 30 min on ice. The cells were then washed with twice with PBS and analyzed on a BD-LSRII flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). For the apoptosis experiments, isolated thymocytes were treated with either 10^{-6} M Dexamethasone or an equal volume of the ethanol vehicle in tissue culture media (RPMI-1640 with 5% FCS, 2mM Glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin 5 Units/ml) for 24h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Annexin-V staining was performed following the protocol provided by the manufacturer (BD PharMingen, San Diego, CA). After two washes with cytoperm/cytowash, the cells were re-suspended in FACS buffer and analyzed by flow cytometry. All data were analyzed using Flowjo flow cytometric data analysis software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). Statistical analysis of T-cell populations was performed using T-tests. Statistical significance was considered for P-values < 0.05.

Protein analysis

Whole cell protein lysates were prepared from whole thymus, isolated thymic CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T-cells, or splenic T-cells sorted using CD90 (Thy 1.2) microbeads and the MACS separation columns (Miltenyi biotec). Cells were lysed in 0.1 ml of buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaF, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, and additional protease and phosphatase inhibitors

[1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20 mM β -glycerophosphate, 8 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 μ g/ml leupeptin, 1 μ g/ml pepstatin A, and 1 μ g/ml aprotinin. The lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The soluble supernatants were normalized for total protein concentration using the Bio-Rad protein assay. Samples were boiled for 3 min in 2 X SDS sample buffer and stored at -20°C.

For Western blotting, 30 μ g of protein extracts were resolved on a 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred unto PVDF membranes and probed with antibodies to the GR N-terminal (M20; Santa-Cruz Biotechnology) or C-terminus (P-20; Santa-Cruz Biotechnology), mouse monoclonal COX-2 antibody (5E10/D10; abcam), mouse monoclonal antibody against tubulin (TU27; Covance) and a polyclonal antibody against hsp90 (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology) as a control for loading.

Real time PCR for RNA quantification

Total RNA from purified T-cells cells was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen) as described by the manufacturer. cDNA specific for each gene was subsequently synthesized using the Enhanced Avian Reverse Transcriptase (Sigma) and random primer hexamers (Pharmacia) following the manufacturer's instructions. cDNAs were amplified using the SYBR Green Quantitative PCR Kit (Sigma) on a LightCycler (Roche). Reactions were carried out in a 20 μ l reaction containing a 500 nM concentration of each primer and the SYBR Green *Taq* ReadyMix for Quantitative RT-PCR (Sigma) as recommended by the manufacturer with the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, followed by 42 cycles of 5 sec at 95°C, 5 sec at 55 °C and 10 sec at 72°C. COX-2, mRNA levels were normalized to 28S expression. All RT-PCR products were analyzed

in a post-amplification fusion curve to ensure that a single amplicon was obtained.

Primers used were: COX-2-forward-(5'-ATCCCCCAGTCAAAGACA-3'); COX-2-reverse, (5'-CATACATCATCAGACCAGGCACC-3'); GR-forward (5 - CCTAAGGACGGTCTGAAGAGC-3); GR-reverse, (5 - GCCAAGTCTTGGCCCTCTAT-3); 28 S rRNA-forward (5'-AAACTCTGGTGGAGGTCCGT-3') and 28 S rRNA-reverse (5'-CTTACCAAAAGTGGCCCACTA-3').

Histology

Tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin overnight, washed in 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed on 5 µm-wide sections. Micrographs were captured on an Axioplan 2 Zeiss microscope.

Results

T-cell glucocorticoid receptor (GR)-deficient mice were generated using CD4 promoter-driven, Cre recombinase-mediated excision of a floxed exon II of the GR gene (Figure 1). The mice homozygous for the floxed GR gene and harboring the CD4-Cre transgene (designated T_{CD4}GRKO) were as healthy as their CD4-Cre negative homozygous floxed GR littermates. Efficient excision of exon II in the thymus was confirmed by Southern blot (Figure 2D). In addition, we found little glucocorticoid receptor mRNA (Figure 2E) and protein (Figures 2F and 2H) in the thymus. No glucocorticoid receptor was detected in purified CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ single positive thymocytes from T_{CD4}GRKO mice, indicating the efficient loss of GR at the specified stage (Figure 2G). Detection of GR protein was carried using either an antibody to the GR amino-terminus, recognizing an epitope in exon II, or an antibody to the GR carboxy-terminus, recognizing an epitope outside the deleted exon. In both cases, intact GR was not detected and no specific bands were evident in thymocytes from T_{CD4}GRKO *versus* wt control mice, excluding the possibility of a remaining C-terminal truncated form of GR in our mouse model (Figure 2H). GR expression remained intact in the lung (Figure 2G), and other tissues (not shown) where CD4-Cre would not be expressed. Therefore, the GR is efficiently and specifically deleted in thymocytes in T_{CD4}GRKO mice.

We next analyzed T-cell subsets in the thymus and spleen from T_{CD4}GRKO and control mice. We noted no significant difference in the cell number or subset distribution in the thymus between genotypes. In contrast, in the spleen we observed a small but significant decrease (~40%) in both CD4⁺CD8⁻ and CD4⁻CD8⁺ cell populations. Thus, GR deficiency at the CD4⁺CD8⁺ stage is not required for thymocyte development or

negative selection, whereas GR may have a small effect on the trafficking or survival of mature T-cells in the spleen.

We also monitored T-cell apoptosis *in vitro* in response to Dexamethasone treatment by Annexin-V staining. When treated with Dexamethasone, CD4⁺CD8⁺ and CD4⁺CD8⁻ T-cells from control mice expressing GR underwent apoptosis, whereas thymocytes from T_{CD4}GRKO mice were largely resistant to glucocorticoid-mediated cell death (Figure 4). This is in agreement with the role of GR in thymocyte apoptosis.

T-cell receptor activation induces the expression of a host of proinflammatory mediators, including TNF- α , INF- γ , and COX-2 (10, 11). A previous study in mice where GR had been removed at the early double negative CD4⁻CD8⁻ stage of T-cell development by Lck-Cre (TGRKO), resulted in a profound depression of COX-2 mRNA, intestinal inflammation and death upon T-cell activation after administering an antibody to CD3 (3).

To examine whether the proinflammatory effect of GR-deficiency in activated T-cells is stage specific, we examined COX-2 expression after administering anti-CD3 specific antibody to T_{CD4}GRKO and control mice. We anticipated that if the function of GR in thymocytes was stage independent, then the removal of GR either early (CD4⁻CD8⁻) or late (CD4⁺CD8⁺) stages in T-cell development would result similar phenotypes, including depression of COX-2 and enhanced proinflammatory response upon T-cell activation. Alternatively, if the requirement for GR were stage specific and, for example, was necessary at only the early CD4⁻CD8⁻ stage of thymocyte development, then deregulated COX-2 expression and inflammatory phenotype in GR-deficient T-cells would only be

manifest if GR were removed early ($T_{Lck}GRKO$), but not late ($T_{CD4}GRKO$), in thymocyte development, which is what is observed.

Although COX-2 mRNA and protein levels were induced in purified T-cells after treatment with antibody to CD-3 in $T_{CD4}GRKO$ and control mice, there was no difference in the regulation of COX-2 between $T_{CD4}GRKO$ and controls, despite a lack of GR mRNA and protein (Figure 5). This finding indicates that deregulation of COX-2 in T-cells in the absence of GR is thymocyte stage-specific and is required only during early thymocyte development.

To ensure that the differences in response in GR-deficient T-cells between $TGRKO$ and $T_{CD4}GRKO$ were stage specific rather than a result of variation in the GR targeted mouse strain between groups, we generated our own Lck-driven thymus-specific GR knock out mouse (referred to as $T_{Lck}GRKO$) using the same GR conditional allele as in the $T_{CD4}GRKO$. We examined the $T_{Lck}GRKO$ and $T_{CD4}GRKO$ by histology for signs of intestinal inflammation after T-cell activation by anti-CD3 administration. The $T_{Lck}GRKO$ mice displayed intestinal inflammation upon polyclonal T-cell activation (Figure 6) as previously reported. In contrast, $T_{CD4}GRKO$ mice showed no signs of gastrointestinal inflammatory in response to T-cell activation, consistent with the lack of elevated COX-2 expression relative to control. Thus, removal of GR early in thymocyte development ($T_{Lck}GRKO$) results in gastrointestinal inflammation when mature T-cells are activated. Surprisingly, this effect is not observed when GR is removed later in thymocyte development. Thus, GR imparts a memory to thymocytes early in development that persists in mature T-cell stages.

Discussion

Thymocyte differentiation and selection leads to large variety of T-cells, most notably CD4-T helper cells, CD8-cytotoxic cells and CD4-regulatory or suppressor cells that reside in various peripheral organs to regulate the adaptive immune response (28). The role GR plays in thymocyte differentiation as well as the subsequent effects that GR exerts in adult T-cells lineages has not been fully elucidated (2). An important study from the Muglia laboratory addressed the role of GR in T-cell activation in adult mice by generating a conditional GR knockout mouse and ablating GR at the early CD4⁺CD8⁻ stage of thymocyte development using Lck-Cre recombinase. T-cell GR deficiency resulted in a profound up-regulation of COX-2 mRNA, gastrointestinal inflammation and death upon polyclonal T-cell activation (3). Our results also show that GR-deficient T_{Lck}GRKO mice develop intestinal inflammation as a consequence of T-cell activation. However, although our T_{Lck}GRKO mice appear ill after administration of anti-CD3 antibody they do not die. This lack of lethality may reflect environmental differences in animal housing between studies as our mice were maintained under pathogen-free conditions.

While GR-deficiency in early T-cell development (T_{Lck}GRKO) leads to inflammation and pathology, the T_{CD4}GRKO animals display, interestingly, no signs of gastrointestinal inflammation or COX-2 overexpression relative to control when T-cells are activated by anti-CD3 antibody. The striking stage specific phenotypic consequences of the loss GR, suggest that early in T-cell development, GR institutes a genetic program that persists throughout development and, once established, GR is then dispensable. This pattern may be established by GR activation in thymocytes through the paracrine

action of glucocorticoids produced locally by the thymic epithelium.

Our findings suggest a model whereby early in thymocyte development GR is activated by glucocorticoids emanating from the thymic epithelium, which induces epigenetic alterations, such as DNA methylation or histone modifications, resulting in the decreased transcription of proinflammatory genes (Figure 7). If GR were removed early in thymic development, then this epigenetic suppression would not occur. However, if GR were removed at a later point in thymocyte development, then the repression of promoters by GR would have already been established. According to this model, subsequent hyperinduction of proinflammatory mediators upon T-cell activation, such as COX-2, would be observed only if GR were removed early in development and is consistent with the derepression of COX-2 when GR is ablated at the beginning of thymocyte maturation.

Indeed, the COX-2 upstream regulatory region harbors CpG islands and undergoes DNA methylation. Suppression of this methylation by inhibitors, such as 5-azacytidine, results in the hyperinduction of COX-2 upon stimulation, presumably by enabling transcription factors to bind and activate the promoter (26). Thus, GR may induce a particular epigenetic state early in thymocyte development that establishes a threshold for the activation of proinflammatory genes. This proposed epigenetic GR anti-inflammatory mechanism is novel and distinct from the traditional GR anti-inflammatory mechanism by direct repression of gene expression through protein-protein interaction. Perturbations of GR-regulated events in early in thymocyte development could lead to the enhanced expression of proinflammatory mediators by activated T-cells, and contribute to the etiology of T-cell-dependent inflammatory diseases such as lupus and psoriasis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Louis Muglia for helpful discussion and Drs. Susan Logan and Ellinor Oxelmark for critically reading the manuscript. We also thank Dr. Herman Yee for help with the histology. Grants from the NIH (DRL, MJG), the DOD (W81XWH-04-1-0024) (MJG), the NYU School of Medicine CFAR pilot project program (MJG), and the Reproductive Scientist Development Program/Wyeth Award (M-J.L) supported this study.

References

1. Ashwell, J. D., L. B. King, and M. S. Vacchio. 1996. Cross-talk between the T cell antigen receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor regulates thymocyte development. *Stem Cells* 14:490-500.
2. Ashwell, J. D., F. W. Lu, and M. S. Vacchio. 2000. Glucocorticoids in T cell development and function*. *Annu Rev Immunol* 18:309-45.
3. Brewer, J. A., B. Khor, S. K. Vogt, L. M. Muglia, H. Fujiwara, K. E. Haeghele, B. P. Sleckman, and L. J. Muglia. 2003. T-cell glucocorticoid receptor is required to suppress COX-2-mediated lethal immune activation. *Nat Med* 9:1318-22.
4. Cole, T. J., J. A. Blendy, A. P. Monaghan, K. Krieglstein, W. Schmid, A. Aguzzi, G. Fantuzzi, E. Hummler, K. Unsicker, and G. Schutz. 1995. Targeted disruption of the glucocorticoid receptor gene blocks adrenergic chromaffin cell development and severely retards lung maturation. *Genes Dev* 9:1608-21.
5. Cole, T. J., K. Myles, J. F. Purton, P. S. Brereton, N. M. Solomon, D. I. Godfrey, and J. W. Funder. 2001. GRKO mice express an aberrant dexamethasone-binding glucocorticoid receptor, but are profoundly glucocorticoid resistant. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 173:193-202.
6. Flavin, M., L. Cappabianca, C. Kress, H. Thomassin, and T. Grange. 2004. Nature of the accessible chromatin at a glucocorticoid-responsive enhancer. *Mol Cell Biol* 24:7891-901.
7. Godfrey, D. I., J. F. Purton, R. L. Boyd, and T. J. Cole. 2000. Stress-free T-cell development: glucocorticoids are not obligatory. *Immunol Today* 21:606-11.
8. Goulding, N. J. 2004. The molecular complexity of glucocorticoid actions in inflammation - a four-ring circus. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 4:629-36.
9. Grange, T., L. Cappabianca, M. Flavin, H. Sassi, and H. Thomassin. 2001. In vivo analysis of the model tyrosine aminotransferase gene reveals multiple sequential steps in glucocorticoid receptor action. *Oncogene* 20:3028-38.
10. Hunter, C. A., and S. L. Reiner. 2000. Cytokines and T cells in host defense. *Curr Opin Immunol* 12:413-8.
11. Iniguez, M. A., C. Punzon, and M. Fresno. 1999. Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 on activated T lymphocytes: regulation of T cell activation by cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors. *J Immunol* 163:111-9.
12. Jaenisch, R., and A. Bird. 2003. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat Genet* 33 Suppl:245-54.
13. Jamieson, C. A., and K. R. Yamamoto. 2000. Crosstalk pathway for inhibition of glucocorticoid-induced apoptosis by T cell receptor signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:7319-24.
14. Jenkins, B. D., C. B. Pullen, and B. D. Darimont. 2001. Novel glucocorticoid receptor coactivator effector mechanisms. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 12:122-6.
15. Jondal, M., A. Pazirandeh, and S. Okret. 2004. Different roles for glucocorticoids in thymocyte homeostasis? *Trends Immunol* 25:595-600.
16. Kagoshima, M., T. Wilcke, K. Ito, L. Tsaprouni, P. J. Barnes, N. Punchard, and I. M. Adcock. 2001. Glucocorticoid-mediated transrepression is regulated by histone acetylation and DNA methylation. *Eur J Pharmacol* 429:327-34.

17. King, L. B., M. S. Vacchio, K. Dixon, R. Hunziker, D. H. Margulies, and J. D. Ashwell. 1995. A targeted glucocorticoid receptor antisense transgene increases thymocyte apoptosis and alters thymocyte development. *Immunity* 3:647-56.
18. Mittelstadt, P. R., and J. D. Ashwell. 2003. Disruption of glucocorticoid receptor exon 2 yields a ligand-responsive C-terminal fragment that regulates gene expression. *Mol Endocrinol* 17:1534-42.
19. Pazirandeh, A., Y. Xue, I. Rafter, J. Sjøvall, M. Jondal, and S. Okret. 1999. Paracrine glucocorticoid activity produced by mouse thymic epithelial cells. *Faseb J* 13:893-901.
20. Pratt, W. B., M. D. Galigniana, Y. Morishima, and P. J. Murphy. 2004. Role of molecular chaperones in steroid receptor action. *Essays Biochem* 40:41-58.
21. Purton, J. F., Y. Zhan, D. R. Liddicoat, C. L. Hardy, A. M. Lew, T. J. Cole, and D. I. Godfrey. 2002. Glucocorticoid receptor deficient thymic and peripheral T cells develop normally in adult mice. *Eur J Immunol* 32:3546-55.
22. Reichardt, H. M., K. H. Kaestner, J. Tuckermann, O. Kretz, O. Wessely, R. Bock, P. Gass, W. Schmid, P. Herrlich, P. Angel, and G. Schutz. 1998. DNA binding of the glucocorticoid receptor is not essential for survival. *Cell* 93:531-41.
23. Reichardt, H. M., T. Umland, A. Bauer, O. Kretz, and G. Schutz. 2000. Mice with an increased glucocorticoid receptor gene dosage show enhanced resistance to stress and endotoxic shock. *Mol Cell Biol* 20:9009-17.
24. Sacedon, R., A. Vicente, A. Varas, M. C. Morale, N. Barden, B. Marchetti, and A. G. Zapata. 1999. Partial blockade of T-cell differentiation during ontogeny and marked alterations of the thymic microenvironment in transgenic mice with impaired glucocorticoid receptor function. *J Neuroimmunol* 98:157-67.
25. Scollay, R., and K. Shortman. 1983. Thymocyte subpopulations: an experimental review, including flow cytometric cross-correlations between the major murine thymocyte markers. *Thymus* 5:245-95.
26. Song, S. H., H. S. Jong, H. H. Choi, H. Inoue, T. Tanabe, N. K. Kim, and Y. J. Bang. 2001. Transcriptional silencing of Cyclooxygenase-2 by hyper-methylation of the 5' CpG island in human gastric carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res* 61:4628-35.
27. Su, R. C., R. Sridharan, and S. T. Smale. 2005. Assembly of silent chromatin during thymocyte development. *Semin Immunol* 17:129-40.
28. Taniuchi, I., W. Ellmeier, and D. R. Littman. 2004. The CD4/CD8 lineage choice: new insights into epigenetic regulation during T cell development. *Adv Immunol* 83:55-89.
29. Thomassin, H., M. Flavin, M. L. Espinas, and T. Grange. 2001. Glucocorticoid-induced DNA demethylation and gene memory during development. *Embo J* 20:1974-83.
30. Vacchio, M. S., V. Papadopoulos, and J. D. Ashwell. 1994. Steroid production in the thymus: implications for thymocyte selection. *J Exp Med* 179:1835-46.
31. Yamamoto, K. R. 1995. Multilayered control of intracellular receptor function. *Harvey Lect* 91:1-19.
32. Zhou, J., and J. A. Cidlowski. 2005. The human glucocorticoid receptor: one gene, multiple proteins and diverse responses. *Steroids* 70:407-17.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Generation of a floxed conditional allele of *GR* (*GR*flox).

(A) Schematic representations of a) the wild-type *GR* locus flanking exon II, b) targeting vector, c) recombined locus, and d) the final conditional allele of *GR*(*GR* flox) with the PGK-neo selection cassette removed are shown. B) Confirmation of the *GR*flox allele by Southern blot. DNA prepared from ES cell clones was digested BclI and Southern-blotted for hybridization with the 3' and neo probes indicated in A. C) Presence of the loxP site in the *GR*flox allele was confirmed by PCR. DNA from ES cells was amplified by PCR using F1 and B1 primers. The PCR products, either uncut (-) or digested with Stu I (+) were resolved on an agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Figure 2 Deletion of GR in T-cells of *GR*flox mice.

(A) Schematic diagram of the *GR*flox conditional allele. B) Southern analysis of *GR*flox mice. DNA from wild type (W/W), heterozygous (F/W) or homozygous *GR*flox (F/F) mice was digested with StuI and Southern-blotted for hybridization with the 3' end probe indicated in A. B) Genotyping of *GR*flox mice by PCR. DNA was amplified by PCR using Lox1 and Lox2 primers and the resulting DNA fragments were resolved on an agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. D) DNA prepared from the thymus of mice were digested with BclI and Southern-blotted using a 3' probe. (E) mRNA abundance of GR in CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T-cells was assessed by qPCR using 28S RNA as the normalization control. F) Western blot analysis of protein extracted from the whole thymus using an antibody against GR and tubulin. G) Western blot analysis of protein extracted from purified CD4⁺CD8⁺ T-cells or lungs from mice of the indicated

genotype using an antibody against GR and tubulin. H) GR protein immunoblot of whole thymus using antibodies to the GR N-terminus (*left panel*) and C-terminus (*right panel*). A similar non-specific pattern of bands is observed in both CD4/GR^{wt/wt} (WT) and CD4/GR^{f/f} (Flox) samples.

Figure 3 Distribution of T-cell subsets in T_{CD4}GRKO thymus and spleen

Thymus and spleen were removed from 6-7 week old CD4/GR^{wt/wt} (WT) and CD4/GR^{f/f} mice. T-cells were isolated and analyzed by flow cytometry as described in the Materials and Methods. *n=4, p<0.005

Figure 4 T_{CD4}GRKO thymocytes are largely resistant to Dex-induced apoptosis

CD4⁺CD8⁺ and CD4⁺CD8⁺ SP T-cells were isolated from the thymus of wild type CD4/GR^{+/+} (black bars) and GR^{flox} CD4/GR^{f/f} (T_{CD4}GRKO) (white bars). Isolated thymocytes were treated with either 10⁻⁶ M dexamethasone or vehicle only in tissue culture media for 24h at 37°C. Annexin V-FITC staining was performed and cells were sorted into CD4⁺CD8⁺ and CD4⁺CD8⁺ by flow cytometry and presented as percent of Annexin V-staining cells.

Figure 5 Induction of COX-2 by T-cell activation is GR independent in

T_{CD4}GRKO mice

Analysis of COX-2 and GR in purified T cells from control (CD4/GR^{wt/wt}) and T_{CD4}GRKO (CD4/GR^{f/f}) mice after injection with PBS (-) or antibody to CD3 (+). mRNA abundance of COX-2 and GR in CD4⁺CD8⁺ T-cells was assessed by qPCR using

28S RNA as the normalization control. The CD4/GR^{wt/wt} PBS control samples were arbitrarily set as 1. Samples were run in triplicate and the error bars represent SD.

Western blot analysis of protein extracted from purified T-cells using an antibody against COX-2 and tubulin, or GR and hsp90. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results.

Figure 6 Differential inflammatory responses in T-cell deficient mice.

Control (LCK/GR^{wt/wt}) and T_{Lck}GRKO (LCK/GR^{f/f}) mice or control (CD4/GR^{wt/wt})

T_{CD4}GRKO (CD4/GR^{f/f}) mice treated with PBS or an antibody to CD3 (anti-CD3).

Histological analysis of ceca in mice treated with antibody to CD3. Arrow denotes a region of inflammation in T_{Lck}GRKO mice treated with anti-CD3. Sections are representative of $n = 3$ mice.


Figure 7 Model for the stage specific regulation of gene expression by GR in thymocytes

Schematic representation of a thymic lobule and thymocyte developmental pathway. Glucocorticoid hormone (black circle) is produced by the thymic epithelium and activates GR (gray square). The receptor in turn affects the overall program of gene expression by epigenetic changes (depicted by a ball and stick) in DNA methylation or histone modification of the regulatory regions of certain proinflammatory target genes. This change is maintained in the mature T-cells in the periphery. Upon T-cell receptor activation (wiggly arrow) in WT and T_{Lck}GRKO, epigenetic imprinting is already established, such that only a single transcription factor (parallelogram) binds to the


promoter and activates the expression of this pro-inflammatory gene to the appropriate level. When epigenetic imprinting is lost, as in the $T_{Lck}GRKO$, then additional transcription factors are capable of binding (stripped oval) and hyperactivating the target gene upon TCR signaling, leading to overexpression of proinflammatory mediators, such as COX-2.

Figure 1

A



B



C




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

■ PBS
■ Anti-CD3







Figure 6




Figure 7

