

73rd MORSS CD Cover Page

UNCLASSIFIED DISCLOSURE FORM CD Presentation

712CD

For office use only 41205

21-23 June 2005, at US Military Academy, West Point, NY

Please complete this form 712CD as your cover page to your electronic briefing submission to the MORSS CD. Do not fax to the MORS office.

Author Request (To be completed by applicant) - The following author(s) request authority to disclose the following presentation in the MORSS Final Report, for inclusion on the MORSS CD and/or posting on the MORS web site.

Name of Principal Author and all other author(s):

David J. Daly, PhD

Stephen L. Fischer

Principal Author's Organization and address:

Phone: _703-575-3714_

Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability

Fax: _703-575-3710_

1901 N. Beauregard St, Suite 600

Email: steve.fischer@t2jaec.org

Alexandria, VA 22311

Original title on 712 A/B: _Joint Training System Ad Hoc Study_

Revised title: _____ as above _____

Presented in (input and Bold one): **WG 22**

This presentation is believed to be:
UNCLASSIFIED AND APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 22 JUN 2005		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Joint Training System (JTS)Ad Hoc Study				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability 1901 N. Beauregard St, Suite 600 Alexandria, VA 22311				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES See also ADM201946, Military Operations Research Society Symposium (73rd) Held in West Point, NY on 21-23 June 2005. , The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 25	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Training Transformation

Joint Training System (JTS) Ad Hoc Study

**Training Transformation
Joint Assessment & Enabling Capability**

21 June 2005

JTS Study Goal


Training Transformation

To review the JTS processes to determine enhanced Joint Training “capabilities” which support training agility and training quality

What is the JTS Process?

Training Transformation

The JTS is a four-phase process



Methodology

Training Transformation

- The study followed a **comparative analysis approach** combined with survey research
 - Process improvements in industry, government and education were studied
 - Interviews with COCOM staffs and other JTS stakeholders were conducted
 - Insights from the Santa Fe Institute were used to develop our concepts of **agility** and **quality** as JTS analysis criteria

Agility

Training Transformation

- *Agility* is the ability to rapidly respond to changing circumstances and requirements
- *Agility* = Information Flow/ Infrastructure
- *Issue:* Resources & Labor tied to each

Quality

Training Transformation

- **Quality** for the JTS was approached from the perspective of learning theory
- Three *quality criteria* were developed based on a need for:
 - Behavioral Learning Architecture
 - Cognitive Learning Architecture
 - Constructive Learning Architecture

Quality Criteria

Training Transformation

1. **Behavioral**: Building block approach for training tasks
2. **Cognitive**: Guided problem solving
3. **Constructive**: Team reasoning and problem solving in a military context

Findings: Information Flow

Training Transformation

1. There is a risk of building future JTS complexity instead of managing complexity with simplicity
2. There is a risk of developing a JTS DOD stovepipe
3. There is a risk of relying on past models and training practices to respond to today's changing global threat

Findings: Quality

Training Transformation

4. There is a risk of not benefiting from the combined potential of our individuals and staffs in Joint training
5. There is a risk of providing inappropriate training to meet the threat
6. There is a risk of not knowing if our training promotes learning and improved performance

Recommendations

Training Transformation

1. Risk of Increased Complexity

Either reengineer or replace the Joint Training Information Management System (JTIMS). The complexity of the existing requirement warrants a “leap ahead” design concept.

2. Risk of DOD Stovepipe

Develop a JTS Expansion Study & Plan. Conduct additional analyses to document the technical, organizational, security, and legal barriers to extending Joint training. Develop a plan for inclusion of Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Multinational training in the JTS process.

Recommendations

Training Transformation

3. Risk of Relying on Past Models

Conduct an Exercise Reengineering Study addressing the full range of Joint Exercises

4. Risk of not benefiting from trained Staff Expertise

Increase the direct funding for COCOM manpower and training resources for the Joint training of individuals and staffs

Recommendations

Training Transformation

5. Risk of providing inappropriate training

Designate the responsibility for the development of Joint Training Requirements to COCOM teams led by Operations and Plans departments supported by Intelligence, Training, and other staff departments.

Recommendations

Training Transformation

6. Risk of not knowing if our training promotes learning and improved performance

Develop simple but effective assessment procedures for Joint training.

Summary

Training Transformation

- JTS is a robust process to build for future needs
- JTIMS is not currently meeting user demands
- Resource limitations have prevented COCOMs from fully implementing JTS
- Leverage JAEC assessments for Joint Training and Phase IV assessments
- Conduct follow on analyses for JTS Expansion, JTIMS Replacement, and Joint Exercise Re-engineering

Relationship to TC AoA

Training Transformation

- Training Capabilities Analysis of Alternatives (TC AoA) was completed Aug, 2004
 - Compared TC AoA Final Report with JTS Study results
 - The two studies addressed many of the same issues from different perspectives
 - JTS Study Recommendations and TC AoA were mutually reinforcing

Discussion

Training Transformation

Backup

Assumptions

Training Transformation

Assumptions regarding Joint Training and Education were:

- An initial goal is to provide Joint educated individuals, staffs and units before arrival at the COCOM
- A second goal is to provide Joint education and training for individuals, staffs and units after arrival at the COCOM
- The JTS process currently resembles the deliberate planning process; as it evolves it should more closely resemble the adaptive planning process

Methodology Steps

Training Transformation

- Review of JTS documentation & instructions
- Discussions with government & industry JTS experts
- Literature review of industry, government and educational training system processes & lessons learned
- Review of learning theory, methods, strategies and tools
- Interviews with COCOM and JTS stakeholders
- Data reduction and organization
- Analysis of JTS constraints
- Development of conclusions and recommendations

Agility

Training Transformation

- **Agility** is the ability to rapidly respond to changing circumstances and requirements
 - In terms of our study, it represents the relationship of information flow to the labor & resources tied to system infrastructure
- Eight *Agility criteria* were developed

Agility Criteria

Training Transformation

1. Centralized & decentralized analysis
2. Strategies focused on constantly changing competitors & environments
3. Questioning Assumptions
4. Open Access to Information
5. Feedback from all levels
6. Parallel processing
7. Rethinking existing organizational models & processes
8. Resources focused on Thinking

Observations

Training Transformation

Observations 1-5 were developed from document & case studies

1. The JTS (Requirements, Plans, Execution, and Assessment) is a detailed and precise process
2. The step-wise linear implementation of the JTS process is a constraint for JTS **speed and Agility**
3. The Coalition Forces Land Component Command (CFLCC) Mission Rehearsal Exercise (MRX) 04 design supports the requirements of both agility and quality (CFLCC MRX 04 conducted in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom)
4. Incorporation of the DIME (Diplomatic, Information, Military, and Economic) Approach and the Collaborative Information Environment into the CFLCC MRX could have an exponential impact on agility
5. The lack of appropriate joint training manpower and resource support requirements at the COCOMS is a **Quality** constraint

Observations (Con't)

Training Transformation

Observations 6-11 were developed from interview data

6. Incomplete Joint training for individuals and staffs is a constraint to JTS **agility and quality**
7. The number of exercises executed during ongoing wartime operations is a strain on Service manpower and resources
8. The development of joint training requirements (JMETs and JMETLs) without the adequate input of the Operations and Plans departments limits the validity of Joint Training
9. Both the current JTS horizontal and vertical training information flow requirement and the planned Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Multinational information flow requirement have significant technical, organizational, and policy barriers
10. The lack of effective assessment is a constraint to JTS **agility and quality**
11. The difficulty of using JTIMS hinders implementation of the JTS

Overlap of TC AoA and JTS Ad Hoc Study

Training Transformation

JTS FD Study	Rec 1	Rec 2	Rec 3	Rec 4	Rec 5	Rec 6
TC AoA	<i>Reengineer/ Replace JTIMS</i>	<i>JTS Expansion Study & Plan</i>	<i>Joint Exercise Study</i>	<i>COCOM Individual & Staff Funding</i>	<i>J3/J5 Develop JMETL</i>	<i>Assessment of Joint Training</i>
FINDINGS (Executive Summary)						
Management Focus					X	
Alternative training methodologies for COCOM & JTF staff training				X		
Intelligence as an integral part of training	X					
RECOMMENDATIONS (Executive Summary)						
Training Simulations (tools for after action review)						X
Reengineering Joint Training	X	X				
GAPS IN JOINT TRAINING (Chapter III)						
#1: Train CJTFs (includes need for Individual Joint Training)				X		
#2: Train SJFHQ (includes need for Individual Joint Training)				X		
#3: Train on Crisis Action planning and deployments		X	X			
#4: Provide faster/higher fidelity Mission Rehearsal		X	X			
#7: Interagency, Intergovernmental, Multinational environment		X				
#8: Provide Homeland Defense Training		X				
#10: Train forces in enemy CBRNE exploitation & destruction	X					
#11: Train to operate in CBRNE environment	X					
#12: Train on Effects Based Planning/Operations			X			
#30: Continuity of operations			X			
OTHER ISSUES (Chapter VIII)						
A: Multi-Level Security		X				