

Laboratory Transmission of Japanese Encephalitis, West Nile, and Getah Viruses by Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) Collected near Camp Greaves, Gyeonggi Province, Republic of Korea, 2003

MICHAEL J. TURELL, CHRISTOPHER N. MORES,¹ DAVID J. DOHM, WON-JA LEE,²
HEUNG-CHUL KIM,³ AND TERRY A. KLEIN⁴

Virology Division, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5011

J. Med. Entomol. 43(5): 1076-1081 (2006)

ABSTRACT We conducted experimental studies to evaluate mosquitoes captured in Paju County, Gyeonggi Province, Republic of Korea, for their ability to transmit West Nile virus (family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*, WNV), Japanese encephalitis virus (family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*, JEV), and Getah virus (family *Togaviridae*, genus *Alphavirus*, GETV) under laboratory conditions. Both *Culex pipiens pallens* Coquillett and *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* Giles were highly susceptible to infection with WNV, with infection rates >65% when allowed to feed on chickens with viremias of $\approx 10^7$ plaque-forming units (PFU) of virus/ml blood. In contrast, *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* were significantly more susceptible to JEV or GETV (infection rate 100%) than were the *Cx. p. pallens* (infection rate 3% for JEV and 0% for GETV) captured in the same area when allowed to feed on chickens with viremias of $\approx 10^5$ PFU of virus/ml blood. The detection of JEV in field-collected *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* in Gyeonggi Province in 2000 and the demonstrated ability of this species to transmit this virus support the importance of the continued vaccination of Koreans against JEV and indicate a risk of infection for nonvaccinated individuals.

KEY WORDS virus, mosquitoes, Korea, Japanese encephalitis, West Nile, Getah

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) and West Nile virus (WNV) are both members of the JEV serogroup (family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*). Although most infections in humans with either of these viruses produce little or no clinical illness, infection with either of these viruses can cause life-threatening encephalitis (Burke and Leake 1988, Mackenzie et al. 2004). Japanese encephalitis virus is enzootic in Asia from western Nepal to Korea and Japan and has been responsible for outbreaks of encephalitis in humans, with thousands of cases being reported each year (Burke and Leake 1988, Sohn 2000, Vaughn and Hoke 1992). In the Republic of Korea (ROK), a mandatory vaccination program was initiated in the early 1980s, and this program has significantly decreased the numbers

of human cases. The incidence of Japanese encephalitis was reduced from >18.5/100,000 people in 1964 to <0.02/100,000 people since 1985 (Sohn 2000). Although not responsible for as many deaths, WNV is known to occur over a wide geographic area. This virus is an emerging pathogen and was documented for the first time in the American continent in 1999 (CDC 1999, Lanciotti et al. 1999). Since 1999, infection with WNV has been responsible for >15,000 human infections and >500 human fatalities in North America (CDC 2002, 2003, 2004).

Both of these viruses are transmitted by mosquitoes and use birds as amplifying hosts (Burke and Leake 1988, Hayes 1989). Despite the small number of recent human cases of JE in the ROK, the detection of JEV in *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* Giles and Getah virus (family *Togaviridae*, genus *Alphavirus*, GETV) (a virus known to cause illness in horses and humans; Lundstrom 1999) in *Aedes vexans* (Meigen) mosquitoes captured in Gyeonggi Province, ROK, in 2000 (Turell et al. 2003), indicates a potential risk for human exposure to these viruses in the ROK. Although *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* is the known vector of JEV throughout much of its range and is the expected vector in the ROK (Baik and Joo 1991), *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* from Korea have not been tested for their ability to transmit JEV, WNV, or GETV. Numerous studies have shown that the ability of a mosquito

The mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the Department of Defense or the Korean National Institute of Health. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

¹ Current Address: University of Florida, Florida Medical Entomology Laboratory, 200 9th Street SE, Vero Beach, FL 32962.

² Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute of Health, five Nokbun-Dong, Eunpyeong-Gu, Seoul 122-701, Republic of Korea.

³ 5th Medical Detachment, 168th Medical Battalion (Area Support), 18th Medical Command, Unit 15247, APO AP 96205-5247.

⁴ Force Health Protection, 18th Medical Command, Unit 15281, APO AP 96205-5281.

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 01 SEP 2006		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Laboratory transmission of Japanese encephalitis, West Nile viruses and Getah by mosquitoes (Diptera:Culicidae) collected near Camp Greaves, Gyeonggi Province, Republic of Korea, 2003. Journal of Medical Entomology 43:1076 - 1081				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Turell, MJ Mores, CN Dohm, DJ Lee, W-J Kim, H-C Klein, TA				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, MD				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER TR-05-440	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We conducted experimental studies to evaluate mosquitoes captured in Paju County, Gyeonggi Province, Republic of Korea, for their ability to transmit West Nile virus (family Flaviviridae, genus Flavivirus, WNV), Japanese encephalitis virus (family Flaviviridae, genus Flavivirus, JEV), and Getah virus (family Togaviridae, genus Alphavirus, GETV) under laboratory conditions. Both Culex pipiens pallens Coquillett and Culex tritaeniorhynchus Giles were highly susceptible to infection with WNV, with infection rates > 65% when allowed to feed on chickens with viremias of approximately 107 plaque-forming units (PFU) of virus/ml blood. In contrast, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus were significantly more susceptible to JEV or GETV (infection rate 100%) than were the Cx. p. pallens (infection rate 3% for JEV and 0% for GETV) captured in the same area when allowed to feed on chickens with viremias of approximately 105 PFU of virus/ml blood. The detection of JEV in field-collected Cx. tritaeniorhynchus in Gyeonggi Province in 2000 and the demonstrated ability of this species to transmit this virus support the importance of the continued vaccination of Koreans against JEV and indicate a risk of infection for nonvaccinated individuals.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Japanese B encephalitis virus, West Nile virus, Getah virus, transmission,, mosquito vector, Korea					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

species to transmit a particular virus can vary greatly for different geographical populations (Gubler and Rosen 1976, Hardy et al. 1976, Takahashi 1980). Similarly, although members of the genus *Culex* have been incriminated as vectors of WNV (Hayes 1989, Hubalek and Halouzka 1999), Korean *Culex pipiens pallens* Coquillett have never been evaluated for their ability to transmit this virus. Therefore, we evaluated *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* and *Cx. p. pallens* captured in Gyeonggi Province, ROK, for their ability to transmit WNV, GETV, and JEV under laboratory conditions.

Materials and Methods

Mosquitoes. Adult female mosquitoes were captured in Mosquito Magnet traps (American Biophysics Corporation, North Kingstown, RI) in August 2003 in the vicinity of Camp Greaves, located west of Tongil-Chon, just south of the demilitarized zone (DMZ) (37° 54' N, 126° 43' E), Gyeonggi Province, ROK. Captured mosquitoes were transported to a biological safety level 3 laboratory (with HEPA-filtered exhaust air, treated sewage, and a 100% clothing change) at the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases. They were then provided apple slices as a carbohydrate source and held at 26°C for 7–10 d until exposed to viremic chickens. In addition to the field-collected female mosquitoes, first generation progeny of these mosquitoes also were used in these studies. Voucher specimens were deposited at the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, and their identity was confirmed by microsatellite genetic analysis (D. Fonseca, unpublished data).

Virus and Virus Assays. We used a strain of JEV (ROK-2.0028) and GETV (ROK-2.0017) that had been isolated from *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* and *Ae. vexans*, respectively, captured near Camp Greaves in 2000 and passaged twice in African green monkey kidney (VERO) cells before use in this study (Turell et al. 2003). We also used a strain of WNV (crow 397-99) from the brain of a crow that died in the Bronx, NY, in September 1999 (Turell et al. 2000). This strain had been passaged twice in VERO cells before use in this study.

To determine infection status, specimens were serially diluted in diluent (10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum [FBS] in medium 199 with Earle's salts, NaHCO₃, and antibiotics) and tested for the presence of virus on VERO cell monolayers by plaque assay. Procedures for plaque assay were similar to those described by Gargan et al. (1983) except that the overlay containing neutral red was added 2 d (WNV and GETV) or 4 d (JEV) after the initial assay. Plaques were counted the next day.

Vector Competence Studies. We previously determined that WNV- and JEV-inoculated 1-d-old Leghorn chickens, *Gallus gallus* L., developed viremias of $\approx 10^7$ and 10^5 plaque-forming units (PFU)/ml, respectively, 2–3 d after infection (Turell et al. 2000, 2005). Preliminary studies with GETV indicated that 1-d-old Leghorn chickens also developed a viremia $\approx 10^5$

PFU/ml 2–3 d after infection (M.J.T., unpublished data). Therefore, mosquitoes were allowed to feed on 2- to 4-d-old Leghorn chickens that had been inoculated with 10^{2-3} PFU of JEV, GETV, or WNV 2–3 d earlier. Immediately after mosquito feeding, 0.1 ml of blood was obtained from the jugular vein of each chicken. This was added to 0.9 ml of heparinized diluent, and the blood suspensions were frozen at -70°C until tested for virus by plaque assay to determine the viremias at the time of mosquito feeding. After exposure to the viremic chickens, engorged mosquitoes were transferred to 3.8-liter screen-topped cardboard cages held at 26°C at a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h. After an incubation period of ≥ 12 d, most mosquitoes were allowed to refeed on 1- to 2-d-old chickens either individually or in small groups to determine whether they could transmit virus by bite. Immediately after the transmission attempt, the mosquitoes were killed by freezing, identified to species, feeding status determined, and their legs and bodies triturated separately in 1 ml of diluent. Infection was determined by recovery of virus from the mosquito tissue suspension. If virus was recovered from its body, but not its legs, the mosquito was considered to have a nondisseminated infection limited to its midgut. In contrast, if virus was recovered from both the body and leg suspensions, the mosquito was considered to have a disseminated infection (Turell et al. 1984). We defined the infection and dissemination rates as the percentages of mosquitoes tested that contained virus in their body or legs, respectively. Chickens used in the transmission attempts were bled from the jugular vein 1 d (WNV) or 2 d (JEV or GETV) after mosquito feeding and the blood handled as described above. Recovery of virus from this blood indicated transmission. Because some of the mosquitoes were tested for transmission in small pools, it was not always possible to determine which mosquito in a pool actually transmitted virus by bite. Therefore, if more than one mosquito with a disseminated infection fed in a pool, data from that pool were not used to calculate the transmission rate, regardless of chicken viremia. Some of the mosquitoes also were tested for their ability to transmit virus to diluent in a capillary tube (Aitken 1977). Briefly, mosquitoes were chilled in a glass container in wet ice, their legs were removed and triturated for virus testing, their wings were removed, and the body was placed on its side on sticky tape. A glass capillary tube containing $\approx 10\ \mu\text{l}$ of diluent (fortified to 50% heat-inactivated FBS) was placed so that the mosquito's proboscis was inserted into the diluent. Thirty minutes later, the diluent was expressed into 500 μl of diluent, and the mosquito's body was triturated for virus testing. The diluent, containing the expressed saliva, was tested in triplicate on six-well plates for the presence of virus. Infection and dissemination rates were compared by Fisher exact or chi-square (with Yates correction) tests at the 95% confidence level.

Research was conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act and other federal statutes and regulations relating to animals and experiments in-

Table 1. Infection and dissemination rates for mosquitoes orally exposed to West Nile virus

Species	Days extrinsic incubation				Total
	13-14	20	28	>34	
<i>Cx. pipiens pallens</i>					
No. tested	70	26	10	28	134
Infection rate ^a	66	65	70	64	66
Dissemination rate ^b	7a	27b	30abc	57c	n.a.
Dissemination rate (infected) ^c	11a	41b	43ab	89c	n.a.
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>					
No. tested	7	6	0	0	13
Infection rate ^a	100	100	n.t.	n.t.	100
Dissemination rate ^b	100	100	n.t.	n.t.	100
Dissemination rate (infected) ^c	100	100	n.t.	n.t.	100

n.t., not tested.
^a Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their bodies. Infection rates were statistically similar ($\chi^2 \leq 1.95$, $df \leq 3$, $P > 0.58$).
^b Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their legs. Dissemination rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.
^c Dissemination rates for infected mosquitoes (i.e., body infected). Rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

volving animals and adheres to principles stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council, 1996). The facility where this research was conducted is fully accredited by the Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International.

Results and Discussion

Viremias in the 11 chickens used to expose *Cx. p. pallens* to WNV (mean $\pm$ SD = $10^{6.8 \pm 0.2}$ PFU/ml, range $10^{6.3-7.0}$) were similar to those in the three chickens used to expose *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* to WNV ($10^{6.8 \pm 0.2}$ PFU/ml, range $10^{6.6-7.0}$). Likewise, viremia levels in chickens used to expose *Cx. p. pallens* to JEV and GETV were similar to those in the chickens used to expose *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*. However, for each of these viruses, viremia levels could be defined as either low, $10^{4.3 \pm 0.2}$ PFU/ml, or high, $10^{5.2 \pm 0.3}$ PFU/ml.

West Nile Virus. After feeding on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{6.8}$ PFU/ml, both *Cx. tritaeniorhyn-*

chus and *Cx. p. pallens* were highly susceptible to infection with WNV. However, infection rates were significantly higher in *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* (100%; $n = 13$) than in *Cx. p. pallens* (66%; $n = 134$) (Fisher exact test; $P < 0.01$) (Table 1).

Although infection rates remained relatively constant over time in *Cx. p. pallens*, virus dissemination rates increased with increasing extrinsic incubation (Table 1). Only five (7%) of 70 mosquitoes tested 13 or 14 d after the infectious meal had a disseminated infection compared with 16 (57%) of 28 mosquitoes tested on or after 28 d. This difference was even more apparent if only infected mosquitoes were considered, with dissemination rates of 11 and 89%, for infected mosquitoes tested on days 13–14 and ≥ 28 , respectively. In contrast, all 13 *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*, including the seven tested on day 13 or 14, had a disseminated infection.

Mosquitoes were tested for their ability to transmit WNV either in vivo to naïve 1-d-old chickens or in vitro by the capillary tube method. All six *Cx. p. pallens* and the single *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* with a disseminated infection that fed on a naïve chicken transmitted WNV by bite. When their proboscis was inserted into diluent in a capillary tube, we detected WNV from the expectorate of 12 (86%) of 14 *Cx. p. pallens*, but not from any of four *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus*, including one mosquito that had transmitted WNV by bite to a chicken (Table 2). The reduced transmission efficiency for WNV detected with artificial methods (e.g., capillary tube or hanging drop method for detecting transmission) compared with direct animal feeding for *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* also was reported by Akhter et al. (1982). They found that 64 (93%) of 69 *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* with a disseminated infection transmitted WNV when fed on a suckling mouse but that only 77 (61%) of 126 transmitted WNV to a hanging drop. This difference was significant ($\chi^2 = 20.7$, $df = 1$, $P < 0.001$) and may explain our inability to detect transmission of WNV by *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* by the capillary method.

The *Cx. p. pallens* were competent laboratory vectors of WNV, with vector competence levels similar to

Table 2. Transmission rates for mosquitoes with a disseminated infection after oral exposure to West Nile, Japanese encephalitis, or Getah virus

	Chicken		Capillary tube		Total	
	No. tested	Transmission rate	No. tested	Transmission rate	No. tested	Transmission rate
West Nile virus						
<i>Cx. p. pallens</i>	6	100	14	86	16 ^a	88
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	1	100	4	0	4 ^b	25
Japanese encephalitis virus						
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	6	67	15	33	18 ^c	50
Getah virus						
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	1	100	0	n.a.	1	100

n.a., not applicable.
^a Four mosquitoes were tested by both methods and all four transmitted WNV by both methods.
^b One mosquito was tested by both methods. It transmitted virus to the chicken but not when tested by the capillary method.
^c Three mosquitoes were tested by both methods. Two transmitted virus to the chicken but not when tested by the capillary method, and one mosquito did not transmit with either method.

Table 3. Infection and dissemination rates for mosquitoes orally exposed to Getah virus

Species	No. tested	Infection rate (%) ^a	Dissemination rate (%) ^b
Infectious dose = $10^{4.4 \pm 0.1}$ PFU/ml			
<i>Cx. p. pallens</i>	40	0a	0a
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	3	100b	100b
Infectious dose = $10^{5.3 \pm 0.1}$ PFU/ml			
<i>Cx. p. pallens</i>	30	0	0

^a Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their bodies. Infection rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.01$.

^b Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their legs. Dissemination rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.01$.

those observed for North American *Cx. p. pipiens* (Turell et al. 2001). *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* were extremely susceptible to infection with WNV, with all mosquitoes developing a disseminated infection and the only mosquito refeeding on a naïve chicken transmitting virus by bite. This is consistent with earlier reports on the ability of *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* from Pakistan to transmit WNV (Akhter et al. 1982). The range of WNV has been expanding for several years, extending west into the Americas and northeast into southern Russia and many of the former Soviet Republics (Mackenzie et al. 2004). It is therefore possible that WNV may be introduced into the ROK by any of the following methods: infected mosquitoes transported in an airplane, an infected human traveling to the ROK who develops a moderate viremia, an infected bird or other animal brought into the ROK, or a migrating viremic bird. Should WNV be introduced, either *Cx. p. pallens* or *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* would be efficient vectors and could transmit this virus in the ROK.

Getah Virus. Although none of the 40 *Cx. p. pallens* that fed on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{4.4}$ PFU/ml became infected, all three *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* that fed on these chickens became infected (Table 3). In addition, we did not detect virus in any of 30 *Cx. p. pallens* that fed on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{5.3}$ PFU/ml, indicating that *Cx. p. pallens* is essentially refractory to infection with GETV. In contrast, all three *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* not only became infected, but all three developed a disseminated infection and the only mosquito that took a bloodmeal on a naïve chicken transmitted GETV by bite. Although the sample size is too small to make a definitive statement about its ability to transmit GETV, *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* seems to be an efficient laboratory vector of this virus.

Japanese Encephalitis Virus. Only two (6%) of 32 *Cx. p. pallens* became infected after they had fed on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{5.2}$ PFU/ml. In contrast, all 24 (100%) *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* became infected after feeding on chickens with this or a lower viremia (Table 4). Although two *Cx. p. pallens* became infected with JEV, they contained titers of only $10^{2.1}$ and $10^{2.2}$ PFU, and neither of these developed

Table 4. Infection and dissemination rates for mosquitoes orally exposed to Japanese encephalitis virus

Species	No. tested	Infection rate (%) ^a	Dissemination rate (%) ^b
Infectious dose = $10^{4.3 \pm 0.2}$ PFU/ml			
<i>Cx. p. pallens</i>	40	0a	0a
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	10	100b	80b
Infectious dose = $10^{5.2 \pm 0.3}$ PFU/ml			
<i>Cx. p. pallens</i>	32	6a	0a
<i>Cx. tritaeniorhynchus</i>	14	100b	93b

^a Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their bodies. Infection rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.01$.

^b Percentage of mosquitoes containing virus in their legs. Dissemination rates followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.01$.

a disseminated infection. In contrast, eight (80%) of the 10 *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* that fed on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{4.3}$, and 13 (93%) of the 14 *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* that fed on chickens with a mean viremia of $10^{5.2}$ developed a disseminated infection. Virus titers in the three *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* with nondisseminated infections ranged from $10^{4.1}$ to $10^{4.6}$ PFU, whereas those in *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* with disseminated infections were all $\geq 10^{4.9}$ PFU.

Mosquitoes were tested for their ability to transmit JEV either to naïve 1-d-old chickens or via the capillary tube method. None of the *Cx. p. pallens* developed a disseminated infection, and as expected, none of 13 mosquitoes tested transmitted virus. Nine (50%) of 18 *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* with a disseminated infection that either fed on a naïve chicken or expectorated saliva into a capillary tube transmitted JEV by bite (four [67%] of six feeding on a chicken and five [33%] of 15 expectorating into a capillary tube) (Table 2). This included three mosquitoes tested by both methods. Although we did not detect virus in any of the saliva samples from these three mosquitoes, two (67%) transmitted JEV to 1-d-old chickens. Again, transmission rates for mosquitoes with a disseminated infection were lower for mosquitoes tested with the capillary method than for those allowed to feed on a susceptible vertebrate host. It is possible that the amount of virus expectorated into a capillary tube was less than the level of detection for plaque assay for some of the female mosquitoes. This may have been exacerbated because saliva is used to locate a blood vessel (Ribeiro et al. 1984), and more saliva may be expectorated into a vertebrate host while seeking to locate a blood vessel than into a capillary tube, where the mosquito has "found blood" almost instantly. Also, the medium used to collect the saliva (e.g., oil or aqueous) can affect the amount of virus detected (Colton et al. 2005). Therefore, studies that use an aqueous medium (e.g., diluent fortified with FBS), as we did in the current study, may underestimate the amount of virus secreted. Therefore, the capillary method may be a more conservative but a less sensitive method for determining the ability of a mosquito to transmit virus by bite. If a mosquito transmits virus during capillary feeding, it would al-

most certainly have transmitted virus to a susceptible vertebrate host. However, the converse may not be true, and not all mosquitoes that successfully transmit an infectious dose to a vertebrate host would transmit detectable virus when tested by the capillary tube method.

Although, Huang (1982) reported nine isolations of JEV from *Cx. p. pallens* in China, they concluded on epidemiological grounds that this species was probably not an important vector in China. In addition, Lee et al. (1969) isolated JEV from a pool of *Cx. p. pallens* captured in the ROK. However, based on our results, the *Cx. p. pallens* from the ROK would not be important in transmitting JEV because of the presence of both a midgut infection and midgut escape barrier (Kramer et al. 1981). In contrast, based on the high level of vector competence in our study, its known role in other countries in Asia (Mitamura et al. 1937, Huang 1982, Burke and Leake 1988, Rosen et al. 1989, Vaughn and Hoke 1992), the numerous isolations of JEV from this species captured in the ROK in 2000 (Turell et al. 2003), and its continued presence in large numbers (Burkett et al. 2002), *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* should be considered to be the principal vector of JEV in the ROK. As the recent isolations of JEV indicate, JEV is still actively being transmitted in the ROK. The lack of human cases is probably due to an effective vaccination program. As illustrated by the cases of JE in foreign visitors to Hong Kong and Shanghai in 2004 (Poerschke 2004, Gingrich 2005), nonvaccinated foreign travelers to areas where JEV is being transmitted may be at risk of infection and disease, even if disease is not occurring in the local population due to a vaccination program (Borwein 2005).

Acknowledgments

We thank Edward C. Huycke, Commander, 18th Medical Command, and Patrick T. Stackpole, Commander, Camp Greaves, for support in the evaluation of mosquito fauna for arboviruses. We thank Wan Y. Kim for logistical support and as an interpreter, and Joan Eitzen, Zia Mehr, Anthony Schuster, and others from CHPPM-Pacific for support. We are grateful for the assistance of Edwin Huertas, Hee-Choon Lee, Myong Wa Yi, and others from the office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, Force Health Protection, 18th Medical Command, for logistical and excellent technical assistance. We thank Hae-Wol Cho (Korean Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute of Health) for assistance. We also thank M. O'Guinn, J. Kondig, and K. Kenyon for critically reading the manuscript and providing editorial comment. We thank J. Pecor (Biosystematics Unit, Department of Entomology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research) for identifying mosquitoes and D. Fonseca (Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, PA) for molecular confirmation of their identity. Finally, we acknowledge the trap donations from the American Biophysics Corporation and Environmental Products and Research. Funding for this project was provided by the Department of Defense, Global Emerging Infections Surveillance and Response System (DoD-GEIS), Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, and the 18th Medical Command, Eighth U.S. Army, Republic of Korea. This research was performed while C.N.M. held a

National Research Council Research Associateship Award at USAMRIID.

References Cited

- Aitken, T.H.G. 1977. An in vitro feeding technique for artificially demonstrating virus transmission by mosquitoes. *Mosq. News* 37: 130–133.
- Akhter, R., C. G. Hayes, S. Baqar, and W. K. Reisen. 1982. West Nile virus in Pakistan. III. Comparative vector capability of *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* and eight other species of mosquitoes. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 76: 449–453.
- Baik, D.-H., and C.-Y. Joo. 1991. Epidemio-entomological survey of Japanese encephalitis in Korea. *Korean J. Parasitol.* 29: 67–85.
- Borwein, S. 2005. Japanese encephalitis–Belgium ex China (05), ProMED archive no. 20050426.1161, dated April 16: 2005.
- Burke, D. S., and C. J. Leake. 1988. Japanese encephalitis, pp. 63–92. *In* T. P. Monath [ed.], *The arboviruses: epidemiology and ecology*, vol. III. CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- Burkett, D. A., W. J. Lee, K. W. Lee, H. C. Kim, H. I. Lee, J. S. Lee, E. H. Shin, R. A. Wirtz, H. W. Cho, D. M. Claborn, et al. 2002. Late season commercial mosquito trap and host seeking activity evaluation against mosquitoes in a malarious area of the Republic of Korea. *Korean J. Parasitol.* 40: 45–54.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1999. Outbreak of West Nile-like viral encephalitis–New York, 1999. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 48: 845–849.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002. Provisional Surveillance Summary of the West Nile Virus Epidemic–United States, January–November 2002. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep* 51: 1129–1133.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2003. West Nile Virus Activity–United States, November 20–25, 2003. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 52: 1160.
- [CDC] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2004. West Nile Virus Activity–United States, November 9–16, 2004. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* 53: 1071–1072.
- Colton, L., B. J. Biggerstaff, A. Johnson, and R. S. Nasci. 2005. Quantification of West Nile virus in vector mosquito saliva. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* 21: 49–53.
- Gargan, T. P., C. L. Bailey, G. A. Higbee, A. Gad, and S. El Said. 1983. The effect of laboratory colonization on the vector-pathogen interactions of Egyptian *Culex pipiens* and Rift Valley fever virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 32: 1154–1163.
- Gingrich, J. B. 2005. Japanese encephalitis–Belgium ex China (02), ProMED archive no. 20050416.1089, dated April. 16: 2005.
- Gubler, D. J., and L. Rosen. 1976. Variation among geographic strains of *Aedes albopictus* in susceptibility to infection with dengue viruses. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 25: 318–325.
- Hardy, J. L., W. C. Reeves, and R. D. Sjogren. 1976. Variations in the susceptibility of field and laboratory populations of *Culex tarsalis* to experimental infection with western equine encephalomyelitis virus. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 103: 498–505.
- Hayes, C. 1989. West Nile fever, pp. 59–88. *In* T. Monath [ed.], *The arboviruses: epidemiology and ecology*, vol. V. CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- Hubalek, Z., and J. Halouzka. 1999. West Nile virus—a re-emerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5: 643–650.

- Huang, C. H. 1982. Studies on Japanese encephalitis in China. *Adv. Virus Res.* 27: 71–101.
- Kramer, L. D., J. L. Hardy, S. B. Presser, and E. J. Houk. 1981. Dissemination barriers for western equine encephalomyelitis virus in *Culex tarsalis* infected after ingestion of low viral doses. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 30: 190–197.
- Lanciotti, R. S., J. T. Roehrig, V. Deubel, J. Smith, M. Parker, K. Steele, B. Crise, K. E. Volpe, M. B. Crabtree, J. H. Scherret, et al. 1999. Origin of the West Nile virus responsible for an outbreak of encephalitis in the north-eastern United States. *Science (Wash., DC)* 286: 2333–2337.
- Lee, H. W., B. W. Min, and Y. W. Lee. 1969. Japanese encephalitis virus isolation from mosquitoes of Korea. *J. Korean Med. Assoc.* 12: 429–440.
- Lundstrom, J. O. 1999. Mosquito-borne viruses in western Europe: a review. *J. Vector Ecol.* 24: 1–39.
- Mackenzie, J. S., D. J. Gubler, and L. R. Petersen. 2004. Emerging flaviviruses: the spread and resurgence of Japanese encephalitis, West Nile and dengue viruses. *Nat. Med.* 10: S98–S109.
- Mitamura, T., S. Yamada, H. Hazato, K. Mori, T. Hosoi, M. Kitaoka, S. Watanabe, K. Okubo, and S. Tenzin. 1937. On the mode of transmission of epidemic encephalitis: experimental studies on its transmission by mosquitoes. *Trans. Soc. Pathol. Jpn.* 27: 573–580.
- Poerschke, G. 2004. Japanese encephalitis, fatal—China (Hong Kong), ProMED archive no. 20040611.1573, dated June 11: 1984.
- Ribeiro, J. M. C., P. A. Rossignol, and A. Spielman. 1984. Role of mosquito saliva in blood vessel location. *J. Exp. Biol.* 108: 1–7.
- Rosen, L., J. C. Lien, and L. C. Lu. 1989. A longitudinal study of the prevalence of Japanese encephalitis virus in adult and larval *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* mosquitoes in northern Taiwan. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 40: 557–560.
- Sohn, Y. M. 2000. Japanese encephalitis immunization in South Korea: past, present, and future. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 6: 17–24.
- Takahashi, M. 1980. Variation in susceptibility among colony strains of *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* to Japanese encephalitis virus infection. *Jpn. J. Med. Sci. Biol.* 33: 321–329.
- Turell, M. J., T. P. Gargan, and C. L. Bailey. 1984. Replication and dissemination of Rift Valley fever virus in *Culex pipiens*. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 33: 176–181.
- Turell, M. J., M. O'Guinn, and J. Oliver. 2000. Potential for New York mosquitoes to transmit West Nile virus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 62: 413–414.
- Turell, M. J., M. L. O'Guinn, D. J. Dohm, and J. W. Jones. 2001. Vector Competence of North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile virus. *J. Med. Entomol.* 38: 130–134.
- Turell, M. J., M. L. O'Guinn, L. P. Wasieleski, Jr., D. J. Dohm, W.-J. Lee, H.-W. Cho, H.-C. Kim, D. A. Burkett, C. N. Mores, R. E. Coleman, et al. 2003. Isolation of Japanese encephalitis and Getah viruses from mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) collected near Camp Greaves, Gyonggi Province, Republic of Korea, 2000. *J. Med. Entomol.* 40: 580–504.
- Turell, M. J., C. N. Mores, D. J. Dohm, N. Komilov, J. Paragas, J. S. Lee, D. Shermuhemedova, T. P. Endy, A. Kodirov, and S. Khodjaev. 2006. Laboratory transmission of Japanese encephalitis and West Nile viruses by *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in Uzbekistan in 2004. *J. Med. Entomol.* 43: 296–300.
- Vaughn, D. W., and C. H. Hoke. 1992. The epidemiology of Japanese encephalitis: prospects for prevention. *Epidemiol. Rev.* 14: 197–221.

Received 21 November 2005; accepted 28 February 2006.