



Report Documentation Page			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2004		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Multi-Agent Simulations for Assessing Massive Sensor Deployment (Briefing Charts)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Postgraduate School,833 Dyer Road,Monterey,CA,93943				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 22	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Multi-Agent Simulations for Assessing Massive Sensor Deployment


Captain Sean Hynes,
USMC
sehynes@nps.edu

Dr. Neil Rowe
nrowe@nps.edu



Outline

- Problem Space
- Sensor Coverage
- Sensor Deployment



Small and Mobile



Problem Space


Next-generation Web and
network-centric warfare

Counterintelligence

Expeditionary sensor networks

Coverage, minimal exposure,
and cost

Efficient deployment algorithms for
autonomous sensor vehicles





Research Project

- A sensor network simulation
- Coverage and deployment issues for mobile and non-mobile sensors
- An expeditionary sensor network multi-agent simulation designed and implemented
- Novel search, coverage, and deployment algorithms implemented, tested, and compared to known methods



Coverage in Sensor Networks

We address distribution of multiple homogeneous sensors for detecting targets.


We assume a large enough number of sensors that a human operator cannot manage each.

Much literature on search in operations research.


Some literature on area coverage.

Not much literature on traversal detection.

Which Deployment = Better Coverage?




Area Coverage Deployment
(More Area Covered)



Barrier Coverage Deployment
(More Likely Traversal Detection)

Application Preview





Dimensions of sensor networks

- General sensor mechanism
 - Radial
 - Distance-directed
 - Line-of-sight
- Coverage type (sweep, area, traversal)
- Presence/absence of obstacles
- Mobility
- Localization



Deployment Algorithms: Constraints


- Should be efficient, de-centralized, fault-tolerant, and scaleable
- Communications
- Geographical Knowledge
- Localized Decisions



Gladiator

A grid for detecting traversal: calculate worst-case path

$$S(s, p) = \frac{\lambda}{[d(s, p)]^K}$$



Probability of detection = 87%

Placement Complexity

Consider

- N – # Sensor Nodes
- A – environment area
- D – length of grid square

Consider



- N – 10
- A – 100 m²
- D – 10m

of Configurations

$$C = (D * A)^N$$

$$C = (10 * 100)^{10} = (10)^{20}$$

Mobile Sensor Model





Deployment Algorithms: Methods

- Global or centralized
 - Best-first, greedy, genetic, simulated annealing,
- Local or autonomous
 - Potential forces, vector field, local direction,
 - Coevolution of evasion and detection with neural networks




Average barrier coverage (%) with multistage random deployment

Number of sensors	2	10	15	20
Coverage %, no obstacles	4	41	64	85
Coverage %, with obstacles	6	25	39	55




Cost of achieving 80% coverage with multistep deployment of sensors






Algorithm comparison, no obstacles






Algorithm comparison, with obstacles




Some Pics




Free Detection Probability = $1 - \text{Exposure} = 0.3432$
Obstructed Detection Probability = $1 - \text{Exposure} = 0.9949$
Free Avg Detection Probability = $1 - \text{Exposure} = 0.0751$
Obstructed Avg Detection Probability = $1 - \text{Exposure} = 0.0824$

Some Pics





Some Pics





Some Pics

