

AD _____

Award Number: W81XWH-06-1-0189

TITLE: Prostate Cancer Gene Discovery Using ROMA

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: William B. Isaacs, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore MD 21218-2686

REPORT DATE: December 2006

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 01-12-2006		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 Dec 2005 – 30 Nov 2006	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Prostate Cancer Gene Discovery Using ROMA				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-06-1-0189	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) William B. Isaacs, Ph.D. E-Mail: wisaacs@jhmi.edu				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Johns Hopkins University Baltimore MD 21218-2686				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES- Original contains colored plates: ALL DTIC reproductions will be in black and white.					
14. ABSTRACT: We hypothesize that a subset of men who develop prostate cancer do so as a result of an inherited chromosomal deletion or amplification, affecting the function of one or more critical prostate cancer susceptibility genes. These chromosomal abnormalities, and the affected gene(s) can be identified using the novel technique, ROMA. Together with our collaborators at Cold Spring Harbor, we have now performed ROMA analysis of 90 prostate cancer patients, each with a strong family history of prostate cancer (having at least two affected first degree relatives). The majority of these men had either early onset prostate cancer (diagnosis before age 60) or evidence of advanced disease (non-organ confined disease). Over 1100 copy number polymorphism (CNPs), counting many recurring CNPs have been observed in these 90 patients including 33 novel CNPs which have never (or rarely) been seen in control samples. Of these 33 novel CNPs, we can rule out a causal role for at least 5 based on lack of cosegregation with disease in families in which multiple affected members have been subjected to ROMA analysis. An additional 15 novel CNPs do not affect the coding sequences of any known genes. Currently we are examining both common and rare CNPs in additional cases and controls for evidence of an association with prostate cancer risk.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS GENETICS, GENOMICS, DELETION, AMPLIFICATION, CHROMOSOME, HEREDITARY					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			USAMRMC
U	U	U	UU	7	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	4
Body.....	4
Key Research Accomplishments.....	6
Reportable Outcomes.....	7
Conclusions.....	7
References.....	None
Appendices.....	None

INTRODUCTION

While the reasons why prostate cancers occur are incompletely understood, the most well characterized risk factors for prostate cancer are age, race, and family history. Twin studies suggest a substantial portion of familial aggregation of prostate cancer is due to a genetic influence. Segregation analyses provide strong evidence that that one or more major prostate cancer susceptibility gene(s) may be responsible for this genetic influence. However, despite years of extensive effort by multiple research groups world wide, a major gene which is consistently and reproducibly associated with prostate cancer risk has not been identified. In this proposal, we hypothesize that a subset of men who develop prostate cancer do so as a result of an inherited chromosomal deletion or amplification, affecting the function of one or more critical prostate cancer susceptibility genes. These chromosomal abnormalities, and the affected gene(s) can be identified using the novel technique, ROMA. In this respect ROMA provides a fundamental, systematic basis for the identification of prostate cancer susceptibility genes. As such, these efforts may afford significant insight into the basic genetic mechanisms of prostate carcinogenesis. If new ways to prevent and effectively treat prostate cancer are to be other than empirically based, we are in urgent need of additional molecular mechanistic information regarding who is likely to develop prostate cancer and why. Identification of the genes involved in prostate carcinogenesis is a prerequisite condition for answering these questions.

BODY: We have completed Task 1 as described in our SOW.

Together with our collaborators at Cold Spring Harbor (CSH), we have now performed ROMA analysis of 90 prostate cancer patients, each with a strong family history of prostate cancer (having at least two affected first degree relatives). The majority of these men had either early onset prostate cancer (diagnosis before age 60) or evidence of advanced disease (non-organ confined disease). Over 1100 CNPs, counting many recurring CNPs have been observed in these 90 patients including 33 novel CNPs which have never (or rarely) been seen in control samples (see Table I for examples). Of these 33 novel CNPs, we can rule out a causal role for at least 5 based on lack of cosegregation with disease in families in which multiple affected members have been subjected to ROMA analysis. An additional 15 novel CNPs do not affect the coding sequences of any known genes. An example of a relatively rare CNP, affecting gene copy number of the CD16 and CD32 immunoglobulin receptors, is confirmed by Q-PCR (shown below in Fig 1).

Figure 1

Table 1 Examples of CNPs detected by ROMA in HPC probands N= 92 HPC set frequencies

Candidate regions:			Chrom coordinates		HPC set frequencies	
# of probes	Chrom. Band	genes affected	Start Pos	Size (bp)	Up	Down
7	1p36.21	PRAME	12.67Mb	308kb	32	0
9	1q23.3	CD32, CD16	158.2Mb	268kb	7	1
6	4p16.3	BC043151(Zinc finger protein)	4.28Mb	79kb	7	1
4	5q31.3	PCDHA1-13	140.1Mb	16kb	0	15
2	6p21.32	FKBP5, SRPK1	35.7Mb	135kb	0	35
3	7q35	OR2A5,OR6B1	143.0Mb	76kb	1	7
2	13q32.3	EBI2, GPR18, PHGDHL1	98.65Mb	251kb	0	6





Figure 2

Protocadherin Alpha gene deletions in HPC families




No difference in frequency of PCA9del between HPC probands and controls

A recurrent germline deletion detected by ROMA and confirmed by PCR which deletes the entire coding for protocadherin A9 on chromosome 5 is shown in Figure 2. We genotyped several of our HPC families for this CNP as well as examined a case-control population. Whereas there was some evidence of disease cosegregation with the deletion, there was no difference in the frequency of the deletion in PCa cases and controls.

Unfortunately we have realized that a substantial fraction of the CNPs detected by ROMA can not be confirmed by QPCR (see Figure 3 for examples). As a complementary approach we have spent considerable effort to examine the ability to detect CNPs using the Affymetrix SNP chip platform (Liu et al 2007). Indeed, both the Affy 100K and 500K SNP chip can detect CNPs that are confirmable by QPCR (see Fig 4-6).


Figure 3



Lack of confirmation by QPCR of deletions detected by ROMA

Figure 4

Germline CNPs in HPC proband 005-015 - analysis on Affy 100k SNP chip



Germline CNPs in LCL and blood DNA (005-015)

- copy number changes acquired in vitro?

Deletion of TLR4 in LCL




Figure 5

In the process of carrying out these analyses, we realized that lymphoblastoid cell lines derived from some of our patients may harbor alterations that are not detectable in the blood DNA from the same individual (see Figure 5), suggesting that cell lines may acquire alteration during their preparation or during their time in culture.

Figure 6

Chr 3 CNV detected on Affy 500k Chip




Fig 8. DNA copy number at chromosome 3 among subjects is estimated using the computer program CNAG. A deletion of ~80 kb at 75,535,509-75,621,533 Mb is clearly seen in 5 subjects.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- We have detected many copy number alterations in the germline of HPC cases
- Both ROMA and Affymetrix SNP panels can detect germline CNPs
 - Need to Confirm (qPCR, FISH) to rule out false positives
 - Lymphoblastoid cell lines may harbor alterations not seen in blood DNA
 - Need to confirm in blood
 - Increased resolution provides more information (and more noise!)

- cross platform validation is an effective and efficient way to identify true CNPs

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

- 1) published manuscript: Liu W, Chang B, Li T, Dimitrov L, Kim S, Kim JW, Turner AR, Meyers DA, Trent JM, Zheng SL, Isaacs WB, Xu J. Germline copy number polymorphisms involving larger than 100 kb are uncommon in normal subjects. Prostate. 2007 Feb 15;67(3):227-33.
- 2) formation of HPC CNP database

CONCLUSIONS

We proposed to take advantage of the development of the ROMA technique and the existence of the well characterized prostate cancer study populations collected at Hopkins to identify and characterize germline DNA copy number changes in prostate cancer patients. Initially, we have focused on a subset of men who have aggressive prostate cancer at a young age, as we suspect that these men are most likely to harbor rare chromosomal abnormalities responsible for this phenotype. We have identified many common and rare CNPs in the germline of men with prostate cancer. There have been some technical limitations to overcome. We are now in a position to evaluate the significance of these germline alterations with respect to their possible causal association with prostate cancer. Identification of such germline genomic deletions or amplifications associated with prostate cancer will provide an unprecedented basis for understanding the molecular genetics of this common disease.