

16677



Modeling JP-8 Fuel Effects on Diesel Combustion Systems



TARDEC Propulsion Laboratory

Peter Schihl
Laura Hoogterp
Harold Pangilinan
Ernest Schwarz
Walter Bryzik

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY



FOR A



SUPERIOR ARMY



Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 30 NOV 2006	2. REPORT TYPE N/A	3. DATES COVERED -			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Modeling JP-8 Fuel Effects on Diesel Combustion Systems		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
		5b. GRANT NUMBER			
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S) Schihl, Peter; Hoogterp, Laura; Pangilinan, Harold; Schwarz, Ernest; Bryzik, Walter		5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) USATACOM 6501 E 11 Mile Road Warren, MI 48397-5000		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 16677			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TACOM TARDEC			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 16677			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 23	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			



Agenda

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Introduction/Background
- JP-8 Evaporation Rate Modeling
- JP-8 Ignition Modeling
- Engine Predictions Results
- Conclusions



SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

Introduction

TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





One Fuel Forward Initiative

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

DOD Directive 4140.3 (1988) – ‘Single Fuel on the Battlefield’ initiative

- Fuel differences
 - JP-8 properties
 - < 3000 ppm sulfur; variance allowed in fuel properties including cetane number and distillation curve
 - Referee grade more specific
 - JP-8 is Jet-A1 with three additives
 - fuel system icing inhibitor (**MIL-DTL-85470**) , corrosion inhibitor and lubricity enhancer (**MIL-PRF-25017**) , and static dissipator additive
 - Jet-A1 has lower freeze point than Jet-A (-53 F vs. -40 F)
- Engine benchmark to assess JP-8 impact
 - power loss and lubricity issues

TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





Historical Perspective on JP-8 Engine Impact – Executive Summary

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Timeframe of Evaluation 1986-1988
- Engine HP range of 150 – 750 BHP
– represents various fuel system types
- Evaluated various fuel supply temperatures ranging from ambient (86 F) to 165 and 190 F (desert conditions)
- 3/5 engines developed fuel-related durability issues
- In-line Bosch pumps or rotary pumps exhibited higher power loss

TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





Historical Perspective on JP-8 Engine Impact - Results

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- 1. GM, Detroit Diesel Allison (DDA) 6.2 L IDI : rotary distributor pump, (Stanadyne), heavy wear after 400 hrs with 195 F fuel, recommend use of Artic pump kit for future pumps**
- 2. Cummins 6CTA-8.3L: (M939 – 5 ton truck); fuel pump control issue that caused power surges at 1200 RPM related to starting strategy**
3. GM, DDA 8V-71T (Paladin) – none.
- 4. Cummins VTA-903T (Bradley) fuel shut-off valve leakage after 100 test hours and transfer pump seized three times and gear shaft bushings froze up; Cummins resolved these problems**
5. Teledyne Continental Motors AVDS-1790-2C (M60A1 and M88); two distributor pumps have large internal leakage that resulted in excessive power loss; proposed elimination of spillback

TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





Fast Forward to More Modern Times

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Continental AVDS 1790 Up-rated to 1050 BHP
- Noted substantial piston erosion
 - prevalent in engines exposed to JP-8
- Concern over possible combustion system issue



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER



JP-8 Evaporation Rate Modeling - Liquid Length Model

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

Sieber's zero-dimensional approach

$$L_b = \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_f}{\rho_a}} \frac{\sqrt{C_a} \cdot d}{\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\left(\frac{2}{B_s} + 1\right)^2 - 1}$$

area contraction coefficient

spray angle

fuel to air density ratio

Fuel to ambient gas flow rate ratio or evaporation coefficient

$$B_s = \frac{Z_a(T_a, P_a - P_s) \cdot P_a \cdot M_f}{Z_f(T_s, P_s) \cdot (P_a - P_s) \cdot M_a} = \frac{h_a(T_a, P_a) - h_a(T_s, P_a - P_s)}{h_f(T_s) - h_f(T_f, P_a)}$$

air and fuel compressibility

enthalpy differences - air and fuel

TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Fuel Properties

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

* 90% distillation point

- Saturation pressure and density relationships given by API handbook
- Compressibility and enthalpies precise curve-fits of API handbook data

$$P_{r,s} < 0.2 \quad h_f(T_s) = A \cdot T_{r,s} - B$$

$$P_{r,s} \geq 0.2 \quad h_f(T_s) = -C \cdot T_{r,s}^2 + D \cdot T_{r,s} - E$$

Fuel	Critical Temperature (K)	Critical Pressure (bar)	Boiling Point (K)
dodecane	658	18.2	489
tetradecane	693	15.7	526
cetane	723	14.0	560
heptadecane	736	13.4	575
HMN	692	15.7	513
DF-2	NA	NA	580*
JP-8	NA	NA	496*

$$Z_f(T_s, P_s) = -a \cdot T_{r,s}^3 + b \cdot T_{r,s}^2 - c \cdot T_{r,s} + d$$

Fuel	A	B	C	D	E
dodecane	1562.5	444.84	1921.9	4996.7	1978
tetradecane	1683.8	469.88	3712.2	8085.6	3229.2
cetane	1869.7	550.15	929.51	3520.3	1283.4

Fuel	a	b	c	d
dodecane	16.85	36.104	26.425	7.5406
tetradecane	17.924	36.143	24.71	6.6857
cetane	16.587	34.594	24.531	6.869

TARDEC


U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER



Measured JP-8 and DF-2 Distillation Behavior

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- JP-8 and DF-2 samples from CONUS supply chain
- JP-8: 90% distillation point close to dodecane
- DF-2: 80 – 90% distillation points between cetane and heptadecane



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





Methodology for Multi-component Fuels

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Property and boiling point issue
- Limited data for multi-component fuels
- Employ weighting scheme



-MLL : Mean Liquid Length

$$L_b = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i L_{b,i}$$

-MEC : Mean Evaporation Coefficient

$$B_s = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i B_{s,i}$$

$$T_b = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i T_{b,i}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$$

boiling point of component i

mass fraction of component i

TARDEC


U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER



HMN Comparison

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY



- HMN density close to cetane but boiling point 40 K lower
- 35% dodecane – 65% tetradecane surrogate
- MEC superior method for matching data set





HMN – Cetane Blend

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY



- Test fuel was 67% HMN – 33% cetane
- Boiling point close to tetradecane
- Three modeling options – tetradecane, MEC, MLL
- Any of three methods are reasonable

TARDEC


U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER



JP-8 Predictions

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- 82% dodecane – 18% tetradecane surrogate
- JP-8 can be modeled as dodecane or employ the MEC or MLL methods




TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

JP-8 Ignition Modeling

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Nil published data!
- Predictions made based on cetane number studies conducted by GM Research Lab, U-Wisconsin, AVL, and a German University
- Assumed 30% increase in the ignition delay period with a 10 cetane number decrease
- Is this true?
 - L. Hoogterp spent 30 days at SNL conducting experiments



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER



Engine Predictions

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

Engine Parameter	Description
Number of Cylinders	12
Bore x stroke (mm)	146.1 x 146.1
Compression Ratio	14.5
Displacement (cc) ¹	2447
Coolant System Media	air and oil
Boost System	turbocharged
Charge air cooler	air-to-air with bypass valve
Injection System ²	pump-line-nozzle
Peak Injection Pressure (bar) ³	~ 650
Fuel Types ⁴	diesel or JP-8
Nozzle Geometry (mm)	10 x 0.282
Rated Speed (RPM)	2400
Maximum Power (kW)	780

1. per cylinder

2. Fuel pump delivery schedule adjusted based on fuel type.

3. Varies as a function of fuel type.

4. Military vehicles required to operate on world-wide variant diesel and JP-8 fuels. For this test, JP-8 cetane number was 49.




TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

Comparison of Predicted DF-2 and JP-8 Liquid Lengths

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Predictions based on measured fuel injection timing and GT-Power cycle simulation for initial in-cylinder thermodynamic conditions at SOI
- Measured DF-2 boiling point is 85 C higher than JP-8
- JP-8 liquid lengths 30% – 40% shorter than DF-2



Comparison of Predicted Premixed Phase Fuel Mass Fraction for JP-8 and DF-2


SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Ignition delays estimated from high speed injection/pressure data
 - JP-8 CN was 49

- Evaporated fuel mass

$$m_{evap} = \int_{t_{SOI}}^{t_{IGN}} m_{inj} dt - \pi \cdot \frac{d^2}{4} \cdot L_b$$

- Similar vapor fraction






Effect of CN on JP-8 Liquid Length Predictions

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Projected JP-8 40 CN effect
 - Assume 30% increase in ignition delay versus 49 CN JP-8
- Lower CN JP-8 exhibited 30% to 40% increase in vapor fraction (i.e. 30% or > vaporized fuel at ignition)
- Anticipated higher pressure rise for lower CN JP-8 in comparison to higher CN JP-8 and DF-2





Anticipated JP-8 Pressure Rise Impact

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY


1. Hiroyasu and Arai spray penetration model
2. Predicted pressure rise based on 1st law analysis of combustion chamber

$$\frac{dP}{dt} \approx \frac{k-1}{V} \left[\dot{m}_b LHV - \frac{dV}{dt} P \left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1} \right) - \dot{Q}_{wall} \right]$$

peak premixed phase fuel burning rate; time scale approach

expansion term

wall heat transfer; small during ID period; NEGLECT



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK, AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER






Anticipated JP-8 Pressure Rise Impact

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Premixed fuel mass is integrated evaporated fuel during the ignition delay period
- Pressure rise rate increase of 10% to 36% increase operating on lower CN JP-8 versus DF-2
- Expansion term contributes 6% to 20% in overall pressure rise
- MONDAY MORNING QUARTERBACK:
 - 2004 CONUS JP-8 procurement data sets
 - Mean CN of 43.9
 - Range: 29 to 51 !!!!!



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER





Concluding Remarks


SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY

- Methodology developed to assess JP-8 evaporation rate (liquid length)
 - Dodecane is a good surrogate for JP-8
 - The Mean Evaporation Coefficient (MEC) method demonstrated reasonable predictive capability for multi-component fuels
- Engine simulation study exhibited potential pressure rise rate issues for certain military engine types when utilizing JP-8 and DF-2
 - JP-8 distillation and ignition quality variances could contribute to such issues
- Suggested directional design changes to combustion system
 - Larger bowl diameter
 - Reduce hole size
 - High pressure common rail fuel system
 - Redesign intake manifolds to reduce cylinder trapped mass variability



THANK YOU!

SUPERIOR TECHNOLOGY FOR A SUPERIOR ARMY



TARDEC

U.S. ARMY TANK-AUTOMOTIVE RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER

