



EDGEWOOD

CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL CENTER

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING COMMAND

ECBC-TR-563

CONSTRUCTION AND ANALYSIS OF A *MUTL* KNOCKOUT STRAIN OF *VIBRIO CHOLERA*

Patricia E. Buckley
James J. Valdes
Kevin P. O'Connell

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

October 2007

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.



20071101252

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010-5424

Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) XX-10-2007		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) Dec 2004 - Sep 2006	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Construction and Analysis of a <i>mutL</i> Knockout Strain of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Buckley, Patricia E.; Valdes, James J.; and O'Connell, Kevin P.				5d. PROJECT NUMBER None	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) DIR, ECBC, ATTN: AMSRD-ECB-RT-BM, APG, MD 21010-5424				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ECBC-TR-563	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Most bacterial species contain a DNA mismatch repair system. In <i>E. coli</i> , the products of <i>mutS</i> , <i>mutL</i> , and <i>muthH</i> , among others, reduce the rate at which mismatch mutations occur, as well as reduce the incorporation of foreign DNA into the <i>E. coli</i> genome (Townsend, 2003). The absence or disruption of these genes increases the background mutation rate (Taddei, 1997). In this study, we describe the construction of a <i>mutL</i> deletion mutant of <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> . This knockout strain will be used to determine the contribution of methyl-directed DNA mismatch repair in conferring antibiotic resistance in <i>V. cholerae</i> . If the knockout of <i>mutL</i> produces a hypervariable strain of <i>V. cholerae</i> , the strain may also be useful in conducting directed evolution experiments at an accelerated pace. The population of <i>V. cholerae</i> mutants obtained in such studies may change the way targets for detection, identification, or even vaccines are chosen.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> Dam-mismatch repair Mutator pathway					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Sandra J. Johnson
U	U	U	U	18	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) (410) 436-2914

Blank

PREFACE

The work described in this report was supported by the U.S. Army ILIR funding. The work was started in December 2004 and completed in September 2006.

The use of either trade or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

This report has been approved for public release. Registered users should request additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center; unregistered users should direct such requests to the National Technical Information Service.

Blank

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	7
2.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	9
2.1	Bacterial Strains and Media.....	9
2.2	Molecular Biology	9
3.	RESULTS	9
3.1	Amplification of Genes.....	9
3.2	Construction of Intermediates pBNM and pBNMI.....	10
3.3	Construction of pCVD442L.....	12
3.4	Construction of Knockout <i>V. cholerae</i> Strain.....	12
3.5	Verification of Double Cross-Over Event.....	13
4.	DISCUSSION	14
	LITERATURE CITED.....	17

FIGURES

1.	Construction and Cloning of $\Delta mutL$, an Allele of <i>mutL</i> from <i>V. cholerae</i> Strain N16961 Containing an Internal Deletion.....	11
2.	Agarose Gel of pCVD442L Digested with <i>Xma</i> I	12
3.	Replacement of the Wild-Type <i>mutL</i> with $\Delta mutL$ in the <i>V. cholerae</i> N16961 Genome by Homologous Recombination	13
4.	Verification of the Replacement of the Wild-Type <i>mutL</i> Allele with $\Delta mutL$	14

TABLE

Sequences of PCR Primers Used in these Experiments	10
--	----

CONSTRUCTION AND ANALYSIS OF A *MUTL* KNOCKOUT STRAIN OF *VIBRIO CHOLERAE*

1. INTRODUCTION

Cholera is an ancient disease in the midst of a modern resurgence. With modern medical care, case fatality rates for cholera should be $<0.25\%$; however, in epidemics in remote and medically underserved areas (particularly in Africa), case fatality rates often exceed 10%, and can approach 50%. Due to its ability to cause large epidemics of debilitating disease spread by food and/or water, *Vibrio cholerae* has a significant potential for weaponization. In spite of its potential for causing mass casualties it is a relatively safe organism for laboratory work and therefore is a useful model system for answering basic biological questions applicable to other pathogens.

V. cholerae is a diverse environmental species. Seven cholera pandemics have occurred since 1817; in each, cholera has spread rapidly from a focus in Asia to most of the known world (Pollitzer, 1959). In contrast to the diversity seen within *V. cholerae* as a whole, each cholera pandemic appears to be caused by a single, almost clonal strain, with only slight strain differences appearing as the pandemic moves across continents and through time (Momen, 1985; Wachsmuth, 1994). In 1992, a new, non-O1 *V. cholerae* strain (designated *V. cholerae* O139 Bengal), expressing a new O antigen and capsule, appeared in India and spread rapidly across the Indian subcontinent and through much of Asia (ICDDR,B 1993; Nair, 1994), supplanting "native" seventh pandemic *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strains. These observations highlight the possibility for pandemic spread of "new," emergent strains that carry an appropriate combination of genetic material (including, potentially, novel genes or gene combinations) for a pandemic outbreak.

Mutator Strains.

New biological threat agents can be produced by natural mutations, directed evolution, or via more direct molecular engineering techniques. In many cases, the engineered organism is at a disadvantage relative to wild type species in the environment, and this bears directly on its use as a threat agent. The survival of a species is dependent upon a balance between the fidelity of its replication and the increased fitness conferred by its natural mutations. One of the methods for correcting mismatches and mutations that occur during replication is the Dam-dependent mismatch repair system. The main components of this system, which has been found in a number of different species of bacteria, are found in the *mutHLS* pathway (Aronshtam and Marinus, 1996). When an error is found in replication, the pathway is activated and repairs are performed. Inactivation of the pathway can lead to the development of hypermutator strains that have a significantly increased rate of mutation. Such mutations would be expected to enhance adaptation of bacterial populations by increasing the supply rate of beneficial mutations during periods of strong selection; phrased another way, mutators serve as a "fast track" to generate

adaptive mutations (Taddei, 1997). This concept of “mutator” strains (i.e., strains with a hypermutable phenotype) is well recognized in work with *E. coli* and *Salmonella* (LeClerc, 1996). If the right environmental stressors are present, these mutator strains can lead to the production of a mutant with better survival rates or increased pathogenicity under new environmental conditions (Mekalanos, 1979; Townsend, 2003). The hypermutator phenotype is generally lost once the strain has adapted to the new environment, as it is deleterious to survival of the strain under normal conditions. Since an increased rate of mutation could be used to isolate strains with improved biothreat characteristics, the presence of stable hypermutator strains would be a marker for genetically engineered threat agents.

The concepts outlined above have been developed primarily in work with *E. coli* and *Salmonella*. Interestingly, in *Neisseria meningitidis*, mutations in mismatch repair have also been associated with a phenotype demonstrating high-frequency phase variation (Richardson, 2001). In a recent review, Claverys and colleagues (Claverys, 2000) highlighted the variation between the *E. coli*/*Salmonella* SOS/*mut* system and that seen in pneumococci. In contrast to *E. coli*, pneumococci become competent during exponential growth, accepting any single-stranded DNA, with development of competence dependent on cell density. The pneumococci mismatch repair system Hex can reduce transformation frequencies for point mutations in homologous DNA, but is unable to prevent interspecies transformation. Finally, the system of stress-induced mutagenesis (i.e., the SOS response) seen in *E. coli* is not present in pneumococci. This results in what Claverys calls “programmed variability,” designed to favor interspecific exchanges in the whole population, rather than the “random,” strain-dependent variability seen in *E. coli*. This, in turn, leads to the hypothesis that the success of *S. pneumoniae* as a human pathogen is related to an inherent “genetic plasticity,” which favors adaptation to environmental changes. No studies have been done on mutators in *V. cholerae*. However, based on the annotation of the *V. cholerae* N16961 genome sequence, *V. cholerae* appears to have the intact *mut* mismatch repair (MMR) system, including *mutH*, *mutL*, *mutS*, *mutT*, *mutU*, and *mutY* (Friedhoff, 2002). The apparent increase in recombination rates within the species raises the possibility that *V. cholerae* also has elements of “programmed variability,” increasing its ability to adapt to changing environments and its success as a human pathogen.

To understand evolutionary change in cholera, we need to have an understanding of mechanisms involved in this variability, and the contributions they make to the mutation rates of the species as a whole. Therefore, producing a knockout strain of *Vibrio cholerae*, containing an internal deletion within the *mutL* gene, would help to determine the effect of the *mutHLS* pathway on *Vibrio* evolution.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Bacterial Strains and Media.

Polymyxin B-resistant *V. cholerae* strain N16961 (wild-type for MMR) was the target strain for mutant construction. Plasmids based on pBluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) were maintained in *E. coli* strain XL1 Blue (Stratagene). Plasmids based on pCVD442 (which requires Π protein provided *in trans* for replication) were maintained in *E. coli* strain SM17 λ pir. Bacteria were stored in LB broth and 10% glycerol at -80°C, and grown in LB broth, on LB agar and LB without NaCl agar. Carbenicillin (100µg/ml), polymyxin B (50U/ml) and sucrose (10%) (SIGMA, St. Louis, MO) were added to the media as required.

Competent cells were made by growing the cultures to mid-logarithmic phase, chilling on ice for 30 min, washing three times with distilled water, and resuspending them in 10% glycerol. Electroporation was performed in chilled, 0.1-cm cuvettes using the *E. coli* Pulser (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) at 1.88 kV.

2.2 Molecular Biology.

PCR primers were designed using Primer Express Software (Applied Biosystems, Inc. [ABI], Foster City, CA) and analyzed using the web-based OligoAnalyzer 3.0 software (Integrated DNA Technologies). PCR amplification of gene fragments was performed using an ABI 9600 thermocycler. Agarose gel electrophoresis, restriction enzyme digests, and ligations were performed using standard techniques (Sambrook, 1989). Extractions and purifications were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions in kits purchased from QIAGEN (QIAGEN, Inc., Valencia, CA).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Amplification of Genes.

The genome sequence of *Vibrio cholerae* was analyzed for the location, orientation and open reading frame (ORF) of the *mutL* gene. Primers were designed to amplify a truncated version of the *mutL* gene (Δ *mutL*) that did not disrupt the original orientation and ORF (see table). By keeping the ORF intact, any manipulations using the truncated *mutL* gene could attribute phenotypic changes to the disruption of the mutator gene, and not any of the surrounding genes. Using primers 1 and 2, listed in the table, a 602bp fragment was created that contains part of the gene VC0344, which encodes *N*-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase, the leading piece of *mutL*, as well as the unique restriction sites for *KpnI*, *XmaI*, and *HindIII* (*mut1*). Using primers 3 and 4, listed in the table, a 596bp fragment was created that contains part of the gene VC0346, which encodes *delta*(2)-isopentenylpyro-phosphate transferase, the end piece of *mutL*, as well as the unique restriction sites for *XbaI*, *XmaI*, and *HindIII* (*mut2*), (Figure 1A).

These amplified fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis, extracted, and purified.

Table. Sequences of PCR Primers Used in these Experiments.

Underlined sequence indicates the unique restriction sites added.

Primer Name	Sequence	Start Site*
1	5'-TTAGGTACCCCCGGGGTAGTGGTGATAACC-3'	366523
2	5'-TTAAAGCTTATTGCTGCCGGATCTGTTATCTTACGC-3'	367043
3	5'-ACCAAGCTTGTGACTACTGAAGCCGGTCTTTCT-3'	368743
4	5'-ATTCTAGACCCGGGCCGAGGTAAAGCTCA-3'	369315

*Genome sequence from NCBI Genbank Accession NC_002505

3.2 Construction of Intermediates pBNM and pBNMI.

Plasmid pBNM was created by digestion of the purified *mut1* fragment and pBluescript with *KpnI* and *HindIII*, separation by gel electrophoresis, extraction, purification, and joining the two with T4 DNA ligase (Figure 1B). Competent XL1 Blue cells were transformed with the ligated reactions and transformants were selected with carbenicillin. Success of the reaction was verified by plasmid extraction, digestion, and the visualization of a 602bp band after gel electrophoresis.

Similarly, plasmid pBNMI was created by digestion of the purified *mut2* fragment and pBNM with *HindIII* and *XbaI*, separation by gel electrophoresis, extraction, purification, and joining the two with T4 DNA ligase (Figure 1B). Competent XL1 Blue cells were transformed with the ligated reactions and transformants were selected with carbenicillin. Success of the reaction was verified by plasmid extraction, digestion, and the visualization of a 596bp band after gel electrophoresis.




Figure 1. Construction and Cloning of $\Delta mutL$, an Allele of *mutL* from *V. cholerae* Strain N16961 Containing an Internal Deletion. A. Physical map of *mutL* and flanking genes. Shaded boxes indicate the genes flanking *mutL*. Solid arrows indicate placement of forward primers. Dotted arrows indicate placement of reverse primers. Numbers correspond to primer sequences located in the table. K: *KpnI*, H: *HindIII*, Xm: *XmaI*, Xb: *XbaI*. The bar above genome indicates the size of the fragment produced in the intact genome, using primers 1 and 4 from the table. Genes are not drawn to scale. B. Construction of suicide vector pCVD442L, which contains a truncated version of *mutL* from *V. cholerae* N16961. Plasmid pBNM was created by amplifying a fragment of the *V. cholerae* genome containing the 3' end of VC0344 and the 5' end of *mutL*, digesting the fragment and pBluescript with *KpnI* and *HindIII*, ligating, and selecting for ampicillin resistant colonies in *E. coli* XL1Blue cells. Similarly, pBNMI was created by amplifying a fragment of the *V. cholerae* genome containing the 3' end of *mutL* and the 5' end of VC0346, digesting the fragment and pBMN with *HindIII* and *XbaI*, ligating, and selecting for ampicillin resistant colonies in XL1Blue. The $\Delta mutL$ fragment was created by cutting pBMNI with *XmaI* and joining the 1.2-kb fragment with the *XmaI*-digested plasmid pCVD442, which contains the *sacB* gene of *B. subtilis* and the *bla* gene. The resulting suicide vector was selected by ampicillin resistance in *E. coli* SM17 λ pir cells. Plasmids are not drawn to scale.

3.3 Construction of pCVD442L.

The suicide vector, pCVD442L, was created by adapting the vector described by Donnenberg and Kaper (1991). Plasmid pCVD442 contains the *bla* gene, which allows for the selection of antibiotic resistance. This plasmid also contains the *sacB* gene of *B. subtilis*, the locus that encodes the enzyme levan sucrase, which is toxic for gram negative organisms only in the presence of sucrose. This conditionally lethal phenotype can lead to the selection of colonies that have undergone an allelic exchange by homologous recombination. Furthermore, this plasmid contains the origin of plasmid R6K and the *mob* gene, which ensures that its replication is dependent upon π , the *pir* gene product (Donnenberg, 1991). In this study, the suicide vector pCVD442L was created by the digestion of pBNM1 and pCVD442 with *Xma*I and joining the 1.2kb $\Delta mutL$ fragment with the suicide vector using T4 DNA ligase (Figure 1B). Competent SM17 λ pir cells were transformed with the ligated reactions and transformants were selected with carbenicillin. Success of the reaction was verified by plasmid extraction, digestion and the visualization of a 1.2kb band after gel electrophoresis (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Agarose Gel of pCVD442L Digested with *Xma*I. Lane 1: pCVD442 (6,000bp) and $\Delta mutL$ (1,198 bp), lane 2: pCVD442, mw, 1 Kb DNA Ladder.

3.4 Construction of Knockout *V. cholerae* Strain.

Homologous recombination was set up with *E. coli* SM17 λ pir containing pCVD442L as the donor strain and *V. cholerae* N16961 as the recipient strain. Various ratios of the donor and recipient, used to ensure the optimal growth of the bacteria, were pipetted onto a sterile 0.2 μ m filter on top of an LB agar plate. These mixed cultures were grown without selection for 6 hr, and the filters were then placed into sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Serial dilutions were spread onto LB plates containing polymyxin B and carbenicillin. Colonies that grew on these plates, under both selections, should be *V. cholerae* that has undergone a single cross-over with the pCVD442L plasmid.

Isolates found to be both polymyxin B and carbenicillin resistant were grown without selection to late logarithmic phase and spread on LB plates without NaCl, but containing 10% sucrose. These same colonies were also split and grown on LB plates containing polymyxin B and carbenicillin for verification of their resistance.

Colonies that grew in both places were then patched onto both sucrose and carbenicillin plates. Colonies that are resistant to carbenicillin would contain a single cross-over event, retaining the resistant plasmid within the genome. Colonies that are unable to grow on the carbenicillin plates, but do grow on the sucrose plates, would have undergone a double cross-over in the *Vibrio* genome, resulting in the $\Delta mutL$ gene (Figure 3). If the colony had reverted back to the original genome, it would not be resistant to carbenicillin or be able to utilize sucrose well.




Figure 3. Replacement of the Wild-Type *mutL* with $\Delta mutL$ in the *V. cholerae* N16961 Genome by Homologous Recombination.

3.5 Verification of Double Cross-Over Event.

Twelve colonies were found to be carbenicillin sensitive and able to utilize sucrose. To determine if the colonies contained the $\Delta mutL$ gene, PCR was performed using primers 1 and 4 from the table. If the *Vibrio* underwent a double cross-over event, those primers would produce an amplicon of approximately 1.2Kb. However, if the *Vibrio* reverted back to the original genome, with another single cross-over, those primers would produce a 2880 bp amplicon. There were nine of the twelve colonies that produced 1.2Kb bands ($\Delta mutL$) when run on an agarose gel (Figure 4), the remaining three colonies produced 2.8Kb bands (*mutL*).




Figure 4. Verification of the Replacement of the Wild-Type *mutL* Allele with $\Delta mutL$. A. Amplification of *mutL* DNA from wild-type *V. cholerae* N16961 and putative *mutL* deletion strains. Lane 1, *V. cholerae* N16961; lane 2, 1Kb DNA ladder; lanes 3, 4, and 5, DNA from colonies that were carbenicillin sensitive and sucrose resistant. B. Physical map of the *mutL* locus from *V. cholerae* N16961. Shaded boxes indicate the genes flanking *mutL*. Solid arrows indicate placement of forward primers. Dotted arrows indicate placement of reverse primers. Numbers correspond to primer sequences located in the table. The bar above genome indicates the size of the fragment produced in the intact genome, using primers 1 and 4 from the table. The bar below genome indicates the size of the fragment produced from the truncated $\Delta mutL$ fragment, using primers 1 and 4 from the table. Genes are not drawn to scale.

4. DISCUSSION

We have constructed a polymyxin- and sucrose-resistant strain of *V. cholerae* that contains an internal deletion in *mutL*. We confirmed the deletion by PCR, which produced amplicons of the expected size relative to those produced by the wild-type strain. We are sequencing the area spanning the mutation to confirm the PCR analysis and precisely define the deletion. Once we have confirmed the *mutL* genotype of the mutant, we will determine whether the deletion in *mutL* affects the background rate of mutation by observing the frequency with which the mutant generates antibiotic-resistant colonies relative to the wild-type strain.

Understanding the mechanisms in *V. cholerae* that generate genetic variability could lead to a better understanding of how this species adapts to its environment and emerges periodically to cause epidemics. This understanding in turn could enable the discovery of new methods for pathogen detection in somewhat the same way that studies of hypermutator strains of other bacterial pathogens identified

novel approaches to combating antibiotic resistance (Cirz and Romesberg, 2006). Since the human immune system and pathogen detection assays use antibody recognition, an understanding of the origins of strain diversity may improve our ability to develop sensitive detection reagents and contribute to vaccine development. Likewise, the construction of a mutator strain of *V. cholerae* may also enable us to test the hypothesis that hypermutability of loci associated with virulence can contribute to the emergence and survival of strains with increased pathogenicity.

Blank

LITERATURE CITED

Aronshtam, A.; Marinus, M.G. Dominant negative mutator mutations in the *mutL* gene of *Escherichia coli*. *Nuc. Acids Res.* **1996**, 24(13), pp 2498-2504.

Cirz, R.T.; Romesberg, F.E. Induction and inhibition of ciprofloxacin resistance-conferring mutations in hypermutator bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2006**, 50(1), pp 220-225.

Claverys, J.P.; Prudhomme, M.; Mortier-Barriere I, Martin B. Adaptation to the Environment: *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, a paradigm for recombination-mediated genetic plasticity? *Molec. Microbiol.* **2000**, 5, pp 251-9.

Donnenberg, M.S.; Kaper, J.B. Construction of an *eae* deletion mutant of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* by Using a positive-selection suicide vector. *Infect. Immun.* **1991**, 59(12), pp 4310-4317.

Friedhoff, P.; Sheybani, Thomas E; Merz, C.; Pingoud A.; et al. *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Vibrio cholerae* genes for *mutH* are able to fully complement a *mutH* defect in *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2002**, 208, pp 123-8.

ICDDR, B, Cholera Working Group: Large epidemic of cholera-like disease in Bangladesh caused by *Vibrio cholerae* O139 synonym Bengal. *Lancet* **1993**, 342, pp 387-390.

LeClerc, J.E.; Li, B; Payne, W.L.; Cebula, T. High mutation frequencies among *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* pathogens. *Science* **1996**, 274, pp 1208-11.

Mekalanos, J.J.; Sublett, R.D.; Romig, W.R. Genetic mapping of toxin regulatory mutations in *Vibrio cholerae*. *J. Bact.* **1979**, 139(3), pp 859-865.

Momen, H.; Salles, C.A. Enzyme markers for *Vibrio cholerae*: identification of classical, El Tor, and environmental strains. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1985**, 79, pp 773-6.

Nair, G.B.; Ramamurthy, T.; Bhattacharya, S.K.; Mukhopadhyay, A.K.; Garg, S.; Bhattacharya, M.K.; Takeda, T.; Shimada, T.; Takeda, Y.; Deb, B.C. Spread of *Vibrio cholerae* O139 Bengal in India. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1994**, 169(5), pp 1029-34.

Pollitzer, R.; Swaroop, S.; Burrows, W. Cholera. *Monogr. Ser. World Health Organ.* **1959**, 58(43), pp 1001-19.

Richardson, A.R.; Stojijkovic, I. Mismatch repair and the regulation of phase variation in *Neisseria meningitides*. *Molec. Microbiol.* **2001**, 40, pp 645-55.

Sambrook, J.; Fritsch, E.F.; Maniatis, T. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*; 2nd ed.; Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, NY; 1989.

Taddei, F.; Radman, M.; Maynard-Smith, J.; et al. Role of mutator alleles in adaptive evolution. *Nature* **1997**, 387, pp 700-2.

Townsend, J.P.; Nielson, K.M.; Fisher, D.S.; Hartl, D.L. Horizontal acquisition of divergent chromosomal DNA in bacteria: Effects of mutator phenotypes. *Genetics* **2003**, 164, pp 13-21.

Wachsmuth, I.K.; Evins, G.M.; Fields, P.I.; Olsvik, O.; Popovic, T.; Bopp, C.A.; Wells, J.G.; Morris, J.G. Jr. *Vibrio cholerae* O139 Bengal. Cholera Laboratory Task Force. In *Vibrio cholerae and Cholera*; Wachsmuth, I.K.; Blake, P.A.; Olsvik, O., Eds.; ASM Press: Washington, DC, 1994; pp 95-102.