

AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2007-520

**HYBRID ORGANIC-INORGANIC
PHOTOREFRACTIVES (Preprint)**

D.R. Evans, G. Cook, J.L. Carns, and M.A. Saleh



AUGUST 2006

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

STINFO COPY

The U.S. Government is joint author of this work and has the right to use, modify, reproduce, release, perform, display, or disclose the work.

**MATERIALS AND MANUFACTURING DIRECTORATE
AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7750**

NOTICE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by the Air Force Research Laboratory Wright Site (AFRL/WS) Public Affairs Office (PAO) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). It will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

**/signature/*

DEAN R. EVANS, Ph.D.
Agile Filters Project
Exploratory Development
Hardened Materials Branch

//signature//

MARK S. FORTE, Acting Chief
Hardened Materials Branch
Survivability and Sensor Materials Division

//signature//

TIM J. SCHUMACHER Chief
Survivability and Sensor Materials Division

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

Disseminated copies will show "//signature//*" stamped or typed above the signature blocks.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY) August 2006		2. REPORT TYPE Conference Paper Preprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE HYBRID ORGANIC-INORGANIC PHOTOREFRACTIVES (Preprint)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER IN-HOUSE	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 62102F	
6. AUTHOR(S) D.R. Evans (Agile Filters Project, Exploratory Development) G. Cook (Agile Filters Project, Exploratory Development/Universal Technology Corp.) J.L. Carns (Anteon Corp.) M.A. Saleh (UES)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 4347	
				5e. TASK NUMBER RG	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER M03R1000	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Agile Filters Project, Exploratory Development Hardened Materials Branch, Survivability and Sensor Materials Division Materials and Manufacturing Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7750				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2007-520	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Materials And Manufacturing Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory Air Force Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7750				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL/MLPJ	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-ML-WP-TP-2007-520	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This is a PowerPoint presentation. The U.S. Government is joint author of this work and has the right to use, modify, reproduce, release, perform, display, or disclose the work. PAO case number AFRL/WS 06-0465, 01 February 2006. Submitted to the proceedings for the Third International Photorefractive Workshop sponsored by AFRL/MLPJ. This document is the best quality available.					
14. ABSTRACT Surface space charge field modulates the local liquid crystal alignment. Liquid crystals amplify the refractive index modulation. Highlights the opportunity of exploiting the electric field sensitivity and large birefringence of liquid crystals.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Organic, Inorganic, Photorefractive					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT: SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 26	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor) Dean R. Evans, Ph.D. 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (937) 255-4588
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			

Hybrid Organic-Inorganic Photorefractives



G. Cook^{a,b}, J. L. Carns^c,
M. A. Saleh^d, D. R. Evans^a

^aAir Force Research Laboratory,
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, OH

^bUniversal Technology Corporation, Dayton, OH

^cAnteon Corporation, Dayton, OH

^dUES, Dayton, OH




A Perfect Marriage



Solid Crystals



Liquid Crystals






Outline



- Concept
- Materials selection
- Outline theory
- Cell construction
- Early results
- New results
- Poling issues
- Rotated cells
- Summary



Concept




Photorefractive material

- Concept and theory
 - L.C. Reorientation from a single window - N. V. Tabiryan, C. Umetsu, JOSA B, 15, 7, 1912-1917, 1998
 - Beam coupling from a dual window device - D. C. Jones, G. Cook, Opt. Commun., 232, 399-409, 2004
- Surface space charge field modulates the local liquid crystal alignment
- Liquid crystals amplify the refractive index modulation
- Highlights the opportunity of exploiting the electric field sensitivity and large birefringence of liquid crystals



Polymer Version



- S. Bartkiewicz, K. Matczyszyn, A. Miniewicz, F. Kajzar, Opt. Comm., 187, 257-261, 2001
- Very high gain coefficient, but.....
 - Small grating phase shift (drift dominated charge migration)
 - Applied fields
 - Tilted optical geometry
 - Small beam intersection angles (low trap density) - Raman-Nath regime only



Inorganic-Organic Hybrids



- G. Cook, C. A. Wyres, M. J. Deer, D. C. Jones, SPIE vol. 5213, pp 63-77, 2003.
- Space charge field is governed by the inorganic crystal properties
- 90 degree grating phase shift possible
- Normal incidence operation
- No applied fields - entirely passive device
- Large beam intersection angles (trap density) - Bragg regime possible



Inorganic Choices



- Fe:LiNbO₃
 - Insensitive
 - Problems with photovoltaic beam fanning
 - Charge migration is dominated by the photovoltaic effect (drift)
 - Phase shift is poor unless space charge field saturates (difficult at coarse grating spacing)
- BSO/BGO
 - Very sensitive and high speed
 - Excellent charge diffuser and photoconductor
 - Optically active
- Fe:KNbO₃ and SPS
 - Very sensitive and high speed (Fe/Ni/Ag etc. KNbO₃ and Te:SPS)
 - Good charge diffusers and photoconductors
 - Maybe difficult to obtain in large sizes (but we're working on that!)
 - Relatively small (KNbO₃) or near zero (SPS) photovoltaic effect
- Ce:SBN
 - Quite sensitive
 - Charge migration is dominated by diffusion - phase shift approaches 90 degrees
 - No complications from photovoltaic effects



Organic Choices



- Lots!
 - Homeotropic
 - Planar
 - Twisted
 - Bend
 - Splay
 - Planar/homeotropic
- 100's of possible liquid crystals, many possible phases
 - Nematic
 - Smectic
 - Ferroelectric
- Probably best to avoid ionic liquid crystals
 - Possible screening charge problems
 - Fluorinated liquid crystals look good



Outline Theory (SBN)



- Intensity fringes

$$I(y) = (I_{\text{signal}} + I_{\text{pump}}) \left(1 + \frac{A_{\text{signal}} A_{\text{pump}}^*}{I_{\text{signal}} + I_{\text{pump}}} \cos(2\theta) \exp(i2k \sin(\theta) y) + c.c. \right)$$

- Space charge field

$$E_0 = 2\pi k_y T / e\Lambda$$

$$E_s = \frac{iE_D}{1 + E_D/E_0} m$$

$$E_0 = eN_A \Lambda / 2\pi\epsilon_0$$



$$N_A = \frac{\epsilon_0 k_y T}{(L_y e / 2\pi)^2}$$

$$m = \frac{2\sqrt{I_{\text{pump}} I_{\text{signal}}}}{I_{\text{pump}} + I_{\text{signal}}} \cos(2\theta)$$

- Exponential gain coefficient

$$\Gamma = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \hat{n}^3 r_{\text{eff}} \text{Im}(E_s)$$

$$r_{\text{eff}} = r_{33} \cos^2(\theta) - r_{11} \sin^2(\theta) + \left(\frac{n_x - n_z}{n_x} \right) (r_{23} + r_{13}) \sin^2(2\theta)$$



Outline Theory (Liquid Crystal)



- Electrostatic potential

$$V(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{iE_z}{K} e^{(iKy - Kx)} + c.c.$$

- Electric torque

$$\mathbf{F}_E = \Delta\epsilon (\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}) (\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E})$$


- Elastic torque

$$\mathbf{F}_L = \hat{n} \times (\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2 + \mathbf{E}_3)$$

- Refractive index modulation

$$n = \frac{n_o n_e}{\sqrt{n_o^2 \sin^2(\beta \pm \theta) + n_e^2 \cos^2(\beta \pm \theta)}}$$


E_1 , E_2 and E_3 are the molecular fields associated with bend, splay and twist deformations respectively



- Steady state molecular reorientation is achieved when $\mathbf{F}_E = \mathbf{F}_L$
- Space-charge field penetrates ~1.5 - 2.0x grating spacing
- Intermolecular elastic forces permit longer range influence




Preliminary Cell Construction



G. Cook, C. A. Wyres, M. J. Deer, D. C. Jones, "Hybrid organic-inorganic photorefractives", SPIE vol. 5213, pp 63-77, 2003.





Cell Preparation





Preliminary Results with Nematics




- Works! ← but it should not have worked!
- Full Bragg matching
- 90 degree grating phase shift
- Sensitive to alignment (Etalon effects)

G. Cook, C. A. Wyres, M. J. Deer, D. C. Jones, "Hybrid organic-inorganic photorefractives", SPIE vol. 5213, pp 63-77, 2003.





Molecular Alignment Issues



- Glass test cells show no pre-tilt, so the symmetry should not be broken
- Needs a broken symmetry and a molecular polarity to work



New Results C-parallel Nematic Cell



→ Rub direction
→ c-axis direction



- Clear indication of a pre-tilt and the flexoelectric effect
- Pre-tilt is larger in the negative c-axis direction
- Pre-tilt direction follows rubbing direction



C-parallel Nematic Cell Dynamics





- Van der Waal's forces from crystal induce a pre-tilt*
- Pre-tilt provides the required molecular asymmetry
- Flexoelectric effect provides molecular polarity
- Space-charge field molecular rotation is out of plane



* A. L. Alexe-Ionescu, R. Barberi, J. J. Bonvent, M. Giocondo, "Nematic surface transitions induced by anchoring competition", Phys Rev. E, vol. 54, no. 1, pp 529-535, 1996



New Results C-orthogonal Nematic Cell



→ Rub direction
→ c-axis direction


- Clear indication of a pre-tilt and the flexoelectric effect
- Pre-tilt is larger in the "up" direction
- Pre-tilt direction is independent of the rubbing direction



C-orthogonal Nematic Cell Dynamics





- Space-charge field molecular rotation is an in-plane twist






New Results Homeotropic Nematic Cell



Bend



Splay

- Clear indication of a pre-tilt and the flexoelectric effect
- Low gain implies a small pre-tilt with bend rather than splay alignment




New Results



- Having identified a crystal substrate induced pre-tilt, choose alignment schemes to maximize this effect.....




New Results Hybrid Nematic Cell 1



- Pre-tilt direction and magnitude depends on the c-axis rubbing direction
- But gain always remains positive so homeotropic layer dominates cell alignment
- Slightly better with a negative rub
- **Homeotropic layer is therefore pre-tilted towards the negative c-axis**



New Results Hybrid Nematic Cell 2





- Pre-tilt magnitude (not direction) depends on the up/down rubbing direction
- Homeotropic layer is pre-tilted towards the negative c-axis (previous slide)
- Gain always remains negative, no difference between up or down rub (homeotropic dominates)
- **Combination of orthogonal pre-tilts gives a twisted hybrid alignment**



New Results Twisted Nematic Cell



UP and DOWN + negative c twisted nematic TL205/0.01%Ce:SBN Hybrid Cell





- Twist direction reverses (odd – only the a axis pre-tilt magnitude changes with rubbing direction)
- Twist direction determines the gain direction from the flexoelectric effect
- Larger pre-tilts reduce the twist “tension” and the flexoelectric effect
- Pre-tilt is larger in the “up” direction, so gain is less for “up” rubbed cells



New Results Twisted Nematic Cell



UP and DOWN + positive c twisted nematic TL205/0.01%Ce:SBN Hybrid Cell



- Twist direction is constant (a-axis rub direction changes just the pre-tilt magnitude)
- Smaller pre-tilts increase the twist “tension” and the flexoelectric effect
- Positive c rub has smaller pre-tilt than negative c rub, so gain is increased
- Pre-tilt is larger in the “up” direction, so gain is less for “up” rubbed cells



Pre-tilt Summary




- C-parallel planar: bidirectional c-axis pre-tilts, largest for negative c rub
- C-orthogonal planar: unidirectional a-axis pre-tilt, largest for "up" rub
- Homeotropic: c-axis pre-tilt
- Hybrid c-parallel planar/homeotropic: c-axis pre-tilts
- Hybrid c-orthogonal planar/homeotropic: a and c-axis pre-tilts
- Twisted nematic: a and c-axis pre-tilts

Cause?



SBN Crystal structure



Oxygen atoms at the vertices of the polyhedra

J Wingbermuehle, M Meyer, O F Schirmer, R Pankrath, R K Kremer, "Electron paramagnetic resonance of Ce^{5+} in strontium-barium niobate", J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, vol 12, pp 4277-4284, 2000.
 The structure of SBN is shown along the c-axis, [001]. The right angles at the left outer A1 sites delineate boundaries of the unit cell. The ionic positions and the symmetry elements are indicated: full lines mark the traces of mirror planes, dashed ones those of glide mirror planes. The designation A1 labels sites preferred by Sr, A2 those occupied by Ba or Ca. The sites C are empty in the ideal structure. B1 and B2 are the two types of Nb position. The numbers at the corners of the O2- octahedra mark O2- ions and their equivalences. The z-coordinates of the O2- ions labelled 1, 2 and 3 as well as of the Nb ions B1 and B2 are close to zero. The other ions, Sr and Ba, at A1 or A2, as well as the O2- ions at perspective tricepted apexes of the B1 and B2 octahedra, lie at approximately 1/2 of the lattice constant c, 3.01 Å. The crosses mark oxygen positions at $-1/2 c$ below the plane.




SBN Poling



- Crystal structure influences the liquid crystal pre-tilt
- Surface poling condition is therefore critical
- Typical poling method:

$$E_1 \neq E_2 \text{ if } \epsilon_{\text{air}} \neq \epsilon_{\text{SBN}}$$

$$E_R \neq 0 \text{ if } E_1 \neq E_2$$



SBN Poling




- Modified poling method:

$$\epsilon_{\text{air}} \approx \epsilon_{\text{SBN}}$$

$$E_1 = E_2$$


$$E_R = 0$$



SBN window


SBN powder

Insulating
container








SBN Poling



Rotated Cell Results



- Liquid crystal gain present only when the grating k-vector has a component along the SBN crystal c-axis



G. Cook, C. A. Wyres, M. J. Deer, D. C. Jones, "Hybrid organic-inorganic photorefractives", SPIE vol. 5213, pp 63-77, 2003.




Rotated Cell Results




- **Unexpected result**

- Liquid crystal gain vanishes when SBN c-axis is orthogonal to grating k-vector
 - SBN EO coefficients are zero
 - But the SBN diffusion field is still present!
 - Liquid crystal reorientation not driven directly by the diffusion field?
 - 90° phase shift strongly suggests the modulation mechanism is linked to charge diffusion
 - Piezoelectric?



Piezoelectric Search







Piezoelectric Search



- AFM results.....



Published surface field*



* E. Soergel, R. Pankrath, and K. Buse, "Investigation of Photorefractive SBN Crystals with Atomic Force Microscopy", *Ferroelectrics*, vol. 296, pp19-27, 2003



Space-Charge Field/Trap Density



- Found no evidence (yet) of piezoelectric surface deformations



- If piezo effects are absent, LC must be driven by surface fields



- Absence of LC beam coupling for k-vector at 90° to c-axis means an absence of a space-charge field



- No space-charge field means either:
 - Zero charge diffusion across the c-axis (unlikely)
 - Negligible effective trap density across the c-axis (surprising)



Summary



- Highest Bragg matched gain coefficient for any photorefractive material ($\sim 1850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$)
 - Full Bragg matching for grating spacings of $\sim 400 \text{ nm} - 5 \mu\text{m}$.
 - 90° grating phase shift
- Surface pre-tilt and the flexoelectric mechanisms identified for unidirectional beam coupling
 - c-axis pre-tilt *direction and magnitude* depends on rubbing direction
 - Pre-tilt is greatest in the negative c direction
 - a-axis pre-tilt *magnitude* depends on the rubbing direction
 - Homeotropic alignment yields a pre-tilt towards the negative c-axis
 - SBN crystal structure is proposed as causing the pre-tilt through Van der Waal's forces
 - Poling quality is important for unidirectional gain (pre-tilt direction may otherwise vary)
- LC gain present only when a component of the grating k-vector lies along the c-axis
 - No evidence (yet) of piezoelectric induced LC alignment
 - Surface field induced LC alignment
 - No space-charge field for k-vector orthogonal to SBN c-axis – reason is unclear