

Final Report (Rowley) FA9550-06-1-0371

Unsteady Aerodynamic Models for
Flight Control of Agile Micro Air Vehicles

Clancy Rowley
Princeton University

Students: Steve Brunton, Zhanhua Ma
Funded by AFOSR (YIP)

- **Background**
 - **Objective:** obtain models for unsteady aerodynamics of fixed-wing MAVs (e.g. incorporating dynamic stall, vortex shedding)
 - **Technical approach:** systematic models using approximate balanced truncation (balanced POD); empirical, phenomenological models that capture correct bifurcation behavior
 - Contributors: Steve Brunton, Zhanhua Ma (Princeton); T. Colonius (Caltech)
- **Technical progress to date**
 - Obtained phenomenological models that capture unsteady behavior over a large range of angle of attack
 - Theoretical framework for balanced POD about periodic orbits (e.g. vortex shedding)
 - Current work: include forcing terms to avoid the need to tune initial conditions
- **Impact:** Models will enable control design for robust performance of MAVs during agile maneuvers, severe gusts
- **Future plans:** models for dynamically pitching/heaving airfoils
- **Collaboration opportunities:** Michael Ol, AFRL/VAAA
- **Objectives**
 - Obtain models for unsteady aerodynamics of fixed-wing MAVs, for robust control during rapid maneuvers or severe gusts
- **Previous work**
 - Vast majority of previous models are quasi-steady (e.g. $CL(\alpha)$)
 - Linear models of dynamic stall (Goman 1994, Magill 2003)
 - Nonlinear models for unsteady aerodynamics on a rolling delta wing (Myatt 1996, Allwine 2004)
- **Two modeling approaches pursued here**
 - **Systematic:** approximate balanced truncation (balanced POD) for model reduction of Navier-Stokes, for pitching and/or heaving wings
 - **Phenomenological:** simple models obtained without using Navier-Stokes
- **Collaborations / Acknowledgments**
 - Steve Brunton, Zhanhua Ma (PU grad students)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 05-07-2008		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 01APR2004 to 1June2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Unsteady Aerodynamic Models for Flight Control of Agile Micro Air Vehicles				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER FA9550-06-1-0371	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Professor Clancy Rowley				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Princeton				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NL 875 N Randolph St, Ste 325 Arlington, VA 22203				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) AFOSR	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-SR-AR-TR-08-0099	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution A: Approved for Public release					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT – Objective: obtain models for unsteady aerodynamics of fixed-wing MAVs (e.g. incorporating dynamic stall, vortex shedding) – Technical approach: systematic models using approximate balanced truncation (balanced POD); empirical, phenomenological models that capture correct bifurcation behavior – Contributors: Steve Brunton, Zhanhua Ma (Princeton); T. Colonius (Caltech)					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

- Caltech MURI team, especially Tim Colonius, Sam Taira

Coherent structures about a rapidly pitched airfoil

- **Direct Numerical Simulation of flow over a flat plate**
 - Immersed boundary solver (Colonius & Taira 2006, 2007)
 - Compute unsteady loads at fixed angle-of-attack, and pitching/heaving
 - Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCS) identify boundaries of separation bubbles, leading-edge vortices: important features to model

Coherent structures about a stationary airfoil

- Lagrangian Coherent Structures determine boundaries between qualitatively different regions (e.g., separation bubble boundary)
 - LCS are ridges of Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponent field

Coherent structures about a stationary airfoil

- Lagrangian Coherent Structures determine boundaries between qualitatively different regions (e.g., separation bubble boundary)
 - LCS are ridges of Finite-Time Lyapunov Exponent field

Nonlinear models valid near the bifurcation point

- **Phenomenological model**
 - Numerical continuation [Ahuja and Rowley, 2007] reveals a Hopf bifurcation as angle of attack increases
 - Construct a nonlinear model as the *normal form* of the Hopf bifurcation:
 - By construction, model captures correct nonlinear behavior. Calibrate constants against simulation data.

Nonlinear models valid near the bifurcation point

- **Model comparison with DNS (Re = 100)**

Systematic models: Balanced POD

- **Model reduction for very large systems**
 - **POD** has limitations (low-energy features often dynamically important)
 - **Balanced truncation:** good error bounds for linear systems, but not computationally tractable for very large system dimension (e.g. fluids)
 - **Solution:** empirical Gramians [Lall et al 1999], algorithm for computing balancing transformation without computing the Gramians themselves [Rowley 2005]
 - Method involves impulse responses of linearized and adjoint systems

Systematic models: Balanced POD

- **Balanced truncation produces excellent models, but is computationally intensive for very large systems**
 - Cannot even store the whole Gramians or balancing transformation: square matrices, $\dim > 10^5$
 - Interested only in the leading columns/rows of the balancing transformation and its inverse:
 - Columns of Φ_1 are *balancing modes*; columns of Ψ_1 are *adjoint modes*
- **Compute these directly from snapshots of the linearized and adjoint systems**

Balanced POD for periodic systems

- **Periodic orbits often arise in fluids**
 - Periodic vortex shedding
 - Flow control: open-loop forcing at a single frequency
- Standard balanced POD works for linearizations about an **equilibrium**
- **Desire reduced-order models valid near a periodic orbit**
 - Main idea is to lift the time-periodic system to a time-invariant system with many more inputs and outputs, then apply standard BPOD procedure
 - Subtleties: whether to use several different output projections (a different projection at each step around the periodic orbit) or the same projection at each step

Impact

- **Air Force impact**
 - Unsteady effects unavoidable for Micro Air Vehicles
 - Agile maneuvers: time scales of vehicle dynamics commensurate with time scales of flow structures
 - Quasi-steady models may drastically underestimate/overestimate lift/drag/moments
 - Disturbances (gusts) typically large; require good models for robust control
- **Direct impacts**
 - Improved aerodynamic models for robust control of fixed-wing MAVs
- **Indirect impacts**
 - Development of systematic reduced-order modeling techniques useful for other control problems (e.g. flow control, design optimization, flapping-wing flight)

Future Plans

- **Phenomenological models**
 - Introduce coupling terms to avoid the need to tune initial conditions for a particular angle-of-attack
 - Test models against simulations with pitch/plunge, improve models as necessary
 - Use more realistic airfoil (SD7003)
- **Systematic models**

- Implement Balanced POD for models linearized about an equilibrium; linearized about a periodic orbit; scheduled linear models; and full nonlinear models
- **3-dimensional effects**
 - Characterize differences between 2d
 - Adapt phenomenological models as needed.
- **Comparison with experiments**
 - Michael Ol plunging airfoil experiment?

Collaboration Opportunities

- **Michael Ol (AFRL/VA)**
 - Experiments on plunging SD7003 airfoil
 - Leading AIAA Low-Reynolds-number discussion group
- **Miguel Visbal (AFRL/VA)**
 - High-fidelity numerical simulations of laminar separation bubbles
- **Johnny Evers (AFRL/MN)**
 - Autonomous MAV flight; closed-loop control