

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 26MAR2008		2. REPORT TYPE FINAL REPORT		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 01APR2004-31DEC2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE NAVIGATION AND DECISION MAKING EFFICIENCIES UNDER CONDITIONS OF UNCERTAINTY				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER FA9550-04-1-0236	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) STANKIEWICZ, BRIAN J				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Brian J. Stankiewicz University of Texas, Austin Department of Psychology				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AFOSR/NL 875 NORTH RANDOLPH STREET SUITE 325, ROOM 3112 ARLINGTON, VA 2203-1768				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT APPROVE FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT This research has lead to three very interesting and important learnings: 1. We were able to develop computational techniques to measure the theoretical optimal performance in both the spatial navigation with uncertainty task and the Seek-And-Destroy task. a. This lead to the development of a hand-held indoor navigation aid that is completely self-contained (Stankiewicz, Cassandra, McCabe & Weathers, 2007). 2. We found that individuals were sub-optimal in their ability to make decisions with uncertainty. We were able to localize the sub-optimal behavior to the inability to correctly calculate the likelihoods of the current true state. 3. We were able to provide users with a decision making aid that brought their decision making efficiency.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON BRINKER, JEFFREY
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

AFRL-SR-AR-TR-08-0188

20080404123

Navigation and Decision Making Efficiencies under Conditions of Uncertainty.

FA9550-04-1-0236

Brian J. Stankiewicz
University of Texas, Austin
Department of Psychology

Each and every day we make thousands of decisions in which our understanding of the situation is not completely known. Although we may have incomplete knowledge, we may possess knowledge that will be helpful in making a "good" decision. For example, when purchasing an automobile, one does not know for certain how reliable *this* car will be. However, given the make and model's previous track record, one might be able to generate an initial estimate its reliability. However, given that the car is used, there is some uncertainty about how well the car was maintained and how it was used. One may consider purchasing an inspection by a mechanic. Following the inspection, one might be more confident that the car will be reliable—however, even with this additional information one is still in a state of uncertainty. One may consider purchasing an additional inspection to reduce one's uncertainty even more. As one can see, an individual can continue to gather more-and-more information at a greater and greater accumulated cost. However, this additional information comes at a cost that may not exceed the expected gain in knowledge.

The research in this program was interested in four questions related to our ability to make decisions with uncertainty. First, computationally, what is the optimal decision strategy? To this end we used a Bayesian model of sequential decision making with uncertainty called **Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes** (POMDP). This model provides us with the theoretically optimal performance in a sequential decision making with uncertainty task. Second, we were interested in characterizing human sequential decision making with uncertainty. Specifically, we were interested in understanding how well humans performed relative to the optimal decision maker. This performance gave us a measure of human decision making efficiency. Third, if we found that humans were not making decisions optimally, we were interested in understanding what sub-process might lead to this inefficient behavior. Finally, if people were sub-optimal in their decision making process, we were interested in understanding whether we could develop decision aids that would allow human decision making to become optimal, or near optimal.

To address these issues we studied human spatial navigation when individuals were "lost" within a familiar environment and also a "Seek-And-Destroy" task in which the decision maker had to locate and destroy a target. In the S&D task, the decision maker needed to locate the target using "reconnaissance" that would indicate whether the target was observed or not. However, the reconnaissance was not perfect in that some times it would detect the target at a location, but the target was not located there (false alarm) or the reconnaissance would not detect the target but it was at that location (miss). Furthermore, the decision maker could send artillery to a location and if the target was there, some times it would miss. Furthermore, each of these actions (reconnaissance and artillery) had a cost associated with them. The

decision maker's task (both human and computational model) was to destroy the target and Declare that the target was destroyed. If the decision maker successfully destroyed the target when they declared they received a positive reward, but if they declared and the enemy was still alive, the decision maker received a large negative reward.

This research has lead to three very interesting and important learnings:

1. We were able to develop computational techniques to measure the theoretical optimal performance in both the spatial navigation with uncertainty task and the Seek-And-Destroy task.
 - a. This lead to the development of a hand-held indoor navigation aid that is completely self-contained (Stankiewicz, Cassandra, McCabe & Weathers, 2007).
2. We found that individuals were sub-optimal in their ability to make decisions with uncertainty. We were able to localize the sub-optimal behavior to the inability to correctly calculate the likelihoods of the current true state.
3. We were able to provide users with a decision making aid that brought their decision making efficiency (the human performance relative to the optimal performance) from about 50% to nearly 90%.

Summary:

In summary, we have brought together the power of computation by developing a computational model of sequential decision making with uncertainty along with understanding the strengths and limits of human decision making. In fact, one of the most remarkable findings in this research program was that there is an interesting symbiotic relationship between the human and the computer in sequential decision making. The decision process in sequential decision making can be broken down into two sub-tasks. The first is integrating the new piece of knowledge that was acquired to update the likelihoods of the current "true state" (e.g., the likelihood that the target is at one location or another). The second, is given these likelihoods, what is the best action to enact at this time. For the computer, the first process is quite simple and on a typical contemporary computer can be completed in less than a second. For many problems (including those that were studied in this research project) computing the best action given the likelihoods can take hours, days or weeks. However, the human has the opposite problem. We have difficulties updating the likelihoods of the true state, but given these likelihoods (e.g., when computed by the computer) our research has shown that we can make near optimal decisions on which action to engage in next. This research suggests that a very important cognitive aid for human sequential decision making is one that updated the likelihoods when new information is received.

Brian Stankewicz	Professor
Jane Pitts	Graduate Student
Patrick Beeson	Graduate Student
Kyler Eastman	Graduate Student
Sahar Nadeem	Graduate Student

Charles Bryant Undergraduate Research Assistant
John Rodman Research Scientist

Stankiewicz, B.J. & Eastman, K. (*Accepted Pending Revision*). Lost in Virtual Space II: The Role of Proprioception and Discrete Actions when Navigating with Uncertainty. *Association for Computing Machinery/ Transactions on Applied Perception*.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (*Accepted Pending Revision*) Human and Bayesian Performance in an Adversarial Sequential- Decision Making with Uncertainty Task. *The Journal of Mathematical Psychology*.

Stankiewicz, B.J., Cassandra, A.R., McCabe, M.R. & Weathers, W. (In Press). Evaluation of a Bayesian Low-Vision Navigation Aid. *Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetic*.

Stankiewicz, B.J. & Kalia, A. (2007). Acquisition & Retention of Structural versus Object Landmark Knowledge When Navigating through a Large-Scale Space. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*. Vol 33(2), pp. 378-390.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2007). Bayesian Indoor Navigation Aid for Users with Limited Perceptual Input. *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.

Stankiewicz, B.J., Legge, G.E., Mansfield, J.S. & Schlicht, E.J. (2006). Lost in Virtual Space: Human and Ideal Wayfinding Behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*. 32(3), 688-704.

Presentations:

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2007) Navigating with degraded vision: From Basic Research to a Patented (Pending) Low-Vision Navigation Aid. 3M. Maplewood, MN. January.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2007) Using a Bayesian Framework to Understand Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty.

University of California, San Diego, March

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, February

Air Force Office of Scientific Research, February

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2007) Using a Bayesian Framework to Understand Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty. Air Force Office of Scientific Research Workshop on Robust Decision Making. Arlington, Virginia, March.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2007) Human and Optimal Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty. Air Force Office of Scientific Research Annual Review. Dayton Ohio, March.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2006) Illuminating the Cognitive Bottleneck in Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty Performance using Ideal Observer Analysis, Indiana University, February.

- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2006) Adversarial Decision-Making: Human and Optimal Performance. MIT, January.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Identifying the Cognitive Bottleneck in Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty Using Ideal Observer Analysis. University of California, Santa Barbara, December.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Developing and Evaluating a Low-Vision Bayesian Indoor Navigation Aid University of California, Santa Barbara, December.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Identifying the Cognitive Bottleneck in Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty Using Ideal Observer Analysis. University of Southern California, December.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. and Middlebrooks, S. (2005) Understanding The Cognitive Limitations In Making Efficient Decisions Under Uncertainty. Ft. Aberdeen Army Proving Grounds. November.
- Middlebrooks , S.E. & Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005). Toward The Development Of A Predictive Computer Model Of Decision Making Under Uncertainty For Use In Simulations Of U.S. Army Command And Control Systems. Proceedings of the 13th Annual U.S. Military Academy / U.S. Army Research Laboratory Technical Symposium. West Point, New York and Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Studying Human Sequential Decision Making With Uncertainty using Ideal Observer Analysis. University of Minnesota, Department of Psychology. August
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Understanding human sequential decision making using ideal observer analysis. Workshop on Human Problem Solving: Difficult Optimization Problems. Purdue University July
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) From navigating when disoriented to seek and disrupt: Understanding the cognitive limitations in sequential decision making with uncertainty. Cognition & Decision Making Workshop: Air Force Office of Scientific Research, April.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) Localizing the cognitive bottleneck in human sequential decision making under uncertainty by using ideal observer analysis. Cognoscenti Speaker Series. Texas A & M University.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2005) The role of belief updating in human sequential decision making with uncertainty. Workshop Probabilistic Models of Cognition: The Mathematics of Mind. Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM) at the University of California, Los Angeles.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2004) NavAid: A low vision navigation aid using Bayesian modeling. University of Minnesota, August.
- Stankiewicz, B.J. (2004) Lost in Virtual Space: Using Ideal Observer Analysis to Understand Human Sequential Decision Making with Uncertainty. National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Ames California. July

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2004) Lost in Virtual Space: Navigation with Uncertainty. Brown University, March. MIT, March.

Stankiewicz, B.J. (2004) Decision Making with Uncertainty: Using Ideal Observer Analysis to Identify Cognitive Bottlenecks. Cognition & Decision Making Workshop: Air Force Office of Scientific Research, March.