


LWL
TM-74-15
c.2



TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 74-15

UNDERWATER ADHESIVES STUDY

USALWL Task 05-CA-74
Work Assignment No. 4
Contract No. DAAD05-74-C-0723

By

R. Hollinger

The Franklin Institute Research Laboratories
Benjamin Franklin Parkway
Philadelphia, PA 19103

June 1974 **AMXBR-LB (Bldg. 305)**
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD. 21005

COUNTED IN

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

U. S. ARMY LAND WARFARE LABORATORY

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005

20081002072

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Memorandum # 74-15	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Underwater Adhesives Study		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Memorandum
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER F-C3776-04
7. AUTHOR(s) R. Hollinger		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAD05-74-C-0723
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Franklin Institute Research Laboratories Benjamin Franklin Parkway Philadelphia, PA 19103		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS USALWL Task 05-CA-74
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Applied Chemistry Branch U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		12. REPORT DATE June 1974
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 10
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Underwater Adhesive Adhesive Naval Glue Bonding Agent Mine Neutralization		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Under Work Assignment No. 4 of Contract DAAD05-74-C-0723 by The Franklin Institute Research Laboratories (FIRL) for the U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory, three commercially available adhesives were evaluated for underwater use. Of the three items tested for quick and effective bonds (lap shear) the National Cash Register Company's (NCR) product appears to have the most promise; however, additional efforts will be required for formula improvement and for a device to apply the compound to mission substrates.		

FOREWORD

This report is submitted in compliance with contractual requirements as directed by the U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, under Contract No. DAAD05-74-C-0723. Mr. J. L. Baer, Chief of Applied Chemistry Branch, served as Technical Supervisor for the work, and we would like to acknowledge his assistance during the project.

Principal Investigators for the program at The Franklin Institute Research Laboratories were Messrs R. Hollinger and E. Thelen.

1. INTRODUCTION

A need exists within DOD agencies for an adhesive with special aquatic properties. This feasibility program was to evaluate several adhesives/epoxies and determine their effectiveness as suitable underwater bonding agents.

2. OBJECTIVE

This letter report describes the work carried out under Task No. 4, with the objective being to investigate four commercial adhesives. The only requirement being a relatively high shear strength under water with the shortest possible time (seconds).

3. ADHESIVES

Four adhesives were to be used in the evaluation:

- a. Devcon - an epoxy adhesive
- b. F-88 - an adhesive used in dental applications
- c. NCR - an adhesive developed by National Cash Register Company under government contract
- d. Sinmast - a product of Sinmast A.G. used for repair and patching of underwater structures and ship hulls.

Repeated attempts to obtain the Sinmast product from the American dealer gave no result. This makes it appear that the product is not readily available. In lieu of tests with the Sinmast adhesive, a second mixture of F-88 adhesive which was said to give more rapid setting was used.

4. SUBSTRATES

Four substrates were selected for use with each of the adhesives. The materials used were:

- a. Steel - mild steel sheet
- b. Aluminum - bare aluminum alloy sheet
- c. Polyester - polymethylmethacrylate sheet
- d. Wood - exterior grade plywood

5. METHOD OF TEST

The substrate materials were conditioned by immersion in simulated sea water prepared using the standard mixture of salts for salt water fish aquaria. The period of immersion was at least 24 hours with most times nearer 48 hours. The adhesives were mixed in air, but were applied to the conditioned substrates under water at the time lap joints were prepared. An area of six square inches of contact surface comprised the standard lap joint. Times for cure are noted from the time of application of the adhesive. Mixing time for most of the adhesives was approximately 30 seconds except for the NCR adhesive as noted later.

6. RESULTS OF TESTS

Results of lap shear tests are noted for the various adhesives with four different substrates and cure times in the table below. Shear strengths are shown in pounds force for a six square inch contact area of the test adhesive and substrate. The earliest development of shear strength is shown by the NCR adhesive followed by the F88 4:1 mixture.

TABLE OF LAP SHEAR TEST RESULTS

	<u>Time in Minutes vs Shear Strength - lbs</u>							
	.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	45	270
Polymethylmethacrylate								
Devcon	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	+100
F88 3:1	-	-	8.0	13.0	-	+100	-	-
F88 4:1	-	-	15.5	43.0	-	+100	-	-
NCR	8.3	16.5	67.5	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE OF LAP SHEAR TEST RESULTS (Cont.)

		<u>Time in Minutes vs Shear Strength</u>							
		.5	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	45	270
Wood									
	Devcon	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0	62.5
	F88 3:1	-	0	0	12.0	-	40.0	-	-
	F88 4:1	-	-	0	0	-	60.5	-	-
	NCR	27.0	30.3	38.0	-	-	-	-	-
Aluminum									
	Devcon	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.3	67.5
	F88 3:1	-	-	20.0	14.0	-	-	-	-
	F88 4:1	-	-	8.5	24.5	-	-	-	-
	NCR	26.0	27.0	80.0	-	-	-	-	-
Steel									
	Devcon	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	+100
	F88 3:1	-	-	7.0	12.0	-	+100	-	-
	F88 4:1	-	-	13.0	32.0	+100	+100	-	-
	NCR	16.0	26.0	82.5	-	-	-	-	-

7. COMMENTS ON ADHESIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Devcon adhesive mixes and applies easily but is slow curing. This adhesive should not be considered further.

F-88 adhesive mixes and applies easily and gives fairly good early strength in the range of 2 to 3 minutes after application. The cure is rapid but not so rapid as to cause handling difficulty. The adhesive deserves secondary consideration for underwater use.

The NCR adhesive gives the highest early strength and is the adhesive of choice for this application. Several factors affect its use and should be the object of further investigation:

- a. The two component adhesive system must be mixed and applied rapidly. The initial setting of this adhesive is not developed smoothly, but occurs over an interval of 2 to 3


seconds. The system remains liquid for only about 40 seconds from time of first contact of the components, after which the system gels rapidly. Mixing time is restricted to 10-15 seconds followed by immediate application. An application device must be developed which allows rapid mixing and application of the adhesive.

- b. Once applied, the system cannot be disturbed during gel formation and subsequent cure. Disturbance of the system causes breaks in the gel structure and full strength is not developed.
- c. The system is lighter than salt water and must be applied to the substrate in a manner which will prevent the adhesive from floating away from the surface of the substrate. A dense filler might be incorporated into the adhesive providing such filler does not affect the cure rates or final strength.
- d. The estimated cost of a pound of NCR adhesive for mission use would be approx. \$5.00.


8. APPLICATOR CONCEPTS

Two possible concepts for applying the underwater adhesive are sketched on the following page. In concept No. 1, the adhesive components A and B are separated and protected by membranes. The puncture tool housed in the handle would be withdrawn to perforate the protective cover and two membranes. Mixing components A and B could be accomplished when the entire device is applied with a slight twisting motion to the target area.

Concept No. 2 contains adhesive components A and B in a plastic bag. Mixing is achieved in the open neck area after the separator has been broken. The material would then be forced out the neck opening. This concept would probably be best suited for repair work.



(1)



(2)

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>Copies</u>
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDL 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	3
Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCRD-P 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1
Mr. Donald H. Courter Naval Undersea Center Code 0122 San Diego, California 92132	1
Mr. E. H. Early Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L03C Port Hucneme, California 99043	1
Lt. Cmdr. Dave Eppling Range Support Department Code FCX/NB Naval Underwater System Center Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1
Dr. Sherman Gee Code 124 Naval Ordnance Laboratory White Oak, MD 20910	1
Mr. Joseph D. Antinucci Naval Underwater Systems Center Code SB313/FCX Newport, Rhode Island 02840	1

Mr. George F. Linsteadt Technology Utilization Office Code 4503, Room 1219 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555	1
Mr. William Williams Head, Diving & Salvage Code 710 Naval Coastal Systems Laboratory Panama City, Florida 32401	1
Mr. James Jolly Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Mr. Robert Seebold Naval Research Laboratory Code 4011 Washington, DC	1
Mr. William A. McKenney Naval Weapons Laboratory Code DR-2 Dahlgren, VA 22448	1
Commander US Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility ATTN: Mr. M. D. Shapiro Indian Head, MD 20640	3
Commander U.S. Army Natick Laboratories Natick, Massachusetts 01760	2
Commander Frankford Arsenal ATTN: L3000 Materials Engineering Division Philadelphia, PA 19137	2
Commander Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey 07801	2
Technical Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	2

Director U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	2
Mr. Lester D. Shubin Law Enforcement Assistance Administration 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530	1
Mr. Isidore Cook Naval Ship R&D Center Code 112 Carderock, MD 20034	1
Commander Naval Shipyard Portsmouth, VA	1
Commander Naval Shipyard Philadelphia, PA	1
Commander Edgewood Arsenal ATTN: Directorate of Technical Support Mr. Irv Sherman/Fred Gruner Bldg. 1570 EA/APG, MD 21010	4