

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Cuba: U.S. Restrictions on Travel and Legislative Initiatives in the 107th Congress

October 29, 2001

Mark P. Sullivan
Specialist in Latin American Affairs
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 29 OCT 2001		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2001 to 00-00-2001	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Cuba: U.S. Restrictions on Travel and Legislative Initiatives in the 107th Congress				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress, 101 Independence Ave, SE, Washington, DC, 20540-7500				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Cuba: U.S. Restrictions on Travel and Legislative Initiatives in the 107th Congress

Summary

Restrictions on travel to Cuba have been a key component in U.S. efforts to isolate the communist government of Fidel Castro for much of the past 40 years. Over time, there have been numerous changes to the restrictions and for 5 years, from 1977 until 1982, there were no restrictions on travel to Cuba. This report surveys changes to the travel restrictions dating back to the 1960s, summarizes major arguments for and against lifting such restrictions, and tracks legislative initiatives in the 107th Congress to ease restrictions on travel to Cuba.

Major arguments made for lifting the Cuba travel ban are it hinders efforts to influence conditions in Cuba and may be aiding Castro by helping restrict the flow of information; it abridges the rights of ordinary Americans; and Americans can travel to other countries with communist or authoritarian governments. Major arguments in opposition to lifting the Cuba travel ban are American tourist travel would support Castro's rule by providing his government with millions of dollars in tourist receipts; there are legal provisions allowing travel to Cuba for humanitarian purposes that are used by thousands of Americans each year; and the President should be free to restrict travel for foreign policy reasons.

The 106th Congress tightened Cuba travel restrictions in the FY2001 agricultural appropriations bill (P.L. 106-387, Title IX) by circumscribing the discretion of the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control to issue specific travel licenses on a case-by-case basis that do not neatly fit into categories set forth in the Cuban Assets Control Regulations (CACR). In the first session of the 107th Congress, the House voted, in H.R. 2590, the FY2002 Treasury Department appropriation bill, to prohibit Treasury Department funds from being used to administer or enforce the CACR with respect to any travel or travel-related transaction. The Senate version of the bill, however, did not include the Cuba provision, and the House-Senate conference report on the bill (H.Rept. 107-253) did not include the provision. Numerous other initiatives have been introduced to ease, or eliminate altogether, restrictions on travel to Cuba. Whether such initiatives will move forward in the second session of the 107th Congress is uncertain given the change in congressional priorities after the September 11 attacks on Washington and New York.

This report will be updated to reflect legislative or other major developments. For additional information on Cuba, including a listing and discussion of legislative initiatives in the 107th Congress, see CRS Report RL30806, *Cuba: Issues for Congress*. For a comparison of countries for which the U.S. Government also maintains travel restrictions – Cuba, Iraq, Libya, and North Korea – see CRS Report RS21003, *Travel Restrictions: U.S. Government Limits on American Citizens' Travel Abroad*, by Susan Epstein and Dianne Rennack.

Contents

Background	1
Chronology of Cuba Travel Restrictions	1
Current Restrictions on Travel to Cuba	3
Estimate of U.S. Travelers to Cuba	4
OFAC Review of Travel and Carrier Service Providers	5
OFAC Penalties for Individuals Traveling to Cuba Illegally	6
Arguments for Lifting Cuba Travel Restrictions	6
Arguments for Maintaining Cuba Travel Restrictions	8
Legislative Action and Initiatives in the 106 th Congress	9
Legislative Action and Initiatives in the 107 th Congress	10

Cuba: U.S. Restrictions on Travel and Legislative Initiatives in the 107th Congress

Background

Since the United States imposed a comprehensive trade embargo against Cuba in the early 1960s, there have been numerous policy changes to restrictions on travel to Cuba. The embargo regulations do not ban travel itself, but place restrictions on any financial transactions related to travel to Cuba, which effectively result in a travel ban. Accordingly, from 1963 until 1977, travel to Cuba was effectively banned under the Cuban Assets Control Regulations (CACR) issued by the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) to implement the embargo. In 1977, the Carter Administration made changes to the regulations that essentially lifted the travel ban. In 1982, the Reagan Administration made other changes to the CACR that once again restricted travel to Cuba, but allowed for travel-related transactions by certain categories of travelers. Under the Clinton Administration, there were several changes to the Treasury Department regulations, with some at first tightening the restrictions, and others later loosening the restrictions. The regulations that remain in place today are less restrictive than those in place from 1963 to 1977, but more restrictive than those in place from 1977-1982 when the travel ban was essentially lifted.

Chronology of Cuba Travel Restrictions

1960 — In the first trade restrictions on Cuba after the rise to power of Fidel Castro, President Eisenhower placed most U.S. exports to Cuba under validated license controls, except for nonsubsidized food, medicines, and medical supplies. The action did not include restrictions on travel.

1962/1963 — In February 1962, President Kennedy imposed a trade embargo on Cuba because of the Castro regime's ties to the Soviet Union. Pursuant to the President's directive, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) issued the Cuban Import Regulations. On July 9, 1963, OFAC issued a more comprehensive set of prohibitions, the Cuban Assets Control Regulations, which effectively banned travel by prohibiting any transactions with Cuba.

1977 — In March, the Carter Administration announced the lifting of restrictions on U.S. travel to Cuba that had been in place since the early 1960s. The Carter Administration lifted the travel ban by issuing a general license for travel-related transactions for those visiting Cuba. Direct flights were also allowed.

1982 — In April, the Reagan Administration reimposed restrictions on travel to Cuba, although it allowed for certain categories of travel, including travel by U.S. government officials, employees of news or film making organizations, persons

engaging in professional research, or persons visiting their close relatives. It did not allow for ordinary tourist or business travel that had been allowed since the Carter Administration's 1977 action.

1984 — On June 28, the Supreme Court, in a 5-4 decision in the case of *Regan v. Wald*, rejected a challenge to the ban on travel to Cuba and asserted the executive branch's right to impose travel restrictions for national security reasons.

1993 — The Clinton Administration, in June 1993, slightly amended restrictions on U.S. travel to Cuba. Two additional categories of travel were allowed: travel to Cuba "for clearly defined educational or religious activities"; and travel "for activities of recognized human rights organizations." In both categories, travelers were required to apply for a specific license from OFAC.

1994 — In August, President Clinton announced several measures against the Cuban government in response to an escalation in the number of Cubans fleeing to the United States. Among these measures, the Administration tightened travel restrictions by prohibiting family visits under a general license, and allowing specific licenses for family visits only "when extreme hardship is demonstrated in cases involving extreme humanitarian need"¹ such as terminal illness or severe medical emergency. Such visits required a specific license from OFAC. In addition, professional researchers were required to apply for a specific license, whereas since 1982 they had been able to travel freely under a general license.

1995 — In October, President Clinton announced measures to ease some U.S. restrictions on travel and other activities with Cuba, with the overall objective of promoting democracy and the free flow of ideas. The new measures included authorizing general licenses for transactions relating to travel to Cuba for Cuban Americans making yearly visits to close relatives in "circumstances that demonstrate extreme humanitarian need."² This reversed the August 1994 action that required specific licenses. However, those traveling for this purpose more than once in a 12-month period would need to apply to OFAC for a specific license. In addition, the new measures allowed for specific licenses for free-lance journalists traveling to Cuba.

1996 — On February 26, following the shutdown of two U.S. civilian planes two days earlier by Cuban fighter jets, President Clinton took several measures against Cuba, including the indefinite suspension of charter flights between Cuba and the United States. Qualified licensed travelers could go to Cuba, provided their flights were routed through third countries.

1998 — On March 20, following Pope John Paul II's January trip to Cuba, President Clinton announced several changes in U.S. policy toward Cuba, including the resumption of licensing for direct charter flights to Cuba. On July 2, OFAC issued licenses to nine air charter companies to provide direct passenger flights from Miami International Airport to Havana's Jose Marti Airport.

¹Federal Register, August 30, 1994 (Volume 59, Number 167), pp. 44884-44886.

²Federal Register, October 20, 1995 (Volume 60, Number 203), pp. 54194-54198.

1999 — On January 5, President Clinton announced several measures to support the Cuban people that were intended to augment changes implemented in March 1998. Among the measures introduced was the expansion of direct passenger charter flights from additional U.S. cities other than Miami. In August, the State Department announced that direct flights to Cuba would be allowed from New York and Los Angeles. In addition, President Clinton also announced in January 1999 that measures would be taken to increase people-to-people exchanges. As a result, on May 13, 1999, OFAC issued a number of changes to the Cuba embargo regulations that effectively loosened restrictions on certain categories of travelers to Cuba.³ Travel for professional research became possible under a general license, and travel for a wide range of educational, religious, sports competition, and other activities became possible with specific licenses authorized by OFAC on a case-by-case basis. In addition, those traveling to Cuba to visit a close family member under either a general or specific license only needed to “demonstrate humanitarian need,” as opposed to “extreme humanitarian need” that had been required since 1995.

2000 — In October, Congress approved and the President signed the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (Title IX of P.L. 106-387), which included a provision that prohibited travel-related transactions for “tourist activities,” which as set forth in Section 910(b)(2) of the Act are defined as any activity not authorized or referenced in the existing travel regulations (31 CFR 515.560, paragraphs (1) through (12)). The congressional action appeared to circumscribe the authority of the OFAC to issue specific travel licenses on a case-by-case basis that do not fit neatly withing the categories of travel already allowed by the regulations.

2001 — On July 12, 2001, OFAC published regulations⁴ pursuant to the provisions of the Trade Sanctions and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (Title IX of P.L. 106-387) that prohibited travel-related transactions for “tourist activities.”

Current Restrictions on Travel to Cuba

At present, certain categories of travelers may travel to Cuba under a *general* license, which means that there is no need to obtain special permission from OFAC.⁵ These include U.S. government officials traveling on official business, persons regularly employed as journalists or technical personnel employed by a news reporting organization, persons with close relatives in Cuba in circumstances of humanitarian need such as visiting a sick or dying relative (once every 12 months), full-time professionals for research or for attending professional meetings, and amateur or semi-professional athletes participating in international competitions.

³Federal Register. May 13, 1999 (Volume 64, Number 92), pp. 25808-25820.

⁴Federal Register. July 12, 2001 (Volume 66, Number 134), pp. 36683-36688.

⁵ The travel regulations can be found at 31CFR 515.560, which references other sections of the CACR for general and specific travel-related transaction licensing criteria. For an overview of the Treasury Department regulations on travel to Cuba, see OFAC’s website [<http://www.treas.gov/ofac/>].

In addition, a wide variety of travelers engaging in educational, religious, humanitarian, and other activities may be eligible for *specific* licenses. They include: free-lance journalists; certain types of professional researchers who do not qualify for a general license; those visiting close relatives in circumstances of humanitarian need more than once in a 12-month period; those involved in the exportation, importation or transmission of informational materials; and those involved in a public performance, clinic, workshop, athletic or other competition, or exhibition in Cuba. Applications for *specific* licenses are reviewed and granted by OFAC on a case by case basis. The specific licenses may authorize multiple trips to Cuba over an extended period of time.

Estimate of U.S. Travelers to Cuba

There appears to be no precise data on the number of individuals traveling to Cuba, including both legal and illegal travelers (meaning those traveling without authorization from OFAC). State Department officials maintain that the agency does not collect statistics on Americans traveling to Cuba, while the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control maintains that there are so many general licenses (for which individuals do not have to apply) that it is not possible to arrive at an accurate number of U.S. travelers to Cuba. Nevertheless, while the U.S. government does not collect overall statistics on U.S. travelers to Cuba, OFAC does have statistics on those traveling to Cuba from the United States on direct flights. There were estimated to be 82,000 U.S.-Cuba boardings in 1999.⁶

While the U.S. government does not collect comprehensive statistics on Americans traveling to Cuba, press articles regularly include estimates of such travel, with current estimates of all categories of travelers ranging from 176,000 to 200,000 in 2000. This includes legal travelers leaving directly from the United States on charter flights, and both legal and illegal travelers going indirectly through third countries. For example, a *Chicago Tribune* article estimated that more than 176,000 Americans visited Cuba in 2000, with 124,000 consisting of Cuban Americans on visits to their families for humanitarian reasons, 30,000 on a variety of U.S.-approved exchanges and research trips, and the remainder, some 22,000, traveling without authorization from OFAC.⁷ The Cuban government estimates that 200,000 Americans traveled to Cuba in 2000, with 120,000 consisting of Cuban Americans visiting their families.⁸ Other estimates of Americans traveling to Cuba without OFAC authorization are between 40,000 and 50,000.⁹

⁶U.S.-Cuba Trade and Economic Council. *Realities of Market Cuba*. Internet: [<http://www.cubatrade.org>].

⁷Laurie Goering, "Cuba Readies for Fall of U.S. ban on Visits; Relaxed Embargo Would Jolt Tourism," *Chicago Tribune*, August 14, 2001, p. 4.

⁸"U.S. Is Fining More Visitors," *Newsday* (New York), August 19, 2001, p. E11.

⁹Frank Bruni, "Bush Administration Showing Willingness to Enforce Law on Visiting Cuba," *New York Times*, August 5, 2001, Section 5, p. 9.

OFAC Review of Travel and Carrier Service Providers

Individuals traveling to Cuba under the authorization of OFAC must maintain records of all travel transactions for five years [pursuant to 31 CFR Parts 501.601 and 501.602]. Travel and carrier service providers (such as travel agents, tour operators, and airline companies) must also keep records for each transaction, including transactions between service providers. The record keeping must include details about individual travelers and their circumstances sufficient to allow identification and verification that the transactions comply with the Cuban Assets Control Regulations (CACR) implemented by OFAC.

The CACR spells out the requirements for travel service providers (TSP) and carrier service providers (CSP) to put procedures in place to establish that each customer is in full compliance with the regulations.¹⁰ The regulations require such providers to demonstrate that they require each customer to attest, in a signed statement, to his or her qualification for authorized travel. The statement must provide facts supporting the customer's belief that he or she qualifies for travel to Cuba according to the categories of travel set forth in the CACR.

As part of the compliance process, travel agents must provide details about travelers to the air carriers prior to the air carriers accepting a reservation or selling a seat on a flight. This information consists of the passenger's full name, mother's maiden name, address, date of birth, passport number and country of issuance, airport of departure from the United States, and whether travel is under a general or specific license. The air carrier in turn must provide detailed information to OFAC in Washington by electronic mail 48 to 72 hours prior to departure of the flight. This consists of 1) the information provided by the travel service provider (TSP) on each authorized traveler; 2) U.S. departure and return dates; and 3) the name of the TSP who arranged for the travel. Generally what happens is that travelers fill out a travel affidavit with the TSP providing the information, including what type of license they are traveling under, and the TSP then provides information to the carrier service provider before a reservation is actually made.

Passengers on direct flights to Cuba need to fill out an OFAC Outbound Declaration Card (entitled *Travel to Cuba*). Carrier Service Providers are required to ensure that every passenger receives one of the cards as part of the check-in procedure at the ticket counter assigned to the charter. CSPs must collect the completed and signed cards before the passenger boards the plane, and must make the completed cards available to the U.S. Customs Service inspector at the departure gate for review. If no inspector is present or if the inspector returns the cards to the CSP, then the cards must be forwarded to the OFAC-Miami office.

¹⁰31 CFR 515.572. In addition, OFAC has a circular outlining responsibilities of travel and carrier service providers for Cuba travel. See: U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control, *Circular 2000, Travel, Carrier and Remittance Forwarding Service Provider Program*, July 2000.

OFAC Penalties for Individuals Traveling to Cuba Illegally

President Bush announced in a July 13, 2001 statement that he had asked the Treasury Department to enhance and expand the enforcement capabilities of the Office of Foreign Assets Control. The President noted the importance of upholding and enforcing the law in order to prevent, among other things, “unlicensed and excessive travel” and to ensure that humanitarian and cultural exchanges actually reach pro-democracy activists in Cuba.¹¹

In 2001, there has been a large increase in the number of Americans receiving penalties from OFAC for violating the Cuba travel restrictions. The prosecution of embargo violators entails a range of measures from initial letters of inquiry to actual penalties being imposed.¹² According to recent press coverage, OFAC issued 188 letters of inquiry in 2000, 74 letters from January 3, 2001 through May 3, 2001, and 443 letters from May 4, 2001 through July 30, 2001.¹³ The surge in letters, according to Treasury, was because the Department temporarily assigned more workers in Fall 2000 to speed up the process. The average civil penalty for violating the travel ban is about \$7,500, while the maximum civil penalty is \$55,000.

Some advocates of travel sanctions on Cuba believe that under the Clinton Administration, the Treasury was not encouraged to enforce the law with regard to the Cuba travel regulations, but that this has changed under the Bush Administration.¹⁴ A Treasury Department official maintains that President Bush’s directive of July 13 to expand the enforcement capabilities of OFAC could result in additional measures enforcing restrictions on travel to Cuba.¹⁵ One such measure could include more judges to hear cases; the Treasury Department has reportedly asked to borrow some judges from the Environmental Protection Agency.¹⁶ Some observers in Congress believe that the Administration could amend the travel regulations to impose tighter restrictions on certain categories of travelers, such as professional researchers who now can travel to Cuba under a general license.

Arguments for Lifting Cuba Travel Restrictions

Those who argue in favor of lifting restrictions on travel to Cuba contend that the travel ban hinders U.S. efforts to influence political and economic conditions in

¹¹White House, “Statement by President Bush on Cuba: Toward a Democratic Cuba,” July 13, 2001.

¹²OFAC also publishes information for those charged with penalties for violating the Cuba embargo. See U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control, *Civil Penalties: Rights and Procedure – Cuba Program*, March 4, 1999.

¹³Rafael Lorente, “Senator Demands End to Cuba Travel Ban; Hundreds Fined Under Crackdown,” *Sun-Sentinel* (Fort Lauderdale), August 18, 2001, p. 6A.

¹⁴“U.S. Is Fining More Visitors,” *Newsday* (New York), August 19, 2001, p. E11.

¹⁵Rafael Lorente, “Senator Demands End to Cuba Travel Ban; Hundreds Fined Under Crackdown,” *Sun-Sentinel* (Fort Lauderdale), August 18, 2001, p. 6A.

¹⁶*Ibid.*

Cuba. Supporters of a change in Cuba travel policy argue that U.S. support for democracy in Latin America, a region that is now more democratic than at any time in history, has been augmented by person-to-person contact and exchanges. The exception to democracy in the region is Cuba, where the United States continues to maintain a policy of isolation. They argue that the best way to realize change in Cuba is to lift restrictions, allowing a flood of U.S. citizens to travel and engage in conversations with average Cubans. They point to the influence of person-to-person contact in Russia and Eastern European nations which they argue ultimately helped lead to the fall of communism in the Soviet bloc. They maintain that restricting travel by ordinary Americans prevents interaction and information exchanges with ordinary Cubans, exchanges that can help break down the Cuban government's tight control and manipulation of news; that the current travel ban actually supports the Cuban government in its efforts to restrict information provided to the Cuban people; and that it in effect supports Castro's totalitarian control over Cuba.

A second argument made by those who want to lift travel restrictions is that the ban abridges the rights of ordinary Americans to travel. They argue that the U.S. government should not be requiring Cuban Americans to apply for a license to travel more than once a year to visit sick or dying family members. They contend that such restrictions on the right to travel subvert the first amendment right of free speech.

Those in favor of lifting the travel ban also argue that U.S. citizens can travel to other communist or authoritarian governments around the world, such as the People's Republic of China, Vietnam, Burma, and Iran. They point out that Americans could travel to the Soviet Union before its breakup. Supporters of changing travel policy toward Cuba argue that their proposals would still allow the President to prohibit such travel in times of war or armed hostilities, or if there were imminent danger to the health or safety of Americans. They argue that these conditions do not exist with regard to Cuba, and point to a May 1998 Defense Intelligence Agency report that concluded that "Cuba does not pose a significant military threat to the U.S. or to other countries in the region."¹⁷

Those arguing for lifting travel restrictions also point to human rights activists in Cuba who themselves argue for the lifting of such sanctions. According to the prominent Cuban human rights activist Elizardo Sanchez: "The more Americans on the streets of Cuban cities, the better for the cause of a more open society in Cuba."¹⁸

Finally, supporters of lifting the travel ban maintain that such a move would not lift the underlying U.S. embargo on trade and financial transactions with Cuba. They point to the 1977-82 period when the travel ban was essentially lifted, but the overall embargo remained in place.

¹⁷Defense Intelligence Agency. Report on Cuban Threat to U.S. National Security. May 6, 1998.

¹⁸ Congressional Record, July 25, 2001, p. H4599.

Arguments for Maintaining Cuba Travel Restrictions

Those favoring the continuation of current restrictions on travel to Cuba point out that there are already significant provisions in U.S. law permitting Americans to travel there for legitimate reasons that support the Cuban people and not the Cuban government. They point out that in 2000 some 154,000 Americans traveled to Cuba legally under the various provisions of the Cuban embargo regulations, many of whom were Cuban Americans visiting family members.¹⁹ Other categories of travel allowed include students, journalists, researchers, artists, musicians, and athletes. Supporters of restrictions point out that the Clinton Administration had already loosened travel restrictions significantly as part of an effort to increase people-to-people contact.

A second argument made for maintaining current restrictions on travel to Cuba is that lifting the travel ban entirely will open the floodgates to American tourist travel that will support Castro's rule by providing his government with millions in tourist receipts. Advocates of restricting travel oppose any loosening that could prolong the Castro regime by propping it up with increased income. In contrast to those supporting tourist travel, they believe that continued travel restrictions will help influence Cuba's policy. They argue that since the collapse of the Soviet Union and the loss of Soviet subsidies to Cuba, the travel and embargo regulations have contributed to Castro's decision to cut the military's size and budget by half since 1989 and to introduce limited economic reforms. Lifting travel restrictions, they argue, would eliminate the U.S. leverage on Cuba to enact further reforms.

Those favoring the maintenance of current travel restrictions argue that the reality of the human rights situation dispels the notion that American tourists would be engaging in exchanges with ordinary Cubans. They maintain that the thousands of European, Canadian, and other tourists who travel to Cuba each year largely stay in tourist hotels that are off limits to most Cubans and thus have no discernable effect on the human rights situation in Cuba.

Some opposed to lifting travel restrictions argue that there should be tourist travel as long as Cuba provides refuge to violent criminals who have escaped U.S. justice. Reportedly more than 75 federal fugitives are hiding out in Cuba, including convicted murderer Joanne Chesimard, who killed a New Jersey state trooper in 1973.²⁰

Finally, many opponents of legislation to lift the Cuba travel restrictions argue that the authority to impose such restrictions is an important foreign policy tool for the President. They point out that the President has the authority to restrict travel when it is in the national security or foreign policy interests of the United States, and has utilized that policy tool when needed. They point to current Treasury Department

¹⁹ Laurie Goering, "Cuba Readies for Fall of U.S. Ban on Visits; Relaxed Embargo Would Jolt Tourism," *Chicago Tribune*, August 14, p. 4.

²⁰ Elaine de Valle and Luisa Yanez, "Is Cuba Hinting at Spy Deal?" *Miami Herald*, July 9, 2001, p. 1B.

regulations restricting travel to Libya and Iraq, as well as past instances of regulations restricting travel to Vietnam and North Korea. With regard to Cuba, they point to the 1984 Supreme Court decision in the case of *Regan v. Wald* that upheld restrictions on travel to Cuba imposed by the Reagan Administration.

Legislative Action and Initiatives in the 106th Congress

The only action completed by the 106th Congress relating to Cuba travel involved a tightening of travel restrictions. The final version of the FY2001 agriculture appropriations measure (P.L. 106-387, Title IX, Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000) included a provision that restricts travel to Cuba to those categories of non-tourist travel already allowed by the Treasury Department regulations. Section 910 of the law provides that neither general nor specific licenses for travel to Cuba can be provided for activities that do not fit into the 12 categories expressly authorized in the Cuban Assets Control Regulations, Section 515.560 (a) of Title 31, CFR, paragraphs (1) through (12). As noted in the law, the Secretary of the Treasury may not authorize travel-related transactions “for travel to, from, or within Cuba for “tourist activities” which are defined as any activity that is not expressly authorized in the 12 categories of the regulations. The provision prevents the Administration from loosening the travel restrictions to allow tourist travel. This, in effect, strengthens restrictions on travel to Cuba and somewhat circumscribes the authority of OFAC to issue specific travel licenses on a case-by-case basis under Section 515.560 (b) of Title 31, CFR. OFAC in the past has utilized that section to provide specific licenses for activities that do not fit neatly within the categories of travel set forth in 515.560 (a), including such travel for medical evacuations of Americans legally in Cuba and for U.S. contractors servicing the needs of the U.S. Interests Section. (Regulations implementing the provision of the law were issued by OFAC on July 12, 2001.)

In other legislative action, the Senate considered the issue of travel to Cuba in June 30, 1999 floor action on the FY2000 Foreign Operations Appropriations bill, S. 1234. An amendment was introduced by Senator Christopher Dodd that would have terminated regulations or prohibitions on travel to Cuba and on transactions related to such travel in most instances.²¹ The Senate defeated the amendment by tabling it in a 55-43 vote on June 30, 1999. On November 10, 1999, Senator Dodd introduced identical language as S. 1919, the Freedom to Travel to Cuba Act of 2000, but no action was taken on the bill.

The House took up the issue of travel to Cuba when it considered H.R. 4871, the Treasury Department appropriations bill, on July 20, 2000. A Sanford amendment was approved (232-186) to prohibit funds in the bill from being used to administer or

²¹The Dodd amendment allowed for travel restrictions to be imposed if the United States is at war with Cuba, if armed hostilities are in progress, or when threats to physical safety or public health exist. Under current law, the Secretary of State has the same authority to restrict travel (22 USC 211a).

enforce the Cuban Assets Control Regulations with respect to any travel or travel-related transaction. Subsequently, the language of the amendment was dropped from a new version of the FY2001 Treasury Department appropriations bill, H.R. 4985, introduced on July 26. H.R. 4985 was appended to the conference report on the Legislative Branch appropriations bill – H.R. 4516, H.Rept. 106-796 – in an attempt to bypass Senate debate on its version of the Treasury appropriations bill, S. 2900. The Senate initially rejected this conference report on September 20, 2000, by a vote of 28-69, but later agreed to the report, 58 -37, on October 12. The House had agreed to the conference report earlier, on September 14, 2000, by a vote of 212 - 209.

Two other House initiatives were introduced that would have lifted restrictions on U.S. travel to Cuba: H.R. 259 (Serrano), introduced January 6, 1999, centered on removing restrictions on travel, travel transactions, and educational, cultural, and scientific exchanges; H.R. 4471 (Sanford), introduced May 16, 2000, would have prohibited the President from regulating or prohibiting, directly or indirectly, travel to or from Cuba by U.S. citizens or legal residents except when the United States is at war with Cuba, armed hostilities between the two countries are in progress, or there is imminent danger to the public health or the physical safety of U.S. travelers. Three broader bills in the 106th Congress that would have lifted the trade embargo on Cuba — H.R. 229 (Rangel), introduced January 6, 1999; H.R. 1181 (Paul), introduced March 18, 1999; and S. 2617 (Baucus), introduced May 24, 2000 — also included provisions that would have removed restrictions or regulations on travel to and from Cuba as well as on any travel-related transactions.

Legislative Action and Initiatives in the 107th Congress²²

During July 25, 2001 floor action on H.R. 2590, the FY2002 Treasury Department appropriations bill, the House approved an amendment that would prohibit spending for administering Treasury Department regulations restricting travel to Cuba. H. Amdt. 241, offered by Representative Flake (which amended H. Amdt 240 offered by Representative Smith), would prohibit funding to administer the Cuban Assets Control Regulations (administered by OFAC) with respect to any travel or travel-related transaction. The amendment was approved by a vote of 240 to 186, compared to a vote of 232-186 for a similar amendment in last year's Treasury Department appropriations bill.

The Senate version of H.R. 2590, approved September 19, 2001, did not include any provision regarding U.S. restrictions on travel to Cuba, and the provision was not included in the House-Senate conference on the bill (H.Rept. 107-253). During Senate floor debate, Senator Byron Dorgan noted that he had intended to offer an amendment on the issue, but that he decided not to because he did not want to slow passage of the bill. He indicated that he would support the House provision during

²² For a complete listing and discussion of all Cuba bills in the 107th Congress, see CRS Report RL30806, *Cuba: Issues for Congress*.

conference, but ultimately, however, the House-Senate conference report on the bill did not include the Cuba provision. In light of the changed congressional priorities in the aftermath of the September 11 attacks on New York and Washington, conference negotiators reportedly did not want to slow passage of the bill with any controversial provisions. The Bush Administration had threatened to veto the Treasury bill if it included the Cuba travel provision.

In addition to action on H.R. 2590, several other initiatives introduced in the 107th Congress would ease U.S. restrictions on travel to Cuba. Several broad bills would lift all sanctions on trade, financial transactions, and travel to Cuba: H.R. 174 (Serrano), the Cuban Reconciliation Act, introduced January 3, 2001, and identical bills S. 400 (Baucus) and H.R. 798 (Rangel), the Free Trade with Cuba Act, introduced February 27 and 28, 2001, respectively.

S. 1017 (Dodd) and H.R. 2138 (Serrano), the Bridges to the Cuban People Act of 2001, introduced June 12, 2001, would, among other provisions, remove all restrictions on travel to Cuba by U.S. nationals or lawful permanent resident aliens.

Several bills would, among other provisions, repeal the travel restrictions imposed in the 106th Congress by the Trade Sanctions Reform and Export Enhancement Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-387, Title IX, Section 910). These include: identical bills S. 402 (Baucus) and H.R. 797 (Rangel), the Cuban Humanitarian Trade Act of 2001, introduced February 27 and 28, 2001; S. 171 (Dorgan), introduced January 24, 2001; and S. 239 (Hagel), the Cuba Food and Medicine Access Act of 2001, introduced February 1, 2001.

Whether such initiatives will move forward in the second session of the 107th Congress is uncertain given the change in congressional priorities after the September 11 attacks on Washington and New York. Moreover, Fidel Castro's remarks that the attacks were in part a consequence of the United States having applied "terrorist methods" for years could diminish support for lifting restrictions on travel to Cuba.²³

²³ Andrew Cawthorne, "Cuba's Castro Urges U.S. to Keep Calm," *Reuters*, September 11, 2001.